

NLWJC - KAGAN

EMAILS RECEIVED

ARMS - BOX 017 - FOLDER -005

[09/30/1997]

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Melissa N. Benton (CN=Melissa N. Benton/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-SEP-1997 13:05:54.00

SUBJECT: Urgent--REVISED DOJ letter on Teamsters election funding

TO: Robert G. Damus (CN=Robert G. Damus/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet R. Forsgren (CN=Janet R. Forsgren/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles E. Kieffer (CN=Charles E. Kieffer/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen Tramontano (CN=Karen Tramontano/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Larry R. Matlack (CN=Larry R. Matlack/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: James C. Murr (CN=James C. Murr/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Matthew Vaeth (CN=Matthew Vaeth/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William P. Marshall (CN=William P. Marshall/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Debra J. Bond (CN=Debra J. Bond/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry White (CN=Barry White/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David J. Haun (CN=David J. Haun/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Please be advised that we are now circulating a second, revised DOJ letter on Teamsters election funding. Please disregard the earlier LRM on this subject. The LRM number for the new document is MNB53.

As before, if you do not receive the document within an hour of this e-mail message, please call me at 5-7887.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-SEP-1997 20:10:31.00

SUBJECT: other news for tomorrow

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Melissa says there will be a Jason DeParle p. 1 story on work rqmts, 2 parent families, etc. Cynthia's talking pts from Monday should still apply.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-SEP-1997 12:25:59.00

SUBJECT: Re: Has Labor-HHS SAP come to the West Wing yet?

TO: Diana Fortuna (CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul J. Weinstein Jr. (CN=Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

----- Forwarded by Cynthia A. Rice/OPD/EOP on 09/30/97
12:27 PM -----

Alice E. Shuffield

09/30/97 12:18:09 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Cynthia A. Rice/OPD/EOP

cc:

Subject: Re: Has Labor-HHS SAP come to the West Wing yet?

Still not yet! I think tomorrow morning will be the big moment. I'll get it to you 1st thing.

Alice

Comments about Start of the State Children's Health Insurance Program

Before starting, I want to point out that today marks the first effective day of the new Children's Health Insurance Program -- a \$24 billion initiative that could not have been fully funded without the tobacco tax we enacted into law in August. Not only does the tobacco provision help fund the children's health initiative, but the increase in the price of cigarettes will help prevent children from smoking in the first place. I thank many of the Congressional leaders here today who have made this much-needed investment possible.

Starting today, the Federal and state governments will begin a partnership to help provide affordable coverage for millions of uninsured children. On Monday, we learned from the Census Bureau that the problem is even greater than we thought. Nearly 11 million American children now have no insurance, an 800,000 increase.

I am gratified to learn that many Governors and state legislators, as well as consumer advocates, business leaders, health care providers and insurers are working hard to establish new health insurance programs for children. But much more needs to be done. Today, I call for a redoubling of our efforts to ensure that we never receive another report that the numbers of uninsured children are increasing. And just as the new children's health initiative improves the health of our nation's children, the next step we must take to is to pass a national tobacco agreement that discourages our children from smoking.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jerold R. Mande (CN=Jerold R. Mande/OU=OSTP/O=EOP [OSTP])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-SEP-1997 16:35:55.00

SUBJECT: Food safety.

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Mary L. Smith (CN=Mary L. Smith/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Thomas L. Freedman (CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Here is what I've learned about the lines of attack trade foes are likely to try:

1. Requiring foreign countries have "equivalent" systems rather than the same systems. One way we could bypass this debate is by insisting upon the same safety standard.
2. The guidance we are directing the Secretaries to issue will be voluntary when it should be mandatory.
3. We should be calling for country-of-origin labeling.
4. Fast-track has language that specifically forbids inclusion of food-safety and environment standards for the next 8 years.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-SEP-1997 11:56:32.00

SUBJECT: New FLSA rumour

TO: Emil E. Parker (CN=Emil E. Parker/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Emily Bromberg (CN=Emily Bromberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet Murguia (CN=Janet Murguia/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Diana Fortuna (CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I hear Shaw has decided there is no compromise proposal that will bring both Talent and Democrats on board, and is sending the states to the Senate to look for a way to move the issue there.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cathy R. Mays (CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-SEP-1997 12:59:39.00

SUBJECT: Meeting with Mark Penn

TO: Thomas L. Freedman (CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Bruce's next meeting with Mark Penn is set for Monday, October 6, at 1:30 p.m.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-SEP-1997 17:47:21.00

SUBJECT: States not expected to meet child support computer systems deadline

TO: Emily Bromberg (CN=Emily Bromberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Diana Fortuna (CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I am getting emailed to me the new estimate of which states won't have state-wide computer systems in place by tomorrow. The news is that 17 states plus D.C. are expected to fail. I'll let you know as soon as I do.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jose Cerda III (CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-SEP-1997 10:46:18.00

SUBJECT: Secret Service/Collective Bargaining

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Elena:

I bumped into Karen from Erskine's staff last night and asked her about the status of our favorite labor issue. She mention that she and Erskine had just received a memo from Treasury on the topic and would be reviewing it. It may be time to check-in w/the COS's office and see what there next move is.

Jose'

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-SEP-1997 10:44:30.00

SUBJECT: Re: A few questions--since I'm missing your meetings

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

From Bruce fyi--

----- Forwarded by Cynthia A. Rice/OPD/EOP on 09/30/97
10:46 AM -----

Bruce N. Reed

09/30/97 09:03:10 AM

Record Type: Record

To: Cynthia A. Rice/OPD/EOP

cc:

bcc:

Subject: Re: A few questions--since I'm missing your meetings

1. Remind me to put the fear of God into Labor this afternoon about helping mayors
2. I agree with your recommendation on data -- we shouldn't recommend a wimpy option. Down the road, should we recommend something serious?
3. I'm fine with whatever you want to ask of Arizona. Just make sure Podesta knows what we're doing.
4. I look forward to a TANF reg briefing. You're no doubt very sick of it already.
5. Any chance of having anything ready on child support by Saturday? It doesn't matter -- I'm just curious. Rahm and I are pushing a fatherhood radio address to coincide with the Promise Keepers march, and we're looking for anything to bolster our case.

Thanks -- sorry to ruin your evening last night.

Cynthia A. Rice

09/29/97 05:41:09 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Bruce N. Reed/OPD/EOP, Elena Kagan/OPD/EOP

cc: Diana Fortuna/OPD/EOP

Subject: A few questions--since I'm missing your meetings

Sorry I missed this morning's meeting -- after all the Q&A excitement, I had to go with Nicole to a noon meeting of the House Women's Caucus re: the child care conference (we're trying to divide up all these meetings). Also, I will miss our staff meeting tomorrow because I somehow let Betsey

Myers talk me into appearing on a panel in the Indian Treaty Room at the same time.

