

NLWJC - KAGAN

EMAILS RECEIVED

ARMS - BOX 013 - FOLDER -008

[07/09/1997 - 07/10/1997]

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Phone No. (Partial) (1 page)	07/10/1997	P6/b(6)
002. email	Elizabeth Drye to Elena Kagan re: public health groups meeting with VP (Partial) (1 page)	07/10/1997	P6/b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
 Automated Records Management System [Email]
 OPD ([Kagan])
 OA/Box Number: 250000

FOLDER TITLE:

[07/09/1997-07/10/1997]

2009-1006-F
bm25

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Virginia N. Rustique (CN=Virginia N. Rustique/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1997 17:39:31.00

SUBJECT: 6pm Welfare/Work mtg. in EW/112 delayed until 6:15pm...virginia x66620

TO: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: CYNTHIA (Pager) #RICE (CYNTHIA (Pager) #RICE [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: LAURA (Pager) #EMMETT (LAURA (Pager) #EMMETT [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: ELENA (Pager) #KAGAN (ELENA (Pager) #KAGAN [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry White (CN=Barry White/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jerold R. Mande (CN=Jerold R. Mande/OU=OSTP/O=EOP [OSTP])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1997 17:08:52.00

SUBJECT: Keeping Up with Tobacco News

TO: Elizabeth Drye (CN=Elizabeth Drye/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher C. Jennings (CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Donald H. Gips (CN=Donald H. Gips/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Charles F. Stone (CN=Charles F. Stone/OU=CEA/O=EOP @ EOP [CEA])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Toby Donenfeld (CN=Toby Donenfeld/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Daniel K. Tarullo (CN=Daniel K. Tarullo/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Paul J. Weinstein Jr. (CN=Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Probably the most accessible site for keeping up with the latest news stories on tobacco is a WWW site maintained by Gene Borio, a tobacco control activist. His site provides links to the actual news stories. His address is: www.tobacco.org

If anyone would like more stories than can be found at this site, particularly stories about what is going on internationally, I receive by e-mail a clipping service from another activist, Jack Cannon, that I would be glad to remail.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Ellen S. Seidman (CN=Ellen S. Seidman/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1997 13:45:18.00

SUBJECT: Tobacco

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Elena, do you know whether anyone in the industry or (less likely) among the AGs, or any pro-tobacco caucus in Congress, is drafting proposed legislation to implement the settlement? Have you/Bruce/anyone else given any thought to the FORM of our response? I.e., a critique, a counter-proposal, a draft bill, a press conference?

ellen

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Diana Fortuna (CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1997 07:14:51.00

SUBJECT: ADA events

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Christa Robinson (CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

According to Bill White of public liaison, scheduling rejected OPL's request for an ADA event on Friday 7/25 (to speak at a conference on youth with disabilities), and is instead suggesting a photo op or video. I will check this out further.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elizabeth Drye (CN=Elizabeth Drye/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1997 15:53:40.00

SUBJECT: Friday meetings with Shalala and public health groups

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Cathy R. Mays (CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Times are 9-10:30 and 12:30-2:00. Still refining invite list.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elizabeth Drye (CN=Elizabeth Drye/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1997 07:15:07.00

SUBJECT: AG's meeting

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jerold R. Mande (CN=Jerold R. Mande/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Shalala would like to schedule this meeting at 10:30 am. Thursday. Does that work?

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Diana Fortuna (CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1997 18:30:16.00

SUBJECT: Treasury and minimum wage

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Cynthia says some Republican staff on the Hill were very concerned about when Treasury will be ready with their decision on EITC/FICA for workfare. She told them that we have been telling them to hurry up, but we don't know when they'll be done.

Michael Barr's theory is that there is no reason to push IRS to go any faster than their natural snail's pace, because it would be just as well if this came out after reconciliation is done. Let me know what you think. One thing I haven't double-checked with them is whether indeed the House approach definitely means no EITC or FICA -- it seems logical, but I haven't asked the IRS to make sure. I guess I should -- although I'm afraid an answer will take 6 months.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1997 20:15:02.00

SUBJECT: update on suspension of deportation-- rollout

TO: Jose Cerda III (CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Update on rollout:

1. TODAY: Smith/Kyl/Abraham meeting for today was cancelled so Leg Affairs is holding notification to Gingrich/Smith until tomorrow afternoon. Becerra and Sen. Graham will be informed today.

2. TOMORROW: Reno will do specialty press in early afternoon tomorrow announcing decision to vacate NJB and legislative package being sent to Hill. Immediately thereafter, Leg Affairs will do a joint meeting with Gingrich/Smith. Additional Congressional notifications to follow.

3. FRIDAY: Official rollout of entire strategy (AG letter to Gingrich, NJB, our legislation). Public liaison will meet with groups. Intergovernmental will contact involved/interested state and local officials. State Department will contact foreign officials.

I'm coordinating with NSC and INS on getting talking points for Maria and Q&A for McCurry.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jose Cerda III (CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1997 13:16:26.00

SUBJECT: Central American Meeting

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

----- Forwarded by Jose Cerda III/OPD/EOP on 07/09/97
01:11 PM -----

Mark Hunker
07/09/97 12:53:08 PM
Record Type: Record

To: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message
cc: Marjorie Tarmey/WHO/EOP, Maria Echaveste/WHO/EOP
Subject: Central American Meeting

There will be a meeting on the Central American Migrant decision roll-out
in Maria Echaveste's office today at 4:45pm.

Message Sent

To: _____
Robert N. Weiner/WHO/EOP
Nicholas R. Baldick/WHO/EOP
Roger V. Salazar/OVP @ OVP
Jonathan Spalter/OVP @ OVP
Jose Cerda III/OPD/EOP
Janet Murguia/WHO/EOP
Patricia F. Lewis/WHO/EOP

Crime Meeting Agenda July 9, 1997

Juvenile Justice Bill Update

- * Senate Markup set for Thursday, July 10.
- * Update on markup? Amendments?
- * Abraham amendment on crack? Leahy COPS amendment?

Events/ Announcements

Brady Follow Up Meeting, July 16

- * Reno/Rubin will meet with law enforcement.
- * Treasury: Do we have our complete list of CLEOs and their positions on whether they will continue checks? Problem areas besides Arkansas and Ohio?
- * Options being considered for Brady states:
 - Identify CLEOs in each state who will voluntarily do checks for other CLEOs who refuse.
 - Where state believes it does not have authority: propose legislation which gives explicit authority for CLEOs to do checks voluntarily and authority charge fee for check.
- to
- Funding incentive for CLEOs to do checks? COPS funding?
- * NRA Letter-- DOJ/ATF legal guidance memo to respond?

Youth Crime Gun Interdiction Report/Expansion Announcement

- * Possible July 19 radio address. Separate event on July 21st?
- * Two part announcement:
 - 1) Report: Treasury says this has gone to print. When will it be ready?
 - 2) Expansion:
 - A) 10 new cities to do tracing. These have been identified.
 - B) Follow up enforcement in original 17 cities. We have been working with OMB, Treasury and Justice on scope and funding of this piece. DPC will have meeting to finalize this on Friday.
- * Rubin/Reno letter on report: This will be key message document. Treasury and Justice need to work together and share with DPC.

Federal Law Enforcement Commission

- * Strategies for how to address this? Rescind or move forward.

Directive on Gun Warnings

- * This was the directive issued at the June 11 Juvenile Justice conference.
- * Treasury reminder: Report back to President due AUGUST 11.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jennifer L. Klein (CN=Jennifer L. Klein/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1997 16:39:08.00

SUBJECT: Abortion

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Has Hilley called you back? I think we need to get started but he hasn't called me.

Part I: Demography

1. Racial composition of the US population: 1990s and historical trends
2. Geographic distribution
3. Components of change: birth, death and immigration
4. Projections

Part II: Disparities in the 1990s and trends in disparities

1. Economic status

a. Income and Poverty

b. Labor markets

employment, unemployment, non-employment
hours

wages and non-wage compensation

occupation/industry

non-wage characteristics of jobs (e.g., working conditions, health risks)

disability

c. Wealth/credit

financial

business ownership

home ownership

retirement wealth

credit and credit institutions

2. Educational status

a. Enrollment

Drop out rates; college enrollment and completion rates

b. Quality of schooling

c. Achievement

d. Training

3. Health status and health care

a. Health status

Pregnancy and infancy

Child hood and young adulthood

Adulthood

Older ages

{Specific diseases or conditions}

b. Health care

Insurance

Availability of health services

Health behaviors

4. Political status
 - a. Voting
 - b. Holding public office
 - c. Other political participation

5. Criminal justice
 - a. Offenders and victims
 - b. Criminal justice process (sentencing etc.)

6. Family organization
 - a. Family structure
 - b. Other family patterns (fostering, adoption, extension etc.)
 - c. Living arrangements and family support of the older population

7. Impact of immigration
 - a. Labor markets
 - b. Education
 - c. Other

Part III: Race relations

1. Racial attitudes and behaviors
(ACD is very good on history of black white attitudes/opinions. Needs to be expanded to other groups and updated.)
2. Racial segregation
 - Residences
 - Schools
 - Workplaces
 - Other
3. Bias crimes, etc.
4. Developments in the 1990s
 - Rodney King beating trials and riots
 - OJ Simpson trials
 - The Bell Curve controversy
 - Challenge to Affirmative Action in California

Part IV: Discrimination

1. Measurement/methods: econometric vs. audit studies
2. Links between discrimination and outcomes.
(Issue: Audit studies prove discrimination exists, but how much of the disparities documented in Part II can be attributed, directly or indirectly, to discrimination?)
3. Causes of discriminatory behavior
4. Consequences of discrimination for society
Has the nature of discrimination changed?

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Christa Robinson (CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1997 10:46:40.00

SUBJECT: Cabinet Memo 7-9-97

TO: Jennifer L. Klein (CN=Jennifer L. Klein/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William R. Kincaid (CN=William R. Kincaid/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth Drye (CN=Elizabeth Drye/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan Prince (CN=Jonathan Prince/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher C. Jennings (CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Diana Fortuna (CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas L. Freedman (CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cathy R. Mays (CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary L. Smith (CN=Mary L. Smith/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Cohen (CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eric P. Goosby (CN=Eric P. Goosby/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah A. Bianchi (CN=Sarah A. Bianchi/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: WEINSTEIN_P (WEINSTEIN_P @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (OPD)
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

ONDCP

Today - the Director will speak to Elks Club in Chicago; meets with Chicago Tribune Editorial Board

COMMERCE

Today - the Secretary speaks in London on Electronic Commerce
Tomorrow - Deputy Secretary will brief on what happened at Bonn conference

EDUCATION

Today - the Secretary is honored at a dinner
Tomorrow - the Secretary is in Philadelphia for student financial aid event

ENERGY

Today and tomorrow - no public events

EPA

Today and tomorrow - no public events

FEMA

Today and tomorrow - no public events

HHS

Today - the Secretary meets with Koop, Kessler, Bruce Reed, VP re: tobacco at WH

HUD

Today - no public events
Tomorrow - possibly several one-on-one interviews tbd

INTERIOR

Today and the rest of the week - the Secretary is in Alaska

JUSTICE

Today - the AG attends bill signing in Montgomery County for Child Safety Locks
Tomorrow - the AG holds weekly press availability

LABOR

Today - the Secretary makes several Hill visits including Congressional Black Caucus

OMB - Today the Director will be keynote speaker at Annual Quality Conference

OPM

Today - Jim King and Director Raines kick off Annual Quality Conference

TRANSPORTATION

Today - the Secretary attends a hearing on Assistance to Families with Aviation Disaster

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jerold R. Mande (CN=Jerold R. Mande/OU=OSTP/O=EOP [OSTP])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1997 11:33:32.00

SUBJECT: Daschle's morning remarks

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth Drye (CN=Elizabeth Drye/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I'm told Daschle said there is no way there will be tobacco legislation this session of Congress. I also heard that Conrad's tobacco group will begin its work today.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Essence P. Washington (CN=Essence P. Washington/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1997 16:01:31.00

SUBJECT: Meeting on Friday

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

DPC (DPC [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Meeting schduled Friday r.m 211

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jerold R. Mande (CN=Jerold R. Mande/OU=OSTP/O=EOP [OSTP])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1997 11:04:28.00

SUBJECT: Issue raised in this morning's nicotine stories

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Elizabeth Drye (CN=Elizabeth Drye/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I have received inquiries from the press (Kenen at Reuters) and agencies about the statement in the Post that "advisers... plan to rewrite the proposal themselves..." They are seeking to confirm that we have indeed reached this conclusion, and they are also asking whether we are planning to rewrite the entire settlement, multiple sections, or just the nicotine section.

