

**NLWJC - KAGAN**

**EMAILS RECEIVED**

**ARMS - BOX 029 - FOLDER -007**

**[05/07/1998 - 05/08/1998]**

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 7-MAY-1998 20:01:22.00

SUBJECT: Leahy has asked Justice if they want to be asked to testify at Judiciary

TO: Peter G. Jacoby ( CN=Peter G. Jacoby/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lawrence J. Stein ( CN=Lawrence J. Stein/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Cynthia Dailard ( CN=Cynthia Dailard/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

on Wednesday on constitutional issues and tobacco. Bruce, you sounded favorably inclined.

Can Justice say yes? Elena -- this means that we need to review the written Q&A they've prepared in response to Ogden's last testimony.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Donna L. Geisbert ( CN=Donna L. Geisbert/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 7-MAY-1998 08:13:11.00

SUBJECT: REMINDER -- Weekly Tobacco Strategy Meeting

TO: Peter G. Jacoby ( CN=Peter G. Jacoby/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: MARR\_C ( MARR\_C @ A1 @ CD @ VAXGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] ) (OPD)  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles F. Stone ( CN=Charles F. Stone/OU=CEA/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEA ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joshua Gotbaum ( CN=Joshua Gotbaum/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sherman G. Boone ( CN=Sherman G. Boone/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Emily Bromberg ( CN=Emily Bromberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Toby Donenfeld ( CN=Toby Donenfeld/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeanne Lambrew ( CN=Jeanne Lambrew/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas L. Freedman ( CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David W. Beier ( CN=David W. Beier/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: DAILARD\_C ( DAILARD\_C @ A1 @ CD @ VAXGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] ) (OPD)  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher C. Jennings ( CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter R. Orszag ( CN=Peter R. Orszag/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry J. Toiv ( CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barbara D. Woolley ( CN=Barbara D. Woolley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jerold R. Mande ( CN=Jerold R. Mande/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary L. Smith ( CN=Mary L. Smith/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: MURRAY\_MM ( MURRAY\_MM @ A1 @ CD @ VAXGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] ) (WHO)  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Satish Narayanan ( CN=Satish Narayanan/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Dan J. Taylor ( CN=Dan J. Taylor/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: haverkamp\_jennifer ( haverkamp\_jennifer @ ustr.gov @ INET @ VAXGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jill M. Pizzuto ( CN=Jill M. Pizzuto/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

The Weekly Tobacco Strategy Meeting is scheduled for today, May 7, 2:45pm  
in Room 211, OEOB.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Michael Cohen ( CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 7-MAY-1998 10:33:52.00

SUBJECT: POTUS Qs & As for Mayors Event TODAY

TO: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Tanya E. Martin ( CN=Tanya E. Martin/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Christa Robinson ( CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

These are the Qs&As for POTUS in his session with the Mayors today.=====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS\_EXT: [ATTACH.D34]MAIL46771462A.126 to ASCII,  
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF575043BA040000010A02010000000205000000CC35000000020000B6EA9CA99F218A33F55492  
F10FB722230792B2C0F78AA2098472FE1511FF4E887F5A35246236308996F5F952D4197FD8AE68  
0303FF78DF6922EED9CDA6C0787378D34917CFCFAACC7A57965909E2E8973FCF685F8FACB11CD6  
4AC8E21E6E638EC851EF6EFAD3F8C149C3519DE0D02B86A8A3E26363BC88E0F7738433A81029FA  
25AF31523CEB9088EFF361E74181DE265FBA4975FB5D40A83655E3F85A3895AAA18A14AFBCA0BA  
96C18EB7E62439A875F2F827BB6D77234EA0E60B9770A7FC3BB0BD8C41F4D60EC886597EF921C7  
9C48D9F9BC1F1A7BE17938432739A815C8919CA911A6020B2F8766FE115EC849D05208460E3BF3  
16DE8F85FA89E41CC76933E7E214E63E014A4AAADC48676AC588E263EB81368CF7141C55173767  
9F3B74AACADB68717C39F70AA0FBA801AD2001334D9A5964196140CEF52F28A5B56D45B9967E93  
57FB33FB6D7A4476BCE0E256722080AF26C83247E2F823101BEC4F60D3833B2729F866A87675E5  
E092B8DA6ED7B49F24099136475362026F64E896BF459DBCE2525A83F8ADFAD1B57A19CB458A79  
3A4A5C4196A977AB78885B6B635E6F47E1AB7D4F7E84A2870935F6428E8D21774F7A021CF4795E  
0849347FBA9F9D4B9657AAC6A7BD2B5DDC7C9D4D6ED60D3967BE14A46D5D0795B49391A3943F7E  
D7C28A755402000C000000000000000000000000055060001004E000000A8020000092501000000  
06000000F60200000055030001003C000000FC0200000055010001004E00000038030000005501  
0000004E0000008603000008020100000010000000D40300000B300200000034000000E4030000  
081D01000000000000001804000008770100000040000000180400000834010000001400000058  
0400000055040000004E0000006C0400002800D61EC30F3908000001390000005A000B01008B14  
3600540069006D006500730020004E0065007700200052006F006D0061006E0020005200650067  
0075006C00610072000000000000000000100010058021B00F41A5C121A090000013900000060  
0018110000102400540069006D006500730020004E0065007700000052006F006D0061006E0000  
0000000002800C8196810480D000001390000005A000B010000103600540069006D0065007300  
20004E0065007700200052006F006D0061006E00200052006500670075006C0061007200000000  
00000000002800C01ECA0F4F08000001390000005A000B01008B143600540069006D0065007300  
20004E0065007700200052006F006D0061006E00200052006500670075006C0061007200000000  
000000000008337C00780001020000A60000000100010000000400280000000C00000000000000  
00000000000000000112A4552400A1000000A1000000D3050C0000010001000C00D30A0000009D  
00010017009E00070045009F0001001700A00001000200A10002005B00A20005000B00A3000100  
5500A40003001300A50001005100A6000100510062A3A62400000000000000000000000000000  
002800C8196810480D000011090000005A000B01008B143600540069006D006500730020004E00  
65007700200052006F006D0061006E00200052006500670075006C006100720000000000000000  
00DD0A1000830107000300A455211000DDD3050C0000010001000C00D3DD0B0B00030000040B00

### Q's and A's for Meeting with Mayors

**Q. What is the likelihood that Congress will pass your education agenda this year?**

A. They should pass all of it. We have laid out a comprehensive agenda to strengthen American public education, and we are working hard to secure its enactment. We need to invest in school modernization, smaller classes, better-prepared teachers, higher standards, greater accountability and other measures to improve public schools.

Some key elements of my agenda are slowly working their way through the Congress in a bipartisan fashion--the way we ought to work on education. Earlier this week the Senate passed its version of my G.I. Bill for America's Workforce, that will overhaul our job training programs. I hope that bill will come to my desk by July 1. My America Reads proposal has been passed by the House and is awaiting consideration in the Senate. The House has also passed a bipartisan charter schools bill--I hope the Senate will take that up soon as well. And the House has just passed my High Hopes proposal as part of the Higher Education Act, which will help colleges form partnerships with middle schools, to help provide low income students with mentors other support to spark their interest in college, and help them prepare to attend. So, we are making progress in some areas.

However, on a number of critical education issues--school modernization, smaller classes, reforming urban public schools -- the Congress is either doing nothing or the wrong thing. Instead of taking the steps to strengthen our public schools, both the House and Senate have recently passed bills that would drain resources from our public schools. The Senate rejected proposals that would have instead helped strengthen public schools.

But the session is not over, and there is still a chance for the Congress to do the right things. I'll continue to fight for smaller classes, modern school buildings, after school programs, technology for our schools and other initiatives. I'll need your help to convince members of Congress that they should support these initiatives.

**Q. Many mayors believe that the federal government can best support urban education reform by providing block grants directly to local communities. This approach would provide local communities with the flexibility they need, instead of requiring them to follow Washington's dictates. Would you support local block grants?**

A. I am in favor of giving local communities maximum possible flexibility in using federal education funds, though I have serious reservations about most of the block grant proposals I have seen. Federal education programs must have clear purposes, they must target the funds to the communities that need it the most, and there must be accountability for results, and any block grant proposal should meet these same tests.

**Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion**

Over the past 5 years, Secretary Riley has overhauled every one of the federal programs for elementary and secondary education, making them more flexible, less bureaucratic, and more clearly focused on getting results. He has eliminated two-thirds of the regulations covering elementary and secondary education programs, and launched two major new programs--Goals 2000 and School-to-Work programs, without issuing a single new regulation. We fought to get authority from Congress to waive federal requirements where they interfered with local education reforms, and Secretary Riley has approved more than 200 waivers so far.

According to a recent GAO study, federal education funds are eight times more likely than state funds to target disadvantaged students. Certain block grant proposals--which provide funds to states--would most likely result in less targeting of federal funds and would therefore be detrimental to many cities.

Third, federal education programs serve important national purposes, such as providing disadvantaged students with extra help to learn the basics, helping schools incorporate technology into classrooms, helping communities raise academic standards, or supporting the growth of charter schools. These purposes won't be met if we fold all of these programs into block grants. And federal funding for education will decline. In 1981, 42 education programs were block granted into one program. Today, that block grant received half of the funding it did then.

**Q. Many urban school systems are putting in place the right reform strategies, but lack the resources necessary to fully or effectively carry them out. How do your initiatives target funds to the communities with the greatest needs?**

A. I agree that additional funds can make a difference if they are help support real reforms based on higher standards, increased accountability, and more choice, and I am glad that a growing number of cities are moving in this direction. Most of my new initiatives specifically target funding to high poverty urban, and rural, school districts, including the Education Opportunity Zone proposal, our 21st Century After-School Learning programs, the High Hopes initiative that builds college-school partnerships to help low income students make their way to college. My proposal to reduce class size in the early grades would ensure that low income communities get their fair share of the funds (the same proportion as they receive under Title 1), and my school modernization proposal calls for half of the bonding authority to go to the poorest urban districts.

**Q: *Are we finally going to reform our job training system this year?***

**Background.** In May 1997, the House passed a bill that incorporates these principles and on Tuesday the Senate passed their version of this bill with overwhelming bipartisan support. The Administration supports passage of the Senate bill, however, we strongly oppose an amendment (offered by Sen. Ashcroft) prohibiting the use of funds available

Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion

under the Act to carry out activities authorized under the School-to-Work Opportunities Act.

In addition, you should know that the Mayors had been concerned about a provision in the original version of the Senate bill that would give Governors more control over training funds to cities with populations between 200,000 and 500,000. Their concerns have been addressed with an amendment (offered by Senator Lautenberg) that would permit the Secretary of Labor to continue funding those affected cities which are meeting performance standards if the Governor elects to discontinue the training funds.

A: I am pleased that on Tuesday the Senate passed their version of my G.I. Bill for America's Workers with a strong bipartisan vote (91-7). I am pushing to get this bill to my desk by July 1 in order to make available \$250 million for the Youth Opportunity Areas initiative which would greatly benefit America's cities. I want to thank you for your support for this critical program to create jobs and opportunity for out-of-school youth.

This new G.I. Bill would also fundamentally reform our employment and training system by empowering Americans to gain new skills with a simple skill grant. In addition it would consolidate the tangle of training programs; create a network of One-Stop Career Centers; increase accountability to ensure results; and empower people to gain the skills that are in greatest market demand.

And, the new G.I. Bill would provide mayors with more local control. The bill would allow States and communities to tailor programs to locally determined needs and it ensures that business, labor, and community organizations are full partners in system design and quality assurance.

