

**NLWJC - KAGAN**

**EMAILS RECEIVED**

**ARMS - BOX 034 - FOLDER -006**

**[07/08/1998 - 07/09/1998]**

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Julie A. Fernandes ( CN=Julie A. Fernandes/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 8-JUL-1998 12:56:40.00

SUBJECT: EEOC

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Elena,

Yesterday, Maria convened a follow-up meeting with the LCCR folks to discuss our civil rights enforcement initiatives. Wade asked for a higher profile for our civil rights enforcement package. Maria and Minyon agreed that they would try to incorporate support for the cr package (as a package) in upcoming principal events. Next week the VP is speaking at the NAACP and the FLOTUS is speaking at La Raza. These were identified as two opportunities.

Wade also indicated the LCCR's intention to draft a letter to the Congressional leadership + committee members outlining the "package" concept and expressing strong support for the increases in the President's budget. Though this sort of sets them up in a way that may make them a target ("here is all the stuff that is important to us"), they believe that it would be an important rallying tool. Wade also indicated that LCCR plans to work on getting a bi-partisan letter from members of Congress and former EEOC commissioners expressing support for the use of employment testers.

Julie

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Richard Socarides ( CN=Richard Socarides/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 8-JUL-1998 08:57:00.00

SUBJECT: Conservatives vow effort to undo directive on gays

TO: Tracey E. Thornton ( CN=Tracey E. Thornton/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dario J. Gomez ( CN=Dario J. Gomez/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas L. Freedman ( CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles E. Kieffer ( CN=Charles E. Kieffer/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary L. Smith ( CN=Mary L. Smith/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Deich ( CN=Michael Deich/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sylvia M. Mathews ( CN=Sylvia M. Mathews/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen Tramontano ( CN=Karen Tramontano/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Martha Foley ( CN=Martha Foley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Virginia Apuzzo ( CN=Virginia Apuzzo/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet Murguia ( CN=Janet Murguia/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joshua Gotbaum ( CN=Joshua Gotbaum/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles M. Brain ( CN=Charles M. Brain/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

----- Forwarded by Richard Socarides/WHO/EOP on 07/08/98  
08:56 AM -----

07/08/98 02:43:00 AM

Record Type: Record

To: Richard Socarides

cc:

Subject: Conservatives vow effort to undo directive on gays

WASHINGTON TIMES, July 7, 1998

(<http://www.washtimes.com/>)

Conservatives vow effort to undo directive on gays

By Sean Scully

THE WASHINGTON TIMES

Christian activists say they will launch a major effort when the House returns to Washington to overturn President Clinton's executive order banning discrimination against homosexuals. "It gives the stamp of U.S. government approval to behavior that is immoral and destructive to individuals, families and communities," said Robert H. Knight, director of cultural studies for the conservative Family Research Council. "The government simply can't facilitate this immorality without opposition."

In May, Mr. Clinton issued an executive order adding sexual orientation to the federal government's nondiscrimination policy. Some individual federal agencies had already adopted such a policy, but it was not consistent across the executive branch. "Individuals should not be denied a job on the basis of something that has no relationship to their ability to perform their work," Mr. Clinton said at the time. The previous policy, established by President Nixon, banned discrimination based on race, color, religion, sex, national origin, disability or age.

Some members of Congress objected to Mr. Clinton's directive, on both moral and constitutional grounds, saying the president had exceeded his authority. When the House returns on July 14, it will confront a proposal by Rep. Joel Hefley, Colorado Republican, to undo the order. Mr. Hefley sponsored an amendment to the Treasury Department appropriation bill that would forbid the federal government to spend any money to enforce the president's executive order -- in effect, nullifying it. "We hope we are moving in this country toward a time when there will be no need to grant special rights and privileges to any group over any other," Mr. Hefley and three other members wrote in a June letter supporting the amendment. "While we do not support discrimination, we also do not believe in giving special protected status because of sexual orientation or for most other reasons."

Homosexual rights groups say they are launching an equally vigorous effort to defend the president's order. Wayne Besen, spokesman for the Human Rights Campaign, calls the order a "good-government measure" because it

streamlines and clarifies the former patchwork of agency policies. "Some of the opponents are trying to demagogue this issue to score political points," he said. "If the truth means anything, this political football won't have any air in it."

Opposition to the executive order comes at a time when congressional Republicans are increasingly vocal about homosexual issues. In June, Senate Majority Leader Trent Lott, Mississippi Republican, compared homosexuality to kleptomania and alcoholism. "You should not try to mistreat [homosexuals] or treat them as outcasts," Mr. Lott said in a TV interview. "You should try to show them a way to deal with that problem, just like alcohol ... or sex addiction ... or kleptomaniacs." House Majority Leader Dick Armey, Texas Republican, promptly came out in support of his colleague, saying "The Bible is very clear on this."

The Senate, meanwhile, is locked in a battle over homosexual activist James C. Hormel, heir to the meat-packing company fortune and Mr. Clinton's nominee to become ambassador to Luxembourg. Senate leaders, including Mr. Lott, have refused to allow a vote on the nomination, saying Mr. Hormel's advocacy of homosexual causes makes him an inappropriate representative of the United States. "There is a philosophy in our society today that suggests individuals can define what is right and wrong for themselves," Mr. Lott wrote in a recent newspaper column. "But in my view, right and wrong is ultimately outlined by our maker, not individuals."

Democrats and homosexual advocates have seized on the GOP positions, saying they show the disproportionate influence of the religious right. GOP leadership "has decided in order to mobilize its base in the upcoming election, it's going to have to throw some red meat," Mr. Besen said, predicting the strategy would backfire.

But conservatives say the Democratic strategy is risky. Mr. Knight said Americans are uncomfortable protecting homosexuality on the same level as race or ethnicity. "I think [the Democrats] are so far out of touch. ... They don't realize how odd their views would seem to the average American," Mr. Knight said.

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====  
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:  
RFC-822-headers:

Received: from conversion.pmdf.eop.gov by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.1-9 #29131)  
id <01IZ557SN37K001S4E@PMDF.EOP.GOV> for socarides\_r@a1.eop.gov; Wed,  
8 Jul 1998 02:59:56 EDT

Received: from Storm.EOP.GOV by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.1-9 #29131)  
with ESMTTP id <01IZ557IWMI8001B89@PMDF.EOP.GOV> for socarides\_r@a1.eop.gov;  
Wed, 08 Jul 1998 02:59:46 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from imo29.mx.aol.com ([198.81.17.73])  
by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.1-10 #29131)  
with ESMTTP id <01IZ5572RSYK00042W@STORM.EOP.GOV> for socarides\_r@a1.eop.gov;  
Wed, 08 Jul 1998 02:59:15 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from GSS1@aol.com by imo29.mx.aol.com (IMOV14\_b1.1)  
id ALYMa27848; Wed, 08 Jul 1998 02:43:04 -0400 (EDT)

X-Mailer: AOL 4.0 for Windows 95 sub 170

===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Joseph C. Fanaroff ( CN=Joseph C. Fanaroff/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 8-JUL-1998 11:41:42.00