Your thoughts? I believe it is important we retain the strategic option of engaging the Hill by laying out principles legislation must meet, but leave the writing to them. We could do principles and some writing on particularly important sections like nicotine regulation.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jose Cerda III (CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1997 12:43:15.00

SUBJECT: Crime Miscellany

TO: Michelle Crisci (CN=Michelle Crisci/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Peter G. Jacoby (CN=Peter G. Jacoby/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Karen A. Popp (CN=Karen A. Popp/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Tracey E. Thornton (CN=Tracey E. Thornton/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Crime Colleagues:

Some pre-crime meeting issues:

1. Brady -- The NRA's attorney sent a letter to the AG and President listing the 19 state statutes that either expressly prohibit or do not all for background checks in the 23 Brady states. DOJ is checking this out now, and I've red dotted copies to all of you.
2. COPS amendment -- I spoke to Chris Putala in Biden's office about a proposed Leahy amendment that will significantly undermine our 100,000 cops goal. The amendment would allow unobligated COPS funds to be used to renew hiring grants for smaller jurisdictions (under 150,000). While Biden and the COPS office is working with Leahy on the amendment, they both think we need to have a senior WH person -- maybe Podesta -- call and fight for the integrity of the President's single biggest crime program and priority. We can commit and try to work with him to address whatever problems jurisdictions in his state face (we still have limited waiver authority), but we need o keep him from amending and fundamentally shifting how the COPS money gets distributed.
3. Gun-Free Schools -- With respect to the Grassley amendment to extend the GFSA to expand the mandatory one-year expulsion policy for guns to drugs, alcohol and tobacco, Biden's folks aren't too worried. While they expect it to win, they predict their will be significant backlash before it is taken up on the floor, and that it will ultimately be narrowed.
4. ATF Gun Tracing Initiative. I am meeting with Michael Deich -- sans Treasury -- at the end of the day today to go over funding options to expand the initiative, and we all may need to meet with Mike Froman and

Treasury by Friday, too. The report on the first 17 cities has gone to print and should be ready by Wednesday next week. I'll have a draft of the AG's/Rubin's cover memo by Friday at the latest.

Sorry so long,
Jose'

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Christa Robinson (CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1997 14:11:03.00

SUBJECT: Pediatric Labeling

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer L. Klein (CN=Jennifer L. Klein/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

There is no time on the schedule for another child health event in July so Ann and Stephanie suggested combing pediatric labeling with the immunization event on the 23rd. I expressed the differences in the message, but they want it to be combined with this event or have a surrogate do it. We may have a better chance in August, but it won't be as certain as doing it with immunization on the 23rd.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1997 22:20:40.00

SUBJECT: see attached

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

It will be tough to stop the fans from using tobacco if the players only stop one game a year...

All-Star Game Goes Tobacco Free

The baseball players' association agreed to a self-imposed ban of chewing tobacco at the All-Star Game. Sen. Frank Lautenberg (D-NJ) requested the ban in a letter to baseball players' union head Donald Fehr. However, Fehr said that the association is still opposed to an overall ban on tobacco in the major leagues. "The All-Star game is not a championship game, and a player typically plays for only a limited number of innings. With that in mind, we ask that all players, managers and coaches refrain from using spit tobacco while on the field or in the dugout, during the course of interviews, or otherwise where that use could be seen by kids who will be watching the game . . . the association will remain opposed to a ban on [spit tobacco's] use by players, while at the same time remaining firmly committed to the campaign to educate players and others about the dangers of its use."

Chewing," Source: Associated Press, "All-Star Players OK No NEW YORK POST, July 4, 1997, p. 75.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: William R. Kincaid (CN=William R. Kincaid/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1997 11:03:21.00

SUBJECT: Re: Revised Cardin

TO: Robert M. Shireman (CN=Robert M. Shireman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ananias Blocker III (CN=Ananias Blocker III/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kathryn B. Stack (CN=Kathryn B. Stack/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Cohen (CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I spoke with Mike Smith last night, and he thought this was basically on track. One clarification may be necessary, though: the tax credit would not be limited to Title I-eligible students, nor would the services actually be provided through Title I; instead, the point is that what is allowable under Title I and Agostini v. Felton with respect to the location of the services, who provides the services, and the nature of the services would also be allowed under the the tax credit program. For example, a parochial school could not actually provide the services paid for via the tax credit, although the services could be located at a parochial school, provided, say, by a public school employee.

To keep the ball rolling, I have asked legislative counsel at ED to begin working on specs, particularly for the education-related provisions, under the expectation that at some point Treasury would need to be brought into the mix. As I get additional comments from folks here I can feed them in.

According to the Department, this week the education groups are beginning to really press Cardin on this, so he may be receptive to this kind of compromise.

William R. Kincaid
07/08/97 05:31:32 PM
Record Type: Record

To: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message
cc:
Subject: Revised Cardin

When you review what I have done on Cardin, please look at this slightly revised version. Thanks.

SECOND DRAFT -- July 8, 1997

An Amendment to Allow a Tax Credit for Costs and Fees Paid for
Supplementary Educational Services for Children
[possible substitute for Cardin]

Credit would be for up to [\$150 or 50% of the costs incurred, whichever is less--Cardin amount] per year per child ages 6-18, enrolled as a full time elementary or secondary school student

Credit would be for costs and fees paid

Services provided must be supplementary to the basic educational program, and can include extra help/tutoring in core academic subjects, including reading and math, [that the student is studying in school--Cardin language] [as well as enrichment in art, music etc.]; the credit is not available for regular tuition costs

[Allowable services may not include college entrance test preparation --Cardin language]

Services must be provided outside the regular school day, which can include before- or after-school, during summers, or on weekends

Consistent with Title I and the Supreme Court's ruling in Agostini vs. Felton , services may be provided at a public school or at another site (including private religious schools), but may not include sectarian instruction.

Services must be provided by the local school district, or under contract to the local school district, consistent with Title I.

Note: Some districts currently provide after-school or summer services for free; others have elected to charge for them. In localities where the services are provided for free, there is no need for the tax credit because after-school services are already available. In localities where services are only available for a fee, the legislation would aid families that want extra services for their children. In communities where after-school tutoring is primarily provided in venues such as Boys and Girls Clubs, the school district could agree to contract with such organizations to provide the services. Where no services are currently offered, the tax credit may encourage districts to begin offering such services.

Because the services would be provided under the auspices of the local school district, there would be no need for any sort of certification process as envisioned in the Cardin legislation. Services could be provided through private organizations under contract to school districts to the same extent they can presently be provided under Title I.

Message Sent

To: _____

Bruce N. Reed/OPD/EOP

Elena Kagan/OPD/EOP

Michael Cohen/OPD/EOP
Ananias Blocker III/WHO/EOP
Kathryn B. Stack/OMB/EOP
Robert M. Shireman/OPD/EOP

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Richard Socarides (CN=Richard Socarides/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1997 09:00:35.00

SUBJECT: LA Times: A New Era Set to Begin in Benefits for Gay Couples

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

This is remarkable

----- Forwarded by Richard Socarides/WHO/EOP on 07/09/97
09:00 AM -----

Doug.Case @ sdsu.edu
07/08/97 12:33:00 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Richard Socarides

cc:

Subject: LA Times: A New Era Set to Begin in Benefits for Gay Couples

Los Angeles Times

Monday July 7, 1997

Copyright 1997 / The Times Mirror Company

A New Era Set to Begin in Benefits for Gay Couples

By BETTINA BOXALL
TIMES STAFF WRITER

Starting Tuesday, \$8 and a visit to a notary will bring same-sex couples in Hawaii a degree of legitimacy unprecedented in this country. With a state certificate declaring them "reciprocal beneficiaries," they will qualify for dozens of legal rights and benefits typically reserved for the married, ranging from the ability to sign up for family medical insurance to filing a domestic violence complaint.

Approved by the Hawaii Legislature earlier this year, the beneficiaries law is the most dramatic and far-reaching example of a steadily building national trend.

While same-sex marriage remains a highly controversial possibility, domestic partner benefits of one sort or another are becoming an everyday reality for more and more gay couples.

A recent survey by the accounting firm KPMG Peat Marwick indicates that nearly a quarter of employers nationwide with more than 5,000 workers provide health benefits to nontraditional partners, often straight as well as gay.

In a landmark move that took effect last month, the city of San Francisco is requiring its contractors to offer domestic partner coverage to their employees.

Measures related to domestic partner issues have been introduced in more than a half-dozen state legislatures, including California's, where the Assembly last month approved a bill dealing with the availability of

health insurance.

Ironically, the furor over same-sex marriage has boosted the effort to gain formal recognition for gay couples outside of marriage--both by highlighting their lack of legal rights and by casting domestic partnership in a comparatively less radical light.

"In effect, the controversy over marriage aids and pushes the domestic partner movement as well," said Richard Jennings, executive director of Hollywood Supports, an advocacy group that lobbies for such policies. "Domestic partnership is starting to look like the conservative alternative."

Not to social conservatives, who find domestic partnership rights as distasteful as same-sex marriage. They have filed lawsuits to block domestic partner policies in the public sector. They have fired off angry letters to private corporations and, in the recent case of the Southern Baptist Convention protesting the Walt Disney Co.'s insurance benefits for same-sex partners and other "gay friendly" policies, launched a boycott.

Give nontraditional couples rights and benefits, conservatives argue, and you erode the importance of marriage as an institution.

"This is a probing attack on traditional cultural assumptions that marriage is unique in our society," said Benjamin W. Bull, senior counsel for the American Center for Law and Justice, which represents a firm suing to overturn the San Francisco ordinance. "The whole domestic partner issue is the battleground on which the war of cultural values is going to be fought in the next decade."

In the realm of public opinion, domestic partnership is not nearly as contentious as same-sex marriage.

A recent Field poll, for instance, showed that while more than half the California public disapproves of same-sex marriages, a larger majority, 67%, favor granting domestic partners legal rights such as hospital visitation, medical power of attorney and conservatorship.

By a 59% to 35% margin, Californians also approve of giving domestic partners family leave, pension and health insurance coverage and death benefits.

"The public is still not ready to accept same-sex marriage," said Mervin Field, the poll's associate director. "But they're ready to give family rights to domestic partners. [The public feels] the idea of two gays living together and committing themselves to each other and devoting years to that is something that should be recognized and favored."

In Hawaii, it was the threat of same-sex marriage that prodded the Legislature into adopting the most comprehensive package of rights and benefits ever accorded nontraditional couples in the United States.

*

By crafting the reciprocal beneficiaries law, marriage opponents won support for another bill, passed at the same time, intended to short-circuit a state court case, now on appeal, that could legalize same-sex marriage in Hawaii within the next two years.

The companion bill places on the November 1998 state ballot a constitutional amendment that would give the Legislature the power to restrict marriage to opposite-sex couples.

The beneficiaries law "is a reaction to the same-sex marriage case," said Hawaii attorney Dan Foley, who represents three gay couples suing the state for marriage rights. "We don't accept this act as a substitute to marriage. It's still separate and unequal. But we think it's a positive step."

The legislation, which takes effect Tuesday, confers about 60 benefits and privileges involving inheritance, insurance coverage, joint property and victims' rights.

Once registered with the state, couples will be able to jointly apply for disaster relief loans and auto insurance. The partner of a state employee will be eligible for survivor retirement benefits.

If one partner dies in an auto accident, the survivor will be able to sue for wrongful death. If one assaults the other, the victim can file a domestic violence complaint. And if one partner becomes mentally incompetent, the other will be able to sign commitment papers.

Private employers that offer family health coverage to married workers must also offer the same to reciprocal beneficiaries, who can be any two adults legally barred from marrying and who are otherwise not married. They simply have to fill out a notarized declaration and pay an \$8 fee to obtain a state certificate.

A widow and her son, or for that matter two heterosexuals of the same gender, could register under the program--a fact that has prompted Hawaii's governor to suggest that the law's eligibility may need to be narrowed.

Elsewhere, domestic partner policies are not nearly so encompassing. Private and public employers typically provide health insurance coverage and family and bereavement leave. Survivor retirement benefits are sometimes included. Some companies restrict the benefits to family and bereavement leave.

Business analysts say initial concerns about the cost of adding domestic partner coverage have largely fallen by the wayside, as participation rates have been lower than expected.

If the coverage is available to same-sex couples only, less than 1% of a company work force usually enrolls, increasing medical costs slightly. If enrollment is open to unmarried heterosexual couples as well, up to 3% of the work force may sign up, raising medical costs about 1.5%, said Ilse de Veer of William Mercer Inc., an international benefits consulting firm.

In Los Angeles, where health coverage was extended to the unmarried partners of both straight and gay employees in 1993, only 450 of the city's 34,000 workers have signed up, benefits manager Henry Hurd said. Of those, 80% are heterosexual.

Businesses attribute the low enrollment rates to several factors: Both partners in unmarried couples usually work and thus often have their own individual insurance. The federal government taxes workers on the value of the benefits. And for gay employees, there are concerns that if they sign up, they are effectively outing themselves.

Still, de Veer said domestic partner benefits "help recruit people who might not need the benefits because of the message it sends."

The impetus to expand corporate benefits often comes from within, from gay employee groups that have grown in number and visibility in recent years. But companies also cite a competitive factor, saying the policies can help recruit and retain workers, a particular concern in today's tight labor market.

Although the Southern Baptist boycott of Disney was in part sparked by the entertainment giant's 1995 adoption of benefits for same-sex partners, such high-profile protests are the exception.

"It's typically something that raises discussion for a short time and then it dies out," said Dorothy Weaver of Hewitt Associates, a benefits and compensation consulting firm that estimates about 500 private and public employers nationwide offer such coverage. Neither Weaver nor de Veer were aware of any company that has dropped domestic partner benefits after adopting them.

When Coors Brewing Co. in Golden, Colo., extended health benefits to same-sex couples two years ago, communications manager Joe Fuentes said, there was a brief, minor flap internally and externally "that just went away."

