

**NLWJC - KAGAN**

**EMAILS RECEIVED**

**ARMS - BOX 012 - FOLDER -002**

**[06/11/1997]**

# Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

## Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Cynthia Rice to Elena Kagan re: I will need your input in the next hour re: OMB's letter for tomorrow's [partial] (1 page)	06/11/1997	P6/b(6)
002. email	Cynthia Rice to Elena Kagan re: I will need your input in the next hour re: OMB letter for tomorrow's [partial] (1 page)	06/11/1997	P6/b(6)

---

**COLLECTION:**

Clinton Presidential Records  
 Automated Records Management System [Email]  
 OPD ([Kagan])  
 OA/Box Number: 250000

---

**FOLDER TITLE:**

[06/11/1997]

2009-1006-F  
bm16

---

**RESTRICTION CODES**

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

- C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.
- PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).
- RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Ellen S. Seidman ( CN=Ellen S. Seidman/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-JUN-1997 18:56:59.00

SUBJECT: meeeting and options

TO: Ingrid M. Schroeder ( CN=Ingrid M. Schroeder/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William P. Marshall ( CN=William P. Marshall/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Timothy J. Brennan ( CN=Timothy J. Brennan/OU=CEA/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEA ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter G. Jacoby ( CN=Peter G. Jacoby/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles W. Burson ( CN=Charles W. Burson/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeff Bromme ( Jeff Bromme @ 301-504-0121 @ fax [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John Dwyer ( John Dwyer @ 514-0238 @ fax [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Fran Allegra ( Fran Allegra @ 514-1724 @ fax [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tom McGivern ( Tom McGivern @ 622-1188 @ fax [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Donald Remy ( Donald Remy @ 514-8071 @ fax [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John E. Thompson ( CN=John E. Thompson/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ellen S. Seidman ( CN=Ellen S. Seidman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce R. Lindsey ( CN=Bruce R. Lindsey/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steven D. Aitken ( CN=Steven D. Aitken/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tracey E. Thornton ( CN=Tracey E. Thornton/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisa M. Brown ( CN=Lisa M. Brown/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John Spotila ( John Spotila @ 205-6096 @ fax [ UNKNOWN ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeffrey Hunker ( Jeffrey Hunker @ 482-4636 @ fax [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Pam Gilbert ( Pam Gilbert @ 301-504-0121 @ fax [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ron Matzner ( Ron Matzner @ 205-6846 @ fax [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ed Murphy ( Ed Murphy @ 622-1294 @ fax [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andrew Pincus ( Andrew Pincus @ 482-0042 @ fax [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Gene B. Sperling ( CN=Gene B. Sperling/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Russell W. Horwitz ( CN=Russell W. Horwitz/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Ellen S. Seidman ( CN=Ellen S. Seidman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

The next meeting will be from 2:30 to 3:30 on Friday, in room 231. Everyone will be cleared in. Topics are: (i) negligent entrustment; (ii) small business exceptions; (iii) misuse and alteration; (iv) workers comp. We'll move quickly.

What follows are the options currently extant. Please be careful.

Joint and several

Proposal 1 - Reallocation

Joint and several if the plaintiff is fault-free

If the plaintiff is at all at fault, liability is

several, but if the plaintiff cannot collect from one or more defendant after a specified period of time the plaintiff can petition the court for reallocation of damages not attributable to the plaintiff among the remaining defendants, but no defendant less at fault than the plaintiff may be charged with more than twice his proportionate share of damages

This would be two-way preemptive

Proposal 2A - Guaranteed recovery, two-way preemption

Joint and several liability of any defendant is than 30%

at fault (taking into account the fault of the plaintiff and settling defendants)

If any defendant is less than 30% at fault, that

defendant's responsibility would be limited to a maximum of twice the defendant's proportionate share of non-economic damages except where a greater multiplier was needed to ensure the plaintiff recovery of at least 50% of the assessed non-economic damages.

Proposal 2B - Guaranteed recovery, one-way preemption

Joint and several liability of any defendant is than 10%

at fault (taking into account the fault of the plaintiff and settling defendants)

If any defendant is less than 10% at fault, that

defendant's responsibility would be limited to a maximum of twice the defendant's proportionate share of non-economic damages except where a

greater multiplier was needed to ensure the plaintiff recovery of at least 60% of the assessed non-economic damages.

#### Punitive damages

##### Proposal 1 - Procedural changes only

Support the provisions in S.648 providing for uniform federal standards of clear and convincing evidence and the right to request bifurcation.

Support a uniform federal liability standard for punitive damages that would not include recklessness, but (i) would not require that the conduct that is the subject of the punitive damages is the proximate cause of the plaintiff's harm and (ii) would explicitly permit circumstantial evidence of intent or malice.

This would be two-way preemption, except that it would not require states that currently do not allow punitive damages in products cases to allow such awards

##### Proposal 2 - Personal cap plus allocation of remaining punitive damages to state

Authorize the jury to impose punitive damages without any cap

Vest the plaintiff in a 25% share of the total punitive damages, which amount will be assumed to include attorney's fees (i.e., no additional attorney's fees will be payable out of the punitive award)

The remainder of the award would be payable to the state whose substantive law applies to the determination of punitive damages.

States would be forbidden to intervene in the proceedings at any stage.

Combine this with the procedural reforms outlined in

##### Proposal 1

This would be two-way preemptive except (i) it would not require states that do not allow punitive damages in products cases to allow such awards and (ii) states would explicitly be allowed to opt out of the allocation to the state, in which case prior state law with respect to caps and allocation would apply

##### Proposal 3 - Advisory jury opinion with judicial determination

The jury would render a solely advisory opinion on punitive damages

The actual determination of punitive damages would be made by the judge

The judge would be required to consider the factors in S.648, and would be required to explain why the judge's award differs (either higher or lower) from the jury's advice

Combine with procedural changes from proposal 1

##### Proposal 4 - Cap with easier breakthrough

Cap punitive damages at the greater of \$250,000 or twice compensatories (the lesser of the two for small businesses)

Do not tell the jury of the cap

Allow the judge to award punitive damages above the cap without an additional proceeding and on a simple finding that the capped amount is insufficient to punish or deter the standard in S.648, with no consideration of specified factors

