

**NLWJC - KAGAN**

**EMAILS RECEIVED**

**ARMS - BOX 008 - FOLDER -010**

**[04/23/1997]**

# Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

## Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Cynthia A. Rice to Elena Kagan et al. Subject: Lyn's last day. (1 page)	04/23/1997	P6/b(6)

### COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records  
Automated Records Management System [Email]  
OPD ([Kagan])  
OA/Box Number: 250000

### FOLDER TITLE:

[04/23/1997]

2009-1006-F

wr42

### RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act- [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]



**MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT**

**FROM:** Bruce Reed  
**SUBJECT:** Policy Announcements for the Summit  
**DATE:** April 23, 1997

The following are potential policy announcements you could make at the upcoming Summit on service and in the radio address on Saturday.

**RADIO ADDRESS**

You will announce that we are sending our America Reads legislation to the Hill, and use that opportunity to emphasize AmeriCorps' role in America Reads. You can also talk about your vision of the Summit and highlight America Reads as a great example of meeting the Summit's challenge on volunteering and literacy. [Add work-study here.] In addition, you can announce that Federal agencies are making a "commitment" to the Summit that they will expand the number of schools that they have adopted or established partnerships with from approximately 1,500 schools to 2,000 schools by the year 2000. Working through partnerships established by their agencies, Federal employees tutor, purchase equipment, and hold career days.

**MONDAY SPEECH AT SUMMIT**

Each of the potential announcements we have developed below expand the concept of service beyond the current AmeriCorps model to focus on critical fields of service that we want to encourage young people to enter -- teaching, police, and child care. In this way, you can talk about your concept of service and about the summit's theme of young people without being too hemmed in by the current limitations on AmeriCorps' size.

**Teacher Corps**

[Note: this is current draft, but Mike says he is doing a fairly significant rewrite that he will get to you soon]

We recommend that your Summit speech feature the announcement of a new initiative to help address a major challenge of the next decade: attracting and retraining well-prepared teachers for under served urban and rural areas. This initiative would combine your message on the value of public service with your Call to Action challenge to put a caring and well-prepared teacher in every classroom.

**The Challenge:** Over the next decade, the nation will need to hire some 2 million teachers. Of these, approximately y%, or xxxxx (approximately 50,000) per year, will be hired in central cities, in schools with large concentrations of low income students. An additional zzzzz teachers per year will be needed in isolated, and often poor, rural areas. These types of communities face the greatest difficulties in attracting and retaining qualified teachers. Urban

areas in particular receive significantly fewer applications for positions than neighboring suburban districts, and attrition rates in urban areas can often reach 30% to 50% in the first five years. They are therefore most likely to fill classroom vacancies with teachers who lack preparation, don't meet licensure requirements, or who are teaching out of field. As a result, the students in these communities -- who need the *most* qualified teachers in order to meet higher academic standards -- often have exactly the opposite in the classroom.

**The Initiative:** We propose to establish an Urban and Rural Teacher Corps, that will provide incentives for *well prepared* individuals to teach in public schools in central cities and poor rural communities. It will accomplish this by providing fellowships for teacher candidates who commit to teach in urban or rural schools, as well as incentives for participating school districts and institutions of higher education to work together to upgrade the quality of teacher preparation and provide adequate support and continued professional development for beginning teachers.

**This new initiative would be a part of the Administration's proposal for the reauthorization of Title V of the Higher Education Act (HEA), to be transmitted to Congress later in the Spring or Summer. In order to allow for continued planning and consultation, we anticipate that the basic framework for this initiative be announced at the summit, while many of the details be further developed by the Education Department over the coming months. The framework includes the following elements:**

- **Undergraduate student fellowships:** The program would provide fellowships for \$3,000 per year, for up to three years, for undergraduate students preparing for careers in teaching. The fellowship amount is pegged to the average tuition at public institutions of higher education (approximately \$3,xxx). It is expected that students would begin the fellowship in their junior year, and continue through a "fifth year" that would provide intensive and sustained classroom experience.

Similar fellowships would be available to teachers' aides and other education paraprofessionals seeking to move up a career ladder into full teaching positions, an approach which will help increase the number of minority teachers as well. Since teachers' aides will continue to work while pursuing further education, these fellowships may need to be structured somewhat differently than for traditional undergraduates.

- **Post-baccalaureate fellowships:** The program would provide one-year fellowships of \$7500 for post-baccalaureate students, including recent liberal arts graduate and mid-career professionals seeking to enter teaching.
- **Partnerships between institutions of higher education and local school systems, selected competitively:** The new program would be competitive, aimed at fostering partnerships among local school districts (city or rural), institutions of higher education (both colleges of education and arts and sciences) and others as appropriate, including

teacher unions and existing networks for recruiting and supporting teachers..

Successful competitors would demonstrate that they have a high quality teacher preparation program, and that the program fits into a comprehensive, thoughtful strategy for recruiting and retaining teachers. To qualify for funding, local partnership strategies would need to address the full range of teacher preparation, induction, and professional development, as well as leverage other local, state and federal resources in a strategic and coordinated fashion. Local partnerships will be also be required to provide matching funds; comparable foundation-funded programs have required a 2-1 matching requirement. As the details of this program are further developed, we will determine the level and structure of the local matching requirement.

- **Local flexibility:** School districts, working collaboratively with institutions of higher education that provide rigorous teacher preparation programs, would determine their greatest recruitment needs and the types of prospective teachers they wish to target (e.g., traditional-age college students, paraprofessionals, mid-career and post-baccalaureate candidates, etc.), and use the federal funds to most effectively support locally determined strategies.

**Budget and Impact:** The Education Department estimates that funding for this program, and the range of participants (depending upon design decisions to be made over the next few months) would be structured as follows:

	Funding level (in millions)	Range of participants
FY 1999:	\$ 35	
FY 2000:	\$ 73	
FY 2001:	\$105	
FY 2002:	\$130	
FY 2003:	\$130	
Totals:	\$473	

### **Police Corps**

You can announce \$20 million in grants to 17 states for the Police Corps program. The Police Corps was created in your 1994 Crime Bill to increase the number of community police officers with advanced education and training, and was one of your pledges during the 1992 presidential campaign.

The Police Corps offers educational assistance to qualified college students who commit to enter the police after graduation and serve in the force for at least four years. Students accepted into the Police Corps receive up to \$7,500 in educational assistance for up to four years of undergraduate study. In addition, Corps recruits receive 16 weeks of rigorous training to help make them effective community police officers. As an incentive to police departments to take

recruits, state and local law enforcement agencies receive cash assistance for employing Police Corps officers-- \$10,000 per participant for each year of the recruit's service.

The announcement nearly triples the number of states that will receive Police Corps funds, from 6 to 17 states, and is double the funding appropriated in FY 1996 for the program. Your FY 1998 budget contains \$20 million in funding for Police Corps.

### **Child Care Corps**

You could also announce that you will expand AmeriCorps to include a new child care corps. The child care field has great difficulty attracting and retaining qualified workers, especially well-educated and trained workers who can go on to become leaders in the field. Your recent conference on early learning was the latest confirmation that it is critical to increase the quality of child care. Yet very few of our most talented young people enter this field.

The program would offer AmeriCorps scholarships to individuals who agree to work in child care in low-income areas for two years. It would be open both to those currently working in the field and to those seeking to enter. Members of the corps would not get a stipend from AmeriCorps, but a scholarship of \$4,725 a year for two years, which could be used either to repay existing student loans or to pay for further education.

The Corporation for National Service estimates that it could support a corps of 2,000 people a year without any increase in their budget or permission from Congress, as long as these individuals get only scholarships and not stipends as well. Under pressure from members of Congress to reduce the cost of an AmeriCorps member, the Corporation has begun to diversify its basic model of offering both stipend and scholarship. Instead, it is looking to non-profit groups to fund the stipend while AmeriCorps funds the scholarship. The Corporation is in a good position to add these "scholarship only" AmeriCorps members, because its scholarship trust fund has excess funds.

Non-profit groups would apply to become part of this program, and would have to demonstrate that they could offer participants high-quality training and mentoring during their two years of service, including development of management and leadership skills.

One unknown is whether the program will attract applicants if it does not include a stipend, since child care organizations tend to be strapped for cash. Possible solutions include working with major child care organizations to see if we could line up support for the program in advance; providing some funds for training and planning support; and challenging corporations and universities to assist in this effort. Corporations could sponsor members by paying for their salaries, and universities that specialize in early childhood education could match our scholarships.

The alternative is to offer stipends, either by asking the Corporation to earmark current AmeriCorps slots for this purpose (and reduce its programs in other areas); by seeking a budget

increase for this purpose either within AmeriCorps or in HHS; or by using the Corporation's demonstration authority (which would allow for only a very small program). It is also important to note that we would need legislation if we want to require a service commitment that goes beyond the period of AmeriCorps' membership.

One important question is whether welfare recipients would be targeted as members of the corps. HHS believes we should limit these scholarships to college graduates because the greatest need is for highly trained professionals and our emphasis should be there, rather than entry level, low wage slots. They note that we could ask AmeriCorps to earmark some of its current stipended slots for welfare recipients. However, we could also create a second track for welfare recipients to get associates' degrees if we wished.

**[Bruce: I'm not sure this proposal distinguishes very well how this corps would be different from regular AmeriCorps members who do child care (currently a very small number -- in the hundreds), and why it isn't actually worse than that, since it has no stipend. We should discuss this.]**

#### **Interest-free Year of Service**

As you know, Rev. Tony Campolo has urged you to make it easier for college students and recent graduates to take a year off for service. Since students can already take time off for service without having to begin repayment of their loans, the only remaining barrier is the interest that accumulates on unsubsidized loans during the period of service. The cost of having the Federal government pay that interest is minimal -- \$7 million over 5 years. This is a fairly minor proposal, but it would allow young people to take time off to serve without increasing their debt burden.