"We had a few letters from people saying, 'We can't believe you're doing this,'" recalled Fuentes. "Our position has always been that it's not a moral statement. It's an employee benefit, and we've always maintained a leadership role in employee benefits."

*

In the two months since the Chevron Corp. announced it would become the first major oil company to offer domestic partner benefits, spokeswoman Alison Jones said, the company has "gotten lots of reaction, both positive and negative."

Based in San Francisco, Chevron was nudged by the city's contractor ordinance, Jones said, but had been "tracking domestic partner benefits for several years with the thought that some day we would do this."

Most prevalent in government, academia and such industries as high-tech and entertainment, the benefits are spreading into other quarters.

Among those that have or are adopting the policies are American Express, Apple Computer, Bank of America, Southern California Gas Co., IBM, the Los Angeles Unified School District and the state of New York.

The policies are more controversial in the public sector, where lawsuits have been filed against various cities challenging their authority to grant the benefits.

Minneapolis was forced to drop them two years ago after a state appeals court ruled that Minnesota law did not recognize same-sex partners as spouses. Similar suits are pending in Chicago, Atlanta and Denver. Voters in Austin, Texas, repealed a domestic partner ordinance several years ago.

Two lawsuits have been filed against San Francisco's ordinance, one by Bull's organization and one by airlines that use the San Francisco airport. They argue the city has no right to issue mandates to the airline industry, which is regulated by the federal government.

Although the challenges revolve around dry legal issues, they usually spring from a deep philosophical opposition to formal recognition of couples outside of heterosexual marriage.

"What [gay -rights advocates] really want is whatever an individual chooses as a partnership. . . . The government and society has to recognize it and protect it legally," said Virginia attorney Jordan Lorence, who is involved in the Chicago suit. "That to me is a recipe for chaos."

A conservative Christian group in Arizona, the Alliance Defense Fund, is funding his work and supporting several of the other city challenges, Lorence said.

On the state legislative front, there has been an increase in

pro-domestic partnership proposals, but not a rush to enact them. Of four such bills introduced in California this session, only one has moved forward.

The Assembly-approved measure would require health insurance companies to offer employers the option of providing domestic partner coverage to their workers. But most major insurance carriers in the state already do that.

Among the stalled bills is a statewide registry proposal, similar to legislation vetoed by Gov. Pete Wilson in 1994, that would grant domestic partners limited rights such as hospital visitation and conservatorship.

Gay rights proponent Assemblywoman Sheila Kuehl (D-Santa Monica) is nonetheless optimistic.

"The notion of domestic partnership seems more and more familiar and, frankly, more acceptable as local governments and corporations adopt it. I predict in the next couple of years we will see state legislatures going this way."

"I don't see it plateauing," she said of the domestic partnership movement, "unless gay marriage is made legal."

Copyright (c) 1997 Times Mirror Company

This message has been forwarded as a free informational service. Please do not publish, or post in a public place on the Internet, copyrighted material without permission and attribution. Forwarding of this material should not necessarily be construed as an endorsement of the content. In fact, sometimes messages from anti-gay organizations are forwarded as "opposition research."

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

RFC-822-headers:

Received: from conversion.pmdf.eop.gov by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-4 #6879) id <01IKZTH0E1CG007SN8@PMDF.EOP.GOV> for socarides_r@al.eop.gov; Tue, 08 Jul 1997 12:50:27 -0500 (EST)

Received: from storm.eop.gov (storm.eop.gov) by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-4 #6879) id <01IKZTGW520G006TL8@PMDF.EOP.GOV> for socarides_r@al.eop.gov; Tue, 08 Jul 1997 12:50:22 -0500 (EST)

Received: from mail.sdsu.edu ([130.191.25.3]) by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.1-7 #6879) with ESMTTP id <01IKZTGGNUZ6001MCE@STORM.EOP.GOV> for socarides_r@al.eop.gov; Tue, 08 Jul 1997 12:50:01 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from [130.191.242.121] ([130.191.242.121]) by mail.sdsu.edu (8.8.4/8.8.4) with ESMTTP id JAA18542; Tue, 08 Jul 1997 09:30:13 -0700 (PDT)

X-Sender: dcase@mail.sdsu.edu

===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Virginia N. Rustique (CN=Virginia N. Rustique/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1997 07:14:23.00

SUBJECT: Welfare to Work mtg.

TO: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Janet Murguia (CN=Janet Murguia/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Janet would like to meet briefly tomorrow re: welfare-work @ either 10:30 am or 6:00 pm in her office (East Wing, Rm. 112-- last set of doors on the right before exiting WH).

Please confirm your attendance. Sorry for last minute notice.

Attending:

E. Kagan

C. Rice

Geri Palast

Rich Tarplin

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1997 09:12:29.00

SUBJECT: Update on Welfare to Work Conference Issues

TO: Virginia N. Rustique (CN=Virginia N. Rustique/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Diana Fortuna (CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Emily Bromberg (CN=Emily Bromberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet Murguia (CN=Janet Murguia/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

At today's 11:00 meeting on the \$3 billion welfare to work program, we will discuss proposals for:

- Performance bonus
- Workfare language
- Eligible individuals
- Budget Language -- no spending in 2002
- Technical Issues

Attached is an updated document which includes:

A list of member and staff issues; and

A pared-down side-by-side of these issues and our position=====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS_EXT:[ATTACH.D6]MAIL43266398L.116 to ASCII,
The following is a HEX DUMP:

```

FF575043C2130000010A000100000000FBFF050032001108000003000A00000042000000060010
0000004C0000000C005A00000005C00000030005B070000B6000000D00606000100060006D00033
7C005100000001004901120000004570736F6E2046582D3130300074204F6E6C79000000000000
000000000000000000000000455046583130302E575253000058024E00F41A5C121A0900000010
205000000000010001000000000000000000F45C1DB74E580250AB0058024E00F41A5C121A0900
000010205000000000010001005802502800C8196810480D000011090000005A000B0100001036
00540069006D006500730020004E0065007700200052006F006D0061006E002000520065006700
75006C0061007200000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
0000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
0000580258024E00F41A5C121A0900000010205000000100010001005802502800D61EC30F3908
000011090000005A000B01008B143600540069006D006500730020004E0065007700200052006F

```

Welfare-to-Work Conference Issues
7/8/97

1. Tentative Member Issues*
 - Administering agency and inter-agency coordination
 - Allocation of funds between competitive grants and formula grants
 - Details of competitive grant funds (setaside for rural areas, 100 poorest cities)
 - Use of funds/allowable uses
 - Worker protections (displacement, discrimination, grievance)
 - Minimum wage calculations
 - State maintenance of effort requirements

2. Tentative Staff Issues*
 - Performance bonus
 - Appropriation of funds by year
 - Distribution of formula funds within states
 - Eligible individuals

3. Identical (or close) House and Senate Provisions*
 - Purpose
 - Matching requirements
 - Grants to Indian tribes
 - Grants to territories/outlying areas
 - Interaction with TANF
 - Evaluation

* As stood at end of 7/2/97 Hill meeting.

Comparison of Welfare-to-Work Legislation

	Our Position	House Ways and Means	House Ed & Workforce	House GOP Compromise	Senate Finance
Administering Federal Agency	Labor	Labor	Labor	Labor	HHS
Local Agency administering formula funds	PICs	PICs	PICs	PICs	TANF (welfare) agency
Funding: Percent Formula/ Competitive	50% formula, 50% competitive	50% formula, 50% competitive	95% formula, 5% competitive	90% formula, 10% competitive	75% formula, 25% competitive
Allowable activities	If community service/work experience allowed, add limiting language ensuring goal is private sector job	Private and public sector job creation through wage subsidies, on-the-job training, contracts and vouchers for readiness, job placement and post-employment services and job support services provided through other means.	Similar to Ways and Means	Same as Ways and Means, except that community service/work experience is added as an allowable activity	Same as Ways and Means
Performance bonus	Keep, but strengthen, performance bonus	None	None	None	\$100 million (3 percent of total dollars)
Funding: Allocation of formula dollars to States	Prefer Ways and Means. If small state minimum included, try to lower to .25% like JTPA	Based on poverty, TANF, unemployed populations. No small state minimum.	Based on poverty and TANF populations. No small state minimum.	Based on poverty and TANF populations. No small state minimum.	Based on poverty, TANF, unemployed populations. Small state minimum of 0.5%.
Funding: Allocation of formula dollars	Prefer Ways and Means, but use excess poverty factor of 7.5%	85% to PICs by formula, at least half of that according to	Same as Ways and Means	Same as Ways and Means	85% among political subdivisions with

	Our Position	House Ways and Means	House Ed & Workforce	House GOP Compromise	Senate Finance
within State	instead of 5% to better target dollars to poor areas.	excess poverty (# of poor individuals that exceeds 5% of population); 15% at Governor's discretion.			above-average poverty and unemployment rates, at least half of that according to poverty.
Inter-Agency Coordination of formula dollars	Prefer Ways and Means; ensure that remitted funds stay in state	PICs and local TANF agency must have agreement; Funding shall remit to the Secretary of Labor if PICs and TANF don't adhere to agreement.	No provision.	PICs and local TANF agency must have agreement; Funding shall remit to the Governor if PICs and TANF don't adhere to agreement.	Local TANF agency and entity operating a project must have agreement; Funding shall remit to HHS Secretary if agreement not adhered to.
Allocation of competitive dollars	Ways and Means	65% set-aside for grants for spending in cities that are among the 100 with the largest poverty populations, 25% set-aside for rural areas.	No set-asides (competitive/demonstration dollars are only 5% of total WTW funds)	65% 100-city and 25% rural set-aside, but of much smaller competitive pool (10 percent of total).	30% rural set-aside; no city set-aside.
Eligible Individuals	Prefer House GOP Compromise; have alternatives which would limit program to 36% or 38% of caseload	90% of funds: 1) received assistance for 30 months <u>or</u> are within 12 months of time limit; <u>and</u> 2) Has two of: a)Low skills and no high school diploma; b)Requires substance abuse treatment; c)Has poor work history (18% of	90% of funds: 1) received assistance for 30 months <u>or</u> are within 12 months of time limit; <u>or</u> 2) Has two of: a)Low skills and no high school diploma; b)Requires substance abuse treatment; c)Has poor work history (76% of	70% of funds: 1) received assistance for 30 months <u>or</u> are within 12 months of time limit; <u>and</u> 2) Has two of: a)Low skills and no high school diploma; b)Requires substance abuse treatment; c)Has poor work history (18% of	90% of funds: 1) received assistance for 30 months <u>or</u> are within 12 months of time limit; <u>or</u> 2) Has two of: a)Low skills and no high school diploma; b)Requires substance abuse treatment; c)Has poor work history (76% of

	Our Position	House Ways and Means	House Ed & Workforce	House GOP Compromise	Senate Finance
		caseload)	caseload)	caseload)	caseload)
State Maintenance of Effort Requirements	House Ways and Means	States must meet 80% maintenance of effort	States must meet TANF maintenance of effort (75% if meeting work participation rates; 80% otherwise)	States must meet TANF maintenance of effort (75% if meeting work participation rates; 80% otherwise)	States must meet TANF maintenance of effort (75% if meeting work participation rates; 80% otherwise)

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1997 12:50:42.00

SUBJECT: liquor advertising

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth Drye (CN=Elizabeth Drye/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

FYI: I just found out that the FCC voted this morning on opening the inquiry/investigation into hard liquor ads. The vote was 2-2, meaning no investigation. I'm trying to get more details.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Lynn G. Cutler (CN=Lynn G. Cutler/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1997 07:14:42.00

SUBJECT: Indian Crime Memo

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Did anyone tell you that this came up in our meeting with Erskine today which was a briefing for him in preparation for a meeting with a Congressional delegation about Indian affairs? Call me if you need to--6-2896.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jose Cerda III (CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1997 07:14:51.00

SUBJECT: Race initiative

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

What's this? Do we need to be on? I've got several conflicts already at that time.

Jose'

----- Forwarded by Jose Cerda III/OPD/EOP on 07/08/97
07:13 PM -----

From: David S. Beaubaire on 07/08/97 04:42:09 PM

Record Type: Record

To: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message

cc: Katherine Hubbard/WHO/EOP

Subject: Race initiative

Bibb and I are planning on hosting a conference call for the agency point of contacts on the Race initiative on Thursday at 11:00 am. Please join us by dialing 757-2104 code:4590. This call will be fairly informal. We just hope to take this opportunity to give direction as to what we are looking for from the agencies in regards to their memos on "Best practices," as pertains to this initiative. We will be asking that these memos be turned into us a week from Friday , July 18th.

Please let us know if you will be joining us.