Insist that there be no legislative history suggesting this authority is to be used any more sparingly than implied by the statutory standard

Couple this with the procedural changes described in proposal 1

This would be two-way preemptive, except with respect to states that do not allow punitives in products cases at all

Statute of repose

Two-way preemption of state law (as in S.648)

18 year statute of repose (as in S.648)

Which a plaintiff may overcome by clear and convincing evidence that the product had a longer useful safe life (not included in S.648, and responsive to the victim of the hay-baler accident cited in the veto message and to accidents involving products clearly intended to be longer-lived, such as elevators)

Covering only durable goods in the workplace (narrower than S.648, retaining plaintiff rights concerning consumer goods in states without any statute of repose and responding to your concern about handguns)

With further exceptions for toxic substances, vehicles used in transportation for hire, and express warranties (as in S.648)

And with a provision that extends the statute to allow full benefit of the two-year statute of limitations after injury or discovery of harm in, for example, year 17 (not in S.648, but not expected to be a problem)

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-JUN-1997 14:20:12.00

SUBJECT: Re: Opposing welfare privatization in House Ag Cmte SAP

TO: Jill M. Blickstein ( CN=Jill M. Blickstein/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Keith J. Fontenot ( CN=Keith J. Fontenot/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeffrey A. Farkas ( CN=Jeffrey A. Farkas/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry White ( CN=Barry White/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Diana Fortuna ( CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Note from Ken

----- Forwarded by Cynthia A. Rice/OPD/EOP on 06/11/97  
02:17 PM -----

From: Kenneth S. Apfel on 06/11/97 01:21:19 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Cynthia A. Rice/OPD/EOP

cc:

Subject: Re: Opposing welfare privatization in House Ag Cmte SAP

We'll add this to the letter. Also, would it be possible for dpc to do up a few talkers on why we oppose the stenholm amendment? Martha, Lisa and others who have not been involved with this issue need something to talk from.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Emily Bromberg ( CN=Emily Bromberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-JUN-1997 15:44:44.00

SUBJECT: mayors

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

as you know, potus would like to talk about urban policy when he speaks to the mayors on 6/23. bruce-- i think you were in the scheduling meeting with the president, so you may know more than me but reports are that he wants some policy announcements if possible--more than the cops in housing stuff. are you working on something--or is gene? should we get together to talk about it?

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jose Cerda III ( CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-JUN-1997 15:07:56.00

SUBJECT: Friday Bulls Game in Chicago

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:  
Bruce/Elena:

With your permission, I'm going to leave a bit early on Friday and head out to Chicago to see the Bulls/Jazz game. Please let me know if you think that doesn't work; otherwise, I intend to buy a plane ticket and fill out the necessary paperwork today.

Thanks much.

Jose'

(I'm also thinking about take off 22 days in July to go see the Tour de France and sample a bit of wine and cheese. What do you think? Is that doable? Maybe I'll just have to catch it on ESPN...)

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Christa Robinson ( CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-JUN-1997 18:56:54.00

SUBJECT: DLC Welfare to Work Conference

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

This will come up at the next Erskine scheduling meeting. Stephanie, Ann Lewis, Doug, Craig, Maria, etc., all seemed to understand that it was something the President would want to do, and they understand the significance of doing it at least a week before the Welfare Bill anniversary so that we preempt stories on where states are to date on implementation.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Nicole Elkon ( CN=Nicole Elkon/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-JUN-1997 13:20:29.00

SUBJECT: FYI - UCSD Thurgood Marshal College Provost Resigned

TO: Joseph P. Lockhart ( CN=Joseph P. Lockhart/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria Echaveste ( CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert B. Johnson ( CN=Robert B. Johnson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Minyon Moore ( CN=Minyon Moore/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bob J. Nash ( CN=Bob J. Nash/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julie E. Mason ( CN=Julie E. Mason/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lynn G. Cutler ( CN=Lynn G. Cutler/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis ( CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Walker ( CN=Ann F. Walker/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sylvia M. Mathews ( CN=Sylvia M. Mathews/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Andrew J. Mayock ( CN=Andrew J. Mayock/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Angus S. King ( CN=Angus S. King/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:  
FYI --

The advance team in San Diego has made me aware of the fact that the Provost of the Thurgood Marshal College, Cecil Lytle, resigned (effective immediately) late last night due to a University decision to reject the idea of having a charter high school on campus.

To quote the Union Tribune article, "Lytle said it is important to see the charter proposal in the context of the UC Board of Regents 1995 decision to end affirmative action in the undergraduate admissions, effective next spring."

I am forwarding the Union Tribune article to you all asap.

Further - Ward Connolly has decided to attend the UCSD commencement exercises. Due to his high position in the University system he will be speaking at the commencement and attending the lunch.

# Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

## Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Cynthia Rice to Elena Kagan re: I will need your input in the next hour re: OMB's letter for tomorrow's [partial] (1 page)	06/11/1997	P6/b(6)

### COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records  
Automated Records Management System [Email]  
OPD ([Kagan])  
OA/Box Number: 250000

### FOLDER TITLE:

[06/11/1997]

2009-1006-F

bm16

### RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-JUN-1997 10:32:50.00

SUBJECT: Re: I will need your input in the next hour re: OMB letter for tomorrow's

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] ).

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

P6/(b)(6)

[001]

Elena Kagan

06/11/97 09:52:47 AM

Record Type: Record

To: Cynthia A. Rice/OPD/EOP

cc:

Subject: Re: I will need your input in the next hour re: OMB letter for tomorrow's Ed & Workforce markup

I told Bruce he should make a process objection at this morning's meeting!

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-JUN-1997 14:34:16.00

SUBJECT: Elena --please review these talkers

TO: Diana Fortuna ( CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Diana Fortuna ( CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I think Ken's right that the WH/OMB staff working on food stamps (Martha Foley and Lisa Kountoupes) could use these talking points. Please review the attached -- the first page is what we prepared for Erskine with a new bullet at the end. The second page is new. Since the House Ag markup is expected to be tomorrow, I'd like to distribute these by COB today. Thanks.