SUBJECT: DAILY TALKING POINTS -- PRESIDENT CLINTON: PROMOTING GUN SAFETY AND RESPON

TO: Kathy Weatherly at gore-dc ( Kathy Weatherly at gore-dc @ ccmil [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Amy McKay at gore-dc ( Amy McKay at gore-dc @ CCMAIL [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rhonda Melton at gore-dc ( Rhonda Melton at gore-dc @ CCMAIL [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bill Mason at gore-dc ( Bill Mason at gore-dc @ ccmil [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth Katze at gore-dc ( Elizabeth Katze at gore-dc @ ccmil [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andy Dryden at gore-dc ( Andy Dryden at gore-dc @ ccmil [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andy Dryden at gore-dc ( Andy Dryden at gore-dc @ CCMAIL [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Clark Ogilvie at gore-dc ( Clark Ogilvie at gore-dc @ CCMAIL [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David Ligon at gore-dc ( David Ligon at gore-dc @ ccmil [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nancy Hoit at NPR ( Nancy Hoit at NPR @ CCMAIL [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marty J. Hoffmann ( CN=Marty J. Hoffmann/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alberta A. Winkler ( CN=Alberta A. Winkler/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Beth A. Viola ( CN=Beth A. Viola/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cindy Trutanic ( CN=Cindy Trutanic/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David R Thomas ( CN=David R Thomas/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dan J. Taylor ( CN=Dan J. Taylor/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elisabeth Steele ( CN=Elisabeth Steele/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Callie Shell ( CN=Callie Shell/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan H. Schnur ( CN=Jonathan H. Schnur/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jodi R. Sakol ( CN=Jodi R. Sakol/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Clark E. Ray ( CN=Clark E. Ray/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth J. Potter ( CN=Elizabeth J. Potter/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary M. Overbey ( CN=Mary M. Overbey/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nathan B. Naylor ( CN=Nathan B. Naylor/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer R. Muller ( CN=Jennifer R. Muller/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisa M. Mallory ( CN=Lisa M. Mallory/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher S. Lehane ( CN=Christopher S. Lehane/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jim Kohlenberger ( CN=Jim Kohlenberger/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Aram H. Kailian ( CN=Aram H. Kailian/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ansley Jones ( CN=Ansley Jones/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joanne M. Hilty ( CN=Joanne M. Hilty/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gordon Heddell ( CN=Gordon Heddell/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce Harding ( CN=Bruce Harding/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary C. Gumbleton ( CN=Mary C. Gumbleton/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ricardo M. Gonzales ( CN=Ricardo M. Gonzales/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Vanessa M. Flindt ( CN=Vanessa M. Flindt/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Patricia M. Ewing ( CN=Patricia M. Ewing/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Philip G Dufour ( CN=Philip G Dufour/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Toby Donenfeld ( CN=Toby Donenfeld/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gisela J. Diaz ( CN=Gisela J. Diaz/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Todd H. Dennett ( CN=Todd H. Dennett/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marc R D'Anjou ( CN=Marc R D'Anjou/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul J. Cusack ( CN=Paul J. Cusack/O=OVP @ OVP [ OVP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andrei H. Cherny ( CN=Andrei H. Cherny/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alejandro G. Cabrera ( CN=Alejandro G. Cabrera/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael J. Burton ( CN=Michael J. Burton/O=OVP @ OVP [ OVP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisa M. Brown ( CN=Lisa M. Brown/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Matthew J. Bianco ( CN=Matthew J. Bianco/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Matthew L. Bennett ( CN=Matthew L. Bennett/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bobbie J. Bauman ( CN=Bobbie J. Bauman/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Doug Babcock ( CN=Doug Babcock/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eric R. Anderson ( CN=Eric R. Anderson/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steven W. Adamske ( CN=Steven W. Adamske/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert E. Whiteman ( CN=Robert E. Whiteman/OU=OA/O=EOP @ EOP [ OA ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William C. Haymes ( CN=William C. Haymes/OU=OA/O=EOP @ EOP [ OA ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leland L. Scott Jr. ( CN=Leland L. Scott Jr./OU=OA/O=EOP @ EOP [ OA ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Judith A. Winston ( CN=Judith A. Winston/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Wenger ( CN=Michael Wenger/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael J. Sorrell ( CN=Michael J. Sorrell/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Scott R. Palmer ( CN=Scott R. Palmer/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jacinta Ma ( CN=Jacinta Ma/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lin Liu ( CN=Lin Liu/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Diana Kappner ( CN=Diana Kappner/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wanda Habash ( CN=Wanda Habash/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John M. Goering ( CN=John M. Goering/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Grace A. Garcia ( CN=Grace A. Garcia/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David K. Chai ( CN=David K. Chai/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth A. Castle ( CN=Elizabeth A. Castle/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alexander L. Boyle ( CN=Alexander L. Boyle/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Randy D. Ayers ( CN=Randy D. Ayers/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William G. Wells ( CN=William G. Wells/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Victor J. Villhard ( CN=Victor J. Villhard/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sandra J. Toomey ( CN=Sandra J. Toomey/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David Y. Stevens ( CN=David Y. Stevens/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Frances Sharples ( CN=Frances Sharples/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steven M. Rinaldi ( CN=Steven M. Rinaldi/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joan Porter ( CN=Joan Porter/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elaine R. Padovani ( CN=Elaine R. Padovani/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Martin C. Offutt ( CN=Martin C. Offutt/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Duncan T. Moore ( CN=Duncan T. Moore/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Oliver G. McGee ( CN=Oliver G. McGee/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce W. MacDonald ( CN=Bruce W. MacDonald/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rachel E. Levinson ( CN=Rachel E. Levinson/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cecilia D. Lafoe ( CN=Cecilia D. Lafoe/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gerald P. Kiernan ( CN=Gerald P. Kiernan/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nirmala Kannankutty ( CN=Nirmala Kannankutty/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kerri A. Jones ( CN=Kerri A. Jones/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jefferson Hofgard ( CN=Jefferson Hofgard/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Beverly K. Hartline ( CN=Beverly K. Hartline/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Holly L. Gwin ( CN=Holly L. Gwin/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anthony J. Gibson ( CN=Anthony J. Gibson/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sybil Francis ( CN=Sybil Francis/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Miriam A. Forman ( CN=Miriam A. Forman/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barbara A. Ferguson ( CN=Barbara A. Ferguson/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura L. Efros ( CN=Laura L. Efros/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sandy L. Cole ( CN=Sandy L. Cole/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia M. Chase ( CN=Cynthia M. Chase/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Arthur Bienenstock ( CN=Arthur Bienenstock/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Susan L. Bassow ( CN=Susan L. Bassow/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter W. Backlund ( CN=Peter W. Backlund/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Judith D. Auerbach ( CN=Judith D. Auerbach/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Irene Yeh ( CN=Irene Yeh/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul J. Weinstein Jr. ( CN=Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sandra Thurman ( CN=Sandra Thurman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Todd A. Summers ( CN=Todd A. Summers/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary L. Smith ( CN=Mary L. Smith/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert M. Shireman ( CN=Robert M. Shireman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah Rosen ( CN=Sarah Rosen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christa Robinson ( CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David E. Pearah ( CN=David E. Pearah/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan Orszag ( CN=Jonathan Orszag/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael A. O'Mary ( CN=Michael A. O'Mary/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Betty Nhan ( CN=Betty Nhan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Daniel C. Montoya ( CN=Daniel C. Montoya/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julie Mikuta ( CN=Julie Mikuta/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sonya Matthews ( CN=Sonya Matthews/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tanya E. Martin ( CN=Tanya E. Martin/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ira C. Magaziner ( CN=Ira C. Magaziner/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeanne Lambrew ( CN=Jeanne Lambrew/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sally Katzen ( CN=Sally Katzen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andrea Kane ( CN=Andrea Kane/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher C. Jennings ( CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah T. Holewinski ( CN=Sarah T. Holewinski/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jess A. Gupta ( CN=Jess A. Gupta/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John C. Gilmore ( CN=John C. Gilmore/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas L. Freedman ( CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Carolyn A. Filak ( CN=Carolyn A. Filak/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul R. Dimond ( CN=Paul R. Dimond/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Cohen ( CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William M. Chiong ( CN=William M. Chiong/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jose Cerda III ( CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Erik R. Cafarella ( CN=Erik R. Cafarella/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sherman G. Boone ( CN=Sherman G. Boone/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brian A. Barreto ( CN=Brian A. Barreto/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: April B. Abdulmalik ( CN=April B. Abdulmalik/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Valon J. Wadsworth ( CN=Valon J. Wadsworth/OU=NSC/O=EOP @ EOP [ NSC ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steven J. Naplan ( CN=Steven J. Naplan/OU=NSC/O=EOP @ EOP [ NSC ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wendy E. Gray ( CN=Wendy E. Gray/OU=NSC/O=EOP @ EOP [ NSC ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Antony J. Blinken ( CN=Antony J. Blinken/OU=NSC/O=EOP @ EOP [ NSC ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wesley P. Warren ( CN=Wesley P. Warren/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sally Ericsson ( CN=Sally Ericsson/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nancy Marlow ( CN=Nancy Marlow/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisa Guide ( CN=Lisa Guide/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Keith E. Laughlin ( CN=Keith E. Laughlin/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Judy Jablow ( CN=Judy Jablow/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ellen Athas ( CN=Ellen Athas/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Edward R. Clark ( CN=Edward R. Clark/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David B Sandalow ( CN=David B Sandalow/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bradley M. Campbell ( CN=Bradley M. Campbell/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ruby Shamir ( CN=Ruby Shamir/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Morley A. Winograd ( CN=Morley A. Winograd/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan Weiss ( CN=Jonathan Weiss/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Moe Vela ( CN=Moe Vela/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul A. Tuchmann ( CN=Paul A. Tuchmann/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kimberly H Tilley ( CN=Kimberly H Tilley/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Virginia M. Terzano ( CN=Virginia M. Terzano/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rachael E. Sullivan ( CN=Rachael E. Sullivan/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan Spalter ( CN=Jonathan Spalter/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kevin D. Scott ( CN=Kevin D. Scott/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Trooper Sanders ( CN=Trooper Sanders/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas M. Rosshirt ( CN=Thomas M. Rosshirt/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Irwin P. Raij ( CN=Irwin P. Raij/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William H. Pickle ( CN=William H. Pickle/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wendy C. New ( CN=Wendy C. New/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Satish Narayanan ( CN=Satish Narayanan/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Aimee M. Malnati ( CN=Aimee M. Malnati/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Susan M. Liss ( CN=Susan M. Liss/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steve L. Kwast ( CN=Steve L. Kwast/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ron Klain ( CN=Ron Klain/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Vivian Jones ( CN=Vivian Jones/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Scott R. Hynes ( CN=Scott R. Hynes/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul Hegarty ( CN=Paul Hegarty/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wendy Hartman ( CN=Wendy Hartman/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lawrence J. Haas ( CN=Lawrence J. Haas/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sue R. Greenberg ( CN=Sue R. Greenberg/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lucia F. Gilliland ( CN=Lucia F. Gilliland/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael B. Feldman ( CN=Michael B. Feldman/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lydia R. Ewing ( CN=Lydia R. Ewing/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andrew S. Dryden ( CN=Andrew S. Dryden/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Monica M. Dixon ( CN=Monica M. Dixon/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer N. Devlin ( CN=Jennifer N. Devlin/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael A. Deavers ( CN=Michael A. Deavers/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maurice Daniel ( CN=Maurice Daniel/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Audrey Choi ( CN=Audrey Choi/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kay Casstevens ( CN=Kay Casstevens/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Miguel M. Bustos ( CN=Miguel M. Bustos/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles W. Burson ( CN=Charles W. Burson/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lee Ann Brackett ( CN=Lee Ann Brackett/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisa A. Berg ( CN=Lisa A. Berg/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David W. Beier ( CN=David W. Beier/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gayle Bauer ( CN=Gayle Bauer/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eli G. Attie ( CN=Eli G. Attie/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bill F Althoff ( CN=Bill F Althoff/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Chandler G. Spaulding ( CN=Chandler G. Spaulding/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas B. Samburg ( CN=Thomas B. Samburg/OU=OA/O=EOP @ EOP [ OA ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kelly Skoloda ( CN=Kelly Skoloda/OU=OA/O=EOP @ EOP [ OA ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mark H. Bartholomew ( CN=Mark H. Bartholomew/OU=OA/O=EOP @ EOP [ OA ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert Wexler ( CN=Robert Wexler/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michelle R. Waldron ( CN=Michelle R. Waldron/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lydia Sermons ( CN=Lydia Sermons/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Evelina Mosby ( CN=Evelina Mosby/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ana Lopez ( CN=Ana Lopez/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Allison J. King ( CN=Allison J. King/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Audrey M. Hutchinson ( CN=Audrey M. Hutchinson/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Claire Gonzales ( CN=Claire Gonzales/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Danielle B. Glosser ( CN=Danielle B. Glosser/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cedra D. Eaton ( CN=Cedra D. Eaton/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michele Cavataio ( CN=Michele Cavataio/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David Campt ( CN=David Campt/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Patrick Aylward ( CN=Patrick Aylward/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth R. Asher ( CN=Elizabeth R. Asher/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: JoAnn Ward ( CN=JoAnn Ward/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Franklin F. Urteaga ( CN=Franklin F. Urteaga/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sheri A. Thornton ( CN=Sheri A. Thornton/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeffrey M. Smith ( CN=Jeffrey M. Smith/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nora H. Sabelli ( CN=Nora H. Sabelli/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Donald Pryor ( CN=Donald Pryor/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lori A. Perine ( CN=Lori A. Perine/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tracy S. Olmstead ( CN=Tracy S. Olmstead/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stephen G. Moran ( CN=Stephen G. Moran/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Deborah J. McGovern ( CN=Deborah J. McGovern/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jerold R. Mande ( CN=Jerold R. Mande/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Martha A. Livingston ( CN=Martha A. Livingston/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Neal Lane ( CN=Neal Lane/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kelly Kirkpatrick ( CN=Kelly Kirkpatrick/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Henry C. Kelly ( CN=Henry C. Kelly/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brian G. Kahin ( CN=Brian G. Kahin/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alice C. Hogan ( CN=Alice C. Hogan/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mark D. Hodge ( CN=Mark D. Hodge/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gerald J. Hane ( CN=Gerald J. Hane/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Daniel L. Goroff ( CN=Daniel L. Goroff/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Clifford J. Gabriel ( CN=Clifford J. Gabriel/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Betty J. Fountain ( CN=Betty J. Fountain/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ruth A. Fisher ( CN=Ruth A. Fisher/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gerald L. Epstein ( CN=Gerald L. Epstein/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: George G. Cravaritis ( CN=George G. Cravaritis/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Donna I. Coleman ( CN=Donna I. Coleman/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rosina M. Bierbaum ( CN=Rosina M. Bierbaum/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] ).  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Deanna M. Behring ( CN=Deanna M. Behring/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Samuel F. Baldwin ( CN=Samuel F. Baldwin/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Susanne Bachtel ( CN=Susanne Bachtel/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lorena E. Ahumada ( CN=Lorena E. Ahumada/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter A. Weissman ( CN=Peter A. Weissman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Essence P. Washington ( CN=Essence P. Washington/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Aaron J. Thoryk ( CN=Aaron J. Thoryk/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gene B. Sperling ( CN=Gene B. Sperling/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jake Siewert ( CN=Jake Siewert/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro ( CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cecilia E. Rouse ( CN=Cecilia E. Rouse/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dorothy Robyn ( CN=Dorothy Robyn/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Richard W. Petty ( CN=Richard W. Petty/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Emil E. Parker ( CN=Emil E. Parker/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Geoffrey M. Odlum ( CN=Geoffrey M. Odlum/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Penelope R. O'Brien ( CN=Penelope R. O'Brien/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Matthew Murguia ( CN=Matthew Murguia/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elaine M. Mitsler ( CN=Elaine M. Mitsler/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cathy R. Mays ( CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Shannon Mason ( CN=Shannon Mason/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles R. Marr ( CN=Charles R. Marr/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Malcolm R. Lee ( CN=Malcolm R. Lee/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer L. Klein ( CN=Jennifer L. Klein/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan A. Kaplan ( CN=Jonathan A. Kaplan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas A. Kalil ( CN=Thomas A. Kalil/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gay L. Joshlyn ( CN=Gay L. Joshlyn/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Russell W. Horwitz ( CN=Russell W. Horwitz/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Susan Gyeszly ( CN=Susan Gyeszly/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Melissa G. Green ( CN=Melissa G. Green/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Donna L. Geisbert ( CN=Donna L. Geisbert/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Diana Fortuna ( CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julie A. Fernandes ( CN=Julie A. Fernandes/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia Dailard ( CN=Cynthia Dailard/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Pamela Cicetti ( CN=Pamela Cicetti/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gregory W. Chang ( CN=Gregory W. Chang/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Antonio Castaneda ( CN=Antonio Castaneda/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sandra L. Bublick Max ( CN=Sandra L. Bublick Max/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah A. Bianchi ( CN=Sarah A. Bianchi/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William J. Antholis ( CN=William J. Antholis/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Natalie S. Wozniak ( CN=Natalie S. Wozniak/OU=NSC/O=EOP @ EOP [ NSC ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer C. Poole ( CN=Jennifer C. Poole/OU=NSC/O=EOP @ EOP [ NSC ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David T. Johnson ( CN=David T. Johnson/OU=NSC/O=EOP @ EOP [ NSC ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Matt Gobush ( CN=Matt Gobush/OU=NSC/O=EOP @ EOP [ NSC ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dale W. Akers ( CN=Dale W. Akers/OU=NSC/O=EOP @ EOP [ NSC ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Shelley N. Fidler ( CN=Shelley N. Fidler/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert S. Kapla ( CN=Robert S. Kapla/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael V. Terrell ( CN=Michael V. Terrell/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Linda Lance ( CN=Linda Lance/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kathleen A. McGinty ( CN=Kathleen A. McGinty/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elliot J. Diringer ( CN=Elliot J. Diringer/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elisabeth A. Blaug ( CN=Elisabeth A. Blaug/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dinah Bear ( CN=Dinah Bear/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Carolyn D. Mosley ( CN=Carolyn D. Mosley/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:  
===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====  
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:  
Unable to convert ARMS\_EXT:[ATTACH.D20]MAIL44767488X.126 to ASCII,  
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF5750438E0E0000010A02010000000205000000232800000002000004D5299ED0F3E81ACBBF874  
A0719EB5BE63E311F751C322A998711C0A1DE2BABB139A2D1A1D1E0509B7D972229016D4B66FA9

E98E317C7F83B96D8198D6A5D3C6638DBC2FABA0E270E20653C8AA98380CE15BC71E892E6CD6AB  
BD4BDE79B08B1A15C5BA835D64A03C062B0CE87C6E39B68D06D02AF115E11B98412271FFE00E5B

**PRESIDENT CLINTON:  
PROMOTING GUN SAFETY AND RESPONSIBILITY**

July 8, 1998

*"From now on, no one who enters a gun shop should mistake their responsibility. All federal gun dealers will now be required to issue written warnings and post signs like this one. The sign makes plain for all to see -- in black and white, in the simple, direct language of the law -- it is illegal to sell, deliver, or transfer a handgun to a minor. Period."*

President Bill Clinton

July 8, 1998

Today, President Clinton is joined by Attorney General Janet Reno, Secretary Robert Rubin, Secretary Richard Riley, Senator John Chafee (R-RI), Senator Richard Durbin (D-IL), Representative Carolyn McCarthy (D-NY), Suzann Wilson, mother of Jonesboro school shooting victim Brittheny Varner, Superintendent of Maryland State Police David Mitchell, and Maryland Lieutenant Governor Kathleen Kennedy Townsend to announce three new steps the Administration is taking to promote gun safety and responsibility throughout the country. Specifically, the President will (1) announce the publication of a final regulation requiring all federally licensed gun dealers to post signs and issue warnings concerning juvenile handgun possession; (2) Call on states and Congress to pass Child Access Prevention (CAP) legislation; and (3) announce a partnership with the state of Maryland to reduce gun-related violence.