Message Sent

To:

Thurgood Marshall Jr/WHO/EOP

Elisabeth S. Steele/OVP @ OVP

Jonathan A. Kaplan/OPD/EOP

Andrew J. Mayock/WHO/EOP

Jose Cerda III/OPD/EOP

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: William R. Kincaid (CN=William R. Kincaid/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1997 16:17:34.00

SUBJECT: Cardin and Riley

TO: Robert M. Shireman (CN=Robert M. Shireman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ananias Blocker III (CN=Ananias Blocker III/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kathryn B. Stack (CN=Kathryn B. Stack/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Cohen (CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Mike Smith spoke with Secretary Riley about the Cardin provision and the possible compromise language we have been working on. The Secretary wants to be clear that his strong preference is to remove the Cardin tax credit provision outright. He sees the compromise language as the last option if all other efforts to get Cardin to drop the provision fail.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jose Cerda III (CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUL-1997 18:53:43.00

SUBJECT: Re: weekly

TO: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

EK -- Here are the entries for the weekly you requested. I'm not sure if I have the crack piece 100% right. jciii

Brady Follow-up -- On Wednesday, July 16th, the Attorney General and Secretary of the Treasury will host a meeting for law enforcement representatives from the national organizations and the 23 Brady states. We hope to accomplish the following: (1) to brief law enforcement on the Supreme Court's decision and issue guidance on how they can continue to conduct background checks; (2) to get county and/or state law enforcement agencies to agree to do background checks for the handful of local jurisdictions that have stopped doing them; and (3) to discuss and seek support for legislation that makes clear that states, unless expressly prohibited by state law, have the authority to conduct background checks. This legislation is necessary because the NRA is challenging the authority of 19 of the 23 Brady states to continue to conduct Brady checks. As you know, the attorneys general in Arkansas and Ohio are claiming that they do not have the authority under state law to do Brady checks.

Recommendations on Crack Cocaine Sentencing -- We have met with the Justice Department and ONDCP to discuss how to unveil their recommendations on crack cocaine sentencing. At the recommendation of Senators Biden and Kennedy, our initial goal has been to keep the Senate Judiciary Committee from taking up ill-advised amendments to simply drop the threshold for powder cocaine during the mark-up of the juvenile crime bill. To this end, Justice has spoken with Senator Abraham, and it looks as if he will not offer his amendment. Afterwards, Justice and ONDCP will take the lead in reaching out to members of the Judiciary Committees, the Black Caucus and law enforcement representatives. If they can build enough support for their recommendations, we will try and offer attach to the juvenile crime bill when it is considered on the floor of the Senate this fall.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUL-1997 19:21:30.00

SUBJECT: Congr. Levin asked for us to meet TOMORROW at 9:00 AM

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet Murguia (CN=Janet Murguia/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Virginia N. Rustique (CN=Virginia N. Rustique/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Cathy R. Mays (CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

in 2174 Rayburn. He can't do it later in the day because he is leaving town.

I can do it; it sounds like Janet can; and I think the agency folks can. Virginia -- will you confirm Janet's participation and page me? We can't do this meeting w/o her.

Bruce -- it sounds like you'll be at tobacco talks.

Elena ??

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jerold R. Mande (CN=Jerold R. Mande/OU=OSTP/O=EOP [OSTP])

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUL-1997 12:45:00.00

SUBJECT: Copies of the Final Koop-Kessler Report

TO: Elizabeth Drye (CN=Elizabeth Drye/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul J. Weinstein Jr. (CN=Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Thomas L. Freedman (CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Toby Donenfeld (CN=Toby Donenfeld/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Daniel K. Tarullo (CN=Daniel K. Tarullo/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Richard J. Turman (CN=Richard J. Turman/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Mark J. Mazur (CN=Mark J. Mazur/OU=CEA/O=EOP @ EOP [CEA])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Ellen S. Seidman (CN=Ellen S. Seidman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Charles F. Stone (CN=Charles F. Stone/OU=CEA/O=EOP @ EOP [CEA])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I can get additional copies of the report if they are needed. It is also available at: www.science-policy.com/tobacco/report.htm

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Christa Robinson (CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUL-1997 14:43:04.00

SUBJECT: Re: COS Scheduling Mtg.

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Paul J. Weinstein Jr. (CN=Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jennifer L. Klein (CN=Jennifer L. Klein/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

genetic screening is on for Monday -- and Jen is right, it is the genetic screening program which includes Shalala, victim, Slaughter and POTUS. Erskine wanted to kill pediatric labelling b/c he wanted it included in the immunization event on the 23rd b/c he and scheduling felt that was the day of child health messages and we should just pile them all into one. I think they realize now that combining it with the 23rd event is a bad idea, so now we can try to get it on the schedule for August but there is no guarantee. So, again, I think the best bet for a pediatric labelling hit is to push for a Radio Address.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Diana Fortuna (CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUL-1997 23:45:49.00

SUBJECT: Affidavit of support and means tested benefits

TO: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

You've asked me about the timing and status of the decision on means tested benefits, as well as the timing of the affidavit of support rule that Sally Katzen wrote that interesting note about. Here's the wonderful story:

Means-tested is ready to go. But there are now 2 holdups to getting these 2 out.

1. DOJ feels very strongly that it should not send out major interpretations of the welfare law on immigration without first meeting and "consulting" with Rep. Lamar Smith. There is about .000005% chance that he would like our interpretation, tell us anything we don't already know, or change our minds, but DOJ has been very firm on this. So we are waiting for this meeting to get scheduled and occur, and then to let a respectable number of days pass before taking our action.
2. On the affidavit of support, a final snag has arisen in the last few days on the definition of "state means tested benefits" that OLC says was raised by the Brady Law decision. DOJ and INS met today to iron this out; I don't know the resolution yet. I am trading calls with David Ogden to suggest to him that time is of the essence.

Finally, HHS feels very strongly that means-tested should be announced at the same time as the affidavit, so there will be one day of attention to this rather than two. Therefore they are very reluctant to schedule the meeting with Smith until they know that the affidavit issue is resolved.

So the schedule is:

1. settle state means tested definition issue in affidavit of support (punting is a possibility)
2. meet with Smith
3. issue both a few days later

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jennifer L. Klein (CN=Jennifer L. Klein/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUL-1997 10:49:35.00

SUBJECT: Hilley

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Have you heard from him?

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Robert M. Shireman (CN=Robert M. Shireman/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUL-1997 12:42:50.00

SUBJECT: Re: URGENT: Tax bill may slash graduate stipends! (fwd)

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Gene B. Sperling (CN=Gene B. Sperling/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Cheryl D. Mills (CN=Cheryl D. Mills/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Michael Cohen (CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

The House bill has two provisions which seem, in part, aimed at scaring the higher education community so that they will fight to keep what they have rather than fighting to get what the Administration has been pushing in terms of tax cuts for higher education.

The strategy, unfortunately, has worked to a degree, generating grassroots e-mail traffic like this one.

Treasury is on top of this, and will oppose imposing the new taxes on graduate students and eliminating the tax-exempt status of TIAA-CREF (the pension plan used by many colleges and school districts). We don't yet know how serious Archer is about these provisions, so we have not yet reached the question of whether any compromises should be considered (the graduate student provision also affects tuition waivers granted to faculty and their children, which in some cases may be harder to justify).

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Phone No. (Partial) (1 page)	07/10/1997	P6/b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Automated Records Management System [Email]
OPD ([Kagan])
OA/Box Number: 250000

FOLDER TITLE:

[07/09/1997-07/10/1997]

2009-1006-F
bm25

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: William R. Kincaid (CN=William R. Kincaid/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUL-1997 12:11:04.00

SUBJECT: Out of the Office

TO: Kathryn B. Stack (CN=Kathryn B. Stack/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul J. Weinstein Jr. (CN=Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert M. Shireman (CN=Robert M. Shireman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cathy R. Mays (CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Cohen (CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I will be out of the office from 12:30 p.m. today until Monday morning.
Beginning tonight I can be reached at P6/(b)(6)

[001]

Thanks.

Suggested Items for Community Empowerment Meeting

1. Some Possible Sub-Groups

- **Housing -- Possible issues include expanding the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit, more expansive program of vouchers.**
- **Transportation -- Moving people in the inner cities to jobs.**
- **Credit issues -- This one is tough, we have actually done quite a bit in this area with the President's reform of CRA and the CDFI program. One possible area would be a major expansion of microenterprise lending. Another idea would be Individual Development Accounts.**
- **Tax incentives -- We should look closely at tax incentives along the same vein as brownfields. e.g. combining both urban and environmental policy. Brownfields is unique in that it is supported by the cities and suburbs. Another incentive that we have developed is the Waterfront Tax Credit. Treasury however, has not yet approved. The credit would be available for commercial and residential properties that are on waterfronts and which put into place anti-water pollution devices to combat non-point source pollution (like runoff from parking lots).**
- Education
- Metropolitan regional strategies
- Welfare-to-work
- Unbanked -- Treasury has been working on this for awhile.
- **Training/mentoring -- A big issue with Secretary Rubin and Hugh Price. One idea was to use the National Guard to mentor kids in the inner city and use their facilities. This stuff tends to get a little fuzzy.**

2. Potential Agency/Department Participation

- Treasury
- HUD
- Commerce
- Education
- Labor
- SBA

- HHS
- Transportation
- White House (DPC, NEC, CEB/OVP, CEA, CEQ, OMB)

3. Process Issues

- How this relates to the race initiative (four policy groups)
 - *Community Empowerment*
 - Education
 - Health
 - Administration of Justice
- Decision-making: normal NEC-DPC process

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUL-1997 17:52:22.00

SUBJECT: Re: Tony Campolo

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

----- Forwarded by Bruce N. Reed/OPD/EOP on 07/10/97
05:53 PM -----

Bruce N. Reed

07/10/97 05:51:21 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Diana Fortuna/OPD/EOP

cc:

Subject: Re: Tony Campolo

That's great. Let's put it in the weekly, along with whatever we're planning to do for Girls Nation. POTUS should mention it in his Girls Nation speech.

Also, could you keep your eye on what Mike and Elena are doing for the NAACP mtg on Thursday -- a teacher incentive program for inner-city schools. We should make sure it's consistent with the deal we announced in Philadelphia.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elizabeth Drye (CN=Elizabeth Drye/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUL-1997 20:18:20.00

SUBJECT: Appropriations Committee Action on FDA

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jerold R. Mande (CN=Jerold R. Mande/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

FYI tobacco and food safety Committee approps outcome. Moving in the right direction.

----- Forwarded by Elizabeth Drye/OPD/EOP on 07/10/97
08:17 PM -----

Richard J. Turman

07/09/97 09:31:46 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Elizabeth Drye/OPD/EOP

cc: Jim R. Esquea/OMB/EOP

Subject: Appropriations Committee Action on FDA

FYI

----- Forwarded by Richard J. Turman/OMB/EOP on 07/09/97
09:29 PM -----

Jim R. Esquea

07/09/97 05:41:34 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Joshua Gotbaum/OMB/EOP, Barry T. Clendenin/OMB/EOP, Richard J. Turman/OMB/EOP

cc: Wm G. White/OMB/EOP

Subject: Appropriations Committee Action on FDA

FYI - - HHS & FDA staff advise that House Appropriations Committee provided full funding for the FDA portion of the Food Safety Initiative - - \$24 million (+10 million from the Subcommittee mark of \$14 million).

Also, the Committee provided \$24 million for implementation of the FDA tobacco regulation - - +9 million more than the Subcommittee mark and \$10 million less than the President's request.

The AG appropriations bill was approved, but the Committee vote was split down party lines (FDA was not the reason for this split). I will let you know if I get any more information.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Diana Fortuna (CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUL-1997 15:36:44.00

SUBJECT: Meeting with Rep. Waters' staff on grandparents

TO: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer L. Klein (CN=Jennifer L. Klein/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I've followed up with Rep. Waters' staff, Katherine Atkins, to tell her that we would very much like to meet with her to discuss grandparents.

To remind Jen of the genesis of this issue, Waters has a bill to exempt grandparents who take care of kids on welfare from the welfare law's work requirements. HHS has told her (nicely) that we can't support that, and that we think the law has sufficient flexibility to take this population into consideration. However, her staff has now asked if we are interested in discussing other approaches or initiatives to supporting this group, and Elena asked me to send back a very clear "yes" signal to her, which I have done.

Unfortunately, Rep. Waters would really like the meeting to be next week, ideally Tuesday or Thursday. We need to decide which of us should go, both from DPC and from HHS. I am assuming we need you or Nicole, Jen, to be there to talk about the issue. I asked Atkins what agenda she envisions for the meeting -- she said she'd like to discuss what areas we have identified as needing work, and what initiatives we may be undertaking. She said perhaps we would undertake some activities together.

Please let me know your thoughts on who should attend. Elena, do you want to try to come? We need to start setting this up on Friday, either by Laura or by our new support person, Linda Cooper. From HHS, is it still Carol Williams? Elena, I assume you would be anxious to make this work for Waters at the time she wants. (I'm out next week, so I'd like to get this set.)

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Michael Cohen (CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUL-1997 13:31:27.00

SUBJECT: Urban/race stuff

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Attached is the revised outline of the urban education/race issues, and an outline I sent Terry Edmonds last night for the speech. Here is what you should know about each:

1. NAACP: The speech outline reflects our conversation as well as one I had right afterwards with Leslie Thornton (COS to Riley and race initiative contact at ED). She felt strongly that it was a mistake to talk about the national tests to this crowd, because they hate it. She thought it was ok to talk about standards, but not tests.

I strongly disagreed with that--he can't talk about standards without talking about tests, and he can't lead much of a national dialogue if he backs away from his highest priority because it is controversial. Leslie was concerned that we would be worse off with stories saying that POTUS got a hostile reception (or filled with quotes from people in the audience saying how much they dislike the testing idea) than we would with stories saying that POTUS downplayed his priority in order to cater to the audience.

In any event, we agreed on the rest of the speech -- talking about what we have done to create the conditions for preparing kids to meet the standards and do well on the test. And that we need to do more. We agreed on the basic points to be made as well -- issues like safety, our investments in technology, reading tutors, etc.