So let me ask you a few things via email:

QUESTIONS

Department of Labor Guidance: What else should I be doing to ensure that the DOL guidance gives as much discretion as possible to the PICs? I just put in a call to Ray Uhalde to ask him

1) what is their lawyers' latest interpretation of how much authority the governor has to set state-wide policy; and 2) what is the revised timetable/ process given their decision on Friday to embark on a NGA-NaCo-NCSL-Mayors joint consultation process. Emily believes that we will go a long way toward making our friends happy if we make sure that PICs have the freedom to choose from among the eligible activities and individuals in the statute. I will do my best to put the fear of God in them -- but Bruce can you reiterate this with Kitty or make the point at tomorrow's strategy meeting? Can anyone else think of anything else we can do?

HHS Report on Data Processing: This sounds dull, but I think it's a test of whether this Administration is serious about time limits. HHS has fallen into the trap. Here are the key points:

1) Currently, though states are forbidden from providing TANF to families for more than

5 years, there is no system in place to track individuals who move from state to state;

2) The law requires HHS to produce a report on what would be required to create a computer system to track how long people have been on welfare;

3) HHS has produced a lengthy technical report with 5 options ranging from one that is weak and cheap to one that is thorough and expensive.

4) The HHS report then recommends we start with the weak and cheap option and eventually

move toward the more expensive and complicated one (which will never happen).

I think we should avoid making a recommendation among the options. There's no way we win on this one -- either we don't believe in time limits or we want to impose more costs and technical burdens on the states. I think the report should be re-crafted to lay out alternatives without choosing one. What do you think?

REMINDERS

Arizona Privatization Proposal: The Dept. of Ag needs to respond by Friday to Arizona's privatization proposal -- either say yes, say no, or ask for more information. We had a DPC-USDA-OMB meeting on this ten days ago, and as a result USDA has drafted what with a few edits I think will be a pretty good "ask for more information letter." Diana is sheparding this, but she's out today and my role is to remind you that we need your feedback (Elena attended our meeting, so she got the detailed info, Bruce -- let me know if you want to see it directly). I think if we decide what we want and then close the loop with Gotbaum and perhaps Podesta that should work.

UPDATES

Child Support Enforcement: There are many obstacles to a good child support event, but I'm trying to cut my way through the jungle. I won't bore you with the details, but I hope to have more positive news soon.

TANF Regs: Diana and I owe you a memo or briefing on HHS' proposed TANF regs. We've had some conversations with OMB, and they want to give HHS a series of questions and comments by week's end, so we're trying to draft some for OMB by COB Wednesday. I'll ask Cathy and Laura if we can have some time on your schedule on Wednesday.

Federal Hiring: The possible VP federal hiring event is currently slated for Oct. 8th, but is still quite tentative. The theme is "on the road to 10,000 by 2000" (apparently a Klain quip); the VP would announce the federal hires to date (over 1,400 since April) in Room 450 with an audience of some welfare hires and their agency mentors.

**TOPIC: MEETING WITH
CONGRESSIONAL
LEADERS ON TOBACCO**

DATE: OCTOBER 1, 1997

**LOCATION: DIPLOMATIC RECEPTION
ROOM**

TIME: 11:15 a.m.

**FROM: BRUCE REED
JOHN HILLEY**

I. PURPOSE

The purpose of this meeting is to (1) set a bipartisan tone with Congress and (2) emphasize that tobacco legislation should be a top priority for the coming year.

II. BACKGROUND

The Administration is in a strong position to push tobacco legislation through Congress over the next year. Your announcement earlier this month united the public health community for the first time and attracted bipartisan support from the nation's attorneys general and many members of Congress.

Nevertheless, any tobacco bill will have to make its way through a tangle of overlapping committee jurisdictions and agendas. At least six committees in the Senate and four in the House can lay claim to some piece of this legislation, and dozens of members in both parties have a longstanding interest in tobacco from the standpoint of reducing teen smoking or protecting tobacco farmers. Republicans in particular recognize the political potency of the President's plan, and are very nervous about being trapped into the appearance of defending the industry.

The first step must therefore be to reassure the leadership and key committee chairs like Rep. Bliley that the Administration is indeed serious about passing a bipartisan bill, and not just looking to sandbag them in an election year. The meeting with Congressional leaders, committee chairs, and ranking members is therefore an important opportunity to set the right tone from the outset: you are eager to work together to pass bipartisan legislation as early as possible next year, and we are ready to work in a collaborative fashion to get it done.

Our next step should be for representatives of the Administration (including the Vice-President, Erskine Bowles, Secretary Shalala, Secretary Glickman, John Hilley, and Bruce Reed), to meet with the key players in both parties over the remaining weeks of this session, to offer the Administration's expertise and to try to marshal bipartisan coalitions in both houses to prepare bills for introduction in January. It is too early to tell which members are in the best position to lead that effort. (Bliley is the key House Republican, Waxman and Fazio are important House Democrats; Hatch, Lugar, McCain, Jeffords, Mack, Campbell and several Republican moderates may be helpful in the Senate; Conrad, Lautenberg, Kennedy, and others are strong Senate Democrats). Ideally, we can lay the groundwork for a center-out coalition in the Senate, along the lines of Hatch-Kennedy or the Breaux-Chafee group, and work closely with Bliley, Waxman, and others in the House.

Senate committees have already held several hearings on tobacco over the past three months. Secretary Shalala testified before Jeffords' committee last week. The House may hold hearings in November-December after adjournment.

The goal should be to forge a bipartisan vehicle you can endorse in January, and to make that the central focus of next year's State of the Union.

Opponents of the legislation will make three types of arguments to slow it down. First, Nickles and others have criticized the Administration for failing to submit full legislative language to Congress and blamed the Administration for any delay in passage of a bill. Second, some will use the complexity of the issue as an excuse to go slow, eventually permitting perhaps only restricted portions of a bill to advance following lengthy hearings. Finally, opponents of legislation may focus on flashpoints such as farmers, restrictions on class action suits, or legal fees.

The Administration has a number of arguments to deploy in response to these expected attacks. The strongest argument is to rise above partisan bickering, and stress the urgency of solutions that will protect America's children. In addition, you have a strong history of leadership on smoking issues and can draw upon public support in future disagreements with Congress.

III. PARTICIPANTS

To be Added by Leg. Affairs.

IV. PRESS PLAN

The meeting is closed to the press. The members of Congress will have an opportunity to meet the press outside the White House following the event.

VI. REMARKS

Attached.

Automated Records Management System
Hex-Dump Conversion

VI. REMARKS

* Thank you for coming to talk about this vital issue for America's future. (Acknowledge Gingrich, Gephardt, Nickles, Daschle, Bliley) This is an issue that touches on many aspects of American life -- our health, our economy, our laws, and our children -- and I want to acknowledge the hard work of so many of you in this room on this issue. In particular, I want to thank the Vice-President for his very important contribution. You are all here because of the critical role you will play as we work to pass legislation together. It is complicated, but I'm confident we can put politics aside and get this done.

* You and I are here because this is a crucial issue for America's future. Three thousand kids start smoking everyday, it shortens the lives of one thousand of them. As I've said before, this is not about money, and it shouldn't be about politics. It should be about trying to reduce this problem of teen smoking.