**Q. The Junior ROTC program has been a great benefit to our efforts to improve education in Chicago. One of the best things the federal government can do is to expand this program, so that more units can be established and more students can participate. What can you do to help expand J-ROTC?**

**Background:** Mayor Daley made the above point in his opening remarks to the Mayors yesterday, and we anticipate he will raise it today. While the J-ROTC program has expanded significantly over the past 6 years, DOD currently has no plans to ask for further expansion in the number of ROTC units.

A. The Junior ROTC program fulfills an important role in teaching citizenship, leadership, teamwork and self-discipline to students in high schools nationwide. Since 1992, the Junior ROTC program has grown from 1,600 to over 2,500 units. This expansion has resulted in an increase in student participation from 200,000 students in 1992 to 380,000 student in 1997. The funding for the program increased from \$80 million in 1991 to

Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion

\$164 million in 1997. In my FY 1999 budget request, I have asked for \$167 million to support the J-ROTC program.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Miriam H. Vogel ( CN=Miriam H. Vogel/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 7-MAY-1998 16:43:58.00

SUBJECT: Bilingual Ed Mtg

TO: Janet Murguia ( CN=Janet Murguia/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert M. Shireman ( CN=Robert M. Shireman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mickey Ibarra ( CN=Mickey Ibarra/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Cohen ( CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen E. Skelton ( CN=Karen E. Skelton/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Mindy E. Myers ( CN=Mindy E. Myers/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Suzanne Dale ( CN=Suzanne Dale/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Allison Balderston ( CN=Allison Balderston/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Maria would like to hold a strategy meeting in her office next Wednesday, May 20th, at 3pm in her office.

Please let me know if this time does not work for you-  
Thanks!

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Kate P. Donovan ( CN=Kate P. Donovan/OU=OMB/O=EOP [ OMB ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 7-MAY-1998 19:36:22.00

SUBJECT: OMB Legislative Report -- May 7, 1998

TO: Robert D. Kyle ( CN=Robert D. Kyle/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dianne M. Wells ( CN=Dianne M. Wells/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Daniel N. Mendelson ( CN=Daniel N. Mendelson/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: RUDMAN\_M@A1@CD@VAXGTWY ( RUDMAN\_M@A1@CD@VAXGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] ) (NSC)  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janelle E. Erickson ( CN=Janelle E. Erickson/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Judy Jablow ( CN=Judy Jablow/OU=CEQ/O=EOP@EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Roger S. Ballentine ( CN=Roger S. Ballentine/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lawrence J. Haas ( CN=Lawrence J. Haas/O=OVP@OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David A. Bernell ( CN=David A. Bernell/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan H. Adashek ( CN=Jonathan H. Adashek/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Suzanne Dale ( CN=Suzanne Dale/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barbara Chow ( CN=Barbara Chow/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Theodore Wartell ( CN=Theodore Wartell/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter D. Greenberger ( CN=Peter D. Greenberger/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan Orszag ( CN=Jonathan Orszag/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dario J. Gomez ( CN=Dario J. Gomez/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Allan E. Brown ( CN=Allan E. Brown/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ruby Shamir ( CN=Ruby Shamir/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sean E. O'Connor ( CN=Sean E. O'Connor/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eric R. Anderson ( CN=Eric R. Anderson/O=OVP@OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wesley P. Warren ( CN=Wesley P. Warren/OU=CEQ/O=EOP@EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nancy E. Schwartz ( CN=Nancy E. Schwartz/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael W. Williams ( CN=Michael W. Williams/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maryanne B. Green ( CN=Maryanne B. Green/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry White ( CN=Barry White/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ronald E. Jones ( CN=Ronald E. Jones/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rosemary Evans ( CN=Rosemary Evans/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ronald K. Peterson ( CN=Ronald K. Peterson/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Carol Thompson-Cole ( CN=Carol Thompson-Cole/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janie L. Jeffers ( CN=Janie L. Jeffers/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steven L. Schooner ( CN=Steven L. Schooner/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura S. Marcus ( CN=Laura S. Marcus/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kathryn B. Stack ( CN=Kathryn B. Stack/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jake Siewert ( CN=Jake Siewert/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Patricia E. Romani ( CN=Patricia E. Romani/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andrew M. Schoenbach ( CN=Andrew M. Schoenbach/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Edward A. Brigham ( CN=Edward A. Brigham/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Francis S. Redburn ( CN=Francis S. Redburn/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David E. Tornquist ( CN=David E. Tornquist/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Richard J. Turman ( CN=Richard J. Turman/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Larry R. Matlack ( CN=Larry R. Matlack/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gary L. Bennethum ( CN=Gary L. Bennethum/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rodney G. Bent ( CN=Rodney G. Bent/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steven D. Aitken ( CN=Steven D. Aitken/OU=OMB/O=EOP@E [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Toni S. Husted ( CN=Toni S. Husted/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry J. Toiv ( CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brian A. Barreto ( CN=Brian A. Barreto/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Justine F. Rodriguez ( CN=Justine F. Rodriguez/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: OLIVER\_A@A1 ( OLIVER\_A@A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] ) (OMB)  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: LEVIN\_P@A1 ( LEVIN\_P@A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Richard A. Mertens ( CN=Richard A. Mertens/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: MCKIERNAN\_K@A1 ( MCKIERNAN\_K@A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: James B. Kazel ( CN=James B. Kazel/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert S. Fairweather ( CN=Robert S. Fairweather/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert J. Nassif ( CN=Robert J. Nassif/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anita Chellaraj ( CN=Anita Chellaraj/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet Himler ( CN=Janet Himler/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Douglas B. Sosnik ( CN=Douglas B. Sosnik/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John Podesta ( CN=John Podesta/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Todd Stern ( CN=Todd Stern/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: WEINSTEIN\_D@A1 ( WEINSTEIN\_D@A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: E. Holly Fitter ( CN=E. Holly Fitter/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Constance J. Bowers ( CN=Constance J. Bowers/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeffrey A. Weinberg ( CN=Jeffrey A. Weinberg/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: HOLSTEIN\_E@A1 ( HOLSTEIN\_E@A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: James J. Jukes ( CN=James J. Jukes/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elisa Millsap ( CN=Elisa Millsap/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles Konigsberg ( CN=Charles Konigsberg/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce D. Long ( CN=Bruce D. Long/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ellen J. Balis ( CN=Ellen J. Balis/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert E. Barker ( CN=Robert E. Barker/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Philip A. DuSault ( CN=Philip A. DuSault/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ronald M. Cogswell ( CN=Ronald M. Cogswell/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alan B. Rhinesmith ( CN=Alan B. Rhinesmith/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jill M. Blickstein ( CN=Jill M. Blickstein/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joseph J. Minarik ( CN=Joseph J. Minarik/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: T J. Glauthier ( CN=T J. Glauthier/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles E. Kieffer ( CN=Charles E. Kieffer/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Chandler G. Spaulding ( CN=Chandler G. Spaulding/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gina C. Mooers ( CN=Gina C. Mooers/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Victoria Wassmer ( CN=Victoria Wassmer/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael L. Goad ( CN=Michael L. Goad/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Martha Foley ( CN=Martha Foley/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Linda Ricci ( CN=Linda Ricci/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ronald L. Silberman ( CN=Ronald L. Silberman/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sally Katzen ( CN=Sally Katzen/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisa B. Fairhall ( CN=Lisa B. Fairhall/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anna M. Briatico ( CN=Anna M. Briatico/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Donald R. Arbuckle ( CN=Donald R. Arbuckle/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wendy A. Taylor ( CN=Wendy A. Taylor/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter A. Weissman ( CN=Peter A. Weissman/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: BENDICK\_G@A1@CD@LNGTWY ( BENDICK\_G@A1@CD@LNGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] ) (NSC)

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jessica L. Gibson ( CN=Jessica L. Gibson/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stephen G. Elmore ( CN=Stephen G. Elmore/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sandra Yamin ( CN=Sandra Yamin/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wayne Upshaw ( CN=Wayne Upshaw/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robin J. Bachman ( CN=Robin J. Bachman/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jason S. Goldberg ( CN=Jason S. Goldberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gene B. Sperling ( CN=Gene B. Sperling/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert Donnelly ( CN=Robert Donnelly/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kevin S. Moran ( CN=Kevin S. Moran/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul J. Weinstein Jr. ( CN=Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: M. Jill Gibbons ( CN=M. Jill Gibbons/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer Ferguson ( CN=Jennifer Ferguson/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kenneth L. Schwartz ( CN=Kenneth L. Schwartz/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Melissa N. Benton ( CN=Melissa N. Benton/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Deich ( CN=Michael Deich/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mathew C. Blum ( CN=Mathew C. Blum/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rhodia D. Ewell ( CN=Rhodia D. Ewell/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles R. Marr ( CN=Charles R. Marr/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jill M. Pizzuto ( CN=Jill M. Pizzuto/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Edward M. Rea ( CN=Edward M. Rea/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Harry G. Meyers ( CN=Harry G. Meyers/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David J. Haun ( CN=David J. Haun/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Louisa Koch ( CN=Louisa Koch/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert M. Shireman ( CN=Robert M. Shireman/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Keith J. Fontenot ( CN=Keith J. Fontenot/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce K. Sasser ( CN=Bruce K. Sasser/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David H. Morrison ( CN=David H. Morrison/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eugene M. Ebner ( CN=Eugene M. Ebner/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Russell W. Horwitz ( CN=Russell W. Horwitz/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: HOGAN\_L@A1 ( HOGAN\_L@A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] ) (OPD)  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary Jo Siclari ( CN=Mary Jo Siclari/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julie E. Mason ( CN=Julie E. Mason/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: ABRAMSON\_K@A1 ( ABRAMSON\_K@A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kate P. Donovan ( CN=Kate P. Donovan/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter G. Jacoby ( CN=Peter G. Jacoby/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mark A. Weatherly ( CN=Mark A. Weatherly/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John A. Gribben ( CN=John A. Gribben/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet E. Irwin ( CN=Janet E. Irwin/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Melinda D. Haskins ( CN=Melinda D. Haskins/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alphonse J. Maldon ( CN=Alphonse J. Maldon/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Phillip Caplan ( CN=Phillip Caplan/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Shelley N. Fidler ( CN=Shelley N. Fidler/OU=CEQ/O=EOP@EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mickey Ibarra ( CN=Mickey Ibarra/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sylvia M. Mathews ( CN=Sylvia M. Mathews/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Philip R. Dame ( CN=Philip R. Dame/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Annette E. Rooney ( CN=Annette E. Rooney/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert J. Pellicci ( CN=Robert J. Pellicci/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: BROWN\_JA@A1 ( BROWN\_JA@A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] ) (OMB)  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ingrid M. Schroeder ( CN=Ingrid M. Schroeder/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet R. Forsgren ( CN=Janet R. Forsgren/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: James C. Murr ( CN=James C. Murr/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce W. McConnell ( CN=Bruce W. McConnell/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisa M. Kountoupes ( CN=Lisa M. Kountoupes/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alicia K. Kolaian ( CN=Alicia K. Kolaian/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Susanne D. Lind ( CN=Susanne D. Lind/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Richard P. Emery Jr. ( CN=Richard P. Emery Jr./OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry T. Clendenin ( CN=Barry T. Clendenin/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kathleen Peroff ( CN=Kathleen Peroff/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet L. Graves ( CN=Janet L. Graves/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William A. Halter ( CN=William A. Halter/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joshua Gotbaum ( CN=Joshua Gotbaum/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert G. Damus ( CN=Robert G. Damus/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jacob J. Lew ( CN=Jacob J. Lew/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

TO: DIRECTOR FRANK RAINES  
 DEPUTY DIRECTOR JACK LEW  
 DEP. DIRECTOR FOR MANAGEMENT ED DESEVE  
 EXECUTIVE ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR JOSH GOTBAUM

FROM: OMB LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS

DATE: MAY 7, 1998

SUBJECT: LEGISLATIVE REPORT

Early this evening, the Senate passed HR 2676, the IRS Reform bill 97-0.

