

NLWJC - KAGAN

EMAILS RECEIVED

ARMS - BOX 032 - FOLDER -007

[06/16/1998]

GUIDE TO ACCEPTING AMENDMENTS BY UC (June 15, 1998)* Automated Records Management System
Hex-Dump Conversion

Amendment	Oppose	Neutral	Support
Ashcroft 1 (2544) Strike prescribed activities for states	X		
Ashcroft 2 (2545) Strike funding trigger for NIH	X		
Ashcroft 3 (2546) Strike the funding trigger for CDC	X		
Ashcroft 4 (2547) Strike the funding trigger for FDA	X		
Ashcroft 5 (2548) Strike international tobacco control awareness	X		
Ashcroft 6 (2549) Strike authorization for international programs	X		
Ashcroft 7 (2550) Strike the tax deductibility of tobacco company payments	X		
Ashcroft 8 (2551) To authorize state spending against illegal drugs	X		
Ashcroft 9 (2552) Increase penalties for trafficking methamphetamine	X		
Ashcroft 10 (2553) Modify state law provisions regarding sale of tobacco products to minors	X		
Bingaman 1 (2525) To provide funding for a VA Compensation Account	X		
Bond 1 (2531) Sanctions for underage possession of tobacco		X	
Bond 2 (2532) Specifies \$100 million of retail licensing block grant for States and local law enforcement agencies	X		
Chafee 1 (2439) To prohibit smoking on airline flights			X
Conrad 1 Changes penalty for non-compliance with State retail and licensing laws to percentage of unrestricted state funds	X		
Conrad 2 Increases funds for counter-advertising programs to 50% of education and prevention funds		X	
Conrad 3 Permit States to enact laws more stringent than FDA-related provisions of this Act			X
Conrad 4 Permit sting operations to ensure compliance with State retail licensing laws			X
Conrad 5			X

* This list includes only some of the amendments filed.

GUIDE TO ACCEPTING AMENDMENTS BY UC (June 15, 1998)*

Amendment	Oppose	Neutral	Support
Permits follow-up inspections of certain tobacco sales & distributions outlets			
Conrad 6 Changes penalty for noncompliance with State retail and licensing laws to percentage of unrestricted state funds	X		
Conrad 7 Modify definition of addiction and dependence claim	X		
Conrad 8 Clarifies definition of tobacco claim	X		
Conrad 9 (2529) Authorizes \$500 million for counter-advertising		X	
Conrad 10 (2528) Changes penalty for noncompliance with State retail and licensing laws to 40% of certain PHS Act funds	X		
Conrad 11 (2527) Changes penalty for noncompliance with State retail and licensing laws to 50% of State block grant	X		
Craig 1 (2508) Increase funds available to States	X		
Craig 2 (2509) Limit trust fund to take account of added federal spending and reimburse states for lost revenue	X		
Dodd (2540) Floor for child care		X	
Domenici 1 (2510) R&D tax credits	X		
Domenici 2 (2511) Dedicate revenues to Medicare trust fund after fy2008	X		
Durbin 1 (2522) Clarify advertising for mass transit vehicles			X
Durbin 2 (2521) substitute provisions for reductions in under-age usage		X	
Durbin 3 (2523) Clarify definition of tobacco products			X
Durbin 4 (2524) clarify application to legislative branch for certain for certain tobacco act requirements		X	
Hatch 1 (2535) Banning a class of products or elimination of nicotine	X		
Hatch 2 (2536) Modify provisions relating to the rule of the FDA	X		
Hatch 3 (2537) Strikes pre-market review of tobacco products	X		
Hatch 4 (2538) Strike provisions relating to reporting of substantially	X		

* This list includes only some of the amendments filed.

GUIDE TO ACCEPTING AMENDMENTS BY UC (June 15, 1998)* Automated Records Management System
Hex-Dump Conversion

Amendment	Oppose	Neutral	Support
equivalent products			
Hatch 5 (2539) Clarify provisions: Requires FDA promulgation of performance standards for tobacco products	X		
Hutchison 1 (2558) Maintain State funding for those States that have separate settlements w/ tobacco manufacturers		X	
Hutchison 2 (2559) State opt-in with respect to receipt of funds under this Act	X		
Hutchison 3 (2560) Funds received under state settlements are not considered as reimbursements for Medicaid expenditures or overpayments	X		
Hutchison 4 (2561) Requires that the determination of attorneys fees under this act offset any State liability for attorney fees		X	
Inouye 1 (2556) Any required asbestos payment can be taken from Trust fund		X	
Jeffords 1 (2567) Funding floor for AHCPR	X		
Jeffords 2 (2542) Earmark for research activities at NIH	X		
Jeffords 3 (2543) Congressional oversight for public health allocation account activities		X	
Kennedy 1 (2506) Non-tax deductibility of industry payments	X		
Kennedy 2 (2507) Non-tax deductibility of industry payments: duplicate of Kennedy 1 above.	X		
Kerrey 1 Only funds deposited in the tobacco trust fund may be used for activities under the bill	X		
Kerrey 2 Requires creation of tobacco scholar program	X		
Kerrey 3 (2530) Tobacco farmer transition provisions	X		
Kerry + Others (2541) Floor for CCDBG		X	
Landrieu 1 (2517) Increase industry payments for participating manufacturers	X		
Landrieu 2 (2518) Assist individuals suffering from tobacco related illnesses	X		

* This list includes only some of the amendments filed.

GUIDE TO ACCEPTING AMENDMENTS BY UC

(June 15, 1998)*

Amendment	Oppose	Neutral	Support
Landrieu 3 (2519) Factors considered in State distribution formula		X	
Landrieu 4 (2520) Child-care for federal employees	X		
Lautenberg/ Smith 1 (2633) Local government authority relating to protection from health hazards--ETS			X
Lieberman 1 (2505) Gives compensatory damage awards priority over punitives within cap		X	
Lugar 1 (2501) Treatment of payments to tobacco owners and producers and tobacco dependent states	X		
Lugar 2 (2502) Front-loads payments to farmers	X		
Mack 1 Clarifies NIH trigger			X
Mack 2 (2557) Treatment of state settlement not considered reimbursements for Medicaid	X		
McCain 1 (2554) Review of Survey Methodology for look back and other concerns	X		
Murkowski 1 (2503) State tobacco excise and sales taxes from Indian tribes	X		
Murkowski 2 (2504) Collection of state tobacco excise and sales taxes from Indian Tribes	X		
Murray 1 (2526) Include child care providers in definition of public facilities--ETS		X	
Roth 1 (2512) Payments to States and Medicaid coverage of smoking cessation agents	X		
Roth 2 (2513) Strikes Children's health outreach	X		
Roth 3 (2514) Repeal reduction in SSBG block grants for social services	X		
Roth 4 (2515) Privatization of eligibility for children under Medicaid	X		
Roth 5 (2516) Deduction for health insurance costs	X		
Shelby 1 (2533) Awards by arbitration panels		X	
Shelby 2 (2534) Awards by arbitration panels		X	

* This list includes only some of the amendments filed.

GUIDE TO ACCEPTING AMENDMENTS BY UC (June 15, 1998)* Automated Records Management System
Hex-Dump Conversion

Amendment	Oppose	Neutral	Support
Snowe 1 Directs portion of funds from lookback surcharges to cessation instead of entire public health account	X		
Snowe 2 Penalties for minors purchasing and possessing tobacco	X		
Stevens 1 (2555) Provisions relating to funding of Indian programs	X		
Torricelli 1 (2562) Investigate high rate of cancer in Dover township, NJ		X	
Torricelli 2 (2563) Health care for America's children – presumptive outreach	X		
Warner 1 (2564) Strikes dislocated worker provision in LEAF	X		
Warner 2 (2565) Strike Title II – Reductions in underage tobacco use	X		
Warner 3 (2566) Strike International provisions: Title XI	X		

* This list includes only some of the amendments filed.

GUIDE TO ACCEPTING AMENDMENTS BY UC (June 15, 1998)*

Automated Records Management System
Hex-Dump Conversion

Amendment	Oppose	Neutral	Support
Ashcroft 1 (2544) Strike prescribed activities for states	X		
Ashcroft 2 (2545) Strike funding trigger for NIH	X		
Ashcroft 3 (2546) Strike the funding trigger for CDC	X		
Ashcroft 4 (2547) Strike the funding trigger for FDA	X		
Ashcroft 5 (2548) Strike international tobacco control awareness	X		
Ashcroft 6 (2549) Strike authorization for international programs	X		
Ashcroft 7 (2550) Strike the tax deductibility of tobacco company payments	X		
Ashcroft 8 (2551) To authorize state spending against illegal drugs	X		
Ashcroft 9 (2552) Increase penalties for trafficking methamphetamine	X		
Ashcroft 10 (2553) Modify state law provisions regarding sale of tobacco products to minors	X		
Bingaman 1 (2525) To provide funding for a VA Compensation Account	X		
Bond 1 (2531) Sanctions for underage possession of tobacco		X	
Bond 2 (2532) Specifies \$100 million of retail licensing block grant for States and local law enforcement agencies	X		
Chafee 1 (2439) To prohibit smoking on airline flights			X
Conrad 1 Changes penalty for non-compliance with State retail and licensing laws to percentage of unrestricted state funds	X		
Conrad 2 Increases funds for counter-advertising programs to 50% of education and prevention funds		X	
Conrad 3 Permit States to enact laws more stringent than FDA-related provisions of this Act			X
Conrad 4 Permit sting operations to ensure compliance with State			X

* This list includes only some of the amendments filed.