#### **FIRST LADY SPEECH AT CLOSING CEREMONY**

The First Lady will represent the Administration at the closing ceremony on Tuesday. We are exploring the option that she could announce Kaiser Permanente's commitment of \$100 million over the next five years to provide health coverage to 50,000 children in California.

cc:

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Dennis K. Burke ( CN=Dennis K. Burke/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-APR-1997 09:42:15.00

SUBJECT: Crack Cocaine

TO: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
 READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
 READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Leanne A. Shimabukuro ( CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
 READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

My understanding is that the Sentencing Commission is going to make an announcement on the 29th that they are recommending a "pinch" in the disparity between crack and powder cocaine sentences.

Unlike last time, they are not amending the guidelines, which created a situation where Congress had to affirmatively disapprove the guidelines or they became operative. They are just announcing their position (at least, that is my understanding at this point).

Under current law, a defendant in possession of 5 grams of crack cocaine receives a 5 year mandatory minimum. However, it takes 500 grams of powder cocaine for a defendant to receive a 5 year mandatory. Thus, current law has a 100-1 disparity in penalties between a crack defendant and a powder cocaine defendant.

The Sentencing Commission is supposedly going to recommend a "pinch" - - Powder penalties would be a range 125-375 grams for a 5 year mandatory and crack would be 25-75 grams.

The Criminal Division is recommending to the AG that the powder level be 250 grams for a 5 year mandatory and a 25-50 range for crack.

Senators Hatch and Abraham have a bill that would have a 5 year mandatory for 100 grams of powder and keep current law for crack - - 5 grams, 5 years. In other words, Hatch just increases the penalties for powder cocaine so the that disparity is 20-1 instead of current law, which is 100-1.

In summation:

A defendant will receive a 5 year mandatory minimum for the following amount of grams:

	Current Law	Sent. Com.	Crm Div.	Hatch
Powder	500			
125-375	250	100		
Crack	5			
25-75	25-50	5		

Current law also has what is referred to as a "safety valve." If the defendant has not priors, no gun was used, etc. then the 5 year can be waived.



Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion

Dear Anna:

Thank you for writing concerning legislation to create national uniform standards for certain types of securities litigation. The new regime put in place by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act is still in its infancy, and one of the issues that will need to be considered as we gain experience with the Act is how its provisions affect the roles of state and federal courts in private securities fraud litigation.

The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) has just released a report that I requested, assessing the effects of last year's legislation, including the Act's impact on litigation, investor protection, and information made available to investors. We will be reviewing the SEC study and other reports and data to assess the need for further action. We look forward to working with you.

Sincerely,

The Honorable Anna G. Eshoo  
House of Representatives  
Washington, D.C. 20515



**Q&A re: Florida Lawsuit**  
4/23/97

**Question:**

The state of Florida has sued the federal government to overturn a portion of the landmark welfare law bill that eliminates benefits for most legal immigrants. Governor Chiles says the welfare law will leave state and local governments in Florida holding the bag for billions of lost benefits. What is the White House's position on this?

**Answer:**

The President believes legal immigrants who work hard, pay taxes and contribute to American society and fall on hard times through no fault of their own should get medical and other vital assistance when they need it. That's why his budget provides \$14.6 billion in assistance for those legal immigrants who, through no fault of their own, are unable to work: children and individuals who are disabled.

As you indicated, the state of Florida filed a lawsuit yesterday. The lawyers at the Department of Justice have just begun to look at it, and I do not have an indication from the Department about their plans. Generally, however, the role of the Department of Justice is to defend the federal government when it is sued.

**Question:**

The Associated Press reported yesterday that the "Texas Welfare Plan is Stalled at White House." Is it the usual procedure for the President to personally decide state welfare reform requests?

**Answer:**

One of the reasons the President signed the welfare reform law was so that the states would no longer have to petition Washington to impose tough work requirements and time limits and provide incentives to make work pay better than welfare. The new law provides states with great flexibility in operating their welfare, or Temporary Assistance to Needy Families, programs.

The state of Texas is asking for far-reaching changes in Medicaid and Food Stamp laws which affect several agencies. The agencies are working as hard as they can to examine all of the relevant issues, and we hope to get the State of Texas an answer soon. The agencies have kept the White House informed of their decision making process.

As you know, the Administration gets a lot of waiver requests from the states. The agencies conduct a routine review process for each of them. Because this is a complicated issue, the review has been lengthy, probably a little more than we expected. But the agencies are working to provide Texas with a response as soon as possible.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Lyn A. Hogan ( CN=Lyn A. Hogan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-APR-1997 12:18:26.00

SUBJECT: First Lady's Teen Preg Prevention Event

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Katharine Button ( CN=Katharine Button/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Nicole R. Rabner ( CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Diana Fortuna ( CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

The message of the May 2 event will be something like: we continue to make encouraging though modest progress at reducing the problem of teenage pregnancy (teen birth rates have gone down four years in a row and new data will soon be released to show that teen sexual activity is declining). However, this is still a daunting problem that needs are continued support.

What we know now is that this problem has no single solution, but rather many solutions which are being implemented in communities around the country. Every community has its varied approach to teen pregnancy prevention because every community has its own unique set of circumstances and beliefs that drive that approach. The key to really making a difference in this problem is at the local level through individualized community-based approaches. Today, we are happy to recognize 12 such community-based programs, each making a difference but doing it their own way.

Re: releasing new data at or before the May 2 event

Melanne and I had a conversation with Melissa about whether or not to release the HHS report and/or the grants. We decided to release neither.

--Because the new data in the report is underwhelming -- though it does show some progress -- Melanne and Melissa would not release the report at the event but would say that a report will soon be released that shows modest success toward the goal of reducing teen pregnancy.

--On the grants side, on May 1, Sec. Shalala will be at an out of town event where she will talk about girls and the media and particularly focus on teenage pregnancy and smoking as they relate to young girls. There she will announce the Secretary's girl power grants for 9-14 year olds, that in part focus on teen pregnancy prevention. The only other grants we could announce would be the abstinence grants, which are a little too

controversial for this event. Instead, Melanne will have the First Lady talk about Sec. Shalala's announcement and reiterate the importance of building the self-esteem of young girls as a way to prevent early pregnancy.

Questions/commments?

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Emil E. Parker ( CN=Emil E. Parker/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-APR-1997 12:37:26.00

SUBJECT: Privatization

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Kathleen M. Wallman ( CN=Kathleen M. Wallman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Anne H. Lewis ( CN=Anne H. Lewis/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

We at the NEC remain quite interested in the Texas privatization issue.  
Could you send Anne or me a copy of the Kevin Thurm options paper  
(exepcted today?) when you get a chance? Thank you very much.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-APR-1997 13:09:01.00

SUBJECT: Copy of service summit memo

TO: Thomas L. Freedman ( CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan Prince ( CN=Jonathan Prince/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

----- Forwarded by Bruce N. Reed/OPD/EOP on 04/23/97  
01:11 PM -----

Diana Fortuna  
04/23/97 11:19:31 AM  
Record Type: Record

To: Bruce N. Reed/OPD/EOP, Cathy R. Mays/OPD/EOP  
cc:  
Subject: memo

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====  
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS\_EXT:[ATTACH.D50]MAIL468374210.116 to ASCII,  
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF5750437B0D0000010A0201000000020500000006B49000000020000C4BD6664D21CC1FC244BD5  
808E7BD58ABCD09EDE1750B542A2F2C7B0B6FFB6F79DAD376ED03E390394AD4FFC8984EA4E93CB  
92E5AC4C10D34BCD475896B4B308631807DB125225326E233AF390FF9836E235C73C1CB0D0579C  
30687B036D3F29588CAB962FE44F8EAE43D957F24AD319E80F93EF5BA9AF7707B0BD54A458CFA7  
4B172B317527A58622B22AB188CA0A947E69B12B452346F6939492F8615DEC874B0DF3772AC2F5  
92206311ED761DC4B9C30BC621A3F6FE1EB697A802E73CB0F579DAF9B83A3232426AEC1BA9F04A  
C0F774E89D3D6C1B41850CAF62888F318202524105FC3F85C5EED8E600BDED0947A794082E5318  
D1ECBEE2A0E0A076BCBE418DA8088848846A2D960DD6D3CD318E29C27552A98B5D462040F763EF  
4AA09D9673443A906265AB0E80F1E5CEE82B37416C803734EAEC04D9ED6F33C8BE7CD22CC7061A  
862A0A1DA7AB7A354619DAC0F83EA41109DAD5D0DC53EF157B69DABE47110C077727CDD9987CAC  
CE7D2B7FADC491C5A4B6F41E6206114F9E8A42425A58F5C3FAAE0D625B58F2C8FE0CDC90B309E7  
092AD25066198A59D518ECCBF80C00942626A43CCDCE461DCEA3AC35968304C843B06860A3DF55  
69A5BB25585FF694201E70F29499A9D249A0449A48FEE0E7DE2A2239FFA051E027F44F56655474  
D41BDE7F800200A2000000000000000000000823010000000B010000DC0A0000005504000000  
4E000000E70B000009250100000006000000350C00000B3002000000280000003B0C0000087701  
00000040000000630C000008340100000014000000A30C00000802010000000F000000B70C0000  
08050100000008000000C60C00000608010000002D000000CE0C00000055030000003C000000FB

**MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT**

**FROM:** Bruce Reed  
**SUBJECT:** Potential Policy Announcements for the Summit  
**DATE:** April 23, 1997

The following are potential policy announcements you could make at the upcoming Summit on service and in the radio address on Saturday.

