

NLWJC- Kagan

Counsel - Box 004 - Folder 009

Utah Wilderness

Utah Wilderness - mtg w/ Katie 7-19-96

August - vacati-

Go to Utah

auth u. Antiz Act

declare a "monument"

abil to withdraw lands in fed
ownership of cultural, env
importance historic, sci.
make them a monument

some wilderness area threatened by coal

2 coal co. One ok - want out. 1/3 - will swap

Andalex Resources - bad guy. - refuses to coop.

↳ lease would continue regardless.

Dutch co.

roads permitted
like lots of parks.

Rights new - BLM land. Wilderness study area - protection
incent
policies.

remains under jurisd. of BLM

currently - FLPMA

open to use pursuant to

grazing, mining, roading, logging

narrow scope to show "consistency of purpose
for which FLPMA man. dedicated."

Mining now ~ 3 areas.

2 areas - unpe'd, wild - not a lot of development.

other - one of best reserves of low sulfur. LiBSTU

can't prohibit Andalex - but

Want give them (Andalex) vts of way. Also public

pressure NOT to ~~give~~ continue w/ lease

Pacificorps
Run by Utah's
one of Utah's
POT estab.
Will not swap
if they essentially
stand behind this
action.

EIS?

And planning to build large mining or/ extensive
new roads.

going to Tapah/Singapore

not for domestic production

pure exploitative by foreigners

Political response -

Pos. in S. Calif / CO / metro. AZ

Pol reaction in Utah mixed.

So UTAA hates truck hauling

bus/pol leadership - angry -

they'll argue about jobs.

Also "War on West" - rhetoric

perhaps a bit owed because

deleg. incapable of putting forward
decent protection plan.

Recent Act - got ^{UT} budgeted by
everyone.

~~leg~~ 23 m. acres - BLM land in UT
5.7 m - env com says should be set
- 5 m but ^{aside as will} said "

This was bill. [1-2 m - UTAA delegation.
(actually net loss)

No precise bounds here - 1 m acres at outside
lots already in Wild Study area.

Legal challenges to

- 1) monument designation
- 2) a) - denial of vts of way if A. continues. Poss takings issue Also FCPMA/
b) if A abandons -
Then

Other potential iss -
state parcels
interspersed w/in
BLM land - our
action could interfere
w/ their un. |

Again - vts of way.
No real plan for dev.
of these lands now.
Maybe grazing.

Other existing unts -

Some grazers

Watersheds in this area -
going downstream into
developed areas.

We could take all them out
of high by grandfathering
them all.

Only p. left in cross-hairs And.

What grade of this un
was in Hatch's bill?

Maybe 1/3.

Were protecting the coal Pump -
they didn't

Can't tell them before - will lose our op. to
surprise everyone/announce. Just call mt bef.

Talking pt -
not meant to be critical of us deleg.
Environ will prob say this
(maybe even Balitt)