GUIDE TO ACCEPTING AMENDMENTS BY UC (June 15, 1998)*

Automated Records Management System
Hex-Dump Conversion

Amendment	Oppose	Neutral	Support
retail licensing laws			
Conrad 5 Permits follow-up inspections of certain tobacco sales & distributions outlets			X
Conrad 6 Changes penalty for noncompliance with State retail and licensing laws to percentage of unrestricted state funds	X		
Conrad 7 Modify definition of addiction and dependence claim	X		
Conrad 8 Clarifies definition of tobacco claim	X		
Conrad 9 (2529) Authorizes \$500 million for counter-advertising		X	
Conrad 10 (2528) Changes penalty for noncompliance with State retail and licensing laws to 40% of certain PHS Act funds	X		
Conrad 11 (2527) Changes penalty for noncompliance with State retail and licensing laws to 50% of State block grant	X		
Craig 1 (2508) Increase funds available to States	X		
Craig 2 (2509) Limit trust fund to take account of added federal spending and reimburse states for lost revenue	X		
Dodd (2540) Floor for child care		X	
Domenici 1 (2510) R&D tax credits	X		
Domenici 2 (2511) Dedicate revenues to Medicare trust fund after fy2008	X		
Durbin 1 (2522) Clarify advertising for mass transit vehicles			X
Durbin 2 (2521) substitute provisions for reductions in under-age usage		X	
Durbin 3 (2523) Clarify definition of tobacco products			X
Durbin 4 (2524) clarify application to legislative branch for certain for certain tobacco act requirements		X	
Hatch 1 (2535) Banning a class of products or elimination of nicotine	X		
Hatch 2 (2536) Modify provisions relating to the rule of the FDA	X		

* This list includes only some of the amendments filed.

GUIDE TO ACCEPTING AMENDMENTS BY UC (June 15, 1998)*

Automated Records Management System
Hex-Dump Conversion

Amendment	Oppose	Neutral	Support
Hatch 3 (2537) Strikes pre-market review of tobacco products	X		
Hatch 4 (2538) Strike provisions relating to reporting of substantially equivalent products	X		
Hatch 5 (2539) Clarify provisions: Requires FDA promulgation of performance standards for tobacco products	X		
Hutchison 1 (2558) Maintain State funding for those States that have separate settlements w/ tobacco manufacturers		X	
Hutchison 2 (2559) State opt-in with respect to receipt of funds under this Act	X		
Hutchison 3 (2560) Funds received under state settlements are not considered as reimbursements for Medicaid expenditures or overpayments	X		
Hutchison 4 (2561) Requires that the determination of attorneys fees under this act offset any State liability for attorney fees		X	
Inouye 1 (2556) Any required asbestos payment can be taken from Trust fund		X	
Jeffords 1 (2567) Funding floor for AHCPR	X		
Jeffords 2 (2542) Earmark for research activities at NIH	X		
Jeffords 3 (2543) Congressional oversight for public health allocation account activities		X	
Kennedy 1 (2506) Non-tax deductibility of industry payments	X		
Kennedy 2 (2507) Non-tax deductibility of industry payments: duplicate of Kennedy 1 above.	X		
Kerrey 1 Only funds deposited in the tobacco trust fund may be used for activities under the bill	X		
Kerrey 2 Requires creation of tobacco scholar program	X		
Kerrey 3 (2530) Tobacco farmer transition provisions	X		
Kerry + Others (2541) Floor for CCDBG		X	

* This list includes only some of the amendments filed.

GUIDE TO ACCEPTING AMENDMENTS BY UC (June 15, 1998)*

Automated Records Management System
Hex-Dump Conversion

Amendment	Oppose	Neutral	Support
Landrieu 1 (2517) Increase industry payments for participating manufacturers	X		
Landrieu 2 (2518) Assist individuals suffering from tobacco related illnesses	X		
Landrieu 3 (2519) Factors considered in State distribution formula		X	
Landrieu 4 (2520) Child-care for federal employees	X		
Lautenberg/ Smith 1 (2633) Local government authority relating to protection from health hazards--ETS			X
Lieberman 1 (2505) Gives compensatory damage awards priority over punitives within cap		X	
Lugar 1 (2501) Treatment of payments to tobacco owners and producers and tobacco dependent states	X		
Lugar 2 (2502) Front-loads payments to farmers	X		
Mack 1 Clarifies NIH trigger			X
Mack 2 (2557) Treatment of state settlement not considered reimbursements for Medicaid	X		
McCain 1 (2554) Review of Survey Methodology for look back and other concerns	X		
Murkowski 1 (2503) State tobacco excise and sales taxes from Indian tribes	X		
Murkowski 2 (2504) Collection of state tobacco excise and sales taxes from Indian Tribes	X		
Murray 1 (2526) Include child care providers in definition of public facilities--ETS		X	
Roth 1 (2512) Payments to States and Medicaid coverage of smoking cessation agents	X		
Roth 2 (2513) Strikes Children's health outreach	X		
Roth 3 (2514) Repeal reduction in SSBG block grants for social services	X		

* This list includes only some of the amendments filed.

GUIDE TO ACCEPTING AMENDMENTS BY UC (June 15, 1998)*

Amendment	Oppose	Neutral	Support
Roth 4 (2515) Privatization of eligibility for children under Medicaid	X		
Roth 5 (2516) Deduction for health insurance costs	X		
Shelby 1 (2533) Awards by arbitration panels		X	
Shelby 2 (2534) Awards by arbitration panels		X	
Snowe 1 Directs portion of funds from lookback surcharges to cessation instead of entire public health account (With proposed technical amendment, lookback funds would be earmarked for the public health account.)	X		
Snowe 2 Penalties for minors purchasing and possessing tobacco	X		
Stevens 1 (2555) Provisions relating to funding of Indian programs	X		
Torricelli 1 (2562) Investigate high rate of cancer in Dover township, NJ		X	
Torricelli 2 (2563) Health care for America's children -- presumptive outreach	X		
Warner 1 (2564) Strikes dislocated worker provision in LEAF	X		
Warner 2 (2565) Strike Title II -- Reductions in underage tobacco use	X		
Warner 3 (2566) Strike International provisions: Title XI	X		

* This list includes only some of the amendments filed.

June 16, 1998

The Honorable George Voinivich (CHECK SPELLING AND APPROPRIATE TITLES)
Chair
The Honorable Thomas Carper
Vice Chair
National Governors Association
444 North Capitol Street
Washington, D.C. 20001

Dear Governor Voinivich and Governor Carper:

In response to your request for clarification about my position to S. 1415, the National Tobacco Policy and Youth Smoking Reduction Act, I am writing to reiterate my strong opposition to actions by the Senate to further reduce the State Litigation Settlement Account or impose any additional restrictions to it. Since the beginning of the Senate floor debate, I have opposed amendments that reduce the original \$196.5 billion state allocation that was envisioned in the original Attorney Generals' Agreement.

OPTION 1 for 2nd paragraph:

It is my firm belief that we would not be having a debate on bipartisan comprehensive legislation without the efforts of the states and their attorney generals. As such, I will insist than any legislation adequately reflect the important state contributions to reducing the use of tobacco by our nation's children. I believe this commitment was illustrated by the joint agreement we reached regarding the level and uses of state funds that was included in the original McCain/Lott managers agreement. (NGA WANTS A SENTENCE LIKE THIS; ACTUALLY THEY WANT A REITERATION OF OUR SUPPORT FOR THE AGREEMENT, BUT I THINK THEY CAN LIVE WITH THIS. A difference way of saying same thing that may read a little better is outlined below)

OPTION 2 for 2nd paragraph:

It is my firm belief that we would not be having a debate on bipartisan comprehensive legislation without the efforts of the states and their attorney generals. It is for this reason that we worked so hard to reach the agreement that was included in the original McCain/Lott managers amendment regarding the level and uses of state funds. While the Senate has amended this agreement, you can be assured that I will insist than any final bill adequately reflects the essential contributions states have made toward reducing the use of tobacco by our nation's children.

As experienced lawmakers, I know you recognize that this bill will go through significant changes before final passage. I want to reiterate my strong commitment to working with you in the weeks ahead to ensure that a strong, equitable and comprehensive tobacco bill is enacted into law.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: William H. White Jr. (CN=William H. White Jr./OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:16-JUN-1998 18:15:38.00

SUBJECT: NGA & Tobacco

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeanne Lambrew (CN=Jeanne Lambrew/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cathy R. Mays (CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joshua Gotbaum (CN=Joshua Gotbaum/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher C. Jennings (CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Fred DuVal (CN=Fred DuVal/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Emory L. Mayfield (CN=Emory L. Mayfield/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Mickey Ibarra (CN=Mickey Ibarra/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cathy R. Mays (CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:16-JUN-1998 15:32:15.00

SUBJECT: FICA/Workfare meeting

TO: Diana Fortuna (CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen Tramontano (CN=Karen Tramontano/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Fred DuVal (CN=Fred DuVal/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Emil E. Parker (CN=Emil E. Parker/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William P. Marshall (CN=William P. Marshall/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Donna L. Geisbert (CN=Donna L. Geisbert/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jason M. Dunn (CN=Jason M. Dunn/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Today's meeting to discuss strategy on the FICA/Workfare ruling is rescheduled for tomorrow, June 17, at 10:00 a.m. in Bruce Reed's office. Sorry for any inconvenience.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:16-JUN-1998 16:01:38.00

SUBJECT: FYI: Treas dislikes Reed amdmnt for same reasons as Kennedy deductibility

TO: ELENA (Pager) #KAGAN (ELENA (Pager) #KAGAN [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: CYNTHIA (Pager) #RICE (CYNTHIA (Pager) #RICE [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jose Cerda III (CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:16-JUN-1998 20:31:30.00

SUBJECT: FOP: CLINTON REACHES 'HEIGHT OF HYPOCRISY'

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michelle Crisci (CN=Michelle Crisci/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christa Robinson (CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Esteemed Colleagues:

New FOP press release. It's going to be a rough couple of days on this issue. I think we've succeeded in ramping up the participation and press coverage for the planned protest tomorrow. I guess there's no turning back on this now, but, at a minimum, somebody needs to speak with Gil and both deliver our position and apologize for announcing this the press first (especially while the FOP and police unions were in a meeting w/the VP and on their way to a bill signing!). Christa and I both think that this merits no less than an Erskine call, but I'm sure others may feel differently. Can you please let us know if this comes up in senior staff? While I'm sure some folks will continue to want to kick the can, we're way past that now. If we're ever to re-establish ties w/the FOP, we'll need some high-level damage control.