**RADIO ADDRESS**

You will announce that we are sending our America Reads legislation to the Hill, and use that opportunity to emphasize AmeriCorps' role in America Reads. You can also talk about your vision of the Summit and highlight America Reads as a great example of meeting the Summit's challenge on volunteering, tutoring, and literacy. In addition, you can announce that Federal agencies are making a "commitment" to the Summit that they will expand the number of schools that they have adopted or established partnerships with from approximately 1,500 schools to 2,000 schools by the year 2000. Working through partnerships established by their agencies, Federal employees tutor, purchase equipment, and hold career days.

**MONDAY SPEECH AT SUMMIT**

When you laid out your original vision of national service back in 1992, you set forth two fundamental principles that captured the public imagination: first, that all young Americans willing to give something back should have the chance to get help for college; and second, that we should challenge those young people to help solve our toughest problems, "as teachers, police officers, child care workers -- doing work our country desperately needs," as you said in the first Georgetown speech.

AmeriCorps, though still a well-kept secret, has gone a long way toward realizing your overall ideal of citizen service. But for budgetary and partisan political reasons, it will not become universal anytime soon, and because of its decentralized structure, AmeriCorps has not succeeded in marshaling a nation-wide effort to target a compelling national problem like education or crime.

Your speech in Philadelphia is a chance to breathe new life into that original ideal. We can't escape the budgetary constraints, but we can give more young people an incentive to serve, and most important, we can set forth a clear national mission of the problems we need them to solve. The following proposal are designed with that in mind.

### **1. Interest-free Year of Service**

As you know, Rev. Tony Campolo has urged you to make it easier for college students and recent graduates to take a year off for service. Thanks to your income-contingent loan program and to earlier changes allowing loan deferments for service, students can already take advantage of reduced loan payments if they take low-paying service jobs, and can take time off for service without having to begin repayment of their loans. Your FY98 budget also includes a proposal to encourage service by no longer treating loan forgiveness as taxable income, so that students who universities forgive their loans will no longer have to pay taxes on it.

The only remaining barrier is the interest that accumulates on unsubsidized loans during the period of service. The cost of having the Federal government pay that interest is minimal -- \$7 million over 5 years. This is an inexpensive proposal, and the typical benefit is not enormous, but it would make this policy universal, and it would allow any young person to take time off to serve without increasing his or her debt burden. The Education Department has expressed some concerns about this.

### **2. Police Corps**

You can announce \$20 million in grants to 17 states for the Police Corps program. The Police Corps was created in your 1994 Crime Bill to increase the number of community police officers with advanced education and training, and was one of your pledges during the 1992 presidential campaign.

The Police Corps offers educational assistance to qualified college students who commit to enter the police after graduation and serve in the force for at least four years. Students accepted into the Police Corps receive up to \$7,500 in educational assistance for up to four years of undergraduate study. In addition, Corps recruits receive 16 weeks of rigorous training to help make them effective community police officers. As an incentive to police departments to take recruits, state and local law enforcement agencies receive cash assistance for employing Police Corps officers -- \$10,000 per participant for each year of the recruit's service.

This announcement nearly triples the number of states that will receive Police Corps funds, from 6 to 17 states, and is double the funding appropriated in FY 1996 for the program. It will fund about 650 recruits. Your FY 1998 budget contains \$20 million in funding for Police Corps.

### **3. Teacher Corps**

We believe your Summit speech should include a ringing call to service for young people to teach in inner cities. Nothing would do more to breathe new life into the ethic of service than to enlist young Americans in a national effort to transform inner-city schools that are crying out for their energy, idealism, and talent.

One way to do this would be to announce the proposal described below, which provides \$400 million over four years in incentives for approximately 48,000 new teachers. If this seems too costly to propose in the current budget environment, it could be ramped up more slowly at about half the cost and impact. You could also propose a more modest expansion of AmeriCorps'

support for Teach for America, as long as we also address quality concerns about that program. Alternatively, your speech could simply include a strong call to young people to take up the challenge of teaching in inner cities without proposing a new initiative to provide federal support.

Such an initiative could still be included in the Administration's Higher Education Act proposal, which will be transmitted this summer.

Over the next decade, the nation will need to hire some 2.3 million teachers. Of these, approximately 15%, or 345,000 (approximately 35,000 per year), will be hired in central cities, in schools with large concentrations of low income students. An additional 207,000 (approximately 20,000 per year) will be needed in isolated, and often poor, rural areas. These types of communities face the greatest difficulties in attracting and retaining qualified teachers. Urban areas in particular receive significantly fewer applications for positions than neighboring suburban districts, and attrition rates in urban areas can often reach 30% to 50% in the first five years. They are therefore most likely to fill classroom vacancies with teachers who lack preparation, don't meet licensure requirements, or who are teaching outside their field. As a result, the students in these communities -- who need the *most* qualified teachers in order to meet higher academic standards -- often get exactly the opposite in the classroom.

We propose a new national effort to help attract and retain teachers in high poverty central city and rural schools. **This new initiative would be a part of the Administration's proposal for the reauthorization of Title V of the Higher Education Act. The basic framework for this initiative could be announced at the summit, while many of the details could be further developed by the Education Department over the coming months.**

This initiative would provide competitive grants to 100 local partnerships involving urban or rural school districts and institutions of higher education, to be used to provide incentives for individuals to prepare to teach, and to remain, in underserved schools. These would include 2-3 year fellowships for undergraduate students (including for a "5th year" program that provides intensive and sustained classroom experience for undergraduates after they have acquired a strong liberal arts background); one-year graduate fellowships for **post-baccalaureate students, including recent liberal arts graduate and mid-career professionals seeking to enter teaching; stipends for teachers' aides and other education paraprofessionals seeking to move up a career ladder into full teaching positions; and 1-2 year "residencies" for beginning teachers that would provide a lighter teaching load coupled with additional time for class preparation, being coached or mentored, or working in other ways with more experienced teachers.**

**The incentives would range from fellowships that cover tuition and fees (which average \$3,500 at undergraduate public institutions) to forgivable loans to salary supplements for beginning teachers.** Successful competitors would have to demonstrate that they have a high quality teacher preparation program, and that the program fits into a comprehensive, thoughtful strategy for recruiting and retaining teachers.

The Education Department proposes to fund this initiative at \$100 million a year for five years, beginning with the FY1999 budget. The Education Department estimates that this initiative will help attract and retain at least 48,000 teachers into high poverty central city and rural schools. This is approximately 17% of the estimated need in those communities. This is not an issue for this year's appropriations battles, and we have not tried to identify a specific offset. However, it will compete with your other discretionary priorities in the out-years. If you wish to proceed, we will work with OMB to determine what funding level we can commit to right now, recognizing that the parameters of NDD spending may change before we submit the FY99 budget.

We are also exploring ways to link this initiative to AmeriCorps, which already provides scholarships (but no stipends) to 1,000 teachers in Teach for America. As you know, Teach for America has been fairly successful in capturing the imagination of the public and of college students, but to this point has not provided the preparation and support those new teachers need to succeed in the classroom and remain in the profession. We could use existing scholarship funds to expand AmeriCorps' commitment to Teach for America, but we should also look for ways to make sure that preparation needs are addressed.

#### **4. Child Care Corps**

You could also announce that you will expand AmeriCorps to include a new child care corps. The child care field has great difficulty attracting and retaining qualified workers, especially well-educated and trained workers who can go on to become leaders in the field. Your recent conference on early learning was the latest confirmation that it is critical to increase the quality of child care. Yet very few of our most talented young people enter this field.

The program would offer AmeriCorps scholarships to individuals who agree to work in child care in low-income areas for two years. It would be open both to those currently working in the field and to those seeking to enter. Members of the corps would not get a stipend from AmeriCorps, but a scholarship of \$4,725 a year for two years, which could be used either to repay existing student loans or to pay for further education. Some of these scholarships could be earmarked to help people leaving welfare to get college training to become child care workers.

The Corporation for National Service estimates that it could support a corps of 2,000 people a year without any increase in their budget or permission from Congress, as long as these individuals get only scholarships and not stipends as well. Under pressure from members of Congress to reduce the cost of an AmeriCorps member, the Corporation has begun to emphasize these "scholarship only" awards, and its scholarship trust fund has excess funds.

Non-profit groups would apply to become part of this program, and would have to demonstrate that they could offer participants high-quality training and mentoring during their two years of service, including development of management and leadership skills. In addition, corporations could sponsor members by paying for their salaries, and universities that specialize in early childhood education could match our scholarships.

**5. Mentoring/Tutoring 1 Million Kids**

In preparation for the Summit, Federal agencies have joined their counterparts in the private and non-profit sectors by making public “commitments” to the goals of the Summit. Agencies have identified over 40 initiatives where they will work with partners in the volunteer or service sectors to mentor and tutor kids, expand afterschool programs, and offer young people opportunities to serve. We are trying to determine whether these commitments add up to an additional 1 million kids being mentored or tutored as a result of Federal government efforts.

**FIRST LADY SPEECH AT CLOSING CEREMONY**

The First Lady will represent the Administration at the closing ceremony on Tuesday. We are exploring the option that she could announce Kaiser Permanente’s commitment of \$100 million over the next five years to provide health coverage to 50,000 children in California.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Lyn A. Hogan ( CN=Lyn A. Hogan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-APR-1997 13:22:48.00

SUBJECT: Re: First Lady's Teen Preg Prevention Event

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

FYI, see Diana's note re: girl power grants.