**THE YOUTH HANDGUN SAFETY ACT.** Passed as part of the 1994 Crime Act, the Youth Handgun Safety Act generally prohibits juveniles from possessing handguns and adults from transferring handguns to minors. Today, in response to a directive issued last year by the President, the Treasury Department will announce the publication of a final regulation requiring all federally licensed gun dealers to post signs and issue written warnings that state the following:

- The misuse of handguns is a leading contributor to juvenile violence and fatalities;
- Safely storing and securing firearms away from children will help prevent the unlawful possession of handguns by juveniles, stop accidents, and save lives;
- Federal law prohibits, except in certain limited circumstances, anyone under 18 years of age from knowingly possessing a handgun, or any person from selling, delivering, or otherwise transferring a handgun to a person under 18; and
- A knowing violation of the prohibition against selling, delivering, or otherwise transferring a handgun to a person under the age of 18 is, under certain circumstances, punishable by up to 10 years in prison.

**HOLDING GUN-OWNERS ACCOUNTABLE FOR CHILD SAFETY.** President Clinton is calling on states and Congress to pass Child Access Prevention (CAP) laws that hold adults responsible if they allow children easy access to loaded firearms. Fifteen states have already passed CAP laws, and the President is seeking a tough, targeted, federal CAP law with new penalties to punish serious offenders. A recent study published by the Journal of the American Medical Association found that CAP laws have reduced fatal unintentional shootings by an average of 23 percent.

**BUILDING A PARTNERSHIP WITH STATE AND LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT.** In support of the President's Youth Crime Gun Interdiction Initiative, the Administration will begin a partnership with the State of Maryland to launch a joint ATF-Maryland State Police initiative to trace every gun seized in the state that was used in a crime. This effort will allow the state of Maryland to maximize information about the illegal sources of firearms and build a statewide strategy against illegal firearms trafficking. Today's announcement is another example of federal, state, and local law enforcement working together to broaden crime prevention strategies from simply reacting to gun-related crimes to a strategy aimed at reducing the illegal supply of guns and preventing gun violence in the first place.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Mickey Ibarra ( CN=Mickey Ibarra/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 8-JUL-1998 08:41:46.00

SUBJECT: FLSA

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Karen Tramontano ( CN=Karen Tramontano/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

We need to wrap-up this issue up right away. Both NACo and NGA are on our case for delay. Please advise. Thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Mary L. Smith ( CN=Mary L. Smith/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 8-JUL-1998 16:34:47.00

SUBJECT: Daschle Amendment to Agricultural Appropriations bill

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Thomas L. Freedman ( CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

There are a couple of farm issues coming up that you should be aware of , including a possible meeting with the President.

Daschle wants to meet with the President on his proposed amendment to the Agricultural Appropriations bill which would do the following: (1) remove the caps on commodity loan rates imposed under the 1996 Farm Bill, allowing the rate to be calculated as an average of the past five years' market prices; and (2) extend the term of marketing assistance loans for any commodity for up to 6 months (basically extending loan terms from 9 months to 15 months).

With respect to the extension of the loan terms to 15 months, USDA and OMB are both in favor of it. This part of the amendment should have no net cost.

With respect to the removal of the caps, USDA says that Glickman is on record being in favor of it, but OMB is not in favor of it because it requires significant funding and it moves away from the market-oriented approach taken by the 1996 Farm Bill. OMB says that CBO's costing of this provision is \$1.9 billion in FY99 with a five-year cost of \$3.5 billion. USDA reports that they heard estimates that are significantly less than this. USDA feels that there is no harm in supporting it because it will not pass anyway.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Donna L. Geisbert ( CN=Donna L. Geisbert/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1998 07:14:12.00

SUBJECT: Weekly Tobacco Strategy Meeting

TO: marti.thomas ( marti.thomas @ ms01.do.treas.sprint.com @ inet [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Grundman-Stacey ( Grundman-Stacey @ dol.gov @ inet [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: sheketoff-emily ( sheketoff-emily @ dol.gov @ inet [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: kburkel ( kburkel @ os.dhhs.gov @ inet [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Cohen ( CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Richard J. Turman ( CN=Richard J. Turman/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David W. Beier ( CN=David W. Beier/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: DAILARD\_C ( DAILARD\_C @ A1 @ CD @ VAXGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] ) (OPD)  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher C. Jennings ( CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry J. Toiv ( CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Toby Donenfeld ( CN=Toby Donenfeld/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeanne Lambrew ( CN=Jeanne Lambrew/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas L. Freedman ( CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: JONATHAN.GRUBER ( JONATHAN.GRUBER @ MS01.DO.treas.sprint.com @ inet [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer.moore ( Jennifer.moore @ justice.usdoj.gov @ inet [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: guzy.gary ( guzy.gary @ epamail.epa.gov @ inet [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: johara ( johara @ osophs.dhhs.gov @ inet [ UNKNOWN ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William H. White Jr. ( CN=William H. White Jr./OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Daniel N. Mendelson ( CN=Daniel N. Mendelson/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter G. Jacoby ( CN=Peter G. Jacoby/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: MARR\_C ( MARR\_C @ A1 @ CD @ VAXGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] ) (OPD)  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles F. Stone ( CN=Charles F. Stone/OU=CEA/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEA ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joshua Gotbaum ( CN=Joshua Gotbaum/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barbara D. Woolley ( CN=Barbara D. Woolley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jerold R. Mande ( CN=Jerold R. Mande/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary L. Smith ( CN=Mary L. Smith/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Gina C. Mooers ( CN=Gina C. Mooers/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: haverkamp\_jennifer ( haverkamp\_jennifer @ ustr.gov @ INET @ VAXGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Janet L. Graves ( CN=Janet L. Graves/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: MURRAY\_MM ( MURRAY\_MM @ A1 @ CD @ VAXGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] ) (WHO)  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Satish Narayanan ( CN=Satish Narayanan/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Dan J. Taylor ( CN=Dan J. Taylor/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

This meeting is scheduled for Thursday, July 9, at 2:45 in Room 100 of  
OEOB.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Barry J. Toiv ( CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1998 09:49:05.00

SUBJECT: Shogren

TO: Phillip Caplan ( CN=Phillip Caplan/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elliot J. Diringer ( CN=Elliot J. Diringer/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert G. Damus ( CN=Robert G. Damus/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rahm I. Emanuel ( CN=Rahm I. Emanuel/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Michael D. McCurry ( CN=Michael D. McCurry/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Joseph P. Lockhart ( CN=Joseph P. Lockhart/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Michelle Crisci ( CN=Michelle Crisci/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Liz Shogren is working on a long-term piece on our use of executive actions (such as those we're featuring this week) to implement policy. She's looking for some technical details, but what she really wants to do is take some examples of what we've done, look at what we did, a bit on how we did it, what the impact was, and how we keep track of that impact.

Rahm, Bruce, Elena -- You're probably in the best position to decide what examples I want to cite to her that tell the story best. Let's talk.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Joseph C. Fanaroff ( CN=Joseph C. Fanaroff/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1998 10:47:25.00

