

**NLWJC - KAGAN**

**EMAILS RECEIVED**

**ARMS - BOX 013 - FOLDER -001**

**[06/23/1997]**

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jose Cerda III ( CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-JUN-1997 21:15:43.00

SUBJECT: Juvie Mark-Up

TO: Peter G. Jacoby ( CN=Peter G. Jacoby/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro ( CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tracey E. Thornton ( CN=Tracey E. Thornton/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michelle Crisci ( CN=Michelle Crisci/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Michael Cohen ( CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Esteemed Colleagues:

I got a message from DOJ Leg. Affairs -- as well as from Biden's staff -- that there will be no Juvie mark-up this week or until after the July 4th break.

Jose'

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (EXTERNAL MAIL)

CREATOR: Kate P. Donovan@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-JUN-1997 22:35:00.00

SUBJECT: OMB Legislative Report - June 23, 1997

TO: RAINES\_F ( RAINES\_F@A1@CD ) (OMB)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: ADAMS\_G ( ADAMS\_G@A1@CD ) (OMB)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: HAAS\_L ( HAAS\_L@A1@CD ) (OMB)  
READ:25-JUN-1997 20:29:16.56

TO: SCHWARTZ\_K ( SCHWARTZ\_K@A1@CD ) (OMB)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: FOLEY\_M ( FOLEY\_M@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ:24-JUN-1997 20:55:25.21

TO: PETERSON\_RK ( PETERSON\_RK@A1@CD ) (OMB)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: PANERALI\_K ( PANERALI\_K@A1@CD ) (OPD)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: HOLSTEIN\_E ( HOLSTEIN\_E@A1@CD )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: GIBBONS\_M ( GIBBONS\_M@A1@CD ) (OMB)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: JONES\_RE ( JONES\_RE@A1@CD ) (OMB)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: BROWN\_JA ( BROWN\_JA@A1@CD ) (OMB)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: COOK\_MY ( COOK\_MY@A1@CD ) (OMB)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: WEINSTEIN\_D ( WEINSTEIN\_D@A1@CD )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: WEINSTEIN\_P ( WEINSTEIN\_P@A1@CD ) (OPD)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: PALMIERI\_J ( PALMIERI\_J@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: WHITE\_B ( WHITE\_B@A1@CD ) (OMB)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: MORAN\_K ( MORAN\_K@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: WALKER\_C ( WALKER\_C@A1@CD ) (WHO)

READ:NOT READ

TO: WALKER\_A ( WALKER\_A@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: GREEN\_M ( GREEN\_M@A1@CD ) (OMB)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: SILVERMAN\_S ( SILVERMAN\_S@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: REED\_B ( REED\_B@A1@CD ) (OPD)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: DONNELLY\_RE ( DONNELLY\_RE@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: MCKIERNAN\_K ( MCKIERNAN\_K@A1@CD )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: SCHWARTZ\_N ( SCHWARTZ\_N@A1@CD ) (OMB)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: SPERLING\_G ( SPERLING\_G@A1@CD ) (OPD)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: LEVIN\_P ( LEVIN\_P@A1@CD )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: OLIVER\_A ( OLIVER\_A@A1@CD ) (OMB)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: WARREN\_M ( WARREN\_M@A1@CD )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: ABRAMSON\_K ( ABRAMSON\_K@A1@CD )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: DENTON\_M ( DENTON\_M@A1@CD ) (CEQ)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: WARREN\_W ( WARREN\_W@A1@CD ) (CEQ)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: GOLDBERG\_JS ( GOLDBERG\_JS@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: WILLIAMS\_MA ( WILLIAMS\_MA@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: HOGAN\_L ( HOGAN\_L@A1@CD ) (OPD)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: SMITH\_BD ( SMITH\_BD@A1@CD ) (OMB)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: SMITH\_P ( SMITH\_P@A1@CD ) (OMB)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Jacob J. Lew ( Jacob J. Lew@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

READ:NOT READ

TO: John A. Koskinen ( John A. Koskinen@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Charles E. Kieffer ( Charles E. Kieffer@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Rebecca R. Culberson ( Rebecca R. Culberson@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Robert G. Damus ( Robert G. Damus@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Barry B. Anderson ( Barry B. Anderson@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: T J. Glauthier ( T J. Glauthier@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Joshua Gotbaum ( Joshua Gotbaum@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Kenneth S. Apfel ( Kenneth S. Apfel@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Nancy A. Min ( Nancy A. Min@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Sally Katzen ( Sally Katzen@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Joseph J. Minarik ( Joseph J. Minarik@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: William A. Halter ( William A. Halter@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Jill M. Blickstein ( Jill M. Blickstein@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Janet L. Graves ( Janet L. Graves@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Alan B. Rhinesmith ( Alan B. Rhinesmith@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Kathleen Peroff ( Kathleen Peroff@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Ronald M. Cogswell ( Ronald M. Cogswell@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Barry T. Clendenin ( Barry T. Clendenin@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Robert B. Rideout ( Robert B. Rideout@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Philip A. DuSault ( Philip A. DuSault@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )

READ:NOT READ

TO: Richard P. Emery Jr.  
READ:NOT READ

( Richard P. Emery Jr.@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Robert E. Barker  
READ:NOT READ

( Robert E. Barker@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Susanne D. Lind  
READ:NOT READ

( Susanne D. Lind@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Ellen J. Balis  
READ:NOT READ

( Ellen J. Balis@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Alicia K. Kolaian  
READ:NOT READ

( Alicia K. Kolaian@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Bruce D. Long  
READ:NOT READ

( Bruce D. Long@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Lisa M. Kountoupes  
READ:NOT READ

( Lisa M. Kountoupes@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Charles Konigsberg  
READ:NOT READ

( Charles Konigsberg@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Bruce W. McConnell  
READ:NOT READ

( Bruce W. McConnell@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Bernard H. Martin  
READ:NOT READ

( Bernard H. Martin@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Michael A. Fitzpatrick  
READ:NOT READ

( Michael A. Fitzpatrick@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Daniel M. Tangherlini  
READ:NOT READ

( Daniel M. Tangherlini@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Elisa Millsap  
READ:NOT READ

( Elisa Millsap@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Ann M. Cattalini  
READ:NOT READ

( Ann M. Cattalini@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: James C. Murr  
READ:NOT READ

( James C. Murr@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: James J. Jukes  
READ:NOT READ

( James J. Jukes@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Janet R. Forsgren  
READ:NOT READ

( Janet R. Forsgren@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Steven J. Kelman  
READ:NOT READ

( Steven J. Kelman@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Ingrid M. Schroeder  
READ:NOT READ

( Ingrid M. Schroeder@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Jeffrey A. Weinberg

( Jeffrey A. Weinberg@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

READ:NOT READ

TO: Constance J. Bowers  
READ:NOT READ

( Constance J. Bowers@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Anna M. Briatico  
READ:NOT READ

( Anna M. Briatico@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Robert J. Pellicci  
READ:NOT READ

( Robert J. Pellicci@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Alison C. Perkins  
READ:NOT READ

( Alison C. Perkins@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: E. Holly Fitter  
READ:NOT READ

( E. Holly Fitter@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Annette E. Rooney  
READ:NOT READ

( Annette E. Rooney@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Stacey L. Rubin  
READ:NOT READ

( Stacey L. Rubin@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Philip R. Dame  
READ:NOT READ

( Philip R. Dame@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Phebe N. Vickers  
READ:NOT READ

( Phebe N. Vickers@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Barbara Chow  
READ:NOT READ

( Barbara Chow@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Todd Stern  
READ:NOT READ

( Todd Stern@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Sylvia M. Mathews  
READ:NOT READ

( Sylvia M. Mathews@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: John Podesta  
READ:NOT READ

( John Podesta@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Nancy L. Brandel  
READ:NOT READ

( Nancy L. Brandel@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Elizabeth M. Toohy  
READ:NOT READ

( Elizabeth M. Toohy@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Douglas B. Sosnik  
READ:NOT READ

( Douglas B. Sosnik@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Shelley N. Fidler  
READ:NOT READ

( Shelley N. Fidler@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Janet Himler  
READ:NOT READ

( Janet Himler@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Daniel C. Tate  
READ:NOT READ

( Daniel C. Tate@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Phillip Caplan

( Phillip Caplan@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX )

READ:NOT READ

TO: Anita Chellaraj  
READ:NOT READ

( Anita Chellaraj@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Nicholas B. Kirkhorn  
READ:NOT READ

( Nicholas B. Kirkhorn@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Lucia A. Wyman  
READ:NOT READ

( Lucia A. Wyman@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Alphonse J. Maldon  
READ:NOT READ

( Alphonse J. Maldon@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Robert J. Nassif  
READ:NOT READ

( Robert J. Nassif@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Melinda D. Haskins  
READ:NOT READ

( Melinda D. Haskins@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Robert S. Fairweather  
READ:NOT READ

( Robert S. Fairweather@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Janet E. Irwin  
READ:NOT READ

( Janet E. Irwin@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: James B. Kazel  
READ:NOT READ

( James B. Kazel@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: John A. Gribben  
READ:NOT READ

( John A. Gribben@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: April K. Mellody  
READ:NOT READ

( April K. Mellody@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Mark A. Weatherly  
READ:NOT READ

( Mark A. Weatherly@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Ananias Blocker III  
READ:NOT READ

( Ananias Blocker III@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Richard A. Mertens  
READ:NOT READ

( Richard A. Mertens@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Ben A. Freeland  
READ:NOT READ

( Ben A. Freeland@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Peter G. Jacoby  
READ:NOT READ

( Peter G. Jacoby@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Kate P. Donovan  
READ:NOT READ

( Kate P. Donovan@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Angus S. King  
READ:NOT READ

( Angus S. King@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Linda Lance  
READ:NOT READ

( Linda Lance@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Justine F. Rodriguez

( Justine F. Rodriguez@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

READ:NOT READ

TO: Julie E. Mason  
READ:NOT READ

( Julie E. Mason@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Mary Jo Siclari  
READ:NOT READ

( Mary Jo Siclari@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Barry J. Toiv  
READ:NOT READ

( Barry J. Toiv@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Toni S. Hustead  
READ:NOT READ

( Toni S. Hustead@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Christopher R. Ulrich  
READ:NOT READ

( Christopher R. Ulrich@OVP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Russell W. Horwitz  
READ:NOT READ

( Russell W. Horwitz@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Elena Kagan  
READ:NOT READ

( Elena Kagan@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Eugene M. Ebner  
READ:NOT READ

( Eugene M. Ebner@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Steven D. Aitken  
READ:NOT READ

( Steven D. Aitken@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Robert S. Dotson  
READ:NOT READ

( Robert S. Dotson@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: David H. Morrison  
READ:NOT READ

( David H. Morrison@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Rodney G. Bent  
READ:NOT READ

( Rodney G. Bent@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Bruce K. Sasser  
READ:NOT READ

( Bruce K. Sasser@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Jack D. Fellows  
READ:NOT READ

( Jack D. Fellows@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Gary L. Bennethum  
READ:NOT READ

( Gary L. Bennethum@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Keith J. Fontenot  
READ:NOT READ

( Keith J. Fontenot@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Larry R. Matlack  
READ:NOT READ

( Larry R. Matlack@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Robert M. Shireman  
READ:NOT READ

( Robert M. Shireman@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Richard J. Turman  
READ:NOT READ

( Richard J. Turman@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Louisa Koch

( Louisa Koch@EOP@LNKTWY@EOPMRX )

READ:NOT READ

TO: David E. Tornquist ( David E. Tornquist@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: David J. Haun ( David J. Haun@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Francis S. Redburn ( Francis S. Redburn@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Harry G. Meyers ( Harry G. Meyers@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Edward A. Brigham ( Edward A. Brigham@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Edward M. Rea ( Edward M. Rea@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Andrew M. Schoenbach ( Andrew M. Schoenbach@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Alice E. Shuffield ( Alice E. Shuffield@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Jill M. Pizzuto ( Jill M. Pizzuto@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Patricia E. Romani ( Patricia E. Romani@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Melissa Green ( Melissa Green@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Michael W. Williams ( Michael W. Williams@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Anne H. Lewis ( Anne H. Lewis@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Peter R. Orszag ( Peter R. Orszag@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Jake Siewert ( Jake Siewert@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Charles R. Marr ( Charles R. Marr@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Kathryn B. Stack ( Kathryn B. Stack@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Rhodia D. Ewell ( Rhodia D. Ewell@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Ricardo M. Gonzales ( Ricardo M. Gonzales@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Alecia Ward ( Alecia Ward@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

READ:NOT READ

TO: Laura S. Marcus ( Laura S. Marcus@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Eric R. Anderson ( Eric R. Anderson@OVP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Mathew C. Blum ( Mathew C. Blum@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Steven L. Schooner ( Steven L. Schooner@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Michael Deich ( Michael Deich@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Collin Brown III ( Collin Brown III@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Janie L. Jeffers ( Janie L. Jeffers@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Joseph M. Wire ( Joseph M. Wire@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Arecia A. Grayton ( Arecia A. Grayton@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: James P. Christopoulos ( James P. Christopoulos@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TEXT:

Message Creation Date was at 23-JUN-1997 22:30:00

TO: DIRECTOR FRANK RAINES  
DEPUTY DIRECTOR JACK LEW  
DEPUTY DIRECTOR JOHN KOSKINEN  
EXECUTIVE ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR JOSH GOTBAUM

FROM: OMB LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS

DATE: JUNE 23, 1997

SUBJECT: LEGISLATIVE REPORT

---

Franklin D. Raines, Director, testifies tomorrow before a joint hearing held by the Senate Appropriations and Governmental Affairs Committees regarding the implementation of the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA).

RECONCILIATION:

We transmitted our letter from the OMB Director to the Senate Leadership this afternoon, outlining our concerns with the Senate spending reconciliation bill. The Senate began consideration of the bill today. Members introduced amendments, but did not hold any votes.

The House Rules Committee meets tomorrow, Tuesday, at 2:00pm. An OMB Director letter to the House Leadership is pending.

CONGRESS TODAY (6/23):

SENATE

The Senate today considered amendments to S. 947, the Budget Reconciliation bill. A vote on the Gregg amendment, to provide for terms and conditions of imposing Medicare premiums, was ordered and postponed to occur on Tuesday morning at 9:45am.

[OMB Director Letter Sent 6/23]

HOUSE

Passed (voice vote) the following bills (5) under suspension of the rule:

- o H.R. 1901 - Federal Tort Claims Clarification Act  
[No SAP]
- o H.R. 1902 - Charitable Donation Anti-Trust Immunity Act  
[No SAP]
- o H.R. 1866 - Need-Based Education and Anti-Trust Protection Act  
[No SAP]
- o H.R. 1581 - Reauthorize Current Federal Arbitration Programs  
[No SAP]
- o H.R. 1553 - JFK Assassination Records  
[No SAP]

Continue consideration of H.R. 1119 - National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1998 and 1999.

[SAP sent 6/19: Senior Advisors Recommend Veto]

While under consideration, at press time, the House took the following action on H.R. 1119:

- o Passed (416-0) Bachus Amendment which denies military funerals to veterans convicted of certain crimes (applies to Timothy McVeigh).
- o Passed (417-0) Buyer/Kennedy Amendment which authorizes \$4.5 million for the Defense and Veterans Affairs Departments to conduct clinical trials to evaluate treatments for Gulf War Illnesses.
- o Passed (415-2) Talent Amendment which requires military commanders to report & initiate searches for missing service personnel within 48 hours, unless prevented by combat conditions.
- o Rejected (209-216) Dellums Amendment which would have stricken the bill, \$331 million for advance procurement of the B-2 Stealth bomber & redirected the funds to purchase more National Guard and Reserve equipment.
- o Rejected (145-278) Everett Amendment which would have prohibited the Defense Department from entering into any contract for depot-level work at any depot facility that was identified for closure in the 1995 round of Defense Base Closure & Realignment Act (BRAC) closings.

CONGRESS TOMORROW, JUNE 24th

SENATE

Convene at 9:30am for legislative business.

Continue consideration of S. 947, the Budget Reconciliation Spending bill.

[OMB Director letter sent 6/23]

A vote on the Gregg amendment, to provide for terms and conditions of imposing Medicare premiums, was ordered Monday and postponed to occur Tuesday morning at 9:45am.

HOUSE

Convene at 9:00am for morning hour and 10:00am for legislative business.

On the Corrections calendar, the House will consider H.R. 1316 - Federal Beneficiary Clarification Act

Consider the following bills (2) under suspension of the rule:

- o H.R. 1532 - Veterans, Cemetery Protection Act  
[No SAP]
- o H.Con.Res. - Resolution Regarding Cost of Government Day  
[No SAP]

Consider H.J.Res. 79 - Disapprove Most Favored Nation Treatment to Products of the People's Republic of China  
[POTUS letter sent 6/11 and 6/18]

Continue consideration of H.R. 1119 - National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1998 and 1999  
[SAP sent 6/19: Senior Advisors Recommend Veto]

CONGRESS THIS WEEK:

SENATE

Wednesday, June 25th, and the remainder of the week:

The Senate expects to continue consideration of S. 947, the Budget Reconciliation Spending bill Wednesday, and on Thursday, they will take up the tax reconciliation bill.

Items the Senate plans to consider after the July 4th Recess:

- o Complete action on S. 936 - National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1998.  
[SAP sent 6/19: Senior Advisors Recommend Veto]
- o Reconciliation bills (Spending & Tax Cut) - Conference Reports

HOUSE

Wednesday, June 25th

Convene at 10:00am.