----- Forwarded by Lyn A. Hogan/OPD/EOP on 04/23/97 01:26  
PM -----

Diana Fortuna

04/23/97 01:19:16 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Lyn A. Hogan/OPD/EOP

cc:

Subject: Re: First Lady's Teen Preg Prevention Event

fyi we may have screwed up by announcing \$1-2 million in Girl Power mentoring/teen pregnancy grants too early -- through a miscommunication, we included a sentence on this in a book that is going to be released at the summit this weekend.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Diana Fortuna ( CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-APR-1997 13:44:06.00

SUBJECT: Harris's rewrite of our Summit Declaration rewrite

TO: Michael Waldman ( CN=Michael Waldman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eli G. Attie ( CN=Eli G. Attie/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Donald A. Baer ( CN=Donald A. Baer/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Katherine Hubbard ( CN=Katherine Hubbard/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stephen B. Silverman ( CN=Stephen B. Silverman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis ( CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Harris made only very minor changes to our draft, so it looks pretty good to me.

Let me know asap what you think. Corporation wants to forward it to Summit people today.

----- Forwarded by Diana Fortuna/OPD/EOP on 04/23/97  
01:42 PM -----

JGompert @ cns.gov  
04/23/97 12:39:00 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Diana Fortuna  
cc:  
Subject: Summit Declaration

Diana -- Here is the draft with edits by Harris and me. It has NOT gone to others yet, but they are calling, and I will need to respond this p.m.

JG

SUMMIT DECLARATION DRAFT 4/23/97

Two centuries ago, America was founded on the proposition that just as all people are endowed by their Creator with unalienable rights, citizenship entails undeniable responsibilities. As each of us has the right to Life, Liberty and the Pursuit of Happiness, each of us has an obligation to give something back to country and community a duty to take responsibility not just for ourselves and our families, but for one another. We owe a debt of service to fulfill the God-given promise of America and of her children.

In this time of opportunity at the dawn of a new century and a new millennium the need for shared responsibility is self-evident.

People of all ages and from all walks of life must claim society's problems as their own pulling together, leading by example, and lifting American lives. The challenges of today, especially those that face our children, require a special commitment of us all.

We have a special obligation to America's children to see that all young Americans have:

caring adults in their lives, as parents, mentors, tutors, coaches;

safe places with structured activities in which to learn and grow;

a healthy start and healthy future;

an effective education that equips them with marketable skills;

an opportunity to give back to their communities through their own service

We therefore highly resolve to work together to be champions of youth to ensure these fundamental resources for all of America's children.

We will do so through citizen service, through voluntary action, through commitments by ourselves and the organizations to which we belong, through partnerships spanning both public and private life, but above all as one community.

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====  
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

RFC-822-headers:

Received: from conversion.pmdf.eop.gov by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-4 #6879)  
id <01III1P0KF57K003P4K@PMDF.EOP.GOV> for "Diana Fortuna"@oa.eop.gov; Wed,  
23 Apr 1997 13:38:42 -0500 (EST)

Received: from gatekeeper.eop.gov by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-4 #6879)  
id <01III1P0H0PF4003A4D@PMDF.EOP.GOV> for Diana\_Fortuna@oa.eop.gov; Wed,

23 Apr 1997 13:38:36 -0500 (EST)  
Received: from cns.gov by gatekeeper.eop.gov; (5.65v3.2/1.1.8.2/17Oct95-0424PM)

id AA27388; Wed, 23 Apr 1997 13:38:32 -0400  
Received: from smtpgate.cns.gov (smtpgate.cns.gov [204.124.231.18])  
by mailrelay.cns.gov (8.6.9/8.6.9) with SMTP id MAA28481 for  
<Diana\_Fortuna@oa.eop.gov>; Wed, 23 Apr 1997 12:24:47 -0500  
Received: by smtpgate.cns.gov with Microsoft Mail id  
<335E3B62@smtpgate.cns.gov>; Wed, 23 Apr 1997 12:40:02 -0400 (EDT)  
===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Thomas A. Kalil ( CN=Thomas A. Kalil/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-APR-1997 12:34:32.00

SUBJECT: POTUS S&T speech

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Elana:

Is anything happening on this?

Anyone at DPC I should be talking to?

- Tom Kalil .

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-APR-1997 14:38:24.00

SUBJECT: Texas blowup at today's welfare technicals markup

TO: Stephen C. Warnath ( CN=Stephen C. Warnath/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Emily Bromberg ( CN=Emily Bromberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Diana Fortuna ( CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Today's Ways and Means technicals markup was a quiet, bipartisan affair until Rep. Johnson of Texas went into a tirade about the Administration's delay in responding to the Texas proposal. He had poster-sized copies of the Shalala-Glickman-Reed memo to the President displayed behind him. The chair ruled his amendment out of order and the Administration representatives there were not called upon to comment. This per Irene Bueno of HHS.

The Committee did pass a sense of the Congress re: benefits for the Hmong.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-APR-1997 14:47:30.00

SUBJECT: More Texas--

TO: Emily Bromberg ( CN=Emily Bromberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Diana Fortuna ( CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Ed Lorenzen left me a message to say that Rep. Stenholm will feel compelled to join Rep. Johnson on any legislative proposal re: Texas. I just left a message for Monahan to see where our new options are. Bruce, did Thurm call you back about this yesterday?

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: William R. Kincaid ( CN=William R. Kincaid/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-APR-1997 15:08:06.00

SUBJECT: IDEA update

TO: Diana Fortuna ( CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Cohen ( CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Today I spoke with Tom Hehir at ED about how things are progressing on the IDEA reauthorization. As you know, Senator Lott's chief of staff, David Hoppe, has convened a bipartisan process involving key House and Senate staff and Judy Heumann, as well as advocacy groups, to work through a set of outstanding issues on the bill. The process has been proceeding very smoothly, and last week there was a members' meeting at which nearly everyone (including R's Lott, Coats, Goodling, Castle, Riggs, and Graham and D's Harkin, Miller, Scott, Martinez, and Payne) agreed to vote down all amendments. However, there was one fly in the ointment...

Senator Kennedy showed up late and said that he thought DOJ should have expanded jurisdiction over IDEA enforcement--that DOJ should have independent authority, not just when called in by ED (which has happened once during this Administration), with the implication being that the Department has not been vigorous enough. Lott's staff views this as a deal-breaker, and has contacted ED to ask them to intervene. Heumann has asked for a meeting on this with Kennedy, which was scheduled then canceled. Currently ED thinks it's best to wait for a day or two and see if things sort themselves out, but if not they will probably get either Harkin or Secretary Riley to call Kennedy. Bottom line is that ED remains very optimistic that there is going to be a good bill for the President to sign, but the situation with Sen. Kennedy will have to be worked out first.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (EXTERNAL MAIL)

CREATOR: Stuart M. Schear@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX

CREATION DATE/TIME: 23-APR-1997 15:14:00.00

SUBJECT: WEEKEND TV OUTLOOK

TO: GOLDBERG\_JS ( GOLDBERG\_JS@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ: NOT READ

TO: JOHNSON\_DT ( JOHNSON\_DT@A1@CD ) (NSC)  
READ: 23-APR-1997 18:29:47.17

TO: BURKE\_D ( BURKE\_D@A1@CD ) (OPD)  
READ: NOT READ

TO: HAAS\_L ( HAAS\_L@A1@CD ) (OMB)  
READ: 23-APR-1997 15:37:15.29

TO: JENNINGS\_C ( JENNINGS\_C@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ: NOT READ

TO: JOLIN\_M ( JOLIN\_M@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ: 24-APR-1997 08:52:58.54

TO: KAGAN\_E ( KAGAN\_E@A1@CD ) (OPD)  
READ: NOT READ

TO: MAYS\_C ( MAYS\_C@A1@CD ) (OPD)  
READ: NOT READ

TO: MCHUGH\_L ( MCHUGH\_L@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ: 23-APR-1997 15:21:29.68

TO: REED\_B ( REED\_B@A1@CD ) (OPD)  
READ: NOT READ

TO: GREEN\_MG ( GREEN\_MG@A1@CD ) (OPD)  
READ: NOT READ

TO: MAPLE\_D ( MAPLE\_D@A1@CD ) (DON)  
READ: NOT READ

TO: FALK\_D ( FALK\_D@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ: NOT READ

TO: SMITH\_B2 ( SMITH\_B2@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ: NOT READ

TO: Michael D. McCurry ( Michael D. McCurry@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ: NOT READ

TO: Lori L. Anderson ( Lori L. Anderson@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ: NOT READ

TO: Eli G. Attie ( Eli G. Attie@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ: NOT READ

TO: Donald A. Baer ( Donald A. Baer@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

READ:NOT READ

TO: David S. Beaubaire  
READ:NOT READ

( David S. Beaubaire@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Marsha E. Berry  
READ:NOT READ

( Marsha E. Berry@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Sandra L. Bublick Max  
READ:NOT READ

( Sandra L. Bublick Max@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Holly D. Carver  
READ:NOT READ

( Holly D. Carver@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Michelle Crisci  
READ:NOT READ

( Michelle Crisci@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Lanny J. Davis  
READ:NOT READ

( Lanny J. Davis@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Rahm I. Emanuel  
READ:NOT READ

( Rahm I. Emanuel@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Paul K. Engskov  
READ:NOT READ

( Paul K. Engskov@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Karen E. Finney  
READ:NOT READ

( Karen E. Finney@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Jeremy M. Gaines  
READ:NOT READ