CONGRESS -- TODAY (5/7):

SENATE:

Passed 97-0 H.R. 2676 - IRS Reform Bill.

[SAP sent, 5/5: Administration supports passage, with modifications and has serious concerns about costs]

While under consideration today, the Senate took the following actions on the bill:

- o Defeated 42-57 the Thompson/Sessions amendment re: the Oversight Board
- o Defeated 35-64 the Faircloth amendment to remove the union rep from the Board
- o Defeated 40-59 the Mack amendment to remove the Treasury Secretary from the Board

HOUSE:

Adopted (voice) H.R. 3694 - Intelligence Authorization Act for FY 1999

[SAP sent, 5/7: Administration supports]

Adopted (voice) the motion to go to conference on HR 2646 - Coverdell Education Savings Account conference report, and rejected (192-222) Rangel Motion to Instruct Conferees on HR 2646 to agree to provisions regarding tax favored financing for public school construction.

CONGRESS - TOMORROW (5/8):

SENATE:

Consider Executive Calendar items which have been cleared for action.

HOUSE:

Not in session

CONGRESS - NEXT WEEK (5/11-5/15)

SENATE:

Monday, May 11:

No rollcall votes.

Begin consideration of S. 1150 - Agriculture Research Conference Report [The conference report's treatment of the Food Stamp administrative cost offset, as well as the balance of the report's provisions pertaining to Agriculture's research and development programs, is acceptable to the Administration.]

Consider the following high tech bills with votes stacked for Tuesday (5/12):

- o S. 1618 - To Improve the Protection of Consumers against Slamming by the Telecommunications Carriers

[SAP pending: Administration supports Senate passage]

- o S. 1723 - American Competitiveness Act

[SAP pending: Sect. of Labor veto recommendation]

- o S. 1260 - Securities Litigation Uniform Standards Act  
[SAP under discussion]

Tuesday, May 12 & the Balance of the Week:

Complete action on the high tech bills:

- o S. 1618 - To Improve the Protection of Consumers against Slamming by the Telecommunications Carriers  
[SAP pending: Administration supports Senate passage]
- o S. 1723 - American Competitiveness Act  
[SAP pending: Sect. of Labor veto recommendation]
- o S. 1260 - Securities Litigation Uniform Standards Act  
[SAP under discussion]

S. 1873 - American Missile Protection Act of 1998  
[SAP pending: Administration opposes]

S. 1812 - Department of Defense Authorization for FY 1999 (possible)

H.R. 1151 - Credit Union Membership Access Act (possible)  
[SAP under discussion]

H.R. 2646 - Coverdell Education Savings Accounts conference report (possible)  
[Conferees letter under discussion]

S. 1882 - Higher Education Amendments of 1998 (possible)  
[SAP pending]

HOUSE:

Monday, May 11:  
No votes

Tuesday, May 12

Convene at 12:30pm for morning hour and 2:00pm for legislative business.  
No recorded votes are expected before 5:00pm.

Items on the Suspensions calendar (to be determined)

Wednesday, May 13 and Thursday, May 14:  
Convene at 10:00am for legislative business.

H.R. 10 - Financial Services Competition Act of 1997 (Subject to a rule)  
[Treasury letter sent 5/7; SAP pending: Sect. of Treasury veto recommendation]

H.R. 2431 - Freedom from Religious Persecution Act of 1998 (Subject to a rule)  
[SAP pending: Senior advisers veto recommendation]

H.R. 3534 - Mandates Information Act of 1998 (Subject to a rule)  
[SAP under discussion]

H.R. 3494 - Child Protection and Sexual Predator Punishment Act of 1998 (Subject to a rule)  
[SAP pending: Administration supports House passage]

H.R. 512 - New Wildlife Refuge Authorization Act (Subject to a rule)  
[House Rules SAP sent 4/21: Sect. of Interior veto recommendation]

H.R. 2646 - Coverdell Education Savings Accounts conference report

(possible)

[Conferees letter under discussion]

S. 1150 - Agriculture Research Conference Report (possible)

[The conference report's treatment of the Food Stamp administrative cost offset, as well as the balance of the report's provisions pertaining to Agriculture's research and development programs, is acceptable to the Administration.]

Friday, May 15:

No votes

CONGRESS - LONG-RANGE SCHEDULE

SENATE:

Tobacco and or DOD authorization could be the week of the 18th. Also possible for May is an ISTEAs conference report (Raines letter sent 4/30; Slater letter sent 5/1), nuclear waste storage legislation; and the Caribbean Basin Initiative enhancements.

HOUSE:

On the tentative House schedule is an ISTEAs conference report (Raines letter sent 4/30; Slater letter sent 5/1), Internet taxation, Internet gambling, and the FY99 budget resolution. Campaign finance is also possible May 15.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Sean P. Maloney ( CN=Sean P. Maloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 7-MAY-1998 16:34:31.00

SUBJECT: The President's Trip to VA/MA

TO: Amy W. Tobe ( CN=Amy W. Tobe/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jon P. Jennings ( CN=Jon P. Jennings/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cecily C. Williams ( CN=Cecily C. Williams/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul J. Weinstein Jr. ( CN=Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher Wayne ( CN=Christopher Wayne/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Waldman ( CN=Michael Waldman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: June G. Turner ( CN=June G. Turner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael V. Terrell ( CN=Michael V. Terrell/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jordan Tamagni ( CN=Jordan Tamagni/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Aviva Steinberg ( CN=Aviva Steinberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Todd Stern ( CN=Todd Stern/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joshua Silverman ( CN=Joshua Silverman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura D. Schwartz ( CN=Laura D. Schwartz/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christa Robinson ( CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John Podesta ( CN=John Podesta/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer M. Palmieri ( CN=Jennifer M. Palmieri/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary Morrison ( CN=Mary Morrison/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Minyon Moore ( CN=Minyon Moore/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Megan C. Moloney ( CN=Megan C. Moloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andrew J. Mayock ( CN=Andrew J. Mayock/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joseph P. Lockhart ( CN=Joseph P. Lockhart/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis ( CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sara M. Latham ( CN=Sara M. Latham/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kirk T. Hanlin ( CN=Kirk T. Hanlin/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia M. Jasso-Rotunno ( CN=Cynthia M. Jasso-Rotunno/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Phu D. Huynh ( CN=Phu D. Huynh/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nancy V. Hernreich ( CN=Nancy V. Hernreich/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura A. Graham ( CN=Laura A. Graham/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul K. Engskov ( CN=Paul K. Engskov/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria Echaveste ( CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brenda B. Costello ( CN=Brenda B. Costello/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Carolyn E. Cleveland ( CN=Carolyn E. Cleveland/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jose Cerda III ( CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Emily Bromberg ( CN=Emily Bromberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David S. Beaubaire ( CN=David S. Beaubaire/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nicholas R. Baldick ( CN=Nicholas R. Baldick/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brenda M. Anders ( CN=Brenda M. Anders/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Phillip Caplan ( CN=Phillip Caplan/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan Orszag ( CN=Jonathan Orszag/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan H. Adashek ( CN=Jonathan H. Adashek/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Daniel Wexler ( CN=Daniel Wexler/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dorian V. Weaver ( CN=Dorian V. Weaver/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Walker ( CN=Ann F. Walker/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Beth A. Viola ( CN=Beth A. Viola/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry J. Toiv ( CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marjorie Tarmey ( CN=Marjorie Tarmey/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stephanie S. Streett ( CN=Stephanie S. Streett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Darby E. Stott ( CN=Darby E. Stott/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Craig T. Smith ( CN=Craig T. Smith/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jake Siewert ( CN=Jake Siewert/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dan K. Rosenthal ( CN=Dan K. Rosenthal/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah J. Reber ( CN=Sarah J. Reber/OU=CEA/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEA ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Simeona F. Pasquil ( CN=Simeona F. Pasquil/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth R. Newman ( CN=Elizabeth R. Newman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kevin S. Moran ( CN=Kevin S. Moran/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Linda L. Moore ( CN=Linda L. Moore/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anne E. McGuire ( CN=Anne E. McGuire/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sylvia M. Mathews ( CN=Sylvia M. Mathews/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce R. Lindsey ( CN=Bruce R. Lindsey/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher J. Lavery ( CN=Christopher J. Lavery/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karin Kullman ( CN=Karin Kullman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Russell W. Horwitz ( CN=Russell W. Horwitz/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jason S. Goldberg ( CN=Jason S. Goldberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Shelley N. Fidler ( CN=Shelley N. Fidler/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anne M. Edwards ( CN=Anne M. Edwards/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Suzanne Dale ( CN=Suzanne Dale/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Cohen ( CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Daniel K. Chang ( CN=Daniel K. Chang/OU=CEA/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEA ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura K. Capps ( CN=Laura K. Capps/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Debra D. Bird ( CN=Debra D. Bird/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barbara A. Barclay ( CN=Barbara A. Barclay/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kris M Balderston ( CN=Kris M Balderston/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lori L. Anderson ( CN=Lori L. Anderson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

On Saturday, May 9, 1998, the President will travel to Newport News, Virginia, to address the Senate Democratic Issues Conference. He will then travel to Boston, Massachusetts, to attend a DNC dinner, before returning to the White House late that night. Deadlines for the President's trip book are as follows:

MA Background Memos:

DUE FRI., MAY 8, AT NOON

- Political Memo

NOTE: THE PRESIDENT WILL NOT

- CEQ Hot Issues
- Cabinet Affairs Hot Issues
- Economic One-Pager
- Accomplishments

REQUIRE VIRGINIA BACKGROUND

VA & MA Event Memos:

DUE FRI., MAY 8, AT 3:00 P.M.

- Senate Democratic Issues Conference (VA)
- DNC Dinner (MA)

Please call or e-mail me if you have any questions. Thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Paul J. Weinstein Jr. ( CN=Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 7-MAY-1998 19:27:54.00

SUBJECT: Draft Proposal

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Christa Robinson ( Christa Robinson @ EOP @ LNGTWY [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Draft Proposal -- Improving The Event Briefing Process:

In response to Staff Secretary's Office, and because the system has broken down, Christa and I have developed a recommendation on how to improve the event briefing process. The key is strict deadlines on all of our parts. In the past, we have not made it clear to DPC staff when briefing materials are due. Now we will have a set of deadlines that everyone will held to. We propose the following:

1. The day before the event, DPC policy staff will provide Christa with the press paper for the event at 1:00 pm. In cases where policy issues are still unresolved, a draft will be handed to Christa at 1:00 instead. However, final paper will be sent to Christa in at 3:00, which she will cross check with the earlier draft paper.
2. Christa will transform the press paper into a briefing memo and give it to Laura by 3:30 pm for your review.
3. Laura will schedule a ten to fifteen minute slot between 3:30 pm to 5:30 pm for you to review the briefing paper. Because you are at so many meetings around the complex, Laura will bring the briefing paper to where ever you are in the WH complex so you can review it during that period. Laura will give Christa your edits by 5:30 pm and Christa will make the changes and hand the memo into Staff Secretary by 6:00 pm.