Consider H.Res. - The Rule for Budget Reconciliation

Consider H.R. - Budget Reconciliation Spending Component

Thursday, June 26th

Convene at 10:00am

Consider H.R. - Budget Reconciliation Tax Cut Component

Friday, June 27th - Monday, July 7th

July 4th Recess

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Diana Fortuna ( CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-JUN-1997 12:29:27.00

SUBJECT: FLSA/worker protections

TO: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Laura, please hand to Elena:

Elena, turns out I misinformed you and the FLSA language that I just sent you IS identical to the last letter we sent, to Roth. (And it actually is weaker than some of the House letters, where OMB went crazy without checking with us.) So I guess the precedent policy would argue we stick with this.

Sorry about the mixup.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Andrew J. Mayock ( CN=Andrew J. Mayock/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-JUN-1997 19:37:48.00

SUBJECT: Rewind: Urban League-Sponsored Event

TO: Ananias Blocker III ( CN=Ananias Blocker III/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Carolyn Curiel ( CN=Carolyn Curiel/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Beverly J. Barnes ( CN=Beverly J. Barnes/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lynn G. Cutler ( CN=Lynn G. Cutler/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Doris O. Matsui ( CN=Doris O. Matsui/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cheryl D. Mills ( CN=Cheryl D. Mills/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis ( CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jose Cerda III ( CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Minyon Moore ( CN=Minyon Moore/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tracey E. Thornton ( CN=Tracey E. Thornton/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet Murguia ( CN=Janet Murguia/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dawn M. Chirwa ( CN=Dawn M. Chirwa/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Susan M. Liss ( CN=Susan M. Liss/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David S. Beaubaire ( CN=David S. Beaubaire/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Emil E. Parker ( CN=Emil E. Parker/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: James T. Edmonds ( CN=James T. Edmonds/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert B. Johnson ( CN=Robert B. Johnson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mickey Ibarra ( CN=Mickey Ibarra/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Richard Socarides ( CN=Richard Socarides/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Walker ( CN=Ann F. Walker/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ellen M. Lovell ( CN=Ellen M. Lovell/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thurgood Marshall Jr. ( CN=Thurgood Marshall Jr./O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert N. Weiner ( CN=Robert N. Weiner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Richard L. Hayes ( CN=Richard L. Hayes/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bob J. Nash ( CN=Bob J. Nash/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria Echaveste ( CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

O.K. It's all coming back to me now (with the help of a few folks). This is the event that we discussed in the last meeting. Sylvia asked the working group to send me names if you were going to attend.

So far, Ben is attending the Wed press conference and his assistant is attending the workshops. Also, Chris Edley is addressing the conference at lunch on Wednesday.

If you haven't responded, please let me know if you will be attending or have suggestions/recommendations/opinions on further WH involvement.

(Sorry for any confusion.) Thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Nicole R. Rabner ( CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-JUN-1997 19:18:23.00

SUBJECT: national women's museum

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Elena -- I'd like to discuss the response from the President to a letter he received from a group of MOC urging him to establish by E.O. a task force to create a National Museum on Women's History. You had asked me a couple of weeks ago to draft the reply.

After discussions with Melanne, Ellen Lovell and Maria E., my intention is to draft a response from the President thanking the MOC, and saying that he has asked the Sec. of the Smithsonian to review this issue and report back to him about how best to recognize the important contributions that women have made to our history and culture.

Given that over the past many years, there have been several task forces created to establish museums with equally laudable goals (Native American Museum, Latino American Museum), which have not been able to secure adequate private sector funding, there is some hesitancy to call for yet another task force.

I have been trying to get a hold of Janet Murguia to run this by her and have a call into the Smithsonian to see if they think this is an assignment they would undertake. But realizing that later this week the event is scheduled on the Hill to dedicate the suffrage statue (which was done by the same group urging the establishment of this task force), I'd like to get this done soon.

Does this sound right to you?



TO:

FROM:

SUBJECT: Options on Minimum Wage for Workfare Legislation

As you know, we have been steadfast in our position that welfare recipients engaged in workfare should receive the minimum wage. We oppose the current House proposal, arguing that it would undermine the fundamental goals of welfare reform. Since the House appears poised to pass a reconciliation bill contrary to our position, it is appropriate at this point for you/us to consider whether there are any modifications to current law that we would consider, or whether our opposition is so strong that you would veto any bill with a change to current law on this issue.

Background: In May, the Department of Labor issued a ruling that the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) applies to welfare recipients in workfare programs, including payment of the minimum wage and labor protections such as occupational safety and anti-discrimination laws. There is an exclusion for trainees, but it is so narrowly drawn that states will probably find it difficult to meet its requirements and still count the activity toward the welfare law's work participation rates.

Initially, it should be comparatively easy for states to comply with the minimum wage requirement, particularly since we are allowing states to count food stamps as well. However, the requirement becomes more difficult over time as the work requirements increase from 20 to 30 hours a week. (Actually, the law allows states to keep the requirement at 20 hours indefinitely by using training to fill the hours from 20 to 30, but this is somewhat difficult from a practical standpoint, and some states have passed laws with tougher requirements.)

For example, only Mississippi's welfare grant is so low that it would have difficulty converting it into 20 hours of a minimum wage payment in 1998, in combination with food stamps, for the average family size of three. In that same year, eight states would fall short of this mark for families of only two. By the year 2000, the number of states with shortfalls grows to 21 for families of three, and to 41 states for families of two.

It is important to note that workfare is hardly the only tool available to states to move people from welfare to work. Workfare should have a limited, transitional role, since private sector jobs are the only way to ensure that those on welfare become truly independent.

Congressional Proposals: The House Republicans have language in their reconciliation bill that would exempt welfare recipients engaged in workfare from the Fair Labor Standards Act or any other federal law, except OSHA. It would ostensibly require payment of the minimum wage, but would render this meaningless by permitting states to count child care, Medicaid, and housing benefits toward that payment. (The Department of Labor's ruling does allow states to count food stamps, since this is permitted under current law.) We have stated our view that this essentially creates a subminimum wage for workfare participants. Finally, it would also allow states to count additional hours of job search, education, and training toward the welfare law's

work requirements. This would be the first weakening of the law's hard-won work requirements, and it would be a substantial weakening.

House Republicans and Democrats are now engaged in negotiations on this issue. They are considering dropping the Republican plan to count other benefits, relying instead on letting states count education and training as work where necessary. The Republicans also appear willing to compromise and extend anti-discrimination laws to those in workfare.

In contrast, the Senate has no FLSA language at this point, but they may simply be recognizing the likelihood that they would have difficulty with the issue on the floor, preferring to let it come up in conference.

If we decide to move from our current position, our alternatives would fall into four key areas.

Option 1: Count benefits other than food stamps toward the minimum wage: Counting Medicaid, child care, transportation, and/or housing benefits toward the payment of the minimum wage would make it far easier on states, but it would raise a number of other issues. First, since these benefits don't count toward the minimum wage for the working poor, it would effectively create a subminimum wage for those on welfare. Second, it could set a precedent for further erosion of the minimum wage by counting all kinds of other benefits for other low-wage workers. Third, it would make workers on workfare "cheaper" than those who are not, making displacement more likely. Finally, placing a value on these benefits is often very difficult to do, and requires recordkeeping and systems to keep them up-to-date that the states find burdensome.

Each agency offering a benefit feels strongly that that benefit should not count toward the minimum wage. HHS feels very strongly about Medicaid and, especially, child care. HUD argues vigorously against including housing benefits.

Option 2: Allow more activities to count toward the work requirements: This option is probably the one most attractive to the greatest number of parties, but it is a fundamental weakening of the hard-won work requirements in the law. Some may argue that we should embrace this proposal since the Republicans have given us political cover by proposing it themselves. However, to allow the states to throw the work requirements overboard at the first sign of difficulty is not an auspicious start to implementing this law.

HHS and Labor would not oppose changes in this area.

Option 3: Exempt workfare participants from other labor protections: Although this option does not help states find the money to meet the minimum wage, apparently much of the states' anxiety on this issue is actually focused on labor protections. There seems to be general agreement, even from the Republicans, that OSHA protection and race/sex anti-discrimination statutes should apply. The hazier issues are enforcement of the minimum wage and other labor protections. These include whether individuals should have a private right of action; whether the Labor Department's Wage and Hour Division can bring an action; and whether workfare participants are eligible for unemployment insurance and benefits, overtime, and family and

medical leave (what about ADA?). Obviously, it is possible to pick and choose from this list, either by starting with existing law and specifying which protections are excluded, or by saying that existing law does not apply and adding back certain protections.

The Department of Labor feels most strongly that we should not consider changes in this area, particularly in the area of enforcement.

Option 4: Exempt workfare recipients from FICA and the EITC: Treasury still has not ruled whether current law requires payment of FICA taxes and EITC for workfare recipients. These two issues are linked legally so that either both or neither will apply. The IRS is developing two scenarios for release. One outlines what type of state work program would require FICA and EITC payments, while the other explains the type of work program that would not trigger these payments. It seems probable that most states' programs would fall into the first category, making the states extremely unhappy. The IRS is still probably a few weeks away from completing this analysis.

We could agree to legislation specifying that workfare participants are not required to contribute to FICA and are not eligible for the EITC. This would be partially consistent with our 1994 welfare reform bill, which allowed the EITC but did not apply FICA. The logic of doing so is that it keeps private sector jobs more attractive than workfare for individuals, which is a crucial policy goal for us. And not allowing the EITC avoids increasing its identity as a "welfare" program.

Treasury strongly prefers to avoid amending the EITC, because they fear opening the program up to change on the Hill at this time.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: William H. White Jr. ( CN=William H. White Jr./OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-JUN-1997 11:23:12.00

SUBJECT: FYI - Seniors Press Conf Today on Medicare

TO: Janet Murguia ( CN=Janet Murguia/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah A. Bianchi ( CN=Sarah A. Bianchi/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert B. Johnson ( CN=Robert B. Johnson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria Echaveste ( CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Melissa Green ( CN=Melissa Green/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barbara Chow ( CN=Barbara Chow/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeanne Lambrew ( CN=Jeanne Lambrew/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barbara D. Woolley ( CN=Barbara D. Woolley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jake Siewert ( CN=Jake Siewert/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher C. Jennings ( CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Leaders of the aging community will be hold a press conference today at noon at the National Press Club to speak out against the proposed Medicare changes by the Senate Finance Committee. They will highlight the lack of the low-income premium protection, means-testing, age eligibility (65-67), and the home health co-pay. Participants include:

Jim Firman, President of National Council on Aging  
Steve Protulis, Executive Director, National Council of Senior Citizens  
Johnetta Marshall, President, Older Women's League  
Sam Simmons, President, National Caucus and Center on Black Aged  
Martha McSteen, President, National Committee to Preserve Soc Security and Medicare.

Also, I understand that the Senate Dems are organizing a Medicare event at 3:00 PM today with Kennedy, Reed or Reid?, and maybe Daschle.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Leanne A. Shimabukuro ( CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-JUN-1997 13:16:45.00

SUBJECT: COPS report

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jose Cerda III ( CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I have red dotted copies of the COPS report to both of you. The report is an update of where the COPS program stands today, and contains various examples of how it is working in communities across the country. If you have any comments on the report, please let either Jose or me know. Happy reading!

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Michael Cohen ( CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-JUN-1997 16:23:04.00

SUBJECT: VP's Family Conference: Decision for you

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

It's time to decide if you or Bill Kincaid goes to the Family Conference with POTUS. My bottom line is that it makes more sense for you to go than Bill, though I don't think we go wrong either way. The President will have plenty of education advisors there -- Riley, Riley-s senior staff, The VP's education people, and Bob Shireman from NEC. Since there will be some Supreme Court announcements that morning, he is more likely to need someone who can deal with unanticipated developments there.

Here 's the relevant information:

1. Format: POTUS speaks immediately after a roundtable discussion in which both he and VPOTUS participate. This means that his remarks will bear even less resemblance to his prepared text (which will be talking points rather than full speech) than is usually the case. I'm sure that he will weave together much of the discussion with his remarks.
2. Announcements: Just came from a meeting with Ann Lewis, and here is where things stand:
  1. The overall theme of POTUS remarks will be something along the lines of "we all have to do our part" -- with "we" including parents, educators and the federal gov't, among others
  2. At the top of his remarks POTUS will highligh budget agreement, and urge Congress to live up to the agreement, including his higher ed tax package. Some particulars in this statement will depend upon legislative developments in the next day, and we'll have to work with NEC closely on this (Bob Shireman will be in Nashville if we need him)
  3. There are several court decisions that might be announced that morning and which will warrant comment (Brady, CDA). These will make news.
  4. There may be a deal tomorrow night on TV ratings; if so, this will be in POTUS remarks as well.
  5. Last Friday a suit was filed to overturn FCC decision on e-rate. POTUS will defend his technology policy, including e-rate.
  6. POTUS will make challenges to parents and teachers to improve student learning (very rough draft below; I'll be working on this overnight), and release Education Department Handbook on School-Family-Community Partnerships.

Ann did not buy the afterschool announcement tied to the juvenile bill. I'm checking with Jose to see if he (or Rahm) wants to push harder on this. Do you want me to push againg on this tomorrow? She also didn't want to release the "Helping yor kid get ready for college" guide from ED, as too soft. I'm ok with this; it's no big deal, and we can hold it for back to school time, a higher ed event, or ED can always release it on its own. It's not exactly a weighty or Presidential volume.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Barbara D. Woolley ( CN=Barbara D. Woolley/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-JUN-1997 11:15:05.00

SUBJECT: AMA/ACOG Joint Statement on HR 1122

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer L. Klein ( CN=Jennifer L. Klein/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tracey E. Thornton ( CN=Tracey E. Thornton/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robin Leeds ( CN=Robin Leeds/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis ( CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet Murguia ( CN=Janet Murguia/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria Echaveste ( CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Marjorie Tarmey ( CN=Marjorie Tarmey/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Today, AMA and ACOG released a joint statement on HR 1122. The statement highlights where the two organizations agree and disagree to assure that interested parties get a fair understanding of the exact nature of their disagreements.

They state that although they took different positions on the legislation they agree that clarity in definition is critical to any legislation prescribing the conduct of physicians, particularly when the penalties are criminal. If the application of the statute expands beyond what AMA believes is the intent, AMA and ACOG, will oppose such applications and will fully support any physician who is prosecuted.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 23-JUN-1997 14:46:01.00

SUBJECT: Hill Message working draft

TO: Thomas L. Freedman ( CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ: UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ: UNKNOWN

TEXT:

----- Forwarded by Bruce N. Reed/OPD/EOP on 06/23/97  
02:45 PM -----

Craig T. Smith

06/23/97 12:31:32 PM

Record Type: Record

To: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message

cc:

Subject: Hill Message working draft

If you could get me your written comments on the document that I handed out this morning back to me by close of business on Wednesday, I will summarize the areas of internal disagreement and try to have a meeting to work them out before we go back up on the hill on Monday.

Message Sent

To: \_\_\_\_\_

Donald A. Baer/WHO/EOP

Ann F. Lewis/WHO/EOP

Gene B. Sperling/OPD/EOP

Bruce N. Reed/OPD/EOP

Michael Waldman/WHO/EOP

Sylvia M. Mathews/WHO/EOP

John L. Hilley/WHO/EOP

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Thomas L. Freedman ( CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-JUN-1997 16:56:41.00

SUBJECT: smokes

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

if we can be of help to you on tobacco, please let me know. we thought about researching the feasibility of reaching the decline in smoking numbers projected in the agreement and/or the effectiveness of various programs to see which deserve sponsorship....