* Two weeks ago, I outlined the most significant elements I thought should be contained in a bill. First, that we should reduce teen smoking with tougher penalties, that we shouldn't hamstring FDA authority to regulate tobacco, that there should be some changes in the way tobacco is marketed, that we need to make more progress on other health goals like cancer research and second hand smoke. Finally, and this is very important to me, we need to protect farmers and their communities. This bill can't devastate those communities. They must be protected.

* This should not be a partisan issue, I'm going to put it at the top of my agenda for the next year, and I hope you will join me in making bipartisan legislation to reduce teen smoking a top priority for all of us in 1998

* This bill will go through many committees and it affects many people and many states. It is not going to happen by fiat, but after you hold hearings, and work through the difficulties of legislation. And I'm sure we will have our differences. But this is too important for us not to get done. And it can't wait until we all agree on every word. We should work together and try to move ahead.

* I've said in some detail what I believe is the most important here. I've asked several members of my administration -- the Vice-President my Chief of Staff Erskine Bowles, Secretary Shalala, Secretary Glickman, Bruce Reed-- to work side by side with you to get this done.

* But I asked you here not just to tell you what I think about the issue but to hear what you think-- how we can work together, how we can get this done. So I'll ask Vice-President Gore to give some of his thoughts, and I'd like to hear what directions you are going to take on tobacco legislation, where the problems might be and how we can work together.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Q. Why don't you submit legislation on tobacco?

A. We're happy to offer Congress our expertise and any other help in translating our principles into bipartisan legislation. I don't think there is any doubt where I stand. The five principles I set out in my plan are very clear. This is the first time I can remember Congress asking us to write the bill -- I recall a somewhat different reaction when we sent up legislation on health care. Members should introduce legislation, hold hearings and move for votes and I will play as constructive a role as possible.

Q. When should a bill pass?

A. It is at the top of my agenda for the coming year. There is nothing more important than saving thousands of children from taking up smoking. Three thousand are starting everyday.

Q. Will negotiations include industry?

A. The industry is a piece of this, but there isn't a need to negotiate with them.

Q. What is the role of the Vice-President?

A. The Vice-President will take a leadership role in the legislation and in building support for our plan.

Q. Will you support limits on liability?

A. I've said repeatedly that a cap on liability wouldn't be a deal breaker for us. But if we're going to do that, we should make sure we're passing a strong comprehensive plan to cut teen smoking.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Mary L. Smith (CN=Mary L. Smith/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-SEP-1997 12:22:44.00

SUBJECT: CNN reports on tobacco farmers

TO: Jerold R. Mande (CN=Jerold R. Mande/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas L. Freedman (CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Today CNN is doing a series of reports on tobacco farmers about how the crop is harvested and asking farmers how the settlement would affect them. CNN just completed one segment at 12:20pm and said they would come back in about one hour (1:20pm) for the next segment. Mary

MEMORANDUM FOR THE SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE

SUBJECT: INITIATIVE TO IMPROVE THE SAFETY OF BOTH
DOMESTIC AND IMPORTED FRUITS AND VEGETABLES

During my Administration, we have taken significant steps to strengthen our entire food safety system, including expanded research, education, and surveillance activities. We also have put into place enhanced safety standards relating particularly to meat, poultry, and seafood products. These measures will greatly improve the safety of the nation's food supply.

We need to build on these efforts, and today I ask you to do so by focusing on the safety of fruits and vegetables. Although the produce Americans eat is very safe, we can and must do even better, including with respect to produce imported from foreign countries. Last year, 38 percent of the fruit and 12 percent of the vegetables consumed by Americans came from overseas. We must ensure that these fruits and vegetables are as safe as those produced in the United States, especially as we upgrade our own domestic standards.

I will propose legislation that will help accomplish this task. This legislation will authorize the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to halt imports of fruits, vegetables, and other food from any foreign country whose food safety systems and standards are not on par with those of the United States. This authority, which is similar to authority the USDA currently has to halt the importation of meat and poultry, will enable the FDA to prevent the importation of potentially unsafe foreign food products. In addition, I will provide the necessary funds in my Fiscal Year 1999 budget to enable the FDA to expand dramatically its international inspection force. With this greatly increased ability to inspect food safety conditions abroad and at our ports of entry, the FDA will be able to determine when to halt the importation of fruits and vegetables from foreign countries.

At the same time, I direct you to take administrative actions that will better ensure the safety of fruits and vegetables coming from abroad, while continuing to improve the safety of domestic produce. You should accelerate any food safety research that is necessary to support these actions.

First, I direct the Secretary of Health and Human Services and the Secretary of Agriculture to report within 90 days with a plan on improving the use of existing and projected resources to monitor agricultural and manufacturing practices abroad, assist foreign countries to improve those practices where necessary, and prevent the importation of unsafe produce, including the detection of unsafe food at the dock or border. I urge you to consider ways to target inspection and testing resources toward those new areas where problems are most likely to occur.

Second, I direct the Secretary of Health and Human Services, in partnership with the

Secretary of Agriculture and in close cooperation with the agricultural community, to issue within one year guidance on good agricultural practices and good manufacturing practices for fruits and vegetables. This guidance should take into account differences in both crops and regions and should address potential food safety problems throughout the food distribution and marketing system. By providing the first-ever specific safety standards for fruits and vegetables, the guidance will improve the agricultural and manufacturing practices for all those seeking to sell produce in the U.S. market. To ensure that this guidance has the widest possible effect, I also direct the development of coordinated outreach and educational activities.

These steps, taken together and in coordination with the legislation I will send to Congress, will improve the safety of fruits and vegetables for all Americans. I will also direct the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, the Secretary of Labor, and any other necessary agencies to provide you with assistance in achieving this goal.

Initiative to Improve Safety of Both Domestic and Imported Fruits and Vegetables

Today President Clinton announced an initiative to improve the safety of fruits and vegetables, including those imported from foreign countries. This initiative builds on the President's prior actions on food safety -- including a new early warning system to detect outbreaks of foodborne illness as quickly as possible; and enhanced safety standards for meat, poultry, and seafood products.

Recognizing the need to ensure that imported fruits and vegetables are as safe as those produced in the United States, the President today proposed legislation that would authorize the FDA to halt imports of fruits, vegetables, and other food products produced in countries that do not meet U.S. food safety standards. Last year, 38 percent of the fruit and 12 percent of the vegetables consumed by Americans came from overseas. The President also directed the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) to work cooperatively to improve the safety of fruits and vegetables by developing guidelines for producers and industry to follow. This initiative has four parts.

Enhanced FDA Oversight for Imported Foods. The President proposed legislation that will authorize the FDA to halt imports of fruits, vegetables, and other food products from any foreign country with food safety systems and standards that are not on par with those of the United States, or when a foreign facility has refused to allow an FDA inspection. This authority, which is similar to authority the USDA currently has to halt the importation of meat and poultry, will enable the FDA to prevent the importation of potentially unsafe food products. In coordination with international agencies and organizations, the FDA, USDA, and the CDC will develop information to help target import inspection and testing resources. The Administration also supports efforts on the part of the FDA and USDA to encourage exporting countries to develop their own good practices to address potential food safety issues in those countries. The FDA and USDA will engage in technical assistance, training, and cooperative research efforts with exporting countries to improve the safety of imported fruits and vegetables.

