

NLWJC - KAGAN

EMAILS RECEIVED

ARMS - BOX 013 - FOLDER -005

[06/30/1997 - 07/01/1997]

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Bruce Reed to Elena Kagan re: Regulations (1 page)	06/30/1997	P6/b(6)
002. email	Bruce Reed to Elena Kagan re: Sudden Cardiac Arrest -- Automated External Defibrillators (1 page)	06/30/1997	P6/b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
 Automated Records Management System [Email]
 OPD ([Kagan])
 OA/Box Number: 250000

FOLDER TITLE:

[06/30/1997-07/01/1997]

2009-1006-F

bm22

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Kyle M. Baker (CN=Kyle M. Baker/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-JUN-1997 17:44:44.00

SUBJECT: Late term abortion letter from Bishop Anthony Pilla

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: James A. Dorskind (CN=James A. Dorskind/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Jim Dorskind recommended I consult with you on a letter POTUS has received from Bishop Pilla on late term abortion. As a matter of background, he has received numerous responses over the last year and a half on this issue. The latest language he got was on April 30. He has now been sent all the language I have at my disposal, so I'm at a loss as to what to say next. This current letter urges the POTUS to rethink his position based on the recent American Medical Association support for the pending legislation. Please let me know how you think we should handle, given these facts and that the legislation is now in Conference Committee.

Thanks,
Kyle Baker
Presidential Letters
and Messages

Rm. 93
X 6-5515

The Honorable William Jefferson Clinton
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. President:

On February 7, 1997, the Office of National AIDS Policy Director Patsy Fleming met with representatives of this Council and representatives of several Federal agencies to discuss elimination of discrimination against those infected with HIV. I am writing to you to express our deep concern about the lack of progress by those Federal agencies toward elimination of discriminatory policies. You will remember that almost three years ago you asked this Council to meet with you to discuss issues of major concern regarding HIV/AIDS. One of the most critical issues raised was the previously established policy of several Federal agencies (i.e., the U.S. Foreign Services, the Peace Corps, the Job Corps, the U.S. State Department, and the Military) requiring mandatory HIV testing, and exclusion of those found to be HIV positive.

At that time, you expressed your concern about and disapproval of such policies and instructed your staff to investigate those policies and report back to you. We are dismayed that the February 7, 1997 meeting clearly confirmed that policies requiring mandatory testing and exclusion from entry of all applicants found to be HIV positive continue in these agencies. The policies of these Federal agencies represent a disturbing aberration from the general application of HIV/AIDS employment practices by the vast majority of federal agencies.

We are also concerned that those already employed by such agencies who later test positive face discrimination in assignments, promotions, and career advancement opportunities. Particularly in light of the promise offered by new treatment options, these policies serve to deprive qualified HIV-positive individuals equal employment opportunity and to deprive the federal government of the contributions those individuals could be making as dedicating public servants.

The Council has little optimism that without your intervention these discriminatory policies might be changed. We would therefore urge, Mr. President, that you renew your instructions for review and reconsideration of such policies. It is only through such action that your commitment "to fight AIDS related discrimination at every turn" contained in your National AIDS Strategy can be realized.

Your Council stands ready to assist in any way you deem appropriate.

Thank you for your consideration and concern.

Sincerely,

Scott Hitt, MD
on behalf of the Council

Final

Automated Records Management System
Hex-Dump Conversion

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Lynn G. Cutler (CN=Lynn G. Cutler/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-JUN-1997 13:41:54.00

SUBJECT: Indian Law Enforcement Directive

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I just got a copy from Ogden and it looks fine from our standpoint. I think it's an important thing to do. Thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-JUN-1997 11:14:29.00

SUBJECT: Senior Staff Coverage

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul J. Weinstein Jr. (CN=Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher C. Jennings (CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cathy R. Mays (CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah A. Bianchi (CN=Sarah A. Bianchi/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Please let Paul or myself know your weekend information. Thanks.

----- Forwarded by Laura Emmett/WHO/EOP on 06/30/97 11:16
AM -----

Brooks E. Scoville

06/30/97 11:12:16 AM

Record Type: Record

To: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message

cc: Ashley L. Raines/OA/EOP, Teresa Wildman/WHO/EOP

Subject: Senior Staff Coverage

Independence Day, Friday, July 4 is a federal holiday. The Chief of Staff has requested a complete list of senior staff (Assistants to the President and Deputy Assistants to the President only) who will be working the holiday, as well as the names of those senior staff on call and how they can be reached.

Please submit this list to me no later than 3 pm on Wednesday, July 2. We will be submitting this information to the Chief of Staff on Thursday.

Also, please provide the WHCA Signal Switchboard with information of how the senior staff who will be out of town may be reached.

Thank you.

Message Sent

To: _____

Ronda H. Jackson/WHO/EOP

Kevin S. Moran/WHO/EOP
Edward F. Hughes/WHO/EOP
Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OPD/EOP
Huma M. Abedin/WHO/EOP
Katharine Button/WHO/EOP
Stacey L. Rubin/WHO/EOP
Cynthia M. Jasso-Rotunno/WHO/EOP
Lori L. Anderson/WHO/EOP
Rebecca A. Cameron/WHO/EOP
Deborah B. Mohile/WHO/EOP
Mary Morrison/WHO/EOP
Carolyn E. Cleveland/WHO/EOP
Paul J. Cusack/OVP @ OVP
Debbie B Bengtson/OVP @ OVP
Ana M. Duque/WHO/EOP
Suzanne Dale/WHO/EOP
Lisa M. Williams/WHO/EOP
Laura Emmett/WHO/EOP
Sonyia Matthews/OPD/EOP
Holly H. Holt/WHO/EOP

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (ALL-IN-1 MAIL)

CREATOR: Martha Foley (FOLEY_M) (WHO)

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-JUN-1997 16:36:43.14

SUBJECT: FYI

TO: Elena Kagan (KAGAN_E) Autoforward to: Remote Adresse
READ:NOT READ

TEXT:

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE:25-JUN-1997 13:15:00.00

ATT BODYPART TYPE:E

ATT CREATOR: Nancy A. Min

ATT SUBJECT: Conversation with Karen Nelson on Hyde Provisions

ATT TO: FOLEY_M (FOLEY_M@A1@CD)

ATT TO: Barbara Chow (Barbara Chow@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TEXT:

Message Creation Date was at 25-JUN-1997 13:15:00

This may interest you.

----- Forwarded by Nancy A. Min/OMB/EOP on 06/25/97 01:15 PM -----

Barbara E. Washington
06/25/97 01:11:31 PM
Record Type: Record

To: Nancy A. Min/OMB/EOP
cc: Barry T. Clendenin/OMB/EOP, Mark E. Miller/OMB/EOP, Nani A. Coloretti/OMB/EOP
Subject: Conversation with Karen Nelson on Hyde Provisions

I spoke with Karen Nelson regarding the letter to the President that you faxed to us last night. You had a question about the following statement in the letter: "The Senate Finance Committee attached the same restriction (Hyde Amendment) to the entire Medicaid program in addition to the Children's Health Initiative." This statement was not consistent with our understanding of what was in the language. The following explanation is pretty long, but Karen's points were complicated.

Senate Medicaid Language

Karen said that the statement refers to the provision in the Senate Finance Medicaid language that appears on page 55 of the legislation, in the "sanctions for noncompliance by managed care entities" section. States may impose sanctions on managed care organizations that fail to substantially "provide medically necessary items and services." However, for the purposes of this

sanction authority, the term "medically necessary" shall not be construed as requiring an abortion be performed for any individual except in the cases of rape, incest or life of the mother."

She acknowledged that the provision in the SFC Medicaid language does not really prohibit all Medicaid payments for abortion, it only means that if this bill language passed, and Hyde language was no longer in the L/HHS appropriations bill, a State would not be able to sanction a managed care organization for refusing to provide abortion services (unless that abortion was necessary because of rape, incest or to save the life of the mother).

However, she said that the more important point was that the Majority had changed the definition of "medical necessity" in the Medicaid statute. Historically, Medicaid coverage of abortion services has ridden on the interpretation of the term "medically necessary services" to include abortion services. The term "medically necessary" is not currently defined anywhere in the Social Security Act, only in regulation.

Karen said that by changing the definition of "medically necessary" to exclude abortion services in the law (even though it is only for the purposes of managed care sanctions and is not a blanket prohibition), the Majority is chipping away at the current regulations which define "medically necessary" services to include abortion services. Karen thought that because there is no statutory definition of what is "medically necessary", courts could point to this definition in the managed care sanctions section to overturn the regulation.

She also said that she had heard "fifth hand" that someone was planning to move to strike this provision on the Senate floor because it violates the Byrd rule.

House and Senate Children's Health Language

I asked her what she thought about the effect of the Hyde Amendment provisions in the children's health titles of the House and Senate bills. I indicated to her that after a preliminary review, staff thought that the Hyde Amendment language in the children's health titles prohibits the use of the funds allotted under the new Title XXI for abortion (with exceptions for rape, incest, and life of the mother). Under this interpretation, the Hyde Amendment language would affect the child health funds allotted under this new title, whether the States choose to use it for Medicaid or for the other purposes.

She said that she thought the construction of the amendments in the children's health titles was not clear. She said that, if it passed, it would probably be left up to the discretion of lawyers in the Administration whether or not the prohibition would apply to Medicaid spending under the new title, or just the new children's grant program.

She said that some could argue that the prohibition applied to all of the funds allotted under the children's health title, whether the State chooses to use the funds for Medicaid or a new grant. She said, on the other hand, though, one could also argue that the title allows states to spend Medicaid funds (from the Medicaid appropriation) equivalent to the amount of funds allotted under the new title. Under this scenario, the funds would come from the Medicaid appropriation, and a State's allotment under the new title would be decreased by an equal amount. Theoretically under this scenario, if there was no Hyde Amendment on the Medicaid appropriation, Medicaid funds spent on children's health would not be subject to the Hyde Amendment language in Title XXI.

She said that regardless of which interpretation is correct (which she said is

academic at this point), they will urge the leadership to remove the restrictions.

===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Collin Brown III (CN=Collin Brown III/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-JUN-1997 17:26:04.00

SUBJECT: CBI21: Defense Report on HR 1050, A Living Wage, Jobs For All Act

TO: Sara M. Latham (CN=Sara M. Latham/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary Jo Siclari (CN=Mary Jo Siclari/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sanders D. Korenman (CN=Sanders D. Korenman/OU=CEA/O=EOP @ EOP [CEA])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Justine F. Rodriguez (CN=Justine F. Rodriguez/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Phebe N. Vickers (CN=Phebe N. Vickers/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

The purpose of this e-mail is to draw your attention to an LRM that I circulated last week. This LRM, CBI21, asked for your views on a Defense Report on HR 1050, A Living Wage, Jobs for All Act. Comments were due at 2pm today (6/30). If I have not received your comments by 11:00am tomorrow (7/1), I will assume that you have no comment on this Defense report.

Collin (x-57562)

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Diana Fortuna (CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-JUN-1997 17:27:07.00

SUBJECT: Conferee letter language on FLSA/displacement

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Here is OMB's draft language for the Administration letter on conference. We think people will want it to move tomorrow, so we have to be ready with our comments. Therefore, we need some guidance from you as to whether the attached is the right idea:

Minimum Wage and Workfare -- The Administration applauds the Senate for refraining from modifying current law with respect to the application of the minimum wage and other worker protections for working welfare recipients under TANF. We believe strongly that everyone who can work must work, and everyone who works should earn at least the minimum wage and receive the protections of existing employment laws -- whether or not they are coming off welfare.

The Administration believes that working welfare recipients should have the same rights and protections as regular employees. We continue to have serious concerns that certain welfare recipients would be denied the status of employees under the House bill and thereby be deprived of worker protections. Although the House bill makes progress towards ensuring that welfare recipients in work experience and community service be paid the minimum wage, we believe the bill fails to provide an effective enforcement mechanism to ensure this happens. Also, while the House bill contains some protections against discrimination (gender, age, race, and disability) and threats to health and safety, we believe the limited grievance procedures provided in the bill are inadequate to ensure welfare recipients receive the same protections as regular employees, and regular employees receive protection against displacement.

In addition, the Administration is concerned that the House bill weakens the welfare law's strict emphasis on work by permitting states whose benefit levels cannot

support the
minimum wage to count education and training toward the work requirements.

The Administration urges the Conferees to adopt the Senate position on the
minimum
wage, grievance procedures, and worker protections.

Non-Displacement -- While the Administration supports the Senate
non-displacement
provisions which adopts worker displacement language from H.R. 1385, the
Administration
supports applying such protections to all welfare recipients who are
making the transition from
welfare to work as provided by the House. In addition, we support
maintaining the provision
in the House bill that ensures nonpreemption of state non-displacement
laws that afford
greater protections to employees.

We are seriously concerned the House bill weakens the protections against
displacement
of regular employees [Elena: I think this is in error and I'm checking
with OMB, since the House
bill actually slightly strengthens TANF], and urge the Conferees to adopt
the Senate
proposal with the changes noted above.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Maria Echaveste (CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-JUN-1997 14:19:29.00

SUBJECT: Call from Distilled Spirits Council

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Cheryl M. Carter (CN=Cheryl M. Carter/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Folks--I know you are all busy, but do you need some help here to answer the letter from the Distilled Spirits Council. We would be happy to help you, if you'll tell us how.

----- Forwarded by Maria Echaveste/WHO/EOP on 06/30/97

02:18 PM -----

Cheryl M. Carter

06/30/97 01:54:13 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Maria Echaveste/WHO/EOP, Marjorie Tarmey/WHO/EOP, Mark Hunker/WHO/EOP

cc:

Subject: Call from Distilled Spirits Council

FYI...I may need your help with this situation if I don't get an answer in a couple of days from Elena and Bruce. I've been pushing them for over a month now to give Distilled Spirits an answer to their letter to POTUS from April and they haven't been able to focus on it yet. If you see them could you please give them a little push for me? We could have a bad press problem on our hands next week if I don't tell Distilled Spirits something this week...they haven't shared details on their public campaign but I assume it will be an all out effort to get the WH to bring all sides of the alcohol advertising issue to the table.

I'll keep you posted.

----- Forwarded by Cheryl M. Carter/WHO/EOP on 06/30/97

01:53 PM -----

Cheryl M. Carter

06/30/97 01:45:42 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Bruce N. Reed/OPD/EOP, Elena Kagan/OPD/EOP, Rahm I. Emanuel/WHO/EOP, Michelle Crisci/WHO/EOP

cc: Peter O'Keefe/WHO/EOP

Subject: Call from Distilled Spirits Council

Heads up...got my weekly call from Tim Dudgeon with Distilled Spirits Council this morning and he really needed to hear from us this week because he has held his folks off of a public campaign effort on this issue about as long as he can...as of next week he's not sure what will happen. We REALLY need to focus on this and give them an answer to their April letter to POTUS calling for him to convene a meeting of all sides.

HELP!