----- Forwarded by Cynthia A. Rice/OPD/EOP on 06/11/97 01:56 PM -----

From: Kenneth S. Apfel on 06/11/97 01:21:19 PM  
Record Type: Record

To: Cynthia A. Rice/OPD/EOP

cc:

Subject: Re: Opposing welfare privatization in House Ag Cmte SAP

We'll add this to the letter. Also, would it be possible for dpc to do up a few talkers on why we oppose the stenhholm amendment? Martha, Lisa and others who have not been involved with this issue need something to talk from.

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS\_EXT:[ATTACH.D87]MAIL403235166.116 to ASCII,  
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF5750438F080000010A0201000000020500000033230000000200000A0AFB17879693D567185AED  
566F9230CCB746BD514692C945D26C561F0A90F94D5B27A30D1C40111506803A6F1121624AFFFA  
DF3C5CB8396893F32B4DD25F4821E3367CBC130FD088A1AD1F2F7DEA5843B072E081C1F06FB512  
3319BC3E087307AA8ECF532F7A0641B6AF446860436AC749DB3073587228A54E1B88EC33E64E56  
B38EE5AAE99137E6AED3380F1C1E1394FF4F04C034700137A3ACCB1FB69BAFA04722947EF547F5  
72319B8FOC574101E911311655FBAEF35DB6930B6730D29D2ED9AAF1034C943987A7A22FF0DDB3  
53BA1DE3316AB26F6E38C5AE65D8A95C89FD8EFA741C84E44A7D56424D559724C0D737E81E8249

**Food Stamp Privatization**  
**6/11/97**

**Talking Points**

- The welfare reform bill passed by the Congress and signed by the President allowed states to privatize their cash assistance programs, but did not change the law for Medicaid or food stamps.
- The President believes states need flexibility to make welfare what it was meant to be: a second chance, not a way of life, a system that helps people draw a paycheck instead of a welfare check. That's why he signed the welfare reform law last year in the face of much opposition from many in his party.
- We're concerned that allowing a state to privatize Medicaid and food stamp operations will not be in the best interests of program beneficiaries.
- Current Medicaid and food stamp law makes clear that eligibility determination must be performed by impartial, merit-based public employees.
- We offered the state of Texas the chance to conduct a sub-state demonstration of privatization, but the state declined.
- The letter we sent Texas in May allows the state to proceed with contracting out for a statewide computer system to do integrated enrollment. The state is also free to privatize its welfare (i.e., TANF) operations.
- Now, amendments may be offered in the House that would allow states to either completely privatize welfare operations [likely GOP amendment] or to partially privatize food stamp operations [likely Stenholm amendment]. We oppose these amendments for the same reason that we opposed the original Texas proposal -- we are concerned about the effects these proposals may have on program beneficiaries.

## Comparison of the Stenholm Amendment with the original Texas Proposal

Congressman Stenholm’s amendment would not go as far as the original Texas proposal. The state of Texas wanted to create a state-wide system of offices, run by a private contractor, where residents would apply for Medicaid, food stamps, welfare, and other public assistance. The exact nature of this system would depend on the terms of the agreement between Texas and the contractor, but could include having private employees making the determination of eligibility and gathering information used in such eligibility determinations.

On May 13th, HHS sent guidance to the state of Texas which said that in the food stamps and Medicaid programs:

- A non-public employee may not take actions involving discretion or value judgments, including all elements of the benefit eligibility determination process that relate to the evaluation of information provided by an applicant or which bears on the eligibility decision.
- In an integrated enrollment system, most if not all activities involving personal contact with an applicant or recipient, including data entry during an interactive discussion with the applicant, have the potential to involve the use of discretion or judgment and must be performed by state merit system employees.

Stenholm’s plan would allow Texas to use private contractors to obtain information from applicants and assist them in completing their applications for benefits. However, the determination of eligibility would be conducted by public sector employees.

Allows Private Contractors to:	Texas Proposal	Stenholm Proposal	Administration Position
Obtain Information from Individuals used in Determining Eligibility	Yes	Yes	No
Determine Eligibility	Yes	No	No

Stenholm’s proposal also provides for approval of any contract by the Secretary of Agriculture and the state legislature, which was not part of the state of Texas’ proposal.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (EXTERNAL MAIL)

CREATOR: Stuart M. Schear@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-JUN-1997 19:36:00.00

SUBJECT: WEEKEND TV OUTLOOK

TO: GOLDBERG\_JS ( GOLDBERG\_JS@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: JOHNSON\_DT ( JOHNSON\_DT@A1@CD ) (NSC)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: BURKE\_D ( BURKE\_D@A1@CD ) (OPD)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: HAAS\_L ( HAAS\_L@A1@CD ) (OMB)  
READ:11-JUN-1997 20:04:31.07

TO: JENNINGS\_C ( JENNINGS\_C@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: JOLIN\_M ( JOLIN\_M@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: KAGAN\_E ( KAGAN\_E@A1@CD ) (OPD)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: MAYS\_C ( MAYS\_C@A1@CD ) (OPD)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: MCHUGH\_L ( MCHUGH\_L@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: REED\_B ( REED\_B@A1@CD ) (OPD)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: GREEN\_MG ( GREEN\_MG@A1@CD ) (OPD)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: MAPLE\_D ( MAPLE\_D@A1@CD ) (DON)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: FALK\_D ( FALK\_D@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: SMITH\_B2 ( SMITH\_B2@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: ECHAVESTE\_M ( ECHAVESTE\_M@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: TARMEY\_M ( TARMEY\_M@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Michael D. McCurry ( Michael D. McCurry@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Lori L. Anderson ( Lori L. Anderson@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

READ:NOT READ

TO: Eli G. Attie  
READ:NOT READ

( Eli G. Attie@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Donald A. Baer  
READ:NOT READ

( Donald A. Baer@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: David S. Beaubaire  
READ:NOT READ

( David S. Beaubaire@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Marsha E. Berry  
READ:NOT READ

( Marsha E. Berry@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Sandra L. Bublick Max  
READ:NOT READ

( Sandra L. Bublick Max@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Michelle Crisci  
READ:NOT READ

( Michelle Crisci@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Lanny J. Davis  
READ:NOT READ

( Lanny J. Davis@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Rahm I. Emanuel  
READ:NOT READ