Development of Good Agricultural and Good Manufacturing Practices Guidance. FDA and USDA will work cooperatively with the fruit and vegetable industry and others to develop good agricultural and good manufacturing practices guidance within one year. This guidance will take into account differences in both crops and regions and will address potential food safety problems throughout the food production and distribution system such as sanitation and water quality. The guidance will improve the agricultural and manufacturing practices of all those seeking to sell produce in the U.S. market, both foreign and domestic. To ensure that this guidance has the widest possible effect, the FDA and USDA will develop a series of coordinated outreach and educational activities.

Expanded Federal Resources for Testing and Inspecting Fruits and Vegetables. The President called for an increase in FDA funding in FY 99 to allow the FDA to expand dramatically its international inspection force. These funds will allow the hiring of new FDA inspectors to inspect food controls in the exported country and to inspect food imported into this

country and will support research to improve our knowledge of disease-causing pathogens in food, including, but not limited to, developing methods to detect and prevent contamination.

Improve the use of existing and projected resources for food safety. The President directed the Secretary of Health and Human Services and the Secretary of Agriculture to report within 90 days with a plan to improve the use of existing and projected resources to monitor agricultural and manufacturing practices abroad, assist foreign countries to improve these practices, and prevent the importation of unsafe produce, including detecting unsafe food at the dock and border. The President urged consideration of ways to target inspection and testing resources toward those areas where problems are most likely to occur.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Sean P. Maloney (CN=Sean P. Maloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-SEP-1997 15:55:17.00

SUBJECT: Tobacco Meeting Briefing

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Could you let us know who'll be preparing the tobacco briefing for tonight's book? I'd like to encourage whomever it is (Christa?) to meet the deadline tonight (i.e., 6:00-6:30), since the boss has the evening free to look at this stuff. Thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: William R. Kincaid (CN=William R. Kincaid/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-SEP-1997 11:45:10.00

SUBJECT: Cloture motion on DC vouchers failed 58-41

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: MICHAEL (Pager) #COHEN (MICHAEL (Pager) #COHEN [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Cohen (CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Robert M. Shireman (CN=Robert M. Shireman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: William H. White Jr. (CN=William H. White Jr./OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Neera Tanden (CN=Neera Tanden/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jonathan H. Schnur (CN=Jonathan H. Schnur/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

They didn't read through the list again at the end, but apparently:

Dems voting for: Lieberman, Landrieu, Breaux, Moynihan

GOP voting against: Chafee

Not sure who didn't vote.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-SEP-1997 12:27:32.00

SUBJECT: Draft Agenda for Today's Weekly Welfare Meeting

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Diana Fortuna (CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Bruce has promised to come and put the fear of God into DOL regarding the mayors...Should be fun!

Draft Agenda
Weekly Welfare Strategy Meeting
9/30/97

- I. FLSA Update
- II. Welfare to Work Grants Update
- III. Child Support
 - A. Gathering info for possible radio address or event
 - B. HHS-OMB-DPC-NEC Computer Systems Working Group meeting again tomorrow
- IV. TANF Regs:
 - A. OMB/DPC plan "passback" of questions/comments at end of week
 - B. When will HHS propose other regs -- i.e, high performance, child poverty
- V. Federal Hiring: Possible VP event on October 8th announcing federal hiring to date
- VI. Expanding this group to include one person each from:
Transportation, HUD, Small Business Admin,
SSA, and USDA

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: June G. Turner (CN=June G. Turner/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-SEP-1997 17:23:54.00

SUBJECT: Mtg w/Sylvia Mathews

TO: Robert N. Weiner (CN=Robert N. Weiner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Richard L. Hayes (CN=Richard L. Hayes/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dawn M. Chirwa (CN=Dawn M. Chirwa/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet Murguia (CN=Janet Murguia/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tracey E. Thornton (CN=Tracey E. Thornton/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sally Katzen (CN=Sally Katzen/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria Echaveste (CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter G. Jacoby (CN=Peter G. Jacoby/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul R. Carey (CN=Paul R. Carey/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter Rundlet (CN=Peter Rundlet/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Phyllis Kaiser-Dark (CN=Phyllis Kaiser-Dark/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Wendy A. Taylor (CN=Wendy A. Taylor/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Marjorie Tarmey (CN=Marjorie Tarmey/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jessica L. Gibson (CN=Jessica L. Gibson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Sylvia would like to have a meeting on Thursday (October 2) at 2:30 pm to discuss legislative minority issues surrounding affirmative action. I've reserved Room 472 OEOP. Please confirm your attendance.

Thanks.

Attendees:

Sylvia Mathews
Peter Rundlet
Tracey Thornton
Paul Carey
Janet Murguia
Peter Jacoby
Dawn Chirwa
Elena Kagan
Richard Hayes
Maria Echaveste
Rob Weiner
Sally Katzen

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Essence P. Washington (CN=Essence P. Washington/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-SEP-1997 12:14:56.00

SUBJECT: Weekly Crime Meeting

TO: Christine A. Stanek (CN=Christine A. Stanek/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: BLANCHARD_C (BLANCHARD_C @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (DON)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Carolyn G. Wofford (CN=Carolyn G. Wofford/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisa J. Levin (CN=Lisa J. Levin/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: TANDEN_N (TANDEN_N @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (WHO)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: NELSON_J (NELSON_J @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (CPC)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: ATKIN_T (ATKIN_T @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anne S. Walker (CN=Anne S. Walker/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anne E. McGuire (CN=Anne E. McGuire/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter G. Jacoby (CN=Peter G. Jacoby/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Teresa L. Collins (CN=Teresa L. Collins/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan Prince (CN=Jonathan Prince/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cathy R. Mays (CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tracey E. Thornton (CN=Tracey E. Thornton/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rahm I. Emanuel (CN=Rahm I. Emanuel/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary L. Smith (CN=Mary L. Smith/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: SANDER_T (SANDER_T @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (VPO)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: HYLAND_K (HYLAND_K @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (CPC)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas D. Janenda (CN=Thomas D. Janenda/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Emily Bromberg (CN=Emily Bromberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: VERVILLE_E (VERVILLE_E @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (NSC)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: BROWN_J (BROWN_J @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (DON)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen A. Popp (CN=Karen A. Popp/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michelle Crisci (CN=Michelle Crisci/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David J. Haun (CN=David J. Haun/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: James Boden (CN=James Boden/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas L. Freedman (CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christa Robinson (CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jose Cerda III (CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
MEMORANDUM TO DISTRIBUTION LIST

FROM: Bruce Reed
Assistant to the President for Domestic Policy

SUBJECT: October 1 1997 CRIME MEETING

On Wednesday, October 1st at 10:00 a.m. in Room 211 of the Old Executive Office Building, we will hold the weekly crime meeting.