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Christa Robinson (CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-JUN-1997 12:23:34.00

SUBJECT: Camden Yards Event

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

The Education PSA Launch at Camden Yards is on Wednesday at 3:00pm. We need to submit staff requests asap, b/c space is extremely limited. Unless I hear that you are not interested, I will request seats for both of you and Mike Cohen.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Christa Robinson (CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-JUN-1997 09:58:23.00

SUBJECT: Summary Materials for Today's Tax Announcement

TO: William R. Kincaid (CN=William R. Kincaid/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth Drye (CN=Elizabeth Drye/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan Prince (CN=Jonathan Prince/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher C. Jennings (CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Diana Fortuna (CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas L. Freedman (CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cathy R. Mays (CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Cohen (CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eric P. Goosby (CN=Eric P. Goosby/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah A. Bianchi (CN=Sarah A. Bianchi/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: WEINSTEIN_P (WEINSTEIN_P @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (OPD)
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

----- Forwarded by Christa Robinson/OPD/EOP on 06/30/97
10:03 AM -----

Russell W. Horwitz
06/30/97 09:06:31 AM
Record Type: Record

To: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message
cc:
Subject: Summary Materials for Today's Tax Announcement

Please find attached a packet of summary documents for today's announcement. It includes a fact sheet, distribution table and examples of how families fare under the plan.

The second attachment is a chart on distribution which can be attached to the packet.

The third attachment is internal talking points.

The fourth is a 1-pager for mayors and local officials.

Message Sent

To:

Lori L. Anderson/WHO/EOP
Barry B. Anderson/OMB/EOP
Brenda M. Anders/WHO/EOP
Kenneth S. Apfel/OMB/EOP
Eli G. Attie/WHO/EOP
Donald A. Baer/WHO/EOP
Kris M Balderston/WHO/EOP
David S. Beaubaire/WHO/EOP
Marsha E. Berry/WHO/EOP
Sarah A. Bianchi/OMB/EOP
Jill M. Blickstein/OMB/EOP
Erskine B. Bowles/WHO/EOP
Emily Bromberg/WHO/EOP
Susan A. Brophy/WHO/EOP
Rebecca A. Cameron/WHO/EOP
Phillip Caplan/WHO/EOP
Laura K. Capps/WHO/EOP
Paul R. Carey/WHO/EOP
Cheryl M. Carter/WHO/EOP
Ann M. Cattalini/WHO/EOP
Barbara Chow/WHO/EOP
Michael Cohen/OPD/EOP
Steven A. Cohen/WHO/EOP
Brenda B. Costello/WHO/EOP
Michelle Crisci/WHO/EOP
Betty W. Currie/WHO/EOP
Suzanne Dale/WHO/EOP
Michael Deich/OMB/EOP
Marilyn DiGiacobbe/WHO/EOP

Elizabeth Drye/OPD/EOP
Ann T. Eder/WHO/EOP
James T. Edmonds/WHO/EOP
Rahm I. Emanuel/WHO/EOP
Karen E. Finney/WHO/EOP
Diana Fortuna/OPD/EOP
Ben A. Freeland/WHO/EOP
Jason S. Goldberg/WHO/EOP
D. Stephen Goodin/WHO/EOP
Julia R. Green/WHO/EOP
Melissa Green/OPD/EOP
Lawrence J. Haas/OMB/EOP
Daniel D. Heath/OMB/EOP
James T. Heimbach/WHO/EOP
Nancy V. Hernreich/WHO/EOP
John L. Hilley/WHO/EOP
Katherine Hubbard/WHO/EOP
Kathryn O. Higgins/WHO/EOP
Peter G. Jacoby/WHO/EOP
Christopher C. Jennings/OPD/EOP
Brian J. Johnson/CEQ/EOP
Elena Kagan/OPD/EOP
Thomas A. Kalil/OPD/EOP
Jonathan A. Kaplan/OPD/EOP
Angus S. King/WHO/EOP
Nicholas B. Kirkhorn/WHO/EOP
Charles Konigsberg/OMB/EOP
Karin Kullman/WHO/EOP
Robert D. Kyle/OPD/EOP
Jeanne Lambrew/OPD/EOP
G N. Lattimore/WHO/EOP
Ann F. Lewis/WHO/EOP
Patricia F. Lewis/WHO/EOP
Jacob J. Lew/OMB/EOP
Bruce R. Lindsey/WHO/EOP
Julie E. Mason/WHO/EOP
Sylvia M. Mathews/WHO/EOP
Doris O. Matsui/WHO/EOP
Cathy R. Mays/OPD/EOP
Michael D. McCurry/WHO/EOP
Anne E. McGuire/WHO/EOP
April K. Mellody/WHO/EOP
Joseph J. Minarik/OMB/EOP
Nancy A. Min/OMB/EOP
Linda L. Moore/WHO/EOP
Kevin S. Moran/WHO/EOP
Janet Murguia/WHO/EOP
Alison Muscatine/WHO/EOP
Bob J. Nash/WHO/EOP
Peter O'Keefe/WHO/EOP
Peter R. Orszag/OPD/EOP
Kristen E. Panerali/OPD/EOP
John Podesta/WHO/EOP
Jonathan Prince/WHO/EOP
Victoria Radd/WHO/EOP
Franklin D. Raines/OMB/EOP
Bruce N. Reed/OPD/EOP
Christa Robinson/OPD/EOP
Dorothy Robyn/OPD/EOP
Steven J. Ronnel/WHO/EOP

Stacey L. Rubin/WHO/EOP
Ellen S. Seidman/OPD/EOP
Laura D. Schwartz/WHO/EOP
David Shipley/WHO/EOP
Robert M. Shireman/OPD/EOP
Alice E. Shuffield/OMB/EOP
Jake Siewert/OPD/EOP
Joshua Silverman/WHO/EOP
Stephen B. Silverman/WHO/EOP
Douglas B. Sosnik/WHO/EOP
Jordan Tamagni/WHO/EOP
Daniel K. Tarullo/OPD/EOP
Barry J. Toiv/WHO/EOP
Michael Waldman/WHO/EOP
Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OPD/EOP
Lisa M. Kountoupes/OMB/EOP
Ronda H. Jackson/WHO/EOP
Kathleen M. Wallman/WHO/EOP
Craig T. Smith/WHO/EOP
Sara M. Latham/WHO/EOP
Thomas D. Janenda/WHO/EOP
Charles R. Marr/OPD/EOP
Beverly J. Barnes/WHO/EOP
Barbara D. Woolley/WHO/EOP
Robert B. Johnson/WHO/EOP
Cynthia A. Rice/OPD/EOP
Aviva Steinberg/WHO/EOP
William H. White Jr./WHO/EOP
Dan Pink/OVP @ OVP
Jill M. Pizzuto/OMB/EOP
Joseph M. Wire/OMB/EOP
Lisa J. Levin/WHO/EOP
Nicholas B. Kirkhorn/WHO/EOP
Lynn G. Cutler/WHO/EOP
Christopher J. Lavery/WHO/EOP
Minyon Moore/WHO/EOP
Craig T. Smith/WHO/EOP
Karen E. Skelton/WHO/EOP
Jeffrey A. Forbes/WHO/EOP
Virginia M. Terzano/OVP @ OVP
Lorraine A. Voles/OVP @ OVP
Roger V. Salazar/OVP @ OVP
Julia M. Payne/OVP @ OVP
Anne H. Lewis/OPD/EOP
Daniel C. Tate/WHO/EOP
Mickey Ibarra/WHO/EOP
Virginia N. Rustique/WHO/EOP
Elizabeth R. Newman/WHO/EOP
Emil E. Parker/OPD/EOP
Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OPD/EOP
Robert M. Shireman/OPD/EOP
Jonathan Murchinson/WHO/EOP
Ananias Blocker III/WHO/EOP
Barbara Chow/WHO/EOP
Christopher R. Ulrich/OVP @ OVP
Emily Bromberg/WHO/EOP

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

PRESIDENT CLINTON'S
TAX CUT PROPOSAL

SUMMARY DOCUMENTS

June 30, 1997

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- I. Summary Table of Tax Cuts
- II. Fact Sheet on Tax Cuts
- III. Distribution Table
- IV. How President Clinton's Tax Cut Proposals Benefit Typical American Families
- V. It is Wrong to Deny Tax Relief to America's Working Families
- VI. President Clinton's Higher Education Tax Cuts -- Greater Benefits for More Families
- VII. Chart on Distribution of Tax Cut

President Clinton's Tax Cut Proposal

June 30, 1997

Today, President Clinton unveils a tax cut proposal. It is a fair proposal that places a priority on education tax cuts and provides a child tax credit to families who work hard and pay taxes. The proposal incorporates Republican priorities in a good faith effort to honor the budget accord and to reach final agreement on a tax cut the American people deserve.

A 2-year modified \$1,500 HOPE Scholarship to make two years of college universally available and a 20% tuition credit to make the third and fourth years of college more affordable and to promote lifelong learning.

A \$500 child tax credit for tax-paying working families with children under 17 through 2002 and under 19 thereafter. Families could put the credit plus \$500 in a Kidsave Account.

Two-thirds of the President's tax cut goes to the middle 60 percent of families -- twice as large as the congressional alternatives provide these middle-income families.

To honor the agreement, the President's plan allows taxpayers to exclude 30% of their long-term capital gains from taxation and provides estate tax relief to small businesses and farmers.

Includes no tax time bombs that would explode in cost.

Major Provisions (Treasury estimates unless otherwise indicated)	5 Years (\$ billion)	10 Years (\$ billion)
Education Tax Cuts:		
<i>HOPE Scholarship and 20% Tuition Credit</i>	34.5	94.1
<i>Education and Retirement Savings Accounts</i>	1.3	6.0
<i>Tax Incentives for School Construction</i>	2.9	7.6
<i>Employer-Provided Education Benefits</i>	3.8	8.5
<i>Student Loan Interest Deduction and Forgiveness</i>	1.8	4.4
<i>Deduction for K-12 Computer Donations (JCT)</i>	0.3	0.7
<i>Repeal of bond cap for universities</i>	0.3	1.0
Child Tax Credit	70.2	176.1
<i>Brownfields, EZ/EC Expansion, CDFIs</i>	2.4	3.9
<i>Welfare-to-Work Tax Credit</i>	0.6	0.6
<i>Home Office Deduction</i>	0.6	1.7
<i>Small Business Capital Gains Relief</i>	0.4	1.7
<i>President's Home Sales Tax Cut</i>	1.1	1.9
30% Exclusion of Capital Gains	7.1	15.6
Estate Tax Cut	2.3	7.2
<i>DC Tax Incentives and Other Presidential Initiatives</i>	1.3	6.3
<i>Extensions of expiring provisions</i>	3.9	3.9
GROSS TAX CUT	134.8	341.1
Revenue Raisers	(49.8)	(100.5)
NET TAX CUT	85.0	240.5

President Clinton's Tax Cut Proposal

A Fact Sheet

EDUCATION TAX CUTS

- **Two-year HOPE Scholarship.** A maximum \$1,500 credit beginning in 1998. Students attending on at least a half-time basis would receive a 100% credit for the first \$1,000 of tuition and required fees for enrollment in a post-secondary degree or certificate program and a 50% credit for up to the next \$1,000. For example, a student attending a community college with tuition costs of \$1,400 would receive a \$1,200 HOPE Scholarship. Scholarships would be phased out for joint filers earning between \$80,000 and \$100,000. Eligible students could receive both a full Pell Grant and a HOPE Scholarship. The previously proposed B-rule has been dropped. After 2002, the HOPE Scholarship increases to a 100% credit for the first \$1,500 and a 50% credit for the next \$1,000 of tuition and required fees.
- **20% Tuition Tax Credit.** Third and fourth year students, graduate students, plus working people going to school to improve their education and skills, would benefit from a 20% tax credit on the first \$5,000 of tuition and required fees through the year 2000 and after 2000 a 20% tax credit on the first \$10,000 of tuition and required fees. The credit would be phased out for joint filers earning between \$80,000 and \$100,000.
- **Education and Retirement Savings Accounts.** Allows penalty-free IRA withdrawals for undergraduate, post-secondary vocational, and graduate education expense and the first-time purchase of a home. Additionally, taxpayers are given the opportunity to contribute their child tax credit plus an additional \$500, up to \$1,000, to a Kidsave Account for the child's education, first-time home purchase or the taxpayer's retirement. Earnings would accumulate tax-free in the Kidsave Account and no taxes would be due upon withdrawal for an approved purpose.
- **Tax Incentives for School Construction.** Provides tax credits to finance construction and/or rehabilitation of elementary or secondary schools in distressed communities. States would be able to allocate a fixed amount of tax credits (based on population) to public schools to help pay for construction or renovation projects. The allocation would be for projects in schools that are in empowerment zones or enterprise communities, or that have a high percentage of low-income students. This program would function similarly to the current low-income housing tax credit program.
- **Employer-Provided Education Benefits.** Extends permanently Section 127 of the tax code, which allows people to exclude \$5,250 of employer provided education benefits from their taxable income. Both undergraduate and graduate education would be eligible. Additionally, a 10% employer credit for small business training is included. This credit would apply to payments made to third parties to cover expenses of education for employees under employer-provided education assistance programs. The credit would be available to employers with average annual gross receipts of \$10 million or less for the prior three years.
- **Student Loan Interest Deduction and Forgiveness.** Allows a deduction of up to \$2,500 per year of interest on education loans for expenses of students enrolled at least half-time at an institution of higher education. The deduction would be allowed for the first 60 months interest is due on a loan. The deduction would phase out for taxpayers making between \$45,000 and \$65,000 (\$65,000 and \$85,000 for married taxpayers filing jointly). This deduction would be available even if the taxpayer does not itemize deductions.

To encourage people to use their education and training in community service, the income exclusion for student loan forgiveness would be expanded to include loan forgiveness extended by nonprofit tax-exempt charitable or educational institutions, and to loans forgiven under the Direct Loan Program's income-contingent repayment program. Currently, the exclusion generally covers only contingent forgiveness arrangements between students and government entities.
- **Incentives for K-12 Computer Donations.** Provides tax incentives for private sector donations of computer equipment to schools. The proposal would work in combination with the Telecommunications Act of 1996 to ensure that public schools have access to modern computer technology.
- **Repeal Cap on Tax Exempt Bond Issuance by Colleges and Universities.** Repeals the \$150 million bond cap that affects private higher education institutions and certain other charitable institutions. The repeal would apply to tax-exempt bonds issued by these institutions to finance new capital expenditures.

CHILD TAX CREDIT

The President's child tax credit includes the following features:

- **Age.** Covers children under 17 through 2002 and under 19 thereafter.
- **Amount per child.** \$400 in 1998, \$500 in 1999 and then indexed.
- **Income Limits.** Phased out for families making \$60,000 to \$75,000 until 2000, and then \$80,000 to \$100,000 thereafter.
- **Refundability to Cover Out-of-Pocket Income and Payroll Taxes.** Working families who pay out of pocket federal taxes would benefit from the child tax credit. Child tax credit is calculated before the EITC and will be partially refundable. A family will get a child credit for their income taxes plus the extent to which their out-of-pocket (employee share) payroll taxes exceed their EITC.
- **Savings Incentive Feature.** As described above, taxpayers who are entitled to a child credit would be given the opportunity to contribute their child tax credit plus an additional \$500 each year to a Kidsave Account for the child's education, first time home purchase or the taxpayer's retirement. Earnings would accumulate tax-free in the account and no taxes would be due upon withdrawal for an approved purpose.