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Kevin S. Moran ( CN=Kevin S. Moran/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-JUN-1997 19:18:23.00

SUBJECT: The White House at Work (6/23/97)

TO: Andy Dryden at gore-dc ( Andy Dryden at gore-dc @ CCMAIL [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rhonda Melton at gore-dc ( Rhonda Melton at gore-dc @ CCMAIL [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bill Mason at gore-dc ( Bill Mason at gore-dc @ ccmail [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David Ligon at gore-dc@ccmail ( David Ligon at gore-dc@ccmail [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nancy Hoit at NPR ( Nancy Hoit at NPR @ CCMAIL [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Neil Blecher at gore-dc ( Neil Blecher at gore-dc @ CCMAIL [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Clark Ogilvie at gore-dc ( Clark Ogilvie at gore-dc @ CCMAIL [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Aimee Malnati at gore-dc ( Aimee Malnati at gore-dc @ ccmail [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth Katze at gore-dc ( Elizabeth Katze at gore-dc @ ccmail [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cheryl Anderson at gore-dc ( Cheryl Anderson at gore-dc @ CCMAIL [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maurice Daniel ( CN=Maurice Daniel/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary A. Dixon ( CN=Mary A. Dixon/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Todd H. Dennett ( CN=Todd H. Dennett/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steven W. Adamske ( CN=Steven W. Adamske/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lawrence J. Haas ( CN=Lawrence J. Haas/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael B. Waitzkin ( CN=Michael B. Waitzkin/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leigh A. Apple ( CN=Leigh A. Apple/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Matthew I. Fraidin ( CN=Matthew I. Fraidin/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisa M. Brown ( CN=Lisa M. Brown/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul J. Cusack ( CN=Paul J. Cusack/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bobbie J. Bauman ( CN=Bobbie J. Bauman/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Donald H. Gips ( CN=Donald H. Gips/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kimberly M. Harold ( CN=Kimberly M. Harold/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Amy M. Greenspun ( CN=Amy M. Greenspun/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles W. Burson ( CN=Charles W. Burson/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Susan M. Liss ( CN=Susan M. Liss/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Roger V. Salazar ( CN=Roger V. Salazar/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Trooper Sanders ( CN=Trooper Sanders/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jalair Y. Flynn ( CN=Jalair Y. Flynn/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth A. Hyman ( CN=Elizabeth A. Hyman/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Linda B. Paris ( CN=Linda B. Paris/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elisabeth Stock ( CN=Elisabeth Stock/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joanne M. Hilty ( CN=Joanne M. Hilty/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Samuel Phipps ( CN=Samuel Phipps/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steven W. Craft ( CN=Steven W. Craft/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Virginia M. Terzano ( CN=Virginia M. Terzano/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kinney Zalesne ( CN=Kinney Zalesne/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alberta A. Winkler ( CN=Alberta A. Winkler/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peggy C. Wilhide ( CN=Peggy C. Wilhide/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan Weiss ( CN=Jonathan Weiss/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Angelina Walker ( CN=Angelina Walker/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lorraine A. Voles ( CN=Lorraine A. Voles/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Moe Vela ( CN=Moe Vela/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher R. Ulrich ( CN=Christopher R. Ulrich/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kimberly H Tilley ( CN=Kimberly H Tilley/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cindy Trutanic ( CN=Cindy Trutanic/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David R Thomas ( CN=David R Thomas/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David M. Strauss ( CN=David M. Strauss/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John Stoner ( CN=John Stoner/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elisabeth S. Steele ( CN=Elisabeth S. Steele/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan Spalter ( CN=Jonathan Spalter/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Caren L. Solomon ( CN=Caren L. Solomon/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Deb Smith ( CN=Deb Smith/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gregory C. Simon ( CN=Gregory C. Simon/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Callie Shell ( CN=Callie Shell/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eric L. Schnure ( CN=Eric L. Schnure/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kristin A. Schneeman ( CN=Kristin A. Schneeman/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sandra E. Schmidt ( CN=Sandra E. Schmidt/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Araceli Ruano ( CN=Araceli Ruano/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julie Romfh ( CN=Julie Romfh/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Margaret V. Pugh ( CN=Margaret V. Pugh/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dan Pink ( CN=Dan Pink/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julia M. Payne ( CN=Julia M. Payne/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary M. Overbey ( CN=Mary M. Overbey/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ellen L. Ochs ( CN=Ellen L. Ochs/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth A. Notman ( CN=Elizabeth A. Notman/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wendy C. New ( CN=Wendy C. New/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: MOTOR\_1 ( CN=MOTOR\_1/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer Miller ( CN=Jennifer Miller/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rhonda Melton ( CN=Rhonda Melton/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thurgood Marshall Jr. ( CN=Thurgood Marshall Jr./O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Heather M. Marabeti ( CN=Heather M. Marabeti/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Linda Lance ( CN=Linda Lance/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Heidi Kukis ( CN=Heidi Kukis/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jim Kohlenberger ( CN=Jim Kohlenberger/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ron Klain ( CN=Ron Klain/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joe Keohan ( CN=Joe Keohan/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elaine C. Kamarck ( CN=Elaine C. Kamarck/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Vivian Jones ( CN=Vivian Jones/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ansley Jones ( CN=Ansley Jones/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Phillip J. Humnicky ( CN=Phillip J. Humnicky/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bonnie Houchen ( CN=Bonnie Houchen/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kim J. Hopkins ( CN=Kim J. Hopkins/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul Hegarty ( CN=Paul Hegarty/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gordon Heddell ( CN=Gordon Heddell/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wendy Hartman ( CN=Wendy Hartman/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Skila S. Harris ( CN=Skila S. Harris/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth Harrington ( CN=Elizabeth Harrington/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce Harding ( CN=Bruce Harding/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sue R. Greenberg ( CN=Sue R. Greenberg/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Betsey Grais ( CN=Betsey Grais/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lucia F. Gilliland ( CN=Lucia F. Gilliland/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael B. Feldman ( CN=Michael B. Feldman/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joseph D Eyer ( CN=Joseph D Eyer/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jackie A Dycke ( CN=Jackie A Dycke/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Philip G Dufour ( CN=Philip G Dufour/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Toby Donenfeld ( CN=Toby Donenfeld/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marc R D'Anjou ( CN=Marc R D'Anjou/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peggy Cusack ( CN=Peggy Cusack/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth J. Cotham ( CN=Elizabeth J. Cotham/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joseph W. Cerrell ( CN=Joseph W. Cerrell/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael J. Burton ( CN=Michael J. Burton/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lee Ann Brackett ( CN=Lee Ann Brackett/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisa A. Berg ( CN=Lisa A. Berg/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Debbie B Bengtson ( CN=Debbie B Bengtson/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tyler S. Beardsley ( CN=Tyler S. Beardsley/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gayle Bauer ( CN=Gayle Bauer/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Doug Babcock ( CN=Doug Babcock/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eric R. Anderson ( CN=Eric R. Anderson/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sally J. Aman ( CN=Sally J. Aman/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bill F Althoff ( CN=Bill F Althoff/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dennis W. Alpert ( CN=Dennis W. Alpert/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan R. Yarowsky ( CN=Jonathan R. Yarowsky/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lorraine L. Wytkind ( CN=Lorraine L. Wytkind/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lucia A. Wyman ( CN=Lucia A. Wyman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Carolyn T. Wu ( CN=Carolyn T. Wu/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ronald L. Wright ( CN=Ronald L. Wright/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barbara D. Woolley ( CN=Barbara D. Woolley/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dina Wood ( CN=Dina Wood/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Debra S. Wood ( CN=Debra S. Wood/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Woyneab M. Wondwossen ( CN=Woyneab M. Wondwossen/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Patricia Wilson ( CN=Patricia Wilson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eileen A. Wilson ( CN=Eileen A. Wilson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Courtland L. Willman ( CN=Courtland L. Willman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sherman A. Williams ( CN=Sherman A. Williams/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Patricia Williams ( CN=Patricia Williams/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael W. Williams ( CN=Michael W. Williams/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisa M. Williams ( CN=Lisa M. Williams/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jamie S. Williams ( CN=Jamie S. Williams/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cecily C. Williams ( CN=Cecily C. Williams/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Teresa Wildman ( CN=Teresa Wildman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kim B. Widdess ( CN=Kim B. Widdess/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Madeleine W. Wickwire ( CN=Madeleine W. Wickwire/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anna C. White ( CN=Anna C. White/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William H. White Jr. ( CN=William H. White Jr./OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Daniel Wexler ( CN=Daniel Wexler/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Howard R. Westray ( CN=Howard R. Westray/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter A. Weissman ( CN=Peter A. Weissman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Philip L. Weintraub ( CN=Philip L. Weintraub/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert N. Weiner ( CN=Robert N. Weiner/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sara B. Weider ( CN=Sara B. Weider/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dorian V. Weaver ( CN=Dorian V. Weaver/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher Wayne ( CN=Christopher Wayne/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Setti D. Warren ( CN=Setti D. Warren/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kathleen M. Wallman ( CN=Kathleen M. Wallman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Odetta S. Walker ( CN=Odetta S. Walker/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher F. Walker ( CN=Christopher F. Walker/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anne S. Walker ( CN=Anne S. Walker/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Walker ( CN=Ann F. Walker/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Susan E. Walitsky ( CN=Susan E. Walitsky/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Waldman ( CN=Michael Waldman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janice H. Vranich ( CN=Janice H. Vranich/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Miriam H. Vogel ( CN=Miriam H. Vogel/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Amandeep S. Viridi ( CN=Amandeep S. Viridi/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria L. Veloz ( CN=Maria L. Veloz/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas J. Vellenga ( CN=Thomas J. Vellenga/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ilia V. Velez ( CN=Ilia V. Velez/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dag Vega ( CN=Dag Vega/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Terry Vasquez ( CN=Terry Vasquez/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Suzanna A. Valdez ( CN=Suzanna A. Valdez/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eileen M. Upperman ( CN=Eileen M. Upperman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: June G. Turner ( CN=June G. Turner/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Phyllis J. Tucker ( CN=Phyllis J. Tucker/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gregory T. Trainor ( CN=Gregory T. Trainor/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Serena C. Torrey ( CN=Serena C. Torrey/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jodie R. Torkelson ( CN=Jodie R. Torkelson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth M. Toohey ( CN=Elizabeth M. Toohey/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry J. Toiv ( CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Terri J. Tingen ( CN=Terri J. Tingen/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tracey E. Thornton ( CN=Tracey E. Thornton/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Patsy L. Thomasson ( CN=Patsy L. Thomasson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Edwin R. Thomas III ( CN=Edwin R. Thomas III/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Theresa A. Thibadeau ( CN=Theresa A. Thibadeau/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ora Theard ( CN=Ora Theard/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: James M. Teague ( CN=James M. Teague/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Helena C. Tavares ( CN=Helena C. Tavares/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Daniel C. Tate ( CN=Daniel C. Tate/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marjorie Tarmey ( CN=Marjorie Tarmey/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael J. Sullivan ( CN=Michael J. Sullivan/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alan P. Sullivan ( CN=Alan P. Sullivan/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stephanie S. Streett ( CN=Stephanie S. Streett/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Heidi W. Strassler ( CN=Heidi W. Strassler/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dana C. Strand ( CN=Dana C. Strand/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Darby E. Stott ( CN=Darby E. Stott/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cheri L. Stockham ( CN=Cheri L. Stockham/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Annie Stewart ( CN=Annie Stewart/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Todd Stern ( CN=Todd Stern/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Richard Steinkamp ( CN=Richard Steinkamp/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Aviva Steinberg ( CN=Aviva Steinberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Helen M. Stefanopoulos ( CN=Helen M. Stefanopoulos/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christine A. Stanek ( CN=Christine A. Stanek/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Whitney S. Staley ( CN=Whitney S. Staley/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Judith A. Spangler ( CN=Judith A. Spangler/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Douglas B. Sosnik ( CN=Douglas B. Sosnik/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Patricia Solis-Doyle ( CN=Patricia Solis-Doyle/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Richard Socarides ( CN=Richard Socarides/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dorothea S. Smith ( CN=Dorothea S. Smith/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Craig T. Smith ( CN=Craig T. Smith/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brian D. Smith ( CN=Brian D. Smith/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bernice W. Smith ( CN=Bernice W. Smith/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: C. Wayne Skinner ( CN=C. Wayne Skinner/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen E. Skelton ( CN=Karen E. Skelton/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tracy F. Sisser ( CN=Tracy F. Sisser/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sherry L. Singer ( CN=Sherry L. Singer/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wandra E. Simpson ( CN=Wandra E. Simpson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bryan Simmons ( CN=Bryan Simmons/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stephen B. Silverman ( CN=Stephen B. Silverman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joshua Silverman ( CN=Joshua Silverman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael A. Siegel ( CN=Michael A. Siegel/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wendy W. Showers ( CN=Wendy W. Showers/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michelle J. Shirley ( CN=Michelle J. Shirley/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David Shipley ( CN=David Shipley/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: June Shih ( CN=June Shih/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Douglas S. Sheorn ( CN=Douglas S. Sheorn/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Melanie L. Shender ( CN=Melanie L. Shender/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas A. Shea ( CN=Thomas A. Shea/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael A. Sharp ( CN=Michael A. Sharp/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter A. Selfridge ( CN=Peter A. Selfridge/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sondra L. Seba ( CN=Sondra L. Seba/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brooks E. Scoville ( CN=Brooks E. Scoville/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Judithanne V. Scourfield ( CN=Judithanne V. Scourfield/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marsha Scott ( CN=Marsha Scott/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura D. Schwartz ( CN=Laura D. Schwartz/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jamie B. Schwartz ( CN=Jamie B. Schwartz/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert W. Schroeder ( CN=Robert W. Schroeder/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elisabeth M. Schilling ( CN=Elisabeth M. Schilling/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Debra A. Schiff ( CN=Debra A. Schiff/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stuart M. Schear ( CN=Stuart M. Schear/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: G. Timothy Saunders ( CN=G. Timothy Saunders/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stefanie Sanford ( CN=Stefanie Sanford/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Justin M. Sandberg ( CN=Justin M. Sandberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ana Sanchez ( CN=Ana Sanchez/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dorinda A. Salcido ( CN=Dorinda A. Salcido/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ana Maria Salazar ( CN=Ana Maria Salazar/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Evan Ryan ( CN=Evan Ryan/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Denise E. Ryan ( CN=Denise E. Ryan/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Virginia N. Rustique ( CN=Virginia N. Rustique/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles F. Ruff ( CN=Charles F. Ruff/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stacey L. Rubin ( CN=Stacey L. Rubin/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sharolyn A. Rosier ( CN=Sharolyn A. Rosier/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Danielle Rose ( CN=Danielle Rose/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert Rosen ( CN=Robert Rosen/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dan K. Rosenthal ( CN=Dan K. Rosenthal/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steven J. Ronnel ( CN=Steven J. Ronnel/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alice L. Ronk ( CN=Alice L. Ronk/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gertrude A. Roddick ( CN=Gertrude A. Roddick/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Helen P. Robinson ( CN=Helen P. Robinson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan P. Robell ( CN=Jonathan P. Robell/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Earlene F. Rick ( CN=Earlene F. Rick/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stacy E. Reynolds ( CN=Stacy E. Reynolds/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brian A. Reich ( CN=Brian A. Reich/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rachel A. Redington ( CN=Rachel A. Redington/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: James M. Reagan ( CN=James M. Reagan/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Irwin Raij ( CN=Irwin Raij/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Victoria Radd ( CN=Victoria Radd/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karl A. Racine ( CN=Karl A. Racine/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nicole R. Rabner ( CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alice J. Pushkar ( CN=Alice J. Pushkar/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dawn Puestow ( CN=Dawn Puestow/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan Prince ( CN=Jonathan Prince/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jaycee A. Pribulsky ( CN=Jaycee A. Pribulsky/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen A. Popp ( CN=Karen A. Popp/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Shawnrick S. Polk ( CN=Shawnrick S. Polk/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John Podesta ( CN=John Podesta/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Angelique Pirozzi ( CN=Angelique Pirozzi/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dang T. Pham ( CN=Dang T. Pham/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michelle Peterson ( CN=Michelle Peterson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen W. Peterson ( CN=Karen W. Peterson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julia M. Payne ( CN=Julia M. Payne/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sally P. Paxton ( CN=Sally P. Paxton/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Aaron P. Patterson ( CN=Aaron P. Patterson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Simeona F. Pasquil ( CN=Simeona F. Pasquil/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Carole A. Parmelee ( CN=Carole A. Parmelee/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer M. Palmieri ( CN=Jennifer M. Palmieri/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tracy Pakulniewicz ( CN=Tracy Pakulniewicz/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Catherine R. Pacific ( CN=Catherine R. Pacific/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tracy S. Olmstead ( CN=Tracy S. Olmstead/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rosemary B. O'Shea ( CN=Rosemary B. O'Shea/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter O'Keefe ( CN=Peter O'Keefe/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael E. O'Connor ( CN=Michael E. O'Connor/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tom O'Brien ( CN=Tom O'Brien/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stephen R. Nolet ( CN=Stephen R. Nolet/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dimitri J. Nionakis ( CN=Dimitri J. Nionakis/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jadine Nielsen ( CN=Jadine Nielsen/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth R. Newman ( CN=Elizabeth R. Newman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David W. Neslen ( CN=David W. Neslen/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles B. Nash ( CN=Charles B. Nash/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bob J. Nash ( CN=Bob J. Nash/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alex G. Nagy ( CN=Alex G. Nagy/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sam Myers ( CN=Sam Myers/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Reuben L. Musgrave Jr. ( CN=Reuben L. Musgrave Jr./OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alison Muscatine ( CN=Alison Muscatine/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet Murguia ( CN=Janet Murguia/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan Murchinson ( CN=Jonathan Murchinson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Scott Mulhauser ( CN=Scott Mulhauser/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary Morrison ( CN=Mary Morrison/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kevin S. Moran ( CN=Kevin S. Moran/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Minyon Moore ( CN=Minyon Moore/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Linda L. Moore ( CN=Linda L. Moore/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John B. Montgomery ( CN=John B. Montgomery/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Megan C. Moloney ( CN=Megan C. Moloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Deborah B. Mohile ( CN=Deborah B. Mohile/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cheryl D. Mills ( CN=Cheryl D. Mills/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elisa Millsap ( CN=Elisa Millsap/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Matthew R. Millikin ( CN=Matthew R. Millikin/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tanya L. Miller ( CN=Tanya L. Miller/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Denise L. Miller ( CN=Denise L. Miller/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: R. Scott Michaud ( CN=R. Scott Michaud/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Noa A. Meyer ( CN=Noa A. Meyer/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ashley Merryman ( CN=Ashley Merryman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Manuel A. Mendoza ( CN=Manuel A. Mendoza/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: April K. Mellody ( CN=April K. Mellody/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Susan McNay ( CN=Susan McNay/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nancy E. McNamara ( CN=Nancy E. McNamara/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Myron L. McMullen Sr. ( CN=Myron L. McMullen Sr./OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Patricia A. McHugh ( CN=Patricia A. McHugh/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anne E. McGuire ( CN=Anne E. McGuire/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Scott B. McGee ( CN=Scott B. McGee/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael D. McCurry ( CN=Michael D. McCurry/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann A. McCoy ( CN=Ann A. McCoy/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kelli R. McClure ( CN=Kelli R. McClure/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William W. McCathran ( CN=William W. McCathran/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ellen W. McCathran ( CN=Ellen W. McCathran/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andrew J. Mayock ( CN=Andrew J. Mayock/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Clifford J. Mauton Jr. ( CN=Clifford J. Mauton Jr./OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Doris O. Matsui ( CN=Doris O. Matsui/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sylvia M. Mathews ( CN=Sylvia M. Mathews/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julie E. Mason ( CN=Julie E. Mason/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William P. Marshall ( CN=William P. Marshall/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Capricia P. Marshall ( CN=Capricia P. Marshall/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura S. Marcus ( CN=Laura S. Marcus/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nathan A. Marceca ( CN=Nathan A. Marceca/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alex D. Mandl ( CN=Alex D. Mandl/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael D. Malone ( CN=Michael D. Malone/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alphonse J. Maldon ( CN=Alphonse J. Maldon/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kristin J. Madigan ( CN=Kristin J. Madigan/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Susanna M. Ludwig ( CN=Susanna M. Ludwig/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ellen M. Lovell ( CN=Ellen M. Lovell/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: James X. Loftus ( CN=James X. Loftus/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joseph P. Lockhart ( CN=Joseph P. Lockhart/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gordon Li ( CN=Gordon Li/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce R. Lindsey ( CN=Bruce R. Lindsey/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David Lieber ( CN=David Lieber/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Carole M. Lieber ( CN=Carole M. Lieber/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sharon R. Lewis ( CN=Sharon R. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Patricia F. Lewis ( CN=Patricia F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maureen F. Lewis ( CN=Maureen F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis ( CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisa J. Levin ( CN=Lisa J. Levin/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Daniel Lesmez ( CN=Daniel Lesmez/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robin Leeds ( CN=Robin Leeds/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher J. Lavery ( CN=Christopher J. Lavery/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: G N. Lattimore ( CN=G N. Lattimore/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sara M. Latham ( CN=Sara M. Latham/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jessie L. Lane ( CN=Jessie L. Lane/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tracy B. LaBrecque ( CN=Tracy B. LaBrecque/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Agustin A. Labrador ( CN=Agustin A. Labrador/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karin Kullman ( CN=Karin Kullman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen C. Kucik ( CN=Karen C. Kucik/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lori K. Krause ( CN=Lori K. Krause/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary J. Kitsos ( CN=Mary J. Kitsos/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Catherine T. Kitchen ( CN=Catherine T. Kitchen/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nicholas B. Kirkhorn ( CN=Nicholas B. Kirkhorn/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joshua A. King ( CN=Joshua A. King/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Angus S. King ( CN=Angus S. King/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Amatullah S. King ( CN=Amatullah S. King/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julie E. Kiley ( CN=Julie E. Kiley/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jody J. Kaplan ( CN=Jody J. Kaplan/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David E. Kalbaugh ( CN=David E. Kalbaugh/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer A. Jordan ( CN=Jennifer A. Jordan/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cyril Jones ( CN=Cyril Jones/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rochester M. Johnson ( CN=Rochester M. Johnson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert B. Johnson ( CN=Robert B. Johnson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lee R. Johnson ( CN=Lee R. Johnson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne I. Johnson ( CN=Leanne I. Johnson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia M. Jasso-Rotunno ( CN=Cynthia M. Jasso-Rotunno/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sylvia M. Jarrell ( CN=Sylvia M. Jarrell/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas D. Janenda ( CN=Thomas D. Janenda/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter G. Jacoby ( CN=Peter G. Jacoby/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ronda H. Jackson ( CN=Ronda H. Jackson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marilyn R. Jacanin ( CN=Marilyn R. Jacanin/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael X. Imbroscio ( CN=Michael X. Imbroscio/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Diane Ikemiyashiro ( CN=Diane Ikemiyashiro/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mickey Ibarra ( CN=Mickey Ibarra/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Phu D. Huynh ( CN=Phu D. Huynh/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rebecca Hunter ( CN=Rebecca Hunter/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mark Hunker ( CN=Mark Hunker/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary E. Humphrey ( CN=Mary E. Humphrey/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Edward F. Hughes ( CN=Edward F. Hughes/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David E. Hughes ( CN=David E. Hughes/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maureen A. Hudson ( CN=Maureen A. Hudson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Carolyn Huber ( CN=Carolyn Huber/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Katherine Hubbard ( CN=Katherine Hubbard/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michelle Houston ( CN=Michelle Houston/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert C. Houser ( CN=Robert C. Houser/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Everett D. Houser ( CN=Everett D. Houser/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer A. Housell ( CN=Jennifer A. Housell/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eric P. Hothem ( CN=Eric P. Hothem/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stephen K. Horn ( CN=Stephen K. Horn/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jay W. Hoover ( CN=Jay W. Hoover/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Holly H. Holt ( CN=Holly H. Holt/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marc A. Hoberman ( CN=Marc A. Hoberman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John L. Hilley ( CN=John L. Hilley/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Evelyn Hildebrand ( CN=Evelyn Hildebrand/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kathryn O. Higgins ( CN=Kathryn O. Higgins/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nancy V. Hernreich ( CN=Nancy V. Hernreich/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David M. Hernandez ( CN=David M. Hernandez/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Madge H. Henning ( CN=Madge H. Henning/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Melissa N. Henke ( CN=Melissa N. Henke/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eunice C. Hendrix ( CN=Eunice C. Hendrix/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura A. Helmke ( CN=Laura A. Helmke/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: James T. Heimbach ( CN=James T. Heimbach/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura A. Heflin ( CN=Laura A. Heflin/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Susan L. Hazard ( CN=Susan L. Hazard/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Richard L. Hayes ( CN=Richard L. Hayes/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: James A. Hawkins ( CN=James A. Hawkins/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kirk T. Hanlin ( CN=Kirk T. Hanlin/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marjorie S. Hankins ( CN=Marjorie S. Hankins/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Franklin P. Hall Jr. ( CN=Franklin P. Hall Jr./OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julia R. Green ( CN=Julia R. Green/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeffrey A. Green ( CN=Jeffrey A. Green/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Erin E. Green ( CN=Erin E. Green/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael A. Grant ( CN=Michael A. Grant/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Theresa F. Granger ( CN=Theresa F. Granger/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura A. Graham ( CN=Laura A. Graham/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Terry W. Good ( CN=Terry W. Good/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Donald R. Goodwin ( CN=Donald R. Goodwin/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: D. Stephen Goodin ( CN=D. Stephen Goodin/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ricardo M. Gonzales ( CN=Ricardo M. Gonzales/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeremy S. Gold ( CN=Jeremy S. Gold/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andrew G. Goldenbaum ( CN=Andrew G. Goldenbaum/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jason S. Goldberg ( CN=Jason S. Goldberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Donald Goldberg ( CN=Donald Goldberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Adam W. Goldberg ( CN=Adam W. Goldberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jessica L. Gibson ( CN=Jessica L. Gibson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ray P. Gibbs ( CN=Ray P. Gibbs/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dianna M. Gerwitz ( CN=Dianna M. Gerwitz/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barbara J. Garner ( CN=Barbara J. Garner/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Catherine Garbacz ( CN=Catherine Garbacz/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen K. Gaines ( CN=Karen K. Gaines/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeremy M. Gaines ( CN=Jeremy M. Gaines/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andrew Friendly ( CN=Andrew Friendly/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah S. Freeman ( CN=Sarah S. Freeman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ben A. Freeland ( CN=Ben A. Freeland/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Carmen B. Fowler ( CN=Carmen B. Fowler/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tom Forgue ( CN=Tom Forgue/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeffrey A. Forbes ( CN=Jeffrey A. Forbes/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jay K. Footlik ( CN=Jay K. Footlik/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen E. Finney ( CN=Karen E. Finney/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: James R. Finch ( CN=James R. Finch/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sheelah A. Feinberg ( CN=Sheelah A. Feinberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Amara S. Faulkner ( CN=Amara S. Faulkner/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah Farnsworth ( CN=Sarah Farnsworth/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eric Farnsworth ( CN=Eric Farnsworth/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Deborah Falk ( CN=Deborah Falk/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Carmella T. Fairbanks ( CN=Carmella T. Fairbanks/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen C. Fahle ( CN=Karen C. Fahle/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Matthew B. Ezzell ( CN=Matthew B. Ezzell/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wanda M. Evans ( CN=Wanda M. Evans/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Madalene E. Evans ( CN=Madalene E. Evans/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter C. Erichsen ( CN=Peter C. Erichsen/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul K. Engskov ( CN=Paul K. Engskov/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rahm I. Emanuel ( CN=Rahm I. Emanuel/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nicole Elkon ( CN=Nicole Elkon/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anne M. Edwards ( CN=Anne M. Edwards/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: James T. Edmonds ( CN=James T. Edmonds/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann T. Eder ( CN=Ann T. Eder/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria Echaveste ( CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ruth A. Eaglin ( CN=Ruth A. Eaglin/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Fred Duval ( CN=Fred Duval/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ana M. Duque ( CN=Ana M. Duque/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles N. Duncan ( CN=Charles N. Duncan/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer D. Dudley ( CN=Jennifer D. Dudley/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Philip C. Droege ( CN=Philip C. Droege/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dimitra Doufekias ( CN=Dimitra Doufekias/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: James A. Dorskind ( CN=James A. Dorskind/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Raymond E. Donnelly III ( CN=Raymond E. Donnelly III/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marie-Therese Dominguez ( CN=Marie-Therese Dominguez/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marilyn DiGiacobbe ( CN=Marilyn DiGiacobbe/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robyn G. Dickey ( CN=Robyn G. Dickey/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lana Dickey ( CN=Lana Dickey/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura K. Demeo ( CN=Laura K. Demeo/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah J. Delaney ( CN=Sarah J. Delaney/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gino J. Del Sesto ( CN=Gino J. Del Sesto/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lanny J. Davis ( CN=Lanny J. Davis/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Heather L. Davis ( CN=Heather L. Davis/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kelly David ( CN=Kelly David/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisa R. Dass ( CN=Lisa R. Dass/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Suzanne Dale ( CN=Suzanne Dale/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lynn G. Cutler ( CN=Lynn G. Cutler/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anne D. Cutler ( CN=Anne D. Cutler/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anna E. Cushing ( CN=Anna E. Cushing/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet F. Curtis ( CN=Janet F. Curtis/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Betty W. Currie ( CN=Betty W. Currie/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Carolyn Curiel ( CN=Carolyn Curiel/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nelson W. Cunningham ( CN=Nelson W. Cunningham/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Caroline J. Croft ( CN=Caroline J. Croft/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michelle Crisci ( CN=Michelle Crisci/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kelly Craighead ( CN=Kelly Craighead/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lynn A. Crable ( CN=Lynn A. Crable/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charlene C. Cozart ( CN=Charlene C. Cozart/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kelly Courtney ( CN=Kelly Courtney/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brenda B. Costello ( CN=Brenda B. Costello/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Catherine A. Cornelius ( CN=Catherine A. Cornelius/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary R. Conroy ( CN=Mary R. Conroy/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles H. Cole ( CN=Charles H. Cole/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steven A. Cohen ( CN=Steven A. Cohen/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joshua A. Cohen-Peyrot ( CN=Joshua A. Cohen-Peyrot/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: C Patti Cogdell ( CN=C Patti Cogdell/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cameron L. Cobden ( CN=Cameron L. Cobden/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Carolyn E. Cleveland ( CN=Carolyn E. Cleveland/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barbara Chow ( CN=Barbara Chow/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dawn M. Chirwa ( CN=Dawn M. Chirwa/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eduardo A. Chavez ( CN=Eduardo A. Chavez/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David K. Chai ( CN=David K. Chai/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cherilyn T. Cepriano ( CN=Cherilyn T. Cepriano/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann M. Cattalini ( CN=Ann M. Cattalini/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cheryl M. Carter ( CN=Cheryl M. Carter/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul R. Carey ( CN=Paul R. Carey/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janice F. Caramanica ( CN=Janice F. Caramanica/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura K. Capps ( CN=Laura K. Capps/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Phillip Caplan ( CN=Phillip Caplan/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Virginia R. Canter ( CN=Virginia R. Canter/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Frances L. Campbell ( CN=Frances L. Campbell/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rebecca A. Cameron ( CN=Rebecca A. Cameron/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Katharine Button ( CN=Katharine Button/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Miguel M. Bustos ( CN=Miguel M. Bustos/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Daniel W. Burkhardt ( CN=Daniel W. Burkhardt/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Margueritte B. Brown ( CN=Margueritte B. Brown/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Susan A. Brophy ( CN=Susan A. Brophy/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Emily Bromberg ( CN=Emily Bromberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Patrick E. Briggs ( CN=Patrick E. Briggs/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lanny A. Breuer ( CN=Lanny A. Breuer/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Erskine B. Bowles ( CN=Erskine B. Bowles/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Allison L. Bowles ( CN=Allison L. Bowles/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: George H. Bohrer ( CN=George H. Bohrer/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tracy A. Bobowick ( CN=Tracy A. Bobowick/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Shenna C. Blunt ( CN=Shenna C. Blunt/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ananias Blocker III ( CN=Ananias Blocker III/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kevin H. Blake ( CN=Kevin H. Blake/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Debra D. Bird ( CN=Debra D. Bird/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary U. Binns ( CN=Mary U. Binns/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Susan Beveridge ( CN=Susan Beveridge/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marsha E. Berry ( CN=Marsha E. Berry/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jacquelyn J. Bennett ( CN=Jacquelyn J. Bennett/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David Belsky ( CN=David Belsky/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jacqueline R. Bellanti ( CN=Jacqueline R. Bellanti/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wendy L. Bedwell ( CN=Wendy L. Bedwell/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David S. Beaubaire ( CN=David S. Beaubaire/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Melinda N. Bates ( CN=Melinda N. Bates/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Walker F. Bass ( CN=Walker F. Bass/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kathleen A. Barry ( CN=Kathleen A. Barry/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Beverly J. Barnes ( CN=Beverly J. Barnes/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Antoinette Barksdale ( CN=Antoinette Barksdale/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barbara A. Barclay ( CN=Barbara A. Barclay/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Douglas J. Band ( CN=Douglas J. Band/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cinnamon V. Balmuth ( CN=Cinnamon V. Balmuth/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ UNKNOWN ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nicholas R. Baldick ( CN=Nicholas R. Baldick/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kris M Balderston ( CN=Kris M Balderston/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kyle M. Baker ( CN=Kyle M. Baker/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joan C. Baker ( CN=Joan C. Baker/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Donald A. Baer ( CN=Donald A. Baer/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christine K. Baer ( CN=Christine K. Baer/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robin J. Bachman ( CN=Robin J. Bachman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gary Bacher ( CN=Gary Bacher/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gene T. Aversano ( CN=Gene T. Aversano/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eli G. Attie ( CN=Eli G. Attie/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lillian Aponte ( CN=Lillian Aponte/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Melody A. Andreola ( CN=Melody A. Andreola/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brenda M. Anders ( CN=Brenda M. Anders/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lori L. Anderson ( CN=Lori L. Anderson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: One America ( CN=One America/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Patricia I. Amador ( CN=Patricia I. Amador/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Louis Altarescu ( CN=Louis Altarescu/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brian A. Alcorn ( CN=Brian A. Alcorn/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen Abravanel ( CN=Karen Abravanel/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lori E. Abrams ( CN=Lori E. Abrams/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Huma M. Abedin ( CN=Huma M. Abedin/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Irene Yeh ( CN=Irene Yeh/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul J. Weinstein Jr. ( CN=Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sandra Thurman ( CN=Sandra Thurman/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Aaron J. Thoryk ( CN=Aaron J. Thoryk/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Daniel K. Tarullo ( CN=Daniel K. Tarullo/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gene B. Sperling ( CN=Gene B. Sperling/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary L. Smith ( CN=Mary L. Smith/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marc A. Silverman ( CN=Marc A. Silverman/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jake Siewert ( CN=Jake Siewert/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert M. Shireman ( CN=Robert M. Shireman/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro ( CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rachel J. Shapiro ( CN=Rachel J. Shapiro/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ellen S. Seidman ( CN=Ellen S. Seidman/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dorothy Robyn ( CN=Dorothy Robyn/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christa Robinson ( CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David E. Pearah ( CN=David E. Pearah/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Carmena Parris ( CN=Carmena Parris/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Emil E. Parker ( CN=Emil E. Parker/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kristen E. Panerali ( CN=Kristen E. Panerali/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter R. Orszag ( CN=Peter R. Orszag/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Daniel C. Montoya ( CN=Daniel C. Montoya/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cathy R. Mays ( CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barbara A. Matzner ( CN=Barbara A. Matzner/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sonyia Matthews ( CN=Sonyia Matthews/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mia V. Masten ( CN=Mia V. Masten/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles R. Marr ( CN=Charles R. Marr/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ira C. Magaziner ( CN=Ira C. Magaziner/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anne H. Lewis ( CN=Anne H. Lewis/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Malcolm R. Lee ( CN=Malcolm R. Lee/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeanne Lambrew ( CN=Jeanne Lambrew/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert D. Kyle ( CN=Robert D. Kyle/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer L. Klein ( CN=Jennifer L. Klein/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William R. Kincaid ( CN=William R. Kincaid/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan A. Kaplan ( CN=Jonathan A. Kaplan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas A. Kalil ( CN=Thomas A. Kalil/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gay L. Joshlyn ( CN=Gay L. Joshlyn/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher C. Jennings ( CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Russell W. Horwitz ( CN=Russell W. Horwitz/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Melissa Green ( CN=Melissa Green/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Carrie E. Greenstein ( CN=Carrie E. Greenstein/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eric P. Goosby ( CN=Eric P. Goosby/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John C. Gilmore ( CN=John C. Gilmore/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas L. Freedman ( CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Diana Fortuna ( CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Carolyn A. Filak ( CN=Carolyn A. Filak/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth Drye ( CN=Elizabeth Drye/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul R. Dimond ( CN=Paul R. Dimond/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Cohen ( CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Pamela Cicetti ( CN=Pamela Cicetti/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jose Cerda III ( CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sandra L. Bublick Max ( CN=Sandra L. Bublick Max/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sherman G. Boone ( CN=Sherman G. Boone/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William J. Antholis ( CN=William J. Antholis/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: April B. Abdulmalik ( CN=April B. Abdulmalik/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