( Jeremy M. Gaines@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Mary E. Glynn  
READ:NOT READ

( Mary E. Glynn@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Adam W. Goldberg  
READ:NOT READ

( Adam W. Goldberg@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Julia R. Green  
READ:NOT READ

( Julia R. Green@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: John A. Gribben  
READ:NOT READ

( John A. Gribben@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Lawrence J. Haas  
READ:NOT READ

( Lawrence J. Haas@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Nancy V. Hernreich  
READ:NOT READ

( Nancy V. Hernreich@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Kathryn O. Higgins  
READ:NOT READ

( Kathryn O. Higgins@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: John L. Hilley  
READ:NOT READ

( John L. Hilley@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Karen L. Hancox  
READ:NOT READ

( Karen L. Hancox@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Mary A. Dixon

( Mary A. Dixon@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

READ:NOT READ

TO: Elena Kagan ( Elena Kagan@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Angus S. King ( Angus S. King@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Karin Kullman ( Karin Kullman@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: G N. Lattimore ( G N. Lattimore@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Patricia F. Lewis ( Patricia F. Lewis@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Peggy A. Lewis ( Peggy A. Lewis@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Gordon Li ( Gordon Li@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Julie E. Mason ( Julie E. Mason@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Sylvia M. Mathews ( Sylvia M. Mathews@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: April K. Mellody ( April K. Mellody@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Anne E. McGuire ( Anne E. McGuire@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Cheryl D. Mills ( Cheryl D. Mills@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Kevin S. Moran ( Kevin S. Moran@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Elizabeth A. Myers ( Elizabeth A. Myers@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: John Podesta ( John Podesta@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Karen A. Popp ( Karen A. Popp@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Victoria Radd ( Victoria Radd@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( Bruce N. Reed@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Rica F. Rodman ( Rica F. Rodman@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Steven J. Ronnel ( Steven J. Ronnel@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

READ:NOT READ

TO: Victoria A. Schaefer ( Victoria A. Schaefer@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Stuart M. Schear ( Stuart M. Schear@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Laura D. Schwartz ( Laura D. Schwartz@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Wendy Smith ( Wendy Smith@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Douglas B. Sosnik ( Douglas B. Sosnik@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Darby E. Stott ( Darby E. Stott@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: John O. Sutton ( John O. Sutton@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Terri J. Tingen ( Terri J. Tingen@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Barry J. Toiv ( Barry J. Toiv@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: June G. Turner ( June G. Turner@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Peter G. Umhofer ( Peter G. Umhofer@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Molly Varney ( Molly Varney@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Dag Vega ( Dag Vega@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Sally J. Aman ( Sally J. Aman@OVP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Joseph W. Cerrell ( Joseph W. Cerrell@OVP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Ron Klain ( Ron Klain@OVP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Heidi Kukis ( Heidi Kukis@OVP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Julia M. Payne ( Julia M. Payne@OVP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Virginia M. Terzano ( Virginia M. Terzano@OVP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Kathleen M. McKiernan ( Kathleen M. McKiernan@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

READ:NOT READ

TO: Sara M. Latham ( Sara M. Latham@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Jessica R. Arons ( Jessica R. Arons@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Brenda M. Anders ( Brenda M. Anders@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Beverly J. Barnes ( Beverly J. Barnes@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Ann F. Lewis ( Ann F. Lewis@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Todd Stern ( Todd Stern@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Kathleen M. Wallman ( Kathleen M. Wallman@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Cynthia M. Jasso-Rotunno ( Cynthia M. Jasso-Rotunno@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMR )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Odetta S. Walker ( Odetta S. Walker@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Christopher J. Lavery ( Christopher J. Lavery@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Craig T. Smith ( Craig T. Smith@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Laura S. Marcus ( Laura S. Marcus@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Joseph P. Lockhart ( Joseph P. Lockhart@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Megan C. Moloney ( Megan C. Moloney@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Jonathan Murchinson ( Jonathan Murchinson@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Roger V. Salazar ( Roger V. Salazar@OVP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Jessica B. Vogelsson ( Jessica B. Vogelsson@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Evan Ryan ( Evan Ryan@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Charles F. Ruff ( Charles F. Ruff@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Ora Theard ( Ora Theard@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )



APRIL 23, 1997

Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion

MEMORANDUM TO MIKE MCCURRY AND DON BAER  
FROM STUART SCHEAR  
SUBJECT WEEKEND TELEVISION  
COMMENT GEN. POWELL ON AT LEAST THREE SUNDAY SHOWS

-----

FRIDAY APRIL 25

WASHINGTON WEEK IN REVIEW

Topic TBD  
Guest TBD

SATURDAY APRIL 26

EVANS & NOVAK (CNN)

Topic Congressional Agenda  
Guest Request for Gephardt

INSIDE POLITICS WEEKEND (CNN)

Topic Request for WH Official  
Guest WH Agenda & Legal Issues

SUNDAY APRIL 27

FOX NEWS SUNDAY (FOX)

Topic Service Summit  
Guest Gen. Colin Powell

Topic One Year Anniversary of FOX NEWS SUNDAY  
Guest Request for last year's guests on first show:  
Rep. Kasich, Sen. Moynihan, Rep. Gephardt

Roundtable Brit Hume, Tony Snow, Juan Williams, Jane Mayer

FACE THE NATION (CBS)

Topic Service Summit & Other Issues

Guest Request for POTUS  
Comment Gen. Powell is back-up guest

MEET (NBC)

Topic Service Summit  
Guest Gen. Colin Powell

Topic Service Summit  
Guest President Carter

Comment Russert & Tom Brokaw conduct interviews from  
Arch Street Friends Meeting House in Philadelphia.

THIS WEEK (ABC)

Topic TBD (Summit, Tobacco, Tiger Woods are possible.)  
Guest TBD

Roundtable TBD

LATE EDITION (CNN)

Topic Service Summit  
Guest Gen. Colin Powell

Topic FDR Memorial  
Guest Larry Halprin, Memorial Designer

Topic FDR's Legacy  
Guest Requests for Mario Cuomo & Sen. Moynihan

Roundtable Tony Blankley, Margaret Carlson & Steve Roberts

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Christa Robinson ( CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-APR-1997 15:31:13.00

SUBJECT: Chief of Staff Scheduling Meeting

TO: Cathy R. Mays ( CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

The following event requests are on the agenda for today's 4pm mtg:

1. Tobacco announcement -- it is suggested that it would be the news at the top of the President's press conference on 4/2. (Elizabeth Drye is preparing DOJ and HHS that the press conference is at 2:30pm and they will need move quickly on that day.)
2. Education Town Hall
3. State Legislature Visit Possibilities  
-- Per Mike, Missouri (in session until 5/30), Montana and Kentucky (they would have to be called back for a special session).

Other pending DPC Proposals:

- Food Safety Report (Radio Address 5/3?)
- Child Support Enforcement Announcements (Radio Address 5/10?)
- Welfare to Work Transportation Grants (week of 5/12)

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Lyn A. Hogan ( CN=Lyn A. Hogan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-APR-1997 15:33:49.00

SUBJECT: Later Term Abortion

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I have all of the original documents on the full debate in about four file folders. The info I have dates back to before I started. Do you want the files or should I archive them?

Also, to whom should I pass on my other women's issues files? I've got a lot on domestic violence, title IX, all of the choice issues, and so on.

My last day will be next Monday or Tuesday, so I'm trying to clean house now.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-APR-1997 16:22:12.00

SUBJECT: Reminder: Recommendations for Sylvia

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Could you come up with some right-wingers? Any of the ones who got 3's would be fine. Or if you can think of some 4's or 5's. Let me know and I'll respond.

----- Forwarded by Bruce N. Reed/OPD/EOP on 04/23/97  
04:24 PM -----

Andrew J. Mayock

04/23/97 01:29:14 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Bruce N. Reed/OPD/EOP

cc: Cathy R. Mays/OPD/EOP, Sylvia M. Mathews/WHO/EOP

Subject: Reminder: Recommendations for Sylvia

Last week, I dropped a note off with Cathy that listed suggestions for "super-types" that need to be addressed in the President's race initiative. Sylvia would still like you to add recommended conservatives to this list. Please reply via this message or give her a call.

Many thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-APR-1997 16:26:22.00

SUBJECT: Immigration/Mexico

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stephen C. Warnath ( CN=Stephen C. Warnath/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Sylvia mentioned this morning that you had met on some immigration issue the Pres may discuss in Mexico. I was under the impression it was about the income threshold for entry, and involved no new policy. Is that right?

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Clifford J. Gabriel ( CN=Clifford J. Gabriel/OU=OSTP/O=EOP [ OSTP ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-APR-1997 18:05:25.00

SUBJECT: Morgan State

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Rachel E. Levinson ( CN=Rachel E. Levinson/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Elizabeth Drye ( CN=Elizabeth Drye/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Elena: Rachel forwarded to me Elizabeth's note to you on the status of NBAC's deliberations on cloning. The note was accurate, but I question the appropriateness of this topic for that audience. It seems like this would be an ideal opportunity for the president to talk about education/access issues rather than biomedical research ethics--especially if the speech is before the Tuskegee apology. According to their homepage, Morgan State had 24 BS graduates (last year?) in biology. As far as I know, Morgan State doesn't have a significant (any?) biomedical research program. Cliff

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-APR-1997 18:44:37.00

SUBJECT: tobacco call

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth Drye ( CN=Elizabeth Drye/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Cathy R. Mays ( CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Should we schedule a conference call for tomorrow afternoon to review our game plan for Friday? With:

Us  
Rahm  
Melissa  
Jim O'Hara, FDA  
George Phillips, DOJ  
Bruce Lindsey if he wants  
VP's office  
Barry Toiv or other WH press

Does that make sense? Anyone else to include?