( Rahm I. Emanuel@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Paul K. Engskov  
READ:NOT READ

( Paul K. Engskov@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Karen E. Finney  
READ:NOT READ

( Karen E. Finney@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Jeremy M. Gaines  
READ:NOT READ

( Jeremy M. Gaines@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Mary E. Glynn  
READ:NOT READ

( Mary E. Glynn@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Adam W. Goldberg  
READ:NOT READ

( Adam W. Goldberg@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Julia R. Green  
READ:NOT READ

( Julia R. Green@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: John A. Gribben  
READ:NOT READ

( John A. Gribben@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Lawrence J. Haas  
READ:NOT READ

( Lawrence J. Haas@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Nancy V. Hernreich  
READ:NOT READ

( Nancy V. Hernreich@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Kathryn O. Higgins  
READ:NOT READ

( Kathryn O. Higgins@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: John L. Hilley  
READ:NOT READ

( John L. Hilley@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Mary A. Dixon

( Mary A. Dixon@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

READ:NOT READ

TO: Elena Kagan ( Elena Kagan@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Angus S. King ( Angus S. King@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Karin Kullman ( Karin Kullman@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: G N. Lattimore ( G N. Lattimore@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Patricia F. Lewis ( Patricia F. Lewis@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Gordon Li ( Gordon Li@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Julie E. Mason ( Julie E. Mason@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Sylvia M. Mathews ( Sylvia M. Mathews@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: April K. Mellody ( April K. Mellody@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Anne E. McGuire ( Anne E. McGuire@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Cheryl D. Mills ( Cheryl D. Mills@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Kevin S. Moran ( Kevin S. Moran@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: John Podesta ( John Podesta@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Karen A. Popp ( Karen A. Popp@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Victoria Radd ( Victoria Radd@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( Bruce N. Reed@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Steven J. Ronnel ( Steven J. Ronnel@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Stuart M. Schear ( Stuart M. Schear@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Laura D. Schwartz ( Laura D. Schwartz@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Douglas B. Sosnik ( Douglas B. Sosnik@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

READ:NOT READ

TO: Darby E. Stott ( Darby E. Stott@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Terri J. Tingen ( Terri J. Tingen@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Barry J. Toiv ( Barry J. Toiv@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: June G. Turner ( June G. Turner@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Peter G. Umhofer ( Peter G. Umhofer@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Dag Vega ( Dag Vega@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Sally J. Aman ( Sally J. Aman@OVP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Joseph W. Cerrell ( Joseph W. Cerrell@OVP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Ron Klain ( Ron Klain@OVP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Heidi Kukis ( Heidi Kukis@OVP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Julia M. Payne ( Julia M. Payne@OVP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Virginia M. Terzano ( Virginia M. Terzano@OVP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Sara M. Latham ( Sara M. Latham@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Brenda M. Anders ( Brenda M. Anders@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Beverly J. Barnes ( Beverly J. Barnes@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Ann F. Lewis ( Ann F. Lewis@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Todd Stern ( Todd Stern@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Kathleen M. Wallman ( Kathleen M. Wallman@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Cynthia M. Jasso-Rotunno ( Cynthia M. Jasso-Rotunno@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Odetta S. Walker ( Odetta S. Walker@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

READ:NOT READ

TO: Christopher J. Lavery  
READ:NOT READ

( Christopher J. Lavery@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Craig T. Smith  
READ:NOT READ

( Craig T. Smith@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Laura S. Marcus  
READ:NOT READ

( Laura S. Marcus@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Joseph P. Lockhart  
READ:NOT READ

( Joseph P. Lockhart@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Megan C. Moloney  
READ:NOT READ

( Megan C. Moloney@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Jonathan Murchinson  
READ:NOT READ

( Jonathan Murchinson@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Roger V. Salazar  
READ:NOT READ

( Roger V. Salazar@OVP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Jessica B. Vogelsson  
READ:NOT READ

( Jessica B. Vogelsson@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Evan Ryan  
READ:NOT READ

( Evan Ryan@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Charles F. Ruff  
READ:NOT READ

( Charles F. Ruff@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Ora Theard  
READ:NOT READ

( Ora Theard@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Thomas D. Janenda  
READ:NOT READ

( Thomas D. Janenda@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Brian J. Johnson  
READ:NOT READ

( Brian J. Johnson@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Elizabeth R. Newman  
READ:NOT READ

( Elizabeth R. Newman@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )

TEXT:

Message Creation Date was at 11-JUN-1997 19:33:00

=====  
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE:11-JUN-1997 19:36:00.00

ATT BODYPART TYPE:D

TEXT:

The following attachments were included with this message:

-----  
TYPE : FILE  
NAME : 061197.WPD

=====  
END ATTACHMENT 1



JUNE 11, 1997

MEMORANDUM TO MIKE MCCURRY, ANN LEWIS AND DON BAER  
FROM STUART SCHEAR  
SUBJECT WEEKEND TELEVISION OUTLOOK  
COMMENT RACE INITIATIVE and BUDGET/TAXES to dominate shows.

-----

FRIDAY JUNE 13

WASHINGTON WEEK IN REVIEW

Topic TBD  
Guest TBD

SATURDAY JUNE 14

EVANS & NOVAK (CNN)

Topic TBD  
Guest TBD

INSIDE POLITICS WEEKEND (CNN)

Topic Race  
Guest TBD (Jesse Jackson Jr. is possible)

SUNDAY JUNE 15

SUNDAY MORNING (CBS)@ 8AM

Topic Race  
Guest President Clinton (Not confirmed)  
Comment Charles Osgood is the interviewer

FOX NEWS SUNDAY (FOX)

Topic Race & Budget  
Guest Request for OMB Director, Franklin Raines

Topic Budget  
Guest Rep. Dick Armey or Rep. Bill Archer

Topic Race  
Guest TBD

Roundtable Brit Hume, Juan Williams & TBD

FACE THE NATION (CBS)

Topic Budget & Taxes  
Guest Request for Secy. Rubin  
Comment Rubin unlikely to take up the invitation.

Topic Budget & Taxes  
Topic Request for Rep. Kasich

Topic Race  
Guest TBD

Comment Schieffer and Gloria Borger

MEET (NBC)

Topic Race & Affirmative Action  
Guest Ward Connerly & Rev. Jesse Jackson Sr.