elafleur ( elafleur @ the-hermes.net@INET@LNGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:



**THE WHITE HOUSE AT WORK**  
**Monday, June 23, 1997**

**MONDAY: PRESIDENT OUTLINES URBAN AGENDA  
AT U.S. CONFERENCE OF MAYORS**

**In a major address today at the U.S. Conference of Mayors in San Francisco, President Clinton outlined his seven-point urban agenda -- to give individuals, families and communities the power and responsibility to solve their own problems and make the most of their own lives:**

1. Extending the benefits of economic recovery to every neighborhood in America.
2. Doing more to take back our streets from crime -- especially to prevent young people from falling into lives of crime.
3. Finishing the job of welfare reform by creating enough jobs for all who can -- and now must -- work.
4. Extending the benefits of homeownership to meet the national goal of having more than two-thirds of the American people living in their own homes by 2000.
5. Raising standards in our schools and investing more in our young people.
6. Meeting public health challenges, including HIV and AIDS.
7. Creating in our cities our national ideal of One America that crosses all racial, ethnic and other lines that divide us.

**President Clinton announced new actions to strengthen America's cities, family by family, by helping more people buy a home:**

- To increase the police presence in America's cities by getting police to live in the communities they serve, President Clinton announced a new Officer Next Door initiative -- to enable police officers and their families to buy HUD-owned single-family homes in central cities at a 50% discount.
- To lower the average closing cost on a new home, President Clinton announced a \$200 cut in the FHA mortgage premium -- on top of \$1,200 in cuts over the past four years -- for families who buy homes in central cities.
- For families receiving Section 8 assistance that are ready to assume the responsibility of homeownership but can't afford the first step, President Clinton announced a new Homeownership Empowerment Voucher initiative, to allow those families to use their rent vouchers to help to buy a home. Freddie Mac will help finance up to 2,000 of these new mortgages.

**President Clinton said:**

- "Our cities are back. We've got the biggest economic resurgence in cities since World War II; the unemployment rate down by a third in our 50 largest cities; more downtowns coming back to life with sports and tourism and local business booming...But I also know, and you know, that we have much more to do."



## President Continues to Fight to Expand Health Care Coverage for Our Nation's Children

Today the President joined Kaiser Permanente in announcing that the health plan will give \$100 million to provide health care coverage to up to 50,000 uninsured children in California. Kaiser is responding to the President's challenge at the Summit on Service, and their initiative complements the President's commitment to a national effort to extend health insurance.

**This President will continue to fight hard to make sure that extending health care coverage to millions of uninsured children is a top priority in any balanced budget deal.** The President fought hard to ensure that the balanced budget agreement included \$16 billion to provide meaningful health care coverage to uninsured children. The President also supports the action by the Senate Finance Committee to raise a 20 cent tobacco tax to allocate additional Federal support for children's health.

**The President outlined the principles he will use in evaluating children's health initiatives emerging from the Budget Agreement.** The President is committed to making sure that any investment in children's health care meets three principles: **(1) that coverage is meaningful:** from checkups to surgery -- children should get the care they need to grow up strong and healthy; **(2) that coverage is targeted:** through grant programs and Medicaid, this investment should cover as many uninsured children as possible; and **(3) that this investment supplements not supplants coverage:** this investment should cover children who do not currently have insurance -- rather than replace public or private money that already covers children.

**The Balanced Budget and the Kaiser announcement build on the President's previous successes in strengthening health care coverage for children.**

- **Children and the Kassebaum-Kennedy Law.** By signing this bill into law, the President helped millions of Americans -- and their children -- keep their health care coverage when they change jobs.
- **Children and Medicaid.** Throughout his Administration, the President has fought to preserve and strengthen the Medicaid program; its coverage of about 20 million children, makes it the largest single insurer of children. The Administration has partnered with states through Medicaid waivers to expand coverage to hundreds of thousands of children.
- **Children and the Environment.** The President signed an Executive Order to reduce environmental health and safety risks to children by requiring agencies to strengthen policies and improve research to protect children and ensure that new regulations consider special risks to children.
- **Children and Tobacco.** The President has also taken action to limit children's access to tobacco. Each day about three million children become regular smokers and 1,000 of them will die from a tobacco-related illness. To reduce this trend, the President issued guidelines to eliminate easy access to tobacco products and to prohibit companies from advertising tobacco to kids. According to former FDA Commissioner David Kessler, the possibility of a comprehensive, public health oriented settlement with the tobacco industry could not have come about without the President's leadership in this area.
- **Children and Immunization.** During the Clinton Administration, childhood immunizations have reached a historic high. The President's childhood immunization initiative expands community-based educational efforts and makes vaccines more affordable. In 1995, fully 75 percent of two-year olds were immunized -- an historic high.

## **The Freddie Mac Section 8 Homeownership Empowerment Voucher Pilot Program**

### **Announcement**

Today, Freddie Mac has agreed to help make Homeownership available for up to 2,000 low income people through an innovative program proposed by the Clinton Administration. To help guarantee the success of the Administration's proposal to permit the use of Section 8 rental subsidies as Homeownership Empowerment Vouchers, Freddie Mac, in partnership with the Department of Housing and Urban Development, will participate in a demonstration program to help provide financing for 1,000 to 2,000 lower-income families to purchase their own homes. This project will help make mortgage funds available to working, lower-income families across the country who have demonstrated the ability to manage their finances but have not had the means to purchase a home.

### **Background**

Under an administration proposal currently awaiting action by Congress, working lower-income families that qualify for HUD's Section 8 rental housing assistance could instead request Empowerment Vouchers to help reduce the gap between the amount the family can afford and the monthly payment on a mortgage.

Under the Freddie Mac pilot program, first-time homebuyers will obtain mortgages for up to 97 percent of the value of their home, with the Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation providing private mortgage insurance on the loans. It is anticipated that a reasonable amount of funds for the down payment will be contributed by the family. The remainder of the down payment, and other funds necessary to close, may come from state or federal programs, gifts, or grants. The borrower will contribute a reasonable percentage of his or her income towards housing costs; the balance of the monthly mortgage payment will be provided through the Empowerment Vouchers. The borrower will receive comprehensive homeownership counseling before and after the purchase.

Participation by Freddie Mac, a leading secondary market agency, and MGIC, a leading mortgage insurer, is important because the underlying mortgages to be used with the Empowerment Vouchers will be originated by private mortgage lenders. These lenders require mortgage insurance and secondary market investors in order to originate the loans.

President Clinton and HUD Secretary Andrew Cuomo propose to provide responsible working families with the option of using Empowerment Vouchers because homeownership is an important component of an urban revitalization strategy. Homeownership encourages self-sufficiency, allows families to develop community ties and support networks, encourages the formation of household assets, assures continuity of schooling for children, stabilizes distressed neighborhoods, and equalizes housing opportunities for minorities, whose homeownership rates are far lower than those of the nation as a whole.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Christa Robinson ( CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-JUN-1997 12:31:13.00

SUBJECT: Cabinet Memo 6-23-97

TO: Christopher C. Jennings ( CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nicole R. Rabner ( CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Cohen ( CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eric P. Goosby ( CN=Eric P. Goosby/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah A. Bianchi ( CN=Sarah A. Bianchi/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Diana Fortuna ( CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas L. Freedman ( CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cathy R. Mays ( CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer L. Klein ( CN=Jennifer L. Klein/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William R. Kincaid ( CN=William R. Kincaid/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth Drye ( CN=Elizabeth Drye/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan Prince ( CN=Jonathan Prince/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro ( CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: WEINSTEIN\_P ( WEINSTEIN\_P @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] ) (OPD)

READ: UNKNOWN

TEXT:

AGRICULTURE

Today - the Secretary addresses Bread for World, an anti-hunger group

ONDCP

Today - the Director addresses National Sheriff's Convention in Atlanta

Tomorrow - the Director addresses Metro Atlanta Drug Trafficking Patrol;

Announces Pulse Check of latest drug trends

EDUCATION

Today - the Secretary addresses the Future of the South in Nashville;  
participates in a reading event in Nashville

Tomorrow - the Secretary will attend Family Conference with the VP

ENERGY

Today - no public events

HHS

Today - the Secretary addresses National Girl Scout Conference in Nashville

HUD

Today - the Secretary attends Conference of Mayors with President; speaks  
at conference luncheon.

INTERIOR

Today - the Secretary meets with officials from the Marshall Islands

Tomorrow - the Secretary is in Florida addressing Outdoor Writers

Association

JUSTICE

Today - Several Supreme Court decisions being handed down.

Tomorrow - the AG meets with Nicaraguan President

LABOR

Today - the Secretary is in San Francisco at Mayor's Conference

Tomorrow - the Secretary addresses Mayor's Conference; addresses San  
Francisco City College

OMB

Today - the Director addresses James Rouse Forum on American Cities

OPM

Today - no public events

SBA

Today - the Administrator addresses LULAC in Los Angeles; attends health  
care event with the President.

Tomorrow - the Administrator does interviews with FNN; LATimes; Unavision;  
addresses the Los Angeles Chamber of Commerce

TRANSPORTATION

Today and Tomorrow - the Secretary is in British Columbia for APEC  
transportation meetings

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Emily Bromberg ( CN=Emily Bromberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-JUN-1997 11:55:55.00

SUBJECT: flsa

TO: Craig T. Smith ( CN=Craig T. Smith/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet Murguia ( CN=Janet Murguia/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Diana Fortuna ( CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

as you might expect, the republican governors are unhappy that the r's on the hill removed the language on minimum wage. fyi, republican govts may try to push nga to do a letter--and the chiles folks think he may sign on. i'll let you know how this proceeds...but if we go to the hill with a new position--one other than complete opposition to ways and means, we need to let chiles know asap.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Michelle Crisci ( CN=Michelle Crisci/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-JUN-1997 08:46:44.00

SUBJECT: CLOSE HOLD MAYORS DRAFT

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro ( CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William P. Marshall ( CN=William P. Marshall/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Michael Waldman needs to know if the this okay with Counsel and DOJ  
-please let me know.

----- Forwarded by Michelle Crisci/WHO/EOP on 06/23/97  
08:43 AM -----

Jordan Tamagni  
06/20/97 08:40:06 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Rahm I. Emanuel/WHO/EOP, Ann F. Lewis/WHO/EOP, Mickey Ibarra/WHO/EOP,  
Gene B. Sperling/OPD/EOP  
cc: Jonathan A. Kaplan/OPD/EOP, Michelle Crisci/WHO/EOP  
Subject: CLOSE HOLD MAYORS DRAFT

Please note: this draft includes inserts regarding possible Supreme Court  
decisions. Please do not circulate. The draft circulated through the  
Staff Secretary does not.

Comments back to Terry Edmonds or Jordan Tamagni by 12:00pm tomorrow.  
Thanks.

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====  
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS\_EXT:[ATTACH.D91]MAIL45112337U.116 to ASCII,  
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF575043C8040000010A02010000000205000000815100000002000045B16F1F5DB07B24E16051  
0629EC4F43248893255998A319BF06C86C8DD1BA14D99C5E22828AB3F3724E0754328109E7940D  
62DD2431B7A8325DAE3B895D636309CEB858A242FC4F096BC97AADE3922EC026460D9CA298A150  
B9E78A0D803BF9E7026CDE26FCB6B796057286BDEE35092C9593F18F5C4245E2F16A5EF6E8783C  
C983BB6695DACC02FD18BC2B6493843097A7035B12FE586EE938E9A67874024C47EE982DF6DE46  
FF95670DA155F942720BE19D33D935AD644B2210540733A865A04249CA141356F655682C2E6E0C  
DDD7389A81B9BE4B4578DF92A283799D1E82A9D77F21D7C27386CE807721B5904C9548B05E78E0

Draft 6/20/97 9:00pm

**PRESIDENT WILLIAM J. CLINTON  
U.S. CONFERENCE OF MAYORS  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA  
JUNE 23, 1997**

Acknowledgments: Sec. Cuomo; Sec. Herman; Sec. Slater; **Sen. Barbara Boxer**; **Rep. Zoe Lofgren**; Mayor Daley; Mayor Willie Brown; Mayor Helmke; Tom Cochran. *Announce intention to nominate Sol Ramierez, mayor of Laredo Texas, to be Assistant Secretary of HUD for Community Planning and Development.*

I want to congratulate the Conference of Mayors for choosing San Francisco as the site for this year's meeting. It isn't very hard to see why year after year, San Francisco is one of the world's most visited cities. From the Moscone Center to 3Com Park, from Twin Peaks to Coit Tower, from its thriving waterfront to its stunning coastline, San Francisco is a great city in a nation of great cities.

I want to talk to you today about the vitally important role all of our nation's cities will play as we prepare our country to meet the challenges of the 21st century. Throughout our history, cities have been the gateway to hope and opportunity for millions of Americans, and for millions of immigrants who came to our shores in search of a better life. Our cities have always been symbols of the economic progress and cultural achievement that has made America the envy of the world.

Today, our cities are still centers of commerce and culture, science and technology, education and the arts. New waves of immigrants are starting new businesses and bringing new energy to many of our largest urban centers. Our cities and the suburbs around them are home to almost 80% of the American people, and they contain close to 85% of America's jobs.

Now, at the dawn of a new century, we must make sure that our cities are the engines of opportunity and progress that will drive our success in the global economy of the 21st century. And the key is empowerment -- giving cities and citizens the tools they need to succeed.

*[Over the last four-and-a-half years, we have worked together to revitalize our cities. One of the things we should be most proud of is the progress we have made in turning back the tide of violent crime that threatens too many of our cities. Our strategy of more police, tougher punishments and fewer guns in the hands of criminals is making a difference. Last year we saw the largest drop in violent crime in more than three-and-a-half decades. Murders dropped a stunning 11 percent in 1996. Cities all around the country, including San Francisco, are experiencing dramatic declines in their violent crime rates.]*

*[The Brady Bill has kept 250,000 felons, fugitives and stalkers from purchasing handguns. You stood with us to pass this important legislation, and it has been critical to our*

success. This morning, the Supreme Court ruled part of the Brady Law unconstitutional. I am deeply disappointed by the Court's decision. But let me be clear: Today's ruling should not mean an end to the background checks that are helping keep our streets safe. Twenty-seven states, including California, now conduct background checks as a matter of state law and will continue to do so. Today, I have instructed Attorney General Reno and Treasury Secretary Rubin to make sure police departments across the country know that they can keep conducting Brady background checks on a voluntary basis.

*[Our goal is clear: No background check, no handgun. And I will continue to do everything in my power to keep handguns out of the hands of criminals and to keep our cities safe.]*

*OR [The Brady Bill has kept 250,000 felons and fugitives from purchasing handguns. You stood with us to pass this important legislation, and it has been critical to our success. This morning, the Supreme Court upheld the Brady Law. This is a victory for all Americans.]*

Safe streets are only one part of our strategy to make our cities thrive. We must create the economic conditions that will bring business, jobs and people back to our cities . . . and keep them there. Our economic strategy is simple: reduce the deficit, invest in our people, and open the world to trade. It is designed to stimulate private sector growth, to help America move from the industrial age to the information age, and to make sure all of our people could benefit from the new opportunities of the new economy.

Today, our economy is the strongest in a generation -- and for the first time, the effects of this economic revival are being felt all around the country. Unemployment has dropped below 5% for the first time in 24 years, with the largest decline in income equality since the 1960s. We have cut the deficit by 77% -- from \$290 billion the day I took office to less than \$70 billion this year. And now, with the balanced budget agreement I have reached with the leaders of Congress, the deficit is heading to zero.

*[The Supreme Court's decision today to strike down the line item veto deprives the President of a valuable tool to help keep the budget in balance and ensure that we put our public funds to the best possible uses. It will make the job of balancing the budget more difficult, but it will not deter us from that goal].*

*OR [The Supreme Court's decision today to uphold the line item veto gives the President a valuable tool to help keep the budget in balance and ensure that we put our public funds to the best possible uses. It is a victory for all Americans.]*

America's cities reflect the progress we have made in the last four and a half years. Today, Secretary Cuomo has released a "Report on the State of American Cities" that documents the real progress our cities have made, but also identifies the real problems that we still face. It lays out a comprehensive urban agenda that will empower citizens and local governments to meet their challenges and bring our cities into the 21st century, stronger than ever.

Instead of imposing solutions from Washington, we are empowering communities and people to work with us as they solve their own problems. This strategy is working. As Secretary Cuomo's report makes clear, after decades of decline, the last four years have shown the first real economic resurgence in our cities since World War II. In America's 50 largest cities, the unemployment rate has been cut by nearly a third. Because of the disciplined leadership of so many people in this room, the fiscal health of our cities is stronger than it has been in decades. More downtowns are coming back to life, with sports and tourism and local business all booming.

There is much to be proud of -- but there is much to do. America has produced more than 12 million jobs in the last 4-1/2 years, but more and more of those jobs are being created in the suburbs, not in our cities. At the same time, hundreds of thousands of people in our cities are struggling to move from welfare to work. And our cities are still a gateway to opportunity for new waves of immigrants all in need of jobs. Meanwhile, the migration of middle class families of all races from cities to suburbs continues.

The first thing we must do to make sure that our cities can overcome these problems is give them the tools to attract businesses and jobs. We have already created 105 Empowerment Zones and Enterprise Communities -- providing a powerful combination of tax incentives and freedom from government red tape -- to leverage the power of the private sector, and create jobs and opportunity where both have been absent for too long.

Our Community Development Financial Institutions initiative is infusing our cities with the capital that entrepreneurs need to start new businesses, expand existing ones, and create jobs. And our Brownfields National Partnership is creating jobs and attracting new businesses by cleaning up contaminated sites that were the shame of our cities and converting them into productive assets.

The historic bipartisan budget agreement we reached with Congress last month expanded Empowerment Zones, Enterprise Communities, and Brownfields tax incentives. These initiatives are essential to the health of our cities. But the tax plans put together by the House and Senate fail to live up to this deal. That is wrong. I want every one of you to make it clear to the people who represent you in Congress that you expect them to honor this agreement and their commitment to the health of your cities.