URBAN REVITALIZATION

- **Incentives to Clean Up and Redevelop Contaminated Sites (Brownfields).** Certain environmental remediation costs would be provided tax favorable treatment, allowing them to be fully deducted immediately, to spur clean-up and redevelopment of contaminated sites in high poverty areas. To qualify for this tax incentive, sites would have to satisfy use, geographic, and contamination requirements.
- **Expand Empowerment Zones and Enterprise Communities.** The proposal has the three main components that were in the President's budget. First, within 180 days of enactment, two additional urban empowerment zones would be authorized and would benefit from current tax incentives. Second, technical changes would be made to allow a broader range of businesses in EZs and ECs to borrow the proceeds of tax-exempt bonds. Third, the proposal authorizes the additional designation of 20 (15 urban and 5 rural) Empowerment Zones and 80 (50 urban and 30 rural) Enterprise Communities. The newly designated zones would have different available tax incentives than existing zones. The current law wage credit would not be available. The brownfields incentives would be available as would special expensing of business assets and qualification for private-activity bonds.
- **Community Development Financial Institutions Fund.** Up to \$100 million in tax credits would be made available to the CDFI Fund to allocate for equity investors in community development financial institutions to leverage private investment in distressed areas and to stimulate economic revitalization.
- **Washington, D.C.** Provides tax incentives for firms to hire District residents, and a new credit that will be allocated to debt and equity by a new economic development corporation, and to allow the issuance of additional tax-exempt debt to help finance new business activity in the District.

WELFARE-TO-WORK TAX CREDIT

As proposed in the President's budget, to help move people from welfare to work, a new 50% tax credit would be made available on the first \$10,000 in annual wages of certain long-term family assistance recipients for two years of employment.

SMALL BUSINESS TAX CUTS:

Home office deduction

The existing home office deduction would be broadened to cover small businesses where: (1) the office is exclusively used to conduct substantial and essential administrative or management activities on a regular basis; and (2) the taxpayer has no other location to conduct these essential administrative or management activities.

Small Business Capital Gains

Increases the existing exclusion for equity investments in small businesses held at least five years to a 75% exclusion for up to \$20 million in gains and doubles the eligibility limits on firm size from \$50 million to \$100 million.

PRESIDENT'S HOME SALES TAX CUT

Provides a \$500,000 exclusion for capital gains on home sales for couples, providing tax relief and greatly simplifying record-keeping and compliance. The exclusion for single filers would be \$250,000.

30% EXCLUSION FOR CAPITAL GAINS

Taxpayers would be allowed to exclude 30% of their long-term capital gains from taxation. Long-term capital gains will be defined, as under current law, as assets held for more than one year. For example, a family in the 28% income tax bracket would face a capital gains tax rate of 19.6 percent.

ESTATE TAXES

A special exclusion is added for qualified family-owned businesses and farms. Currently, for married couples, only estates valued above \$1.2 million pay any estate taxes. A special exclusion of \$900,000 would be added to ensure that the first \$2.1 a million of family-owned business or farm would not be subject to estate taxes. This is a proposal advanced by Senator Daschle.

OTHER PRESIDENTIAL INITIATIVES

- ***Puerto Rico Tax Credit*** - would be extended indefinitely and modified to provide an incentive for new investments and increase the economic-activity credit.
- ***FSC Software*** - would extend the foreign sales corporation benefit, exempting a portion of income for tax purposes, to include computer software licensed for reproduction abroad.
- ***Equitable Tolling*** - would extend time people are allowed to claim a tax refund to include time that they are medically determined to be mentally or physically impaired.

EXTENSIONS OF EXPIRING PROVISIONS

The R&E tax credit would be extended through the end of 1998. Contributions of appreciated stock to private foundations, the Work Opportunity Tax Credit (including new targeted group), and the orphan drug credit would be extended for one year.

TOBACCO TAXES

The proposal includes a 20 cent increase in tobacco taxes that would be separated into a trust fund and dedicated entirely to expanding health coverage for children, addressing other children's development issues, and improving the overall public health.

Alternative Tax Cut Proposals A Comparison of Distributional Impact

<i>Income by Quintile</i>	<i><u>President Clinton</u></i>	<i><u>House</u></i>	<i><u>Senate</u></i>
Lowest	1.2%	0.6%	0.4%
Second	10.1	2.5	2.7
Third	22.2	9.6	10.2
Fourth	34.6	20.0	21.3
Highest	31.5	66.8	65.0
Top 10%	11.7	47.3	42.3
Top 5%	6.5	34.9	28.2
Top 1%	2.6	18.8	12.5
Middle 60% (Second, third, fourth quintiles)	66.9%	32.1%	34.2%

Source: U.S. Department of Treasury

Tables assumes fully phased-in (2007) law and behavior, in 1998 dollars. It includes major tax cut provisions in each of the plans: HOPE Scholarship, tuition credit, Section 127, Student loan interest deduction, child tax credit, Kidsave accounts, capital gains provisions, home office deduction, distressed areas initiatives, Puerto Rico tax incentives, individual and corporate AMT changes, prepaid tuition programs, IRAs, DC tax incentives, safe harbor for independent contractors, modifications of treatment of company owned life insurance.

How the President's Tax Cut Proposals Benefit Typical American Families

Example #1

Consider a family of four who makes \$40,000 a year. The father is a carpenter and makes \$25,000 and the mother makes \$15,000 working at a local department store. They have two kids, a son who is 14 and a freshman in high school and a daughter enrolled full-time in her first year at the local community college. Her tuition is \$1,200 a year.

The President's tax cut proposal will benefit this family in at least two ways. They will receive a child tax credit of \$500 for their son plus a HOPE Scholarship of \$1,100 for their daughter. In total, they will receive a \$1,600 tax cut in the President's proposal.

Tax Cut under Clinton Proposal

Family of four with two children aged 14 and 18 and \$40,000 income:

Child Tax Credit for 14 year old	\$500
HOPE Scholarship for 18 year old	<u>\$1,100</u>
Total tax cut:	\$1,600

Example #2

Consider a family of three making \$55,000 a year. The father has a degree in accounting and works for a local business in the accounting department. The mother works part-time at the local library. They have one daughter aged 14. The father would like to return to school to prepare for his CPA examination. He is going to attend the local liberal arts college. He has signed up for two courses with total tuition of \$4,000.

This family will receive a \$500 tax child tax credit for their daughter and a \$800 tuition tax credit to help pay for the father's course work.

Tax Cut under Clinton Proposal

Family of three with one child aged 14 and \$55,000 income:

Child Tax Credit for 14 year old	\$500
Tuition tax credit	<u>\$800</u>
Total tax cut:	\$1,300

Example #3:

A single mother lives with her six year old daughter in California. She's been working as a bank teller for several years and her pay is now \$20,000 a year. When she tallies up her taxes, she owes \$1,200 in federal income taxes. A \$1,150 Earned Income Tax Credit offsets much of this income tax. However, she pays \$1,530 a year in payroll taxes, not to mention the additional \$1,530 the bank pays on her behalf.

Under the President's plan this single mom would receive a \$500 child tax credit for her daughter. (Note: This woman and her daughter would receive no tax cut under either the House or Senate plans).

**Tax Cut under
Clinton Proposal**

Family of two with one child
aged 6 and \$20,000 income:

Child Tax Credit for 6 year old	<u>\$500</u>
Total tax cut:	\$500

Example #4

A teacher with six years experience, earning \$40,000 a year, would like to get her masters degree before she marries and has children. Her principal has agreed to adjust her schedule so that she can attend classes in the afternoon and evening. The tuition and fees charged for the program total \$6,500.

She will receive a 20 percent tax credit on the first \$5,000 of the tuition she pays.

**Tax Cut under
Clinton Proposal**

Single teacher making \$40,000,
attending graduate school:

Tuition Tax Credit:	<u>\$1,000</u>
Total Tax Cut:	\$1,000

(Note: All examples are for tax year 1999)

IT IS WRONG TO DENY TAX RELIEF TO AMERICA'S WORKING FAMILIES

Compared to the President's proposal, four million working families will largely be denied a child tax credit under the congressional tax plans. The President strongly believes that families who work hard, play by the rules and make approximately \$18,000 or \$28,000, who pay taxes, and who are trying to do the best for their kids just like everybody else, deserve a tax cut too.

This is an issue that is susceptible to both eye-glazing technical jargon, talk of "stacking," and misleading rhetoric: "It's welfare." Setting aside the jargon and the rhetoric, this is an issue best weighed by looking at real people:

Example -- Family of Four with Two Children

Consider a family of four with two children living in a medium sized southern city. The father is a rookie police officer making \$23,000, and the mother is taking a few years off from working. This family pays federal taxes well above the amount of EITC they receive:

Federal Tax Situation Before Any Child Tax Credit:

Income taxes owed before EITC	\$675
Payroll Taxes (just employee share)	\$1,760
Excise Taxes/1	\$354
Federal out of pocket taxes owed before EITC	\$2,789
Employer Share of Payroll taxes	\$1,760
Federal Taxes before EITC	\$4,549
Benefit from EITC	\$1,668

	President Clinton's Proposal	House Bill	Senate Bill
Child Tax Credit for family of rookie police officer making \$23,000	\$767	\$0	\$0

Notes:

1: Estimate calculated from Congressional Budget Office Data. CBO estimates that in 1998, families with incomes between \$20,000 and \$30,000 would pay 1.54 percent of their income in federal excise taxes.

Clinton Presidential Records Automated Records Management System [EMAIL]

This is not a presidential record. This is used as an administrative marker by the William J. Clinton Presidential Library Staff.

Hex Dump file is not in a recognizable format, has been incorrectly decoded or is damaged.

File Name: p_r8037373_opd_html_2.prz

Attachment Number: [ATTACH.D20]MAIL46737308T.116

**Change in Income Tax: Comparison of Current Law with
The President's Proposal and the House and Senate Tax Bills**

**Couple with Income of \$23,000 and Two Children
(1999 Tax Parameters)**

	Current Law	President's Proposal	House Tax Bill	Senate Tax Bill
Adjusted Gross Income (AGI) -- all earnings	23,000	23,000	23,000	23,000
Standard Deduction	7,300	7,300	7,300	7,300
Personal Exemptions	<u>11,200</u>	<u>11,200</u>	<u>11,200</u>	11,200
Taxable Income	4,500	4,500	4,500	4,500
Income Before Tax Credits	675	675	675	675
Employee Payroll Tax (7.65% of earnings)	1,760	1,760	1,760	1,760
Child Credits	0	767	0	0
Earned Income Credit (refundable)	1,668	1,668	1,668	1,668
Income Tax After Credits	-993	-1,760	-993	-993
Tax Savings Compared to Current Law		767	0	0

Department of the Treasury
Office of Tax Analysis

June 27, 1997

The President's Higher Education Tax Cuts: Greater Benefits for More Families

While providing the greatest help in the first two years, the President's plan has always gone *much* further, granting a substantial tax cut for *any* investment in postsecondary education or training. Unlike the Congressional plans, the Administration's higher education tax cut covers *all types and ages of students*, including:

- part-time students;
- students beyond their first two years of undergraduate study;
- graduate students;
- workers who are improving job skills rather than seeking a degree;
- those not fortunate enough to have been able to put a lot of money into savings.

For many situations that families find themselves in, the plans passed by the Senate and the House provide little or no help. Consider the following common situations:

	House Plan	Senate Plan	President
Family with \$50,000 income, one child going to an average two-year community college full-time (\$1,200 tuition and fees)	\$600	\$900	\$1,100
Family with \$30,000 income, one parent going to a public four-year college less than half-time (\$2,000 tuition and fees)	\$0	\$0	\$400
Family with \$40,000 income, one child is junior at average private college (\$12,000 tuition and fees)	\$0	\$0	\$1,000
Homemaker, family income of \$70,000, decides to go to graduate school at public university after being out of college for 20 years (\$3,500 tuition and fees)	\$0	\$0	\$700

President Clinton Unveils Tax Cut Proposal

June 30, 1997

President Clinton's tax cut proposal provides needed tax relief to working families who play by the rules, pay taxes, and are trying to do the best for their kids. It includes a major investment in the President's top priority -- education -- by making the first two years of college universally available and doing something the other plans do not: helping those Americans who are working and want to improve their education and upgrade their skills. Lastly, President Clinton's proposal incorporates Republican priorities in a good faith effort to honor the budget accord and to reach final agreement for a tax cut the American people deserve.

THE PRESIDENT'S PROPOSAL IS FAIR. The bulk of the President's tax cut goes to middle-class families -- two-thirds of the President's tax cut goes to the middle sixty percent of families, twice the share the alternative congressional plans provide these middle class families.

THE PRESIDENT PLACES A HIGHER PRIORITY ON EDUCATION TAX CUTS. Education must be America's highest priority and the core of our tax cut plan must help families pay for education. To offer opportunity in the new and rapidly changing economy, we must make the 13th and 14th years of education -- the first two years of college -- as universal as a high school diploma is today. We must also do what we can to help people throughout their lives improve their education and upgrade their skills throughout their lives. The President's plan:

- ✓ **ADVANCES THE GOAL OF MAKING THE FIRST TWO YEARS OF COLLEGE UNIVERSAL.** The plan includes a modified two-year \$1,500 HOPE Scholarship that does more to help community college students than the congressional alternatives. First and second year students would receive a \$1,000 credit for the first \$1,000 of tuition and fees plus 50% of as much as another \$1,000 in tuition and fees. Therefore, a student going to a typical community college with tuition of \$1,200 would receive a \$1,100 credit under the President's proposal, compared to just \$600 and \$900 under the House and Senate plans respectively.
- ✓ **HELPS THIRD AND FOURTH YEAR STUDENTS AND PROMOTES LIFELONG LEARNING.** The congressional plans give virtually no support to families who are struggling to pay college costs out of pocket. Students beyond the second year would benefit only if they had substantial savings or when they paid interest on student loans. Students over 30 -- one-fourth of all undergraduate students -- could not even make use of the education savings accounts that Congress is proposing. At a time when older workers need to improve their education and upgrade their skills, it is critical that the education tax cuts promote lifelong learning. The President's proposal accomplishes this goal: It provides a 20 percent tuition credit on expenses up to \$5,000 initially and \$10,000 beginning in 2001.
- ✓ **INCORPORATES OTHER GOOD EDUCATION IDEAS INCLUDED IN VARIOUS PROPOSALS,** such as a permanent extension of the tax preference for employer-provided undergraduate and graduate education, tax incentives for school construction, a student loan interest deduction, and tax exclusion for community service and income-contingent loan forgiveness.

THE PRESIDENT BELIEVES THAT FAMILIES WHO WORK HARD, PAY TAXES, AND TRY TO DO THE BEST FOR THEIR KIDS DESERVE A TAX CUT. HIS PLAN CUTS THE TAXES OF THE 4 MILLION FAMILIES SHORTCHANGED BY CONGRESS. The President's proposal includes a \$500 child tax credit for children under 17 through 2002 and under 19 thereafter. The President has a basic disagreement with some members of Congress. Consider *a family of four with two small children: the father is a rookie police officer making \$23,000, and the mother is taking a few years off from teaching. They pay out of pocket over \$1,000 a year in federal taxes.* The President believes that this family needs and deserves a tax cut just as much as family who makes twice as much. The Congressional plans would deny this family a tax cut. Under the President's plan, this family would receive a \$767 child tax credit.