I framed the Title V announcement as the first step in the "we need to do more" section. However, as you can see, I'm not sure what to hint at as the other steps we expect to take. I'm still working on this, and talking to people at ED. Let me know your thoughts.

2. On the overall framework for the urban/race working group: I've made some slight modifications from the version you looked at Tues. evening, and I've started to identify where the leadership for each effort ought to be housed (I'll get you more detail on this shortly -- the general rules are (1) where there is an activity up and running, keep it going under current form [e.g., Shireman/NEC are taking the lead on the Hispanic education initiative. I don't see messing with that; (2) where there is new stuff to be done, I should be the presumptive lead/convener; and, (3) the issue on civil rights enforcement and ed reform -- title VII, Voting Rights, etc. -- needs to be figured out in light of how you approach the Administration of Justice issues)

The key issue to get this area moving is to figure out exactly what we are asking each group to produce, and what the timeline for producing it is. I'm trying to flesh that out a bit today, and will send it to you later. I don't expect to nail this down very much, but I think before we meet with the working group, we need to be able to give them a better idea of

what we want.

Hope this helps. Any thoughts?

3. Title V update: (1) Education agreed, after some struggling and with support from OMB, that they would focus the teacher preparation component (the "lighthouse" institutions) entirely on urban and poor rural areas, rather simply making it a priority for the competition (awarding bonus points in effect).

(2) We all agreed, after much discussion, that it was ok for ED to keep this as two separate components -- one on lighthouse institutions, and one on providing scholarships for people who agree to serve in underserved areas. The best argument for doing this is that it works better for urban systems -- they can play in this competition if they have a good program for moving paraprofessionals into the classroom, for example, even if they don't have an institution of higher education that has a program of becoming a lighthouse teacher training institution. I made Terry Dozier confirm this with the Council of Great City Schools before signing off on it. I also made them promise that the press materials that are produced (they will send first draft today, we will naturally control final version), unlike the specifications we have seen, we not make a reasonable person wonder why we are trying to recruit teachers from low quality institutions. I think we can do this.

(3) their impact estimates (number of new teachers, etc.) are still a bit soft, and need to be reworked anyway in light of the urban focus. I'll get them soon. Because we have better focused the program, we can show that we are having a relatively greater impact.

(4) ED's budget proposal is at \$60 million for the first year, and increasing by \$10 million each year until it ramps up to \$100 in the 5th year. Kathy Stack thought this might be too high for OMB to swallow; I didn't think \$10 million per year should be a huge problem. Bruce thinks we are ok as long as we can talk about a \$250 million program, and I will keep talking to OMB today to work this out.

(5) We discussed, but didn't resolve, the issue of seeking endorsements ready to be announced when the President announces the proposal. We do not expect to get endorsements from the higher education community, since they want the money to be spread all over the country. We might be able to get K-12 endorsement, especially from the urban schools. No one has yet talked to NAACP or the civil rights groups. I need guidance on this-- it would be good to get endorsements, but if we seek them we run the risk of being drawn into negotiations at this late date, and of having the proposal leaked.

Any guidance?

Otherwise...everything is completely under control!===== ATTACHMENT

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS_EXT: [ATTACH.D84]MAIL467594099.116 to ASCII,

The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF5750435F040000010A02010000000205000000B918000000020000D6ECB638ADA82BBEACE81A
34CC52C250B92F0B3BE69750D2C3644497231BB2585B1CA22B79999A354CED937F343D8DA0F6A9
BCF6E2AD4736634711E851C3E0574C7BDD57A154AB962361A2C5C2754B392A535BE1ED807D64F5
DD03617D598397172BCFBF8893B362765323DF5DD964B0A832B46369C36B16E414A7F2859507A
B4D8FA99DB0CDC5456E0B9AF5806D1E1AED3C2D151FF7572C114BCBD63CB35FDE1F2A15084FE16
E24374BCCF5CE161953DB29DC27D2E2C462902DB7D186AFD589F90F6496DB8F5706AD4337D3708
06E1540530AFDA823BD79E1E26E2E534A570213607C180A152969E216E178236404C8632803B74

Draft 2
7/9

Race and Urban Education Issues

WORK ALREADY UNDERWAY

1. Raising Standards

Urban Testing Initiative which is identifying approximately 12 urban school systems that would sign up for national tests and form network with ED and NSF to share information about promising practices and resources to prepare students to meet the standards.

Urban systemic reform building on ED's 1996 proposed urban initiative (ACE) which focused on accountability, choice and excellence through an urban grants program.

Reconstitution of failing schools focused effort that would stimulate and support efforts to turn around failing schools

2. Improving Teaching

Teacher Recruitment and Preparation initiative proposed under Title V of HEA

3. Providing Safe Places Before and After School

Community Schools Program in ED's FY 1998 budget

After School Programs in proposed amendment to Juvenile Justice bill

4. School Construction

Action needed depends upon outcome of budget reconciliation

5. Expanding Access to Higher Education

Addressed in decision memo in response to Chaka Fattah proposal

6. Hispanic Education Initiative

NEC leading effort on this

POTENTIAL AREAS OF NEW WORK

1. Using existing resources and programs (Title 1, Safe and Drug Free Schools, Technology Literacy Challenge, e-rate, Goals 2000, School-to-Work, Voc. Ed.) to more effectively support the improvement of urban education, by:

greater targeting of resources to urban areas through appropriations requests, formula changes, or regulatory changes.

increased flexibility in the use of formula funds to support local improvement strategies.

better information on effective practices for addressing urban issues with federal program funds.

targeted technical assistance to help small number of districts use program funds and R&D to strengthen reforms, with a special emphasis on reading and math standards.

accessing resources in EZ's/EC's to support education improvement

targeting funds for National Board for Professional Teaching Standards to provide

support to urban (and rural) teachers preparing for board certification and/or incentives
for board-certified teaches to teach in high poverty urban (and rural) schools

2. Racial Isolation Among Schools

not clear what to do on this

3. Civil Rights Enforcement and Education Reform: Working through potential conflicts

School Takeovers and Voting Rights Act

High Stakes Testing and Title VI

Teacher Testing and Title VII

4. School Finance Equity

possible bully pulpit -- highlight problem, challenge states to do better

Talking points for California phone calls re: President's testing plan:

1. We understand that negotiations are under way on the issues related to Gov. Wilson's testing proposal.
2. You may know that President Clinton has proposed national standards and tests in 4th grade reading and 8th grade math, and has asked every state to participate. Delaine Eastin has already endorsed this proposal.
3. We have been talking with the California Teachers' Association and the California School Boards Association in the past day, and they believe that the President's testing initiative can be incorporated into the compromise proposal they have developed.
4. It would be very helpful to the President if California would participate in this initiative, and we would appreciate any help you could provide to make this happen.

For more information on the President's testing initiative, call Mike Cohen at 456-5575

**NAACP Speech
Education Portion**

1. Disturbing gap in achievement and attainment between low income and more advantaged, between black and white [fill in data on trends in student achievement and postsecondary enrollment and completion]

2. One important reason is attitude -- belief that learning is dependent on background and IQ rather than effort and hard work. This has led to a tyranny of low expectations; many well intentioned people, out of compassion, have held lower expectations for students from disadvantaged and minority backgrounds and, as a result, have sold their future's down the river.

3. That's why Riley and I have worked so hard to raise academic standards; to give every student the benefit of high expectations and a challenging curriculum. We fought to pass Goals 2000 and overhaul Title 1 so that every child, from the poorest inner city school to the most isolated rural school to the richest suburban school would be taught to the same expectations.

4. That is why we are fighting right now for national standards in reading and math, and tests to measure progress. These standards make clear to students, parents and teachers what every child is expected to learn; make it possible for schools, parents and communities to focus on preparing students to meet the standards, and the tests make it possible to tell if we are making progress. In too many states and too many schools the tests they use and the grades they give paint an inaccurate picture of student achievement, giving parents and students alike a false sense of achievement and denying them the help they need to succeed in the future.

5. Make no mistake, standards and tests are essential, but alone they are insufficient. As a nation we must give students and schools the tools they need to reach these standards. And we must do this for all children, leaving no one behind. Education is a state and local responsibility, and that is where the primary responsibility for equal educational opportunity rests. But education is a national priority, and we all must do our part -- parents, community groups, state and local governments and the federal government.

6. Here is what my Administration has done:

-- Help local communities renovate and repair schools that need it, and build new ones where needed, because students can't learn in buildings that are falling down, in disrepair, or seriously overcrowded -- will keep working to get Congress to pass a School Construction Initiative, even though R's balked in budget agreement

-- Make sure every student in poor urban and isolated rural school has access to the same information that students in the wealthiest suburban communities have -- e-rate

-- Provide the extra help so that every 8 yr old can read independently and well --
America Reads

-- Work to keep students and schools safe, disciplined and free of drugs -- [need strong value statement about kids needing to go to school where they won't be in fear of their lives, of daily routines such as walking to school or going to bathroom, or of falling behind in learning because kids are disruptive. Much of the responsibility here falls with parents, who must teach kids right from wrong, and schools, which must have and enforce discipline policies] POTUS could talk about Administration efforts re: weapons in school, truancy, uniforms.

7. We must do more, especially for urban and poor rural communities where the barriers to achievement are the greatest. As a first step, I am announcing today a new initiative to help recruit and prepare a diverse group of teachers to teach in urban and rural communities. This initiative includes scholarship incentives for people who will commit to teach for at least three years in high poverty communities, with a special emphasis on recruiting minorities into teaching, and on nontraditional teaching candidates such as teachers aides and other paraprofessionals, and mid-career professionals who want to shift into teaching. It also includes funds to strengthen the teacher preparation programs, so that those who go into teaching will be better prepared to teach students served by urban and poor rural schools.

8. Over the coming months, my Administration will develop additional initiatives to strengthen education in urban areas, addressing issues such as....

9. Others must do their part as well, if we are to provide the benefits of a world class education to all of our students.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Thomas L. Freedman (CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUL-1997 10:49:02.00

SUBJECT: Updates

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Mary L. Smith (CN=Mary L. Smith/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

1. We are sending over our summary of bills on tobacco. There are a few interesting ideas, please tell us if anything looks like it should be looked into.
2. we're making progress on the executive order.
3. I've been thinking a lot about the Civil rights project, if you have time maybe we could talk about it.
4. We should have some ideas on where to go on agriculture and civil rights by next week.
5. Had a meeting with Sidney B., wherein he had a lot of big picture thoughts I will relate if you want.

reagrds, Tom

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
002. email	Elizabeth Drye to Elena Kagan re: public health groups meeting with VP (Partial) (1 page)	07/10/1997	P6/b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Automated Records Management System [Email]
OPD ([Kagan])
OA/Box Number: 250000

FOLDER TITLE:

[07/09/1997-07/10/1997]

2009-1006-F
bm25

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elizabeth Drye (CN=Elizabeth Drye/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUL-1997 19:52:54.00

SUBJECT: re: public health groups meeting with VP

TO: Elena Kagan (Elena Kagan @ EOP @ LNWTWY [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Upon further deliberation (taking Waldman's note under advisement) we're sticking with the plan of putting her in tomorrow's pm meeting (which now only has 9 people). [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] P6/(b)(6)

[002]

Suggested Items for Community Empowerment Meeting

1. Some Possible Sub-Groups

- Housing
- Credit issues
- Tax incentives
- Education
- Metropolitan regional strategies
- Welfare-to-work
- Unbanked

2. Potential Agency/Department Participation

- Treasury
- HUD
- Commerce
- Education
- Labor
- SBA
- HHS
- Transportation
- White House (DPC, NEC, CEB/OVP, CEA, CEQ, OMB)

3. Process Issues

- How this relates to the race initiative (four policy groups)
 - *Community Empowerment*
 - Education
 - Health
 - Administration of Justice
- Decision-making: normal NEC-DPC process

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elisa Millsap (CN=Elisa Millsap/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUL-1997 18:08:35.00

SUBJECT: Welfare to Work/FLSA

TO: Barry White (CN=Barry White/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

John is having a Welfare to Work/FLSA meeting in his office tomorrow at 4pm. HHS and Labor will be invited to attend. Please let me know if you are unable to attend. Thanks!

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUL-1997 20:41:47.00

SUBJECT: re: public health groups meeting with VP

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I wonder if they'd still come if they knew it's not with the VP

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elisa Millsap (CN=Elisa Millsap/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUL-1997 19:15:25.00

SUBJECT: Welfare to Work/FLSA

TO: Virginia N. Rustique (CN=Virginia N. Rustique/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tracey E. Thornton (CN=Tracey E. Thornton/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet Murguia (CN=Janet Murguia/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry White (CN=Barry White/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

This meeting will now be held at 4:30pm tomorrow, instead of 4pm.

----- Forwarded by Elisa Millsap/WHO/EOP on 07/10/97

07:14 PM -----

Elisa Millsap

07/10/97 06:08:06 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Cynthia A. Rice/OPD/EOP, Elena Kagan/OPD/EOP, Laura Emmett/WHO/EOP,
Barry White/OMB/EOP

cc:

Subject: Welfare to Work/FLSA

John is having a Welfare to Work/FLSA meeting in his office tomorrow at 4pm. HHS and Labor will be invited to attend. Please let me know if you are unable to attend. Thanks!