SUBJECT: DAILY TALKING POINTS -- PRESIDENT CLINTON: REDUCING TEENAGE DRUG USE

TO: Kathy Weatherly at gore-dc ( Kathy Weatherly at gore-dc @ ccm ail [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Amy McKay at gore-dc ( Amy McKay at gore-dc @ CCMAIL [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rhonda Melton at gore-dc ( Rhonda Melton at gore-dc @ CCMAIL [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bill Mason at gore-dc ( Bill Mason at gore-dc @ ccm ail [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth Katze at gore-dc ( Elizabeth Katze at gore-dc @ ccm ail [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andy Dryden at gore-dc ( Andy Dryden at gore-dc @ ccm ail [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andy Dryden at gore-dc ( Andy Dryden at gore-dc @ CCMAIL [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Clark Ogilvie at gore-dc ( Clark Ogilvie at gore-dc @ CCMAIL [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David Ligon at gore-dc ( David Ligon at gore-dc @ ccm ail [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nancy Hoit at NPR ( Nancy Hoit at NPR @ CCMAIL [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marty J. Hoffmann ( CN=Marty J. Hoffmann/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alberta A. Winkler ( CN=Alberta A. Winkler/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Beth A. Viola ( CN=Beth A. Viola/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cindy Trutanic ( CN=Cindy Trutanic/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David R Thomas ( CN=David R Thomas/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dan J. Taylor ( CN=Dan J. Taylor/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elisabeth Steele ( CN=Elisabeth Steele/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Callie Shell ( CN=Callie Shell/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan H. Schnur ( CN=Jonathan H. Schnur/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jodi R. Sakol ( CN=Jodi R. Sakol/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Clark E. Ray ( CN=Clark E. Ray/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth J. Potter ( CN=Elizabeth J. Potter/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary M. Overbey ( CN=Mary M. Overbey/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nathan B. Naylor ( CN=Nathan B. Naylor/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer R. Muller ( CN=Jennifer R. Muller/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisa M. Mallory ( CN=Lisa M. Mallory/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher S. Lehane ( CN=Christopher S. Lehane/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jim Kohlenberger ( CN=Jim Kohlenberger/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Aram H. Kailian ( CN=Aram H. Kailian/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ansley Jones ( CN=Ansley Jones/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joanne M. Hilty ( CN=Joanne M. Hilty/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gordon Heddell ( CN=Gordon Heddell/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce Harding ( CN=Bruce Harding/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary C. Gumbleton ( CN=Mary C. Gumbleton/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ricardo M. Gonzales ( CN=Ricardo M. Gonzales/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Vanessa M. Flindt ( CN=Vanessa M. Flindt/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Patricia M. Ewing ( CN=Patricia M. Ewing/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Philip G Dufour ( CN=Philip G Dufour/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Toby Donenfeld ( CN=Toby Donenfeld/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gisela J. Diaz ( CN=Gisela J. Diaz/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Todd H. Dennett ( CN=Todd H. Dennett/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marc R D'Anjou ( CN=Marc R D'Anjou/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul J. Cusack ( CN=Paul J. Cusack/O=OVP @ OVP [ OVP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andrei H. Cherny ( CN=Andrei H. Cherny/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alejandro G. Cabrera ( CN=Alejandro G. Cabrera/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael J. Burton ( CN=Michael J. Burton/O=OVP @ OVP [ OVP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisa M. Brown ( CN=Lisa M. Brown/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Matthew J. Bianco ( CN=Matthew J. Bianco/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Matthew L. Bennett ( CN=Matthew L. Bennett/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bobbie J. Bauman ( CN=Bobbie J. Bauman/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Doug Babcock ( CN=Doug Babcock/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eric R. Anderson ( CN=Eric R. Anderson/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steven W. Adamske ( CN=Steven W. Adamske/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert E. Whiteman ( CN=Robert E. Whiteman/OU=OA/O=EOP @ EOP [ OA ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William C. Haymes ( CN=William C. Haymes/OU=OA/O=EOP @ EOP [ OA ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leland L. Scott Jr. ( CN=Leland L. Scott Jr./OU=OA/O=EOP @ EOP [ OA ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Judith A. Winston ( CN=Judith A. Winston/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Wenger ( CN=Michael Wenger/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael J. Sorrell ( CN=Michael J. Sorrell/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Scott R. Palmer ( CN=Scott R. Palmer/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jacinta Ma ( CN=Jacinta Ma/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lin Liu ( CN=Lin Liu/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Diana Kappner ( CN=Diana Kappner/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wanda Habash ( CN=Wanda Habash/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John M. Goering ( CN=John M. Goering/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Grace A. Garcia ( CN=Grace A. Garcia/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David K. Chai ( CN=David K. Chai/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth A. Castle ( CN=Elizabeth A. Castle/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alexander L. Boyle ( CN=Alexander L. Boyle/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Randy D. Ayers ( CN=Randy D. Ayers/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William G. Wells ( CN=William G. Wells/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Victor J. Villhard ( CN=Victor J. Villhard/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sandra J. Toomey ( CN=Sandra J. Toomey/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David Y. Stevens ( CN=David Y. Stevens/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Frances Sharples ( CN=Frances Sharples/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steven M. Rinaldi ( CN=Steven M. Rinaldi/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joan Porter ( CN=Joan Porter/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elaine R. Padovani ( CN=Elaine R. Padovani/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Martin C. Offutt ( CN=Martin C. Offutt/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Duncan T. Moore ( CN=Duncan T. Moore/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Oliver G. McGee ( CN=Oliver G. McGee/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce W. MacDonald ( CN=Bruce W. MacDonald/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rachel E. Levinson ( CN=Rachel E. Levinson/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cecilia D. Lafoe ( CN=Cecilia D. Lafoe/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gerald P. Kiernan ( CN=Gerald P. Kiernan/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nirmala Kannankutty ( CN=Nirmala Kannankutty/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kerri A. Jones ( CN=Kerri A. Jones/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jefferson Hofgard ( CN=Jefferson Hofgard/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Beverly K. Hartline ( CN=Beverly K. Hartline/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Holly L. Gwin ( CN=Holly L. Gwin/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anthony J. Gibson ( CN=Anthony J. Gibson/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sybil Francis ( CN=Sybil Francis/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Miriam A. Forman ( CN=Miriam A. Forman/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barbara A. Ferguson ( CN=Barbara A. Ferguson/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura L. Efros ( CN=Laura L. Efros/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sandy L. Cole ( CN=Sandy L. Cole/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia M. Chase ( CN=Cynthia M. Chase/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Arthur Bienenstock ( CN=Arthur Bienenstock/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Susan L. Bassow ( CN=Susan L. Bassow/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter W. Backlund ( CN=Peter W. Backlund/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Judith D. Auerbach ( CN=Judith D. Auerbach/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Irene Yeh ( CN=Irene Yeh/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul J. Weinstein Jr. ( CN=Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sandra Thurman ( CN=Sandra Thurman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Todd A. Summers ( CN=Todd A. Summers/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary L. Smith ( CN=Mary L. Smith/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert M. Shireman ( CN=Robert M. Shireman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah Rosen ( CN=Sarah Rosen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christa Robinson ( CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David E. Pearah ( CN=David E. Pearah/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan Orszag ( CN=Jonathan Orszag/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael A. O'Mary ( CN=Michael A. O'Mary/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Betty Nhan ( CN=Betty Nhan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Daniel C. Montoya ( CN=Daniel C. Montoya/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julie Mikuta ( CN=Julie Mikuta/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sonyia Matthews ( CN=Sonyia Matthews/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tanya E. Martin ( CN=Tanya E. Martin/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ira C. Magaziner ( CN=Ira C. Magaziner/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeanne Lambrew ( CN=Jeanne Lambrew/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sally Katzen ( CN=Sally Katzen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andrea Kane ( CN=Andrea Kane/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher C. Jennings ( CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah T. Holewinski ( CN=Sarah T. Holewinski/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jess A. Gupta ( CN=Jess A. Gupta/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John C. Gilmore ( CN=John C. Gilmore/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas L. Freedman ( CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Carolyn A. Filak ( CN=Carolyn A. Filak/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul R. Dimond ( CN=Paul R. Dimond/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Cohen ( CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William M. Chiong ( CN=William M. Chiong/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jose Cerda III ( CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Erik R. Cafarella ( CN=Erik R. Cafarella/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sherman G. Boone ( CN=Sherman G. Boone/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brian A. Barreto ( CN=Brian A. Barreto/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: April B. Abdulmalik ( CN=April B. Abdulmalik/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Valon J. Wadsworth ( CN=Valon J. Wadsworth/OU=NSC/O=EOP @ EOP [ NSC ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steven J. Naplan ( CN=Steven J. Naplan/OU=NSC/O=EOP @ EOP [ NSC ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wendy E. Gray ( CN=Wendy E. Gray/OU=NSC/O=EOP @ EOP [ NSC ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Antony J. Blinken ( CN=Antony J. Blinken/OU=NSC/O=EOP @ EOP [ NSC ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wesley P. Warren ( CN=Wesley P. Warren/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sally Ericsson ( CN=Sally Ericsson/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nancy Marlow ( CN=Nancy Marlow/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisa Guide ( CN=Lisa Guide/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Keith E. Laughlin ( CN=Keith E. Laughlin/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Judy Jablow ( CN=Judy Jablow/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ellen Athas ( CN=Ellen Athas/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Edward R. Clark ( CN=Edward R. Clark/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David B Sandalow ( CN=David B Sandalow/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bradley M. Campbell ( CN=Bradley M. Campbell/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ruby Shamir ( CN=Ruby Shamir/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Morley A. Winograd ( CN=Morley A. Winograd/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan Weiss ( CN=Jonathan Weiss/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Moe Vela ( CN=Moe Vela/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul A. Tuchmann ( CN=Paul A. Tuchmann/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kimberly H Tilley ( CN=Kimberly H Tilley/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Virginia M. Terzano ( CN=Virginia M. Terzano/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rachael E. Sullivan ( CN=Rachael E. Sullivan/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan Spalter ( CN=Jonathan Spalter/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kevin D. Scott ( CN=Kevin D. Scott/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Trooper Sanders ( CN=Trooper Sanders/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas M. Rosshirt ( CN=Thomas M. Rosshirt/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Irwin P. Raij ( CN=Irwin P. Raij/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William H. Pickle ( CN=William H. Pickle/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wendy C. New ( CN=Wendy C. New/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Satish Narayanan ( CN=Satish Narayanan/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Aimee M. Malnati ( CN=Aimee M. Malnati/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Susan M. Liss ( CN=Susan M. Liss/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steve L. Kwast ( CN=Steve L. Kwast/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ron Klain ( CN=Ron Klain/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Vivian Jones ( CN=Vivian Jones/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Scott R. Hynes ( CN=Scott R. Hynes/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul Hegarty ( CN=Paul Hegarty/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wendy Hartman ( CN=Wendy Hartman/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lawrence J. Haas ( CN=Lawrence J. Haas/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sue R. Greenberg ( CN=Sue R. Greenberg/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lucia F. Gilliland ( CN=Lucia F. Gilliland/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael B. Feldman ( CN=Michael B. Feldman/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lydia R. Ewing ( CN=Lydia R. Ewing/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andrew S. Dryden ( CN=Andrew S. Dryden/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Monica M. Dixon ( CN=Monica M. Dixon/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer N. Devlin ( CN=Jennifer N. Devlin/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael A. Deavers ( CN=Michael A. Deavers/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maurice Daniel ( CN=Maurice Daniel/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Audrey Choi ( CN=Audrey Choi/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kay Casstevens ( CN=Kay Casstevens/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Miguel M. Bustos ( CN=Miguel M. Bustos/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles W. Burson ( CN=Charles W. Burson/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lee Ann Brackett ( CN=Lee Ann Brackett/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisa A. Berg ( CN=Lisa A. Berg/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David W. Beier ( CN=David W. Beier/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gayle Bauer ( CN=Gayle Bauer/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eli G. Attie ( CN=Eli G. Attie/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bill F Althoff ( CN=Bill F Althoff/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Chandler G. Spaulding ( CN=Chandler G. Spaulding/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas B. Samburg ( CN=Thomas B. Samburg/OU=OA/O=EOP @ EOP [ OA ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kelly Skoloda ( CN=Kelly Skoloda/OU=OA/O=EOP @ EOP [ OA ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mark H. Bartholomew ( CN=Mark H. Bartholomew/OU=OA/O=EOP @ EOP [ OA ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert Wexler ( CN=Robert Wexler/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michelle R. Waldron ( CN=Michelle R. Waldron/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lydia Sermons ( CN=Lydia Sermons/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Evelina Mosby ( CN=Evelina Mosby/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ana Lopez ( CN=Ana Lopez/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Allison J. King ( CN=Allison J. King/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Audrey M. Hutchinson ( CN=Audrey M. Hutchinson/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Claire Gonzales ( CN=Claire Gonzales/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Danielle B. Glosser ( CN=Danielle B. Glosser/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cedra D. Eaton ( CN=Cedra D. Eaton/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michele Cavataio ( CN=Michele Cavataio/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David Campt ( CN=David Campt/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Patrick Aylward ( CN=Patrick Aylward/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth R. Asher ( CN=Elizabeth R. Asher/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: JoAnn Ward ( CN=JoAnn Ward/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Franklin F. Urteaga ( CN=Franklin F. Urteaga/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sheri A. Thornton ( CN=Sheri A. Thornton/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeffrey M. Smith ( CN=Jeffrey M. Smith/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nora H. Sabelli ( CN=Nora H. Sabelli/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Donald Pryor ( CN=Donald Pryor/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lori A. Perine ( CN=Lori A. Perine/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tracy S. Olmstead ( CN=Tracy S. Olmstead/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stephen G. Moran ( CN=Stephen G. Moran/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Deborah J. McGovern ( CN=Deborah J. McGovern/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jerold R. Mande ( CN=Jerold R. Mande/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Martha A. Livingston ( CN=Martha A. Livingston/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Neal Lane ( CN=Neal Lane/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kelly Kirkpatrick ( CN=Kelly Kirkpatrick/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Henry C. Kelly ( CN=Henry C. Kelly/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brian G. Kahin ( CN=Brian G. Kahin/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alice C. Hogan ( CN=Alice C. Hogan/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mark D. Hodge ( CN=Mark D. Hodge/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gerald J. Hane ( CN=Gerald J. Hane/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Daniel L. Goroff ( CN=Daniel L. Goroff/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Clifford J. Gabriel ( CN=Clifford J. Gabriel/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Betty J. Fountain ( CN=Betty J. Fountain/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ruth A. Fisher ( CN=Ruth A. Fisher/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gerald L. Epstein ( CN=Gerald L. Epstein/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: George G. Cravaritis ( CN=George G. Cravaritis/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Donna I. Coleman ( CN=Donna I. Coleman/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rosina M. Bierbaum ( CN=Rosina M. Bierbaum/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Deanna M. Behring ( CN=Deanna M. Behring/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Samuel F. Baldwin ( CN=Samuel F. Baldwin/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Susanne Bachtel ( CN=Susanne Bachtel/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lorena E. Ahumada ( CN=Lorena E. Ahumada/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter A. Weissman ( CN=Peter A. Weissman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Essence P. Washington ( CN=Essence P. Washington/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Aaron J. Thoryk ( CN=Aaron J. Thoryk/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gene B. Sperling ( CN=Gene B. Sperling/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jake Siewert ( CN=Jake Siewert/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro ( CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cecilia E. Rouse ( CN=Cecilia E. Rouse/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dorothy Robyn ( CN=Dorothy Robyn/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Richard W. Petty ( CN=Richard W. Petty/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Emil E. Parker ( CN=Emil E. Parker/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Geoffrey M. Odlum ( CN=Geoffrey M. Odlum/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Penelope R. O'Brien ( CN=Penelope R. O'Brien/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Matthew Murguia ( CN=Matthew Murguia/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elaine M. Mitsler ( CN=Elaine M. Mitsler/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cathy R. Mays ( CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Shannon Mason ( CN=Shannon Mason/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles R. Marr ( CN=Charles R. Marr/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Malcolm R. Lee ( CN=Malcolm R. Lee/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer L. Klein ( CN=Jennifer L. Klein/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan A. Kaplan ( CN=Jonathan A. Kaplan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas A. Kalil ( CN=Thomas A. Kalil/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gay L. Joshlyn ( CN=Gay L. Joshlyn/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Russell W. Horwitz ( CN=Russell W. Horwitz/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Susan Gyeszly ( CN=Susan Gyeszly/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Melissa G. Green ( CN=Melissa G. Green/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Donna L. Geisbert ( CN=Donna L. Geisbert/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Diana Fortuna ( CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julie A. Fernandes ( CN=Julie A. Fernandes/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia Dailard ( CN=Cynthia Dailard/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Pamela Cicetti ( CN=Pamela Cicetti/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gregory W. Chang ( CN=Gregory W. Chang/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Antonio Castaneda ( CN=Antonio Castaneda/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sandra L. Bublick Max ( CN=Sandra L. Bublick Max/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah A. Bianchi ( CN=Sarah A. Bianchi/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William J. Antholis ( CN=William J. Antholis/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Natalie S. Wozniak ( CN=Natalie S. Wozniak/OU=NSC/O=EOP @ EOP [ NSC ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer C. Poole ( CN=Jennifer C. Poole/OU=NSC/O=EOP @ EOP [ NSC ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David T. Johnson ( CN=David T. Johnson/OU=NSC/O=EOP @ EOP [ NSC ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Matt Gobush ( CN=Matt Gobush/OU=NSC/O=EOP @ EOP [ NSC ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dale W. Akers ( CN=Dale W. Akers/OU=NSC/O=EOP @ EOP [ NSC ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Shelley N. Fidler ( CN=Shelley N. Fidler/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert S. Kapla ( CN=Robert S. Kapla/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael V. Terrell ( CN=Michael V. Terrell/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Linda Lance ( CN=Linda Lance/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kathleen A. McGinty ( CN=Kathleen A. McGinty/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elliot J. Diringier ( CN=Elliot J. Diringier/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elisabeth A. Blaug ( CN=Elisabeth A. Blaug/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dinah Bear ( CN=Dinah Bear/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Carolyn D. Mosley ( CN=Carolyn D. Mosley/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====  
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS\_EXT:[ATTACH.D46]MAIL40202498A.126 to ASCII,  
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF575043F20D0000010A02010000000205000000C1270000000200004E728C491F61F657F8A6D8  
F5982D2986E9A59D9E3505857359B483CD7F5A9D100D61435CBEBDD97970630BFE02EB5F1F99C1

**PRESIDENT CLINTON:  
REDUCING TEENAGE DRUG USE**

Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion

July 9, 1998

*"Parents, the media, athletes, the government -- all of us must fulfill our obligation to protect our children from drugs. But nothing we do will make a bit of difference unless young people also take responsibility for themselves. No one is too young to understand that drugs are wrong. No one is too immature to take responsibility and say no to drugs. We will do everything we can to help you -- but in the end, it is up to you. You can use drugs and risk your life, or you can reject drugs and reach for your dreams. As the ad we saw today said: 'Any Questions?'"*

President Bill Clinton

July 9, 1998

Today, President Clinton travels to Atlanta, where he is joined by Attorney General Janet Reno, Secretary of Health and Human Services Donna Shalala, Director of the Office of National Drug Control Policy General Barry McCaffrey, and a bipartisan delegation from Congress in launching the nationwide expansion of the largest ever national media campaign to target youth drug use and educate young people and their parents about the dangers of drug use.