This is obviously a very tight schedule. In order for it to work, policy issues will need to be resolved earlier rather than later. Obviously, in some cases, POTUS or the Chief of Staff may be holding up a policy decision. In those instances we must advise Staff Secretary's office why the issue is held up. In those cases, Christa or I will tell the Staff Secretary or Press Office if the paper will be delayed. However, for this system to function effectively, delays must be the exception, not the rule.

The other issue relates to when you are not on the WH compound from 3:30 to 5:30. In those cases, I would recommend Bruce look at the documents. If Bruce is also not available, I would be happy to serve as the fail safe. However, I will only do so if you both are comfortable with that.

Please let us know what you think of this proposal.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jerold R. Mande ( CN=Jerold R. Mande/OU=OSTP/O=EOP [ OSTP ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 7-MAY-1998 12:07:43.00

SUBJECT: MN settlement talks with industry end

TO: Richard J. Turman ( CN=Richard J. Turman/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Toby Donenfeld ( CN=Toby Donenfeld/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ron Klain ( CN=Ron Klain/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry J. Toiv ( CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joshua Gotbaum ( CN=Joshua Gotbaum/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David W. Beier ( CN=David W. Beier/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas L. Freedman ( CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher C. Jennings ( CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:  
fyi.

Settlement Talks Break Off as Tobacco Trial Nears End

Talks for a \$5 billion-plus settlement of Minnesota's tobacco lawsuit have broken off, both sides said on Thursday.

The impasse was reported hours after a judge presented jury instructions seen as damaging to the tobacco companies, and as the companies prepared to deliver their closing arguments in the closely watched case.

Andy Czajkowski, chief executive of Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Minnesota, which is suing the companies along with the state, said talks had ended and described as "extremely slim" the chances

of a settlement.

Closing arguments were planned for Thursday and Friday.

The state and Blue Cross filed the lawsuit in 1994 to recover the \$1.77 billion they say they have spent treating smoking-related diseases. They are also seeking punitive damages.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Mindy E. Myers ( CN=Mindy E. Myers/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 7-MAY-1998 11:09:01.00

SUBJECT: Dem. Caucus Child Care mtg CANCELLED

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer L. Klein ( CN=Jennifer L. Klein/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet Murguia ( CN=Janet Murguia/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Emil E. Parker ( CN=Emil E. Parker/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Barbara Chow ( CN=Barbara Chow/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Sandra Yamin ( CN=Sandra Yamin/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Nicole R. Rabner ( CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Neera Tanden ( CN=Neera Tanden/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

The Democratic Caucus called to tell us that the Child Care mtg scheduled for 4pm today is CANCELLED.

Sorry for any inconvenience-  
Mindy



Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion

May 6, 1998

MEMORANDUM FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

FROM: ELENA KAGAN  
SALLY KATZEN

SUBJECT: USDA's Proposed Organic Rule

In 1990, Congress passed the Organic Food Production Act, which required the Department of Agriculture (USDA) to establish a government certification program and national standard defining the use of the term "organic" for use on food products. The legislation was strongly supported by the organic industry which sought to involve the federal government in creating a unified organic standard, rather than the myriad of private and state-endorsed definitions.

In December 1997, USDA published a proposed regulation to establish a national organic standard. It has been the USDA position that the standard is solely a marketing description. The Secretary has emphasized that the organic designation is not intended to convey information about the safety, nutritional value, or environmental benefits of organic products and practices. In some tension with this approach, the Administration has long promoted food safety and there is a serious question whether an organic label will be construed as an indication of the improved safety of the product.

Since the publication of the proposed rule, it has been the subject of extensive criticism. USDA has received almost 200,000 comments regarding the proposed rule, the most ever received for a USDA rulemaking. The rule has also been the subject of unfavorable editorials in many newspapers including the New York Times, the Washington Post, the Chicago Tribune, and the Los Angeles Times. Finally, 47 members of the House and 31 Senators have signed letters to Secretary Glickman expressing concern about the proposed rule. The primary complaint has been that the proposed rule does not explicitly prohibit the use of genetically modified organisms, irradiation, and biosolids (sludge) in food that could be labeled "organic." In the preamble to its proposal, USDA had requested comments on these products and practices because of their possible safety benefits and consistency with Administration policy.

**Current Status**

Secretary Glickman plans to issue a press release this Friday, (attached), indicating that USDA will repropose the rule and "make fundamental changes in the new proposed rule on organic standards." Specifically, the statement would indicate that biotechnology, irradiation, and biosolids "will not be included in our revised proposal, and food produced with these products and practices will not be allowed to bear the organic label." Articles last week in USA

Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion

TODAY and the Washington Post have indicated that USDA is preparing such a statement.

Representatives of OMB (Don Arbuckle), NEC (Sally Katzen), and DPC (Elena Kagan) have been concerned about USDA's approach to this issue. OMB has argued that consumers will likely view a product labeled as organic as safe, even though there is no evidence to demonstrate that organic food is any safer than non-organic food, or that genetically modified, irradiated, or food grown using sludge is unsafe. To the extent that consumers read an organic label as demonstrating safety, they may be misled. OMB points out that organic material might be even worse than non-organic food in terms of some microbiological hazards. Organic foods fertilized with manure have been and may in the future be linked to illnesses such as occurred in the Odwalla juice outbreak. By contrast, foods using the three disputed techniques (e.g., irradiation) may have actual safety benefits. OMB and NEC therefore have suggested that USDA consider modifying the organic label to include a provision stating something along the lines of "organic food may be no more or less safe than non-organic food" or that USDA continue its comment review process and not prematurely prohibit using the term organic for food using any of the three disputed techniques.

USDA felt strongly that the label should not be modified and that a statement announcing a reproposal needs to be made promptly. USDA states that the organic label is not intended to signify the overall safety of the food, only the methods by which the food was produced, and that it will not advertise the label as having anything to do with safety. USDA notes that the disputed techniques are clearly not in keeping with the public's expectation of what constitutes organic. The Food and Drug Administration has expressed general support for USDA's position.

### **Recommendation**

We recognize the need for USDA to clarify its position on organics, and recommend that Secretary Glickman issue a statement indicating that biotechnology, irradiation, and sludge will not be part of the revised proposal. We are still discussing with Secretary Glickman's office the precise language of this statement, but think we can work out this issue. In addition, after discussions with OMB, OSTP, FDA and USDA we have agreed upon two additional measures that could ameliorate some concerns over safety. These include (1) having USDA and FDA conduct a survey on consumer attitudes towards organic food to determine whether consumers purchase organic products on the basis of unproven safety claims, and (2) having USDA insert in the preamble of its new rule language indicating that the National Organic Standard Board should report regularly to the Secretary on possible uses of new technologies and whether they might meet an organic standard.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Diana Fortuna ( CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 7-MAY-1998 15:53:04.00

SUBJECT: LA County pushing on child support penalty exemption

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Feinstein and LA County DA Gil Garcetti are pushing hard on an exemption for LA County from the child support penalty. Feinstein has called Erskine and the VP. Today at the briefing for LA County officials, Garcetti raised the issue to Podesta, according to Karen Skelton. Garcetti said Feinstein told him that "the issue was on the President's desk." Garcetti also said that it will be an uphill battle to get the President to change his mind from opposing a waiver to supporting one. Podesta supposedly responded that it may be possible for the WH to say that it does not oppose a waiver, though the WH would be hard pressed to say it supports a waiver. Feinstein is spreading the rumor that the Hill will support this if only we will support or not oppose, but that's not what we are hearing from Hill leaders -- they continue to show no interest in doing this.

While Karen wishes we would change our minds, she isn't taking this up the chain to try to overturn our current position of oppose. So in the meantime, we continue to tell LA County we're not persuaded that it's a good idea. Let us know if this doesn't make sense to you.



**Report on Gun-Free Schools  
Questions and Answers  
May 8, 1998**

Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion

**Q: What is the Gun-Free Schools Act?**

A: Enacted on October 20, 1994, as part of the Improving America's Schools Act, the Gun-Free Schools Act (GFSA) requires each state receiving Federal funds under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) to have in effect a state law requiring Local Educational Authorities (LEAs) to expel for a period of not less than one year any student who is determined to have brought a firearm to school. These policies are more commonly referred to as "zero tolerance" gun policies.

**Q: What does the GFSA Report tell us about implementation of the GFSA?**

A: This report provides the first comprehensive information about expulsions under the GFSA. With all states now having passed legislation requiring zero tolerance policies, the report's primary finding is that of the 51 students million in elementary and secondary schools, 6,093 were expelled last year for bringing a firearm to school. Most of these were high school students (56%), and most cases involved handguns (58%). Also, most of the students expelled (56%) were placed in an alternate school or placement.

The GFSA report further breaks down the data by state, school level, type of firearm and expulsions that were shortened.

**Q: Does this represent an increase or decrease in expulsions?**

A: It is difficult to compare the estimated number of expulsions last year with this year's report. Although we estimated that a similar number of students -- about 6,000 -- were expelled for bringing a weapon to school last year, fewer states had submitted data -- and the data were also less specific. This year's report is really the first comprehensive picture we have of expulsions under the GFSA.

Whether expulsions are up or down, however, is much less important than the fact that more than 6,000 students bringing a firearm to school is unacceptable. And the more detailed information we have about this problem, the better we will be able to address it.

**Q: Do you believe that the GFSA is making our schools safer?**

A: Absolutely. As a result, all of the states have passed and are now enforcing zero tolerance polices that have disciplined and/or removed more than 6,000 dangerous students from our schools. Zero tolerance for firearms is now an important component of school safety throughout the country, and that will make a difference. As the

Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion

Superintendent of the Alexandria, Virginia Public Schools recently told the President in a White House event, strict enforcement of zero tolerance causes students to change their behaviors. In Alexandria, zero tolerance initially resulted in increased suspensions and disciplinary actions, but the number of suspendable offenses have now dropped by 40%.

But we need more than zero tolerance policies to make and keep our schools safe. We need more resources for after school programs to keep children safe and supervised. And we police, parents and other responsible adults to be involved with their schools.

**Q: What happens to students that are expelled?**

A: The majority of expelled students are being sent to alternate schools, and the number of these schools has nearly tripled over the past decade (from 894 to 2,604). However, the report seems to indicate that some of these students are not being placed in alternative schools, and that is troubling. The Administration supports the growing movement to develop alternative schools, many of which tend to be charter schools.

**Q: Why were some of the expulsions in the GFSA report shortened?**

A: For one of two reasons: first, the GFSA generally allows the chief educational officer at the local level to modify expulsions on a case-by-case basis; and, second, this flexibility allows children with disabilities to be disciplined under the provisions of another law -- the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). Under the IDEA, disabled children may be suspended for not more than 10 school days or removed to an alternative setting for up to 45 days.

**Q: The District of Columbia has indicated in the GFSA report that, although it is currently implementing its GFSA policy, it did not do so during the 1996-1997 school year. What does this mean for DC?**

A: The District is currently enforcing the GFSA. In fact, four students have already been expelled this year for bringing a firearm to school. Also, the District is currently conducting a school-by-school survey to determine whether individual schools expelled any students for bringing a firearm to school during the 1996-1997 school year. The Department of Education is working closely with the incoming school superintendent, Arlene Ackerman, to make sure that the District continues to comply with the GFSA.