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Ingrid M. Schroeder (CN=Ingrid M. Schroeder/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUL-1997 11:55:26.00

SUBJECT: DRAFT BILL - RE: Suspension of Deportation (for Presidential Transmittal)

TO: MALLEY_R (MALLEY_R @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (NSC)

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tracey E. Thornton (CN=Tracey E. Thornton/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert N. Weiner (CN=Robert N. Weiner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Debra J. Bond (CN=Debra J. Bond/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Evan T. Farley (CN=Evan T. Farley/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Theodore Wartell (CN=Theodore Wartell/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David J. Haun (CN=David J. Haun/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Daniel M. Tangherlini (CN=Daniel M. Tangherlini/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ellen J. Balis (CN=Ellen J. Balis/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet Murguia (CN=Janet Murguia/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jose Cerda III (CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce K. Sasser (CN=Bruce K. Sasser/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Deich (CN=Michael Deich/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kenneth L. Schwartz (CN=Kenneth L. Schwartz/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steven M. Mertens (CN=Steven M. Mertens/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: James C. Murr (CN=James C. Murr/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Peter G. Jacoby (CN=Peter G. Jacoby/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: James J. Jukes (CN=James J. Jukes/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

By now you should have received for review a draft bill related to applicants for suspension of deportation.

Background

Prior to the enactment of the 1996 immigration bill, suspension of deportation could be granted at the discretion of an immigration judge to aliens who had been present in the U.S. for seven years, have a good moral character and demonstrate that deportation would cause "extreme hardship" to the alien, or spouse, parent, or child who is a lawful permanent resident or U.S. citizen. The 1996 immigration bill tightens the standards for relief from deportation by requiring the alien to show continuous physical presence and good moral character for ten years and to demonstrate that removal would cause "exceptional and extremely unusual hardship" to a lawful permanent resident or U.S. citizen spouse, parent, or child. In addition, immigration judges are only permitted to grant relief from deportation in 4,000 cases per year. The new standards for suspension of deportation became effective on April, 1, 1997.

Summary of Draft Bill

The draft bill would apply the old standards (pre 1996 immigration bill) for suspension of deportation to cases that were in the administrative pipeline prior to April 1, 1997. In addition, the cap of 4,000 cases per year for deportation relief would not apply to cases in the pipeline prior to April 1, 1997, or to battered spouses and children.

Status

LR will be preparing the draft bill for Presidential transmittal early next week. We therefore will need your comments on the draft bill package today.

According to WHLA and Justice the Attorney General will announce the draft bill in press briefings being held today and tomorrow.

WHLA has also notified LR that Justice is preparing a letter (for expedited OMB clearance and transmittal today) from the Attorney General to Speaker Gingrich laying out the different types of administrative relief and an explanation of legislative alternative that the Department is pursuing or considering with regard to suspension of deportation cases.

Will keep you posted on any further developments.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUL-1997 17:47:58.00

SUBJECT:

TO: Paul J. Weinstein Jr. (CN=Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

----- Forwarded by Bruce N. Reed/OPD/EOP on 07/10/97

05:48 PM -----

From: Melissa Green on 07/10/97 05:25:06 PM

Record Type: Record

To: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message

cc:

Subject:

Please deliver the following agenda and dicussion paper to your principal for tomorrow's 2:00pm Climate Change Meeting. If there are any problems with the transmission please call Melissa Green at 456-5804. Thank you.

Message Sent

To:

Rodrigo Prudencia @ 647-0753 @ Fax
Sheri @ 695-7011 @ Fax
Liz Toohey @ 219-7971 @ Fax
esther @ 622-1800 @ Fax
Mary Beth @ 720-2166 @ Fax
Lucie Naphin @ 482-0052 @ Fax
Jose Vazquez @ 366-5535 @ 366-7952 @ Fax
Barbara @ 366-3937 @ Fax
Denise @ 260-0279 @ Fax
Marcus King @ 586-7573 @ Fax
Peg Weathers @ 586-7573 @ Fax
Janet Graves @ 395-1005 @ Fax
Lois Altoft @ 395-3174 @ Fax
Ophelia West @ 395-1198 @ Fax
Dena Weinstein @ 395-3390 @ Fax
Isabella Watkins @ 736-7551 @ Fax
Alice Williams @ 395-6958 @ Fax
Chris Cicio @ 456-9490 @ Fax
Burgess Laird @ 736-7551 @ Fax
Deb Hickey @ 703-875-4639 @ Fax
Joyce @ 720-5437 @ Fax
Leon S. Fuerth/OVP @ OVP
Debbie B Bengtson/OVP @ OVP

Agenda
NEC/CEQ Principals Meeting
Climate Change
July 11, 1997

- I. Interagency Decision Process

- II. Domestic Emissions Trading

CLIMATE CHANGE POLICY WORKGROUPS

1. Domestic Policies

- A. Domestic Emissions Trading
- B. Technology Policy
- C. Transition Assistance
- D. Regulations and Standards

2. International Policies

- A. International Emissions Trading & Joint Implementation
- B. Developing Country Issues
- C. Enforcement/Compliance

*PREDECISIONAL DRAFT
DO NOT QUOTE OR CITE*

7/9/97

DISCUSSION PAPER:

DOMESTIC EMISSIONS TRADING PROGRAMS FOR GREENHOUSE GASES

1. Introduction

Under emissions trading programs, emissions allowances are first allocated or auctioned. These allowances may then be traded. Allowances can have substantial value, so distributional issues are an important component of program design.

Emission trading programs offer both “static” and “dynamic” efficiency advantages over more traditional forms of regulation. Trading allows those with higher abatement costs to purchase allowances from those whose costs are lower. Total costs will therefore be lower than under traditional regulation, which require all polluters to comply with a specific standard. Nevertheless, emissions trading may often involve people and firms adjusting to higher prices.

Under traditional regulation, firms have little incentive to abate pollution by more than the minimum required. As a result, firms under-invest in pollution control R&D. Emissions trading offers dynamic efficiency gains by creating incentives to continuously improve pollution abatement technologies in order to generate “surplus” allowances for sale.

2. US Experience with Emissions Trading

The US has had more experience with emissions trading than any other country. Examples include:

Sulfur Dioxide (SO₂) Allowance Trading: The Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 required a 50% reduction in SO₂ emissions from electric utility boilers. To accomplish this goal, a fixed number of emission allowances were allocated to electric utilities based on a formula reflecting historical emissions. In addition, a small portion of allowances are auctioned every year to facilitate price discovery and new entrants. Allowances are specifically excluded from being defined as rights to pollute, may be traded to any party anywhere, and may be “banked” for use in future years. Participants need to conduct regular monitoring of emissions and make an annual accounting of their emissions. Penalties are imposed if emissions exceed the number of allowances held by a source.

A functioning market in SO₂ allowances now exists, involving both bilateral exchanges

*PREDECISIONAL DRAFT
DO NOT QUOTE OR CITE*

7/9/97

between companies, and brokered exchanges through third parties. This market, along with other factors,¹ has helped to dramatically reduce the cost of the abatement program. Initially, forecasters claimed that a 50% reduction (10 million tons) in SO₂ would correspond to allowance prices in the range of \$400 to \$1000.² However, prices for allowances that would be needed in the next decade to achieve this level of emission reduction currently range between \$100 to \$120. In addition, 1995 emissions were actually 40% below the legally required levels for that year.

Water Effluent Trading: The US generally has regulated surface water quality through a system of discharge limits for large sources of water pollution. In addition, states have standards for ambient water quality which are often not attained even after large dischargers apply "best technology." The reason is that small ("nonpoint") sources (such as runoff from farms) contribute significantly to water pollution. A number of state and local governments are employing trading systems for watersheds that either permit trading among large dischargers, or allow large dischargers to fulfill their requirements by controlling nonpoint sources. These include the Fox River in Wisconsin, the Dillon Reservoir in Colorado, and the Tar-Pamlico River in North Carolina. The latter two programs are designed to manage future economic growth. Thus, the quantity of effluent allowances allocated exceeds current discharge levels. Once growth consumes this excess, trading is expected to reduce compliance costs.

Inter-refinery Lead Trading: EPA operated a lead trading program from 1983 to 1987 as it phased out lead from gasoline. Lead trading allowed refiners and importers to trade lead reduction credits in order to meet limits for the lead content of gasoline. The quantity of allowances to which a firm was entitled was determined by the amount of leaded fuel produced by the firm and the contemporaneous EPA standard. Those who bettered the standard could sell their credits to others. Some 10 billion grams of lead were traded during the course of the program at prices ranging from 0.75 to 5 cents per gram. Allowing the trading of lead credits reduced the costs of the program by approximately 20 percent.

Criteria Air Pollutant Trading: EPA first began incorporating aspects of emissions trading in its

¹ These include the fact that the target that was actually adopted was not as onerous as many in industry had feared, the low price and widespread availability of low sulfur coal, the awarding of "bonus allowances," the postponement of capital investments, and lower than expected transportation costs.

² Hahn, Robert W., and Carol May, "The Behavior of the Allowance Market: Theory and Evidence," The Electricity Journal, March, 1994.

*PREDECISIONAL DRAFT
DO NOT QUOTE OR CITE*

7/9/97

air program in 1974, when it allowed a modified source to use "credits" earned by another source within the same plant to avoid additional regulatory requirements. Since then, emission trading has substantially expanded. Trades have numbered in the thousands and have been estimated by Hahn and Hester (1986) to have achieved savings between \$525 million and \$12 billion.

Market Mechanisms for Chlorofluorocarbon (CFC) Phaseout: Under the 1987 Montreal Protocol to limit stratospheric ozone depletion, the U.S. required the phase out of the production of CFCs by 1996. As part of its program, the U.S. adopted a tradeable permit regime covering CFC manufacturers and importers. These allowances were allocated based on each firm's 1986 market share. As the market for CFCs declined, the system allowed firms to allocate production among different facilities according to the least-cost pattern of supply. It also gave CFC users the flexibility to switch between different CFC compounds, within the overall limit on allowances. This program helped reduce the costs of the phaseout. In 1988, EPA estimated that the cost to *halve* CFC use would be \$3.55 per kilogram. By 1993, it became clear that all uses could be *eliminated* by 1996 at a cost of \$2.45 per kilogram.

3. Emissions Trading of Greenhouse Gases

A greenhouse gas emissions trading program would likely contain several elements. First, emissions budgets would be established. Some entity or entities would be given responsibility for verifying compliance and the integrity of allowances. Noncompliance with allowance limitations or reporting requirements would result in penalties. The program would establish permit lifetimes, monitoring and enforcement provisions, and rules for permit banking, borrowing, and trading.

Among the important issues to be addressed in designing a domestic emissions trading program are:

--Where the constraint is imposed. A "primary fuel" trading program would limit the production or import of fossil fuels. A "sectoral" trading program would limit emissions from one or more key sectors (e.g. utilities, transport or heavy manufacturing).

--How permits are distributed. Permits could be given to existing emitters, given to others (who could then sell them back to existing emitters), auctioned, or some combination of the foregoing. If permits are auctioned, substantial revenues could be raised. (Options for using these revenues include tax cuts, deficit reduction and support

*PREDECISIONAL DRAFT
DO NOT QUOTE OR CITE*

7/9/97

for transitional or technology programs). If permits are given away, recipients would potentially receive a windfall.

These and related issues are discussed below.

*PREDECISIONAL DRAFT
DO NOT QUOTE OR CITE*

7/9/97

A. Where the constraint is imposed.

Carbon dioxide from fossil fuel combustion currently accounts for about 85% of U.S. greenhouse gas emissions. A trading program could be implemented at various points in the energy market, including fuel import, fuel extraction, processing, refining, distribution, and secondary conversion (e.g., coal to electricity). These points could vary by sector. For example, an emissions trading program could focus on the point of final combustion for coal, but on refining for oil, or distribution for natural gas.

Possible programs are described below:

Primary Fuel Emissions Trading

The primary fuel producing sector – extraction, processing, refining, and distribution – has many levels where a permit program could be implemented. One option would be to require permits at the point of first sale (a permit is surrendered with the first inter- or intra-company transaction). Such a system would include transactions between a coal company and an electric utility, between a natural gas producer and its marketing arm, between a natural gas producer and a broker, or between an oil extraction company and its refinery operations. Fuel importers would also require permits to import fuel. This would capture the carbon from fuel consumed in the refining process. The number of market actors under this program design would be under 5000 and virtually all carbon in the energy sector would be included in the program.

Sectoral Emission Trading

An emissions trading program could also be applied at the point of combustion, allowing trading among affected sources. This system would be most comparable to the current SO₂ emissions allowance trading system.

*PREDECISIONAL DRAFT
DO NOT QUOTE OR CITE*

7/9/97

Including the six largest industrial CO₂-emitting sectors (electric utilities, cement, primary metals, pulp and paper, petroleum refining and chemicals) in a trading program could encompass as many as 20,000 market participants and 90 percent of industrial CO₂ emissions. Mobile source emissions could be indirectly included in the system by allocating transportation equipment manufacturers permits for emissions associated with their automobile fleets or by including refiners in the program. Residential and commercial emissions could be similarly addressed by focusing upstream in the energy system. The electric generating sector could use existing monitoring and reporting infrastructure.

In determining where constraints would best be imposed, the following factors may be relevant:

Administrative and compliance feasibility: The number of sources involved in the trading program should be small enough to be administratively feasible and large enough to ensure market competition. In addition, monitoring and verification of permit compliance must be possible for those included in the program.