Jose'

----- Forwarded by Jose Cerda III/OPD/EOP on 06/16/98
08:21 PM -----

CERDA_J @ A1
06/16/98 08:17:00 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Jose Cerda III
cc:
Subject: FOP: CLINTON REACHES 'HEIGHT OF HYPOCRISY'

Date: 06/16/98 Time: 18:55
bFOP: Clinton Reaches 'Height of Hypocrisy'

To: National Desk
Contact: Tim Richardson of the Fraternal Order of Police,
202-547-8189
WASHINGTON, June 16 /U.S. Newswire/ -- Gilbert G. Gallegos,

national president of the Grand Lodge, Fraternal Order of Police, lost no time today responding to remarks made by the president's press secretary, Mike McCurry, stating that President Clinton would not support, as he had promised, collective bargaining rights for federal law enforcement officers.

"Mr. McCurry's statements today made it plain that given a choice, the president prefers hypocrisy to granting basic bargaining rights to the uniformed officers who risk their lives to protect him," said Gallegos.

In September 1996, President Clinton held a private meeting with the Executive Board of the Fraternal Order of Police to discuss collective bargaining issues. The president stated that, as a strong supporter of collective bargaining rights, it would be the "height of hypocrisy" for him not to support the same rights for federal law enforcement officers.

The Fraternal Order of Police is the nation's largest organization of law enforcement professionals, with more than 272,000 members.

-0-

/U.S. Newswire 202-347-2770/
APNP-06-16-98 1858EDT

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Essence P. Washington (CN=Essence P. Washington/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:16-JUN-1998 13:46:05.00

SUBJECT: Weekly Crime Meeting (notice the time)

TO: Fred DuVal (CN=Fred DuVal/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher S. Lehane (CN=Christopher S. Lehane/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Satish Narayanan (CN=Satish Narayanan/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jon P. Jennings (CN=Jon P. Jennings/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: GALLEGOS_S (GALLEGOS_S @ A1 @ CD @ VAXGTWY [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles A. Blanchard (CN=Charles A. Blanchard/OU=ONDCP/O=EOP @ EOP [ONDCP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer Brown (CN=Jennifer Brown/OU=ONDCP/O=EOP @ EOP [ONDCP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Neera Tanden (CN=Neera Tanden/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary L. Smith (CN=Mary L. Smith/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anne E. McGuire (CN=Anne E. McGuire/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David J. Haun (CN=David J. Haun/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas D. Janenda (CN=Thomas D. Janenda/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michelle Crisci (CN=Michelle Crisci/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: James Boden (CN=James Boden/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Emory L. Mayfield (CN=Emory L. Mayfield/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David W. Beier (CN=David W. Beier/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisa M. Brown (CN=Lisa M. Brown/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robin J. Bachman (CN=Robin J. Bachman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Trooper Sanders (CN=Trooper Sanders/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: NELSON_J (NELSON_J @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tracey E. Thornton (CN=Tracey E. Thornton/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rahm I. Emanuel (CN=Rahm I. Emanuel/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christine A. Stanek (CN=Christine A. Stanek/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christa Robinson (CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen A. Popp (CN=Karen A. Popp/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cathy R. Mays (CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter G. Jacoby (CN=Peter G. Jacoby/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas L. Freedman (CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Teresa L. Collins (CN=Teresa L. Collins/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jose Cerda III (CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

MEMORANDUM TO DISTRIBUTION LIST

FROM: Bruce Reed
Assistant to the President for Domestic Policy.

SUBJECT: June17 1998 CRIME MEETING

On Wednesday, June 17, at 11:00 a.m. in Room 211 of the Old Executive Office Building, we will hold the weekly crime meeting.

NOTE: This meeting will be brief, so please be prompt!

Thank You.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Joseph C. Fanaroff (CN=Joseph C. Fanaroff/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:16-JUN-1998 10:54:53.00