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-APR-1997 18:45:48.00

SUBJECT: Re: Q & A's

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

----- Forwarded by Laura Emmett/WHO/EOP on 04/23/97 06:03  
PM -----

Stephen C. Warnath  
04/23/97 05:48:11 PM  
Record Type: Record

To: Laura Emmett/WHO/EOP  
cc:  
Subject: Re: Q & A's

Laura, I guess I don't expect that the President is likely to get immigration questions on Friday. If he does, the most likely question is welfare and immigrants, perhaps on Florida's suit challenging the welfare bill. Mike has a Q&A that was put together for his briefing today (and I think he was asked about it). But by Friday the press will probably have moved on to other things.

Other immigration matters going on include INS' release yesterday of higher legal immigration numbers (27% increase). This may begin the discussion again about the appropriate level of legal immigration, but I don't think that the White House press is likely to ask about it Friday. And there was a hearing today on border control. Representative Silvestre Reyes apparently called for splitting INS in two with one component to deal with enforcement and the other with services such as naturalization. Again, probably not a topic for Friday.

So the bottom line is that the White House Press probably won't ask about immigration, at least outside of the context of welfare.

Thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Larry R. Matlack ( CN=Larry R. Matlack/OU=OMB/O=EOP [ OMB ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-APR-1997 18:46:03.00 .

SUBJECT: Welfare and Privatization

TO: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Kenneth S. Apfel ( CN=Kenneth S. Apfel/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Barry White ( CN=Barry White/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

As you can imagine, Ken is completely backed up with budget negotiations, flood supplementals, and the like. Barry is out of the office today. So, Ken has asked me if I would touch base with you about the next steps on privatization.

We understand there may be another decision memo in the works on privatization. You can appreciate that we continue to have an interest in this problem and how it may be resolved. Thus, if this is correct, would you please include us -- Ken and Barry -- on the routing for the memo's internal review?

Thanks.

# Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

## Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Cynthia A. Rice to Elena Kagan et al. Subject: Lyn's last day. (1 page)	04/23/1997	P6/b(6)

### COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records  
Automated Records Management System [Email]  
OPD ([Kagan])  
OA/Box Number: 250000

### FOLDER TITLE:

[04/23/1997]

2009-1006-F  
wr42

### RESTRICTION CODES

#### Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

#### Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]



**Questions and Answers on AmeriCorps:**

**Q: Isn't it a disappointment to you that AmeriCorps, your signature program on service, is under constant fire from Congress, and has barely survived the past few years?**

A: I am very proud of AmeriCorps and what it is accomplishing in over 1,100 communities across this country. Today, 25,000 AmeriCorps members are serving in over 1,100 communities cross America; 45,000 AmeriCorps alumni have already given a year of service to their country. The AmeriCorps program provides opportunity for those who are willing to shoulder responsibility for their community. In exchange for a year of full-time service, AmeriCorps members earn educational opportunity -- a \$4,725 scholarship that helps pay for college or training, or pay back student loans.

National service is also a way of getting things done. For example, AmeriCorps has a key role to play in my America Reads initiative. Today, AmeriCorps members tutor children, serve as mentors for teens, renovate low-income housing, immunize children against preventable diseases, restore national parks and coastlines, and participate in hundreds of other service projects in the areas of education, public safety, and the environment.

I am also proud of the Corporation for National Service's other service programs:

- Learn and Serve America promotes service as a way to learn through schools, universities, and community organizations. Young people of all ages learn from service activities such as teaching younger children to read, designing a neighborhood playground, or starting a school recycling program. Students who participate often earn higher grades and have a better understanding of their communities' needs.
- The National Senior Service Corps includes the Foster Grandparent Program, which matches seniors with children and youth with exceptional needs; the Retired and Senior Volunteer Program (RSVP), where seniors tutor and mentor at-risk youth, teach English to immigrants, and organize neighborhood watch groups; and the Senior Companion Program, whose members help other seniors live independently.

It is unfortunate that there have been partisan attacks on the program. However, more and more people are becoming aware of all that AmeriCorps members bring to a community, and I believe that the partisanship will give way to a recognition that this program works.

**Q: Isn't the cost per AmeriCorps member very high?**

A: The average cost per AmeriCorps member is about \$17,000 -- but opponents of the program have often exaggerated that cost. These funds go for a modest living allowance, health coverage, some overhead, and most importantly, \$4,725 for an education voucher upon completion of at least 1,700 hours of direct service. In addition, the Corporation for National Service has a plan to reduce that amount to \$15,000 in the next two years.

In addition, AmeriCorps is a cost effective program. One study showed that every federal dollar invested in AmeriCorps returns \$2.60 in direct, measurable benefits. Finally, community groups that sponsor AmeriCorps members must raise at least one-third of the program costs -- and they do, from the largest corporations to local mom and pop hardware stores. Last year, AmeriCorps raised over \$41 million from the private sector -- from companies that know a good return on investment when they see it. They raised another \$50 million in funding and in-kind contributions from state and local agencies like schools, police departments, and health facilities.

AmeriCorps is a wise investment that pays off for taxpayers in three ways:

- Direct service benefits communities in tangible ways such as improved math scores, restored natural resources, safer streets, and immunized infants
- Education awards help pay for college or pay back loans ... not with a grant, an entitlement, or a gift from the government, but because the AmeriCorps Member earned it by producing substantial results for his or her community
- Skills learned and the civic ethic developed through service generates a lifetime of benefits from productive, better educated citizens with a life-long dedication to service. Recognizing this, the Civic Forum (which includes Vin Weber and communitarian academic Amitai Etzioni) gave the 20,000 Members of AmeriCorps its first-ever Exemplary Civic Achievement Award.

**Q: Doesn't AmeriCorps hurt the charitable sector and the volunteer ethic by displacing local charities? Don't AmeriCorps members' living allowances and education awards discourage uncompensated volunteering?**

A: AmeriCorps is a welcomed resource for charities and a nucleus for uncompensated volunteers. Voluntary organizations proudly participate in AmeriCorps, including Habitat for Humanity, scores of United Ways and YMCAs, the National Council of Churches, the National Multiple Sclerosis Society, and the American Red Cross.

That's because AmeriCorps members extend the reach of volunteers. They provide intensive, long-term relief following floods, earthquakes and other natural disasters; keep services running during the low-volunteer hours of a working day; and organize community-wide efforts in which more volunteers can participate, such as river

clean-ups, public park restorations, and housing renovations and rehabilitations.

AmeriCorps members bring more volunteers to the community. Last year, Volunteer Maryland's 55 AmeriCorps members recruited and engaged 7,500 volunteers who provided services valued at over \$4 million. For every AmeriCorps Member in Magic Me, there are at least 100 volunteers engaged in weekly service. AmeriCorps-led projects such as community-wide service days or volunteer management programs make it easy and attractive for citizens who want to participate in an occasional, but meaningful, volunteer experience.

AmeriCorps members provide full-time service like Peace Corps Volunteers or members of the all-volunteer armed forces. Like them -- and like past national service participants such as the veterans of the Depression-era Civilian Conservation Corps -- AmeriCorps members earn a living allowance, and just like the others, they accomplish far more than sporadic volunteer efforts.

Finally, AmeriCorps moves decision-making out of Washington. Two-thirds of AmeriCorps funds go directly to the states to support locally designed and operated programs. AmeriCorps programs are managed by bi-partisan state commissions whose members are appointed by each Governor.

**Q: How could your AmeriCorps program allow one of its regional directors, Michael Woo, to use an AmeriCorps fax machine and letterhead to try to arrange a meeting between Indonesian-American business owners and Commerce Department officials, in coordination with John Huang, according to documents provided by the Democratic National Committee?**

A: Corporation for National Service CEO Harris Wofford has stated: "The simple facts are: an unauthorized letter on Corporation stationery dated August 1, 1996, came to our attention on April 15, 1997. On April 17th, 1997, Senator Grassley and Representative Hoekstra sent a letter to me requesting information about this unauthorized letter. I responded immediately .... The Inspector General and Department of Justice have been asked to investigate, and I therefore cannot comment further.

"From day one, my policy has been that no Corporation employee may participate in political activity while on the job or otherwise holding himself or herself out as a Corporation employee. And I have gone all out to make sure that AmeriCorps members, the local project directors who select members, and administer Corporation-assisted service programs, and the state executive directors of the governors' state service commissions understand their duty to enforce strict guidelines against partisan political activity. I have enforced this policy vigorously.

"I have asked the Inspector General to ascertain whether my strict policies about political activity are being adhered to and to work with me on steps to make sure that no violations occur.

"The issues raised ... are deeply troubling to me. I am proud of the staff of the Corporation and the 25,000 AmeriCorps members serving their communities, who adhere to our strict policy about partisan activity."