TAX INCENTIVES TO CLEAN-UP AND REVITALIZE DISTRESSED NEIGHBORHOODS BELONG IN THE FINAL TAX PACKAGE. In the balanced budget agreement, President Clinton and Congress agreed to make all efforts to include three programs critical to our urban areas in the final budget package: a Brownfields tax incentive; new Empowerment Zones and Enterprise Communities (EZ/EC); and expansion of the Community Development Financial Institutions (CDFI) fund. Unfortunately, neither the House tax bill nor the Senate tax bill includes the President's Brownfields and EZ/EC initiatives. *Today, the President includes these two vital provisions, plus a new tax credit to encourage investment in CDFIs and an enhanced welfare-to-work tax credit, in his tax cut proposal.*

THE PRESIDENT'S TAX CUT PROPOSAL DELIVERS BIG FOR CITIES

June 30, 1997

In the balanced budget agreement, President Clinton and Congress agreed to make all efforts to include three programs critical to our urban areas in the final budget package: a Brownfields tax incentive; new Empowerment Zones and Enterprise Communities (EZ/EC); and expansion of the Community Development Financial Institutions (CDFI) fund. Unfortunately, neither the House tax bill nor the Senate tax bill includes the President's Brownfields and EZ/EC initiatives. *Today, the President includes these two vital provisions, plus a new tax credit to encourage investment in CDFIs and an enhanced welfare-to-work tax credit, in his tax cut proposal.*

PRESIDENT CLINTON'S TAX PLAN HELPS TO CLEAN UP AND REDEVELOP BROWNFIELDS.

The Brownfields tax incentive included in the President's tax cut proposal would reduce the cost of cleaning up thousands of contaminated, abandoned sites in economically distressed areas by permitting clean-up costs to be immediately deducted for tax purposes, rather than requiring this spending to be written off over time. This would, in turn, encourage redevelopment of these areas. *The Treasury Department estimates this \$2 billion tax incentive will, over seven years, leverage more than \$10 billion for private sector cleanups nationwide, allowing redevelopment of 30,000 brownfields.*

- Chicago Mayor Richard Daley, writing on behalf of the U.S. Conference of Mayors, urged Ways and Means Chairman Archer to include the President's Brownfields proposal in the tax bill: "This is a high priority for communities across this nation and we stand united in urging you and other members of the House Ways and Means Committee to modify the tax bill to include the \$2 billion brownfields tax incentive."

THE PRESIDENT'S TAX PLAN CREATES NEW EMPOWERMENT ZONES AND ENTERPRISE COMMUNITIES. Under the President's 1993 Empowerment Zones and Enterprise Community initiative, communities develop a strategic plan to spur economic development, and they receive Federal tax benefits, social service grants and flexibility in use of Federal funds in order to put this plan in effect. The EZs and ECs are urban or rural areas with high poverty and unemployment rates.

Many communities that were not designated as EZs or ECs in that first round lack the seed capital to begin revitalization efforts. In response, the President proposes a second-round of EZs and ECs--15 urban and 5 rural EZs and 50 urban and 30 rural ECs. The new EZs and ECs will benefit from a slightly different blend of tax credits than the first-round communities.

THE PRESIDENT'S TAX PLAN ALSO PROVIDES FOR A NEW COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS TAX CREDIT. The President's CDFI Fund is helping to build a national network of community development financial institutions -- including banks, thrifts, and credit unions -- by providing financial and technical assistance to these entities. CDFI dollars are being used to create jobs, rebuild neighborhoods and restore hope in communities from San Francisco to Boston, Louisville to Chicago. The Fund represents a promising model for Federal government action -- investing in local private sector institutions, leveraging private sector resources (to the tune of ten times the initial investment) and generating economic growth in distressed areas. *The President's tax cut proposal creates a new tax credit to encourage investment in CDFIs. This new credit is not included in either the House or Senate versions of the tax legislation.*

THE PRESIDENT'S PLAN INCLUDES A SPECIAL WELFARE-TO-WORK TAX CREDIT. This credit gives employers added incentive to hire welfare recipients. Although the congressional leadership pledged to seek a credit along these lines, the House bill includes only a scaled-back version, and the Senate bill omits it entirely.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-JUN-1997 09:47:54.00

SUBJECT: Directive on Law Enforcement in Indian Country

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jose Cerda III (CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

In general, I think the directive looks fine. However, it would be useful to see if there is anything positive that we can say this administration has done --even if it is modest-- for law enforcement in Indian Country which we might put in the beginning of the directive. I can look into that right now.

As for the confusing sentence, maybe it could read something like this: "The dramatic increase in homicide rates in Indian Country-- 80 percent in the last (cite time frame)-- now means that homicide levels on Indian lands often surpass those in large American cities."

I agree we need to see the repogramming proposal. I will try to get that too and will share it with Jose' ASAP to see if he has any comments or concerns.

Thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Kevin S. Moran (CN=Kevin S. Moran/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-JUN-1997 18:34:31.00

SUBJECT: July Message Meeting

TO: Lorraine L. Wytkind (CN=Lorraine L. Wytkind/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Beth A. Viola (CN=Beth A. Viola/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [CEQ])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stephen B. Silverman (CN=Stephen B. Silverman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eli G. Attie (CN=Eli G. Attie/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jim Kohlenberger (CN=Jim Kohlenberger/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christa Robinson (CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer M. Palmieri (CN=Jennifer M. Palmieri/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lorraine A. Voles (CN=Lorraine A. Voles/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kathleen A. McGinty (CN=Kathleen A. McGinty/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [CEQ])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria Echaveste (CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan A. Kaplan (CN=Jonathan A. Kaplan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elisa Millsap (CN=Elisa Millsap/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Please attend a meeting tomorrow (7/1) at 4pm to discuss the late July message schedule. Subjects to be discussed include the events/dates below:

July 14 -- Racial disparities in health care

July 15 -- Congressional budget meeting

July 16 -- Communications Decency Act

July 17 -- NAACP/Black Journalists (message tbd)

July 18 -- Summit Follow-up event with Girls Nation

July 21 -- Climate Change (event with Nobel Laureates -- I forget?)

Week of July 21 -- Religious Freedom event

Week of July 21-- Genetic Screeing

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Bruce Reed to Elena Kagan re: Regulations (1 page)	06/30/1997	P6/b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Automated Records Management System [Email]
OPD ([Kagan])
OA/Box Number: 250000

FOLDER TITLE:

[06/30/1997-07/01/1997]

2009-1006-F
bm22

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jonathan A. Kaplan (CN=Jonathan A. Kaplan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-JUN-1997 18:41:41.00

SUBJECT: Race Initiative Policy Process

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

Emil E. Parker (CN=Emil E. Parker/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

Russell W. Horwitz (CN=Russell W. Horwitz/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Elena:

Please give either Emil Parker or me a call when you get the chance to discuss the POTUS memo. We want to be sure to (1) get you timely information on Gene's thoughts regarding the community empowerment process and (2) show a draft of the memo to Gene so that he can sign off.

Thanks very much.

Jon

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Christa Robinson (CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-JUN-1997 11:38:42.00

SUBJECT: Cabinet Memo 6-30-97

TO: Jennifer L. Klein (CN=Jennifer L. Klein/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William R. Kincaid (CN=William R. Kincaid/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth Drye (CN=Elizabeth Drye/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan Prince (CN=Jonathan Prince/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher C. Jennings (CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Diana Fortuna (CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas L. Freedman (CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cathy R. Mays (CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary L. Smith (CN=Mary L. Smith/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Cohen (CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eric P. Goosby (CN=Eric P. Goosby/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah A. Bianchi (CN=Sarah A. Bianchi/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: WEINSTEIN_P (WEINSTEIN_P @ A1 @ CD @ LNWTWY [UNKNOWN]) (OPD)
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

AGRICULTURE

Today - the Secretary is in Tahoe today co-hosting a workshop on Lake Tahoe event in July
Tomorrow - the Secretary will be in SD to address Second Harvest Group and release study by USDA on wasted food

COMMERCE

Today - no public events
Tomorrow - Electronic Commerce event with the President; interviews with Japanese television and Robert Novak

INTERIOR

Today - the Secretary is in Tahoe with Secretary Glickman
Tomorrow - the Secretary will be in Denver to sign Platte River agreement

JUSTICE

Today - the AG is in Utah for Crime Summit

LABOR

Today - the Secretary speaks in Las Vegas to Communications Workers of America
Tomorrow - the Secretary is in Dallas for Small Business meeting

OPM

Today - no public events

TRANSPORTATION

Today - no public events

USIA

Today - no public events

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jerold R. Mande (CN=Jerold R. Mande/OU=OSTP/O=EOP [OSTP])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-JUN-1997 20:55:26.00

SUBJECT: Sudden Cardiac Arrest -- Automated External Defibrillators (AEDs) Update

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Thomas L. Freedman (CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Here is where the matter stands: I have been working with Tom Freedman to explore a possible POTUS or VPOTUS event. There appears to be an opportunity for positive federal intervention because as many as 50,000 of the more than 300,000 people who die a year from sudden cardiac arrest could be saved if a normal heart rhythm could be restored within 5 min. Today an individual's chances of surviving vary from greater than 30% to less than 5%, depending on where they live. There is agreement that the federal gov't can help by adding its support to a 3 year study of the effectiveness of making AEDs available to minimally trained individuals such as security guards. There is near violent disagreement between HHS and outside experts about whether the federal gov't can do anything before the study is completed to help lessen this problem.

I have 5 of the nation's leading experts flying into town on Thursday for a 2pm briefing on the problem and the opportunities. HHS is refusing to send anyone to the meeting. I spoke with Bill Corr today and he feels strongly that I have already dragged too many HHS staff (about 6) to too many meetings (about 3) and that I am wasting their time.

Any thought?

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
002. email	Bruce Reed to Elena Kagan re: Sudden Cardiac Arrest -- Automated External Defibrillators (1 page)	06/30/1997	P6/b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Automated Records Management System [Email]
OPD ([Kagan])
OA/Box Number: 250000

FOLDER TITLE:

[06/30/1997-07/01/1997]

2009-1006-F
bm22

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jerold R. Mande (CN=Jerold R. Mande/OU=OSTP/O=EOP [OSTP])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-JUN-1997 19:14:33.00

SUBJECT: Tobacco Poll

TO: Christopher C. Jennings (CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
 READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
 READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth Drye (CN=Elizabeth Drye/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
 READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
 READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

From 6-18-97 ABC News Poll

Do you think measures to reduce cigarettes smoking in the country have gone too far, or not far enough?

Gone too far	Not far enough	About right	No opinion		
All			28	55	
10	7				
Smokers		45	35	13	7
Non-smokers		22	63	9	6

Nicotine Regulation

Neither group favors the total ban of smoking either by restricting smoking only to the smoker's home or by outlawing the sale of cigarettes. But majorities of both favor finding a way to regulate the use of nicotine, including most of the 13 percent of Americans who say they're hooked on the stuff. But the two groups part on banning cigarette advertising, with six in 10 smokers against it and a similar number of non-smokers for it.

But when asked about some specific measures the government could take to reduce smoking, more agreement between the two camps emerges:

	Smokers	Non-smokers
Ban all cigarette advertising		
	38	58
Find a way to regulate the use of nicotine		
	68	77
Make smoking illegal in all places - indoors and out except a smoker's own home		
	16	40
Make it illegal for an adult to buy cigarettes		
	8	17

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-JUN-1997 13:16:41.00

SUBJECT: regulations & events

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Michael Waldman has turned over to us the task of identifying pending regulations that could be the basis of events. This is your chance to plug pediatric labeling...

I think all we have to do is write down whatever's pending (child care/immuniz, pediatric, anything else?) and send an e-mail to Ann, SMAT, Podesta, and Michael.

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: BRUCE REED
ELENA KAGAN

RE: LAW ENFORCEMENT IN INDIAN COUNTRY

The current conditions for public safety and law enforcement are dire in Indian Country. Whereas violent crime has been on the decline nationwide, it has increased on Indian lands. On many American Indian reservations, public safety is even less secure than the most crime-plagued inner-cities. Since the federal government has primary responsibility for law enforcement on Indian Country, this Administration has an opportunity to make a meaningful difference to improve public safety on Indian lands.

The attached Executive Memorandum directs the Attorney General and Secretary of the Interior to analyze the law enforcement problem and develop a comprehensive proposal for improving public safety and criminal justice in Indian Country. The proposal would be submitted to you by November 14, 1997. The memorandum was proposed by and has the strong support of both the Attorney General and the Secretary of the Interior.

[The Executive Memorandum must be issued immediately so a request to the House Appropriations Committee to reprogram funds to pay for the interagency group-- which will develop the proposal to respond to the directive -- may be sent this week.]

BACKGROUND

Since 1992, violent crime has dropped nationwide by approximately 17 percent and the homicide rate fell by 22 percent. During the same time period in Indian Country, violent crime increased, and the homicide rate grew by over 80 percent. This has had a devastating impact on many of the 1.2 million American Indian citizens who live on lands governed by federally-recognized tribes. Nearly all of these lands are under the jurisdiction of the federal government.

The federal government has the singular responsibility to remedy this escalating crime problem. Federal law enforcement is the only protection for the victims of violent crimes in most areas of Indian Country and the United States generally has exclusive jurisdiction over major crimes committed on Indian lands. Moreover, federal law enforcement jurisdiction in Indian Country has been growing: the 1994 Crime Act's expanded list of drug, gun, and domestic violence provisions all apply to Indian Country.

As violent crime and federal jurisdiction over crime have increased in Indian Country, federal resources have been shrinking. This has severely compromised public safety and the safety of law enforcement officers; diminished the number of investigators so that many violent crimes in

Indian Country remain uninvestigated; and has resulted in jails that are grossly inadequate, and are neither safe nor secure.

The Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) at the Department of Interior has primary responsibility for enforcement and detention. But since 1992, the total BIA law enforcement budget declined by 5.1 percent to \$74.6 million. This decline stands in contrast to increased funding for all other federal law enforcement agencies.

Possible solutions include consolidation of criminal investigative services of the BIA and FBI into a new service created by the Justice Department, or simply transferring BIA's major law enforcement programs to Justice.

While no consensus has yet been formed on how to improve the existing situation, there is universal recognition that the current structure needs to be fixed and that the federal government has the responsibility to act.

RECOMMENDATION

We recommend that you sign the attached Presidential directive to the Attorney General and Secretary of the Interior to analyze the criminal justice problem in Indian Country and develop a comprehensive plan for ensuring improved criminal justice and law enforcement in Indian Country. Consistent with the Presidential Memorandum you signed in September 1994 on government-to-government relations with tribes, the memorandum explicitly states that tribal leaders would be included in the development of solutions to this problem.

The Attorney General and Interior Secretary will respond by appointing a 15-member Executive Committee for Indian Country Law Enforcement Improvement. The Committee will be comprised of representatives from pertinent federal agencies, tribal leaders, and experts. The Committee can engage in a dialogue with the appropriate parties and develop a consensus solution on the best way to address criminal justice problems in Indian Country.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-JUN-1997 15:19:07.00

SUBJECT: brady/usa today

TO: Jose Cerda III (CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

The cops are saying the Brady decision will lead courts to shut down the ban on gun purchases by domestic abusers (which they never liked anyway). See today's USA Today

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Richard Socarides (CN=Richard Socarides/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-JUN-1997 08:42:37.00

SUBJECT: Hawaii "reciprocal beneficiaries" law to take effect

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

For our domestic partners file. This is really an important development. I don't think people realize how significant this bill is.

----- Forwarded by Richard Socarides/WHO/EOP on 06/30/97
08:40 AM -----

Doug.Case @ sdsu.edu
06/27/97 08:18:00 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Richard Socarides

cc:

Subject: Hawaii "reciprocal beneficiaries" law to take effect

WASHINGTON BLADE

June 27, 1997

<http://www.washblade.com/>

Hawaii "reciprocal beneficiaries" law to take effect
New legislation gives many, but not all, marriage rights to registered same-sex couples

by Peter Freiberg

Statewide legislation that Gay activists say offers the most recognition and benefits to Gay couples in this country will take effect in Hawaii on July 8.