**THE LARGEST TARGETED EFFORT EVER TO TEACH YOUTH ABOUT DRUGS.** The President's Anti-Drug Media Campaign is designed to use the full power of the mass media to change youth attitudes toward drugs. This Campaign is designed to let teens know -- when they turn on the television, listen to the radio, or access the Internet -- that drugs are dangerous, wrong, and can kill you. Today's announcement will be reinforced by:

- A television "roadblock" that will air this evening -- where every network will show the same anti-drug advertisement this evening during the 9:00 p.m. (EDT) viewing hour. This advertisement will reach an estimated 85 million viewers. The roadblock advertisement will be supplemented by print ads in the nation's top 100 newspapers and radio ads in the top 100 media markets.
- Local media buys to target specific drug problems in certain regions of the country. All new advertisements will provide the Campaign's clearinghouse number (1-800-288-7800), which will be staffed 24 hours a day and provide information on drug prevention. The campaign's new interactive web site ([www.projectknow.com](http://www.projectknow.com)) will also be unveiled.
- Existing programs that started in 12 cities earlier this year. In January 1998, the Campaign began in 12 pilot cities. These cities have seen an increase in anti-drug awareness and a 300 percent increase in requests for anti-drug publications.

**A PARTNERSHIP WITH THE PRIVATE SECTOR.** The Campaign is more than just a series of advertisements. It is coupled with a public-private partnership that will generate a wide range of coordinated anti-drug activities with schools, civic organizations, community anti-drug coalitions and others. The Campaign is also challenging media outlets to match, on a dollar for dollar basis, the efforts put forth by the Campaign with time for youth drug prevention programming or related pro-bono ads.

**BUILDING ON A RECORD OF ACCOMPLISHMENT.** The President has made drug interdiction and prevention a top priority during his term in office, including:

- **The Largest Anti-Drug Budgets Ever.** The President has consistently proposed the largest anti-drug budgets ever. Between 1996 and 1998, resources for drug control increased by 19%, and the President's budget for fiscal year 1999 calls for an increase in funding for drug control, youth drug prevention efforts, domestic law enforcement, and interdiction.
- **Developing a Comprehensive National Drug Control Strategy.** The President has announced a comprehensive ten-year plan to reduce drug use and its consequences in the United States by 50%. This strategy will help reduce illegal drug use through law enforcement, prevention, treatment, interdiction and international cooperation.
- **Strengthening and Expanding the Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities Act.** President Clinton expanded the Drug-Free Schools Act into the Safe and Drug-Free Schools Act of 1994, making violence prevention a key part of this program. The Safe and Drug-Free Schools Program provides support for violence and prevention programs to 97% of the nation's school districts. Schools use these funds to keep violence, drugs and alcohol away from students and out of schools.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Janelle E. Erickson ( CN=Janelle E. Erickson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1998 17:09:17.00

SUBJECT: RU 486 Conference Call, Tomorrow 7-10, 1:15 PM

TO: Cynthia Dailard ( CN=Cynthia Dailard/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer L. Klein ( CN=Jennifer L. Klein/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Martha Foley ( CN=Martha Foley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles E. Kieffer ( CN=Charles E. Kieffer/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Linda Ricci ( CN=Linda Ricci/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tracey E. Thornton ( CN=Tracey E. Thornton/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Tel # 66777 / 66799 code 3333

We will be discussing possible language for a SAP

please let me know if you can not phone in

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Janelle E. Erickson ( CN=Janelle E. Erickson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1998 12:52:55.00

SUBJECT: RU-486 Call

TO: Cynthia Dailard ( CN=Cynthia Dailard/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer L. Klein ( CN=Jennifer L. Klein/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Martha Foley ( CN=Martha Foley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles E. Kieffer ( CN=Charles E. Kieffer/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Linda Ricci ( CN=Linda Ricci/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tracey E. Thornton ( CN=Tracey E. Thornton/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Sorry I wasn't more clear - 1:00 PM tomorrow

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1998 19:01:26.00

SUBJECT: Wellstone Amendment passed

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Andrea Kane ( CN=Andrea Kane/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Michael Cohen ( CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Diana Fortuna ( CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

FYI -- OMB has just notified us that the Wellstone amendment passed the Senate 55-43:

Adding to the welfare work options postsecondary education or vocational education not to exceed 24 months (previously only 12 months of voc ed counted)

Expanding the educational cap by removing teen parents in high school from the no more than 30 percent of all work participants that can be in education (previously, both teen parents in high school and those in voc ed counted toward the 30 percent cap).

----- Forwarded by Cynthia A. Rice/OPD/EOP on 07/09/98  
06:08 PM -----

Anil Kakani  
07/09/98 05:53:40 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Barry White/OMB/EOP  
cc: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message  
Subject: Re: Wellstone

In case you didn't hear, the Wellstone amendment just passed in the Senate (55-43).

Message Copied

To:

-----  
diana fortuna/opd/eop  
constance j. bowers/omb/eop  
cynthia a. rice/opd/eop  
andrea kane/opd/eop

anil kakani/omb/eop  
wayne upshaw/omb/eop  
mary i. cassell/omb/eop  
jack a. smalligan/omb/eop

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jerold R. Mande ( CN=Jerold R. Mande/OU=OSTP/O=EOP [ OSTP ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1998 12:23:06.00

SUBJECT: Documents announcement

TO: Cynthia Dailard ( CN=Cynthia Dailard/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

What do you all think about developing a list of the "top ten" most significant documents to use at our documents announcement to illustrate the importance of our proposal? Each document would illuminate a different topic -- marketing to kids, manipulating nicotine, the affect of price increases, industry lobbying strategy, targeting minorities.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Julie A. Fernandes ( CN=Julie A. Fernandes/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1998 19:04:21.00

SUBJECT: H-1B and Washington Post

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Cathy R. Mays ( CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:  
FYI.

----- Forwarded by Julie A. Fernandes/OPD/EOP on 07/09/98  
07:20 PM -----

Cecilia E. Rouse  
07/09/98 06:58:42 PM  
Record Type: Record

To: Sally Katzen/OPD/EOP, Jake Siewert/OPD/EOP, Julie A. Fernandes/OPD/EOP, Gene B. Sperling/OPD/EOP  
cc: Melissa G. Green/OPD/EOP, Shannon Mason/OPD/EOP  
Subject: H-1B and Washington Post

We may have a press opportunity for Gene. Bill Branigan of the Washington Post is writing a story on H-1B from the worker's perspective. He talked to John Frasier at DOL (who administers the program) largely to get some factual information on what's legal. (The workers complain about being "put on the bench" (that is hired and then they sit around because there is no work and they only get paid a minimal amount of money during that time), about hefty breach of contract fees (upwards of \$10,000), and havi ng to work 60-80 hours/week without receiving overtime.

Most importantly, in the course of the conversation Branigan said, "I've heard that the Administration is softening its position on the reforms. Is this true?" Frasier gave the party line but this may be an opportunity for Gene to reinforce that the Administration has NOT changed its position.

(In addition, Branigan asked, "Is it true that employers don't have to advertise for the jobs into which they hire the H-1B workers?" Frasier responded, "Yes, that's why we're seeking the "recruitment" attestation.)

DOL believes that Branigan is writing today and tomorrow, but is not sure when exactly the story would run. They are looking into it.

-- Ceci



RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Kate P. Donovan ( CN=Kate P. Donovan/OU=OMB/O=EOP [ OMB ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1998 19:16:29.00

SUBJECT: Need clearance: S. 2271 - Property Rights Implementation Act of 1998

TO: David A. Bernell ( CN=David A. Bernell/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Donald R. Arbuckle ( CN=Donald R. Arbuckle/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kevin S. Moran ( CN=Kevin S. Moran/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Judy Jablow ( CN=Judy Jablow/OU=CEQ/O=EOP@EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter A. Weissman ( CN=Peter A. Weissman/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sally Katzen ( CN=Sally Katzen/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Roger S. Ballentine ( CN=Roger S. Ballentine/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William P. Marshall ( CN=William P. Marshall/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michelle Crisci ( CN=Michelle Crisci/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul J. Weinstein Jr. ( CN=Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wesley P. Warren ( CN=Wesley P. Warren/OU=CEQ/O=EOP@EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Shannon Mason ( CN=Shannon Mason/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jessica L. Gibson ( CN=Jessica L. Gibson/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tracey E. Thornton ( CN=Tracey E. Thornton/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

POSITION: POTUS WILL VETO

BACKGROUND: VP statement of 3/9/98 reiterating POTUS veto of the  
bill□,s House-passed version, H.R. 1534

(call if you need a copy).

House SAP sent 10/21/97: AG, Secretary of  
Interior, EPA Administrator, CEQ  
Chair would recommend veto (call if you need a  
copy).

TIMING: Senate may bring up on the Floor tomorrow, Friday,  
7/10. Please call w/ comments/clearance  
by 10am tomorrow.

S. 2271 - Property Rights Implementation Act of 1998  
(Sen. Hatch (R) UT)

The Administration strongly opposes S. 2271 because it would shift authority over local land use issues away from local communities and State courts to Federal courts. The bill would subject local communities to the threat of premature, expensive Federal court litigation that would favor wealthy developers over neighboring property owners and the community at large. The President will veto S. 2271 or any similar legislation.

S. 2271 would harm neighboring property owners, weaken local public health and environmental protections, and diminish the quality of life by undermining local land use planning. Through radical changes to the existing legal doctrine of ripeness, the bill would give developers inappropriate leverage in their dealings with local officials by making it easier to sue local communities far earlier in the land use planning process. S. 2271 also purports to allow takings claimants to circumvent State courts altogether.

The bill would violate constitutional limits on congressional power if read, as its supporters intend, to allow for a ruling that an uncompensated taking has occurred even where the claimant fails to pursue available State compensation remedies. The bill also would prohibit Federal courts from "abstaining" or deferring to State courts on certain delicate issues of State law. It would lead to poorly informed decisions by allowing claimants to bring claims in Federal courts without an adequate factual record, the very claims that the courts themselves have said are unripe for resolution.

S. 2271 would empower the U.S. Court of Federal Claims to invalidate Federal statutes and rules and grant other injunctive relief in a broad category of cases. This unprecedented grant of authority to a non-Article III court raises a host of serious constitutional and policy concerns.

The bill provides that, by including a property rights claim, any litigant against the United States could ensure that the entire case would be reviewed on appeal by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, an approach that would promote inappropriate forum-shopping. This would dramatically increase the legal influence of the Federal Circuit at the expense of other circuits, thereby disrupting settled interpretations of important areas of the law.

S. 2271 also could override the "preclusive review" provisions found in many Federal statutes, including major environmental laws. These provisions allow for the swift and orderly resolution of challenges to Federal actions. S. 2271 would deprive affected businesses and the public of the regulatory stability needed to plan their actions.

\* \* \* \* \*

(Do Not Distribute Outside the Executive Office of the President)

This Statement of Administration Policy (SAP) was developed by the Legislative Reference Division (Briatico), in consultation with NRD (Fairweather/Dennis), BASD (Orlando), OIRA (Rostker), the Departments of Justice (Taylor/Dowling), Transportation (Holstrup) and the Interior (Lyder), Environmental Protection Agency (Reeder), and the Council on Environmental Quality (Campbell).