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (EXTERNAL MAIL)

CREATOR: Alice E. Shuffield@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-APR-1997 20:57:00.00

SUBJECT: OMB Legislative Report -- April 23, 1997

TO: RAINES\_F ( RAINES\_F@A1@CD ) (OMB)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: ADAMS\_G ( ADAMS\_G@A1@CD ) (OMB)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: HAAS\_L ( HAAS\_L@A1@CD ) (OMB)  
READ:24-APR-1997 12:56:01.18

TO: SMITH\_CM ( SMITH\_CM@A1@CD ) (OMB)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: SCHWARTZ\_K ( SCHWARTZ\_K@A1@CD ) (OMB)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: FOLEY\_M ( FOLEY\_M@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ:24-APR-1997 15:40:38.56

TO: PETERSON\_RK ( PETERSON\_RK@A1@CD ) (OMB)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: PANERALI\_K ( PANERALI\_K@A1@CD ) (OPD)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: HOLSTEIN\_E ( HOLSTEIN\_E@A1@CD )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: GIBBONS\_M ( GIBBONS\_M@A1@CD ) (OMB)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: JONES\_RE ( JONES\_RE@A1@CD ) (OMB)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: BROWN\_JA ( BROWN\_JA@A1@CD ) (OMB)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: COOK\_MY ( COOK\_MY@A1@CD ) (OMB)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: WEINSTEIN\_D ( WEINSTEIN\_D@A1@CD )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: WEINSTEIN\_P ( WEINSTEIN\_P@A1@CD ) (OPD)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: PALMIERI\_J ( PALMIERI\_J@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ:24-APR-1997 08:24:55.37

TO: WHITE\_B ( WHITE\_B@A1@CD ) (OMB)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: MORAN\_K ( MORAN\_K@A1@CD ) (WHO)

READ:NOT READ

TO: WALKER\_C ( WALKER\_C@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: WALKER\_A ( WALKER\_A@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: GREEN\_M ( GREEN\_M@A1@CD ) (OMB)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: SILVERMAN\_S ( SILVERMAN\_S@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: REED\_B ( REED\_B@A1@CD ) (OPD)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: ANGELL\_J ( ANGELL\_J@A1@CD )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: DONNELLY\_RE ( DONNELLY\_RE@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: SCHAEFER\_V ( SCHAEFER\_V@A1@CD ) (OMB)  
READ:24-APR-1997 08:53:26.10

TO: MCKIERNAN\_K ( MCKIERNAN\_K@A1@CD )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: SCHWARTZ\_N ( SCHWARTZ\_N@A1@CD ) (OMB)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: SPERLING\_G ( SPERLING\_G@A1@CD ) (OPD)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: LEVIN\_P ( LEVIN\_P@A1@CD )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: ABERNATHY\_P ( ABERNATHY\_P@A1@CD ) (OPD)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: OLIVER\_A ( OLIVER\_A@A1@CD ) (OMB)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: WARREN\_M ( WARREN\_M@A1@CD )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: ABRAMSON\_K ( ABRAMSON\_K@A1@CD )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: DENTON\_M ( DENTON\_M@A1@CD ) (CEQ)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: WARREN\_W ( WARREN\_W@A1@CD ) (CEQ)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: GOLDBERG\_JS ( GOLDBERG\_JS@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: WILLIAMS\_MA ( WILLIAMS\_MA@A1@CD ) (WHO)

READ:NOT READ

TO: HOGAN\_L ( HOGAN\_L@A1@CD ) (OPD)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: SMITH\_BD ( SMITH\_BD@A1@CD ) (OMB)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: SMITH\_P ( SMITH\_P@A1@CD ) (OMB)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Jacob J. Lew ( Jacob J. Lew@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: John A. Koskinen ( John A. Koskinen@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Charles E. Kieffer ( Charles E. Kieffer@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Rebecca R. Culberson ( Rebecca R. Culberson@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Robert G. Damus ( Robert G. Damus@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Barry B. Anderson ( Barry B. Anderson@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: T J. Glauthier ( T J. Glauthier@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Kenneth S. Apfel ( Kenneth S. Apfel@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Nancy A. Min ( Nancy A. Min@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Sally Katzen ( Sally Katzen@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Joseph J. Minarik ( Joseph J. Minarik@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: William A. Halter ( William A. Halter@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Jill M. Blickstein ( Jill M. Blickstein@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Sarah A. Bianchi ( Sarah A. Bianchi@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Janet L. Graves ( Janet L. Graves@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Alan B. Rhinesmith ( Alan B. Rhinesmith@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Kathleen Peroff ( Kathleen Peroff@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

READ:NOT READ

TO: Ronald M. Cogswell ( Ronald M. Cogswell@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Barry T. Clendenin ( Barry T. Clendenin@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Robert B. Rideout ( Robert B. Rideout@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Philip A. DuSault ( Philip A. DuSault@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Richard P. Emery Jr. ( Richard P. Emery Jr.@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Robert E. Barker ( Robert E. Barker@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Deborah L. Shaw ( Deborah L. Shaw@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Susanne D. Lind ( Susanne D. Lind@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Ellen J. Balis ( Ellen J. Balis@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Alicia K. Kolaian ( Alicia K. Kolaian@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Bruce D. Long ( Bruce D. Long@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Lisa M. Kountoupes ( Lisa M. Kountoupes@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Charles Konigsberg ( Charles Konigsberg@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Bruce W. McConnell ( Bruce W. McConnell@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Bernard H. Martin ( Bernard H. Martin@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Michael A. Fitzpatrick ( Michael A. Fitzpatrick@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Scott Quehl ( Scott Quehl@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Daniel M. Tangherlini ( Daniel M. Tangherlini@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Elisa Millsap ( Elisa Millsap@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Ann M. Cattalini ( Ann M. Cattalini@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

READ:NOT READ

TO: James C. Murr ( James C. Murr@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: James J. Jukes ( James J. Jukes@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Janet R. Forsgren ( Janet R. Forsgren@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Steven J. Kelman ( Steven J. Kelman@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Ingrid M. Schroeder ( Ingrid M. Schroeder@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Jeffrey A. Weinberg ( Jeffrey A. Weinberg@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Constance J. Bowers ( Constance J. Bowers@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Anna M. Briatico ( Anna M. Briatico@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Robert J. Pellicci ( Robert J. Pellicci@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Alison C. Perkins ( Alison C. Perkins@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: E. Holly Fitter ( E. Holly Fitter@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Annette E. Rooney ( Annette E. Rooney@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Stacey L. Rubin ( Stacey L. Rubin@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Philip R. Dame ( Philip R. Dame@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Phebe N. Vickers ( Phebe N. Vickers@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Barbara Chow ( Barbara Chow@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Todd Stern ( Todd Stern@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Nancy L. Brandel ( Nancy L. Brandel@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Elizabeth M. Toohy ( Elizabeth M. Toohy@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Douglas B. Sosnik ( Douglas B. Sosnik@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

READ:NOT READ

TO: Karen L. Hancox  
READ:NOT READ

( Karen L. Hancox@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Shelley N. Fidler  
READ:NOT READ

( Shelley N. Fidler@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Janet Himler  
READ:NOT READ

( Janet Himler@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Daniel C. Tate  
READ:NOT READ

( Daniel C. Tate@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Phillip Caplan  
READ:NOT READ

( Phillip Caplan@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Anita Chellaraj  
READ:NOT READ

( Anita Chellaraj@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Nicholas B. Kirkhorn  
READ:NOT READ

( Nicholas B. Kirkhorn@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Lucia A. Wyman  
READ:NOT READ

( Lucia A. Wyman@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Alphonse J. Maldon  
READ:NOT READ

( Alphonse J. Maldon@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Robert J. Nassif  
READ:NOT READ

( Robert J. Nassif@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Melinda D. Haskins  
READ:NOT READ

( Melinda D. Haskins@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Robert S. Fairweather  
READ:NOT READ

( Robert S. Fairweather@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Janet E. Irwin  
READ:NOT READ

( Janet E. Irwin@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: James B. Kazel  
READ:NOT READ

( James B. Kazel@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: John A. Gribben  
READ:NOT READ

( John A. Gribben@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: April K. Mellody  
READ:NOT READ

( April K. Mellody@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Mary E. Glynn  
READ:NOT READ

( Mary E. Glynn@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Mark A. Weatherly  
READ:NOT READ

( Mark A. Weatherly@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Ananias Blocker III  
READ:NOT READ

( Ananias Blocker III@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Richard A. Mertens

( Richard A. Mertens@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

READ:NOT READ

TO: Ben A. Freeland  
READ:NOT READ

( Ben A. Freeland@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Peter G. Jacoby  
READ:NOT READ

( Peter G. Jacoby@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Kate P. Donovan  
READ:NOT READ

( Kate P. Donovan@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Angus S. King  
READ:NOT READ

( Angus S. King@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Linda Lance  
READ:NOT READ

( Linda Lance@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Thomas C. Jensen  
READ:NOT READ

( Thomas C. Jensen@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Justine F. Rodriguez  
READ:NOT READ

( Justine F. Rodriguez@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Julie E. Mason  
READ:NOT READ

( Julie E. Mason@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Mary Jo Siclari  
READ:NOT READ

( Mary Jo Siclari@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Barry J. Toiv  
READ:NOT READ

( Barry J. Toiv@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Toni S. Hustead  
READ:NOT READ

( Toni S. Hustead@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Christopher R. Ulrich  
READ:NOT READ

( Christopher R. Ulrich@OVP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Roger L. McClung  
READ:NOT READ

( Roger L. McClung@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Russell W. Horwitz  
READ:NOT READ

( Russell W. Horwitz@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Elena Kagan  
READ:NOT READ

( Elena Kagan@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Eugene M. Ebner  
READ:NOT READ

( Eugene M. Ebner@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Steven D. Aitken  
READ:NOT READ

( Steven D. Aitken@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Robert S. Dotson  
READ:NOT READ

( Robert S. Dotson@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: David H. Morrison  
READ:NOT READ

( David H. Morrison@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Rodney G. Bent