Gov. Benjamin Cayetano, a Democrat, decided to let the bill become law without his signature, despite previous statements that he would sign the measure as "tantamount to civil rights."

Cayetano's press secretary, Kathleen Racuya-Markrich, who told the Blade on June 20 that Cayetano would definitely sign the bill, said three days later that the governor was out of town for the week and that she didn't know why he decided not to sign it. The deadline for vetoing the bill was June 23.

The new state law sets up a new category of legally recognized relationships - "reciprocal beneficiaries" - for Gay and other non-heterosexual couples.

Under the statute, reciprocal beneficiaries are defined as two adults, over age 18, who are prohibited by law from marrying. An unmarried heterosexual couple would be ineligible, but a range of family members could apply along with same-sex couples. Applicants do not have to live together, but must simply sign and have notarized an application to be provided by the state health department, which will issue a Reciprocal Beneficiaries Relationship

certificate for \$8 (compared to \$32 for a marriage certificate).

The certificate will look "similar to what a marriage certificate looks like," said health department communications director Patrick Johnston. He said out-of-state couples will be able to get certificates, too, but that only Hawaii residents will receive any benefits.

The new law includes a requirement that private employers who provide family health coverage for their married workers also offer such coverage to reciprocal beneficiaries and their dependents.

The state and counties must also extend health insurance coverage to reciprocal beneficiaries and their dependents and pay part of the cost.

But despite health insurance and other benefits that the new law gives to "couples ... barred by law from marriage," activists in Hawaii and elsewhere who are seeking legalization of same-sex marriages have decidedly mixed feelings about the legislation.

Evan Wolfson, director of the Marriage Project of Lambda Legal Defense and Education Fund, said the Hawaii reciprocal beneficiaries law "is the broadest and most significant recognition extended to non-marital families, including Gay and Lesbian couples, ever on American soil."

But Wolfson said the beneficiaries legislation does "not come close to the unique, broad array of legal and economic, tangible and intangible, protections, benefits and responsibilities that come only with civil marriage."

Separate isn't equal

"It is still separate and unequal, and even if it were equal, separate is still unequal," said Wolfson.

Tracey Bennett, a lobbyist for Equal Marriage Hawaii, a group that supports the court case seeking legalization of Gay marriages in Hawaii, said bluntly, "We're all pissed."

The law is "not good enough," said Bennett. "If we didn't have the court case, we'd be dancing in the streets [over the new law], but we know we deserve more. We deserve to be equal."

Another Hawaii activist, Kate Sample, called the new law "a step in the right direction," but said, "It was definitely a compromise and, I feel, a sellout, but politically [the legislators] didn't see any other way."

Dan Foley, co-counsel with Wolfson in the lawsuit by three Hawaii Gay couples seeking marriage licenses, said that despite its flaws, the new law "is a very significant step forward."

"The straight community, I think, will become more ... accepting of same-sex unions once they see this in operation," said Foley. "Real couples are going to have real rights."

The new law, which will allow couples to begin registering July 8, was approved by the legislature in April as part of a deal that seeks to derail an anticipated state supreme court ruling to require the state to issue marriage licenses to same-sex couples.

With Hawaii politicians under fierce pressure from the Roman Catholic and

Mormon churches, along with the fundamentalist religious right, to restrict marriage to heterosexual couples, legislators passed a bill to put before the voters a constitutional amendment that would give the legislature the right to define marriage in a way that only heterosexual couples can obtain marriage licenses.

The Hawaii Supreme Court, which ruled in 1993 that the state has to show a compelling reason to deny marriage licenses to same-sex couples, is weighing a final decision. The aim of the constitutional amendment is to insure that even if the court rules that Gay couples are entitled to marriage licenses, the legislature will be able to overturn that decision.

In exchange for going along with the constitutional amendment, pro-Gay members of the Senate demanded that the legislature make available a package of benefits and responsibilities for same-sex domestic partners.

But in the end, the new category of "reciprocal beneficiaries created goes beyond Gay couples."

"It was pure politics," said State Sen. Scott Matsunaga (D), a strong supporter of equal rights for Gay people. "The Senate had wanted to limit ... benefits to same-sex couples, but the House, perhaps motivated by right-wing concerns, didn't want to give benefits only to Gay [couples]. They felt that would be giving special rights."

Foley said that even though, for example, a widow and her unmarried son might register, "the primary beneficiaries of [the new law] will be same-sex couples."

Foley said the new law was covertly supported by Roman Catholic, Mormon, and religious right lobbyists, who knew that without passage of the reciprocal beneficiaries law they could not win passage of the constitutional amendment proposal.

"They couldn't say publicly they were doing this for Gay couples," said Foley, "even though in effect they were."

52 of 300 benefits

Matsunaga said he and other pro-Gay legislators had to recognize "political reality" and agree to present the constitutional amendment to the voters. In exchange, he said, they won "substantially similar" economic rights and benefits for non-traditional couples.

"While everyone else gets to go to the buffet line," said Matsunaga, "Gays will now be allowed to go to the salad bar. They're still not getting the full buffet, but at least they're getting a partial meal."

But activist Tracey Bennett said the benefits are greatly inferior to those available to married couples. Reciprocal beneficiaries, Bennett said, are given only about 52 state benefits - out of what she said are at least 300 benefits married couples receive. And, of course, Gay couples are barred from any federally related benefits.

Among the benefits that the Hawaii legislation says are "substantially equivalent" to those extended to married spouses are:

survivorship rights, among them inheritance, workers compensation, and state employees' retirement benefits; health-related benefits, among them hospital visitation and family and funeral leave; legal standing of

beneficiaries relating to victims rights, domestic violence family status, and the ability to sue in instances of wrongful death; and, miscellaneous benefits, such as use of state university facilities use couples and use of government vehicles in family emergencies.

But there is also a long list of benefits denied to reciprocal beneficiaries - not only federally related rights accorded married couples, but also rights linked to traditional marriage.

"What you're not getting," said Matsunaga, who co-chairs the Senate Judiciary Committee, "are things that are related to federal status because of the [1996 federal] Defense of Marriage Act [which bars federal recognition of same-sex couples]. We couldn't give those."

"We're also not giving," said Matsunaga, "the rights that are so intricately tied to marriage, such as divorce, alimony and palimony, and child custody. ... What you are getting, the cornerstone of the rights and benefits, are health insurance benefits."

Matsunaga said it was a struggle for the Senate to win the rights it did get for beneficiaries from the House, which is more conservative and strongly against equal marriage rights for Gays. "The House just wanted to give a couple of radishes," he said.

Some of the benefits that remain unavailable to Gay couples are relatively minor, but many aren't.

The state, which automatically gives a hunting license to the spouse of a military servicemember, will not do so for reciprocal beneficiaries. A deduction for filing joint state income tax returns, which was originally proposed by the Senate, was eventually deleted. A reciprocal beneficiary who leaves the family will not be required to pay child support, even if he or she was the main provider.

Governor's about-face

Cayetano's decision not to sign the bill came as a surprise. Earlier this month, one group, the Hawaii Business Health Council, which includes some of the state's largest businesses, expressed concern about the cost to private employers and the state and urged the governor to veto it. Cayetano then commented, according to the Honolulu Star-Bulletin:

"I look at it more from a point of view of according certain rights to people of a certain sexual orientation. You can't put a cost ... on something that is tantamount to civil rights." Cayetano called the bill "a historic step forward."

Attorney Foley said Cayetano had repeatedly backed giving benefits to domestic partners; on June 15, the governor stated on a radio program that he would sign the bill. His press secretary, however, said there may be "technical flaws" in the legislation that led him not to sign it. Sue Reardon, director of Marriage Project Hawaii, said she wanted to hear Cayetano's reasons for not signing before offering any comment.

Under a timetable dictated by the Hawaii Constitution, Cayetano's decision to let the bill become law without his signature postponed the date it takes effect by one week, to July 8, according to the Health Department's Johnston.

Wolfson of Lambda Legal said that while some Gay activists have criticized

the marriage effort for allegedly diverting energy from other goals, the new Hawaii statute "is yet again strong evidence that that's not true."

"Fighting for the freedom to marry," said Wolfson, "compels even our most bitter enemies to move in our direction to offer recognition and concrete benefits to our families."

Foley believes the reciprocal beneficiaries law may help create a "comfort zone" in which citizens of Hawaii will get used to same-sex couples having civil rights - and might be more inclined to vote against the constitutional amendment.

There is no possibility other states will completely imitate Hawaii's beneficiaries law, even pro-Gay ones like those in New England. The reason is that all other states are prohibited by federal statute from requiring private employers to offer health and pension benefits. Years ago, Hawaii obtained an exemption from that law.

"That helps explain why we were able to go farther in Hawaii," said Wolfson, "but it also underscores ... why it is so critically important to redouble efforts to win the freedom to marry."

Copyright 1997 The Washington Blade Inc.

This message has been forwarded as a free informational service. Please do not publish, or post in a public place on the Internet, copyrighted material without permission and attribution. Forwarding of this material should not necessarily be construed as an endorsement of the content. In fact, sometimes messages from anti-gay organizations are forwarded as "opposition research."

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

RFC-822-headers:

Received: from conversion.pmdf.eop.gov by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-4 #6879) id <01IKKVW4VEN4005KHC@PMDF.EOP.GOV> for socarides_r@al.eop.gov; Fri, 27 Jun 1997 20:18:01 -0500 (EST)

Received: from storm.eop.gov (storm.eop.gov) by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-4 #6879) id <01IKKVW2RBG000690B@PMDF.EOP.GOV> for socarides_r@al.eop.gov; Fri, 27 Jun 1997 20:17:58 -0500 (EST)

Received: from mail.sdsu.edu ([130.191.25.3]) by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.1-7 #6879) with ESMTTP id <01IKKVVSRO1A0012GZ@STORM.EOP.GOV> for socarides_r@al.eop.gov; Fri, 27 Jun 1997 20:17:45 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from [130.191.242.121] ([130.191.242.121]) by mail.sdsu.edu (8.8.4/8.8.4) with ESMTTP id RAA02347; Fri, 27 Jun 1997 17:16:17 -0700 (PDT)

X-Sender: dcase@mail.sdsu.edu

===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cheryl M. Carter (CN=Cheryl M. Carter/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-JUN-1997 13:46:07.00

SUBJECT: Call from Distilled Spirits Council

TO: Michelle Crisci (CN=Michelle Crisci/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rahm I. Emanuel (CN=Rahm I. Emanuel/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Peter O'Keefe (CN=Peter O'Keefe/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Heads up...got my weekly call from Tim Dudgeon with Distilled Spirits Council this morning and he really needed to hear from us this week because he has held his folks off of a public campaign effort on this issue about as long as he can...as of next week he's not sure what will happen. We REALLY need to focus on this and give them an answer to their April letter to POTUS calling for him to convene a meeting of all sides.

HELP!

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Christa Robinson (CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 1-JUL-1997 12:02:22.00

SUBJECT: Cabinet Memo 7-1-97

TO: William R. Kincaid (CN=William R. Kincaid/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth Drye (CN=Elizabeth Drye/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan Prince (CN=Jonathan Prince/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher C. Jennings (CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Diana Fortuna (CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas L. Freedman (CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cathy R. Mays (CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Cohen (CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eric P. Goosby (CN=Eric P. Goosby/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah A. Bianchi (CN=Sarah A. Bianchi/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: WEINSTEIN_P (WEINSTEIN_P @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (OPD)
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

AGRICULTURE

Today - the Secretary is in San Diego announcing report that quantifies food waste

COMMERCE

Today - the Secretary participates in electronic commerce event with the President; interviews with Japanese TV; does stand-ups with MSNBC, CNNfN, and CNBC (tentative); possibly interviews with Lehrer News Hour

ONDCP

Today - the Director is in San Diego doing Customs Inspection
Tomorrow - the Director addresses Commonwealth Club in San Francisco

EDUCATION

The Secretary is still in Ireland

EPA

Today and Tomorrow - no public events

HHS

The Secretary is out all week

INTERIOR

Today - the Secretary is in CO signing the Platte River Agreement
Tomorrow - no public events

OMB

Today - the Director is in New York
Tomorrow - no public events

OPM

Today and tomorrow - no public events

TRANSPORTATION

Today and tomorrow - no public events

USTR

Today - no public events

USIA

Today - no public events

VETERANS

Today - no public events

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Diana Fortuna (CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 1-JUL-1997 15:36:09.00

SUBJECT: Draft agenda for welfare strategy mtg today at 5pm for your consideration

TO: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

7/1/97

Draft Agenda

1. Radio address Thursday on caseloads
2. Legislative Update
 - A. Minimum wage/worker protections/anti-displacement
 - B. Welfare to Work
 - C. Privatization
 - D. Legal Immigrants
3. Future Events
 - A. Child Support Letter to the Hill: Week of July 7th/Juvenile Justice Markup
 - B. NGA speech, late July
 - C. Welfare to Work Partnership, St. Louis?
 - D. August 22nd

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Diana Fortuna (CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 1-JUL-1997 14:50:43.00

SUBJECT: here's the labor protections language again

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Here's what we got from OMB yesterday. They has been eerily quiet about this today, so we are making sure they don't send it out without hearing from us on this.

----- Forwarded by Diana Fortuna/OPD/EOP on 07/01/97
02:49 PM -----

Diana Fortuna
06/30/97 05:26:42 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Elena Kagan/OPD/EOP

cc: Cynthia A. Rice/OPD/EOP

Subject: Conferee letter language on FLSA/displacement

Here is OMB's draft language for the Administration letter on conference. We think people will want it to move tomorrow, so we have to be ready with our comments. Therefore, we need some guidance from you as to whether the attached is the right idea:

Minimum Wage and Workfare -- The Administration applauds the Senate for refraining from modifying current law with respect to the application of the minimum wage and other worker protections for working welfare recipients under TANF. We believe strongly that everyone who can work must work, and everyone who works should earn at least the minimum wage and receive the protections of existing employment laws -- whether or not they are coming off welfare.

The Administration believes that working welfare recipients should have the same rights and protections as regular employees. We continue to have serious concerns that certain welfare recipients would be denied the status of employees under the House bill and thereby be deprived of worker protections. Although the House bill makes

progress towards
ensuring that welfare recipients in work experience and community service
be paid the
minimum wage, we believe the bill fails to provide an effective
enforcement mechanism
to ensure this happens. Also, while the House bill contains some
protections against
discrimination (gender, age, race, and disability) and threats to health
and safety, we
believe the limited grievance procedures provided in the bill are
inadequate to ensure
welfare recipients receive the same protections as regular employees, and
regular
employees receive protection against displacement.

In addition, the Administration is concerned that the House bill weakens
the welfare law^s,
strict emphasis on work by permitting states whose benefit levels cannot
support the
minimum wage to count education and training toward the work requirements.

The Administration urges the Conferees to adopt the Senate position on the
minimum
wage, grievance procedures, and worker protections.

Non-Displacement -- While the Administration supports the Senate
non-displacement
provisions which adopts worker displacement language from H.R. 1385, the
Administration
supports applying such protections to all welfare recipients who are
making the transition from
welfare to work as provided by the House. In addition, we support
maintaining the provision
in the House bill that ensures nonpreemption of state non-displacement
laws that afford
greater protections to employees.