S. 2271, was introduced by Senator Hatch on July 7, 1998. The bill is the Manager's amendment to H.R. 1534, a bill that the Vice President has stated that the President will veto. S. 2271 does not make any substantial changes to H.R. 1534 or address any of the Administration's major concerns.

H.R. 1534, with an amendment, passed the House on October 22, 1997, by a vote of 248-178.

H.R. 1534 was ordered reported by the Senate Judiciary Committee with an amendment in the nature of a substitute on February 26, 1998. As ordered reported, H.R. 1534 has been amended to include provisions of H.R. 992, the Tucker Act Shuffle Relief Act of 1997, which was the subject of a multi-agency veto recommendation. H.R. 992 passed the House on March 12, 1998, by a vote of 230-180.

#### Administration Position To Date

On August 15, 1997, the Department of Justice sent a report on H.R. 1534 to Senator Leahy strongly opposing the bill.

On September 25, 1997, the Department's Acting Attorney General testified before the House Subcommittee on Courts and Intellectual Property. The testimony indicated that the Attorney General would recommend that the President veto H.R. 1534 if it passed in its current form. On October 7, 1997, the Department sent a letter to the Chairman of the House Judiciary Committee reiterating that Justice would recommend a veto of the bill.

On October 21, 1997, the Statement of Administration Policy sent to the House Rules Committee indicated that the Attorney General, the Secretary of the Interior, the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, and the Chair of the Council on Environmental Quality would recommend that the President veto H.R.1534, as reported by the House Judiciary Committee.

On March 9, 1998, in remarks before the State Municipal Leagues, the Vice President stated that the President "will veto ... H.R. 1534 -- or any similar measures that would undermine local control or waste taxpayer money".

#### Background

Traditionally, the resolution of local land uses has been left to the neighborhoods and communities that would be most directly affected. Potential takings claims that result from local zoning laws are frequently the result of discussion and negotiations to balance competing values. This process by its very nature may be time consuming. If no agreement can be reached, the claimant then proceeds to State courts because real property issues are within the province of State law.

Federal courts show deference to local decision-making in two important ways. First, where appropriate, they abstain from deciding delicate or

complex issues of State law so State courts can decide those issues. Second, Federal courts require developers and other property owners to make every effort to resolve land use disputes with local officials before proceeding to a Federal court. Thus, claimants must pursue all available avenues of local decision making and the local government's decision must be final before a claim is "ripe" for Federal court review.

Under current law, takings claimants may have to file in two different courts, depending on the relief they are seeking. In general, the U.S. District Court has jurisdiction over cases where litigants are seeking injunctive relief or monetary relief for less than \$10,000 while the Court of Claims has jurisdiction over cases where litigants are seeking only monetary relief.

Confusion over which court to file in can lead plaintiffs to file in the wrong court and have to refile in the appropriate court. This "switching" is referred to as the "Tucker Act shuffle".

Provisions of S. 2271

S. 2271 would:

-- Relax the "ripeness" standard for adjudication by the Federal courts to include any claim or action upon which a final decision, as redefined for the purposes of the Act, has been rendered.

-- For the purposes of this Act, redefine "final decision" to: (1) ignore State exhaustion of remedy provisions; and (2) use any definitive decision made by any party under any statute, ordinance, regulation, custom, or usage regarding the extent of permissible uses of certain property.

-- Encourage premature lawsuits against local officials by allowing claimants to: (1) sue in a Federal court upon the single rejection of a land use proposal; and (2) bypass local procedures for variance and waiver applications by only having to submit an application.

-- Prohibit Federal courts from abstaining from exercising Federal jurisdiction even in cases where the claimant asserts a violation of a State law, right, or privilege or a case is pending in State court.

-- Authorize the District Court, in such cases that cannot be decided without resolution of significant but unsettled question of State law, to certify such question to the highest appellate court of that State.

-- Provide property owners the option of filing a civil action to challenge the validity of any Federal action that adversely affects the owner's interest in private property in either the District Court or Claims Court.

-- Grant both U.S. District Courts and the Court of Federal Claims the power to determine all claims -- whether for monetary or injunctive relief -- including related tort claims, arising out of Federal actions alleged to constitute Fifth Amendment takings.

-- Require the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal circuit to hear all appeals commenced by authority of this Act.

-- Repeal section 1500 of section 28 of the U.S. Code which states that the Court of Federal Claims does not have jurisdiction of any related claim to which the plaintiff has pending in another court against

the United States.

- Waive the sovereign immunity of the United States.
- Provide for attorneys fees to prevailing plaintiffs.
- Create a six year statute of limitations.
- Require a 60 day notice of intent to sue.

-- Require notice to the property owner by the Federal government of any agency action that limits the use of private property, including personal and real property.

#### Pay-As-You-Go Scoring

According to NRD (Dennis) and BASD (Orlando), S. 2271 would not affect direct spending or receipts, therefore, it is not subject to the pay-as-you go (PAYGO) requirements of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990.

LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE DIVISION  
July 9, 1998 -- 11:25 a.m.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Michael Cohen ( CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1998 16:58:45.00

SUBJECT: Education Update

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Cathy R. Mays ( CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

As we discussed, attached is a Shireman/Cohen memo to you and Gene, providing you an update on where we stand on our education initiatives, and steps we can take to promote our class size and school construction agenda over the next few months.===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====  
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS\_EXT:[ATTACH.D22]MAIL49357698H.126 to ASCII,  
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF57504300060000010A020100000002050000000433000000020000F11A8D6E25DBAF657E4538  
A0C2932712485677297C86603C15CD1250A3BC6B594B178872C061D4F9A67F624B7603E1EE685C  
2A76864A3ECB1AA65D87BEC8EC5F8D1EBD75D8997CF9E7FA81B0880DF6185B8237ACBE070D8293  
E0A0F884049CA33E10C2C78A844E3BE3E5F8C3A0CEB4A440EE6BB74BF7B4F636797C9E61A5DED1  
E431FC19B55701D7F5D70A1CA732F0A4F44AD2C90CDA98301FBA41AF189709681863938F5DB60F  
12BA1BEC56596D211FC9BACEC9330BAC817B40A59F32EAECB7468DF129673839E70C0164AD6AD7  
55B2B2930D89CD83D20C8FF696C4CB7C1C31F2A5A5457ED65B1AF2889947E7AB75F753C10E2E83  
79D06A8A91DBEE92FA862A6040D8EAF72918CD4A11F265E002F5AB93DE73ECF3379BF2E24994DB

July 9, 1998

**MEMORANDUM FOR GENE SPERLING AND BRUCE REED**

**FROM: Bob Shireman and Mike Cohen**

**SUBJECT: Outlook for Education Priorities**

As we recently reviewed education legislation in Congress, it became clear that we *may* see several pieces of education legislation come to the President's desk this year, even while Congress blocks action on our major proposals for Class Size Reduction and School Construction, and our investments in current programs. We wanted to provide you with our overview so that we can discuss strategy for the few weeks that remain in this legislative session.

The key question that we need to consider is: Given the outlook for the rest of the year, *how do we get traction to force Congress to act on class size, school modernization, and education appropriations?*

**I. Certainties**

There are only two education items that Congress is virtually certain to address in its final month:

**1. Veto override on Education Savings Accounts (Coverdell),** with its riders (such as reading). While the vote is sure to fail, it is the *message* that the Republicans want: they are for something popular for education, we are against it.

**2. Funding (appropriations or continuing resolution).** In addition to the fight over funding levels, this may include riders on national tests, block grants and other issues. We are struggling with how to gain the upper hand on appropriations, given the media's insistence that our budget request is not realistic due to the demise of tobacco legislation. This is the crucial bill for the education groups; it will occupy the vast majority of their time, and they will support our efforts outside the appropriations bill to the extent that they see us supporting increased education investments in the appropriations bill.

**II. Possibilities**

There are several bills with bipartisan support that *could* reach the President's desk before Congress adjourns:

**1. Higher Education Act reauthorization.** Assuming certain details are worked out, we would want to take credit for lower student loan interest rates, the government's first "performance-based organization," High Hopes, distance learning, and teacher training. The House has acted, and Senate action is expected soon.

**2. G.I. Bill for America's Workers.** This would include the President's proposal for a "Skill Grant," consolidation of programs, and other program improvements. The bill is in conference.

**3. America Reads.** The House passed a bill last year, and the Senate Committee has reported out its own version. One version or the other could be considered soon on the Senate floor, and either would be acceptable to us. The House version is better positioned to pass the Senate, though the education community is strongly opposed to it because of what they see as a "voucher-like" provision and a governance mechanism and funding stream that bypassed the state education agency.

**4. Charter Schools.** The House passed a bill last year, and the Senate Committee will mark up its version within the next several weeks, most likely on July 22. Both versions provide incentives for states to expand charter schools programs, and to provide stronger accountability for results. The President supported the House version before it passed, and we should do the same for the Senate at the appropriate time. If this is enacted, we can highlight it as another step to help reach the President's goal of 3,000 charter schools.

**5. Ed-Flex.** Sens. Frist and Wyden have introduced a bill to expand Ed-Flex to all 50 states. The bill is likely to be marked up next week along with the Charter Schools bill. We are working with them to ensure that it incorporates both greater flexibility as well as accountability for results. At present, there is little enthusiasm for expanding Ed-Flex among Democrats or the education groups, and conservative Republicans (e.g., Coats) who favor block grants may oppose this on the grounds that it will weaken the case for block grants. Nonetheless, if added to the charter schools bill and if there is sufficient time to take this up, it is likely to pass the Senate and be accepted by the House in conference.

**6. Head Start Reauthorization.** The Senate has marked up a bipartisan Head Start bill, and Riggs will take it up shortly in the House. There may be some controversial elements in the House (e.g., vouchers, English-only), though Riggs is eager to get a bill passed this year and presumably will not let it get hung up over those issues.

**7. Vocational Education Reauthorization.** Bipartisan approaches have passed the House and Senate and are headed for conference. Work has already begun at the staff level, but the House has not yet named conferees and may want to kill the bill because of right-wing worries that the bill will promote school-to-work.

### **III. Defensive Battles**

In addition to the battles that we face on the appropriations bill, Congress is moving legislation on:

**1. Block Grants.** The House committee has reported a block grant that combines 29 programs, including Goals 2000, School-to-Work, the Technology Literacy Challenge

Program, the Eisenhower Professional Development Program. The bill *may* go to the floor within the next few weeks. There is a chance that Roemer may strike a deal with Republicans to add an accountability provision, which could add Democratic support to the bill and which would be quite problematic for us. There is no companion bill in the Senate at present, and we suspect it will be difficult to find floor time to take it up.

**2. Bilingual Education.** The Riggs bilingual education bill has been reported out of committee and has also been attached to the appropriations bill. The prospects for floor action are uncertain, and there is little chance this will be taken up in the Senate. Nonetheless, this issue will continue to occupy a good deal of our time and could potentially be quite divisive for House Democrats.

#### **IV. Getting Traction on Our Agenda**

While Congress may move forward on some of the items described above, Congress has not held *any* hearings on legislation that the President has proposed for Reducing Class Size, School Modernization, and Education Opportunity Zones.

In addition, the House Appropriations subcommittee has rejected \$2 billion in increases proposed in our FY 99 Budget (while providing increases for some programs we proposed to freeze, such as special education). Further, we will again face a major battle over national testing on the appropriations bill.

Just as the President did on Monday, we should take every opportunity to run down the list of items on which Congress has failed to act, including:

- On July 15, when the House Appropriations Committee marks-up the Labor-HHS-Education bill.
- When the Coverdell bill is vetoed.
- In the July 18 radio address on Charter Schools.
- At the AFT speech on July 20 and the International Teachers' Organization speech on July 29.
- In the August 29 education radio address.
- In the August 31 interview.
- As opportunities arise.

In addition, we recommend working with the Democratic leaders in Congress on a Class Size-School Modernization strategy that would include:

**July: Discharge Petition in the House of Representatives.** Democrats, and perhaps the education groups, would announce an effort to bring the School Modernization bill and the Class Size Reduction bill to the floor in September by gathering signatures on a discharge petition. Progress could be noted periodically, so that supporters can use the information and apply pressure locally. (While the Senate does not have discharge

petitions, perhaps signatures could be gathered on a letter.)

**August: School Visits and Surveys.** For the August recess, we would provide Members of Congress with “kits” for holding media events on school modernization and class size reduction. The approaches would include local surveys of needs, town meetings, and forums. Cabinet members would be available to participate in some of the activities..

**September 8: POTUS School Visit.** POTUS would visit a school in Maryland or Virginia, and FLOTUS and VPOTUS would visit schools elsewhere in the country. Ideally, there would be examples of a school in need of repairs, a school experiencing burgeoning enrollment, a school with class sizes that are too large (or perhaps just right), and a modernized school. The visit(s) could be beamed by satellite for local events across the country, featuring local Members of Congress and/or supportive organizations.