Thank you.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-SEP-1997 13:46:21.00

SUBJECT: VP NEXTEA Event Tomorrow

TO: Emily Bromberg (CN=Emily Bromberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Emil E. Parker (CN=Emil E. Parker/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Diana Fortuna (CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

FYI -- The Vice President is having a NEXTEA event at 2:30 tomorrow in Room 450. The event will celebrate our success to date and underscore the importance of certain Administration priorities as the bill heads to the Senate floor. The VP will praise the Senate Banking Committee's wisdom in including \$100 million a year for welfare to work transportation. Appearing with the VP will be Governor Bob Miller of Nevada, Prince Georges County Executive Wayne Curry, and a possible mayor and Member of Congress TBA.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-SEP-1997 09:09:49.00

SUBJECT: Draft revised Murray amendment--you said you want to give to Jack Lew

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Diana Fortuna (CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Cathy R. Mays (CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Here's the Murray information you requested:

1. A comparison of current law, the Murray amendment, and the draft proposal;
2. An outline of the Murray amendment and draft proposal;
3. A copy of the Murray amendment as passed by the Senate with proposed changes;

Note: In addition to the issues we discussed, the draft proposal also precludes any state, even one with an existing waiver, from providing TANF assistance for more than five years:

Question: given this would you prefer to be silent in the Labor-HHS SAP? Alternatively, OMB has drafted language saying 'This was considered in the budget. We ended up with a GAO study and we'd rather leave it there.'

This quote may explain the "Social Security number" comment:

"Mr. President, this body is about to go to a vote that is not one that is unknown to this Senate.... that merely allows a woman who is a victim of domestic violence a temporary waiver from the work requirements if she needs to get medical care or she needs to change her Social Security number so that she is not pursued by her abuser, or to put her children in a safe place...." Senator Murray, September 10, 1997

And a closing quote:

"It has been passed by the Senate three times. Not one Senator has spoken against it. Not one Senator has voted against it. But every time it goes behind closed doors in a conference committee it is pulled out. That is what happens to abused women constantly. In the light of day, everyone is there to say, 'I support you,' but when they go behind closed doors they are abused...." Senator Murray, September 10, 1997

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Domestic Violence Provisions in Current Law, Senate Labor-HHS Bill, and Draft Proposal

	Current Law	Senate Labor-HHS (Murray Amdmnt)	Draft Proposal
States can opt to exempt individuals with a history of domestic violence from work requirements and time limits.	Yes	Yes	Yes
Exemptions from work requirements and time limits must be temporary.	No	No (only "findings" say so)	Yes
The number of welfare recipients a state must put to work is lowered by the number of people granted a family violence waiver. Example: under current law, a state with 100,000 adult welfare recipients has to put 30,000 of them to work.	No -- no matter how many family violence waivers are granted, 30,000 welfare recipients must go to work.	Yes -- a state that grants 10,000 family violence waivers need only put 20,000 welfare recipients to work.	No, not directly -- a state can lower its 30,000 work requirement only for those granted temporary waivers who are provided services to help prepare them for work.
States must provide services to those victims of domestic violence who they don't put to work but want to count as working.	No	No	Yes
The number of people a state can exempt from the five year time limit is increased by the number of people granted a family violence waiver. Example: under current law, a state with 100,000 adult welfare recipients could exempt 20,000 from the five year time limit.	No -- no matter how many family violence waivers are granted, only 20,000 can be exempted from the five year time limit.	Yes -- a state that grants 10,000 family violence waivers can exempt 30,000 welfare recipients from the five year time limit.	No, not directly -- a state can increase its time limit exemptions above 20,000 only for those granted temporary waivers who are provided services to help prepare them for work.
States with prior waivers can have time limits longer than five years.	Yes	Yes	No

Outline of Murray Amendment

(a) Findings -- The intent of Congress in enacting welfare reform was to allow states to grant individual, temporary waivers to victims of domestic violence without regard to other limits in the legislation.

(b) Clarifications --

(1)(C) States shall not be limited in the number of waivers they grant.

(1)(D) Individuals receiving waivers shall not be including for the purposes of determining a state's work participation rate, its time limit exemptions, or penalties for failure to meet minimum participation rates, failure to comply with child support requirements, or failure to comply with five year time limit on assistance.

(2) Provision shall be made effective as if enacted in the welfare reform law.

(c) Federal Parent Locator -- adds additional safeguards that information from the Federal Parent Locator used to locate deadbeat parents will not be disclosed if it could put at risk the health, safety, or liberty of a parent or child.

Outline of Proposed Amendment

(a) Findings -- The intent of Congress in enacting welfare reform was to allow states to grant individual, temporary waivers to victims of domestic violence within the context of the goals of work and personal responsibility.

(b) Clarifications --

(1)(C) States shall not be limited in the number of waivers they grant.

(1)(D) A state will be eligible for a reasonable good cause exception to the penalties for failing to meet the work rates or for exempting more than 20 percent of recipients from the time limit if its failure is attributable to its provision of good cause domestic violence waivers.

(1)(D) A good cause domestic violence waiver is one that is temporary, based on an individualized evaluation of need; and includes services designed to ensure safety, promote independence, and prepare for employment.

(2) Provision shall be made effective as if enacted in the welfare reform law.

(c) Federal Parent Locator -- adds additional safeguards that information from the Federal Parent Locator used to locate deadbeat parents will not be disclosed if it could put at risk the health, safety, or liberty of a parent or child.

(d) Precludes any state, even one with an existing waiver, from providing TANF assistance for more than five years.

Proposed Amendment

(Additions to Murray Amendment are underlined; deletions are ~~stricken~~)

SEC. . PROTECTING VICTIMS OF FAMILY VIOLENCE.

(a) Findings.--Congress finds that--

(1) the intent of Congress in amending part A of title IV of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 601 et seq.) in section 103(a) of the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 (Public Law 104-193; 110 Stat 2112) was to allow States to take into account the effects of the epidemic of domestic violence in establishing their welfare programs, by giving States the flexibility to grant individual, temporary waivers for good cause to victims of domestic violence who meet the criteria set forth in section 402(a)(7)(B) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C.602(a)(7)(B));

(2) the allowance of waivers under such sections was not intended to ~~be limited by other, separate, and independent provisions of part A of title IV of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 601 et seq.)~~ undermine the law's goals of work and personal responsibility;

(3) under section 402(a)(7)(A)(iii) of such Act (42 U.S.C. 602(a)(7)(A)(iii)), requirements under the temporary assistance for needy families program under part A of title IV of such Act may, for good cause, be waived for so long as necessary; and

(4) good cause waivers granted pursuant to section 402(a)(7)(A)(iii) of such Act (42 U.S.C. 602(a)(7)(A)(iii)) are intended to be temporary and directed only at particular program requirements when needed on an individual case-by-case basis, and are intended to facilitate the ability of victims of domestic violence to move forward and meet program requirements when safe and feasible without interference by domestic violence.

(b) Clarification of Waiver Provisions.--

(1) In general.--Section 402(a)(7) of the Social Security Act (41 U.S.C. 602(a)(7)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

(C) No numerical limits.--In implementing this paragraph, a State shall not be subject to any numerical limitation in the granting of good cause waivers under subparagraph (A)(iii).