Topic Watergate Retrospective  
Guest Ben Bradlee, James Dean, Howard Baker

Roundtable TBD

THIS WEEK (ABC)

Topic Sen. Lott  
Guest Budget & Taxes

Roundtable Roberts, Donaldson, Will, Kristol, Stephanopoulos  
LATE EDITION (CNN)

Topic Race  
Guest President Clinton (Not Confirmed)

Topic Race  
Guest Jesse Jackson Senior or Kweisi Mfume  
Bernice King is possible & others TBD

Comment            No journalist roundtable

MONDAY, JUNE 16

THE TODAY SHOW (NBC)

Topic            Race  
Guest            President Clinton  
Comment         Gwen Ifill is the interviewer

Topic            Race  
Guest            President Clinton  
Comment         Elizabeth Vargas is the interviewer

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Diana Fortuna ( CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-JUN-1997 11:52:07.00

SUBJECT: Min wage

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Cynthia and I met with Mark Greenberg yesterday to quietly get his advice on potential ways out of the minimum wage/workfare box, if that becomes necessary.

His best idea was to return to an idea floated during debate on the law -- let states count people toward the work requirements if they leave the welfare rolls for a private sector job, for a limited number of months. The obvious arguments against this are: It is just another way to loosen the work requirements; and this idea was an alternative to the caseload reduction credit that was ultimately enacted, so this would allow double dipping by states.

Mark's response to this was that this is a better way to loosen work requirements than other ways, because it creates an incentive, relative to current law, for states to stress private sector jobs over workfare. (Also, it is rhetorically better than approaches like counting job search or education -- we are saying give states credit for private sector jobs.)

He also argues that states with low benefits like Mississippi are at a disadvantage relative to state with high benefits and generous income disregards, like Michigan, and this would help redress that -- i.e., many people that Michigan puts to work are able to remain on the welfare rolls because of these more generous policies, and still count toward the work participation requirements, while someone who works just a few hours in Mississippi has income too high to keep their benefits, and therefore Mississippi can't count them as a success.

He also argued that the double count problem was not all that significant, because the caseload reduction credit is lagged a year, but Cynthia and I weren't so sure his logic was good here. Maybe we could do this and shave back on the caseload reduction credit? Not sure if that works.

We are checking to see if this was in our 94 and 96 bills.



DRAFT STATEMENT ON PRIVATIZATION FOR SAP

6/11/97 5:30 pm

The Administration understands that amendments may be offered which would allow food stamp operations to be privatized. While certain program functions, such as computer systems, can currently be contracted out to private entities, the certification of eligibility for benefits and related operations (such as obtaining and verifying information about income and other eligibility factors) remain public functions. The Administration is concerned that changes to current law would not be in the best interest of program beneficiaries, would strongly oppose such amendments.

**Automated Records Management System**  
**Hex-Dump Conversion**



**JUVENILE HANDGUN DIRECTIVE**  
**JUNE 11, 1997**

**Q: What is the directive that the President signed today?**

A: Today, the President signed a directive to ensure that the Treasury Department do everything possible to enforce the Youth Handgun Safety Act -- including requiring that federal gun dealers post signs and issue written warnings that:

(1) Make clear that it is generally illegal for any adult to transfer a handgun to a minor (under 18), or for that minor to possess that handgun, period;

(2) Make clear that transferring a handgun to a minor may be punishable by up to 10 years imprisonment;

(3) Warn that handguns are a leading contributor to juvenile violence and fatalities; and

(4) State that safely storing and locking handguns will help ensure compliance with this law.

**Q: What is the impact of this directive? How is it any different -- or how does it augment -- current law?**

A: This directive will require, for the first time ever, that federal firearms dealers (FFLs) post signs and issue written warnings about the responsibility that gun purchasers have under current law to not transfer a handgun to juveniles -- as well as about the dangers that handguns pose to kids generally. That's an important change for two reasons.

First, for almost every gun that gets into a juvenile's hands, an adult had the opportunity -- in fact, the legal responsibility -- to stop that transfer from taking place. Today's directive puts adult gun purchasers on notice about this responsibility -- and warns them about the legal sanctions that may apply if this responsibility is ignored.

Second, the mix of kids and guns is at the heart of the nation's youth violence epidemic. Since the mid 1980s, the number of kids killing with a gun has quadrupled; the number of kids killed by guns has nearly tripled; and teenage boys today are more likely to die of gunshot wounds than all natural causes combined. Still, we do more to warn Americans about

the dangers of most household products than we do about the dangers that guns pose to our children. Today's directive represents an effort to correct this imbalance.

**Q: Can you please clarify under what authority you're taking this action?**

A: The authority for this directive is two-fold:

(1) 18 U.S.C. Sec. 926(a) generally provides that the Secretary of the Treasury may proscribe certain rules and regulations to carry out the provisions of the 1968 Gun Control Act as amended; and

(2) 18 U.S.C. Sec. 922(x)(1) -- or the Youth Handgun Safety Act, which was included by Senator Kohl as an amendment to the 1994 crime bill -- prohibits, in most circumstances, juveniles from possessing handguns, and adults from transferring handguns to juveniles.

Our directive finds that additional warnings are necessary to fully carry out the prohibitions of the Youth Handgun Safety Act, and calls for signs to be posted and written warnings issued to help ensure compliance with the law.

**Q: What punishments are provided for in the Youth Handgun Safety Act?**

A: Juveniles who violate the ban on handgun possession are subject to mandatory probation. Adults who violate the prohibition on transferring handguns to juveniles are punishable by a prison term of 1 to 10 years, depending on the circumstances. Additionally, the Administration has called for increasing both of these penalties in its juvenile crime legislation.

**Q: Have you successfully prosecuted anyone under the Youth Handgun Safety Act? Do you know how many persons have been prosecuted overall?**

A: No, I don't have a number on the total prosecutions. But I do have an example. I know that the U.S. Attorney's Office in Tennessee successfully prosecuted an illegal gun dealer who -- among other illegal firearms activities -- sold a pistol to a 13-year old. He's been sentenced to 33 months in prison.