**Press hook:** We would release the Education Department’s “Baby Boom Echo” report -- new data, state-by-state, on the record number of children in school. In the past two years, this report has gotten extensive tv and print coverage.

**September-October:** To be determined.

## A Comprehensive Approach to Reducing Youth Smoking

Department of the Treasury Analysis

Over 3 million teenagers smoke cigarettes on a daily basis in the United States. An additional 2 million smoke on a less than daily basis, but are at risk of becoming chronic daily users.

- The most recent data show that 25 percent of high school seniors were daily smokers; another 12 percent smoked on a less than daily basis. In addition, underage youth smoking has been on an upward trend -- smoking rates among high school students rose by nearly a third between 1991 and 1997, from 27.5 percent to 36.4 percent.

Reducing youth smoking is the best way to reduce the overall incidence of smoking in the future; 90 percent of adult daily smokers first begin smoking cigarettes as teenagers.

The most reliable method for reducing teen smoking is to increase the price of cigarettes.

- Recently released tobacco company documents demonstrate that the tobacco industry has known for years that youths are very responsive to price. A 1981 Philip Morris memo analyzed the research and concluded "it is clear that price has a pronounced effect on the smoking prevalence of teenagers."
- A large number of rigorous economic studies have shown that teen smoking is responsive to changes in the price of cigarettes. A consensus view is that the number of teen smokers declines by about 7 percent for a 10 percent increase in the real price of cigarettes.<sup>1</sup>
  - This relationship represents the response for a very small change in prices. Our model allows the responsiveness to decline as prices rise, since the smokers that remain at high prices have revealed that they are less responsive to price signals.

Additional efforts beyond price changes can help to reduce youth smoking -- such as eliminating vending machines, enforcing restrictions on sales to youths, eliminating advertising aimed at youths, and anti-smoking counter-advertising.

- Studies have shown that fully-enforced sales restrictions have successfully led to reductions in youth smoking, particularly for younger teens.
  - A 1991 study in the *Journal of the American Medical Association* reported a 69 percent decline in daily use by seventh and eighth graders in Woodridge, Illinois following legislation and enforcement of restrictions on cigarette sales to minors.<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>Chaloupka, F., and M. Grossman, "Price, Tobacco Control Policies, and Youth Smoking," NBER Working Paper #5740, 1996.

<sup>2</sup>Jason, L. A., P. Ji, M. Anes, S. Birkhead, "Active Enforcement of Cigarette Control Laws in the Prevention of Cigarette Sales to Minors," *Journal of the American Medical Association*, Vol. 266, no. 22, December 11, 1991, pp. 3159-3161.

- A 1992 study in *Tobacco Control* reported a 44 percent decline in junior high school students' smoking in Leominster, Massachusetts as a result of strictly enforced sales restrictions.<sup>3</sup>
- A recent working paper comparing the effectiveness of state and local access restrictions estimates that comprehensive access restrictions for youth can lower youth smoking by 18%.<sup>4</sup>
- A number of experts in this area suggest that a conservative assumption for the impact of comprehensive sales and marketing restrictions is a 10-20% reduction in youth smoking. Based on their opinion and the existing literature, we use this range for our estimates.

Hence, a coordinated effort of sizeable price increases and fully-enforced access and advertising restrictions would be more likely to produce a significant and sustained decline in youth smoking than either policy by itself.

- The Administration's Budget proposal calls for a significant increase in the real price of cigarettes over the next five years. Coupled with comprehensive sales and advertising restrictions, that price increase will lead to a 39 to 46 percent reduction in underage teen smoking in five years.
- In 2003 alone, the number of young people kept from smoking would be in the range of about 1.4 to 1.7 million teens.
- Over the next five years, the cumulative number of young people kept from smoking would be in the range of about 2.4 to 2.8 million teens.
- The direct result of these policies over the next five years is that almost 1 million of today's young people will be spared from premature deaths resulting from smoking-related diseases.

These estimates illustrate the powerful impact of a combination of price increases and access/marketing restrictions on youth smoking. But there remains some uncertainty in our estimates of the impact of these policies.

- That is why the President has also called for youth smoking surcharges on the tobacco industry if they do not meet targeted reductions in youth smoking. These surcharges will help to ensure that we meet our youth targets.

These estimates are based on daily smoking. Because underage teen smoking on less than a daily basis frequently leads to daily smoking and the subsequent risk of death and disease, the figures understate the total benefits of these policies.

---

<sup>3</sup>DiFranza, J.R., R.R. Carlson, R.E. Caisse, "Reducing Youth Access to Tobacco," *Tobacco Control*, 1992.

<sup>4</sup>Chalupka, F., and R.L. Pacula, "Limiting Youth Access to Tobacco: The Early Impact of the Synar Amendment on Youth Smoking," Working Paper, University of Illinois-Chicago, January, 1998.

## Background on Youth Smoking Elasticity Estimates

### The Treasury Model

- The Treasury model of youth smoking starts from a participation elasticity of -0.7 at the current price level of about \$1.95 — which means that a 10% increase in price above its current level would reduce the number of teen smokers by 7%.
- But this relationship only holds for very small price changes. For larger and larger price increases, the Treasury model predicts somewhat smaller proportional reductions in teen smoking. This reflects the fact that the teens most likely to respond to price signals are also the first to be discouraged from smoking by a price increase.
- Using this model, a \$1.10 increase in the real price of cigarettes is projected to reduce youth smoking by 32%. Since a \$1.10 increase in the real price in 2003 represents a 53% rise, the associated “average” elasticity is actually -0.6.<sup>5</sup>

### Industry Views Validate Administration Analysis

- Recently released internal documents from Philip Morris demonstrate that the tobacco industry has known for years that youths are very responsive to price.
- A memo from 1981 documents that the tobacco industry understands the compelling evidence that youths are very price responsive. This document is a review of the 1981 working paper by Lewit, Coate, and Grossman, discussed below. This industry review is very favorable, and does not question this substantial estimate of youth price responsiveness. As the memo states, “The authors of this paper ... have constructed an elegant longitudinal and cross-sectional model of teenage smoking behavior... The most important finding, and the one of greatest significance to the company, is their calculation of the price elasticity of cigarettes among teenagers.”
- This memo goes on to state “... it is clear that price has a pronounced effect on the smoking prevalence of teenagers, and that the goals of reducing teenage smoking and balancing the budget would both be served by increasing the Federal excise tax on cigarettes.”

---

<sup>5</sup>The semi-logarithmic demand function underlying this analysis is based on a standard model used to predict overall cigarette demand. Under it, every dime (\$0.10) increase in price reduces demand by the same *percent*, but since it is doing so from a smaller and smaller base at each step, the *absolute* reduction in teen smoking from each 10 cent increment declines slightly.

- Internal industry analyses also validate the relevance of the Canadian example discussed below. Another Philip Morris strategic planning document from the early 1990s states: “There is no question that increasing taxes will cause a decrease in smoking. This point is best illustrated by the present situation in Canada.”
- In another document dated September 3, 1987, a Philip Morris analysis of price increases concluded: “price increases . . . prevented 600,000 teenagers from starting to smoke . . . We don’t need to have that happen again.”

**Previous Academic Studies**

- A number of studies have attempted to estimate the responsiveness of youth smoking in the U.S. to price changes — the participation elasticity, or the change in the number of teen smokers due to price changes. This literature is based on comparisons of youth smoking rates in high and low tax states, and on changes in youth smoking within states as tax rates change.
- The CBO recently summarized this literature by stating that most of the evidence points to participation elasticities ranging from -0.50 to -0.75. *The Treasury estimate is in the range used by the CBO.*
- The results from this literature are shown in the Table below. Because differences in elasticity estimates may be less intuitive, the table shows — for each analysis of youth smoking — the projected reduction in teen smokers from a \$1.10 price increase (along with the Treasury estimates). This approach recognizes the fact that the Treasury model allows the elasticity to decline for larger price increases.

---

| Study of Teen Smoking             | Percent Reduction in Teen Smoking from \$1.10 Real Price Increase |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Lewit, Coate, and Grossman (1981) | 65%   |
| DeCicca et al. (1998)             | 46%   |
| Grossman et al. (1983)            | 41%   |
| Chaloupka and Grossman (1996)     | 36%   |
| CBO (midpoint)                    | 34%   |
| <b>Treasury</b>                   | <b>32%</b>  |
| Evans and Huang (1997)            | 28%   |
| Wasserman et al. (1991)           | 0-9%  |

---

- It is clear that the Treasury estimate is within the range of professional consensus on this question. Indeed, our estimates are more conservative than what most of the recent work in this area would suggest.
- One study which estimates a very different response from the remainder of the literature (Wasserman et al.) focuses exclusively on the late 1970s. During this period some surveys indicate that youth smoking fell precipitously without a price increase. We believe a more appropriate interpretation of the data during the late 1970's is that youth smoking was driven down by the broader dissemination of facts about smoking's dangers. According to one major survey, only half of 12th graders saw a great risk in smoking a pack or more of cigarettes a day in 1975 — the lowest level in the survey — but this number increased by nearly 25% between 1975 and 1980. Thus, rather than indicating that price does not affect youth smoking, we believe this data shows that other things can influence teens *in addition to* price.
- This same problem has led to a misinterpretation by some of the Evans and Huang paper. As Professor William Evans of Maryland pointed out in a recent letter to the Commerce Committee, the findings of his paper have been misquoted. *As noted in the Table above, the estimate that Evans stands behind is very close to the Administration estimate.* The confusion over his findings arises from the fact that his estimates which include data from the late 1970s show a smaller youth elasticity than his estimates which focus on the 1980s and 1990s. As Prof. Evans has noted, however, the data are less reliable for this earlier period; in addition, as noted above, this was an era when non-price factors were driving teen smoking down in the face of constant prices.

### **The Cornell Study**

- Some have cited the recent study by DeCicca et al. as refuting the previous literature. In fact, this study finds higher estimates than the remainder of the literature when standard estimation techniques are used on their full sample of 8th-12th graders.<sup>6</sup>
- The study does find smaller effects when they choose the particular sample of 12th graders who weren't smoking in 8th grade, and try to model whether they start smoking. But there is no obvious explanation for this anomalous result; after all, removing from their model a population that is more addicted to cigarettes — individuals smoking from 8th to 12th grade — should *raise*, not *lower*, the elasticity estimate. It is troubling that dropping only 5% of their sample — the 5% of teens that are most addicted — reduces their estimate so dramatically.

Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion

---

<sup>6</sup>The estimate cited in our Table is an average of their elasticities for 8th, 10th, and 12th graders.

- The explanation for this anomalous finding is fundamental problems with their empirical methodology. A recent re-analysis of their data by Professors Thomas Dee of Georgia Tech and William Evans of Maryland has found these results to be very sensitive to the particular sample restrictions imposed by the Cornell authors. As these experts note, “The results appear to be purely an artifact of the way that the authors constructed the analysis sample.” When a broader sample of observations is used, there is a very significant effect of taxes on youth smoking in their onset model -- indeed, the results are quite comparable to the previous literature.
- This partly explains why the results of the Cornell study are so statistically imprecise. For example, in this particular model, they estimate that a \$1.10 price rise would reduce smoking onset by only 7%. However, given the level of statistical imprecision in their model, their findings would be equally consistent with a reduction in youth onset of 50% or more from this \$1.10 price increase — a range which encompasses the Treasury estimate, as well as their own estimates using a more straightforward methodology.
- One criticism levied by DeCicca et al. against the earlier literature is that it does not control for differences across states at a point in time that might determine youth smoking propensities; low tax states may have high smoking because of other regulatory or cultural factors. But their approach does not solve this problem; it still relies on point-in-time comparisons of smoking onset across states, making it difficult to separate out other differences across those states. Other studies address this problem much more directly. Chaloupka and Grossman (1996) do so by including a variety of state characteristics, including state anti-tobacco regulations. Evans and Huang (1997) address the problem even more directly by examining only the effect of within-state price changes on youth smoking. The fact that these estimates are so similar to that used by Treasury highlights the robustness of the conclusions, and indicates why the previous scientific consensus is not undermined by one set of anomalous results.

### **International Evidence**

- There has also been much recent attention paid to the fact that youth smoking remains high in other countries with much higher cigarette prices. In particular, Wall Street analyst Martin Feldman noted in his testimony of March 19th that youth smoking rose in the U.K. between 1988 and 1996, despite a 26% rise in the real price of cigarettes. The inference that is often drawn from this type of evidence is that higher prices won't deter youth smoking in the U.S.
- But *these international comparisons do not tell us much of anything about the response of youth in the U.S. to price changes.* The fact that youth smoking rates remain high in other countries reflects other cultural factors that influence youth to smoke, and is not a rejection of the fundamental relationship between price and demand. And increased smoking among youth in the U.K. between 1988 and 1996 may have more to do with other factors, such as a recession which raised youth unemployment rates by 25% over this period, than with changes in the price of cigarettes. In light of this concern, it is certainly preferable to rely on careful, controlled analysis of U.S. teen smoking than on this type of anecdotal international evidence.