We are seriously concerned the House bill weakens the protections against
displacement
of regular employees [Elena: I think this is in error and I'm checking
with OMB, since the House
bill actually slightly strengthens TANF], and urge the Conferees to adopt
the Senate
proposal with the changes noted above.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 1-JUL-1997 18:59:03.00

SUBJECT: Houston-- we have a problem

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

We have reached what could be a huge stumbling block on the directive: at about 4:00pm today, Ken Schwartz at OMB informed me that they had some concerns about what recommendations the Committee would give to the President (i.e., tribal law enforcement needs could require \$200-300 million in new funding). Apparently, Michael Deich is concerned that we will be locked into the funding level proposed by the Committee since it is linked to a Presidential directive. OMB sent over some proposed language this evening to address their concern. The key sentence reads, "Recommendations should conform to the funding targets of the Bipartisan budget agreement." Needless to say, Justice would have major problems with including this sentence in the text of the directive.

I was then told later this evening that Michael Deich discussed his concerns with Frank Raines at another meeting and Raines told him to "slow down the process" on the directive so they could have more time to look at the issue.

According to OMB, the timing on the directive does not need to be tied to the subcommittee markup. This is because the funds identified in the reprogramming request to fund the Executive Committee is old, unspent funding that the Approps Committee has not targetted for rescission and does not otherwise revert back to the Treasury.

While this may buy us some time, I am concerned that OMB's current resistance to a directive which merely asks DOJ/DOI to look into this completely legitimate problem and devise a recommendation may be thwarted because of their speculation on the results.

Bottom line: OMB will oppose going forward on the directive at least for the rest of this week. They have not ruled out that this could be done next week.

We need to discuss where to go from here. Thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jose Cerda III (CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 1-JUL-1997 16:13:45.00

SUBJECT: Race for a Memo

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

EK:

Late and not great, but....oh well. Take a look and let me know what you think.

Jose' -- (not the Race Commission Exec. Dir.)

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Tracey E. Thornton (CN=Tracey E. Thornton/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 1-JUL-1997 14:28:45.00

SUBJECT: Lee/Affirmative Action

TO: Dawn M. Chirwa (CN=Dawn M. Chirwa/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Richard L. Hayes (CN=Richard L. Hayes/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andrew J. Mayock (CN=Andrew J. Mayock/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert B. Johnson (CN=Robert B. Johnson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria Echaveste (CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sylvia M. Mathews (CN=Sylvia M. Mathews/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Stacey L. Rubin (CN=Stacey L. Rubin/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Ann F. Walker (CN=Ann F. Walker/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Robert N. Weiner (CN=Robert N. Weiner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Doris O. Matsui (CN=Doris O. Matsui/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

The WHO/DOJ Lee confirmation team meets with outside groups every wednesday here at the White House. At tomorrow's meeting we will be joined by Senate staff who are interested in this nomination and wanted to meet with the groups. These staff folks also work affirmative action issues and Kennedy's people want to talk about what is happening on the Hill. So we're going to first discuss the Lee nomination and then let Senate folks turn to the broader question. The meeting is at 11am in room 180. My plan was to do more listening than anything else but let me know if you have other thoughts on it.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Barry J. Toiv (CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 1-JUL-1997 10:24:41.00

SUBJECT: Stmtnt today on Kennedy-Kassebaum

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sylvia M. Mathews (CN=Sylvia M. Mathews/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis (CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John L. Hilley (CN=John L. Hilley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John Podesta (CN=John Podesta/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael D. McCurry (CN=Michael D. McCurry/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Lorraine L. Wytkind (CN=Lorraine L. Wytkind/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: April K. Mellody (CN=April K. Mellody/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Christopher C. Jennings (CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Joshua Silverman (CN=Joshua Silverman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Joseph P. Lockhart (CN=Joseph P. Lockhart/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

Barry J. Toiv (CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

Michelle Crisci (CN=Michelle Crisci/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

Kevin S. Moran (CN=Kevin S. Moran/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

Sara M. Latham (CN=Sara M. Latham/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

June G. Turner (CN=June G. Turner/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

Elisa Millsap (CN=Elisa Millsap/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ: UNKNOWN

TEXT:

As many of you know, today is the effective date of the Kennedy-Kassebaum law.

Mr. Lockhart has had the brilliant idea of issuing a statement by the President so that we can get in the stories. I've taken the liberty of calling Chris Jennings, who is going to work on something for us to put out today. If anybody objects, please speak your mind.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Minyon Moore (CN=Minyon Moore/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 1-JUL-1997 12:34:09.00

SUBJECT: NAACP

TO: Robert B. Johnson (CN=Robert B. Johnson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sylvia M. Mathews (CN=Sylvia M. Mathews/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis (CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

oops. .. The issue that is being debated by the NAACP is not integration.
It is whether or not busing should be used as a means for integration.
----- Forwarded by Minyon Moore/WHO/EOP on 07/01/97 12:30
PM -----

Minyon Moore
07/01/97 12:29:51 PM
Record Type: Record

To: Jennifer M. Palmieri/WHO/EOP, Sylvia M. Mathews/WHO/EOP, Robert B. Johnson/WHO/EOP
cc: Ann F. Lewis/WHO/EOP, Elena Kagan/OPD/EOP
Subject: NAACP

Guess who called me today...yes that's right Kweisi Mfume. I informed him that we are working out some internal details and should have a definite decision on the President's participation sometime today or tomorrow. We walked through the conference schedule and themes. Thursday is education day for their conference. Sec. Riley has already confirmed for Thursday.

I also have some additional details on where the debate on integration stands within the NAACP. It appears, based on my conversation with Kweisi, to have been blown way out of proportion by the New York Times. I will update you all in person. Let me know where we stand. Thanks

FYI: KWEISI WILL BE THE PRESIDENT'S GUEST TOMORROW AT THE ORIOLES GAME.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Diana Fortuna (CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 1-JUL-1997 19:47:45.00

SUBJECT: Alert: the Minimum Wage thing we just got your edits on has already change

TO: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Basically, they dinged our sentence on weakening work. Plus, apparently Hilley has already signed off on the latest version -- see Kountoupes' strong advisory below that any changes must be worked out between Elena and Hilley.

I assume we want to fight for our sentence on work, but we have to figure out how to get Hilley's signoff. Advice? (The sentence is "In addition, the Administration is seriously concerned that the House bill weakens the welfare law's strict emphasis on work by permitting some states to count additional time spent in activities such as job search toward the work requirements.")

----- Forwarded by Diana Fortuna/OPD/EOP on 07/01/97
07:41 PM -----

Lisa M. Kountoupes

07/01/97 07:37:10 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Diana Fortuna/OPD/EOP

cc:

Subject: Re: URGENT REVIEW OF BUDGET LETTER NEEDED

good. just to underscore, it is really important that Elena and Hilley get together on the FLSA issue. we will not be able to make any changes that are not worked out between the two of them. thanks

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Michael Cohen (CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 1-JUL-1997 15:34:40.00

SUBJECT: Baseball 2: better news

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Turns out I've been thrown off the helicpoter, not the trip. They will let me into the box with POTUS, but no seat. (I think Doug and Mickey have the same seating arrangement I do). I can live with this just fine.

Thanks for your concern before.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (EXTERNAL MAIL)

CREATOR: Stuart M. Schear@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX

CREATION DATE/TIME: 1-JUL-1997 17:09:00.00

SUBJECT: WEEKEND TV OUTLOOK

TO: GOLDBERG_JS (GOLDBERG_JS@A1@CD) (WHO)
READ:NOT READ

TO: JOHNSON_DT (JOHNSON_DT@A1@CD) (NSC)
READ:NOT READ

TO: HAAS_L (HAAS_L@A1@CD) (OMB)
READ: 1-JUL-1997 19:45:25.56

TO: JENNINGS_C (JENNINGS_C@A1@CD) (WHO)
READ:NOT READ

TO: JOLIN_M (JOLIN_M@A1@CD) (WHO)
READ:NOT READ

TO: KAGAN_E (KAGAN_E@A1@CD) (OPD)
READ:NOT READ

TO: MAYS_C (MAYS_C@A1@CD) (OPD)
READ:NOT READ

TO: REED_B (REED_B@A1@CD) (OPD)
READ:NOT READ

TO: GREEN_MG (GREEN_MG@A1@CD) (OPD)
READ:NOT READ

TO: MAPLE_D (MAPLE_D@A1@CD) (DON)
READ:NOT READ

TO: FALK_D (FALK_D@A1@CD) (WHO)
READ:NOT READ

TO: SMITH_B2 (SMITH_B2@A1@CD) (WHO)
READ:NOT READ

TO: ECHAVESTE_M (ECHAVESTE_M@A1@CD) (WHO)
READ:NOT READ

TO: TARMY_M (TARMY_M@A1@CD) (WHO)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Michael D. McCurry (Michael D. McCurry@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Lori L. Anderson (Lori L. Anderson@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Eli G. Attie (Eli G. Attie@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Donald A. Baer (Donald A. Baer@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

READ:NOT READ

TO: David S. Beaubaire
READ:NOT READ

(David S. Beaubaire@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Marsha E. Berry
READ:NOT READ

(Marsha E. Berry@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Sandra L. Bublick Max
READ:NOT READ

(Sandra L. Bublick Max@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Michelle Crisci
READ:NOT READ

(Michelle Crisci@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Lanny J. Davis
READ:NOT READ

(Lanny J. Davis@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Rahm I. Emanuel
READ:NOT READ

(Rahm I. Emanuel@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Paul K. Engskov
READ:NOT READ

(Paul K. Engskov@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Jeremy M. Gaines
READ:NOT READ

(Jeremy M. Gaines@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Adam W. Goldberg
READ:NOT READ

(Adam W. Goldberg@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Julia R. Green
READ:NOT READ

(Julia R. Green@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: John A. Gribben
READ:NOT READ

(John A. Gribben@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Lawrence J. Haas
READ:NOT READ

(Lawrence J. Haas@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Nancy V. Hernreich
READ:NOT READ

(Nancy V. Hernreich@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Kathryn O. Higgins
READ:NOT READ

(Kathryn O. Higgins@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: John L. Hilley
READ:NOT READ

(John L. Hilley@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Mary A. Dixon
READ:NOT READ

(Mary A. Dixon@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Elena Kagan
READ:NOT READ

(Elena Kagan@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Angus S. King
READ:NOT READ

(Angus S. King@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Karin Kullman
READ:NOT READ

(Karin Kullman@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: G N. Lattimore

(G N. Lattimore@EOP@LN GTWY@EOPMRX)

READ:NOT READ

TO: Patricia F. Lewis (Patricia F. Lewis@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Julie E. Mason (Julie E. Mason@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Sylvia M. Mathews (Sylvia M. Mathews@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: April K. Mellody (April K. Mellody@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Anne E. McGuire (Anne E. McGuire@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Cheryl D. Mills (Cheryl D. Mills@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Kevin S. Moran (Kevin S. Moran@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: John Podesta (John Podesta@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Karen A. Popp (Karen A. Popp@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Victoria Radd (Victoria Radd@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Bruce N. Reed (Bruce N. Reed@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Steven J. Ronnel (Steven J. Ronnel@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Stuart M. Schear (Stuart M. Schear@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Laura D. Schwartz (Laura D. Schwartz@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Douglas B. Sosnik (Douglas B. Sosnik@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Darby E. Stott (Darby E. Stott@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Terri J. Tingen (Terri J. Tingen@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Barry J. Toiv (Barry J. Toiv@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: June G. Turner (June G. Turner@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Peter G. Umhofer (Peter G. Umhofer@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

READ:NOT READ

TO: Dag Vega
READ:NOT READ

(Dag Vega@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Joseph W. Cerrell
READ:NOT READ

(Joseph W. Cerrell@OVP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Ron Klain
READ:NOT READ

(Ron Klain@OVP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Heidi Kukis
READ:NOT READ

(Heidi Kukis@OVP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Virginia M. Terzano
READ:NOT READ

(Virginia M. Terzano@OVP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Sara M. Latham
READ:NOT READ

(Sara M. Latham@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Brenda M. Anders
READ:NOT READ

(Brenda M. Anders@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Beverly J. Barnes
READ:NOT READ

(Beverly J. Barnes@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Ann F. Lewis
READ:NOT READ

(Ann F. Lewis@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Todd Stern
READ:NOT READ

(Todd Stern@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Kathleen M. Wallman
READ:NOT READ

(Kathleen M. Wallman@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Cynthia M. Jasso-Rotunno
READ:NOT READ

(Cynthia M. Jasso-Rotunno@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMR)

TO: Odetta S. Walker
READ:NOT READ

(Odetta S. Walker@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Christopher J. Lavery
READ:NOT READ

(Christopher J. Lavery@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Craig T. Smith
READ:NOT READ

(Craig T. Smith@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Laura S. Marcus
READ:NOT READ

(Laura S. Marcus@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Joseph P. Lockhart
READ:NOT READ

(Joseph P. Lockhart@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Megan C. Moloney
READ:NOT READ

(Megan C. Moloney@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Jonathan Murchinson
READ:NOT READ

(Jonathan Murchinson@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Roger V. Salazar

(Roger V. Salazar@OVP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

READ:NOT READ

TO: Evan Ryan
READ:NOT READ

(Evan Ryan@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Charles F. Ruff
READ:NOT READ

(Charles F. Ruff@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Ora Theard
READ:NOT READ

(Ora Theard@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Thomas D. Janenda
READ:NOT READ

(Thomas D. Janenda@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Brian J. Johnson
READ:NOT READ

(Brian J. Johnson@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Elizabeth R. Newman
READ:NOT READ

(Elizabeth R. Newman@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Anne S. Walker
READ:NOT READ

(Anne S. Walker@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Lynn G. Cutler
READ:NOT READ

(Lynn G. Cutler@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Lorraine L. Wytkind
READ:NOT READ

(Lorraine L. Wytkind@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Robert N. Weiner
READ:NOT READ

(Robert N. Weiner@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Sandra Thurman
READ:NOT READ

(Sandra Thurman@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Daniel K. Tarullo
READ:NOT READ

(Daniel K. Tarullo@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Karen E. Skelton
READ:NOT READ

(Karen E. Skelton@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Franklin D. Raines
READ:NOT READ

(Franklin D. Raines@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Julia M. Payne
READ:NOT READ

(Julia M. Payne@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Jennifer M. Palmieri
READ:NOT READ

(Jennifer M. Palmieri@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Daniel C. Montoya
READ:NOT READ

(Daniel C. Montoya@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Andrew J. Mayock
READ:NOT READ

(Andrew J. Mayock@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Gordon Li
READ:NOT READ

(Gordon Li@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Bruce R. Lindsey

(Bruce R. Lindsey@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

READ:NOT READ

TO: D. Stephen Goodin (D. Stephen Goodin@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Donald Goldberg (Donald Goldberg@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Diana Fortuna (Diana Fortuna@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Jennifer D. Dudley (Jennifer D. Dudley@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Lanny A. Breuer (Lanny A. Breuer@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TEXT:
Message Creation Date was at 1-JUL-1997 17:03:00

=====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 1-JUL-1997 17:09:00.00

ATT BODYPART TYPE:D

TEXT:
The following attachments were included with this message:

TYPE : FILE
NAME : 070197.WPD

=====
END ATTACHMENT 1

=====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 1-JUL-1997 17:09:00.00

ATT BODYPART TYPE:p

ATT SUBJECT: 070197

TEXT:
Unable to convert OA\$SHARA1082:ZWXJOIXL7.WPC to ASCII,
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF575043FF030000010A02010000000205000000DF10000000020000F1E212343E2FB74E0E181C
F5757EABA5BFC1982A53AB74D0AA7BBC9782E6FA56D9A5C7E8E26562F58A733CADC24EB507ACB2
180055822F52DA2D161C815692C11A8A6ADB0C9610AB71BAE2031B111E0703431F836A6F97ACE1
FE4CA163D70FEBD9FA4A134E5A8265D63C6FF68588718374EAAACBCDA65A5EB55D095D3956ED71
16E06E92A490285FEECB1A2D9734DF893A6DF3963D322F796A419B53AD0CB9029DCAFE4B4451ED
98D1B1D88D9173D39790AC24DDA8D5BF47354DD6722A8860111A98010B565A63B837CAF1E569B0
86835613B04F9838F692EB5580F36299E01CBBE618143CB697B761E3E004464D667763E7238886
4954E8BDC7027D9DEBA79CA4A7BA615276884D1ADEDEAC204399FBB04F9FC8D8C106ACADFC69E7
18241C52F9CC2992EEFBFF3A3BDF7049A5DADBF283BE0320B4A591D7CEBB0B4D5F8FE207159401
1B0C3EE4194929104AE9D8B90597FE4DCC36E0F4BC3049D32A935E346CE2CC756B8A00B8F84C28
C9D68903467A05F5F66CCDFEFB397B496B81A8F8DFA39ED150B9886FEC3E3E9FCD9E51864FA19
48D694730E9A7085564658D5468F1389626EF872C992D5003178B806F6DB9FB557CEAC53CA4120
6A42D3D9E48B8460C2B856BB2020F554B2CD9870F907E1B5225EA7F69FF613A1573AE962BB8E12
9F97AE2974020009000000000000000000000000055010000002E0000007E020000092501000000
06000000AC02000008020100000010000000B2020000086E01000000AD000000C20200000B3002

JULY 1, 1997

MEMORANDUM TO MIKE MCCURRY, ANN LEWIS & DON BAER
FROM STUART SCHEAR
SUBJECT WEEKEND TV OUTLOOK
COMMENT HEARINGS TO DOMINATE SUNDAY SHOWS.
SHALI ON CNN RE: NATO & OTHER ISSUES.