( Rodney G. Bent@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

READ:NOT READ

TO: Bruce K. Sasser ( Bruce K. Sasser@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Jack D. Fellows ( Jack D. Fellows@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Gary L. Bennethum ( Gary L. Bennethum@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Keith J. Fontenot ( Keith J. Fontenot@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Larry R. Matlack ( Larry R. Matlack@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Robert M. Shireman ( Robert M. Shireman@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Richard J. Turman ( Richard J. Turman@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Louisa Koch ( Louisa Koch@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: David E. Tornquist ( David E. Tornquist@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: David J. Haun ( David J. Haun@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Francis S. Redburn ( Francis S. Redburn@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Harry G. Meyers ( Harry G. Meyers@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Edward A. Brigham ( Edward A. Brigham@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Edward M. Rea ( Edward M. Rea@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Andrew M. Schoenbach ( Andrew M. Schoenbach@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Alice E. Shuffield ( Alice E. Shuffield@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Jill M. Pizzuto ( Jill M. Pizzuto@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Patricia E. Romani ( Patricia E. Romani@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Melissa Green ( Melissa Green@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Michael W. Williams ( Michael W. Williams@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

READ:NOT READ

TO: Anne H. Lewis ( Anne H. Lewis@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Peter R. Orszag ( Peter R. Orszag@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Jake Siewert ( Jake Siewert@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Charles R. Marr ( Charles R. Marr@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Kathryn B. Stack ( Kathryn B. Stack@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Rhodia D. Ewell ( Rhodia D. Ewell@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Ricardo M. Gonzales ( Ricardo M. Gonzales@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Alecia Ward ( Alecia Ward@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Laura S. Marcus ( Laura S. Marcus@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Mathew C. Blum ( Mathew C. Blum@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Steven L. Schooner ( Steven L. Schooner@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TEXT:

Message Creation Date was at 23-APR-1997 20:46:00

TO: DIRECTOR FRANK RAINES  
DEPUTY DIRECTOR JACK LEW  
DEPUTY DIRECTOR JOHN KOSKINEN

FROM: OMB LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS

DATE: APRIL 23, 1997

SUBJECT: LEGISLATIVE REPORT

---

On Thursday, the House Appropriations Committee will hold a markup of the Bosnia/Disaster Assistance Supplemental.

Hearings:

Director Raines testified today before the Senate Governmental Affairs Committee on S. 261, Senator Domenici's biennial budgeting bill.

Administrator Sally Katzen testified today before the House Government Reform and Oversight's Subcommittee on Government Management, Information and Technology regarding Race and Ethnicity, and then before the Subcommittee on National Economic Growth, Natural Resources and Regulatory Affairs regarding

the EPA's rules concerning particulate matter and ozone.

On Thursday morning at 9:30 am, Deputy Director for Management John Koskinen will testify before the House Government Reform and Oversight Subcommittee on Civil Service. He will testify in support of the Administration's Welfare to Work initiative for the Federal Government.

CONGRESS TODAY (4/23):

#### SENATE

The Senate today began consideration of the Chemical Weapons Convention (CWC) Treaty. By voice vote, the Senate adopted the 28 agreed upon conditions (after failing to adopt the 33 conditions).

Debate will continue tomorrow, with a vote on the CWC expected late Thursday.

#### HOUSE

The House passed H.R. 400, the 21st Century Patent Improvement Act, by voice vote.

[House Rules SAP sent, 4/15 : Administration supports House passage of the floor manager's amendment, but strongly opposes the Rohrabacher substitute amendment]

While under consideration, the House considered the following amendments:

- o Rejected (185-224) a Campbell amendment to clarify that when utilizing prior use of a subsequently patented invention, the prior user right is limited in scope and volume;
  - o Rejected (167-242) Campbell amendment to limit publication of information on all patent applications until after there has been two substantive PTO actions relating to whether the PTO intends to grant a patent.
  - o Adopted (220-193) Kaptur amendment (220-193), to exempt Small Businesses, individual inventors, and institutions of Higher Education from the publishing requirements;
  - o Rejected (133-280) Hunter amendment to take the PTO off budget; (133-280).
- Last Thursday, the House rejected (178-227) the Rohrbacher substitute amendment, which would have changed the term of a patent to the longer of either 20 years from the filing of the application or 17 years from the grant of the patent.

The House also passed the following bills by voice vote, under suspension of the rules:

H.Con.Res. 8 - Expressing the Sense of Congress with Respect to the Significance of Maintaining the Health and Stability of Coral Reef Ecosystems  
[No SAP]

H.R. 39 - African Elephant Conservation Reauthorization Act of 1997

[SAP sent, 4/23: Administration strongly supports]

H.R. 449 - Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act of 1997

[SAP sent, 4/23: No objection to House passage, but will seek amendments in the Senate]

H.R. 688 - Leaking Underground Storage Tanks

[No SAP]

H.R. 1272 - Fire Administration Authorization Act of 1997

[SAP sent, 4/23: No objection to House passage, but will seek amendments in the Senate]

#### HOUSE RULES COMMITTEE

The Rules Committee considered and reported an open rule for the following bills:

H.R. 1271 - FAA Research, Engineering, and Development Authorization Act of 1997

[SAP sent, 4/23: No objection to House passage, but will seek amendments]

H.R. 1273 - National Science Foundation Authorization Act of 1997

[SAP sent, 4/23: Support House passage, but will seek improvements]  
H.R. 1274 - National Institute of Standards and Technology Authorization Act of 1997

[SAP sent, 4/23: No objection to House passage, but will seek amendments]  
H.R. 1275 - Civilian Space Authorization Act

[SAP sent, 4/23: No objection to House passage, but will seek amendments]

The Rules Committee dropped the following bills from consideration:

H.R. 1276 - Environmental Research, Development, and Demonstration Authorization Act

[SAP sent, 4/23: Administration opposes]

H.R. 1277 - Department of Energy Civilian Research and Development Act of 1997

[SAP pending]

CONGRESS TOMORROW (4/24)

#### SENATE

The Senate will convene at 10:00 am, and will hold a □&secret session□8 on the CWC treaty in the Old Senate Chamber from 10:30 am to 12:30 pm. (This session does not count as part of the ten hour limit on debate.) Democrats will then offer five amendments to strike each of the five conditions that have not been agreed upon, with a 1 hour limit on each motion. A vote on final passage is expected late Thursday.

#### HOUSE

The House will meet at 10:00 am for the following legislative business.

H.R. 1271 - FAA Research, Engineering, and Development Authorization Act of 1997 (Open Rules)

[SAP sent, 4/23: No objection to House passage, but will seek amendments]

H.R. 1273 - National Science Foundation Authorization Act of 1997 (Open Rule)

[SAP sent, 4/23: Support House passage, but will seek improvements]

H.R. 1274 - National Institute of Standards and Technology Authorization Act of 1997 (Open Rule)

[SAP sent, 4/23: No objection to House passage, but will seek amendments]

H.R. 1275 - Civilian Space Authorization Act (Open Rule)

[SAP sent, 4/23: No objection to House passage, but will seek amendments]

The House dropped the following bills from Thursday□,s calendar, and referred the bills to the Commerce Committee.

H.R. 1276 - Environmental Research, Development, and Demonstration Authorization Act (Subject to a Rule)

[SAP sent, 4/23: Administration opposes]

H.R. 1277 - Department of Energy Civilian Research and Development Act of 1997 (Subject to a Rule)

[SAP pending]

CONGRESS -- LONG-TERM SCHEDULE

#### SENATE

Following the vote on the CWC treaty, the Senate may take up may take up S. 562, the Social Security Home Equity Bill

[SAP under discussion]

Other items that the Senate may turn to include the following:

Comp Time Bill (Leadership trying to reach an agreement for consideration)

[House SAP sent, 3/19: President will veto, if passed in its current form.]

Bosnia/Disaster Assistance Supplemental Team Act

[SAP pending: Labor Secretary veto threat]  
S. 568 - Technical Corrections regarding Law Suit Against Terrorist States  
(identical to the House passed bill, H.R. 1225)  
ISTEA  
Individuals with Disabilities Education Act  
Partial Birth Abortion legislation  
[House SAP sent, 3/21: President will veto]  
Budget Resolution  
Product Liability legislation  
Volunteer Liability Legislation (S. 515 and S. 543)  
H.R. 28, Multifamily Housing Legislation

## HOUSE

Friday, April 25  
No Votes

Monday, April 28  
Meet at 2:00 pm -- No legislative business.

Tuesday, April 29  
Convene at 12:30 pm for morning hour, and 2:00 pm for legislative business. No  
votes before 5:00 pm.

Consider the following (4) suspensions:

H.R. 1048 - Welfare Reform Technical Corrections Act of 1997  
H.R. 680 - Authorizing the Transfer to States of Surplus Personal Property for  
Donation to Nonprofit Providers of Necessaries to Impoverished Families and  
Individuals  
H.R. 1342 - Regarding Expiring Conservation Reserve Program Contracts  
H.R. 363 - A bill to amend section 2118 of the Energy Policy Act of 1992 to  
extend the Electric and Magnetic Fields Research and Public Information  
Dissemination Program.

Wednesday, April 30 and Thursday, May 1

On Wednesday, convene at 11:00 am for legislative business. On Thursday, the  
House will meet at 10:00 am for legislative business:

H.R. 867 - Adoption Promotion Act of 1997 (Subject to a Rule)  
H.Res. \_\_\_ - Committee Funding Resolution (Subject to a Rule)  
H.R. \_\_\_ - Supplemental Appropriations Act (Subject to a Rule)  
H.R. 2 - Housing Opportunity and Responsibility Act of 1997 (Subject to a Rule)

As in the Senate, the House will likely consider the Bosnia/Disaster Assistance  
Supplemental in early May.