SUBJECT: DAILY TALKING POINTS -- PRESIDENT CLINTON: PROTECTING OUR LAW ENFORCEMENT,

TO: Kathy Weatherly at gore-dc (Kathy Weatherly at gore-dc @ ccm ail [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Amy McKay at gore-dc (Amy McKay at gore-dc @ CCMAIL [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rhonda Melton at gore-dc (Rhonda Melton at gore-dc @ CCMAIL [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bill Mason at gore-dc (Bill Mason at gore-dc @ ccm ail [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth Katze at gore-dc (Elizabeth Katze at gore-dc @ ccm ail [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andy Dryden at gore-dc (Andy Dryden at gore-dc @ ccm ail [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andy Dryden at gore-dc (Andy Dryden at gore-dc @ CCMAIL [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Clark Ogilvie at gore-dc (Clark Ogilvie at gore-dc @ CCMAIL [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David Ligon at gore-dc (David Ligon at gore-dc @ ccm ail [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nancy Hoit at NPR (Nancy Hoit at NPR @ CCMAIL [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alberta A. Winkler (CN=Alberta A. Winkler/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Beth A. Viola (CN=Beth A. Viola/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [CEQ])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul A. Tuchmann (CN=Paul A. Tuchmann/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kimberly H Tilley (CN=Kimberly H Tilley/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Virginia M. Terzano (CN=Virginia M. Terzano/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rachael E. Sullivan (CN=Rachael E. Sullivan/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan Spalter (CN=Jonathan Spalter/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kevin D. Scott (CN=Kevin D. Scott/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Trooper Sanders (CN=Trooper Sanders/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas M. Rosshirt (CN=Thomas M. Rosshirt/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Irwin P. Raij (CN=Irwin P. Raij/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William H. Pickle (CN=William H. Pickle/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wendy C. New (CN=Wendy C. New/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Satish Narayanan (CN=Satish Narayanan/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Heather M. Marabeti (CN=Heather M. Marabeti/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisa M. Mallory (CN=Lisa M. Mallory/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher S. Lehane (CN=Christopher S. Lehane/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jim Kohlenberger (CN=Jim Kohlenberger/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Aram H. Kailian (CN=Aram H. Kailian/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ansley Jones (CN=Ansley Jones/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joanne M. Hilty (CN=Joanne M. Hilty/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gordon Heddell (CN=Gordon Heddell/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kimberly M. Harold (CN=Kimberly M. Harold/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lawrence J. Haas (CN=Lawrence J. Haas/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sue R. Greenberg (CN=Sue R. Greenberg/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lucia F. Gilliland (CN=Lucia F. Gilliland/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael B. Feldman (CN=Michael B. Feldman/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lydia R. Ewing (CN=Lydia R. Ewing/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andrew S. Dryden (CN=Andrew S. Dryden/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Monica M. Dixon (CN=Monica M. Dixon/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer N. Devlin (CN=Jennifer N. Devlin/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael A. Deavers (CN=Michael A. Deavers/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maurice Daniel (CN=Maurice Daniel/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Audrey Choi (CN=Audrey Choi/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kay Casstevens (CN=Kay Casstevens/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Miguel M. Bustos (CN=Miguel M. Bustos/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles W. Burson (CN=Charles W. Burson/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lee Ann Brackett (CN=Lee Ann Brackett/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anthony R. Bernal (CN=Anthony R. Bernal/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Matthew L. Bennett (CN=Matthew L. Bennett/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bobbie J. Bauman (CN=Bobbie J. Bauman/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Doug Babcock (CN=Doug Babcock/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eric R. Anderson (CN=Eric R. Anderson/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steven W. Adamske (CN=Steven W. Adamske/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert E. Whiteman (CN=Robert E. Whiteman/OU=OA/O=EOP @ EOP [OA])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William C. Haymes (CN=William C. Haymes/OU=OA/O=EOP @ EOP [OA])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leland L. Scott Jr. (CN=Leland L. Scott Jr./OU=OA/O=EOP @ EOP [OA])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Judith A. Winston (CN=Judith A. Winston/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [PIR])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Wenger (CN=Michael Wenger/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria E. Soto (CN=Maria E. Soto/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Katherine D. Sheckells (CN=Katherine D. Sheckells/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Scott R. Palmer (CN=Scott R. Palmer/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jacinta Ma (CN=Jacinta Ma/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lin Liu (CN=Lin Liu/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Diana Kappner (CN=Diana Kappner/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wanda Habash (CN=Wanda Habash/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Claire Gonzales (CN=Claire Gonzales/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Danielle B. Glosser (CN=Danielle B. Glosser/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cedra D. Eaton (CN=Cedra D. Eaton/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David K. Chai (CN=David K. Chai/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth A. Castle (CN=Elizabeth A. Castle/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alexander L. Boyle (CN=Alexander L. Boyle/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Patrick Aylward (CN=Patrick Aylward/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth R. Asher (CN=Elizabeth R. Asher/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William G. Wells (CN=William G. Wells/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Victor J. Villhard (CN=Victor J. Villhard/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sandra J. Toomey (CN=Sandra J. Toomey/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David Y. Stevens (CN=David Y. Stevens/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Frances Sharples (CN=Frances Sharples/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steven M. Rinaldi (CN=Steven M. Rinaldi/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Trent L. Prezler (CN=Trent L. Prezler/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lori A. Perine (CN=Lori A. Perine/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tracy S. Olmstead (CN=Tracy S. Olmstead/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stephen G. Moran (CN=Stephen G. Moran/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Deborah J. McGovern (CN=Deborah J. McGovern/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jerold R. Mande (CN=Jerold R. Mande/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Martha A. Livingston (CN=Martha A. Livingston/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Neal Lane (CN=Neal Lane/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kelly Kirkpatrick (CN=Kelly Kirkpatrick/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Henry C. Kelly (CN=Henry C. Kelly/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brian G. Kahin (CN=Brian G. Kahin/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alice C. Hogan (CN=Alice C. Hogan/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mark D. Hodge (CN=Mark D. Hodge/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gerald J. Hane (CN=Gerald J. Hane/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Daniel L. Goroff (CN=Daniel L. Goroff/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Clifford J. Gabriel (CN=Clifford J. Gabriel/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Betty J. Fountain (CN=Betty J. Fountain/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ruth A. Fisher (CN=Ruth A. Fisher/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gerald L. Epstein (CN=Gerald L. Epstein/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: George G. Cravaritis (CN=George G. Cravaritis/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Donna I. Coleman (CN=Donna I. Coleman/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rosina M. Bierbaum (CN=Rosina M. Bierbaum/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Deanna M. Behring (CN=Deanna M. Behring/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Samuel F. Baldwin (CN=Samuel F. Baldwin/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Susanne Bachtel (CN=Susanne Bachtel/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lorena E. Ahumada (CN=Lorena E. Ahumada/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter A. Weissman (CN=Peter A. Weissman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan T. Weber (CN=Jonathan T. Weber/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sandra Thurman (CN=Sandra Thurman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Todd A. Summers (CN=Todd A. Summers/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert Soliz (CN=Robert Soliz/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jake Siewert (CN=Jake Siewert/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cecilia E. Rouse (CN=Cecilia E. Rouse/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dorothy Robyn (CN=Dorothy Robyn/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Richard W. Petty (CN=Richard W. Petty/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Emil E. Parker (CN=Emil E. Parker/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Geoffrey M. Odlum (CN=Geoffrey M. Odlum/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Penelope R. O'Brien (CN=Penelope R. O'Brien/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Matthew Murguia (CN=Matthew Murguia/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elaine M. Mitsler (CN=Elaine M. Mitsler/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cathy R. Mays (CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Shannon Mason (CN=Shannon Mason/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles R. Marr (CN=Charles R. Marr/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Malcolm R. Lee (CN=Malcolm R. Lee/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer L. Klein (CN=Jennifer L. Klein/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan A. Kaplan (CN=Jonathan A. Kaplan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas A. Kalil (CN=Thomas A. Kalil/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gay L. Joshlyn (CN=Gay L. Joshlyn/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Russell W. Horwitz (CN=Russell W. Horwitz/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Susan Gyeszly (CN=Susan Gyeszly/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Melissa G. Green (CN=Melissa G. Green/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Donna L. Geisbert (CN=Donna L. Geisbert/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Diana Fortuna (CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julie A. Fernandes (CN=Julie A. Fernandes/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia Dailard (CN=Cynthia Dailard/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Pamela Cicetti (CN=Pamela Cicetti/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gregory W. Chang (CN=Gregory W. Chang/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Antonio Castaneda (CN=Antonio Castaneda/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sandra L. Bublick Max (CN=Sandra L. Bublick Max/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah A. Bianchi (CN=Sarah A. Bianchi/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brad L. Austin (CN=Brad L. Austin/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: April B. Abdulmalik (CN=April B. Abdulmalik/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Valon J. Wadsworth (CN=Valon J. Wadsworth/OU=NSC/O=EOP @ EOP [NSC])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steven J. Naplan (CN=Steven J. Naplan/OU=NSC/O=EOP @ EOP [NSC])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wendy E. Gray (CN=Wendy E. Gray/OU=NSC/O=EOP @ EOP [NSC])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Antony J. Blinken (CN=Antony J. Blinken/OU=NSC/O=EOP @ EOP [NSC])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wesley P. Warren (CN=Wesley P. Warren/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [CEQ])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sally Ericsson (CN=Sally Ericsson/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [CEQ])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nancy Marlow (CN=Nancy Marlow/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [CEQ])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisa Guide (CN=Lisa Guide/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [CEQ])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Keith E. Laughlin (CN=Keith E. Laughlin/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [CEQ])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Judy Jablow (CN=Judy Jablow/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [CEQ])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ellen Athas (CN=Ellen Athas/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [CEQ])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Edward R. Clark (CN=Edward R. Clark/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [CEQ])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David B Sandalow (CN=David B Sandalow/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [CEQ])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bradley M. Campbell (CN=Bradley M. Campbell/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [CEQ])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Morley A. Winograd (CN=Morley A. Winograd/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan Weiss (CN=Jonathan Weiss/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Moe Vela (CN=Moe Vela/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cindy Trutanic (CN=Cindy Trutanic/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David R Thomas (CN=David R Thomas/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dan J. Taylor (CN=Dan J. Taylor/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elisabeth Steele (CN=Elisabeth Steele/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Callie Shell (CN=Callie Shell/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan H. Schnur (CN=Jonathan H. Schnur/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jodi R. Sakol (CN=Jodi R. Sakol/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Clark E. Ray (CN=Clark E. Ray/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth J. Potter (CN=Elizabeth J. Potter/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary M. Overbey (CN=Mary M. Overbey/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nathan B. Naylor (CN=Nathan B. Naylor/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer R. Muller (CN=Jennifer R. Muller/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Aimee M. Malnati (CN=Aimee M. Malnati/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Susan M. Liss (CN=Susan M. Liss/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steve L. Kwast (CN=Steve L. Kwast/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ron Klain (CN=Ron Klain/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Vivian Jones (CN=Vivian Jones/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Scott R. Hynes (CN=Scott R. Hynes/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul Hegarty (CN=Paul Hegarty/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wendy Hartman (CN=Wendy Hartman/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce Harding (CN=Bruce Harding/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary C. Gumbleton (CN=Mary C. Gumbleton/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ricardo M. Gonzales (CN=Ricardo M. Gonzales/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Vanessa M. Flindt (CN=Vanessa M. Flindt/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Patricia M. Ewing (CN=Patricia M. Ewing/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Philip G Dufour (CN=Philip G Dufour/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Toby Donenfeld (CN=Toby Donenfeld/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gisela J. Diaz (CN=Gisela J. Diaz/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Todd H. Dennett (CN=Todd H. Dennett/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marc R D'Anjou (CN=Marc R D'Anjou/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul J. Cusack (CN=Paul J. Cusack/O=OVP @ OVP [OVP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andrei H. Cherny (CN=Andrei H. Cherny/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alejandro G. Cabrera (CN=Alejandro G. Cabrera/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael J. Burton (CN=Michael J. Burton/O=OVP @ OVP [OVP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisa M. Brown (CN=Lisa M. Brown/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Matthew J. Bianco (CN=Matthew J. Bianco/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisa A. Berg (CN=Lisa A. Berg/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David W. Beier (CN=David W. Beier/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gayle Bauer (CN=Gayle Bauer/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eli G. Attie (CN=Eli G. Attie/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bill F Althoff (CN=Bill F Althoff/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Chandler G. Spaulding (CN=Chandler G. Spaulding/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas B. Samburg (CN=Thomas B. Samburg/OU=OA/O=EOP @ EOP [OA])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kelly Skoloda (CN=Kelly Skoloda/OU=OA/O=EOP @ EOP [OA])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mark H. Bartholomew (CN=Mark H. Bartholomew/OU=OA/O=EOP @ EOP [OA])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert Wexler (CN=Robert Wexler/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michelle R. Waldron (CN=Michelle R. Waldron/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael J. Sorrell (CN=Michael J. Sorrell/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lydia Sermons (CN=Lydia Sermons/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Evelina Mosby (CN=Evelina Mosby/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ana Lopez (CN=Ana Lopez/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Allison J. King (CN=Allison J. King/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Audrey M. Hutchinson (CN=Audrey M. Hutchinson/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Linda C. Gray (CN=Linda C. Gray/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John M. Goering (CN=John M. Goering/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Grace A. Garcia (CN=Grace A. Garcia/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer A. Dolan (CN=Jennifer A. Dolan/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [PIR])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michele Cavataio (CN=Michele Cavataio/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [PIR])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David Campit (CN=David Campit/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [PIR])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marjorie A. Black (CN=Marjorie A. Black/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [PIR])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Randy D. Ayers (CN=Randy D. Ayers/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [PIR])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Allyson K. Woods (CN=Allyson K. Woods/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: JoAnn Ward (CN=JoAnn Ward/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Franklin F. Urteaga (CN=Franklin F. Urteaga/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sheri A. Thornton (CN=Sheri A. Thornton/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeffrey M. Smith (CN=Jeffrey M. Smith/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nora H. Sabelli (CN=Nora H. Sabelli/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Donald Pryor (CN=Donald Pryor/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joan Porter (CN=Joan Porter/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elaine R. Padovani (CN=Elaine R. Padovani/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Martin C. Offutt (CN=Martin C. Offutt/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Duncan T. Moore (CN=Duncan T. Moore/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Oliver G. McGee (CN=Oliver G. McGee/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce W. MacDonald (CN=Bruce W. MacDonald/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rachel E. Levinson (CN=Rachel E. Levinson/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cecilia D. Lafoe (CN=Cecilia D. Lafoe/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gerald P. Kiernan (CN=Gerald P. Kiernan/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nirmala Kannankutty (CN=Nirmala Kannankutty/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kerri A. Jones (CN=Kerri A. Jones/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jefferson Hofgard (CN=Jefferson Hofgard/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Beverly K. Hartline (CN=Beverly K. Hartline/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Holly L. Gwin (CN=Holly L. Gwin/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anthony J. Gibson (CN=Anthony J. Gibson/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sybil Francis (CN=Sybil Francis/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Miriam A. Forman (CN=Miriam A. Forman/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barbara A. Ferguson (CN=Barbara A. Ferguson/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura L. Efros (CN=Laura L. Efros/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sandy L. Cole (CN=Sandy L. Cole/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia M. Chase (CN=Cynthia M. Chase/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Arthur Bienenstock (CN=Arthur Bienenstock/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Susan L. Bassow (CN=Susan L. Bassow/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter W. Backlund (CN=Peter W. Backlund/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Judith D. Auerbach (CN=Judith D. Auerbach/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Irene Yeh (CN=Irene Yeh/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul J. Weinstein Jr. (CN=Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Essence P. Washington (CN=Essence P. Washington/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Aaron J. Thoryk (CN=Aaron J. Thoryk/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gene B. Sperling (CN=Gene B. Sperling/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary L. Smith (CN=Mary L. Smith/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert M. Shireman (CN=Robert M. Shireman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah Rosen (CN=Sarah Rosen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christa Robinson (CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David E. Pearah (CN=David E. Pearah/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan Orszag (CN=Jonathan Orszag/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael A. O'Mary (CN=Michael A. O'Mary/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Betty Nhan (CN=Betty Nhan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Daniel C. Montoya (CN=Daniel C. Montoya/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julie Mikuta (CN=Julie Mikuta/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sonyia Matthews (CN=Sonyia Matthews/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tanya E. Martin (CN=Tanya E. Martin/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ira C. Magaziner (CN=Ira C. Magaziner/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeanne Lambrew (CN=Jeanne Lambrew/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sally Katzen (CN=Sally Katzen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andrea Kane (CN=Andrea Kane/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher C. Jennings (CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah T. Holewinski (CN=Sarah T. Holewinski/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jess A. Gupta (CN=Jess A. Gupta/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John C. Gilmore (CN=John C. Gilmore/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas L. Freedman (CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Carolyn A. Filak (CN=Carolyn A. Filak/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul R. Dimond (CN=Paul R. Dimond/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Cohen (CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William M. Chiong (CN=William M. Chiong/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jose Cerda III (CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Erik R. Cafarella (CN=Erik R. Cafarella/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sherman G. Boone (CN=Sherman G. Boone/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brian A. Barreto (CN=Brian A. Barreto/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William J. Antholis (CN=William J. Antholis/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Natalie S. Wozniak (CN=Natalie S. Wozniak/OU=NSC/O=EOP @ EOP [NSC])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer C. Poole (CN=Jennifer C. Poole/OU=NSC/O=EOP @ EOP [NSC])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David T. Johnson (CN=David T. Johnson/OU=NSC/O=EOP @ EOP [NSC])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Matt Gobush (CN=Matt Gobush/OU=NSC/O=EOP @ EOP [NSC])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dale W. Akers (CN=Dale W. Akers/OU=NSC/O=EOP @ EOP [NSC])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Shelley N. Fidler (CN=Shelley N. Fidler/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [CEQ])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert S. Kapla (CN=Robert S. Kapla/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [CEQ])