Public Acceptance: The program must consider the ease or difficulty with which various allocation approaches would be accepted by the public.

Potential to Diffuse Low Greenhouse Gas Technologies: Alternative points of intervention should be evaluated for their ability to provide incentives for research, development, adoption and diffusion of low greenhouse gas technologies.

Market Impacts: The permit program will have economic impacts on firms that vary depending on program design. For example, exempting certain sizes or categories of sources from permit requirements because of administrative or equity concerns (e.g., small boilers or home heating oil) has competitive implications within the energy market.

Consistency with the international trading system: The domestic program should be consistent with any international prescriptions concerning the coverage of sources and gases.

2. Who gets permits

In an emissions trading system, permits can be given to existing emitters on the basis of baseline/historical emissions or other formulae, given to others (who can then sell them to emitters), auctioned (where revenues accrue to the government), or some combination of the foregoing.

Permit allocation formulae could take into account the market impacts of the mitigation

*PREDECISIONAL DRAFT
DO NOT QUOTE OR CITE*

7/9/97

program. "Set asides" could be made available to those industries, workers or consumers who experience a disproportionate share of the costs of control. A set aside could also be auctioned, with the revenues used for the same purpose.

Allocation Based On Baseline/Historical Emissions

Under this approach, sources are given a number of permits based on baseline fuel production or emissions and an allocation formula. Various allocation formulae can be devised, weighted to greater or lesser degrees in favor of sources with high historical emissions. Emissions allowances are endowed to facility operators for no cost and would be transferable. Those receiving permits thus obtain assets of potentially large value from the government at no cost.

Such an allocation mechanism could create entry barriers. In a capital intensive sector like primary fuel production, where entry barriers are already substantial, new entrants would be further disadvantaged if they had to purchase permits – especially if existing holders hoard permits. This problem could be mitigated by setting aside a number of permits for purchase by new entrants or by auctioning a portion on the open market. Such an auction would also facilitate price discovery in a new market. Although new firms will still be disadvantaged (as they will pay for all of their permits), they would be able to enter the market. The pool of permits would need to be withheld from existing sources to ensure compliance with budgeted national emission levels.

It may be desirable to design an allocation that would allow credit for early emissions reductions (those achieved prior to the start of the program, but after the baseline period) -- in particular for those that reduced greenhouse gas emissions as part of government sponsored voluntary programs. If credits for past actions are given, the total credits allowed would need to be deducted from the overall permit allocation for the first budget period in order not to exceed the national greenhouse gas emissions target. In addition, mechanisms may be necessary to ensure verification of these credits.

Auction

Alternatively, an auction could be used to allocate permits. The price permit holders pay would depend in part on the auction design or method used. Auctions ensure that permits cannot be hoarded (a concern often expressed regarding allocation approaches), are available for trade, and would serve to inform potential traders about current price levels. Auctions would put new entrants on the same footing as existing emitters. As discussed earlier, since an auction could produce substantial revenues, some decision would have to be made with respect to what to do with the proceeds.

Given that an auction could produce substantial revenues, some decision would have to

*PREDECISIONAL DRAFT
DO NOT QUOTE OR CITE*

7/9/97

be made with respect to what to do with the proceeds. They could be used exclusively to reduce taxes or the deficit. Alternatively, as discussed below, a portion of the revenues could be used to address inequities in the distribution of control costs and to fund R&D for less carbon intensive energy sources and end uses.

Supporting Technological Progress and Transitions

The allocation of permits, or the use of auction revenue, could be used to promote more rapid diffusion of existing climate-friendly technologies and accelerated R&D for new technologies. For example, a reserve of allowances could be set aside to encourage more rapid development and diffusion of low greenhouse gas emitting technologies. Manufacturers of energy consuming equipment could compete for the set aside based on the degree to which they produce equipment more efficient than the average in use or than required by current mandatory efficiency standards. Such a program could yield reductions in energy demand and help buffer the consumer from the impact of higher energy costs. Similar results could be achieved with the use of auction revenue.

Permit allocation formulae could take into account the market impacts of the mitigation program. Set asides could be made available to those industries, workers, or consumers who experience a disproportionate share of the costs of control. Auction revenues could also be used for this purpose.

C. Non-carbon greenhouse gases

Greenhouse gases include not only carbon dioxide, but also methane, nitrous oxides, hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), perfluorocarbons (PFCs), and sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆). Gases differ both in their atmospheric lifetimes and in their ability to trap heat in the atmosphere. In addition, carbon is not only emitted through the combustion of fossil fuels, but is also absorbed by "sinks" such as trees and soils.

Gases other than carbon dioxide account for 15% of U.S. greenhouse gas emissions. Most important is methane, which accounts for 11% of national emissions. Since gases differ in their lifetimes and in their potential to trap heat in the atmosphere, an "exchange rate" or trading ratio must be established to convert all gases into common units for inclusion in a trading program. Such ratios have been developed by climate researchers and could be applied here. These should be consistent with the rules established in the international protocol.

Several, although not all, of the many sources of non-carbon greenhouse gases could likely be included in a trading system. For example, methane emitting coal mines, landfills, livestock manure management facilities and potentially natural gas distribution systems may meet the criteria described above for inclusion in a greenhouse gas trading program. These sources account for 7% of national greenhouse gas emissions. Similarly, emissions of some sources of

*PREDECISIONAL DRAFT
DO NOT QUOTE OR CITE*

7/9/97

other gases could potentially be included (e.g., magnesium production).

Forests in the United States currently remove an amount of carbon equal to 8 of national emissions from the atmosphere. Their inclusion in the trading program would enhance the system's flexibility, although there could be considerable methodological challenges with such an approach.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Michael Cohen (CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUL-1997 19:43:14.00

SUBJECT: Cities and tests

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Christa Robinson (CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Thought I ought to give you an update on the testing event. First, the good news. The following cities are 98%+ nailed down, though I need an additional phone call to each to make sure:

1. Broward County FL
2. Cincinnati
3. El Paso (which may bring a consortium of 2 other school districts)
4. Houston
5. Long Beach
6. Los Angeles
7. Philadelphia
8. San Francisco
9. San Antonio (if we want to bring them in for this, since they already announced at the Family Conference)

The following are in play:

1. New York City -- which I think will work out
2. Memphis
3. New Orleans

In addition, I have a call into the Mayor's office in Milwaukee as per the meeting with Bruce and Rahm; am waiting to here from Mickey and Lynn Cutler if they have gotten to Daley, and will reach out to Seattle and Omaha tomorrow (the Omaha superintendent is quoted in a recent Education Week story saying he thinks Omaha should participate in the tests)

With respect to states, Alaska is already signed up, and we are waiting for Colorado, Vermont, Missouri and Delaware to make good on promises to get us something within the next week. I am trying to find one Republican state as well, focusing on Wisconsin and New Jersey as the first targets. Riley and Thompson are scheduled to be at the same conference tomorrow, and Riley will try to work Thompson.

I am still trying to work out the event logistics. I had a good conversation with Jennifer Palmari this morning, and I think she will help make sure there is some time for the leaders of these states and cities to meet briefly with the President (for photo's) before he speaks to the elementary school principals. However, I need to nail this down some more before I can figure out how many from each place to invite. And, dealing with governors and mayors will make this a bit more complicated.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jason S. Goldberg (CN=Jason S. Goldberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUL-1997 16:14:41.00

SUBJECT: Re: FINAL THURSDAY REMINDER: DAILY REPORTS TO POTUS

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Thanks.

Thank you for your message regarding tobacco products.

Tobacco use is a common but deadly habit. Each year, more than 400,000 Americans die prematurely from tobacco-related illness. Most started smoking as children.

We must work hard to reduce the number of children who become addicted to tobacco products and have their lives cut short as a result. Even though it is illegal in every state for youth to smoke, some 3,000 boys and girls take up smoking every day. Among smokers ages 12 to 17, 70 percent already regret their decision to smoke and two-thirds say they want to quit.

That is why last August I announced a tough new FDA regulation limiting minors' access to tobacco and curbing the promotion and advertising that enhance the appeal of tobacco products to young people. In February, I was proud to announce that the first parts of the regulation went into effect. From now on, no one under age 18 may buy tobacco products, and retailers must check photo IDs for anyone under the age of 27 who wishes to purchase tobacco products.

The tobacco industry has opposed the FDA rule in the courts. In an historic step forward for public health, a Federal District Court held in April that the FDA can regulate nicotine-containing tobacco products, and that the provisions I announced in February should remain in effect.

I was disappointed, however, that the court overturned provisions in the FDA rule designed to prevent tobacco companies from marketing their products to children. These provisions would, among other things, ban outdoor billboards within 1,000 feet of schools or playgrounds and eliminate the use of images and colors that appeal to children in most advertising. Government lawyers have appealed this part of the court's ruling. Overall, however, the court's decision was a landmark and historic one for the nation's public health and for our children.

The recent settlement agreement proposed by the state attorneys general, the tobacco industry, and others provides another potential opportunity to take a major step forward for the public health. Congress would have to approve the agreement before it goes into effect. I have asked my domestic policy advisor, Bruce Reed, and the Secretary of Health and Human Services, Donna Shalala, to carefully review the agreement with Administration staff over the next several weeks, to listen to public health experts, and to help me ensure that any agreement that goes forward to Congress is in the best interest of the public health, especially the health of our children.

Thank you for your comments...

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Diana Fortuna (CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUL-1997 14:37:07.00

SUBJECT: Tony Campolo

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

The Rev. Tony Campolo just gave me this update: He is working on an event being organized by "Youth for Christ" on Friday evening, July 18 (same day as our Girls Nation event). It will include 25-30,000 young people, mostly high school age, gathering on the Mall as part of a 4-day conference. Each young person is pledging to serve 3 hours a week for the next 6 months in their communities. He is sending me some paper on it. They already had an event in LA in late June with 11,000 young people there. It includes Christian denominations ranging from Roman Catholic to Pentacostal. He noted that the Summit didn't recognize that how many volunteers come from the religious community. He says he is talking to the Washington Post about the event. He invited Powell, but he's out of the country. Apparently he has had some communication with the President's office, because he said he was told the President would just be returning from his trip and would try to come, but wasn't sure if he can.

Not sure how relevant all this is because it's so explicitly religious, but thought you should know given the nearness of our event and his relationship with the President. Shall I put this in the weekly report? I should also probably forward to Steve Silverman and Ann Lewis.

Also, he mentioned that Harris may have resolved the issue of whether his Mission Year service program for college students can get AmeriCorps scholarships even though they do some "religious evangelism." He said he had given up when Harris called him and said they could provide reduced scholarships to reflect just the time not connected to evangelism. They are working out the details, but it would be roughly \$2,500 instead of \$4,725. He thinks it could happen for the next year of Mission Year. I've asked Gomperts to give us a heads-up before any announcement by anyone.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Melissa N. Benton (CN=Melissa N. Benton/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUL-1997 10:15:41.00

SUBJECT: Reminder--LRM MNB3 Comments Due

TO: Lisa M. Kountoupes (CN=Lisa M. Kountoupes/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Raymond P. Kogut (CN=Raymond P. Kogut/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jose Cerda III (CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert W. Schroeder (CN=Robert W. Schroeder/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David J. Haun (CN=David J. Haun/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce D. Long (CN=Bruce D. Long/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alice E. Shuffield (CN=Alice E. Shuffield/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sara M. Latham (CN=Sara M. Latham/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul J. Weinstein Jr. (CN=Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Harry G. Meyers (CN=Harry G. Meyers/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kenneth L. Schwartz (CN=Kenneth L. Schwartz/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert G. Damus (CN=Robert G. Damus/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

This is a reminder that comments on the above-referenced LRM (the Justice report on the Postal Reform Act of 1997), are due.

Please provide any comments by COB today. If we do not hear from you, we will assume you have no comments. If you have any questions, I can be reached at 395-7887. Thanks!

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cathy R. Mays (CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUL-1997 18:15:24.00

SUBJECT: Pre-Residence mtg.

TO: Donald H. Gips (CN=Donald H. Gips/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

The Pre-Residence mtg. with Mark Penn has been scheduled for 1:30 this Monday, July 14th.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Michael Waldman (CN=Michael Waldman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUL-1997 16:59:43.00

SUBJECT: re: public health groups meeting with VP

TO: Barbara D. Woolley (CN=Barbara D. Woolley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Donald H. Gips (CN=Donald H. Gips/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Joan Claybrook, the President of Public Citizen (my former employer, as you know), called me to ask for her or David Vladeck, the Director of Public Citizen's Litigation Group, to be included in the meeting with the VP tomorrow of health groups. (I wasn't aware of such a meeting, but she said it was in the paper.) They were involved in the FDA litigation (they were intervenors on behalf of the public health groups in the North Carolina case), and have been involved in a number of other ways.

Unless this is a smaller meeting (i.e., of people who only love the deal, etc.), it would make sense to include Claybrook or Vladeck. THANKS.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Diana Fortuna (CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUL-1997 14:41:17.00

SUBJECT: ADA Scheduling Proposal

TO: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Christa Robinson (CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Bill White mentioned to me that he was going to forward this to you. The draft scheduling request includes Bruce as one of the 3 people recommending this event, so if we don't want Bruce's name on it we should tell him to take it off the request.