(D) Waivered individuals not included for purposes of certain other provisions of this part. -- A state will be eligible for a reasonable good cause exception as defined in Section 409(b) if it demonstrates that its failure to meet the requirements of Section 407(a) or 408(a)(7) are attributable to its provision of good cause domestic violence waivers. --~~Any individual to whom a good cause waiver of compliance with this Act has been granted in accordance with subparagraph (A)(iii) shall not be included for purposes of determining a State's compliance with the participation rate requirements set forth in section 407, for purposes of applying the~~

~~limitation described in section 408(a)(7)(C)(ii), or for purposes of determining whether to impose a penalty under paragraph (3), (5), or (9) of section 409(a).'~~

(E) Good cause domestic violence waiver defined. -- A good cause domestic violence waiver means a waiver granted in accordance with subparagraph (A)(iii) that is (i) temporary; (ii) based on an individualized evaluation of need; and (iii) includes services designed to ensure safety, promote independence, and prepare for employment.

(2) Effective date.--The amendment made by paragraph (1) takes effect as if it has been included in the enactment of section 103(a) of the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 (Public Law 104-193; 110 Stat. 2112).

(c) Federal Parent Locator Service.--

(1) In general.--Section 453 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 653), as amended by section 5534 of the Balanced Budget Act of 1997 (Public Law 105-33; 111 Stat. 627), is amended--

(A) in subsection (b)(2)--

(i) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by inserting 'or that the health, safety, or liberty of a parent or child would be unreasonably put at risk by the disclosure of such information,' before 'provided that';

(ii) in subparagraph (A), by inserting ', that the health, safety, or liberty of a parent or child would be unreasonably put at risk by the disclosure of such information,' before 'and that information'; and

(iii) in subparagraph (B)(i), by striking 'be harmful to the parent or the child' and inserting 'place the health, safety, or liberty of a parent or child unreasonably at risk'; and.

(B) in subsection (c)(2), by inserting ', or to serve as the initiating court in an action to seek and order,' before 'against a noncustodial'.

(2) State plan.--Section 454(26) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 654), as amended by section 5552 of the Balanced Budget Act of 1997 (Public Law 105-33; 111 Stat. 635), is amended--

(A) in subparagraph (C), by striking 'result in physical or emotional harm to the party or the child' and inserting 'place the health, safety, or liberty of a parent or child unreasonably at risk';

(B) in subparagraph (D), by striking 'of domestic violence or child abuse against a party or the child and that the disclosure of such information could be harmful to the party or the child' and inserting 'that the health, safety, or liberty of a parent or child would be unreasonably put at risk by the disclosure of such information'; and

(C) in subparagraph (E), by striking `of domestic violence' and all that follows through the semicolon and inserting `that the health, safety, or liberty of a parent or child would be unreasonably put at risk by the disclosure of such information pursuant to section 453(b)(2), the court shall determine whether disclosure to any other person or persons of information received from the Secretary could place the health, safety, or liberty of a parent or child unreasonably at risk (if the court determines that disclosure to any other person could be harmful, the court and its agents shall not make any such disclosure);'.

(3) Effective date.--The amendments made by this section shall take effect 1 day after the effective date described in section 5557(a) of the Balanced Budget Act of 1997 (Public Law 105-33).

(d) Waivers. --

(1) In general, Section 415(a)(1) is amended:

(A) in subparagraph (A) by inserting "or (C)" before ", if any waiver"

(B) following subparagraph (B) inserting "(C) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a state shall be subject to the provision of section 408(a)(7)."

**TOPIC: MEETING WITH
CONGRESSIONAL
LEADERS ON TOBACCO**

DATE: OCTOBER 1, 1997

**LOCATION: DIPLOMATIC RECEPTION
ROOM**

TIME: 11:15 a.m.

**FROM: BRUCE REED
JOHN HILLEY**

I. PURPOSE

The purpose of this meeting is to (1) set a bipartisan tone with Congress and (2) emphasize that tobacco legislation should be a top priority for the coming year.

II. BACKGROUND

The Administration is in a strong position to push tobacco legislation through Congress over the next year. Your announcement earlier this month united the public health community for the first time and attracted bipartisan support from the nation's attorneys general and many members of Congress. The plan you described is simple and clear, and should command substantial public support-- and our main adversary, the tobacco industry, should ultimately accede to our demands.

Nevertheless, any tobacco bill will have to make its way through a tangle of overlapping committee jurisdictions and agendas. At least six committees in the Senate and four in the House can lay claim to some piece of this legislation, and dozens of members in both parties have a longstanding interest in tobacco from the standpoint of reducing teen smoking or protecting tobacco farmers. Republicans in particular recognize the political potency of the President's plan, and are very nervous about being trapped into the appearance of defending the industry.

The first step must therefore be to reassure the leadership and key committee chairs like Rep. Bliley that the Administration is indeed serious about passing a bipartisan bill, and not just looking to sandbag them in an election year. The meeting with Congressional leaders, committee chairs, and ranking members is therefore an important opportunity to set the right tone from the outset: you are eager to work together to pass bipartisan legislation as early as possible next year, and we are ready to work in a collaborative fashion to get it done.

Our next step should be for representatives of the Administration, (including Secretary Shalala, Secretary Glickman, John Hilley, and Bruce Reed), to meet with the key players in both

parties over the remaining weeks of this session, to offer the Administration's expertise and to try to marshal bipartisan coalitions in both houses to prepare bills for introduction in January. It is too early to tell which members are in the best position to lead that effort. (Bliley is the key House Republican, Waxman and Fazio are important House Democrats; Hatch, Lugar, McCain, Jeffords, Mack, Campbell and several Republican moderates may be helpful in the Senate; Conrad, Lautenberg, Kennedy, and others are strong Senate Democrats). Ideally, we can lay the ground work for a center-out coalition in the Senate, along the lines of Hatch-Kennedy or the Breaux-Chafee group, and work closely with Bliley, Waxman, and others in the House.

Senate committees have already held several hearings on tobacco over the past three months. Secretary Shalala testified before Jeffords' committee last week. The House may hold hearings in November-December after adjournment.

The goal should be to forge a bipartisan vehicle you can endorse in January, and to make that the central focus of next years' State of the Union.

Opponents of the legislation will make three types of arguments to slow it down. First, Nickles and others have criticized the Administration for failing to submit full legislative language to Congress and blamed the Administration for any delay in passage of a bill. Second, some will use the complexity of the issue as an excuse to go slow, eventually permitting perhaps only restricted portions of a bill to advance following lengthy hearings. Finally, opponents of legislation may focus on flashpoints such as farmers, restrictions on class action suits, or legal fees.

The Administration has a number of arguments to deploy in response to these expected attacks. The strongest argument is to raise above partisan bickering, and stress the urgency of solutions that will protect America's children. In addition, you have a strong history of leadership on smoking issues and can draw upon public support in future disagreements with Congress.