**Q: Is DC in danger of losing its funding because of this?**

A: Under the GFSA, any state that does not comply with the GFSA could lose its ESEA formula grants funds -- or \$31 million in the District's case. We know that the District is now enforcing the law. We are beginning an investigation, with the full co-operation of the incoming superintendent, to understand why the law was not enforced during the 1996-1997 school year. We expect to have some preliminary answers within the next 30 days.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Mindy E. Myers ( CN=Mindy E. Myers/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 7-MAY-1998 11:35:03.00

SUBJECT: Dem. Caucus Child Care

TO: Jennifer L. Klein ( CN=Jennifer L. Klein/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

The Dem. Caucus is checking out a couple of times for a Child Care mtg w/ Members next week. They have offered 10:30am - 11:30am or 2:00pm - 3:00 pm on Thursday (5/14). Please let me know which time might work better for you.

Additionally, Janet and Gephardt's staff are trying to schedule a staff level mtg for Tuesday or Wednesday. Would 1:30 pm on Tuesday (5/14) work? If not, please offer some other times. (Are you in on Tuesdays, Jenn?)

Thanks-  
Mindy



**EDUCATION OPPORTUNITY ZONES  
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS**

For internal use only -- May 7, 1998

**What is the purpose of the Education Opportunity Zones?**

This initiative will help accelerate and expand progress in high-poverty urban and rural school districts that are on the right track, and highlight models of success. Education Opportunity Zones will demonstrate how a serious approach to high standards benefits all students. Funds will be targeted to improve low performing schools, expand opportunities for student achievement, broaden choices for families, and hold schools, teachers, and students accountable for results.

**How do the Zones relate to the President's other new initiatives?**

Education opportunity zones are part of a broader set of initiatives to help strengthen urban and rural schools. President Clinton has also proposed new initiatives to reduce class size in the primary grades, modernize school buildings, recruit and prepare teachers for underserved urban and rural areas, and dramatically expand the availability and quality of child care and after-school learning opportunities. These and other proposals will have a powerful impact on improving the prospects of children in some of our poorest communities.

**Are you proposing this initiative as an alternative to respond to Republican calls for vouchers?**

The President is committed to strengthening public schools, not abandoning them. Along with other new initiatives that will help raise achievement for urban and rural students, like school construction and teacher recruitment and preparation, we are proposing Education Opportunity Zones in response to the clear need for sharp improvements in the nation's poorest school districts and to encourage and expand promising school reform efforts that are taking a disciplined, effective approach centered on high standards. The fact is that 90% of our students attend public schools, and our primary responsibility, especially with limited federal resources, is to make sure that the public schools they attend are among the best in the world. This means concentrating our time and money on raising academic standards, improving teaching, providing schools with technology and other up-to-date learning tools, and creating charter schools and other forms of choice within the public school system. In contrast to vouchers, the Education Opportunity Zones will support effective local efforts to improve education for all students in participating districts, rather than just a few.

**What kind of support do you expect to receive in Congress?**

We were pleased to note that when introduced in Congress by Senator Kennedy and Congressman Clay they were joined by TK co-sponsors in the House and TK co-sponsors in the Senate. Improving public schools in our most disadvantaged communities should be a bipartisan national priority. Lawmakers in both parties are keen on finding tough, effective ways to address low achievement in some of our largest cities, and we expect this proposal to gain strong bipartisan support.

**How many grants are expected to be awarded and what will be their size?**

The Zone initiative would invest approximately \$200 million in FY 99, and \$1.5 billion over five years. We expect to fund over 50 grants to urban and rural communities. The Zones will be selected in two rounds, the first in FY 1999, and the second in FY 2001. Grants will be awarded for 3 years. Districts may receive further support in years 4 and 5 only if they have demonstrated success in reaching the agreed benchmarks.

**How do these new Zones differ from Empowerment Zones and Enterprise Communities?**

The Education Opportunity Zones initiative and the EZ/EC initiative support one another, but are distinct. Education Opportunity Zones will consist of entire school districts or consortia of school districts. Empowerment Zones and Enterprise Communities are specific neighborhoods or regions that may or may not be contiguous with school districts. While both are in the spirit of bringing the community together behind focused efforts to address local challenges, Education Opportunity Zones are especially designed to address the educational needs of their communities and school districts, whereas the EZ/EC initiative is aimed at broader community revitalization strategies, which can include education but also extend to economic development, community development, and job training. Communities with a strong EZ/EC effort with a significant focus on education will be well-positioned to rally the community together behind the kinds of effective school reforms that will be supported by Education Opportunity Zones.

**Do Zone districts have to participate in the national tests?**

No. We are pleased that 16 major school districts have already made a commitment to take part in the national tests of 4th grade reading and 8th grade math, but participation in these tests is voluntary. However, successful Education Opportunity Zone applicants must show that they have firmly integrated challenging standards and tests (which could include state, local, or national assessments) into their strategies for raising student achievement.

**Will Education Opportunity Zones receive a preference for other initiatives, such as school construction funding?**

At this time, there are no plans to provide additional incentives for participation beyond in the Education Opportunity Zones initiative beyond the added funding and flexibility that all Zones will receive. However, other new initiatives will include features targeting assistance on the kinds of high poverty communities that the Zones are designed to assist.

**EDUCATION OPPORTUNITY ZONES:  
STRENGTHENING URBAN AND RURAL SCHOOLS**

May 7, 1998

*I challenge every school district to adopt high standards, to abolish social promotion, to move aggressively to help all students make the grade through tutoring, and summer schools, and to hold schools accountable for results, giving them the tools and the leadership and the parental involvement to do the job.*

*-- President Bill Clinton, October 28, 1997*

**HELPING RAISE ACHIEVEMENT FOR STUDENTS IN HIGH POVERTY COMMUNITIES.** President Clinton's Education Opportunity Zones initiative will strengthen public schools and help students master the basics where the need is the greatest: in high poverty urban and rural communities where low expectations, too many poorly prepared teachers, and overwhelmed school systems create significant barriers to high achievement. The Education Department will select approximately 50 high poverty urban and rural school districts that agree to: (1) end social promotion and turn around failing schools; (2) prevent students from falling behind by ensuring quality teaching, challenging curricula, and extended learning time; and (3) use high standards and tests of student achievement to identify and provide help to students, teachers and schools who need it. Added investments in these communities will accelerate their progress and provide successful models of system-wide, standards-based reform for the nation. The President's initiative will invest \$200-million in FY99, and \$1.5 billion over 5 years, to raise achievement and share lessons learned with school districts around the country.

**ENDING SOCIAL PROMOTION, AND GIVING SCHOOLS THE TOOLS TO HELP EVERY CHILD MEET HIGH EXPECTATIONS.** To be selected as Education Opportunity Zones, school districts will have to demonstrate that they are using their existing funds effectively to raise student achievement by: ■ holding schools accountable for helping students reach high academic standards, including rewarding schools that succeed and intervening in schools that fail to make progress; ■ holding teachers and principals accountable for quality, including rewarding outstanding teachers, providing help to teachers who need it, and fairly and quickly removing ineffective teachers; ■ ensuring students don't fall behind, by providing a rich curriculum, good teaching and extended learning opportunities; ■ ending social promotions and requiring students to meet academic standards at key transition points in their academic careers; and ■ providing students and parents with school report cards and expanded choice within public education.

**EXTRA RESOURCES TO IMPROVE TEACHING, LEARNING, AND LEADERSHIP.** School districts will use Education Opportunity Zone funds to support standards-based, district-wide reforms such as: ■ rewarding schools that make significant gains in student achievement; ■ turning around low-performing schools by implementing proven reform models, or closing them down and reconstituting them; ■ providing extra help to students who need it to meet challenging standards, through after-school, Saturday, and/or summer school programs; ■ building stronger partnerships between schools and parents, businesses, and communities; ■

Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion

implementing sound management practices and accountability systems; ■ providing intensive professional development to teachers and principals; ■ helping outstanding teachers earn master teacher certification from the National Board for Professional Teacher Standards and rewarding them when they do; and ■ implementing programs to identify low performing teachers, assist them to improve, and fairly remove them if they fail to do so.

**COMPETITIVE GRANTS TO SUPPORT PROMISING MODELS.** Districts will be selected as Education Opportunity Zones under a competitive, peer-review process. A mix of large and smaller urban areas will be selected to participate, as well as rural school districts and consortia. Each urban Education Opportunity Zone will receive a 3-year grant of \$10-25 million per year (depending upon size and proposed activities), and each rural Zone will receive from \$250,000 to \$3 million (for consortia). Zones will be selected in two rounds, the first in FY 1999, and the second in FY 2001. Successful applicants will have broad-based partnerships to support their reforms -- including parents, teachers, local government, business and civic groups, institutions of higher education and other key stakeholders. Successful applications will show how the district will use all available resources -- federal, state, and local, as well as any business or foundation funds -- to carry out its reform strategy and maintain it once these federal funds are no longer available.

**REWARDS FOR DEMONSTRATED STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT GAINS.** Each Education Opportunity Zone will agree to specific, ambitious, benchmarks for improved student achievement, lower dropout rates and other indicators of success, for districtwide performance and specific student subgroups. Districts may receive further support in years 4 and 5 only if they have demonstrated success in reaching those benchmarks.

**GREATER FLEXIBILITY IN USING OTHER FEDERAL RESOURCES.** All schools in an Education Opportunity Zone school district receiving Title I funds will become eligible for schoolwide flexibility in the use of federal education funds. Requirements pertaining to school accountability, as well as special education, health, safety, and civil rights, will continue to be met.

**ASSISTANCE TO HELP DISTRICTS FIND AND SHARE WHAT WORKS.** The Department of Education will offer technical assistance, use technology to help districts consult with each other, and disseminate lessons learned to communities nationwide. Special attention will be given to helping school districts design and implement strategies for providing students who need it with early intervention and extra help to enable them to meet promotion standards. In addition, a national evaluation of the Education Opportunity Zones will be conducted, with the results helping to inform the next reauthorization of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act.

**BOLD ACTION TO HELP CHILDREN IN OUR CITIES AND RURAL AREAS.** Education Opportunity Zones are part of a broader set of initiatives to help strengthen high-poverty urban and rural schools. President Clinton is also proposing new initiatives to reduce class size in the primary grades, modernize school buildings, recruit and prepare teachers

**Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion**

for underserved urban and rural areas, and dramatically expand the availability and quality of child care and after-school learning opportunities. These and other proposals will have a powerful impact on improving the prospects of children in some of our poorest communities.



**Class Size Q's and A's**

- Q. The Senate already rejected a class size amendment several weeks ago when it took up the Coverdell bill, along with other key parts of the President's education agenda, such as school construction. What do you expect Congress to do with the legislation the President transmitted today?**
- A. We expect the Congress to take this proposal up, and we will fight to get it passed in both the House and Senate and to the President's desk for his signature. The fact that the Senate has turned this down as an amendment to the Coverdell bill does not predict how it will fare when it is fully considered on its own terms. As we learned in 1996, as we get closer to the Fall elections, Congressional interest in doing things that will have a tangible benefit for education increases. Reducing class size and modernizing school buildings are among the most important and tangible things this Congress could do to improve our schools.

This proposal is an important part of the President's overall effort to strengthen public education. Parents and teachers know that children will learn more in smaller classes, and the report released by the Education Department backs that up with solid research evidence. This national effort to reduce class size in the early grades will help significantly improve the quality of our public schools.

Smaller classes should not be a partisan issue. It isn't a partisan issue outside of Washington, where governors of both parties (e.g., Wilson in CA, Gilmore in VA, Carper in DE) have launched their own efforts to reduce class size. Mayors of both parties, who met with the President just yesterday, support federal funding to help reduce class size. We hope the Congress will join with parents, educators and elected officials to support this effort on a bipartisan basis.