Now that this youth with disabilities conference event idea has been dinged by scheduling, this is Bill White's best idea for how to do a quick ADA event. I think it would be nice, but not sure I can speak for Bruce here, so I'm bucking it to you.

----- Forwarded by Diana Fortuna/OPD/EOP on 07/10/97
02:38 PM -----

William H. White Jr.
07/10/97 02:36:10 PM
Record Type: Record

To: Maria Echaveste/WHO/EOP, Elena Kagan/OPD/EOP, Lucia A. Wyman/WHO/EOP,
Diana Fortuna/OPD/EOP
cc: Ann F. Lewis/WHO/EOP, Mark Hunker/WHO/EOP, Marjorie Tarmey/WHO/EOP,
Peter A. Selfridge/WHO/EOP
Subject: ADA Scheduling Proposal

Would appreciate your comments/clearance ASAP on the attached proposal for the President to celebrate the 7th Anniversary of the ADA by signing the FDR Memorial (wheelchair) legislation on July 24 or 25.

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS_EXT:[ATTACH.D46]MAIL41853509Y.116 to ASCII,
The following is a HEX DUMP:

SCHEDULE PROPOSAL

July 10, 1997

_____ACCEPT _____REGRET _____PENDING

TO: Stephanie Street
Assistant to the President
and Director of Scheduling

FROM: Maria Echaveste
Assistant to the President and
Director of Public Liaison

John Hilley
Assistant to the President for
Legislative Affairs

Bruce Reed
Assistant to the President for
Domestic Policy

REQUEST: To do an FDR Memorial bill signing ceremony.

PURPOSE: To commemorate the seventh anniversary of the
Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA).

BACKGROUND: In May, the President called upon the Congress to
pass legislation to incorporate President Roosevelt's
disability in the FDR Memorial. The Senate voted
unanimously and the House voted 363-39 on July 8
to pass legislation to incorporate in the Memorial a
depiction of FDR in his wheelchair.

A ceremony to sign the legislation, which is viewed
by the disability community as a triumph in their
effort to remove the stigma of disability, would also
give the President an opportunity to celebrate the
seventh anniversary of the Americans with
Disabilities Act (ADA), which he does each year.

The anniversary of the ADA, a civil rights law that guarantees equal rights and equal opportunity for the millions of Americans with disabilities, is the most important day of the year for people with disabilities.

Inviting Members of Congress, Roosevelt's grandchildren, and leaders of the disability communities to a FDR Memorial bill signing ceremony on July 24 or 25 would be an appropriate way to commemorate the ADA's seventh anniversary.

PAST PARTICIPATION: The President participated in the FDR Dedication Ceremony in May, 1997 and has participated in ADA ceremonies in the past.

TIME: Anytime on July 24-25, 1997

BRIEFING TIME: 5 minutes.

DURATION: 25 minutes.

LOCATION: The Rose Garden or East Room.

PARTICIPANTS: Members of Congress
Roosevelt grandchildren
Leaders of the disability community.

OUTLINE OF EVENT: A youth with a disability introduces the President for remarks. The President signs the legislation.

REMARKS REQUIRED: Yes.

PRESS/MEDIA: Open press.

RECOMMENDED BY:

Maria Echaveste

Bill White

CONTACT:

Bill White x67032

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUL-1997 22:24:52.00

SUBJECT: EO on smoking in federal workplace

TO: Elizabeth Drye (CN=Elizabeth Drye/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jerold R. Mande (CN=Jerold R. Mande/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Where are we on that? Should we pick a day on the Pres's schedule?

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Christa Robinson (CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUL-1997 13:08:29.00

SUBJECT: COS Scheduling Mtg.

TO: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer L. Klein (CN=Jennifer L. Klein/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Paul J. Weinstein Jr. (CN=Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Despite Erskine's initial interest in killing it altogether, the Pediatric Labelling Event is pending for an event in August or a Radio Address in the next couple weeks. August is very tough, so I think we should push hard for a Radio Address.

The Immunization Event is confirmed for the 23rd with Shalala, Slaughter, victim, and POTUS. Ann has said the three announcements are 1) HHS Report & supporting the Senate Legislation, 2) the Child Health Regulation, and 3) Date of the Child Care Conference. If the announcement around the child health regulation changes (like to an e.o.) we should let Ann know.

Also, we are close to getting a date for the Eli welfare event in St. Louis. They want Political Affairs to organize some events while POTUS is in St. Louis, so scheduling is working with Craig on a good date.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Karin Kullman (CN=Karin Kullman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUL-1997 17:10:28.00

SUBJECT: Result of Today's Video Meeting

TO: Robert B. Johnson (CN=Robert B. Johnson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kristen E. Panerali (CN=Kristen E. Panerali/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Melissa Green (CN=Melissa Green/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Terri J. Tingen (CN=Terri J. Tingen/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christa Robinson (CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cathy R. Mays (CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: HILLIARD_B (HILLIARD_B @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (NSC)

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Beth A. Viola (CN=Beth A. Viola/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [CEQ])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Phillip Caplan (CN=Phillip Caplan/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Patricia A. McHugh (CN=Patricia A. McHugh/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria Echaveste (CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Darby E. Stott (CN=Darby E. Stott/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lorraine L. Wytkind (CN=Lorraine L. Wytkind/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher J. Lavery (CN=Christopher J. Lavery/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael D. Malone (CN=Michael D. Malone/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann M. Cattalini (CN=Ann M. Cattalini/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elisa Millsap (CN=Elisa Millsap/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cecily C. Williams (CN=Cecily C. Williams/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Douglas J. Band (CN=Douglas J. Band/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jordan Tamagni (CN=Jordan Tamagni/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Waldman (CN=Michael Waldman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kevin S. Moran (CN=Kevin S. Moran/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anne E. McGuire (CN=Anne E. McGuire/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David S. Beaubaire (CN=David S. Beaubaire/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Angus S. King (CN=Angus S. King/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sara M. Latham (CN=Sara M. Latham/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rebecca A. Cameron (CN=Rebecca A. Cameron/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura A. Graham (CN=Laura A. Graham/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: PALMIERI_J (PALMIERI_J @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (WHO)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mark Hunker (CN=Mark Hunker/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Timothy L. Newell (CN=Timothy L. Newell/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kathleen M. Wallman (CN=Kathleen M. Wallman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Russell W. Horwitz (CN=Russell W. Horwitz/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michelle Crisci (CN=Michelle Crisci/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: MILLISON_C (MILLISON_C @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (NSC)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Shelley N. Fidler (CN=Shelley N. Fidler/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [CEQ])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kimberly H Tilley (CN=Kimberly H Tilley/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ana M. Duque (CN=Ana M. Duque/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marjorie Tarmey (CN=Marjorie Tarmey/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lori L. Anderson (CN=Lori L. Anderson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura D. Schwartz (CN=Laura D. Schwartz/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia M. Jasso-Rotunno (CN=Cynthia M. Jasso-Rotunno/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Craig T. Smith (CN=Craig T. Smith/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Teresa Wildman (CN=Teresa Wildman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Raymond E. Donnelly III (CN=Raymond E. Donnelly III/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Suzanne Dale (CN=Suzanne Dale/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dawn M. Chirwa (CN=Dawn M. Chirwa/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Edward F. Hughes (CN=Edward F. Hughes/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura K. Capps (CN=Laura K. Capps/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis (CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ronda H. Jackson (CN=Ronda H. Jackson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kris M Balderston (CN=Kris M Balderston/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andrew J. Mayock (CN=Andrew J. Mayock/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: June G. Turner (CN=June G. Turner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jason S. Goldberg (CN=Jason S. Goldberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nancy V. Hernreich (CN=Nancy V. Hernreich/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary Morrison (CN=Mary Morrison/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stephanie S. Streett (CN=Stephanie S. Streett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

It was decided today in the video request meeting that the July 15 video session will be postponed until August 5 or 6.

Only 4 of the current video proposals will be missed by this change of date:

1. Message for the Fifth International Conference on Adult Education
2. Message for "Heroes & Heroines" Luncheon
3. Message for National Organization of Black Law Enforcement Executives 21st Annual Training Conference and Exhibition
4. Greeting for the Gospel Tribute at the Hollywood Bowl

NOTE TO THE STAFF CONTACTS: A Presidential Message should be sent to all of these groups.

The next video session will be on August 5 or 6, and the President will tape all videos for both August and September. PLEASE SUBMIT ALL AUGUST/SEPTEMBER VIDEO REQUESTS BY JULY 25.

The next video meeting, during which all videos for both August and September will be reviewed, will be on TUESDAY, JULY 29.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: William H. White Jr. (CN=William H. White Jr./OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUL-1997 14:36:40.00

SUBJECT: ADA Scheduling Proposal

TO: Diana Fortuna (CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lucia A. Wyman (CN=Lucia A. Wyman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria Echaveste (CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Peter A. Selfridge (CN=Peter A. Selfridge/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Mark Hunker (CN=Mark Hunker/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Marjorie Tarmey (CN=Marjorie Tarmey/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Ann F. Lewis (CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Would appreciate your comments/clearance ASAP on the attached proposal for the President to celebrate the 7th Anniversary of the ADA by signing the FDR Memorial (wheelchair) legislation on July 24 or 25.

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS_EXT:[ATTACH.D80]MAIL49243509I.116 to ASCII, The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF57504339070000010A020100000002050000007F2200000002000000B72AB7803AA24388049D2
18E6AD5B39E6A496334BDD5782116588B6B323CA993A6D0C7CFF8E03B2F0E1AC86BD0045686A2A
0886A56BDF2C8AAE8418B655D52CA0BC17D08A968580D45414245019EEA581F2E11709529CB1ED
89AC7ACB7B049B50B6A74571A8FD8388691ECE2B8AAEC61D2C0D034A5024EB460058BB3B6BA191
2BB7E560DB615E6889655C507130C2CA21A3BA98360079577BE3D0F784D932BD882D927452FD32
44574850E5229B5DB8982322BA1D737053DA8096AE2A55D66D6E52E4650F627F2C7114295AD066
29258354E8B91C36851C481D88A0C7ADEB6C07B1CE8D25C19967BA2BC29BD9BE0BAEB0630B01AC
751A69777796302E3E08AAAEB642F60DD8E3861ED2D6567F0618EDA2D700C8899AC59ED90B7141
2B3DFF70445FDA5282A018ADA03EF2F2B59E73AA7CCF35460D6092B4FC1CDD512A8A0A393F51F3
828A2A783882157A7DA82B2551C8512F0E8A5078D1B37198717E02CB5058C6EE8DC87226F7C729
B56C4B5F57C654EBD27A6E96E2C687E86C480B7336A98836083840F941AF2212E7EA6D37008104
5F16C8B89A586A989F9A7ADB69AA064FCF61439313DA636E106CE8E9CD4DDFCB85651090967479
41CB49B806ECE6C84C42E83BA71C43A5B5A80AD0E86A9A05B2FE59929D46D68A58F3964D382E49
42DD426F3402002B00000000000000000000055010000003A00000005A040000092501000000
0600000094040000005502000000420000009A04000008020100000010000000DC040000086E01
00000058010000EC0400000B300200000040000000440600000055010000003C00000084060000
08770100000040000000C00600000834010000001400000000700000805010000000800000014

SCHEDULE PROPOSAL

July 10, 1997

_____ ACCEPT

_____ REGRET

_____ PENDING

TO:

Stephanie Street
Assistant to the President
and Director of Scheduling

FROM:

Maria Echaveste
Assistant to the President and
Director of Public Liaison

John Hilley
Assistant to the President for
Legislative Affairs

Bruce Reed
Assistant to the President for
Domestic Policy

REQUEST:

To do an FDR Memorial bill signing ceremony.

PURPOSE:

To commemorate the seventh anniversary of the
Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA).

BACKGROUND:

In May, the President called upon the Congress to
pass legislation to incorporate President Roosevelt's
disability in the FDR Memorial. The Senate voted
unanimously and the House voted 363-39 on July 8
to pass legislation to incorporate in the Memorial a
depiction of FDR in his wheelchair.

A ceremony to sign the legislation, which is viewed
by the disability community as a triumph in their
effort to remove the stigma of disability, would also
give the President an opportunity to celebrate the
seventh anniversary of the Americans with
Disabilities Act (ADA), which he does each year.

The anniversary of the ADA, a civil rights law that guarantees equal rights and equal opportunity for the millions of Americans with disabilities, is the most important day of the year for people with disabilities.

Inviting Members of Congress, Roosevelt's grandchildren, and leaders of the disability communities to a FDR Memorial bill signing ceremony on July 24 or 25 would be an appropriate way to commemorate the ADA's seventh anniversary.

PAST PARTICIPATION:

The President participated in the FDR Dedication Ceremony in May, 1997 and has participated in ADA ceremonies in the past.

TIME:

Anytime on July 24-25, 1997

BRIEFING TIME:

5 minutes.

DURATION:

25 minutes.

LOCATION:

The Rose Garden or East Room.

PARTICIPANTS:

Members of Congress
Roosevelt grandchildren
Leaders of the disability community.

OUTLINE OF EVENT:

A youth with a disability introduces the President for remarks. The President signs the legislation.

REMARKS REQUIRED:

Yes.

PRESS/MEDIA:

Open press.

RECOMMENDED BY:

Maria Echaveste

Bill White

CONTACT:

Bill White x67032