**Q: Do you think that this directive will really help keep handguns from juveniles? Shouldn't your directive do more than simply call for signs and warnings?**

A: Of course, we believe that this directive is meaningful and will have impact. And it certainly makes as much sense to warn gun purchasers about their legal responsibilities and the dangers of handguns as it does for the many other products that are sold with similar warnings.

At the same time, there is only so much we can do under existing law to keep guns out of the hands of juveniles. That's why our juvenile crime bill includes 3 key gun provisions: it increases penalties for transferring guns to juveniles; it prohibits violent juveniles from ever owning guns; and it requires that federal gun dealers provide child safety locks with every gun sold. With these additional changes in law, we will be able to do even more to keep guns away from our kids.

**Q: If you're going to issue warnings about guns, why don't you just regulate them like other products through the Consumer Products Safety Commission?**

A: First of all, today's directive does more than simply warn gun purchasers about the dangers of handguns; It makes clear to gun purchasers that they have responsibilities -- and that transferring a handgun to a juvenile is a crime punishable by as much as 10 years imprisonment.

Second, the mandate of the Consumer Products Safety Commission does not include firearms. The Commission is expressly prohibited from getting into matters concerning the manufacture and sale of firearms and firearms ammunition. So we simply don't have this authority.

**Q. What is the Administration's position on the various Republican proposals to combat juvenile crime?**

A. First of all, we are opposed to H.R. 3, the bill that passed the House. It does not come close to representing a comprehensive attack on gangs and guns. It doesn't guarantee that Boston's successful juvenile crime initiative -- Operations Cease-fire and Nite Lite -- can be replicated. It doesn't include any provisions relating to kids and guns. And it does not specifically address the high number of crimes committed by juveniles when school goes out.

We're hopeful that the Senate will work with us to address these issues. The Senate Judiciary Committee is scheduled to consider legislation this Thursday, and we are communicating our concerns to them now. We will have to wait and see how the mark-up in that committee goes before we know whether or not we'll be able to support a Senate bill.

**Q. What does the Administration's juvenile justice proposal do?**

- A. The legislation proposed by the President in February is part of an overall Anti-Gang and Youth Violence Strategy that seeks to crack down on violent gangs, reduce youth violence, and provide our kids with positive alternatives to steer them away from gangs, guns, and drugs.

The strategy provides critical resources for state and local prosecutors to target, prosecute and convict violent youth gangs. It permits Federal prosecutors to prosecute juveniles in adult court when they commit violent crimes. It requires child safety locks for guns to prevent accidents and thefts, and extends the Brady Law so that violent juveniles can never own a gun. Finally, the Strategy also calls for at least 1,000 after school initiatives to keep kids off the streets and give them positive alternatives.

The Administration calls on Congress to pass juvenile crime legislation addressing these key issues.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jennifer L. Klein ( CN=Jennifer L. Klein/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-JUN-1997 11:12:28.00

SUBJECT:

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Would you actually give some serious thought to ideas for my replacement?  
Thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Michelle Crisci ( CN=Michelle Crisci/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-JUN-1997 09:32:05.00

SUBJECT:

TO: Christa Robinson ( CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jose Cerda III ( CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro ( CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

The President is going to sign the Directive this morning in the Oval during the 11:00 event briefing and the photo will be released to the press office soon after.



June 11, 1997

**MEMORANDUM FOR BRUCE REED  
GENE SPERLING**

**FROM:** Paul Weinstein  
**SUBJECT:** Update on Community Development Financial Institutions  
(CDFI) Fund

The following memorandum describes the current state of operations at the CDFI Fund.

**Completion of the First Round**

As you may be aware, the CDFI Fund has experienced some difficulties in finalizing grant agreements between recipients of first round awards and the Fund. I have been in regular contact with the Treasury Department about the importance of improving this process. I am pleased to report there has been some modest improvement. As of today, about two-thirds of the CDFI grantees have begun to draw down on the first round money. The CDFI Fund is planning on closing the rest of the agreements with CDFI grantees by the time the House and Senate Appropriations Committee begin their markup at the end of this month.

Second round applications are currently in circulation. All applications for the second round are due by June 20th. Treasury has taken several steps to insure that the mistakes and delays experienced in the first round are not repeated. This includes hiring additional people at the Fund and putting into effect controls to regularize the process.

**New Initiatives Associated with the CDFI Fund**

Michael Barr is leading an effort at Treasury to develop new projects for the CDFI Fund. The Department is looking into three areas. 1) Securitization. The agency is very interested in the development of a secondary market for CDFI lending. Treasury is working closely with the Commerce Department on a pilot program to fund intermediaries such as the Minnesota Community Reinvention Corporation. They are also looking at government-wide regulations that discourage CDFI securitization and are implementing an education program on secondary markets.

2) Welfare-to-Work. Treasury is also developing ideas to encourage CDFIs to invest in individuals moving from welfare-to-work. 3) Un-banked. The Department is reviewing proposals to leverage the Bank Enterprise Act awards to increase financial service availability to the un-banked.

cc: Elena Kagan  
Ellen Seidman  
Mark Mazur  
Jose Cerda  
Bob Nash

Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-JUN-1997 13:01:49.00

SUBJECT: Emily update on governors and FLSA

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Diana Fortuna ( CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Emily thinks she's persuaded NGA not to send up a letter on FLSA which would undercut us -- in part, since they're doing so well so far, they don't see as much of a need for it.

# Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

## Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
002. email	Cynthia Rice to Elena Kagan re: I will need your input in the next hour re: OMB letter for tomorrow's [partial] (1 page)	06/11/1997	P6/b(6)

### COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records  
Automated Records Management System [Email]  
OPD ([Kagan])  
OA/Box Number: 250000

### FOLDER TITLE:

[06/11/1997]

2009-1006-F

bm16

### RESTRICTION CODES

#### Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

#### Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 11-JUN-1997 09:05:52.00


SUBJECT: Re: I will need your input in the next hour re: OMB letter for tomorrow's

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ: UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Bruce's conversation w/Josh Gotbaum settled the issue. Here's the email I sent you on it:



Elena Kagan  
06/10/97 08:10:14 PM  
Record Type: Record

To: Cynthia A. Rice/OPD/EOP

cc:

Subject: Re: I will need your input in the next hour re: OMB letter for tomorrow's Ed & Workforce markup

still here

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Sarah S. Knight ( CN=Sarah S. Knight/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ UNKNOWN ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-JUN-1997 14:48:53.00

SUBJECT: BC SIG on Medicaid Fraud

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Elena,

I need you to clear the following letter to Winston Bryant on Medicaid Fraud. Chris Jennings' office already approved it. Thanks. Sarah x65514

Dear Winston:

Thank you for your letter. I appreciate knowing of your efforts to stop Medicaid fraud in Arkansas.