The second thing we must do to revitalize our cities is create jobs for the one million people who we have pledged to move from welfare to work by the year 2000. That is why I fought for a \$3 billion welfare-to-work job challenge fund in our balanced budget that specifically gives cities the resources they need to help get and keep good jobs. I also fought for a new welfare-to-work tax credit that will give private employers extra incentive to hire long-term welfare recipients.

I am very pleased that working together with Members of Congress of both parties, we have made sure that funds will go directly to cities and other communities where so many

welfare recipients live. I am also pleased that we reached bipartisan agreement to restore benefits to legal immigrants who work hard and play by the rules, and I strongly urge the Congress not to renege on any part of this deal.

The third thing we must do is to make our cities places that anyone would be proud to call home . . . and make it easier for people to buy homes in our cities. Homeownership is one of the best ways to empower local residents, to give them a stake in the community, and to increase the bonds that tie people together. So far, our successful economic strategy has helped 2.5 million people across America to become new homeowners. But, not enough of these homes are in our cities.

In the last four years, we have reduced FHA mortgage premiums three times to lower the average closing cost on a new home by \$1,200. Today, I am happy to announce that we are cutting the premium by an additional \$200 for families who buy in our central cities, bringing the total reduction in closing costs to \$1400. This could make all the difference to a family struggling to escape the spiral of renting.

And there is even more that we can do to promote homeownership in our cities. Many of the hard-working families who receive Section 8 rental assistance are ready to assume the responsibility of owning their own homes . . . and they deserve a chance to do it. There is now bipartisan legislation before Congress to allow many of those families to use their Section 8 vouchers to help buy a home. And today, I am happy to announce that Freddie Mac will help us launch this important initiative by financing up to 2,000 of these mortgages.

Fourth, we have made remarkable progress in reducing crime and restoring peace and security to our cities. *[IF NO BRADY ANNOUNCEMENT: Our strategy of more police, tougher punishments and fewer guns in the hands of criminals is making a difference. Last year we saw the largest drop in violent crime in more than three-and-a-half decades. Murders dropped a stunning 11 percent in 1996. Cities all around the country, including San Francisco, are experiencing dramatic declines in their violent crime rates].*

You and I know that community policing and our efforts to fight violent youth gangs have been critical to our success. That is why we are moving forward toward our goal of putting 100,000 new police officers on our streets. And that is why I will continue to push for real juvenile crime legislation that guarantees more prosecutors, probation officers, and afterschool programs for at-risk young people.

The “every day” presence of police on our streets has made a huge difference to the safety of our communities. We can increase that presence even more by encouraging police to live in the communities they serve. Today, I am pleased to announce that we are taking steps to do just that. In the coming year, we will offer law enforcement officers in designated areas a 50% discount on 2,000 HUD-owned single family homes in our central cities. More police on the beat have made our neighborhoods feel like home again -- just think what it will be like when more of our police make our neighborhoods their homes.

The fifth thing we must do is to improve our cities schools and make sure that all of our children -- no matter where they live -- get the best education in the world. That is why I made education my number one priority. But one of the main reasons families leave our cities is because they don't believe that city schools are doing a good enough job. We have challenged all of our schools to set and meet high national standards -- and our cities' schools are no exception. Each of us has an obligation to help our children reach those standards. That is why cities must have the flexibility to close down schools that aren't meeting those standards.

I am pleased that Mayor Daley and the city of Chicago has moved aggressively to stop social promotion once and for all, and to take over schools that are failing. I am strong supporter of public education, but I do not think that we must defend or accept schools that aren't making the grade. We need to turn them around, not just in Chicago, but all over the country.

Finally, we must do more to deal with the scourge of AIDS that has gripped so many of our cities. Last month I issued a call to find an AIDS vaccine within the next ten years. Yesterday in Denver, the other leading industrialized nations pledged to help us meet that challenge. Last week, the Department of Health and Human Services announced comprehensive new guidelines to help people with HIV and AIDS get the most up-to-date information on the dramatic new drug combination therapies -- including protease inhibitors -- that are helping to prolong lives. People with HIV and AIDS deserve the best possible care; this guide will help them get it.

Today I have outlined our urban agenda for the 21st Century, and I look forward to working with you in the coming years to put it into action. For 4-1/2 years, the Department of Housing and Urban Development has been your partner as we have worked to make our cities better and brighter than they have ever been. But it wasn't always that way. Before I took office, HUD was a symbol for overblown democracy -- a poster child for the failed policies that sapped our cities of their vitality. We changed all that -- and we are not through yet.

Six months ago, I directed Secretary Cuomo to undertake a complete overhaul of HUD and transform it into an engine of empowerment. I am proud to say that he has developed a plan to make HUD smaller, leaner, and better. And I know that he will make the new HUD the best partner you have ever had.

**Economic empowerment, jobs for all who can work, citizen involvement in fighting crime, good schools, homeownership -- these are the best ways to create equal opportunity and a sense of community. And they are key to our mission of creating One America in the 21st century.**

**America's cities are clearly at a crossroads. If we do nothing, they will surely fall into decline and despair. But if we act with vision and courage, we will make them flourishing examples of America at its best. In the 21st century we know that America will be more multiracial, multicultural and multireligious than at any time in our past. This**

growing diversity is already taking root in our cities. And it must be a source of strength, not division.

*[We must do everything we can to promote greater tolerance and freedom, including religious freedom. That is why I am pleased by today's Supreme Court decision upholding the Religious Freedom Restoration Act. Throughout our history, men and women have come to this nation to escape religious persecution and secure the precious freedom to choose whether and how to worship. This legislation assures that the religious liberties of all Americans will be strongly protected.] or...*

*[We must do everything we can to promote greater tolerance and freedom, including religious freedom. Today the United States Supreme Court held part of the Religious Freedom Restoration Act unconstitutional. We will study that opinion closely. Religious freedom is central to the American system of liberty. Throughout our history, men and women have come to this nation to secure the precious freedom to choose whether and how to worship. This legislation reaffirmed that right. Although we are disappointed by the Supreme Court's ruling, we will continue to work to protect religious liberty to the fullest extent the Constitution allows.]*

**In this new era, our cities must be the wellspring of a renewed sense of citizenship that unites us across all our differences. We must define what it means to be an American by the duty we owe one another, and by the values and dreams we all share. It would be a terrible mistake for any group of Americans -- one city, one neighborhood, even one block -- to build walls of division, separating neighbor from neighbor.**

**It will take all of us, working side by side, to build the cities of our future. America's great mayors and great cities will lead the way. You are already showing us in so many ways how to live and work and grow together. You are sending a message to all Americans -- and to all the world -- that America's cities are on the rise, united in their diversity, providing opportunity for all of their people, and poised for greatness in the 21st Century.**

**Thank you and God bless you all.**

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-JUN-1997 20:52:56.00

SUBJECT: Child Support and Welfare to Work Update

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Diana Fortuna ( CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

A few things that might be useful for your morning meeting:

1) Child Support Enforcement. We are nearly prepared to release child support data Tuesday if it fits with the communication strategy (Ann Lewis said they'd discuss it at the morning meeting). Attached is a draft letter from the President to Congress which we could release. There's an HHS-DOJ-Treasury joint press release being finalized. Because the juvenile justice markup isn't until Thursday at the earliest and may be post-poned until after the recess, we don't have to do this today.

2) Update on welfare to work. Ron Haskins called to give me and Bruce a secret update on his negotiations with GOP House Ed and Workforce committee on welfare to work. Bruce said he'd started to tell you about this, but was interrupted, so I should bring you up to speed.

The worst thing, which we squaked about, would be to distribute 90% of funds by formula, which gives less to large cities (Ways and Means was 50 competitive/50 formula).

FLSA was similar to earlier reports: States could count only cash and food stamps for wages (not Medicaid, housing, or child care); if cash and food stamps isn't enough, can count job search and education as "work" to make up the difference; as in the 1988 Family Support Act, welfare recipients, the FLSA doesn't apply, but some specific protections do. The update Bruce got tonight is that House Democrats are still fighting to keep the "prevailing wage" language and to prevent the exemptions from applying to nonprofits as well as public sector jobs.

Other issues: Lower MOE (from 80% to 75% to qualify for new welfare to work money); additional power for governors who determine the PIC is not cooperating with the TANF agency (can redistribute funds from that PIC); only 70% of funds would have to be spent on long-termers, instead of 90%.

3) FYI: Here are copies of the final Senate letter and the proposed House Rules letter.



DRAFT

The Honorable Gerald R. Solomon  
Chairman  
Committee on Rules  
US House of Representatives  
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

As you consider this year's budget reconciliation bill, I am writing to transmit the views of the Administration on the Budget Committee reported legislation on the spending provisions. The Administration's views on the tax provisions of the legislation will be transmitted separately.

Many provisions of the reported bill are consistent with the Bipartisan Budget Agreement. In particular we are pleased that the House has continued in the intent of the Bipartisan Agreement to consider two separate reconciliation bills, the first for spending and the second for taxes. However, there are certain key areas where the legislation is not consistent with the Agreement. We understand there are ongoing efforts -- in accordance with the Budget Agreement -- to resolve a number of issues through an amendment at the Rules Committee. The Administration intends to continue working closely with the Leadership on remedial amendments.

Key areas where the bill is inconsistent with the Budget Agreement include: failure to fully restore Supplemental Security Income (SSI) and Medicaid benefits for legal immigrants; assisting low-income Medicare beneficiaries in paying premiums; providing Medicaid benefits for disabled children; implementing important Medicaid investments; properly implementing the home health reallocation; creating additional work slots for individuals subject to the Food Stamp time limits; fully providing for savings from spectrum auctions; and ensuring that a substantial share of Welfare-to-Work funds go to cities/counties with large poverty populations.

The Bipartisan Budget Agreement is good for America, its people, and its future, and we are fully committed to working with Congress to see all of its provisions enacted into law by the August recess.

### **Items Contrary to the Bipartisan Budget Agreement**

*Assistance for Low-Income Medicare Beneficiaries -- [This may be dropped if it is "fixed"]* Recognizing that premiums represent a significant burden on low-income beneficiaries, the Budget Agreement allocated \$1.5 billion to ease the premium impact of the home health reallocation on this population. The reported bill falls short of this, including only \$.5 billion for this purpose. Given that the Ways and Means Committee spent \$800 million to forgive the Part A enrollment penalty for certain state and local workers who would not otherwise qualify for Medicare, it is not a questions of adequate resources. Additionally the approach in the bill is too administratively complex for the value of the benefit provided.

Continued SSI and Medicaid Benefits for Legal Immigrants -- The President has stated in his June 20 letter to Budget Committee Chairman Kasich and Ranking Member Spratt that he will be unable to sign legislation that does not include the agreement's policy protecting disabled immigrant. The Budget Agreement explicitly states: "Restore SSI and Medicaid eligibility for all disabled legal immigrants who are or become disabled and who enter the U.S. prior to August 23, 1996." The reported bill fails to reflect the Agreement. As a result, in 2002 it would protect 75,000 fewer immigrants than called for in the Budget Agreement.

Medicaid Benefits for Disabled Children -- *[This too may be addressed at the Rules Committee]* The Budget Agreement clearly includes the proposal to restore Medicaid for current disabled children losing SSI because of the new, more strict definition of childhood eligibility. The reported bill failed to include this proposal. We strongly urge the House to include this provision and retain Medicaid benefits for approximately 30,000 children who could lose their health care coverage in FY 1998.

Medicaid Investments -- After extended negotiations that preceded the Bipartisan Budget agreement, the Administration and the Congressional leadership agreed to specified savings and investments in the Medicaid program over 5 years. Specifically, the Agreement calls for a higher Federal matching payment for the Medicaid program in the District of Columbia and adjustments for the Medicaid programs in Puerto Rico and the territories. The reported bill fails to include these provisions.

Home Health Reallocation -- The home health reallocation in the Budget Agreement is not properly reflected in the reported bill. During the negotiations, we discussed at great length the shift of home health expenditures to Part B, and it was always understood to be immediate. The Ways and Means Committee's phase-in of the shift means a loss of two years of solvency on the Part A trust fund, two years which we can ill afford to lose. We urge the House to incorporate the provision included in the Commerce Committee reported title.

Create Additional Work Slots for individuals subject to the Food Stamp time limits -- The Bipartisan Budget Agreement includes \$1.5 billion in additional funding for the Food Stamp Program to increase support for work and provide States with flexibility to exempt individuals from the Food Stamp time limits due to hardship. While we appreciate that the reported bill implements the 15 percent hardship exemption consistent with the Agreement, the bill's approach for employment and training (E&T) funds for able-bodied childless adults aged 18-50 falls short of creating the maximum level of work slots. The Agreement specifically states that existing Food Stamp E&T funds will be redirected and new capped mandatory funding added "to create additional work slots for individuals subject to the time limits." The Agreement provides \$1 billion for this purpose. The approach in the bill would create more than 100,000 less work slots than the Administration's legislative proposal and approximately 60,000 less than the approach taken by the Senate bill. Specifically, the bill lacks performance standards and accountability to ensure that the new Federal funding creates additional work slots that do not meet the welfare reform statute's tough work requirements for Food Stamp recipients, particularly by allowing 100 percent of the money to be spent on job search activities.

*Spectrum* -- The bill needs to be modified to achieve the full \$26.3 billion in savings and policies described in the Balanced Budget Agreement. It is our understanding that current attempts to redraft the bill still fall over \$8 billion short of target levels. The reported bill does not include two of the proposals included in the Budget Agreement, the auction of "vanity" toll free telephone numbers and the spectrum penalty fee. The bill does not include reimbursement authority for Federal users that are required to relocate to new spectrum bands. Reimbursement of Federal agencies, such as the Department of Defense, is critical in order to avoid demands for increased discretionary spending (which could total several billion dollars) under the agreed upon discretionary spending caps. Additionally, the bill does not provide a firm date for the termination of analog broadcasting, thus causing significant savings reductions.

Additional concerns include the lack of authority for the FCC: to retain a portion of auction receipts to cover the expense of administering auctions which would require additional discretionary appropriations to cover these costs; to use economic mechanisms other than auctions where appropriate, ( i.e., user fees to create incentives for efficient spectrum management) and to revoke and reauction licenses when an entity declares bankruptcy, which is essential to preserving licenses awarded in previous auctions. The reported bill also includes an expansive definition of public safety that would create loopholes permitting far too many entities to be exempted from auctions and language that would protect spectrum for use by specific Federal users, which is contrary to the Administration's policy to manage spectrum across the Federal government through a process managed by the National Telecommunications and Information Administration. The Administration also has concerns with the non-germane language that eliminates the Duopoly and Newspaper Broadcast Cross-Ownership Rules. The reported bill language could short-change our Nation's long-standing commitment to fostering a diverse marketplace of ideas and ignores potential telecommunications policy and revenue gains that may be achieved if the FCC were to repack this spectrum for alternative uses.

*Targeting Welfare to Work funding to cities/counties with large poverty populations* -- The Administration strongly believes that a substantial amount of all Welfare-to-Work funds should be managed by cities and other local areas. The welfare-to-work structure crafted by the Ways and Means Committee accomplishes this goal through its division of funds between formula (50 percent) and competitive (50 percent) grants; its formula grant sub-States allocation factors and method of administration; and its reservation of 65% of competitive grants for cities. The Education and Workforce Committee would reduce the competitive funding share from 50 percent to 5 percent, thus severely restricting the amount for which cities can apply directly. The Ways and Means accurately reflects the Agreement.

### **Additional Concerns**

Although the Budget Agreement did not specifically address the following items, the Administration has significant concerns about them. The Administration urges the House to address these concerns at the Rules Committee.

## ***Medicare***

Medical Savings Accounts (MSAs) -- While we have agreed to work with you to develop a demonstration of this concept for the Medicare population, we have concerns about the size and scale of the demonstration in the reported bill. The reported bill provides for a demonstration with 500,000 participants, costing \$2 billion, which is many times larger than any other Medicare demonstration. Moreover, the demonstration exposes beneficiaries to any additional charges providers choose to levy without limitation. We strongly believe that the current law limits on balance billing should be applied to this demonstration. We commend the Senate Finance Committee for limiting this demonstration to 100,000 participants, but still prefer a demonstration limited geographically for a trial period.

Medical Malpractice -- We believe that the malpractice provisions in the reported bill are extraneous to the Bipartisan Budget Agreement. As you know, the Administration opposed the malpractice provisions in the vetoed Balanced Budget bill, as well as those adopted in the House version of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA). We find these provisions highly objectionable, and we oppose them.

Preventive Benefits -- While the preventive benefits in the reported bill are largely the same as those advanced in the President's Budget, we bring to your attention the failure to waive coinsurance for mammograms. As you know, mammography saves lives, yet many Medicare beneficiaries fail to use this benefit. Research has found that copayments hinder women from fully taking advantage of this benefit. Thus, we continue to support waiving copayments for mammograms.

Medical Education/Disproportionate Share (DSH) Carve-out -- The Administration's budget would move the medical education (indirect and direct) and DSH adjustments out of managed care payment rates and redirect these funds to eligible hospitals that provide services to Medicare managed care enrollees. This is an important proposal designed to ensure that the nation's teaching hospitals and those that serve low-income populations receive the Medicare payments to which they are entitled. We urge the House to include the Ways and Means proposal.

MedicarePlus -- The bill permits beneficiaries to be locked into a MedicarePlus plan for as long as 9 months, after a lengthy transition period. We continue to support the monthly disenrollment option as an important safety valve for managed care enrollees who are dissatisfied with their managed care plan. Moreover, we would support the ability of these enrollees to opt to purchase any Medigap plan of their choice upon disenrollment.

We have expressed concerns to the Subcommittee about balance billing limits in MedicarePlus plans and anticipate a resolution of this issue such that MedicarePlus beneficiaries maintain their current law managed care protections against excessive cost-sharing (including those prohibiting balance billing).