- Q. The President has proposed to pay for this class size reduction initiative out of funds from the proposed tobacco settlement. Yet in an interview (with Al Hunt) last week, the President said he would be willing to let Congress give states more flexibility in how to spend tobacco money. How hard is the President going to fight for this proposal?**
- A. The primary focus on tobacco legislation right now appropriately is to make sure that any legislation meets the principles the President has laid out for preventing teen smoking and promoting public health. As the legislative process progresses, we will work closely with the Congress to ensure that the President's priorities are reflected in how tobacco revenue is spent. The President is going to fight hard for this proposal, as he has been doing for all of his education proposals. That's why he went to Delaware today--to continue to make the case for his proposals throughout the country.
- Q. The President has proposed to pay for this class size reduction initiative out of funds**

Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion

**from the proposed tobacco settlement. If the Congress doesn't pass tobacco legislation will the President be forced to drop this proposal, or is there another funding source for this?**

A. We expect the Congress to pass tobacco legislation before \_\_\_\_\_; the President is working very hard to help make that happen, and he believes the chances are good that such legislation will pass. So it is premature to speculate about hypothetical situations.

**Q. Delaware is trying to pass its own legislation to reduce class size in the early grades. Why should the federal government do the same thing, if states are already doing it?**

A. First, there are many states and many communities which are not yet working to give students small classes, so it is a mistake to assume that because some states are working to lower class size that all are. Second, while it is very important to give students smaller classes, it is also expensive to do this. The President has called for national effort to create smaller classes, and for creating a partnership between federal, state and local governments to accomplish this goal. The President's proposal provides significant resources to help states and communities reduce class size.

**Q. California already has a major initiative to reduce class size to 19 students in grades 1-2. If the President's proposal passes, wouldn't a state like California simply stop spending its own money on this, and use federal money instead?**

A. Like most federal education programs, the President's proposal would require states to continue its own efforts and not simply substitute federal funds for state funds. But, California could use the funds under this program to further reduce class size in the early grades--say to 15 students. Or, it could use these funds to expand its efforts to additional grades.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 7-MAY-1998 12:26:57.00

SUBJECT: Raidt called Gotbaum; wants mtg Fri at 9:30 re: JCT estimate

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Josh says Raidt called, and asked us to bring up our pricing folks to meet with the CBO estimators tomorrow morning at 9:30 to essentially try to talk them into using assumptions more like ours.

I assume we should do this, and that I will attend unless one of you wants to. We would have Gotbaum, Gruber, Scholtz, and Wolin (because of their smuggling estimates).

----- Forwarded by Cynthia A. Rice/OPD/EOP on 05/07/98  
12:23 PM -----

JOSHUA  
GOTBAUM  
05/06/98 08:06:40 PM

Record Type: Non-Record

To: Bruce N. Reed/OPD/EOP, Elena Kagan/OPD/EOP, Cynthia A. Rice/OPD/EOP  
cc: Cynthia Dailard/OPD/EOP, Joseph J. Minarik/OMB/EOP, Richard J. Turman/OMB/EOP  
Subject: JCT estimate of McCain bill could be \$2 or more.

Based on staff conversations and using more conservative assumptions about pass-through, smuggling and coverage of products other than cigarettes, JCT will likely estimate a real per pack increase of "\$2 and change" under S 1415 by 2003-2008. (CBO contracted out the revenue part of the estimate to JCT, as Karl predicted.)

We'll know more tomorrow.



Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion

DRAFT (11:37 am)

**Sally called with further additions, all her additions are in bold.**

May 6, 1998

MEMORANDUM FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

FROM: ELENA KAGAN  
SALLY KATZEN

SUBJECT: USDA's Proposed Organic Rule

In 1990, Congress passed the Organic Food Production Act which required the Department of Agriculture (USDA) to establish a **government certification program** and national standard defining the use of the term "organic" for use on food products. The legislation was strongly supported by the organic industry which sought to involve the federal government in creating a unified organic standard, rather than the myriad of private and state-endorsed definitions.

In December 1997, USDA published a proposed regulation to establish a national organic standard. **It has been the USDA position that the standard is a marketing description of what is and is not organic. The Secretary has emphasized that the organic designation is not intended to convey information about the safety, nutritional value, or environmental benefits of organic products and practices. On the other hand, the Administration has long promoted food safety and some agencies have strong concerns that an organic label will inevitably be construed as an indication of the improved safety of the product.**

Since the publication of the proposed rule, it has been the subject of extensive criticism. **USDA has received almost 200,000 comments regarding the proposed rule, the most ever received for a USDA rulemaking.** The primary complaint has been that the proposed rule does not explicitly prohibit the use of genetically modified organisms, irradiation, and biosolids (sludge) in food that could be labeled "organic." In the preamble to its proposal, USDA had requested comments as to whether foods using any of these techniques could be considered as organic. **It requested comments on these products and practices because of possible safety benefits and consistency with Administration policy, not because they were thought to be within the definition of organic, which they are not.** The rule has also been the subject of unfavorable editorials in many newspapers including the New York Times, the Washington Post, the Chicago Tribune, and the Los Angeles Times. ( Sample "Organic Hash From the USDA Kitchen"). Finally, 47 members of the House and 30 Senators have signed letters to Secretary Glickman expressing concern about the proposed rule.

**Current Status**

Secretary Glickman plans to issue a press release this Friday, May 7th, (attached),

Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion

indicating that USDA will repropose the rule and “make fundamental changes in the new proposed rule on organic standards.” Specifically, the statement would indicate that biotechnology, irradiation, and biosolids are “being taken off the table and will not be included in our new proposal.” Articles in the last week in USA TODAY, and the Washington Post have indicated that USDA is preparing such a statement.

Representatives of OMB and NEC have been concerned about USDA’s plan to issue a statement taking these techniques “off the table.” These agencies argue that consumers will likely view a product labeled as organic as safe, despite the fact that there is not evidence to demonstrate that organic food is any safer than non-organic food, or that genetically modified, irradiated, or food grown using sludge is unsafe. **To the extent that consumers read an organic label as demonstrating safety, they may be misled.** OMB points out that organic material might be even worse in terms of some microbiological hazards. Organic foods **fertilized with manure** have been and may in the future be linked to illnesses such as occurred in the Odwalla juice outbreak. OMB notes the danger of contradiction in Administration policy in areas such as **genetically modified products** and biosolids (sludge) in which the Administration is on record supporting the safety of the practice. OMB and NEC suggested that USDA consider modifying the organic label to include a provision stating something along the lines of “organic food may be no more or less safe than non-organic food” or that USDA continue its comment review process and not prematurely prohibit using the term organic for food using any of the three disputed techniques.

USDA felt strongly that the label should not be modified and that a statement announcing a reproposal needs to be made promptly. USDA states that the organic label is not intended to signify the overall safety of the food, only the methods by which the food was produced, and that it will not advertise the label as having anything to do with safety. USDA notes that the disputed techniques are clearly not in keeping with the public’s expectation of what constitutes organic. The Food and Drug Administration has expressed **general** support for USDA’s position.

### **Recommendation**

We recognize the need for USDA to clarify its position on organics, and recommend that Secretary Glickman issue a statement indicating that biotechnology, irradiation, and sludge will not be part of the revised proposal. We suggest USDA not include the phrase “taken off the table,” however, which may imply they could never be considered. **In its place we suggest the phrase “we will be clear that the term ‘organic’ is not intended to encompass these products and practices.”** In addition, after discussions with OMB, OSTP, NEC, FDA and USDA we have agreed upon two additional measures that could ameliorate some concerns over safety. These include (1) having USDA and FDA conduct a survey on consumer attitudes towards organic food to determine whether consumers purchase organic products on the basis of unproven safety claims, and (2) having USDA insert in the preamble of its new rule language indicating that the National Organic Standard Board should report regularly to the Secretary on possible uses of new technologies and whether they might meet an organic standard.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Mindy E. Myers ( CN=Mindy E. Myers/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 7-MAY-1998 12:45:24.00

SUBJECT: Child Care mtg w/ Staff

TO: Jennifer L. Klein ( CN=Jennifer L. Klein/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Andi King/Gephard and Janet spoke. They have set the Child Care staff mtg for 2:00pm on Tuesday (5/12).

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Lisa M. Jones ( CN=Lisa M. Jones/OU=OMB/O=EOP [ OMB ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 7-MAY-1998 16:32:57.00

SUBJECT: Heads-up on EPA's Proposed Rule on the Identification of Lead Standard

TO: Linda Lance ( CN=Linda Lance/OU=CEQ/O=EOP@EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mickey Ibarra ( CN=Mickey Ibarra/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Waldman ( CN=Michael Waldman/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gene B. Sperling ( CN=Gene B. Sperling/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sylvia M. Mathews ( CN=Sylvia M. Mathews/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis ( CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ron Klain ( CN=Ron Klain/O=OVP@OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rahm I. Emanuel ( CN=Rahm I. Emanuel/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kathleen A. McGinty ( CN=Kathleen A. McGinty/OU=CEQ/O=EOP@EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet L. Yellen ( CN=Janet L. Yellen/OU=CEA/O=EOP@EOP [ CEA ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry J. Toiv ( CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John Podesta ( CN=John Podesta/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sally Katzen ( CN=Sally Katzen/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thurgood Marshall Jr ( CN=Thurgood Marshall Jr/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lawrence J. Stein ( CN=Lawrence J. Stein/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria Echaveste ( CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ: UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Memo signed on Thursday, May 7th.

MEMORANDUM FOR ERSKINE BOWLES

THROUGH: Franklin D. Raines

FROM: Donald R. Arbuckle

SUBJECT: Heads-up on EPA's proposed rule on the Identification of  
Lead Standard

We expect to complete our review this Friday of EPA's proposed standards that identify hazardous levels of lead-based paint, lead-contaminated dust, and lead-contaminated soil for residential housing. EPA estimates costs associated with this rule of more than \$50 billion over the next 50 years. These costs represent the lead hazard testing and abatement likely to occur in response to the new proposed standard. EPA estimates the benefits to range from approximately \$40 billion by one model to \$160 billion by another model. The benefits are largely from the avoidance of IQ loss due to lead exposure.

In the course of our review, EPA agreed to expand their discussion of key elements of the proposal, such as the effect of different levels of soil standards, in order to set out alternative options for public comment. EPA has also agreed to conduct further analysis of these alternatives before submitting a final rule in order to provide a more complete picture of their preferred option and the identified alternatives.

Environmental and child safety groups support a more stringent set of hazard standards than that proposed by EPA. In contrast, associations of real estate agents and multi-family dwelling owners support less stringent options. On balance, we believe the rule fairly describes EPA's preferred standards while also preserving the option to go with alternative standards if compelled by public comment or further analysis.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

cc: Maria Echaveste  
Rahm Emanuel  
Larry Stein  
Ron Klain  
Thurgood Marshall, Jr.  
Ann Lewis  
Sally Katzen  
Sylvia Mathews

John Podesta  
Bruce Reed  
Gene Sperling  
Elena Kagan  
Barry Toiv  
Michael Waldman  
Janet Yellen  
Mickey Ibarra

Katie McGinty  
Linda Lance  
T.J. Glauthier

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Diana Fortuna ( CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 8-MAY-1998 15:11:09.00

SUBJECT: Your review needed ASAP: Wellstone amendment to let college count as work

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Wellstone will offer an amendment to the higher ed bill next week to let college count as a work activity for up to 24 months. It also increases the amount of time that vocational education can count as work from 12 months to 24 months. I was writing up a paragraph opposing Wellstone when I realized perhaps you would want to put this in the weekly, given the President's ongoing interest/concern about stories that college students on welfare are having to drop out of school.