III. PARTICIPANTS

To be Added.

IV. PRESS PLAN

The meeting is closed to the press. The members of Congress will have an opportunity to meet the press outside the White House following the event.

VI. REMARKS

WELCOME

* I want to welcome all of you to the White House, and thank you for coming to talk about this vital issue for America's future. (Acknowledge 4 senior members of Senate, and House by name.) This is an issue that touches on many aspects of American life-- our health, our economy, our laws, and our children-- and I want to acknowledge the hard work of so many of you in this room on this issue. In particular, I want to thank the Vice-president for his very

important contribution work. You are all here because of the critical role you will play as we work to pass legislation together. It is complicated, but I'm confident we can put politics aside and get this done.

PURPOSE

* You and I are here because this is a crucial issue for America's future. Three thousand kids start smoking everyday, it shortens the lives of one thousand of them. As I've said before, this is not about money, and it shouldn't be about politics. It should be about trying to reduce this problem of youth smoking.

* Two weeks ago, I outlined the most significant elements I thought should be contained in a bill. First, that we should reduce teen smoking with tougher penalties, that we shouldn't hamstring FDA authority to regulate tobacco, that there should be some changes in the way tobacco is marketed, that we need to make more progress on other health goals like second hand smoke. Finally, and this is very important to me, we need to protect farmers and their communities. This bill can't devastate those communities. They must be protected.

TIMING GOALS

* This is very important to me, I'm going to put it at the top of my agenda for the next year, and I hope you will join me.

WORKING TOGETHER

* This bill will go through many committees and it affects many people and many states. It is not going to happen by fiat, but after you hold hearings, and work through the difficulties of legislation. And I'm sure we will have our differences. But this is too important for us not to get done. And it can't wait until we all agree on every word. We should work together and try to move ahead.

* I've put out my principles. What I think should be a new law. I'm pledging the Administration to provide whatever technical support, drafting support, we can, as you attempt to do your job and put this into legislative language.

* But I asked you here not just to tell you what I think about the issue but to hear what you think-- how we can work together, how we can get this done. So I'll ask Vice-President Gore to give some of his thoughts, and I'd like to hear what directions you are going to take on tobacco legislation, where the problems might be and how we can work together.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Q. Why don't you submit legislation on tobacco?

A. I'm happy to be of whatever technical help I can be in helping Congress draft legislation. I don't think there is any doubt where I stand. The five principles I set out are very clear. There is an argument both ways on whether submitting legislation would make this a more efficient bi-partisan enterprise or not. It didn't seem to work on health care. I am not about playing the blame game here. I think members should introduce legislation, hold hearings and move for votes and I will play as constructive a role as possible.

Q. When should a bill pass?

A. It is at the top of my agenda for this year. There is nothing more important than saving thousands of children from taking up smoking. Three thousand are starting everyday.

Q. Will negotiations include industry?

A. The industry is a piece of this, but there isn't a need to negotiate with them.

Q. What is the role of the VPOTUS?

A. VPOTUS will take a leadership role in the legislation.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Victoria Radd (CN=Victoria Radd/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-SEP-1997 11:48:19.00

SUBJECT: Q&A on NAFTA & UR Impact on Imported Food -Forwarded

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

FYI from USTR.

V.

----- Forwarded by Victoria Radd/WHO/EOP on 09/30/97
11:44 AM -----

jziegler @ ustr.gov
09/30/97 10:52:00 AM

Record Type: Record

To: victoria radd

cc:

Subject: Q&A on NAFTA & UR Impact on Imported Food -Forwarded

The attached paper is interesting in underscoring two points: The increase in U.S. food imports is not related to recent trade agreements (UR or Nafta) but is driven by consumer demand; and while that growth has been substantial since 1993 (53%) this is a systems and resources issue as to inspections (exactly how the Administration is handling it), not a trade issue.

Is Sec. Glickman responding to the Gerth article? If so information in the attached should be included in the response letter. I'll be on the Hill for the next couple of hours, please reach Chris in my office if you need immediate information. Please advise. jz

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

From: rcummings@ustr.gov@INET@EOPMRX@LNGTWY

*To: jziegler@ustr.gov@INET@EOPMRX@LNGTWY

*cc: aerickson@ustr.gov@INET@EOPMRX@LNGTWY

*cc: eennis@ustr.gov@INET@EOPMRX@LNGTWY

*cc: jmurphy@ustr.gov@INET@EOPMRX@LNGTWY

*cc: N@ustr.gov@INET@EOPMRX@LNGTWY

*cc: pscher@ustr.gov@INET@EOPMRX@LNGTWY

*cc: sdarragh@ustr.gov@INET@EOPMRX@LNGTWY

Date: 9/29/97 6:27pm

Subject: Q&A on NAFTA & UR Impact on Imported Food

Jay:

Trade Liberalization and U.S. Imports of Fresh Fruits and Vegetables

Question: What impact have the NAFTA and Uruguay Round had on U.S. imports of fresh fruits and vegetables?

Answer: The NAFTA and Uruguay Round have had a negligible impact on U.S. imports of fresh fruits and vegetables. Prior to these agreements, U.S. import tariffs on fresh fruits and vegetables were relatively low. The United States market was open to imports, and the tariff reductions called for in NAFTA and the Uruguay Round were very small. Other factors such as currency fluctuations, weather conditions, and consumer demand in the United States account for nearly all of the rise in fresh fruit and vegetable imports.

For those few commodities where U.S. protection was high--imports of cantaloupe from Mexico were 25% prior to the NAFTA for example--long phase in periods for tariff reductions and the fact that we are just four years into NAFTA have meant that today's import protection remains relatively high.

In Secretary Glickman's report to the Congress on the *Effects of the NAFTA on Agriculture and the Rural Economy*, USDA concluded that:

- Although U.S. agricultural imports from the NAFTA partners grew from \$7.3 billion in 1993 to \$10.5 billion in 1996, U.S. agricultural imports from Mexico and Canada were only about 3 to 5 percent higher, respectively, in 1996 than they would have been without the agreement.
- For U.S. imports of winter vegetables, only a small increase in imports can be attributed directly to the NAFTA tariff changes. NAFTA tariff reductions on U.S. imports of winter tomatoes from Mexico have been very small, less than 1.5 percent on an ad valorem basis. The peso crisis in Mexico, technological shifts in tomato production, and unusual weather in Florida were far more important than the NAFTA tariff reductions.
- Because Mexico's tariffs on agricultural imports from the United States were much higher than U.S. tariffs on agricultural imports from Mexico, Mexican tariffs have been reduced substantially more than U.S. tariffs.

Background

U.S. total imports of fresh fruits and vegetables (excluding bananas) were \$2.95 billion in 1996, up 53 percent from the \$1.93 billion imported in 1993 (the year before NAFTA began).

U.S. imports of fresh fruits and vegetables (excluding bananas from Mexico) were \$1.61 billion in 1996, up 52 percent from the \$1.06 billion imported in 1993.