**PRESIDENT CLINTON:
PROTECTING OUR LAW ENFORCEMENT
PROTECTING OUR COMMUNITIES**

Automated Records Management System
Hex-Dump Conversion

June 16, 1998

"The line of fire will always be a dangerous place. But today we are making it less dangerous for those brave enough to walk that line. Every day you protect all of us; now there is something we can do to help protect all of you. Twenty-five percent of state and local law enforcement officers do not have the body armor to protect their lives; this legislation will help police departments provide them with bulletproof vests. This is a critical investment in the safety of those who have to be in harm's way."

President Clinton
June 16, 1998

Today, at a White House event, President Clinton is joined by Vice President Al Gore, Attorney General Janet Reno, and members of Congress, in signing two bills, the Bulletproof Vest Partnership Grant Act, and the Care for Police Survivors Act. In addition, the President renews his call for Congress to support legislation that provides college scholarships to the dependents of slain law enforcement officers and announces his support for legislation that promotes the deployment of police officers to work in and with local school districts.

PROTECTING THE OFFICERS WHO PROTECT OUR LIVES. The FBI estimates that the risk of a gun-related fatality is 14 times higher for a police officer who does not wear a bulletproof vest than for an officer who does, yet more than 25 percent of state and local law enforcement officers do not have bulletproof vests. To ensure that this life-saving equipment is made more available to law enforcement, the President is signing the Bulletproof Vest Partnership Grant Act, which provides federal matching grants to help states and localities defray the costs of purchasing bulletproof vests.

HONORING OUR SLAIN OFFICERS. When tragedy strikes and an officer falls in the line of duty, we have a responsibility to the family they leave behind. The President will sign the Care for Police Survivors Act, which guarantees a minimum level of funding be made available to maintain and enhance counseling programs that assist the families of slain officers. In addition, the President renews his call for Congress to pass legislation to provide college scholarships to the dependents of slain state and local law enforcement officers.

PUTTING MORE POLICE ON OUR STREETS AND IN OUR SCHOOLS. In 1994, President Clinton led the fight to hire 100,000 new police officers throughout the country. With today's announcement of grant money to hire 383 police officers, the Community Oriented Policing Services Program (COPS) has helped fund the hiring of over 76,000 police officers. In addition, the President will:

- Call on the Attorney General and the Secretary of Education to report back to him before the beginning of the next school year with a plan to ensure that COPS funding is available to bring community based police officers to all interested schools;
- Announce his support for legislation to promote the deployment of community police officers to work in and with school districts.

BUILDING ON A RECORD OF CRIME REDUCTION AND POLICE PROTECTION. Police officers make our streets and schools safer, our homes and people more secure. The President's leadership in crime fighting has helped lead to a dramatic decline in overall crime, murder, and violent crime rates. Today's bill signing helps ensure that police officers have access to the bulletproof protection they need, values the lives of those who must mourn the death of a fallen officer, and continues the work of putting more police officers on our streets and in our communities.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (ALL-IN-1 MAIL)

CREATOR: Jose Cerda III (CERDA_J) (WHO)

CREATION DATE/TIME:16-JUN-1998 18:25:47.99

SUBJECT: CLINTON WON'T SUPPORT SECRET SERVICE BID TO UNIONIZE

TO: Christa T. Robinson (ROBINSON_C) Autoforward to: Remote Addre
READ:NOT READ

TO: Jose Cerda III (CERDA_J) Autoforward to: Remote Adresse
READ:NOT READ

TO: Elena Kagan (KAGAN_E) Autoforward to: Remote Adresse
READ:NOT READ

TO: Bruce N. Reed (REED_B) Autoforward to: Remote Addressee
READ:NOT READ

TO: Leanné A. Shimabukuro (SHIMABUKUR_L) Autoforward to: Remote Add
READ:NOT READ

TO: Karen Tramontano (TRAMONTANO_K) Autoforward to: Remote Add
READ:NOT READ

TO: Michelle Crisci (CRISCI_M) Autoforward to: Remote Address
READ:NOT READ

TEXT:

Date: 06/16/98 Time: 18:05

SClinton won't support Secret Service bid to unionize

WASHINGTON (AP) President Clinton has decided reluctantly not to support efforts by the Secret Service's uniformed division to unionize, the president's spokesman said Tuesday.

"I think the president regrets that, but that's the situation," press secretary Mike McCurry said.

In announcing their intention to hold a protest rally outside the White House on Wednesday, the Fraternal Order of Police said Clinton had promised in September 1996 to help the Secret Service uniformed division win collective bargaining rights.

"Despite meetings and promises, the administration has done nothing to address the concerns of federal officers and done nothing to deliver on the president's promise," said Gilbert G. Gallegos, national president of the Fraternal Order of Police.

The uniformed Secret Service officers guard the White House inside and out from fixed posts; the plainclothes agents are the ones who shield the president himself wherever he goes.

Tim Richardson, a spokesman for the Fraternal Order of Police, said in an interview that Clinton had told a meeting of his organization's executive board in September 1996 that it would be hypocritical of him not to support collective bargaining. Of McCurry's statement about Clinton's change of heart, Richardson said, "We have to look on this as a broken pledge."