While, I can't comment directly on your proposal, I will make sure that the Justice Management Division at the Department of Justice will give it every consideration.

As you know, I've made combating waste, fraud, and abuse in our nation's health care system a top priority. My first budget in 1993 closed loopholes in Medicare and Medicaid that had allowed waste, fraud, and abuse to occur. Since 1993, the Department of Justice has increased health care fraud investigations, criminal prosecutions, convictions, and civil recoveries. To build on these efforts, two years ago my Administration launched Operation Restore Trust, a comprehensive anti-fraud initiative in five key states. Since then, ORT has produced ten dollars in overpayments for every one dollar invested, and the Kennedy-Kassebaum bill I signed in 1996 expanded ORT nationwide.

As we continue to work to fight waste, fraud, and abuse in our nation's health care system, I hope you will remain actively involved. Thanks for helping to improve our Medicaid system.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Christa Robinson ( CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-JUN-1997 13:37:01.00

SUBJECT: collective bargaining

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jose Cerda III ( CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michelle Crisci ( CN=Michelle Crisci/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Hate to stomp on a great crime speech, but I think should give some update to the FOP on the collective bargaining issue. Jim Pasco, FOP, spoke with Ray Kelly at today's event who was more encouraging than usual on the issue, and this could be a good time to talk to Treasury. Also, now that there is a new Director of the Secret Service, Lew Merletti, there is a reason to bring this up now. Pasco is close to Merletti.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Diana Fortuna ( CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-JUN-1997 18:56:47.00

SUBJECT: FYI: No luck yet tracking down...

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

the names of the 3 board members of the welfare to work partnership who will be at the business roundtable speech tomorrow -- can't find anyone at the partnership. I sent the attached to Michael in the interim. Since the speech isn't till 4pm tomorrow, hopefully there is still time if we don't get them till the morning.

----- Forwarded by Diana Fortuna/OPD/EOP on 06/11/97 06:06 PM -----

Diana Fortuna  
06/11/97 06:05:12 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Michael Waldman/WHO/EOP, Peter O'Keefe/WHO/EOP

cc: Cynthia A. Rice/OPD/EOP

Subject: Mentioning welfare to work in the Business Roundtable Speech

We hear from Eli Segal that 3 of the 6 board members of the Welfare to Work Partnership will be in the audience tomorrow. Bruce thinks it would be ungracious not to acknowledge the individuals by name in the remarks. We are trying to confirm their names and should have them soon, although it's possible it won't be till the a.m.

----- Forwarded by Diana Fortuna/OPD/EOP on 06/11/97 05:17 PM -----

Cynthia A. Rice  
06/11/97 11:56:06 AM  
Record Type: Record

To: Michael Waldman/WHO/EOP

cc: Bruce N. Reed/OPD/EOP, Elena Kagan/OPD/EOP, Diana Fortuna/OPD/EOP

Subject: Mentioning welfare to work in the Business Roundtable Speech

You and Diana spoke yesterday about mentioning welfare to work as well as the Summit in the Business Roundtable speech. We think that's a good idea. Not knowing the context, how about:

"I am pleased so many companies here today are accepting the challenge to help end welfare as we know it and replace it with a system that offers hope, demands responsibility, and rewards work. Already, over 100 companies -- including many of you represented today -- have accepted the welfare to work challenge. I urge the rest of you to do the same."

[11 of the 105 companies who had joined the Welfare to Work Partnership and committed to hire welfare recipients at our May 20th event with the President are members of the Business Roundtable. They are Ameritech, Arco, Harrah's Entertainment, Marriott, Monsanto, Sprint, Time Warner, The Travellers Group, UAL Corporation, United Parcel Service, and Xerox.]

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Diana Fortuna ( CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-JUN-1997 11:25:36.00

SUBJECT: Privatization language

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

We've talked to OMB and believe this language essentially puts into law the compromise we put forth in our memo.

Keith says that Martha Foley will probably argue that we shouldn't offend Stenholm by criticizing his privatization language in our SAP. So she is key IF we decide we want this in.

FYI on other, unrelated language in the Stenholm draft: It would cap state food stamp administrative costs at 102% of current levels plus inflation and caseload. This is to address the fact that states now have an incentive to shift welfare admin costs to food stamps and Medicaid, because TANF caps admin costs at 15% of the total. CBO has scored this at some huge amount. Keith says he doubts OMB would support this, even though it has a certain budget policy logic to it. The big problem is that the states will hate it. Also, he says that the plan is for the money saved to go to other non-welfare things in the ag bill -- cotton, etc.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Collin Brown III ( CN=Collin Brown III/OU=OMB/O=EOP [ OMB ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-JUN-1997 11:30:12.00

SUBJECT: HHS letter on H.R. 1818

TO: Elizabeth Drye ( CN=Elizabeth Drye/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro ( CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: James Boden ( CN=James Boden/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wendy A. Taylor ( CN=Wendy A. Taylor/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David J. Haun ( CN=David J. Haun/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter G. Jacoby ( CN=Peter G. Jacoby/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jose Cerda III ( CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary I. Cassell ( CN=Mary I. Cassell/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julie L. Haas ( CN=Julie L. Haas/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kenneth L. Schwartz ( CN=Kenneth L. Schwartz/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Melinda D. Haskins ( CN=Melinda D. Haskins/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Janet R. Forsgren ( CN=Janet R. Forsgren/OU=OMB/O=EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: James J. Jukes ( CN=James J. Jukes/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: James C. Murr ( CN=James C. Murr/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Ronald E. Jones ( CN=Ronald E. Jones/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Yesterday, you should have received a draft HHS letter on H.R. 1818, the Juvenile Crime Control and Delinquency Prevention Act of 1997. The letter voiced HHS' support for Title II of the bill. Title II would re-authorize and amend the Runaway and Homeless Youth Act (see LRM MDH90).