Below is (1) a draft item for the weekly, in case you want to use it; (2) a draft paragraph opposing Wellstone to put into our SAP; and (3) a description of the amendment.

Possible Weekly Addendum

Wellstone Pushes to Permit College Attendance to Count as Work Under Welfare Law -- Next week, Senator Wellstone plans to offer an amendment to the Higher Education reauthorization bill that would (1) permit college attendance to count toward the welfare law's participation rates for up to two years; (2) increase the amount of time that vocational education can count as work from one year to two years; and (3) increase the overall cap on these activities by not making teen parents subject to the cap.

We plan to oppose these changes because they would weaken the welfare law's work requirements. To date, we have opposed all proposals to weaken these requirements. We believe that the considerable flexibility that the welfare law gives states is adequate to allow them to consider the individual circumstances of TANF recipients. Even when the work requirements are fully phased in, only 50% of the caseload must be working. Since states may count caseload reductions toward the work requirements, the dramatic drops of the past few years will make this goal far easier to meet. States can also help college students to combine work with welfare. We have urged colleges to use the work-study program as one means to that end.

Draft SAP language:

The Administration opposes the Wellstone amendment to weaken the welfare law's work requirements by allowing more education and training activities to count toward the work participation rates than under current law. These work requirements were the product of bipartisan agreement,

and it is not appropriate to weaken them. The welfare law gives states considerable flexibility to consider the individual circumstances of TANF recipients.

Description of Wellstone Amendment:

Wellstone amendment:

Increases amount of time that voc ed can count as a work activity from 12 months to 24 months.

Make post-secondary education a permissible work activity just as voc ed is (for up to 24 months); currently, it never counts as work.

Permanently pulls teen parents out of the cap. This would increase the effective size of the cap by 4% beginning in the year 2000, when it would grow from 8% of the caseload to 14%.

Last summer's change to voc ed cap (this is just as a reminder)

Raised cap on voc ed from 20% to 30% (we opposed this, although not vocally)

Clarified that the base to which cap applies is those working, not the entire caseload; advocates wanted it be the entire caseload (we supported)

Pulled teen parents (about 4% of caseload) out of the cap for the first two years of TANF (not an irrational change, since teen parents were eating up most of the cap in the first two years, when the cap was smallest)

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 8-MAY-1998 15:04:16.00

SUBJECT: Here's the info Rahm asked for

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena. Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Bruce -- this is the q&a I showed you.. did you find out anything more about what Rahm is thinking?

----- Forwarded by Cynthia A. Rice/OPD/EOP on 05/08/98

03:03 PM -----

Cynthia A. Rice  
05/08/98 03:00:44 PM  
Record Type: Record

To: Michelle Crisci/WHO/EOP  
cc: Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OPD/EOP  
Subject: Here's the info Rahm asked for

Leanne tracked it down

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====  
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS\_EXT: [ATTACH.D14]MAIL487204829.126 to ASCII,  
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF575043B0040000010A02010000000205000000380A000000020000C7F32F00D4077DD6B396C5  
DFC6C813F439432B00EC18F3DD36474FC7E6E0ECE0FE0BA60BEE09F517437EA5BBB71BB1248CC  
ABCB4579A4B9290674C8E5B06DF7D433553C1A805D57F593553E2D9A4F030B9E9B93C960689D17  
4F6983E17BC27C80B677CE9507D7BEB7A2EA0D4FF530C97889231FF93D437F1F38A0F13EA6E936  
3C6E89766C56C9C5C99C6F8A0267EB3D8F7FCA044C2CF6DF971D75B73662DD339E06D4CD1F1310  
E501FD8BEDA8747679287BF7656610EC0078CF68B63750DB0E038BAFE0D7091E7C7E63246AA3EF  
583A6110D53CE4C556B56184665038B118392880DA4CA12128B0D121DF89533B2C0D734FEE254B  
647D76B3547F9D011F7B7012BAD2067FC012A71BE016F1D6F08A04EA514308F509C3AC0994379C  
A9595A6E076432862957CAC10CED1F61A7A426401272D163012944101421FD49C0B330236F825F  
AFD699D4C4CD0AE2276A03957F9B697C8B4857A42D2399E358C3016AFBD255AEC91F95F559114D  
C35A0A7B2EC2205E6E81CB4F4D03C3059A9B146E760DEF06CCD53694E678DCA46CCE5172A03F53  
C054DA3059C600E5C51BABA0E2DC6C46BC40ED945B8B572F6157CCFF5F15D49A755C9D1E97F222  
ED66CAA7A590ADAB2C3E7D41201709DA669326DF51FBEDCDA460C252F7B805159E7F76F422130  
14F14AF6EB02000A000000000000000000000000823010000000B0100008C020000005501000000  
4E0000009703000009250100000006000000E50300000B300200000028000000EB030000081601

Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion

**Q. What is the relationship between cigarette smoking and illicit drug use? Is tobacco a gateway drug?**

A. A 1996 survey released by the Department of Health and Human Services found that teen smokers were significantly more likely to use drugs and drink heavily. The 1996 National Household Survey on Drug Abuse, released by HHS' Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, found that 12-17 year-olds who were current smokers were nine times as likely to use illicit drugs and 16 times as likely to drink heavily, as nonsmoking youths. An earlier National Household Survey (from 1994-1995) found that among 12-17 year-olds who had smoked marijuana in their lifetime, 74% had tried cigarettes before marijuana.

While we cannot definitively state that there is a direct cause and effect relationship between youth tobacco and drug use, this data suggests a correlation between the two.



Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion

HHS Defines Programs Off Limits to Illegal Aliens -- In the next few weeks, HHS will publish a list of benefits that illegal immigrants may not receive. The welfare law states that illegal immigrants are not eligible for "federal public benefits," and HHS is now providing its interpretation of that term. HHS will state that illegal immigrants are not eligible for child care, low-income heating assistance, and Community Service block grant benefits, among others. However, HHS believes the law does allow illegal immigrants to remain eligible for services at community health clinics and for benefits under the Maternal and Child Health block grant and the Older Americans Act. Republicans such as Rep. Lamar Smith and Gov. Wilson of California will argue that HHS should have defined the term federal public benefit far more broadly to include these programs, but we are comfortable with HHS's legal rationale. HHS feels strongly that community health clinics, which are often the only providers of prenatal care in poor communities, should remain open to all.

The welfare law also requires that those programs classified as federal public benefits verify the immigration status of all applicants, including U.S. citizens. The INS will soon issue a regulation to implement this requirement, and we expect to hear complaints about the burden this will impose on those programs.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Kate P. Donovan ( CN=Kate P. Donovan/OU=OMB/O=EOP [ OMB ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 8-MAY-1998 18:39:38.00

SUBJECT: OMB Legislative Report -- May 8, 1998

TO: Robert D. Kyle ( CN=Robert D. Kyle/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dianne M. Wells ( CN=Dianne M. Wells/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Daniel N. Mendelson ( CN=Daniel N. Mendelson/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: RUDMAN\_M@A1@CD@VAXGTWY ( RUDMAN\_M@A1@CD@VAXGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] ) (NSC)  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janelle E. Erickson ( CN=Janelle E. Erickson/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Judy Jablow ( CN=Judy Jablow/OU=CEQ/O=EOP@EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Roger S. Ballentine ( CN=Roger S. Ballentine/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lawrence J. Haas ( CN=Lawrence J. Haas/O=OVP@OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David A. Bernell ( CN=David A. Bernell/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan H. Adashek ( CN=Jonathan H. Adashek/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Suzanne Dale ( CN=Suzanne Dale/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barbara Chow ( CN=Barbara Chow/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Theodore Wartell ( CN=Theodore Wartell/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter D. Greenberger ( CN=Peter D. Greenberger/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan Orszag ( CN=Jonathan Orszag/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dario J. Gomez ( CN=Dario J. Gomez/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Allan E. Brown ( CN=Allan E. Brown/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ruby Shamir ( CN=Ruby Shamir/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sean E. O'Connor ( CN=Sean E. O'Connor/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eric R. Anderson ( CN=Eric R. Anderson/O=OVP@OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wesley P. Warren ( CN=Wesley P. Warren/OU=CEQ/O=EOP@EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nancy E. Schwartz ( CN=Nancy E. Schwartz/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael W. Williams ( CN=Michael W. Williams/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maryanne B. Green ( CN=Maryanne B. Green/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry White ( CN=Barry White/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ronald E. Jones ( CN=Ronald E. Jones/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rosemary Evans ( CN=Rosemary Evans/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ronald K. Peterson ( CN=Ronald K. Peterson/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Carol Thompson-Cole ( CN=Carol Thompson-Cole/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janie L. Jeffers ( CN=Janie L. Jeffers/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steven L. Schooner ( CN=Steven L. Schooner/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura S. Marcus ( CN=Laura S. Marcus/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kathryn B. Stack ( CN=Kathryn B. Stack/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jake Siewert ( CN=Jake Siewert/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Patricia E. Romani ( CN=Patricia E. Romani/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andrew M. Schoenbach ( CN=Andrew M. Schoenbach/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Edward A. Brigham ( CN=Edward A. Brigham/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Francis S. Redburn ( CN=Francis S. Redburn/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David E. Tornquist ( CN=David E. Tornquist/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Richard J. Turman ( CN=Richard J. Turman/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Larry R. Matlack ( CN=Larry R. Matlack/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gary L. Bennethum ( CN=Gary L. Bennethum/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rodney G. Bent ( CN=Rodney G. Bent/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steven D. Aitken ( CN=Steven D. Aitken/OU=OMB/O=EOP@E [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Toni S. Hustead ( CN=Toni S. Hustead/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry J. Toiv ( CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brian A. Barreto ( CN=Brian A. Barreto/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Justine F. Rodriguez ( CN=Justine F. Rodriguez/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: OLIVER\_A@A1 ( OLIVER\_A@A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] ) (OMB)  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: LEVIN\_P@A1 ( LEVIN\_P@A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Richard A. Mertens ( CN=Richard A. Mertens/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: MCKIERNAN\_K@A1 ( MCKIERNAN\_K@A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: James B. Kazel ( CN=James B. Kazel/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert S. Fairweather ( CN=Robert S. Fairweather/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert J. Nassif ( CN=Robert J. Nassif/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anita Chellaraj ( CN=Anita Chellaraj/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet Himler ( CN=Janet Himler/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Douglas B. Sosnik ( CN=Douglas B. Sosnik/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John Podesta ( CN=John Podesta/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Todd Stern ( CN=Todd Stern/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: WEINSTEIN\_D@A1 ( WEINSTEIN\_D@A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: E. Holly Fitter ( CN=E. Holly Fitter/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Constance J. Bowers ( CN=Constance J. Bowers/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeffrey A. Weinberg ( CN=Jeffrey A. Weinberg/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: HOLSTEIN\_E@A1 ( HOLSTEIN\_E@A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: James J. Jukes ( CN=James J. Jukes/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elisa Millsap ( CN=Elisa Millsap/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles Konigsberg ( CN=Charles Konigsberg/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce D. Long ( CN=Bruce D. Long/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ellen J. Balis ( CN=Ellen J. Balis/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert E. Barker ( CN=Robert E. Barker/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Philip A. DuSault ( CN=Philip A. DuSault/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ronald M. Cogswell ( CN=Ronald M. Cogswell/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alan B. Rhinesmith ( CN=Alan B. Rhinesmith/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jill M. Blickstein ( CN=Jill M. Blickstein/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joseph J. Minarik ( CN=Joseph J. Minarik/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: T J. Glauthier ( CN=T J. Glauthier/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles E. Kieffer ( CN=Charles E. Kieffer/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Chandler G. Spaulding ( CN=Chandler G. Spaulding/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gina C. Mooers ( CN=Gina C. Mooers/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Victoria Wassmer ( CN=Victoria Wassmer/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael L. Goad ( CN=Michael L. Goad/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Martha Foley ( CN=Martha Foley/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Linda Ricci ( CN=Linda Ricci/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ronald L. Silberman ( CN=Ronald L. Silberman/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sally Katzen ( CN=Sally Katzen/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisa B. Fairhall ( CN=Lisa B. Fairhall/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anna M. Briatico ( CN=Anna M. Briatico/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Donald R. Arbuckle ( CN=Donald R. Arbuckle/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wendy A. Taylor ( CN=Wendy A. Taylor/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter A. Weissman ( CN=Peter A. Weissman/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: BENDICK\_G@A1@CD@LNGTWY ( BENDICK\_G@A1@CD@LNGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] ) (NSC)  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jessica L. Gibson ( CN=Jessica L. Gibson/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stephen G. Elmore ( CN=Stephen G. Elmore/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sandra Yamin ( CN=Sandra Yamin/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wayne Upshaw ( CN=Wayne Upshaw/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robin J. Bachman ( CN=Robin J. Bachman/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jason S. Goldberg ( CN=Jason S. Goldberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gene B. Sperling ( CN=Gene B. Sperling/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert Donnelly ( CN=Robert Donnelly/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kevin S. Moran ( CN=Kevin S. Moran/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul J. Weinstein Jr. ( CN=Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: M. Jill Gibbons ( CN=M. Jill Gibbons/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer Ferguson ( CN=Jennifer Ferguson/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kenneth L. Schwartz ( CN=Kenneth L. Schwartz/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Melissa N. Benton ( CN=Melissa N. Benton/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Deich ( CN=Michael Deich/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mathew C. Blum ( CN=Mathew C. Blum/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rhodia D. Ewell ( CN=Rhodia D. Ewell/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles R. Marr ( CN=Charles R. Marr/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jill M. Pizzuto ( CN=Jill M. Pizzuto/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Edward M. Rea ( CN=Edward M. Rea/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Harry G. Meyers ( CN=Harry G. Meyers/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David J. Haun ( CN=David J. Haun/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Louisa Koch ( CN=Louisa Koch/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert M. Shireman ( CN=Robert M. Shireman/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Keith J. Fontenot ( CN=Keith J. Fontenot/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce K. Sasser ( CN=Bruce K. Sasser/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David H. Morrison ( CN=David H. Morrison/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eugene M. Ebner ( CN=Eugene M. Ebner/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Russell W. Horwitz ( CN=Russell W. Horwitz/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: HOGAN\_L@A1 ( HOGAN\_L@A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] ) (OPD)  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary Jo Siclari ( CN=Mary Jo Siclari/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julie E. Mason ( CN=Julie E. Mason/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: ABRAMSON\_K@A1 ( ABRAMSON\_K@A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kate P. Donovan ( CN=Kate P. Donovan/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter G. Jacoby ( CN=Peter G. Jacoby/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mark A. Weatherly ( CN=Mark A. Weatherly/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John A. Gribben ( CN=John A. Gribben/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet E. Irwin ( CN=Janet E. Irwin/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Melinda D. Haskins ( CN=Melinda D. Haskins/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alphonse J. Maldon ( CN=Alphonse J. Maldon/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Phillip Caplan ( CN=Phillip Caplan/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Shelley N. Fidler ( CN=Shelley N. Fidler/OU=CEQ/O=EOP@EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mickey Ibarra ( CN=Mickey Ibarra/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sylvia M. Mathews ( CN=Sylvia M. Mathews/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Philip R. Dame ( CN=Philip R. Dame/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Annette E. Rooney ( CN=Annette E. Rooney/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert J. Pellicci ( CN=Robert J. Pellicci/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: BROWN\_JA@A1 ( BROWN\_JA@A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] ) (OMB)  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ingrid M. Schroeder ( CN=Ingrid M. Schroeder/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet R. Forsgren ( CN=Janet R. Forsgren/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: James C. Murr ( CN=James C. Murr/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce W. McConnell ( CN=Bruce W. McConnell/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisa M. Kountoupes ( CN=Lisa M. Kountoupes/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alicia K. Kolaian ( CN=Alicia K. Kolaian/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Susanne D. Lind ( CN=Susanne D. Lind/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Richard P. Emery Jr. ( CN=Richard P. Emery Jr./OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry T. Clendenin ( CN=Barry T. Clendenin/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kathleen Peroff ( CN=Kathleen Peroff/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet L. Graves ( CN=Janet L. Graves/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William A. Halter ( CN=William A. Halter/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joshua Gotbaum ( CN=Joshua Gotbaum/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert G. Damus ( CN=Robert G. Damus/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jacob J. Lew ( CN=Jacob J. Lew/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