Prudent Purchasing -- We are pleased that the House included our proposal to expand the "Centers of Excellence" program and a limited demonstration of a durable medical equipment

competitive bidding in the reported bill. However, we urge the House to take advantage of all the prudent purchasing proposals. The Medicare program is governed by a strict set of provider payment rules that have the effect of limiting the ability of the Federal government to secure the most competitive terms available to other payers in the marketplace. We have advanced a set of proposals to allow Medicare, the nation's largest health insurer, to also take advantage of lower rates providers offer to other payers.

Medicare Commission -- The reported bill would establish a Medicare commission. Establishing a bipartisan process that is mutually agreeable is essential to successfully address the challenges facing Medicare. We look forward to working with you on the development of the best possible bipartisan process to address the long-term financing challenges facing Medicare while simultaneously ensuring the sound restructuring of the program to provide high-quality care for our nation's senior citizens.

Hospital Outpatient Department (OPD) Coinsurance Waiver -- While we support the policy in the reported bill that allows hospitals to reduce coinsurance for beneficiaries without being charged with a kickback violation, we would urge the House to include language barring such hospitals from charging the Medicare program for bad debt for such waived coinsurance. We suggest that hospitals make an election with the Secretary where they choose on an across-the-broad basis for all beneficiaries to waive coinsurance and consequently do not bill Medicare for the waived coinsurance. Such a policy will permit proper monitoring on bad debt.

### ***Medicaid***

Disproportionate Share Hospitals -- We have concerns about the allocation of the disproportionate share hospital (DSH) payment reductions among States included in the reported bill. Although we agree that there have been abuses of this program in the past, taking such large reductions in certain states whose Medicaid programs are particularly dependent on DSH spending will likely affect their ability to cover services. We urge the House to revisit the FY 1998 President's Budget proposal, which ensures that the States with the highest DSH spending are not bearing most of the impact of the savings policy.

We are very concerned that the reported bill does not include any retargeting of DSH funds. As the Administration has stated previously, we believe that significant savings from DSH payments should be linked to an appropriate targeting mechanism. It is for this reason that we support proposals that assure the targeting of some DSH funds to hospitals that serve a high proportion of low-income and uninsured patients.

### ***Children's Health***

We believe that the \$16 billion Children's Health investment should be used for health insurance coverage. The Administration does not support the direct services option in the reported bill. We are concerned that a State could spend all of its money on one benefit or to

offset the effects of the DSH cuts on certain hospitals, and children would not necessarily get meaningful coverage.

We are also concerned that the reported bill may not be the most cost-effective manner possible to expand coverage to children, as required by the Agreement. The reported bill includes both a Medicaid and a grant option; however, the incentives in the reported bill could discourage States from choosing the Medicaid option. We believe that Medicaid is a cost-effective approach to covering low-income children, and would like to work with you on strengthening this option. We also believe that the grant program should be designed to be as efficient as possible. The provision that allows States to use funds for "other methods specified under the plan" with no details on what this means implies that States may use funds for purposes other than the intent of the Agreement (e.g., to offset States' share of Medicaid). We oppose this. We are encouraged that the Senate reported bill includes notable improvements. Specifically, we commend the decision not to allow use of the \$16 billion investment in areas other than insurance coverage and the improved definition of benefits relative to the House Commerce Committee provisions.

As the Administration has stated many times, we do not support limiting access to medically necessary benefits, including abortion services. We would like to work with the Congress to resolve this issue.

### ***Minimum Wage and Workfare***

***[Reportedly this has been dropped]*** The Administration strongly opposes the reported bills' proposal on the minimum wage and welfare work requirements. The proposal is not part of the Budget Agreement and, had it been raised during negotiations, we would have strongly opposed it. Second, the proposal would undermine the fundamental goals of welfare reform. The Administration believes strongly that everyone who can work must work, and those who work should earn the minimum wage --whether they are coming off welfare or not. The proposal does not meet this test. ***[Negotiations continue on the FLSA protections]*** In addition, under this proposal, working welfare recipients will be deprived of the protection of laws addressing employment discrimination, unsafe workplaces, child labor, overtime, and family and medical leave.

### ***Welfare-to-Work***

We are pleased that the reported bill includes provisions that would address priorities, including: the provision of formula grant funds to States based on poverty, unemployment, and adult welfare recipients; a sub-state allocation of the formula grant to ensure targeting on areas of greatest need; appropriate flexibility for grantees to use the funds for a broad array of activities that offer promise of resulting in permanent placement in unsubsidized jobs; funds awarded on a competitive basis; and a substantial set-aside for evaluation. We look forward to working with the Congress to refine these provisions.

Repeal of Maintenance of Effort Requirement on State Supplementation of SSI Benefits

-- The Administration strongly opposes the repeal of the maintenance-of-effort requirement because it would let States significantly cut, or even eliminate, benefits to nearly 2.8 million poor elderly, disabled, and blind persons. Congress instituted the maintenance-of-effort requirement in the early 1970s to prevent States from effectively transferring Federal benefit increases from SSI recipients to State treasuries. The proposal also could put at risk low-income elderly and disabled individuals who could lose SSI entirely and thereby lose Medicaid coverage as well. The Administration opposed this proposal during last year's welfare reform debate.

Welfare-to-Work Performance Fund -- The reported bills do not include a performance fund. It is essential that welfare to work funds generate greater levels of placement in unsubsidized jobs than States will achieve with TANF and other funds. We hope the House will be willing to consider a mechanism to provide needed incentives and rewards for placing more of the hardest-to-serve in lasting, unsubsidized jobs that promote self-sufficiency. We look forward to working with the Congress during conference to refine these provisions.

Worker Displacement -- We strongly urge the House to adopt, at a minimum, the provisions included in H.R. 1385, the House-passed job training reform bill which were included in the Education and Workforce reported bill, but not in the version reported by the Ways and Means Committee.

**Distribution of Funds by Year. -- It does not appear that the bill's allocation of \$3 billion in budget authority over fiscal years 1998-2000 would, when combined with the program structure, result in an outlay pattern consistent with an estimate of zero outlays in FY 2002, as provided in the budget agreement. The Department of Labor is available to work with staff to craft provisions that satisfy this agreement.**

*Student Loans*

The Administration opposes the provision regarding administrative cost allowances (ACAs) to guaranty agencies in the Federal Family Education Loan Program (FFELP). The provision would mandate ACAs to be paid at a rate of 0.85% of new loan volume from mandatory funding authorized under Section 458 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (HEA), up to a cap of \$170 million in FY 1998 and 1999 and \$150 million in FY 2000-2002. It would represent a new entitlement to these agencies not included in the budget agreement. Moreover, any allowance to these agencies should bear some relationship to the costs these agencies incur and not be based on an arbitrary formula. This is an issue for the upcoming HEA reauthorization.

**MEWAs**

The reported bill includes language from HR 1515 the Expansion of Portability and Health Insurance Coverage Act of 1997, in the budget reconciliation legislation. We believe that the bill as currently drafted has inadequate consumer protections and has the potential to result in premium increases for small businesses and employees who may bear the burden of adverse selection. H.R. 1515 would transfer the regulation of a large health insurance market

away from the states through the preemption of state laws under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (“ERISA”). Although there currently is strong bipartisan interest in strengthening consumer protections in health plans governed solely by ERISA, they still are weak. Any legislation like the current bill that expands ERISA’s scope must be accompanied by an expansion of consumer protections. The Administration opposed these provisions when they were considered last year, and we believe it would be unfortunate and unwise to introduce this level of controversy into the budget reconciliation process.

### **Privatization**

The reported bill would allow the eligibility and enrollment determination functions of Federal and State health and human services benefits programs, including Medicaid and Food Stamps to be privatized. While certain program functions, such as computer systems, can currently be contracted out to private entities, the certification of eligibility for benefits and related operations (such as obtaining and verifying information about income and other eligibility factors) should remain public functions. The Administration believes that changes to current law would not be in the best interest of program beneficiaries and strongly opposes this provision.

### **Debt Limit Extension**

*[Is this fixed?]* The Administration strongly urges the House to include the debt limit extension contained in the Bipartisan Budget Agreement in the first reconciliation bill, the spending bill.

### ***Other provisions that may be added at the Rules Committee***

We understand that an amendment may be brought to the Rules Committee that would put automatic cap on year by year spending beneath the level of annual revenues in order to put additional resources into the Social Security Trust Fund, provide for increased spending for transportation, and to pay for additional unspecified tax cuts. We have a number of concerns with this approach; projections of future growth are highly uncertain; there is no mechanism for protection in case of a recession which could make a weak economy even weaker; under the proposal it is possible that -- outside the budget process -- a one-year surplus could finance a permanent tax cut which would increase the debt in the long run; and finally, allowing the highway trust fund to spend outside the budget violates the principals of a unified budget, gives it status over other important priorities, and complicates calculations of exactly what the budgetary surplus would be as called for in the proposal. This amendment was never discussed in the context of developing the Bipartisan Budget Agreement and would disrupt the carefully balanced compromises contained in the Agreement. The Administration opposes it.

We are also concerned by reports that the Rules Committee may consider provisions which add further restrictions to immigrants access to public benefits. Many of the potential provisions were considered during last year’s immigration reform debate and were removed from the final legislation after negotiations between Congress and the Administration because they

were unacceptable to the Administration. The Administration strongly opposes these punitive provisions, which would introduce known controversies into the budget reconciliation process.

The Bipartisan Budget Agreement reflects compromise on many important and controversial issues, and challenges the leaders on both sides of the aisle to achieve consensus under difficult circumstances. It is critical that we do so on a bipartisan basis.

**I look forward to working with you to implement this historic agreement.**

**Sincerely,**

**Franklin D. Raines**  
**Director**

**Identical letter sent to the Honorable Joseph Moakley, The Honorable John R. Kasich, The Honorable John Spratt**

## Addendum: Additional Comments

[Could we move voc ed to additional concerns and drop this section?]

### *Vocational Education in TANF and Transfers to Title XX*

The Administration is concerned with several provisions included in the Reported bill that were not in the Bipartisan Budget Agreement. For example, the agreement did not address making changes in the TANF work requirements regarding vocational education and educational services for teen parents. The Administration opposes the Title XX provision allowing States to divert TANF funds away from Welfare-to-Work efforts to other social service activities.

### *State SSI Administrative Fees*

**The Administration is pleased that the Ways and Means Subcommittee approved a provision, consistent with the Budget Agreement to increase the administrative fees that the Federal Government charges States for administrating their State supplemental SSI payments and to make the increase available, subject to appropriations, for Social Security Administration (SSA) administrative expenses.**

### *Refugee and Asylee Eligibility*

The Agreement would extend the exemption period from five to seven years for refugees, asylees, and those who are not deported because they would likely face persecution back home. The Administration supports the reported language, which implements this policy and also extends the exemption to Cuban, Haitian, and certain Amerasian entrants.

### *Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC)*

The reported bill includes three proposals made by the Treasury Department to improve EITC compliance. The reported bill would deny EITC for ten years for those who fraudulently claim the EITC; toughen recertification requirements for those denied the EITC as a result of deficiency procedures; and impose due diligence requirements for paid preparers. Treasury has proposed additional legislative compliance measures that we hope the Congress will consider during conference.

June 23, 1997

The Honorable Frank Lautenberg  
Ranking Minority Member,  
Committee on the Budget  
United States Senate  
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senator Lautenberg:

As the Senate begins consideration of S. 947, the spending-related portion of this year's budget reconciliation legislation, I am writing to transmit the Administration's views. We will transmit separately the Administration's views on the tax reconciliation bill.

While many provisions of the bill are consistent with the Bipartisan Budget Agreement, in some key areas others are not. We understand there are ongoing efforts to resolve as many issues as possible through a bipartisan Leadership amendment. Such an amendment would advance the bipartisan process which began last month with the Budget Agreement. The Administration intends to continue working closely with the Leadership on remedial amendments.

Key areas where the bill is inconsistent with the Budget Agreement include the failure to: "restore SSI [Supplemental Security Income] and Medicaid eligibility for all disabled legal immigrants who are or become disabled and who entered the U.S. prior to August 23, 1996"; assist low-income Medicare beneficiaries in paying premiums; provide Medicaid benefits for certain disabled children and the full 70 percent Federal match for Medicaid in the District of Columbia; properly implement the Medicare home health reallocation; provide for State SSI administrative fees; and achieve the agreed-upon levels of savings from spectrum auctions and related provisions.

In addition, we have significant concerns about a number of issues which the Budget Agreement did not specifically address: the lack of quality standards and protections against balance billing in private fee-for-service plans in Medicare Choice and in Medical Savings Accounts (MSAs); the added burden of new copayments for certain Medicare Part B and Medicaid beneficiaries; the higher eligibility age for Medicare recipients and the income-relating of the Medicare deductible; the failure to include all of the Administration's prudent purchasing reforms; the lack of a Federal Disproportionate Share Hospital (DSH) targeting standard; the failure to put the proper parties in charge of administering the welfare-to-work program; the proposal to privatize eligibility determinations in Texas; and the lack of adequate maintenance-of-effort requirements for Food Stamps.

The Bipartisan Budget Agreement is good for America, its people, and its future, and we are fully committed to working with Congress to see all of its provisions enacted into law by the August recess.

## **Items Contrary to the Bipartisan Budget Agreement**

*Continued SSI and Medicaid Benefits for Legal Immigrants* -- While the Senate reported provision giving benefits to new applicants for a limited time is preferable to the House provision, it fails to provide sufficient assistance for the most vulnerable individuals. The Budget Agreement explicitly states: "Restore SSI and Medicaid eligibility for all disabled legal immigrants who are or become disabled and who enter the U.S. prior to August 23, 1996." As the President stated in a June 20, 1997 letter, he views this issue as of paramount importance. As the letter states: "To achieve our common goal of a signable bill that balances the budget, it is essential that the legislation that is presented to me include these provisions. I will be unable to sign legislation that does not." The reported bill fails to reflect the Agreement. As a result, in 2002 it would protect an estimated 55,000 fewer immigrants than the Budget Agreement calls for.

In addition, the President's strong preference is to cover both elderly and disabled immigrants. We will work with you to identify the necessary resources to do so.

*Assistance for Low-Income Medicare Beneficiaries* -- Recognizing that premiums represent a significant burden on low-income beneficiaries, the Budget Agreement allocated \$1.5 billion to ease the impact on this population of increasing Medicare premiums related to the home health reallocation. The reported bill does not include this provision.

*Medicaid Benefits for Certain Disabled Children* -- The Budget Agreement clearly includes the proposal to restore Medicaid for current disabled children losing SSI because of the new, more strict definition of childhood eligibility. The reported bill failed to include this proposal. We strongly urge the Senate to include this provision and retain Medicaid benefits for about 30,000 children who could lose their health care coverage in FY 1998.

*DC Medicaid* -- We are pleased that the reported bill includes a higher matching payment for the Medicaid program in the District of Columbia, but we are concerned that the increase is not sufficient. The matching rate proposed in the reported bill sunsets at the end of FY 2000 and is 10 percentage points lower than the matching rate of 70 percent in the FY 1998 President's budget. A 60 percent matching rate would still leave the District paying more to the Medicaid program than any other local government.

*Home Health Reallocation* -- The home health reallocation in the Budget Agreement is not properly reflected in the reported bill. During the negotiations, we discussed at great length the shift of home health expenditures to Part B, and all sides clearly understood that it would be immediate. The Committee's phase-in would cost two years of solvency on the Part A trust fund -- two years that we can ill afford to lose. We urge the Senate to incorporate the same provision included in the House Commerce Committee reported title.

State SSI Administrative Fees -- The reported bill fails to reflect the provision of the Budget Agreement which calls for increasing the administrative fees that the Federal Government charges States for administering their supplemental SSI payments -- the proceeds of which would be available, subject to appropriations, for Social Security Administration (SSA) administrative expenses.

Spectrum -- While the Senate reported provisions are a substantial improvement over counterpart House legislation, we continue to have serious concerns. The reported language would not achieve the full \$26.3 billion in savings and policies described in the Budget Agreement. In addition, the bill does not include two of the proposals included in the Budget Agreement -- auction of "vanity" toll free telephone numbers and the spectrum penalty fee. Additionally, the bill does not provide a firm date for terminating analog broadcasting, thus causing significant savings reductions.

We also have the following additional concerns with the reported spectrum language: the lack of authority for the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) to use economic mechanisms, other than auctions, where appropriate (i.e., user fees to create incentives for efficient spectrum management); a very expansive definition of public safety that would create loopholes permitting too many entities to be exempted from auctions; language that would protect spectrum for use by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, which is contrary to the Administration's policy on managing spectrum across the government through a process managed by the National Telecommunications and Information Administration; and the lack of authority for the FCC to revoke and reauction licenses when an entity declares bankruptcy, which is essential to preserving licenses awarded in previous auctions.

### **Additional Concerns**

Although the Budget Agreement did not specifically address the following items, the Administration has significant concerns about them. The Administration urges the Senate to address these concerns during Floor action.

#### ***Medicare***

Private Fee for Service in Medicare Choice. While the Administration supports the introduction of new plan options for Medicare beneficiaries, we believe that any new options must be accompanied by appropriate beneficiary protections. We believe that inclusion of private fee-for-service plans in Medicare Choice without balance billing or quality assurance protections is bad policy. Beneficiaries should not be exposed to billing in excess of current law protections. Also, we are concerned that this option will attract primarily healthy and wealthy beneficiaries and leave sicker and poorer beneficiaries in the more expensive, traditional Medicare program.

Medical Savings Accounts. We believe that any demonstration of this concept should be limited in order to minimize potential damage and costs to the Medicare program. We commend the Finance Committee for limiting the demonstration to 100,000 participants, but still believe that a geographically limited demonstration would be much preferable. We are also pleased that the cost-sharing and deductibles for MSAs that have been reported are similar to the provisions that were enacted under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA).