McCurry said presidential aides had reviewed the union matter and concluded that the Secret Service should not be granted an exception to a Nov. 19, 1979 presidential executive order which said that, for national security reasons, the right to unionize did not apply to the Secret Service.

He disputed a suggestion that Clinton's earlier promise to

support unionization was a mistake. When asked why Clinton had made the promise before the matter was reviewed, McCurry replied, ``I think that's a very good question.'' He said he could not answer it.

Many federal government agencies are denied collective bargaining rights based on national security. They include the Customs Service's Office of Investigations, the Treasury's Office of Intelligence Support and many agencies and offices of the Defense Department.

APNP-06-16-98 1809EDT

DRAFT

June 16, 1998

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: BRUCE REED
LARRY STEIN (**DO YOU WANT TO INCLUDE HIM ON THIS MEMO AS REQUESTED BY JANET?**)

SUBJECT: Bilingual Education

On June 4, the House Education and Workforce Committee reported H.R. 3982, the English Fluency Act, introduced by Rep. Frank Riggs. This bill raises many of the same programmatic issues and political dynamics as the Unz Initiative in California. The purpose of this memo is to update you on this proposal and the development of an Administration alternative, and to present you with options for how to proceed.

I. Overview of Riggs Bill

The bill would eliminate the existing Bilingual Education and Emergency Immigrant Education programs and would (1) distribute funds through a block grant that is not targeted toward school districts with the highest quality programs and greatest funding need; (2) require states to withdraw funding from local programs in cases where students do not master English within two years and set a 3-year limit for serving any individual student, though it would not provide any extra help for students or corrective action for programs that need it; (3) not require States to maintain their own efforts; and (4) eliminate professional development programs designed to prepared qualified ESL and bilingual education teachers. The bill also seeks to sharply curtail the enforcement powers of the Education Department's Office of Civil Rights by voiding existing voluntary compliance agreements between OCR and local school districts with regard to educating LEP students and by requiring OCR to publish -- and the Congress to ratify -- new guidelines and compliance standards for Title VI enforcement. These provisions would weaken enforcement of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and result in increased litigation.

II. Development of Administration Alternative

We are on track to have an alternative bill based on the principles you approved and Secretary Riley articulated in his statement of opposition to the Unz Initiative completed and ready for transmittal to Congress by the end of this week. This bill would amend (rather than replace entirely) the existing bilingual education program. Specifically, it would require (1) school districts to establish a goal of preparing LEP students to enter successfully regular English classrooms in not more than three years, (2) annual assessments of student's English proficiency; (3) additional help for students not on track to English proficiency; (4) a corrective action plan, to

be approved by the Secretary, from programs in which a significant percentage of students do not meet the 3-year goal. If student performance does not improve after a year, no additional funding would be provided. The bill would also provide incentives for districts that make outstanding progress toward the three year goal, by providing them with an additional 2-4 years of funding. In addition, the bill would guarantee local flexibility by removing the existing cap on funding for programs that do not use the students native language, and would remove the competitive priority currently given to programs designed to maintain native language while helping students learn English.

III. Congressional Dynamic

H.R. 3892 was reported out of committee on a straight party line vote (22-17). Notwithstanding the success of the Unz Initiative, Committee Democrats did not feel compelled to offer an alternative to Riggs's bill during committee markup. Moderate Committee Democrats (e.g., Reps. Romer and Kind) as well as the four Members of the Hispanic Caucus on the Committee (Reps. Martinez, Romero-Barcelo, Hinojosa and Sanchez) decided to vote against the Riggs bill even without a Democratic alternative.

It is not clear when the bill will be scheduled for House floor consideration, although it could still be scheduled before the end of June. There is no hint of movement in the Senate. It is quite possible that House Democrats will feel compelled to offer an alternative when the bill hits the floor so that they can vote for reform of bilingual education. Leaders of the Hispanic Caucus, including Caucus Chairman Becerra and Education Committee Member Hinojosa, have quietly acknowledged that, for the greater good of the Democratic Caucus, such a floor alternative might become necessary. However, up until now they have been adamantly opposed to a floor alternative, and remain to be convinced that one is necessary for a successful floor strategy. Moderate Democrats themselves are not yet clear if one is needed. In addition to their own apprehensions, Hispanic Caucus members are under substantial political pressure from bilingual advocates to steer clear of an alternative.

If the bill is not brought to the floor before the end of this month, there is a good chance the Republicans will try to add the bill as a rider to the Labor/HHS/Education appropriations bill.

IV. Options

Option 1. Transmit Administration Bill Before Recess

Sending up a bill in the near future would demonstrate your clear commitment to reforming bilingual education, and would position you in the reasonable center of the emerging national debate, between those such as Unz and Riggs who are proposing extreme and punitive approaches on the one hand, and those who are defending the status quo that was rejected by a large margin of the public, at least in California. From this point of view, it will be important to transmit the bill as soon as possible, preferably before this week is out, in the event that the Riggs bill is taken up next week. A bill sent up now may not garner much support among Democrats

or even be introduced. However, we believe that the chances of legislation to reform bilingual education reaching your desk this year are slim in any event. Over the longer term, by staking out a clear and independent Administration position now, you can have a significant impact on next year's debate.

There are significant legislative downsides to introducing an Administration bill now, without taking the longer time necessary for consultation with House Democrats and key constituency groups. In particular, introducing an alternative within the next week or so would be perceived by the Hispanic Caucus as jumping the gun strategically, and preempting meaningful consultation regarding the substance of an alternative. It would generate a hostile reaction from the Caucus, and is likely to recreate the same divisions among House Democrats we saw last year on national testing. The prospects that the Black Caucus would ally itself with the Hispanic Caucus and Republicans in opposition to your bill are high. Under these circumstances, there is a good chance that your proposal would not find a Democratic sponsor, or if introduced and allowed to come up on the floor as an alternative to Riggs, would be defeated by a large, bipartisan margin. Opposition by the Hispanic and Black Caucus would almost certainly spill over into renewed opposition to continued funding for the national test (though the prospects of support from the two caucuses is slim at best in any event), and perhaps to other legislative battles as well.

Option 2. Defer to the Congressional Democrats on the Timing of Transmittal

The alternative course of action would be to proceed immediately to consult with members of the Hispanic Caucus and other Democrats on the shape of an alternative bill, using the Administration bill as the starting point, and making our 3-year goal and strengthened accountability as nonnegotiable principles which must be incorporated into any legislation we would support. While there is no guarantee that Democrats in general and the Members of the Hispanic Caucus in particular will endorse our approach, we can gain leverage in these negotiations by making clear that a veto threat remains dependent upon the introduction of an alternative consistent with our principles. Since no bilingual education bill is likely to pass this year, we can also make clear that we will transmit a reauthorization bill next year that is fully consistent with these principles.

If we determine together with House Democrats that an alternative is strategically necessary when the bill comes to the floor or is taken up by the appropriations subcommittee, we could then introduce a bill consistent with our principles that would unite, rather than divide Democrats. Alternatively, if House Democrats either do not want an alternative when the Riggs bill reaches the floor, or will not support an approach based on our principles, we can reassess our position at that time and either send up our own alternative or choose to wait until next year's reauthorization.

This approach is more likely to unite the Democrats over a potentially divisive issue, keep them united for the larger education and other battles over the coming months, and enable those of us in the Administration to focus our time and energy on fights with Republicans rather than on infighting within the Democratic Caucus.

There are clear downsides to this approach as well. It places a large measure of control over the timing and, to some extent the content, of your own proposal in the hands of Members who do not share your views about the need or way to reform bilingual education. Consequently, the chances are high that you would not transmit legislation at all this year, and you would therefore be unable to position yourself or to effectively define the debate.

Recommendation: Your advisors are split on this issue. On policy grounds, Bruce Reed favors Option 1, though he recognizes that your final determination may depend more upon political than policy calculations. Maria Echeveste, Janet Murguia, Mickey Iberra and Karen Skelton recommend Option 2. [need to find out where Riley, Sperling, and Stein are before staffing this.]

<input type="checkbox"/> Option 1	<input type="checkbox"/> Option 2	<input type="checkbox"/> Discuss
Send Now	Defer to House Democrats on Timing	

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:16-JUN-1998 18:23:06.00

SUBJECT: DOJ and the Kohl amendment

TO: Cynthia Dailard (CN=Cynthia Dailard/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Kohl's folks made some calls to DOJ today, and here's where things stand:

DOJ says it could live with a letter that says they support the goals of the Kohl bill, but need some refinements. Apparently, Kohl's folks thought this was worth having. DOJ is going to draft something. They think an AGs letter would take too long to get out of the Department, so they are now suggesting it should be a WH letter. I should have draft language tonight we could look at first thing tomorrow.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jose Cerda III (CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:16-JUN-1998 17:51:45.00

SUBJECT: Possible Events

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Cathy R. Mays (CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Christa Robinson (CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
BR:

In no particular order, and preliminarily...

1. Youth gun signs/CAP legislation. We've considered supporting child access prevention legislation for some time (Durbin/Chafee have a bill) that would generally hold adults/parents liable if they allow kids easy access to guns. We could announce support for this bill (or a more limited version preferred by Justice) and unveil our signs warning gun purchasers about their responsibility to keep handguns away from children. Last June, the Pres. directed Treasury to require federal gun dealers to post such signs, and they are finally prepared to issue a final reg on this.