Comments about Start of the State Children's Health Insurance Program

Before starting, I want to point out that today marks the first effective day of the new Children's Health Insurance Program -- a \$24 billion initiative that could not have been fully funded without the tobacco tax we enacted into law in August. Not only does the tobacco provision help fund the children's health initiative, but the increase in the price of cigarettes will help prevent children from smoking in the first place. I thank many of the Congressional leaders here today who have made this much-needed investment possible.

Starting today, the Federal and state governments will begin a partnership to help provide affordable coverage for millions of uninsured children. On Monday, we learned from the Census Bureau that the problem is even greater than we thought. Nearly 11 million American children now have no insurance, an 800,000 increase.

I am gratified to learn that many Governors and state legislators, as well as consumer advocates, business leaders, health care providers and insurers are working hard to establish new health insurance programs for children. But much more needs to be done. Today, I call for a redoubling of our efforts to ensure that we never receive another report that the numbers of uninsured children are increasing. And just as the new children's health initiative improves the health of our nation's children, the next step we must take to is to pass a national tobacco agreement that discourages our children from smoking.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Thomas L. Freedman (CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-SEP-1997 19:48:58.00

SUBJECT: Tobacco Event tomorrow

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

1. Tate says he's sending us and Hilley his changes in 10 minutes.
2. Any reason not to send Toby the whole draft memo or just the talking points?

----- Forwarded by Thomas L. Freedman/OPD/EOP on
09/30/97 07:45 PM -----

Toby Donenfeld @ OVP
09/30/97 07:54:07 PM
Record Type: Record

To: Thomas L. Freedman/OPD/EOP
cc:
Subject: Tobacco Event tomorrow

Bruce and Don just spoke about the President and VP's remarks at tomorrow's tobacco event. Can you please e-mail me your latest draft or call me at 6-6265 to discuss. Thanks very much.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Melissa N. Benton (CN=Melissa N. Benton/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-SEP-1997 12:35:10.00

SUBJECT: DOJ letter on Teamster election funding -- URGENT

TO: Janet R. Forsgren (CN=Janet R. Forsgren/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles E. Kieffer (CN=Charles E. Kieffer/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen Tramontano (CN=Karen Tramontano/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Larry R. Matlack (CN=Larry R. Matlack/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: James C. Murr (CN=James C. Murr/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Matthew Vaeth (CN=Matthew Vaeth/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William P. Marshall (CN=William P. Marshall/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Debra J. Bond (CN=Debra J. Bond/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry White (CN=Barry White/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David J. Haun (CN=David J. Haun/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: James C. Murr (CN=James C. Murr/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Janet R. Forsgren (CN=Janet R. Forsgren/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

We are in the process of circulating a draft Department of Justice letter on the provisions in the House and Senate Labor-HHS appropriations bills that would prevent expenditure of Federal funds to supervise the rerun of the Teamsters election (LRM MNB 52).

The deadline for comments is 5 p.m. today. We appreciate your immediate attention to this matter.

If you do not receive the document within an hour of this e-mail message, please call me at 5-7887.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Melissa N. Benton (CN=Melissa N. Benton/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-SEP-1997 11:48:18.00

SUBJECT: Reminder--Comments on LRM MNB51 are due

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ellen S. Seidman (CN=Ellen S. Seidman/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alice E. Shuffield (CN=Alice E. Shuffield/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Justin D. Sullivan (CN=Justin D. Sullivan/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joseph F. Lackey Jr. (CN=Joseph F. Lackey Jr./OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

This is a reminder that your comments on the above-referenced LRM, the draft Labor letter on H.R. 2226, to amend Title I of ERISA to clarify treatment of investment managers, are due.

Please either call (5-7887) or e-mail no later than 2 p.m. today. If we do not hear from you, we will assume that you have no comments and will clear the testimony.

Please call if you have any questions. Thanks!

Child Care Planning Meetings

Democratic Leadership, 9/24/97:

1. Craig Hanna-- Gephardt
2. Andie King-- Gephardt
3. Deb Colton-- Ways and Means
4. Broderick Johnson-- Ed and Work
5. Gail Weiss-- Ed and Work
6. Sheryl Smith-- Appropriations
7. Rob Cogorno-- Gephardt

New Democrats, 9/25/97:

1. Geri O'Sullivan-- McCarthy
2. Phil Singer-- Rothman
3. Jennifer Martella-- LaFalce
4. Abby Hochberg-- Lampson
5. Shannon Ashpole-- A. Smith
6. Stephen Stefanski-- John
7. Amy Bressler-- Pascrell
8. Stacy Stordahl-- Kind
9. Beth Beausang-- Allen
10. Anstice Brand-- Moran
11. Gina Mahony-- Roemer
12. Mark Harkins-- Price
13. Lisa Quigley-- Dooley

Congressional Hispanic Caucus, 9/26/97:

1. Aylin Kuyumeu-- Sanchez
2. Selena Walsh-- Pastor
3. Henry Contreras-- Roybal-Allard
4. Joshua Tenorio-- Underwood
5. Marilyn Ramos-- Velazquez
6. Ricardo Martinez-Pumerejo-- Romero-Barcelo
7. Jeff Mendelsohn-- Rodriguez
8. Pedro A. Pla-Davila-- Becerra

Women's Caucus, 9/29/97

1. Erin Prangley-- Norton
2. Ken Kero-- Tauscher
3. Pearle Jones-- Barton
4. Kraabel, Patsy-- Carson

Automated Records Management System
Hex-Dump Conversion

5. Geri O'Sullivan-- McCarthy
6. Maura Dalton-- Eshoo
7. Cindy Pellegrini-- Slaughter
8. Terri McCullough-- Pelosi
9. Aimee Feinberg-- Woolsey
10. Becky Solay-- DeLauro
11. Marilyn Ramos-- Velazquez
12. Robin Swanson-- McCarthy
13. Kathryn Pearson--Morella
14. Sandy Zimmer-- Morella
15. Liz Powell-- Millender-McDonald
16. Joan Clarle .

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jessica L. Gibson (CN=Jessica L. Gibson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-SEP-1997 19:09:33.00

SUBJECT: Child Care mtgs-- attendance lists

TO: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer L. Klein (CN=Jennifer L. Klein/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: lgriffil (lgriffil @ os.dhhs.gov @ INET @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet Murguia (CN=Janet Murguia/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I have made a list from all of the attendance sheets that we received from the House side mtgs. This is for your information, I am maintaining the larger database-- if you could let me know if you receive any names or letters. Thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jessica L. Gibson (CN=Jessica L. Gibson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-SEP-1997 17:01:55.00

SUBJECT: Senate Meeting #2

TO: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer L. Klein (CN=Jennifer L. Klein/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: lgriffil (lgriffil @ os.dhhs.gov @ INET @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet Murguia (CN=Janet Murguia/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Kimberly Barnes-O'Connor, with Senator Jeffords, has agreed to organize the Child Care briefing for Republican staff on the Finance Committee, Labor Committee, and Leadership staff. We have scheduled the meeting for 11:00 am, Friday 10/3, room SD-430 (the Labor Committee hearing room).

Please let Lauren or me know via e-mail or phone. Thanks.