We also strongly believe that the current law limits on balance billing should be applied to this demonstration to protect beneficiaries from being subjected to any additional charges providers choose to assess. We believe this demonstration should be limited geographically for a trial period which would enable us to design the demonstration to answer key policy questions.

Home Health Copayments. We note that the bill would impose a Part B home health copayment of \$5 per visit, capped at an amount equal to the annual hospital deductible. Medicare beneficiaries who use home health services tend to be in poorer health than other Medicare beneficiaries. Two-thirds are women, and one-third live alone. Forty-three percent have incomes under \$10,000 per year. We are concerned that a copayment could limit beneficiary access to the benefit. Imposing a home health copay is not necessary to balance the budget, and any further consideration of this policy should be part of a bipartisan process to address the long-term financing challenges facing Medicare.

Medicare Eligibility Age. Raising the eligibility age for Medicare is not necessary to balance the budget, and any further consideration of this policy should be part of a bipartisan process to address the long-term financing challenges facing Medicare. Moreover, this proposal does not contain provisions to address the fact that early retirees between the ages of 65-67 may not be able to obtain affordable insurance in the private market.

Prudent Purchasing. We applaud the bill's inclusion of our inherent reasonableness and competitive bidding proposals. However, we urge the Senate to take advantage of all the prudent purchasing proposals. The Medicare program is governed by a strict set of provider payment rules that have the effect of limiting the ability of the Federal government to secure the most competitive terms available to other payers in the marketplace. We have advanced a set of proposals to allow Medicare, the nation's largest health insurer, to also take advantage of lower rates providers offer to other payers.

Income-related Deductible. The reported bill includes a proposal to income-relate the Medicare Part B deductible. **While the Administration is not opposed to income relating Medicare in principle, we have a number of concerns about this proposal. First, as the President mentioned yesterday, we believe this provision is outside the confines of the underlying budget agreement. Second, we are concerned that the proposal has design flaws. It would be extremely difficult to administer. Moreover, it may not achieve its intended purpose of reducing unnecessary utilization of services because the vast majority of beneficiaries have supplemental "Medigap" policies that pay for Part B deductible costs.**

**While we do have serious concerns about this proposal, we remain interested in discussing it, or proposals like it, in the broader context of reforms to address the long-term financing**

**and structural challenges facing the program.**

Medicare Commission. The reported bill would establish a Medicare commission. Establishing a bipartisan process that is mutually agreeable is essential to successfully address the challenges facing Medicare. We look forward to working with you on the development of the best possible bipartisan process to address the long-term financing challenges facing Medicare while simultaneously ensuring the sound restructuring of the program to provide high-quality care for our nation's senior citizens.

Medicare Choice Payments. We would prefer to limit the growth in Medicare Choice payments to Fee-for-Service Medicare, rather than having two separate growth targets. To do so may lead to an erosion of the value of the Medicare choice benefit package and expose beneficiaries to increased premiums.

### *Medicaid*

Disproportionate Share Hospital Savings. We have concerns about the details of the allocation of the disproportionate share hospital (DSH) payment reductions among States. The bill may have unintended distributional effects among States. We recommend that the Congress revisit the FY 1998 President's budget proposal, which achieves savings by taking an equal percentage reduction off of states' total DSH spending, up to an "upper limit." Although the reported bill includes a provision to require States to develop DSH targeting plans, we are concerned that the bill does not include a federal DSH targeting standard. Without federal standards, providers with high-volume Medicaid and low-income utilization may not be sufficiently protected from reductions in the DSH program.

Medicaid Cost Sharing. The bill would allow States to require limited cost sharing for optional benefits. We are concerned that this proposal may compromise beneficiary access to quality care. Low-income Medicaid beneficiaries may forgo needed services if they cannot afford the copayments. We urge the Senate to revisit the FY 1998 President's budget proposal, which would allow nominal copayments only for HMO enrollees. This proposal would grant States some flexibility and would allow HMOs to treat Medicaid enrollees in a manner similar to non-Medicaid enrollees, without compromising access to care.

Criminal Penalties for Asset Divestiture. The reported bill would amend Section 217 of the HIPAA of 1996 to provide sanctions against those who assist people in disposing of assets in order to qualify for Medicaid. We would prefer to repeal Section 217 because we believe that the Medicaid laws in effect before the enactment of the Health Insurance and Portability and Accountability Act are sufficient to protect the Medicaid program against inappropriate asset divestiture.

Return to Work. We are pleased that the reported bill includes a provision allowing States to permit workers with disabilities to buy into Medicaid. We recommend the President's Budget proposal which would not limit eligibility for this program to people whose earnings are below 250 percent of poverty. We believe that this limit in the reported bill would not allow States sufficient flexibility to remove disincentives to work for people with disabilities.

Medicaid Payments to Puerto Rico and the Territories. We are pleased that the reported bill includes adjustments for the Medicaid programs in Puerto Rico and the territories, but we would prefer the language included in the FY 1998 President's Budget.

### ***Children's Health***

We are encouraged that the Senate reported bill includes notable improvements over the provisions reported by the House Commerce Committee. Specifically, we commend the decision not to allow use of the \$16 billion investment in areas other than insurance coverage. In addition, we are pleased to note the improved definition of benefits relative to the House Commerce Committee provisions.

While the Senate-reported bill represents a positive step forward, we are particularly concerned about the benefits definition and the lack of low income protections. It is our hope that the intent of this legislation was to ensure that children receive a benefit package that is at least commensurate with the standard Blue Cross/Blue Shield FEHBP benefit. However, the actual statutory language is much more limiting and would permit much less significant coverage. In addition, while the HHS Secretary would have discretion to define whether or not the benefit package meets the statutory requirement, she would not have the ability to ensure that low income children do not have to shoulder unrealistically high cost sharing that could lead to reduced access to needed health care. We also want to ensure that this investment is properly targeted to cover children who do not currently have health insurance. Finally, as the Administration has stated many times, we do not support limiting access to medically necessary benefits, including abortion services. We look forward to working with the Congress to resolve these important issues.

### ***Welfare to Work***

Local Program Administration -- The challenge of welfare reform -- moving welfare recipients into permanent, unsubsidized employment -- will be greatest in our Nation's large urban centers, especially those with the highest number of adults in poverty. Mayors and other local elected officials, working with private industry councils, have been entrusted by Congress with the responsibility for administration of other Federal job training funds. The Administration strongly believes that a substantial amount of all Welfare to Work funds should be managed by these entities, which have the experience to address most effectively the challenge of moving long-term welfare recipients into lasting unsubsidized employment that reduces or eliminates dependency.

The committee reported bill, however, would provide for local administration of formula grant funds only through the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) agency. The bill's competitive grant structure would not ensure that an appropriate portion of funds outside rural areas will be administered by cities with high concentrations of adults in poverty. The Administration is concerned that the reported bill provides that the competitive grant portion would be only 25 percent of the total funds available, still further limiting resources for cities

with the greatest need. The Administration urges the Senate to follow the approach taken by the House Ways & Means Committee which would increase the share of competitively awarded funds to 50 percent and set aside a substantial portion of these funds for cities with the highest poverty populations.

**Performance Bonus.** The Administration is pleased that the Finance Committee included a performance bonus concept. We are concerned, however, that the performance fund simply augments the existing TANF performance fund without establishing any new expectations on grantees for additional performance using these welfare-to-work funds, or rewards for placing the hardest-to-serve in lasting, unsubsidized jobs that promote self-sufficiency. In addition, the Administration agrees with the House that the way to administer welfare-to-work grant funds so as to have the greatest likelihood of success is through the Department of Labor, the mayors, and the private industry council system.

Federal Administering Agency. The reported bill would place the program under the authority of the Secretary of Health and Human Services. While consistency with Federal TANF strategies is essential, Welfare to Work program activities should be closely aligned with the workforce development system overseen by the Secretary of Labor. The Administration therefore believes that the Secretary of Labor should administer this program in consultation with the Secretaries of HHS and HUD (as in the House bill).

Non-displacement. We understand the Senate adopted non-displacement provisions during committee action. However, we strongly urge the Senate to adopt, at a minimum, the provisions included in the House Education and the Workforce Committee-reported bill, which apply both to activities under the new Welfare-to-Work grants and TANF.

Distribution of Funds by Year. It does not appear that the bill's allocation of \$3 billion in budget authority over fiscal years 1998-2000 would, when combined with the program structure, result in an outlay pattern consistent with an estimate of zero outlays in FY 2002, as provided in the budget agreement. The Department of Labor is available to work with staff to craft provisions that satisfy this agreement.

We are pleased that the reported bill includes provisions that would address priorities, including: the provision of formula grant funds to States based on poverty, unemployment, and adult welfare recipients; a sub-state allocation of the formula grant to ensure targeting on areas of greatest need; appropriate flexibility for grantees to use the funds for a broad array of activities that offer promise of resulting in permanent placement in unsubsidized jobs; funds awarded on a competitive basis; a substantial set-aside for evaluation; and a performance fund to reward States that are successful in placing long-term welfare recipients. We look forward to working with the Congress during conference to refine these provisions.

### *Minimum Wage and Workfare*

The reported bill appropriately refrains from modifying current law with respect to the application of the minimum wage and other worker protections for working welfare recipients under TANF. The Administration believes strongly that everyone who can work must work, and everyone who works should earn at least the minimum wage and receive the protections of existing employment laws -- whether or not they are coming off welfare.

### *Privatization of Health and Welfare Programs*

The reported bill would allow the eligibility and enrollment determination functions of Federal and State health and human services benefits programs in the State of Texas -- including Medicaid, WIC, and Food Stamps -- to be privatized. The Administration believes that changes to current law would not be in the best interest of program beneficiaries and strongly opposes this provision. While certain program functions, such as computer systems, can currently be contracted out to private entities, the certification of eligibility for benefits and related operations (such as obtaining and verifying information about income and other eligibility factors) should remain public functions.

### *Food Stamps*

**While we support much of the Committee's approach to implementing the Agreement we are concerned that the proposal would create an estimated 100,000 fewer work opportunities over five years than proposed by the Administration's bill, which includes a specific target of 70,000 new slots each year. We are pleased that the Senate adopted a performance-based structure to reward States that provide employment and training (E&T) opportunities for individuals facing the 3-month food stamp time limit. This is highly preferable to the less accountable provisions in the House bill. The Senate's proposal should also be strengthened by conditioning receipt of the new 100 percent Federal E&T funds provided in the agreement upon a State maintaining 100 percent of their 1996 E&T spending. CBO estimates that the Senate's proposed 75 percent maintenance-of-effort requirement would result in States decreasing their E&T spending by \$89 million over 5 years. We urge the Senate to adopt provisions similar to the House maintenance-of-effort provisions.**

### *Student Loans*

**We are pleased that the reported bill includes \$1.763 billion in outlay savings, including \$1 billion in Federal reserves recalled from guaranty agencies, \$160 million from eliminating a fee paid to institutions in the Direct Loan program, and \$603 million in reduced Federal student loan administrative costs. All these savings are being achieved without increasing costs or reducing benefits to students and their families.**

**However, the Administration opposes a new provision, unrelated to the Budget Agreement, requiring administrative cost allowances (ACAs) to guaranty agencies in the**

**Federal Family Education Loan (FFEL) Program at a rate of .85% of new loan volume, to be paid from mandatory funding authorized under Section 458 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (HEA) in FY 1998-2002. This provision would represent a new federal entitlement. It would also limit inappropriately the funds available to the Secretary to manage the FFEL Program effectively. Any allowance to these agencies should bear some relationship to the costs these agencies incur and not be based on an arbitrary formula. This is an issue for the upcoming HEA Reauthorization.**

The Bipartisan Budget Agreement reflects compromise on many important and controversial issues, and challenges the leaders on both sides of the aisle to achieve consensus under difficult circumstances. It is critical that we do so on a bipartisan basis.

I look forward to working with you to implement this historic agreement.

Sincerely,

Franklin D. Raines  
Director

IDENTICAL LETTER SENT TO HONORABLE TRENT LOTT,  
HONORABLE THOMAS A. DASCHLE, HONORABLE PETE V. DOMENICI

## Addendum: Additional Comments

### *Housing*

**We are concerned that the bill's provisions regarding FHA multifamily housing restructuring would not transform this housing in the most effective and efficient fashion. By ruling out the possibility of providing portable tenant-based assistance, the bill would limit the ability of tenants to seek out the best available housing and prevent projects from developing a more diverse mix of income levels. By establishing a preference for delegating restructuring tasks to housing finance agencies, the bill places an unnecessary constraint on HUD's ability to design the most effective partnerships. Finally, by failing to address tax issues explicitly, the bill does not resolve impediments that could discourage owners from participating in a restructuring process.**

The administration is also concerned about Section 2203 of the Senate reconciliation bill which repeals federal preferences for the Section 8 tenant-based and project-based programs. The Administration has supported these repeals only if they are combined with income targeting that would replace the federal preferences. That targeting would ensure:

- 1) that the tenant-based program continues to serve predominantly extremely low income families with incomes below 30 percent of the area median income and
- 2) that all developments in the project-based program are accessible to a reasonable number of extremely low income families.

### *Unemployment Insurance Integrity*

The reported bill fails to support the provision of the Budget Agreement that achieves \$763 million in mandatory savings over five years through an increase in discretionary spending for Unemployment Insurance program integrity activities of \$89 million in 1998 and \$467 million over five years. We urge the Senate to include in the bill provisions to authorize and guarantee the discretionary activities and the resulting savings. The Administration separately transmitted draft legislative language on June 6th to implement this provision of the Budget Agreement.

### *Vocational Education and TANF*

**The Administration is concerned with the reported bill's provision on vocational education in TANF. The agreement did not address making changes in the TANF work requirements regarding vocational education and educational services for teen parents.**

### *Smith-Hughes*

The reported bill does not include a provision that would repeal the Smith-Hughes Act of 1917, although the bill finds the agreed-upon \$29 million savings from other sources. In light of the \$1.2 billion annual appropriations under the Carl D. Perkins Vocational and Applied Technology Education Act, there is no justification for mandatory spending of \$7 million per

year under the Smith-Hughes Act. We urge the Senate to adopt the provision included in the House Education and Workforce Committee reported title, which is consistent with the Budget Agreement.

### *Refugee and Asylee Eligibility*

The Agreement would extend the exemption period from five to seven years for refugees, asylees, and those who are not deported because they would likely face persecution back home. The Administration supports the reported language, which implements this policy and also extends the exemption to Cuban and Haitian entrants.

### *Other Immigrant Provisions*

**We urge the adoption of a provision that would provide the same exemption period for Amerasian immigrants as provided to refugees. Amerasian immigrants share many of the problems and barriers confronted by refugees and have the same level of need as refugees. The Administration is pleased that the Committee bill exempts permanent resident aliens who are members of an Indian tribe from SSI program restrictions. We urge the Senate to extend this exemption to include the five year ban on eligibility for those who enter the country after August 22, 1996. Neither of these provisions will change the spending estimates associated with the Committee bill.**

DRAFT LETTER ON CHILD SUPPORT ENFORCEMENT

There is no job as important -- or as difficult -- as being a parent. Most parents eagerly embrace the responsibility of raising and supporting their children. Others, unfortunately, do not. Because every parent must take responsibility for the children they bring into this world, I've made child support enforcement a top priority for my Administration. Today, I am pleased to announce that new data from the Department of Health and Human Services and the Department of the Treasury show we're making tremendous progress. I am also pleased to endorse a bipartisan effort in the Congress to enact tough new legislation proposed by the Attorney General to punish parents who wilfully neglect to pay child support.

The Department of Health and Human Services' 20th Annual Report to Congress on Child Support Enforcement shows that the federal/state child support enforcement program has made record increases in child support collections, paternity establishments, and families receiving child support collections. In addition, preliminary data for fiscal year 1996 provides even more evidence that our crackdown on child support enforcement is working. Overall, from 1992 to 1996, child support collections have increased by fifty percent, from \$8 billion to a record \$12 billion; paternities established have nearly doubled, increasing from 516,000 to nearly one million; and the number of child support cases with collections rose by almost fifty percent.

A new effort spurred by the April 1996 Debt Collection Improvement Act and an executive order I issued last September 28th will help to collect even most child support. Under the executive order, the Secretary of the Treasury in consultation with HHS has developed procedures to collect past-due child support through administrative offset of federal payments such as employee salaries, retirement benefits, and vendor payments. There's no reason why parents who owe child support should collect federal funds. Currently, eight states and the District of Columbia are participating in the program and over 725,000 parents have been notified that their federal payments could be seized to satisfy delinquent child support debts. All 50 states are scheduled to participate in the program by the end of 1997. When the program is fully implemented, annual collections could reach \$200 million, which will be in addition to the \$1 billion the Treasury Department collected last year by offsetting tax refunds.

While I am proud of these accomplishments, we must still do more to punish parents who take egregious actions to avoid paying child support. Last July, I directed the Attorney General to draft legislation to amend the Child Support Recovery Act to establish a felony offense for a person who wilfully fails to pay child support for a child in another state. That proposal, which was first submitted to Congress in September 1996, has been strengthened. The legislation would make it a felony offense to 1) travel in interstate or foreign commerce with the intent to evade a support obligation that is greater than \$5,000 or has remained unpaid for longer than one year;

2) willfully fail to pay a support obligation regarding a child residing in another state if the obligation has remained unpaid for two years or is greater than \$10,000. I am pleased that the

Attorney General is working on a bipartisan basis with Senators Kohl and Dewine to enact our tougher proposal into law.

Last year, I signed into law a welfare bill containing the toughest child support enforcement provisions in history. Now we must work to implement these new rules and toughen them when necessary so that all parents pay the child support they owe. I look forward to continuing to work with the Congress on a bipartisan basis on these important matters.