2. Drug Strike Force. DOJ is prepared to announce -- ASAP -- that they will be dedicating some 300 federal agents and 20 prosecutors to form a drug strike force in Chicago. Mayor Daley has been pushing for the feds to focus more on drugs in Chicago, and the AG has finally agreed to shift more resources there. This would be a good hard-hitting drug announcement, and the VP is currently considering it. However, we've committed to Daley to do it very soon.

3. Drug Courts. In last week's weekly, the President indicated that he wanted to do an event on drug courts. DOJ has \$30 million this fiscal year for them, and grant applications were due this spring. Though I'm not sure, my guess is that we will be in a position to award some of these grants during the summer -- and they could serve as a basis for a drug courts event.

4. Household Survey. ONDCP has indicated that the Household Survey -- one of two major drug surveys on teen drug use -- should be out by August. Last year's survey was the first to indicate a dip in teenage drug use, and this year's is likely to show the same. If so, the survey's

release could serve as an organizing point for another drug event.

5. Safe and Drug-Free Schools. Ed has been sitting on a Safe and Drug-Free Schools report for some months now. The latest word is that it will be out in August. I'm not too confident, and I'm not sure what the report will make news, but it could serve as a backdrop for talking about school safety.

6. COPS in Schools. The Police/Schools grants we announced in March were due to DOJ this week. It's likely that these will be ready to announce by the end of summer, along with the AG/Secretary of Ed's overall recommendations for getting more police in and working with schools (per today's speech). Also, DOJ expects to use some of the COPS in Schools money to hold a big conference. We should try and time all three of these things.

7. God and Gangs. The NRA may have Moses, but we're turning to the God of Abraham himself. Rahmie's project to look for innovative (read constitutional) ways to fund faith-based anti-crime programs is under consideration at Justice. In fact, Rahm spoke to Reno today about this. Hopefully, we will be in a position to announce a handful of demos by summer's end.

8. Smart Gun Challenge. Although, I am not crazy about it, Rahm really wants to do this. Justice and Treasury are okay w/us challenging government and industry to work together to get a child proof gun on the market by 2000. Ugh...let's give this one to the Millennium folks.

9. COPS, COPS, COPS. As always, there will be several opportunities to announce cop grants over the summer -- including meth cop grants and extensions for small cities. We could link one -- or both -- of these to a general COPS announcement, and continue to talk about falling crime rates.

10. Pulse Check. On June 25th, ONDCP and HHS are scheduled to release the biannual Pulse Check -- a survey of local law enforcement, treatment providers, ethnographers -- that describes what's going on in local drug markets. For instance, heroin from Mexico is on the rise and cheap in Baltimore; meth is stable, but serious in St. Louis; crack is down and less available in NYC...stuff like that. The survey is by no means a national sample of drug use/availability, and it usually only makes local news. Nonetheless, it gets some attention, gives specific descriptions of local drug problems, and gets some coverage.

11. Media Campaign. Just in case this is not already on the schedule, we should announce the national roll-out of the \$195 million media campaign in July. ONDCP would buy a "roadblock" on network TV that night, unveil new ads and host local events in scores of participating cities. Also, we may be able to release the new DUF/ADAM data that day, which measure drug use among the arrestees. Last year, the data showed meth use declining in key cities, and crack down. There could be similar news this year.

12. Youth Crime Gun Interdiction Initiative. July represents the 2nd anniversary of the President's gun tracing initiative. Last year, we released a report and announced that 10 new cities were being added (total 27). This year the report is running late, but it may be a candidate for a good cops/guns/juvenile hit by the end of the summer/early fall. As you know, the President's budget this year asked for \$28 million to expand this initiative and to hire 6 ATF agents in each of the cities to crack down on gun traffickers. The House appropriations subcommittee fully funded this

request last week, but the Senate will probably not be as generous. If we fight and get funds for this during the summer, this will be a huge victory -- and maybe worth doing an event with or without the report...at least I think so...

jose iii

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Kate P. Donovan (CN=Kate P. Donovan/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])

CREATION DATE/TIME:16-JUN-1998 20:12:18.00

SUBJECT: URGENT: Need clearance - T/P Approps. letter

TO: G. E. DeSeve (CN=G. E. DeSeve/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William P. Marshall (CN=William P. Marshall/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Martha Foley (CN=Martha Foley/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gene B. Sperling (CN=Gene B. Sperling/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sylvia M. Mathews (CN=Sylvia M. Mathews/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lawrence J. Stein (CN=Lawrence J. Stein/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan D. Breul (CN=Jonathan D. Breul/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joshua Gotbaum (CN=Joshua Gotbaum/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ron Klain (CN=Ron Klain/O=OVP@OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sally Katzen (CN=Sally Katzen/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul E. Begala (CN=Paul E. Begala/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John Podesta (CN=John Podesta/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rahm I. Emanuel (CN=Rahm I. Emanuel/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Charles Konigsberg (CN=Charles Konigsberg/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jonathan H. Adashek (CN=Jonathan H. Adashek/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Eleanor S. Parker (CN=Eleanor S. Parker/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Michelle Crisci (CN=Michelle Crisci/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jessica L. Gibson (CN=Jessica L. Gibson/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Lisa M. Kountoupes (CN=Lisa M. Kountoupes/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: David C. Childs (CN=David C. Childs/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Shannon Mason (CN=Shannon Mason/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Melissa G. Green (CN=Melissa G. Green/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Kevin S. Moran (CN=Kevin S. Moran/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Attached is the Treasury/Postal Appropriations letter for your review. House Committee markup is tomorrow, Wednesday (6/17) at 9:30am; therefore, please provide comments by 8:50am tomorrow. Thank you.

The Honorable Bob Livingston
Chairman
Committee on Appropriations
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The purpose of this letter is to provide the Administration's views on the Treasury, Postal Service, and General Government Appropriations Bill, FY 1999, as reported by the House Subcommittee. As the Committee develops its version of the bill, your consideration of the Administration's views would be appreciated. These views are based on incomplete information and are, therefore, necessarily preliminary.

The Administration appreciates efforts by the Subcommittee to accommodate the President's priorities within the 302(b) allocation. However, the allocation is simply insufficient to make the necessary investments in programs funded by this bill. As a result, a variety of critical programs discussed below are underfunded.

The only way to achieve the appropriate investment level is to offset discretionary spending by using savings in other areas. The President's FY 1999 Budget proposes levels of discretionary spending for FY 1999 that conform to the Bipartisan Budget Agreement by making savings in mandatory and other programs available to help finance this spending. In the recently enacted Transportation Equity Act, Congress -- on a broad, bipartisan basis -- took similar action in approving funding for surface transportation programs paid for with mandatory offsets. We encourage the

Congress to take advantage of such additional offsets, or to reduce appropriations for programs or projects not requested by the President.

Below is a discussion of our specific concerns with the Subcommittee-reported bill. We look forward to working with you to resolve these concerns as the bill moves forward.

Year 2000 Computer Conversion

The Administration appreciates the emphasis that the Subcommittee has placed on year 2000 (Y2K) computer conversion activities. While we support the funding mechanism established in the Act, we have some concerns regarding the mechanism for, and allocation of, resources to address the Y2K issue. In the FY 1999 Budget, the President has requested more than \$1 billion for Y2K computer conversion. In addition, the Budget anticipated that additional requirements would emerge over the course of the year, and included an allowance in Function 920 for emergencies and other unanticipated needs. At this time, we believe that the resource levels included in the President's Budget will fully address Y2K computer conversion requirements government-wide. However, as we learn more about how to address this problem, we expect that ensuring Government-wide compliance will require flexibility to respond to unanticipated requirements. This mechanism provides such flexibility. As action on the various appropriations bills proceeds, we urge Congress to leave as much as possible of the \$2.25 billion contingent reserve unallocated so that funds are available to address emerging needs.

Further, we urge Congress to refrain from allocating contingency funds for needs that are not related to Y2K computer compliance. For example, it appears that the funding level assumed for IRS's use of the proposed Y2K emergency contingent appropriation includes at least \$22 million for computer systems needs that are not Y2K-related. We believe that these funds should be provided in the regular appropriation.

The Administration has concerns about Subcommittee Report language that would impose Y2K reporting requirements beyond those already in place. While we appreciate the Committee's intent, such reporting requirements could divert resources and management attention that would more appropriately be focused on achieving compliance. In addition, the Administration objects to report language that would authorize the Chair of the President's Council on Year 2000 Computer Conversion to "directly access and take control of" certain agency systems. We believe that the process now in place, whereby agency heads work in collaboration with the Chair towards achieving compliance, is the most appropriate procedure to ensure efficiency and accountability.

Federal Election Commission

The Administration strongly objects to language included in the bill that would limit the term of the Federal Election Commission's staff director and general counsel to four years and require a vote of four commissioners to reappoint them. This procedure is a departure from current practice, established in statute, whereby the Commission appoints a staff director and general counsel for an unlimited term. As with all Commission decisions under current practice, removal of the staff director and general counsel requires a vote of four commissioners. The Administration urges the Committee to eliminate this provision from the bill. Furthermore, because the provision effectively could remove the current occupants of the positions, it would raise serious constitutional questions under the separation of power.

Executive Office of the President

The Administration is concerned with the Subcommittee's inclusion of several provisions in the General Provisions and Executive Residence sections of the bill and language in the Subcommittee Report. It is our hope that any differences that exist concerning these provisions will be resolved as the bill moves through the process.