Collin

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-JUN-1997 16:52:54.00

SUBJECT: Re: FIRST DRAFT/SAN DIEGO-PLEASE NOTE NOTHING HAS BEEN APPROVED

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Yes, I think you should go. It sounds pretty crowded, but Edley should not be the one to brief press on policy. Give it a shot, at least make them feel bad if they say no.



MEMORANDUM FOR THE SECRETARY OF THE TREASURY

SUBJECT: Enforcing the Youth Handgun Safety Act

A major problem in our nation today is the terrifying ease with which our young people gain illegal or unintended access to guns. Firearms are now responsible for 12 percent of fatalities among all American children and teenagers. Criminal use of firearms by young people is a national tragedy. Between 1984 and 1994, the number of juvenile offenders committing homicides by firearms nearly quadrupled. Moreover, firearms are the fourth leading cause of accidental deaths among children ages 5-14 and are now the primary method by which young people commit suicide. A recent study supported by the Department of Justice found that slightly more than half of all privately owned firearms were stored unlocked and approximately one-third of all handguns were stored both loaded and unlocked. We must do all we can to prevent both illegal and unintended access to guns by juveniles.

To address this issue, my Administration has consistently called for toughening our laws to help reduce youth gun violence. Specifically, we have fought for and gained passage of: (1) the Brady Act, to allow local law enforcement to conduct background checks before handguns are sold; (2) the Assault Weapons Ban, to keep deadly assault weapons off the streets; (3) the Gun-Free Schools Act, to establish a policy of "zero tolerance" for guns in our schools; and (4) the Youth Handgun Safety Act, to prohibit, in most circumstances, the transfer to or possession of a handgun by a juvenile.

More recently, we proposed comprehensive juvenile crime legislation that, among other things, would continue to crack down on youth gun violence by increasing penalties for transferring a firearm to juveniles, prohibiting violent juveniles from owning firearms as adults, and requiring federal firearms licensees (FFLs) to provide a child safety lock with every gun sold. I hope Congress will enact these important measures as soon as possible.

Until Congress acts, however, there is more we can do to keep guns out of the hands of our nation's youth. Existing law already bans the transfer of handguns to minors and juvenile possession of handguns, except in specified circumstances, and grants the Treasury Department authority to prescribe rules and regulations to implement this provision. I direct you to take the authorized steps necessary to enforce the provisions of the Youth Handgun Safety Act -- and specifically, consistent with your statutory authority, to promptly publish in the Federal Register proposed regulations requiring that signs be posted on the premises of FFLs and that written notification be issued with each handgun sold to non-licensees warning that:

- (1) federal law prohibits, except in certain limited circumstances, anyone under the age of 18 from knowingly possessing a handgun, or any adult from transferring a handgun to such a minor;
- (2) violation of the prohibition of transferring a handgun to a minor is, under certain

circumstances, punishable for up to 10 years in prison;

- (3) handguns are a leading contributor to juvenile violence and fatalities; and
- (4) safely storing and locking handguns away from children can help ensure compliance with federal law.

I also direct you to provide me with a written status report within 60 days on how you will carry out this directive.

Your implementation of this directive will help inform gun purchasers about their responsibility under federal law to keep handguns from our children. It will also ensure that gun purchasers are warned about the frequency with which handguns kill or injure our kids.

WJC

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Collin Brown III ( CN=Collin Brown III/OU=OMB/O=EOP [ OMB ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-JUN-1997 11:40:30.00

SUBJECT: Addendum to Attached Message on HHS letter on H.R. 1818: Comments Due Noon

TO: Elizabeth Drye ( CN=Elizabeth Drye/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro ( CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: James Boden ( CN=James Boden/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wendy A. Taylor ( CN=Wendy A. Taylor/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David J. Haun ( CN=David J. Haun/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter G. Jacoby ( CN=Peter G. Jacoby/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jose Cerda III ( CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary I. Cassell ( CN=Mary I. Cassell/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julie L. Haas ( CN=Julie L. Haas/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kenneth L. Schwartz ( CN=Kenneth L. Schwartz/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Ronald E. Jones ( CN=Ronald E. Jones/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Janet R. Forsgren ( CN=Janet R. Forsgren/OU=OMB/O=EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Melinda D. Haskins ( CN=Melinda D. Haskins/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: James J. Jukes ( CN=James J. Jukes/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: James C. Murr ( CN=James C. Murr/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

HHS has asked for OMB clearance to transmit its letter on Title II of H.R. 1818 by 1pm today. For this reason, if we do not receive comments from you by noon today (6/11/97), we will assume that you have no objection.

----- Forwarded by Collin Brown III/OMB/EOP on 06/11/97  
11:30 AM -----

Collin Brown III  
06/11/97 11:29:44 AM  
Record Type: Record

To: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message  
cc: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message  
Subject: HHS letter on H.R. 1818

Yesterday, you should have received a draft HHS letter on H.R. 1818, the Juvenile Crime Control and Delinquency Prevention Act of 1997. The letter voiced HHS' support for Title II of the bill. Title II would re-authorize and amend the Runaway and Homeless Youth Act (see LRM MDH90).

Collin

Message Sent

To: \_\_\_\_\_  
Kenneth L. Schwartz/OMB/EOP  
David J. Haun/OMB/EOP  
Julie L. Haas/OMB/EOP  
Wendy A. Taylor/OMB/EOP  
Mary I. Cassell/OMB/EOP  
James Boden/OMB/EOP  
Elena Kagan/OPD/EOP  
Cynthia A. Rice/OPD/EOP  
Jose Cerda III/OPD/EOP  
Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OPD/EOP  
Peter G. Jacoby/WHO/EOP  
Elizabeth Drye/OPD/EOP

Message Copied

To: \_\_\_\_\_  
Ronald E. Jones/OMB/EOP  
James J. Jukes/OMB/EOP  
Janet R. Forsgren/OMB/EOP  
James C. Murr/OMB/EOP  
Melinda D. Haskins/OMB/EOP