TO: DIRECTOR FRANK RAINES  
 DEPUTY DIRECTOR JACK LEW  
 DEP. DIRECTOR FOR MANAGEMENT ED DESEVE  
 EXECUTIVE ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR JOSH GOTBAUM

FROM: OMB LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS

DATE: MAY 8, 1998

SUBJECT: LEGISLATIVE REPORT

House Budget Committee Markup: Chairman Kasich is tentatively planning an FY99 Budget Resolution markup at House Budget Committee next Thursday, May 14. He is reportedly considering reporting out two resolutions: one resolution including a tax cut and significant spending cuts; and a second resolution similar to the Senate-passed.

CONGRESS -- TODAY (5/8):

SENATE:

Adopted (UC) S. Res. 116 - Regarding Whaling Policy

Adopted (UC) H.Con.Res. 265 - Regarding the use of the Capital Grounds for a Kennedy Center performance.

HOUSE:

Not in session.

CONGRESS - NEXT WEEK (5/11-5/15)

SENATE:

Monday, May 11:

Convene at 12:00 noon for morning business with no rollcall votes during Monday's session.

Begin consideration of S. 1150 - Agriculture Research Conference Report [The conference report's treatment of the Food Stamp administrative cost offset, as well as the balance of the report's provisions pertaining to Agriculture's research and development programs, is acceptable to the Administration.]

S. 1873 - American Missile Protection Act of 1998 (possible)  
 [SAP pending: Administration opposes]

Also on Monday, the Senate may begin consideration of the following high tech bills with votes stacked for Tuesday (5/12):

- o S. 1618 - To Improve the Protection of Consumers against Slamming by the Telecommunications Carriers  
 [SAP pending: Administration supports Senate passage]
- o S. 1723 - American Competitiveness Act  
 [SAP pending: Sect. of Labor veto recommendation]
- o S. 1260 - Securities Litigation Uniform Standards Act  
 [No SAP]

Tuesday, May 12 & the Balance of the Week:

Complete action on the high tech bills:

- o S. 1618 - To Improve the Protection of Consumers against Slamming by the Telecommunications Carriers  
 [SAP pending: Administration supports Senate passage]
- o S. 1723 - American Competitiveness Act  
 [SAP pending: Sect. of Labor veto recommendation]
- o S. 1260 - Securities Litigation Uniform Standards Act

[No SAP]

Continue consideration of S. 1150 - Agriculture Research Conference Report [The conference report's treatment of the Food Stamp administrative cost offset, as well as the balance of the report's provisions pertaining to Agriculture's research and development programs, is acceptable to the Administration.]

S. 1873 - American Missile Protection Act of 1998  
[SAP pending: Administration opposes]

S. 1244 - Religious Liberty and Charitable Donation Protection Act of 1997  
[SAP under discussion]

S. 1046 - National Science Foundation Authorization for FY 1999  
[SAP under discussion]

S. 1812 - Department of Defense Authorization for FY 1999 (possible)  
[SAP under discussion]

H.R. 2646 - Coverdell Education Savings Accounts conference report (possible)  
[Conferees letter under discussion]

S. 1882 - Higher Education Amendments of 1998 (possible)  
[SAP pending: possible □&Senior advisers□8 or □&Secretary□8 veto threat]

HOUSE:

Monday, May 11:  
Pro forma session

Tuesday, May 12

Convene at 12:30pm for morning hour and 2:00pm for legislative business.  
No recorded votes are expected before 5:00pm.

Consider the following 13 bills on the Suspensions Calendar:

o H.R. 2886 - Granite Watershed Enhancement and Protection Act of 1997 (pending receipt of CBO) [No SAP: no objection]

o H.R. 1021 - Miles Land Exchange Act of 1997 [No SAP: no objection]

o H.R. 2217 - To Extend the Deadline for the Construction of a Hydroelectric Project in Colorado [No SAP: no objection]

o H.R. 2841 - To Extend the Deadline for the Construction of a Hydroelectric Project [No SAP: no objection]

o H.Res. 423 - Expressing the Sense of the House with Respect to Winning the War on Drugs to Protect our Children [No SAP]

o H.R. 2652 - Collections of Information Antipiracy Act [SAP under discussion]

o H.R. 3723 - U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Reauthorization Act, FY 1999 [SAP under discussion: No objection to House passage but will seek amendments]

o H.R. 3811 - Establishing Felony Violations for Failure to Pay Legal Child Support Obligations [SAP pending: Administration strongly supports]

o H.R. 2829 - Bulletproof Vest Partnership Grant Act of 1997 [SAP pending: Administration supports]

o H.Res. 422 - Expressing the Sense of the House that Law Enforcement Officers who have Died in the Line of Duty should be Honored, Recognized, and Remembered for their Great Sacrifice [No SAP]

o H.Con.Res. 262 - Authorizing the 1998 District of Columbia Special Olympics Law Enforcement Torch Run to be run through the Capital Grounds [No SAP]

- o H.Con.Res. 263 - Authorizing the use of the Capitol Grounds for the Seventeenth Annual National Peace Officers□, Memorial Service [No SAP]
- o H.Con.Res. 255 - Authorizing the use of the Capitol Grounds for the Greater Washington Soap Box Derby [No SAP]

Wednesday, May 13:

Convene at 10:00am for legislative business.

H.R. 3534 - Mandates Information Act of 1998 (Subject to a rule)  
[No SAP]

H.R. 10 - Financial Services Competition Act of 1997 (Subject to a rule)  
[Treasury letter sent 5/7; SAP pending: Sect. of Treasury veto recommendation]

Thursday, May 14:

Convene at 10:00am for legislative business.

H.R. 2431 - Freedom from Religious Persecution Act of 1998 (Subject to a rule)  
[SAP pending: Senior advisers veto recommendation]

H.R. 512 - New Wildlife Refuge Authorization Act (Subject to a rule)  
[House SAP sent 4/21: Sect. of Interior veto recommendation]

H.R. 2646 - Coverdell Education Savings Accounts conference report  
(possible)  
[Conferees letter under discussion]

S. 1150 - Agriculture Research Conference Report (possible)  
[The conference report's treatment of the Food Stamp administrative cost offset, as well as the balance of the report's provisions pertaining to Agriculture's research and development programs, is acceptable to the Administration.]

Friday, May 15:

No votes

#### CONGRESS - LONG-RANGE SCHEDULE

##### SENATE:

Tobacco and or DOD authorization could be the week of the 18th. Also possible prior to the recess is an ISTE A conference report (Raines letter sent 4/30; Slater letter sent 5/1).

##### HOUSE:

On the tentative House schedule is an ISTE A conference report (Raines letter sent 4/30; Slater letter sent 5/1), Internet taxation, Internet gambling, and the FY99 budget resolution. Campaign finance is also possible May 15.