Possible Amendments Related to Brady Act and Assault Weapons

The Administration understands that there may be several extraneous amendments offered during the full Committee markup that would negatively impact the Administration's efforts to reduce gun crime on America's streets. Such amendments would be strongly opposed by the Administration.

Internal Revenue Service

The Administration appreciates the Subcommittee's efforts to fund the President's Budget request for the IRS. We look forward to working closely with the committee and the Congress to identify ways in which the full funding in the President's request can be achieved.

The Administration appreciates congressional support for IRS information technology investments. However, tying obligation of funds to GAO review of expenditure plans is objectionable since the Administration has no control over the nature or timing of any prospective GAO review.

U.S. Customs Service

The Administration is concerned about the funding level for Customs, Automated Commercial Environment (ACE). Without major revisions to the existing system, Customs cannot keep up with increasing trade volumes nor can it be responsive to the requirements stated in the 1993 Modernization Act and the needs articulated by industry. The Subcommittee has funded only \$8 million of the requested \$56 million level, which would cause the modernization effort to come virtually to a halt. To accommodate the full amount requested, the Administration has proposed funding the majority of ACE requirements through a user fee paid by those who stand to benefit most from this system, the trade community.

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms

The Administration requests reconsideration of the Violent Crime Coordinator initiative, as the U.S. Attorneys have requested additional ATF support for bringing cases involving violent criminals to the Department of Justice for prosecution.

Relocation of the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms headquarters staffing remains a key concern due to inadequate security at the present headquarters site. We hope that the Congress will continue to consider funding for this priority when the review process is completed.

Federal Employees Health Benefits Program

The Administration strongly opposes sections 514 and 515 of the bill. These provisions would restrict Federal Employees Health Benefits Program (FEHBP) coverage for abortions except in situations where the life

of the mother is endangered or the pregnancy is the result of rape or incest. While the President believes that abortion should be safe, legal, and rare, the Administration does not believe that Federal employees and their families should be precluded from choosing to purchase health insurance that includes broader coverage. The Administration believes that the decision to cover abortion should be left to each health plan participating in the FEHBP. Thus, Federal employees who wish to purchase health coverage that does not include abortion services would have that choice. The provision in the Subcommittee bill does not allow Federal employees and their families to make that choice.

Pay Raise

The Administration is disappointed that the Subcommittee proposes to eliminate the 1999 pay raise for Federal judges and employees paid under the Executive Schedule. Failure to provide pay raises for senior executives is eroding the value of their pay, causing severe pay compression in the executive ranks. Pay adjustments have been made for such individuals only once in the last five years. If continued, this failure will affect the Government's ability to attract and retain the executive talent that it needs. We urge the Committee to restore the pay raise for Federal judges and the Executive Schedule.

Office of National Drug Control Policy

The Administration appreciates the support the Subcommittee has provided for drug control efforts in general, and for ONDCP in particular. The Administration encourages the Committee to provide the full amounts requested for the ONDCP Director to enhance drug control activities and address emerging drug threats. The Committee could fund this spending, in part, by reducing amounts earmarked by the Subcommittee for an unrequested technology transfer program.

Federal Buildings Fund

The Subcommittee has not provided \$14 million requested for the design of a new Department of Transportation (DOT) Headquarters. Instead, the Subcommittee urges GSA to enter into a lease transaction, as authorized by the House Transportation and Infrastructure Committee and the Senate Environment and Public Works Committee. The Administration requests that the Committee provide funding for the design of a new DOT Headquarters. Providing for a government-owned building would save taxpayers approximately \$190 million, in present value terms, compared to the cost of entering into a lease.

The Subcommittee bill also would delay the availability of funding until September 30, 1999, for the repair and alterations program (\$19 million) and building operations program (\$223 million). The Administration is concerned that a delay in obligations of this amount for buildings operations would impede GSA's ability to operate and maintain Federal facilities under its control.

We also note that the Subcommittee bill approved over \$500 million for 15 unrequested courthouse construction projects.

National Bioethics Advisory Commission

The Administration objects to section 628 of the Subcommittee bill, which would prevent interagency funding of the National Bioethics

Advisory Commission. The work of the Commission affects at least 15 Federal agencies. Access to interagency funding is essential for continued operations of this small, but important commission.

Dissemination of Public Information

Language in the Subcommittee Report would require OMB to issue regulations establishing detailed procedures for how Federal and non-Federal entities (including States, grantees, and contractors) disseminate public information. First, the language is inappropriate in that it would directly affect a matter that is currently under litigation in the Federal Courts. Second, the language would require the creation of a complex bureaucratic process that would delay the timely release of public information. Most agencies do an excellent job of releasing public information -- including crop statistics, weather data, and public health warnings. The language would make such releases subject to a lengthy and complex evaluation and comment process that would take resources away from the dissemination mission. Third, because of the language's broad sweep, the regulations to be issued would create considerable uncertainty among non-Federal parties as to their legal responsibilities for disclosing information. For example, a Defense contractor would have to interpret the applicability of the regulations issued pursuant to this language when bidding on a contract to develop technology for the Defense Department because the regulations could affect the winning contractor's rights in valuable technical data (e.g., jet engine design) developed under the contract. Similar and potentially costly effects could apply to grantees and States.

Competition in Commercial Activities

The Administration understands that an amendment may be offered that would require that all commercial work performed by Federal employees be submitted to competition and preclude the conversion of any contracted work to in-house performance. The Administration would oppose such an amendment. The Administration's policy is to promote competition to achieve the best deal to the taxpayer, not simply to out-source. The Government must be permitted to choose the alternative -- public or private -- that is the most cost effective. The proposed amendment does not acknowledge our larger reinvention effort and would mandate a five-year schedule for conducting cost comparisons involving all commercial work performed by Federal employees. This mandate would be administratively burdensome and could preclude agencies from considering other management improvement efforts.

We look forward to working with the Committee to address our mutual concerns.

Sincerely,

Jacob J. Lew
Acting Director

Identical Letter Sent to The Honorable Bob Livingston,
The Honorable David R. Obey, The Honorable Jim Kolbe,
and The Honorable Steny H. Hoyer

H-2A Reform Proposal

This proposal is for a NPRM in four parts. Part I would discuss the steps already taken by DOL to streamline the H-2A program. Part II would lay out the reforms that are being noticed for rulemaking. Part III would preview a proposed rule that is under development and would give a firm deadline for noticing that rule. Part IV would mention other areas where we are considering reform.

Part I

Actions accomplished

(by General Administrative Letter (GAL) directive)

- a. The Labor Department now requires “positive recruitment” of U.S. farmworkers only in areas where DOL finds that there are a significant number of qualified workers willing to make themselves available for employment at the time and place needed.
- b. The Labor Department now counts as “available” for employment only those U.S. workers who are identified by name, address and social security number.
- c. The Labor Department has submitted a reprogramming request to Congress that would allow for the provision of automated support for the labor certification process. Such automation could be implemented within six to eight months of the availability of funding.

This automation would affect both the goal of meeting the existing 7-day deadline after receipt of initial application to notify employer of deficiencies precluding adjudication of the application and the 20-day deadline -- prior to the employers’ “date of need” -- to issue approved certifications.

Part II

Rulemaking proposals -- Stage 1

- a. Require employers’ H-2A labor certification applications to be submitted 45 (rather than 60) days prior to the employers’ “date of need.”
- b. Reduce the deadline for employer-provided housing to be available for inspection from 30 to 15 days prior to the employers’ “date of need.”
- c. Growers will no longer be required to use a registered farm labor contractors (FLCs or “crew leaders”) as a source of U.S. workers if the FLC has a demonstrated history of employing illegal or unauthorized workers or of other labor abuses.
- d. Eliminate requirement that certified H-2A employers provide notice of H-2A workers’ departure for the place of employment.

Part III

Rulemaking proposal -- Stage 2

This notice would set a deadline (120 days?) for noticing a rule that effects this reform. This reform would likely require rulemaking by both DOJ and DOL.

- a. INS to delegate H-2A petition approval authority to DOL. Removing the INS adjudication step will result in a two-step (State -- DOL) process, rather than a three-step (State -- INS -- DOL) process. DOL will then provide simultaneous approval of H-2A certification and petition approval.

Part IV

Rulemaking proposal -- Stage 3

This notice would mention the following other reforms as possibilities for future rulemaking.

- a. Allow H-2A program applicant-employers to include a bonding requirement for FLCs they employ. This will help protect employers from risks such as the FLC employing unauthorized workers or failing to perform.
- b. The Wage & Hour division at the Labor Department will have the authority to temporarily debar employers who commit serious labor standards or H-2A program violations from future use of the H-2A program.
- c. INS (or DOL) will issue petition approval (I-797) for replacement of certified H-2A workers upon proof of the worker's repatriation.
- d. Simplify H-2A application and related documentation -- both job order applications and accompanying disclosure documentation -- for ease of integration with America's Job Bank (AJB). This simplification will accommodate electronic filing by employer-applicants and will further ease application information burden on previous program users found in compliance with program requirements.

Issues

1. Does the substance and schedule seem reasonable?
2. Will this proposal be effective in staving off congressional efforts to enact new guestworker legislation?
3. Labor wants to be able to tell members of Congress that OMB has promised to expedite their review so that the new regulations will be in place by January or February of 1999 (next growing season). What kind of promise can Labor make?
4. Should we, as our proposal reflects, preview the reforms that we hope to pursue in a future rulemaking (Part IV)? Or should we wait until we are ready to issue the next NPRM?