FRIDAY JULY 4

WASHINGTON WEEK IN REVIEW

Topic TBD
Guest TBD

SATURDAY JULY 5

EVANS & NOVAK (CNN)

Topic Washington DC & Urban Politics
Guest Marion Barry

INSIDE POLITICS WEEKEND (CNN)

Topic TBD
Guest TBD

SUNDAY JULY 6

FOX NEWS SUNDAY (FOX)

Topic NATO
Guest Request for Cohen (Turned down by DOD)

Topic Preview of Senate Hearings
Guest Sen. Carl Levin & GOP Sen. TBD

Roundtable TBD

FACE THE NATION (CBS)

Topic Politics
Guest Newt Gingrich, Speaker of the House

MEET (NBC)

Topic Preview of Senate Hearings
Guest Sen. John Glenn & Sen. Arlen Specter

Roundtable William Safire & David Broder
Comment MEET airs at @8AM due to Wimbledon

THIS WEEK (ABC)

Topic Preview of Senate Hearings
Guest Sen. Fred Thompson

Topic TBD
Guest TBD

Roundtable Cokie, Sam, Kristol & Clarence Page

LATE EDITION (CNN)

Topic NATO
Guest Gen. Shalikashvili, JCS

Topic Preview of Senate Hearings
Guest Pre-taped interview with Sen. Thompson

Roundtable Steve Roberts, Mara Liasson & Tony Blankley

July 1, 1997

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: ELENA KAGAN
LEANNE SHIMABUKURO

SUBJECT: DIRECTIVE ON LAW ENFORCEMENT IN INDIAN COUNTRY

The attached Executive Memorandum directs the Attorney General and Secretary of the Interior to analyze the law enforcement problem in Indian Country and submit recommendations to you by November 14, 1997 for improving public safety in those areas. The Attorney General and Secretary proposed the Memorandum; they hope to submit it to the House Appropriations Committee this week in support of a request to reprogram funds to pay for this project.

Indian Country is currently facing a law enforcement crisis. While violent crime has dropped nationwide, it has increased on Indian lands -- with homicide rates rising a full 80% since 1992. On many American Indian reservations, public safety is less secure than in the most crime-plagued inner cities. Many violent crimes in Indian Country go wholly uninvestigated because of a shortage of law enforcement officers, and jails are grossly inadequate.

The federal government generally has jurisdiction over major crimes committed on Indian lands; it therefore has responsibility for -- and can act to remedy -- this escalating crime problem. The Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) at Interior currently wields most of the law enforcement authority of the federal government, but its budget for these activities has declined by 5.1 percent since 1992. This decline stands in stark contrast to the increased funding that other federal law enforcement agencies have gained during the same period. One possible solution to the Indian Country law enforcement problem, now being considered jointly by the two departments, is to transfer some or all of Interior's law enforcement authority to the Justice Department.

Upon receiving your directive, the Attorney General and Secretary of the Interior will appoint a 15-member committee, including agency representatives, tribal leaders, and experts, to develop recommendations on how best to address criminal justice problems in Indian Country. Consistent with the Presidential Memorandum you signed in September 1994 on government-to-government relations with tribes, the memorandum explicitly states that tribal leaders will participate directly in this process.

THE METROPOLITAN INITIATIVE

Background:

- Initiative emerged from the sustainable communities work of the President's Council on Sustainable Development;
- Part of an emerging consensus in support of "forging metropolitan solutions to urban and regional problems" (see attachment).

Basic Assumption:

- The time is right for bold experiments to bring together cities and their suburbs to produce economic prosperity, social equity, and environmental quality.

Three Specific Questions:

We want to launch 4 to 6 pilots to address three specific questions:

- 1) How can we help create **smart citizens** by using federal information and technical assistance programs to give people in a region the tools to solve local problems?
- 2) How can we promote **smart money** by targeting existing federal spending to effectively address the needs of a metropolitan region?
- 3) How can we encourage **smart regulation** that meets federal goals in a manner consistent with the unique circumstances of metropolitan regions?

Explicit Goals:

- To redefine the relationship between the federal government and metropolitan regions;
- To identify the common ground that exists between cities and suburbs by creating regional partnerships to clean up brownfields, reduce traffic congestion, move people from welfare to work, prevent crime, and curb urban sprawl.

Implicit Goal:

This implicit goal could be made explicit:

- To promote racial healing by engaging urban and suburban constituencies in a partnership to identify common ground on issues related to economic security and quality of life.

-- D R A F T --

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: BRUCE REED
ELENA KAGAN

SUBJECT: POLICY DEVELOPMENT FOR THE RACE INITIATIVE

This memorandum proposes a policy development process for the Race Initiative -- and recommends an initial policy announcement to be unveiled at the NAACP's annual meeting on July 17th. Although we would have preferred to develop this process with the assistance of the Race Commission's staff director, we believe that it is of critical importance to get started right away. Thus, we recommend that DPC immediately convene three workgroups in the key areas of economic empowerment, education and administration of justice, and that a fourth issue -- health -- be addressed through DPC's ongoing relationship with HHS.

Our goal for these workgroups is three-fold: (1) to provide a statistical "snapshot" of racial and ethnic minorities and, thus, an informed starting point for policy development; (2) to assess the impact of Administration initiatives on racial and ethnic minorities; and (3) based on our analysis, to recommend policy initiatives to announce throughout the upcoming year -- as well as longer-term policies to incorporate into the Race Commission's final report.

I. WORKGROUPS

A. Economic Empowerment

Managed jointly by DPC and NEC, this group's mission will be to look for ways to promote job growth and the culture of work among disadvantaged minorities. Increasing job opportunities for unemployed and underemployed blacks and Latinos, and assimilating them into the workplace, is the way to strike right at the economic root of racism in our society. Jobs give minorities what they want most -- a chance to participate in the mainstream economy -- and help dispel majority fears about racial and ethnic minorities who are at the margins of society. Other participants in the Economic Empowerment group will include: CEA, OVP, OMB, Treasury, Labor, HUD, HHS, Agriculture, Commerce, Interior, SBA and Transportation.

We have already met with the CEA to begin compiling the economic data for this task. Some analysis was conducted during the Administration's affirmative action review, but more needs to be done. We will also specifically examine a host of Administration initiatives -- including the Welfare jobs initiative, EITC, EZs, CDFIs, changes to CRA, the minimum wage increase, One Stop Career Centers and Capital Shops, HUD's Bridges-to-Work, Home

Ownership Zones , etc. -- and look at their impact on racial and ethnic minorities. We will try and build on existing economic efforts wherever possible.

With respect to longer-term policy development, the Economic Empowerment group will also look at other topics, such as: (1) strengthening job recruitment networks; (2) matching and transporting workers to where there is worker demand; (3) promoting mixed-income, multi-racial communities; (4) affordable housing strategies; (5) housing mobility; and (5) rural economic development.

B. Education

This group, which will be managed jointly by DPC and the Department of Education, will consist of two subgroups: one specifically to look into the dramatic drop in minority enrollment at the Universities of California and Texas; and one to promote improvements in elementary and secondary education. If addressing the "jobs gap" is the most visible and immediate way to begin addressing economic disparities and racial stereotypes, then increasing levels of education among disadvantaged minorities must be our primary long-term challenge.

The experience of Latinos in many parts of our country makes clear the importance of education to climbing the economic ladder. While Latinos in some cities have been able to overcome discrimination in hiring and develop successful job recruitment networks -- often leading to coveted industrial jobs -- their average income is either stagnant or declining. A recent study by the Woodstock Institute in Chicago found that while unemployment rates for Hispanic Empowerment Zone (EZ) residents were half that of their African American counterparts, their average income was considerably lower than that of employed African Americans.

The Minority Enrollment subgroup will consist of DPC, White House Counsel, Education and Justice, and has already started to meet and collect data. In addition to DPC and Education, the broader subgroup on elementary and secondary education will include NEC, CEA, OVP, OMB and HHS. This group will look at how performance standards, teacher training, technology and infrastructure improvements can help our poorer schools. Also, we are particularly interested in reviewing what Mayor Daley of Chicago and other mayors are doing to turn their school systems around, and how such comprehensive -- and race neutral -- changes can benefit all Americans.

C. Administration of Justice

This group will also be split into two subgroups, both led by DPC: the first will focus on crime control and prevention; and the second will target government-wide enforcement of our civil rights laws. Other members of these groups will include: OVP, OMB, Treasury, DOJ, Education, Labor, HUD, HHS, Agriculture, Interior and EOC.

The primary focus of the Crime group will be to examine the under protection of racial and ethnic minorities. Although minorities, particularly in our inner cities, are the most likely victims of crime, they have been historically under protected by local law enforcement. Even now, as crime has dipped to its lowest level in 35 years, initial data indicate that minority communities have not benefitted as much from this decline as other communities. This is especially true for Indian Country, where the homicide rate has jumped more than 80 percent since 1992.

We strongly believe that the Administration's community policing initiative is on the right track and helping to reverse the trend of under protection. It is helping thousands of communities put more police in neglected, high-crime areas -- as well as allowing police officers to work collaboratively with community residents to solve a broad spectrum of crime problems (youth violence, domestic abuse, hate crimes, etc.) With more than 40,000 new police officers to be hired, there is still much the Administration's community policing -- and other crime initiatives -- can do to address the considerable public safety needs of minority communities.

The Civil Rights Enforcement group will seek to develop a coordinated strategy and common mission for the many federal agencies involved in civil rights enforcement. In addition to reviewing how to reduce the considerable backlog of cases in some of these agencies, the Civil Rights group will tackle and troubleshoot some of the policy quandaries that arise when communities try to be innovative. For instance, the Fair Housing Act has prevented some EZs from targeting their housing monies to EZ. residents. Similarly, school districts that have tried to improve by implementing initiatives such as teacher testing have come into conflict with Title VII of the Civil Rights Act.

D. Health

Instead of establishing a new work group to review health issues, we intend to build on DPC's close working relationship with HHS and existing health initiatives.. HHS has already commenced an internal review to identify disparities in health needs and the provision of services.

Also, we are reviewing the Administration's immunization initiative to see how it has impacted racial and ethnic minorities, and considering how we can ensure that the low-income children's health initiative meets the significant needs of certain minorities.

II. INITIAL EDUCATION ANNOUNCEMENT

We are recommending that you announce a two-part education initiative when you speak to the NAACP on July 17th. This initiative, which will be included as part of the reauthorization of the Higher Education Act, focuses on improving teacher recruitment and preparation, with a particular focus on preparing teachers to teach in urban and poor rural school systems. The teacher preparation component of the program will provide funds to partnerships involving institutions of higher education with exemplary teacher preparation programs, other

institutions of higher education seeking to strengthen their teacher preparation programs, and local school systems that will employ new teachers. These partnerships will work together to implement teacher preparation programs that effectively equip new teachers to teach in urban and rural environments.

The second component funds scholarships for individuals preparing to teach; scholarship recipients will be required to teach for at least three years in an under served community. Funds will be distributed on a competitive basis to partnerships of eligible local school systems and institutions of higher education. The partners together will define the priority local needs (e.g., teachers in particular disciplines, specialties or grade levels) and target populations (e.g., mid-career adults, paraprofessionals already in the classrooms, or more traditional teacher candidates), and will provide scholarships to individuals meeting these criteria.

By focusing on better training for teachers and improving our neglected schools, we believe that you will be in a strong position to urge the NAACP not to abandon its long history in support of integration -- and to support the Administration's education initiatives.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Thomas L. Freedman (CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 1-JUL-1997 11:49:57.00

SUBJECT: random thought

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

i'm sure this has been mentioned in the scheduling meetings, but the big story this weekend will likely be the Independence Day landing on Mars and the subsequent photos...if the radio addresses don't work out or there is a chance to call and congratulate NASA scientists if things work out, it might be appropriate...

June 1, 1997

LAUNCH OF EDUCATION EXCELLENCE PARTNERSHIP

DATE: July 2, 1997
LOCATION: Camden Yards, Baltimore, MD
EVENT TIME: 2:55 pm - 3:05 pm
FROM: Bruce Reed

I. PURPOSE

To promote your commitment to higher education standards by launching a new series of public service television ads which feature Major League Baseball players.

II. BACKGROUND

You will be attending the Orioles third game of a four game inter-league series with the Philadelphia Phillies. As of Tuesday, July 1, the Orioles are 51-27, and are currently 5½ games ahead of the Yankees for first place in the American League East Division. Immediately preceding the National Anthem, you will announce a series of public service announcements sponsored by the Education Excellence Partnership (EEP), featuring three Major League Baseball stars - Tony Gwynn (San Diego Padres), Brett Butler (L.A. Dodgers), and Tom Glavine (Atlanta Braves). Following your remarks, the PSA with Tony Gwynn will be shown on the Jumbotron screen over center field.

You will be accompanied onto the field by fourth-graders from the Salvation Army Boys & Girls Club of Greater Baltimore; Orioles' third baseman Cal Ripken, Bud Selig, Acting Commissioner of Major League Baseball, and Norm Augustine, CEO, Lockheed Martin Corporation and Chairman of the Education Task Force of the Business Roundtable, who has spearheaded the Education Excellence Partnership.

The Education Excellence Partnership is a unique partnership of The Business Roundtable, U.S. Department of Education, American Federation of Teachers, National Education Association National Alliance of Business, National Governors' Association, and the U.S. Chamber of Commerce dedicated to urging parents to become more involved in their children's education and to support high academic standards.

Cal Ripken will accompany you onto the field because of the work he and his wife

Kelly have done in promoting literacy in Baltimore. He has not been featured in a PSA yet because of his game schedule. He will not have a speaking role at this event because of his concern that it could interfere with his preparation before a game.

On Monday, Ripken hit his second grand slam of the season to help the Orioles beat the Phillies 8-1 and snap a four game losing streak. Ripken is playing in his 2,396th consecutive game. He was just named the starting third baseman for this year's all-star game, along with teammates Roberto Alomar (2B) and Brady Anderson (OF).

Your last appearance with Cal Ripken was on April 2, 1996 at the Orioles opening day game at Camden Yards. You previously attended opening day in 1994, as well as Cal Ripken's record-breaking game on September 9, 1995. You were scheduled to attend a game on May 2, 1997, however the game was rained out.

III. PARTICIPANTS

Event Participants:

Bud Selig, Chairman, Executive Council, Major League Baseball

Also on the Field:

Cal Ripken, Orioles' third baseman.

Norm Augustine, CEO Lockheed Martin, and Chairman of the Business Roundtable.

Peter Angelos, Owner of the Baltimore Orioles

Fourth Graders from the Salvation Army Boys & Girls Club of Greater Baltimore.

List of guests seated in SkyBox:

See attached list.

IV. PRESS PLAN

Meet and Greet with teams in locker rooms -- Pool Press.

Event on field -- Open Press.

V. SEQUENCE OF EVENTS

- You will be greeted by Peter Angelos, Owner of the Baltimore Orioles, and Joe Foss, the Executive Vice President of the Orioles, who will escort you to locker rooms.
- You will meet briefly with the Phillies in their locker room.
- You will then meet the umpires.
- You will meet briefly with the Orioles in their locker room.
- You will be announced onto the field from the dug out to a glass lectern between home plate and the mound. You will be accompanied by Cal Ripken, Bud Selig,

- Peter Angelos, Norm Augustine, and Boys and Girls Club fourth graders.
- Bud Selig will make brief remarks and introduce you.
 - You will make brief remarks.
 - Following your remarks, you will watch the first public service from the field.
 - You will proceed back to the front of the dug out and remain on the field for the playing of the National Anthem.
 - Following the National Anthem you will proceed to the SkyBox to view the game.

VI. REMARKS

Remarks Provided by Terry Edmonds in Speechwriting.

VII. ATTACHMENTS

- List of guests seated in the SkyBox.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Stuart M. Schear@EOP@LNGTWY@LNGTWY (Stuart M. Schear@EOP@LNGTWY@LNGTWY [W

CREATION DATE/TIME: 1-JUL-1997 17:13:37.00

SUBJECT: WEEKEND TV OUTLOOK

TO: Marjorie Tarmey@eop (Marjorie Tarmey@eop [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brian D. Smith@eop (Brian D. Smith@eop [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Melissa Green@eop (Melissa Green@eop [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cathy R. Mays@EOP (Cathy R. Mays@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michele Jolin@EOP (Michele Jolin@EOP [CEA])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: david t. johnson@eop (david t. johnson@eop [NSC])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria Echaveste@eop (Maria Echaveste@eop [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Deborah Falk@eop (Deborah Falk@eop [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed@EOP (Bruce N. Reed@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan@eop (Elena Kagan@eop [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher C. Jennings@eop (Christopher C. Jennings@eop [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jason S. Goldberg@EOP (Jason S. Goldberg@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
Message Creation Date was at 1-JUL-1997 17:03:00

=====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:
The following attachments were included with this message:

TYPE : FILE
NAME : 070197.WPD

=====
END ATTACHMENT 1

=====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

JULY 1, 1997

MEMORANDUM TO MIKE MCCURRY, ANN LEWIS & DON BAER
FROM STUART SCHEAR
SUBJECT WEEKEND TV OUTLOOK
COMMENT HEARINGS TO DOMINATE SUNDAY SHOWS.
SHALI ON CNN RE: NATO & OTHER ISSUES.

FRIDAY JULY 4

WASHINGTON WEEK IN REVIEW

Topic TBD
Guest TBD

SATURDAY JULY 5

EVANS & NOVAK (CNN)

Topic Washington DC & Urban Politics
Guest Marion Barry

INSIDE POLITICS WEEKEND (CNN)

Topic TBD
Guest TBD

SUNDAY JULY 6

FOX NEWS SUNDAY (FOX)

Topic NATO
Guest Request for Cohen (Turned down by DOD)

Topic Preview of Senate Hearings
Guest Sen. Carl Levin & GOP Sen. TBD

Roundtable TBD

FACE THE NATION (CBS)

Topic Politics
Guest Newt Gingrich, Speaker of the House

MEET (NBC)

Topic Preview of Senate Hearings
Guest Sen. John Glenn & Sen. Arlen Specter

Roundtable William Safire & David Broder
Comment MEET airs at @8AM due to Wimbledon

THIS WEEK (ABC)

Topic Preview of Senate Hearings
Guest Sen. Fred Thompson

Topic TBD
Guest TBD

Roundtable Cokie, Sam, Kristol & Clarence Page

LATE EDITION (CNN)

Topic NATO
Guest Gen. Shalikashvili, JCS

Topic Preview of Senate Hearings
Guest Pre-taped interview with Sen. Thompson

Roundtable Steve Roberts, Mara Liasson & Tony Blankley

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Stuart M. Schear (CN=Stuart M. Schear/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 1-JUL-1997 17:04:22.00

SUBJECT: WEEKEND TV OUTLOOK

TO: Jennifer D. Dudley (CN=Jennifer D. Dudley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Donald Goldberg (CN=Donald Goldberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce R. Lindsey (CN=Bruce R. Lindsey/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andrew J. Mayock (CN=Andrew J. Mayock/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer M. Palmieri (CN=Jennifer M. Palmieri/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Franklin D. Raines (CN=Franklin D. Raines/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Daniel K. Tarullo (CN=Daniel K. Tarullo/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert N. Weiner (CN=Robert N. Weiner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lynn G. Cutler (CN=Lynn G. Cutler/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth R. Newman (CN=Elizabeth R. Newman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: TARMEY_M (TARMEY_M @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (WHO)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: SMITH_B2 (SMITH_B2 @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (WHO)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas D. Janenda (CN=Thomas D. Janenda/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles F. Ruff (CN=Charles F. Ruff/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Roger V. Salazar (CN=Roger V. Salazar/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Megan C. Moloney (CN=Megan C. Moloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura S. Marcus (CN=Laura S. Marcus/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher J. Lavery (CN=Christopher J. Lavery/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia M. Jasso-Rotunno (CN=Cynthia M. Jasso-Rotunno/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Todd Stern (CN=Todd Stern/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Beverly J. Barnes (CN=Beverly J. Barnes/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sara M. Latham (CN=Sara M. Latham/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: GREEN_MG (GREEN_MG @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (OPD)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: MAYS_C (MAYS_C @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (OPD)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: JOLIN_M (JOLIN_M @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (WHO)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: HAAS_L (HAAS_L @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (OMB)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: GOLDBERG_JS (GOLDBERG_JS @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (WHO)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Heidi Kukis (CN=Heidi Kukis/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joseph W. Cerrell (CN=Joseph W. Cerrell/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter G. Umhofer (CN=Peter G. Umhofer/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [CEQ])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry J. Toiv (CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Darby E. Stott (CN=Darby E. Stott/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura D. Schwartz (CN=Laura D. Schwartz/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steven J. Ronnel (CN=Steven J. Ronnel/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Victoria Radd (CN=Victoria Radd/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John Podesta (CN=John Podesta/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cheryl D. Mills (CN=Cheryl D. Mills/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: April K. Mellody (CN=April K. Mellody/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julie E. Mason (CN=Julie E. Mason/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: G N. Lattimore (CN=G N. Lattimore/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Angus S. King (CN=Angus S. King/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary A. Dixon (CN=Mary A. Dixon/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kathryn O. Higgins (CN=Kathryn O. Higgins/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lawrence J. Haas (CN=Lawrence J. Haas/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julia R. Green (CN=Julia R. Green/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeremy M. Gaines (CN=Jeremy M. Gaines/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rahm I. Emanuel (CN=Rahm I. Emanuel/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michelle Crisci (CN=Michelle Crisci/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marsha E. Berry (CN=Marsha E. Berry/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Donald A. Baer (CN=Donald A. Baer/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lori L. Anderson (CN=Lori L. Anderson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lanny A. Breuer (CN=Lanny A. Breuer/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Diana Fortuna (CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: D. Stephen Goodin (CN=D. Stephen Goodin/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gordon Li (CN=Gordon Li/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Daniel C. Montoya (CN=Daniel C. Montoya/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julia M. Payne (CN=Julia M. Payne/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen E. Skelton (CN=Karen E. Skelton/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sandra Thurman (CN=Sandra Thurman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lorraine L. Wytkind (CN=Lorraine L. Wytkind/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anne S. Walker (CN=Anne S. Walker/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brian J. Johnson (CN=Brian J. Johnson/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [CEQ])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: ECHAVESTE_M (ECHAVESTE_M @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (WHO)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: FALK_D (FALK_D @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (WHO)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ora Theard (CN=Ora Theard/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Evan Ryan (CN=Evan Ryan/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan Murchinson (CN=Jonathan Murchinson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joseph P. Lockhart (CN=Joseph P. Lockhart/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Craig T. Smith (CN=Craig T. Smith/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Odetta S. Walker (CN=Odetta S. Walker/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kathleen M. Wallman (CN=Kathleen M. Wallman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis (CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brenda M. Anders (CN=Brenda M. Anders/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: MAPLE_D (MAPLE_D @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (DON)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: REED_B (REED_B @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (OPD)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: KAGAN_E (KAGAN_E @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (OPD)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: JENNINGS_C (JENNINGS_C @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (WHO)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: JOHNSON_DT (JOHNSON_DT @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (NSC)

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Virginia M. Terzano (CN=Virginia M. Terzano/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ron Klain (CN=Ron Klain/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dag Vega (CN=Dag Vega/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: June G. Turner (CN=June G. Turner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Terri J. Tingen (CN=Terri J. Tingen/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Douglas B. Sosnik (CN=Douglas B. Sosnik/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stuart M. Schear (CN=Stuart M. Schear/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen A. Popp (CN=Karen A. Popp/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kevin S. Moran (CN=Kevin S. Moran/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anne E. McGuire (CN=Anne E. McGuire/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sylvia M. Mathews (CN=Sylvia M. Mathews/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Patricia F. Lewis (CN=Patricia F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karin Kullman (CN=Karin Kullman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John L. Hilley (CN=John L. Hilley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nancy V. Hernreich (CN=Nancy V. Hernreich/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John A. Gribben (CN=John A. Gribben/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Adam W. Goldberg (CN=Adam W. Goldberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul K. Engskov (CN=Paul K. Engskov/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

JULY 1, 1997

MEMORANDUM TO MIKE MCCURRY, ANN LEWIS & DON BAER
FROM STUART SCHEAR
SUBJECT WEEKEND TV OUTLOOK
COMMENT HEARINGS TO DOMINATE SUNDAY SHOWS.
SHALI ON CNN RE: NATO & OTHER ISSUES.

FRIDAY JULY 4

WASHINGTON WEEK IN REVIEW

Topic TBD
Guest TBD

SATURDAY JULY 5

EVANS & NOVAK (CNN)

Topic Washington DC & Urban Politics
Guest Marion Barry

INSIDE POLITICS WEEKEND (CNN)

Topic TBD
Guest TBD

SUNDAY JULY 6

FOX NEWS SUNDAY (FOX)

Topic NATO
Guest Request for Cohen (Turned down by DOD)

Topic Preview of Senate Hearings
Guest Sen. Carl Levin & GOP Sen. TBD

Roundtable TBD

FACE THE NATION (CBS)

Topic Politics
Guest Newt Gingrich, Speaker of the House

MEET (NBC)

Topic Preview of Senate Hearings
Guest Sen. John Glenn & Sen. Arlen Specter

Roundtable William Safire & David Broder
Comment MEET airs at @8AM due to Wimbledon

THIS WEEK (ABC)

Topic Preview of Senate Hearings
Guest Sen. Fred Thompson

Topic TBD
Guest TBD

Roundtable Cokie, Sam, Kristol & Clarence Page

LATE EDITION (CNN)

Topic NATO
Guest Gen. Shalikashvili, JCS

Topic Preview of Senate Hearings
Guest Pre-taped interview with Sen. Thompson

Roundtable Steve Roberts, Mara Liasson & Tony Blankley

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Michael Cohen (CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 1-JUL-1997 15:01:26.00

SUBJECT: Re: The Trip of the President to Baltimore Maryland

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I may have been cynical, but I was also right--the attached indicates I've been bumped from the trip.

At one level, I don't really care, and can hardly argue that the President needs me there. On the other hand, given that the leaders of the major business groups and AFT will be at the game, my absence sends a pretty powerful message to those folks that I'm not one of the people at the White House they need to deal with on standards issues.

----- Forwarded by Michael Cohen/OPD/EOP on 07/01/97
03:00 PM -----

Catherine A. Cornelius

07/01/97 02:27:00 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Michael Cohen

cc:

Subject: Re: The Trip of the President to Baltimore Maryland

Message Creation Date was at 1-JUL-1997 14:27:00

Mike - from the CoS office your off the trip to Baltimore tomorrow...

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Lisa M. Kountoupes (CN=Lisa M. Kountoupes/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 1-JUL-1997 19:25:22.00

SUBJECT: URGENT REVIEW OF BUDGET LETTER NEEDED

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: FOLEY_M (FOLEY_M @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (WHO)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gene B. Sperling (CN=Gene B. Sperling/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John Podesta (CN=John Podesta/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barbara Chow (CN=Barbara Chow/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John L. Hilley (CN=John L. Hilley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet L. Yellen (CN=Janet L. Yellen/OU=CEA/O=EOP @ EOP [CEA])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sylvia M. Mathews (CN=Sylvia M. Mathews/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Melissa Green (CN=Melissa Green/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Christopher C. Jennings (CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Lawrence J. Haas (CN=Lawrence J. Haas/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Ellen S. Seidman (CN=Ellen S. Seidman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Charles R. Marr (CN=Charles R. Marr/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Elisa Millsap (CN=Elisa Millsap/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jeanne Lambrew (CN=Jeanne Lambrew/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Mark J. Mazur (CN=Mark J. Mazur/OU=CEA/O=EOP @ EOP [CEA])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Emil E. Parker (CN=Emil E. Parker/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Diana Fortuna (CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Janet Murguia (CN=Janet Murguia/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

FYI - you should have received in hard copy the following memo delivered to your offices with followup phone calls.

July 1, 1997

MEMORANDUM TO SYLVIA MATHEWS

JOHN PODESTA
JANET YELLEN
GENE SPERLING
JOHN HILLEY
MARTHA FOLEY
BARBARA CHOW
BRUCE REED
ELANA KAGAN

FROM: Larry Haas
Lisa Kountoupes

RE: URGENT -- Budget Conferees, Letter

Enclosed is the draft conference letter. Unfortunately, we need you to turn this around in short order. Congress has requested that we deliver it as soon as possible, and we want to do so by mid-day. Please provide us with any mark-ups of hard copy by 9 a.m. tomorrow (Wednesday) -- at the latest. Unfortunately, we won't be able to use electronic comments. Larry is in Room 253 or at fax 5-6818. Lisa is in Room 249 or at fax 5-3729.

We apologize for the short turn-around, but our staffs have been crashing all day just to get this draft done for your perusal and, for many reasons, we believe it will help our efforts to deliver our views as specifically and as quickly as possible.

Thank you for your help.

CC: Jack Lew
Josh Gotbaum