- Nevertheless, if one is going to make international comparisons, then the most appropriate one would be to Canada, since it is more similar to and faces many of the same cultural influences as the U.S. The two economic studies which estimate the effects of cigarette prices on Canadian teens are both consistent with the findings in the U.S. literature — teens are not only responsive to price changes, but they are more responsive than adults. In fact, the Canadian youth elasticity estimates are higher than those for the U.S.
- Moreover, the pattern of youth smoking in Canada during the 1980s confirms the sensitivity of youth to price changes.
- In 1981, youth smoking was pervasive in Canada -- 43.5% of Canadian teen were current smokers (defined as having smoked in the last thirty days). The youth smoking rate during this period was about 50% higher than in the U.S.
- Over the next decade, Canada significantly increased the price of cigarettes. From 1981 to 1989, the price increased by about 90%. It is worth noting that these price increases occurred without significant smuggling; the widely cited smuggling episode from Canada did not occur until 1992-1993, when cigarette prices had risen by more than \$1.50 above their 1989 level.
- These price increases were associated with enormous declines in teen smoking. From 1981 to 1989, current Canadian teen smoking rates fell from 43.5% to 22.6%, a decline of almost fifty percent. During this period there were no substantial change in teen smoking rates in the U.S., so by 1991 Canada's teen smoking rate was lower than ours.
- The implied Canadian elasticity of -0.52 is very close to the estimate that would be computed by the Treasury model (which allows the price responsiveness to fall as the magnitude of the price increase grows) for this large a price rise, which is an elasticity of -0.51. The large declines in Canadian youth smoking rates represent largely the impacts of price increases, as opposed to other public policies designed to curb teen smoking. From 1981 to 1989, Canada did not impose any significant public health policy changes designed to reduce teen smoking.
- In the early 1990s, smuggling did become a problem in Canada, and the government lowered dramatically its federal excise taxes. As a result, between 1991 and 1994 teen smoking began to rise again in Canada. Of course, teen smoking was on the rise in the U.S. over this period as well, so it is not as easy to attribute all of the rise in Canada over this time period to price impacts. But the fact remains that *for the country most comparable to the U.S., teen smoking rates fell as prices rose, and rose as prices fell.*

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1998 13:10:20.00

SUBJECT: Re: Here's the latest version of the price paper

TO: Cynthia Dailard ( CN=Cynthia Dailard/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
 READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
 READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
 READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
 READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

This is the latest version of the Treasury price paper -- available for our use when we need it.

Cynthia A. Rice  
 07/07/98 05:39:19 PM  
 Record Type: Record

To: Bruce N. Reed/OPD/EOP, Cynthia Dailard/OPD/EOP  
 cc:  
 Subject: Here's the latest version of the price paper

It's a bit nerdy (footnotes and all) but I think that would serve our purposes -- it will help us make the case that there is overwhelming evidence that price increases will reduce youth smoking.

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====  
 ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS\_EXT:[ATTACH.D4]MAIL41963598Q.126 to ASCII,  
 The following is a HEX DUMP:

```
FF5750433D180000010A020100000002050000006B8100000002000003776A8A935C26BE32760F8
C0787CCF0C652A1DA973B79962E739F20F274BD45D07F03E8F68ACF559A4C57BBE326A0B3AB2D2
34346898757848CD9C49A4A07408E1ECB9432CC016F274D833AF8E314FF76A63F162B989ECB459
E24703A8E40E4575EDAF5D82594B4EE56C76EB7B9381C2F7D03DD0AA9609039F44B092A357673B
5175E959EFEE58671C2D50D79FF13F8CFD2EA93D5E548D7104598A21F0BE0F4BA72E1985B80CD8
9C61D7DB109927D37C4EF9C51ED3FDD713398DBFAA85D7D9C1A34FCB8CF6316BD7A580D79AEB35
12F0AC44680CDF96FCA2668F50133DD2D3121B3588AEDE99C7F321FD62F23BC67D009A2B6BD022
DC8728A1C7450D8D9CCA39C5D9745B1875AEC72D807E1E6504D3F028E601FFBB10BD3230D4236E
```

## A Comprehensive Approach to Reducing Youth Smoking

Department of the Treasury Analysis

Over 3 million teenagers smoke cigarettes on a daily basis in the United States. An additional 2 million smoke on a less than daily basis, but are at risk of becoming chronic daily users.

- The most recent data show that 25 percent of high school seniors were daily smokers; another 12 percent smoked on a less than daily basis. In addition, underage youth smoking has been on an upward trend — smoking rates among high school students rose by nearly a third between 1991 and 1997, from 27.5 percent to 36.4 percent.

Reducing youth smoking is the best way to reduce the overall incidence of smoking in the future; 90 percent of adult daily smokers first begin smoking cigarettes as teenagers.

The most reliable method for reducing teen smoking is to increase the price of cigarettes.

- Recently released tobacco company documents demonstrate that the tobacco industry has known for years that youths are very responsive to price. A 1981 Philip Morris memo analyzed the research and concluded “it is clear that price has a pronounced effect on the smoking prevalence of teenagers.”
- A large number of rigorous economic studies have shown that teen smoking is responsive to changes in the price of cigarettes. A consensus view is that the number of teen smokers declines by about 7 percent for a 10 percent increase in the real price of cigarettes.<sup>1</sup>
  - This relationship represents the response for a very small change in prices. Our model allows the responsiveness to decline as prices rise, since the smokers that remain at high prices have revealed that they are less responsive to price signals.

Additional efforts beyond price changes can help to reduce youth smoking — such as eliminating vending machines, enforcing restrictions on sales to youths, eliminating advertising aimed at youths, and anti-smoking counter-advertising.

- Studies have shown that fully-enforced sales restrictions have successfully led to reductions in youth smoking, particularly for younger teens.
  - A 1991 study in the *Journal of the American Medical Association* reported a 69 percent decline in daily use by seventh and eighth graders in Woodridge, Illinois following legislation and enforcement of restrictions on cigarette sales to minors.<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>Chaloupka, F., and M. Grossman, “Price, Tobacco Control Policies, and Youth Smoking,” NBER Working Paper #5740, 1996.

<sup>2</sup>Jason, L. A., P. Ji, M. Anes, S. Birkhead, “Active Enforcement of Cigarette Control Laws in the Prevention of Cigarette Sales to Minors,” *Journal of the American Medical Association*, Vol. 266, no. 22, December 11, 1991, pp. 3159-3161.

- A 1992 study in *Tobacco Control* reported a 44 percent decline in junior high school students' smoking in Leominster, Massachusetts as a result of strictly enforced sales restrictions.<sup>3</sup>
- A recent working paper comparing the effectiveness of state and local access restrictions estimates that comprehensive access restrictions for youth can lower youth smoking by 18%.<sup>4</sup>
- A number of experts in this area suggest that a conservative assumption for the impact of comprehensive sales and marketing restrictions is a 10-20% reduction in youth smoking. Based on their opinion and the existing literature, we use 15% in our estimates.

Hence, a coordinated effort of sizeable price increases and fully-enforced access and advertising restrictions would be more likely to produce a significant and sustained decline in youth smoking than either policy by itself.

- The Administration's Budget proposal calls for a significant increase in the real price of cigarettes over the next five years. Coupled with comprehensive sales and advertising restrictions, that price increase will lead to about a 42 percent reduction in underage teen smoking in five years.
- In 2003 alone, the number of young people kept from smoking would be about 1.6 million teens.
- Over the next five years, the total number of young people kept from smoking would be about 3 million teens.
- The direct result of these policies over the next five years is that about 1 million of today's young people will be spared from premature deaths resulting from smoking-related diseases.

These estimates illustrate the powerful impact of a combination of price increases and access/marketing restrictions on youth smoking. But there remains some uncertainty in our estimates of the impact of these policies.

- That is why the President has also called for youth smoking penalties on the tobacco industry if they do not meet targeted reductions in youth smoking. These penalties will help to ensure that we meet our youth targets.

---

<sup>3</sup>DiFranza, J.R., R.R. Carlson, R.E. Caisse, "Reducing Youth Access to Tobacco," *Tobacco Control*, 1992.

<sup>4</sup>Chalupka, F., and R.L. Pacula, "Limiting Youth Access to Tobacco: The Early Impact of the Synar Amendment on Youth Smoking," Working Paper, University of Illinois-Chicago, January, 1998.

These estimates are based on daily smoking. Because underage teen smoking on less than a daily basis frequently leads to daily smoking and the subsequent risk of death and disease, the figures understate the total benefits of these policies.

### **Background on Youth Smoking Elasticity Estimates**

#### **The Treasury Model**

- The Treasury model of youth smoking starts from a participation elasticity of -0.7 at the current price level of about \$1.95 — which means that a 10% increase in price above its current level would reduce the number of teen smokers by 7%.
- But this relationship only holds for very small price changes. For larger and larger price increases, the Treasury model predicts somewhat smaller proportional reductions in teen smoking. This reflects the fact that the teens most likely to respond to price signals are also the first to be discouraged from smoking by a price increase.
- Using this model, a \$1.10 increase in the real price of cigarettes is projected to reduce youth smoking by 32%. Since a \$1.10 increase in the real price in 2003 represents a 53% rise, the associated “average” elasticity is actually -0.6.<sup>5</sup>

#### **Industry Views Validate Administration Analysis**

- Recently released internal documents from Philip Morris demonstrate that the tobacco industry has known for years that youths are very responsive to price.
- A memo from 1981 documents that the tobacco industry understands the compelling evidence that youths are very price responsive. This document is a review of the 1981 working paper by Lewit, Coate, and Grossman, discussed below. This industry review is very favorable, and does not question this substantial estimate of youth price responsiveness. As the memo states, “The authors of this paper ... have constructed an elegant longitudinal and cross-sectional model of teenage smoking behavior... The most important finding, and the one of greatest significance to the company, is their calculation of the price elasticity of cigarettes among teenagers.”
- This memo goes on to state “... it is clear that price has a pronounced effect on the smoking prevalence of teenagers, and that the goals of reducing teenage smoking and balancing the budget would both be served by increasing the Federal excise tax on cigarettes.”

---

<sup>5</sup>The semi-logarithmic demand function underlying this analysis is based on a standard model used to predict overall cigarette demand. Under it, every dime (\$0.10) increase in price reduces demand by the same *percent*, but since it is doing so from a smaller and smaller base at each step, the *absolute* reduction in teen smoking from each 10 cent increment declines slightly.

- Internal industry analyses also validate the relevance of the Canadian example discussed below. Another Philip Morris strategic planning document from the early 1990s states: “There is no question that increasing taxes will cause a decrease in smoking. This point is best illustrated by the present situation in Canada.”
- In another document dated September 3, 1987, a Philip Morris analysis of price increases concluded: “price increases . . . prevented 600,000 teenagers from starting to smoke . . . We don’t need to have that happen again.”

### Previous Academic Studies

- A number of studies have attempted to estimate the responsiveness of youth smoking in the U.S. to price changes — the participation elasticity, or the change in the number of teen smokers due to price changes. This literature is based on comparisons of youth smoking rates in high and low tax states, and on changes in youth smoking within states as tax rates change.
- The CBO recently summarized this literature by stating that most of the evidence points to participation elasticities ranging from -0.50 to -0.75. *The Treasury estimate is in the range used by the CBO.*
- The results from this literature are shown in the Table below. Because differences in elasticity estimates may be less intuitive, the table shows — for each analysis of youth smoking — the projected reduction in teen smokers from a \$1.10 price increase (along with the Treasury estimates). This approach recognizes the fact that the Treasury model allows the elasticity to decline for larger price increases.

---

| Study of Teen Smoking             | Percent Reduction in Teen Smoking from<br>\$1.10 Real Price Increase |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Lewit, Coate, and Grossman (1981) | 65%  |
| DeCicca et al. (1998)             | 46%  |
| Grossman et al. (1983)            | 41%  |
| Chaloupka and Grossman (1996)     | 36%  |
| CBO (midpoint)                    | 34%  |
| <b>Treasury</b>                   | <b>32%</b>   |
| Evans and Huang (1997)            | 28%  |
| Wasserman et al. (1991)           | 0-9%   |

---

- It is clear that the Treasury estimate is within the range of professional consensus on this question. Indeed, our estimates are more conservative than what most of the recent work in this area would suggest.
- One study which estimates a very different response from the remainder of the literature (Wasserman et al.) focuses exclusively on the late 1970s. During this period some surveys indicate that youth smoking fell precipitously without a price increase. We believe a more appropriate interpretation of the data during the late 1970's is that youth smoking was driven down by the broader dissemination of facts about smoking's dangers. According to one major survey, only half of 12th graders saw a great risk in smoking a pack or more of cigarettes a day in 1975 — the lowest level in the survey — but this number increased by nearly 25% between 1975 and 1980. Thus, rather than indicating that price does not affect youth smoking, we believe this data shows that other things can influence teens *in addition to* price.
- This same problem has led to a misinterpretation by some of the Evans and Huang paper. As Professor William Evans of Maryland pointed out in a recent letter to the Commerce Committee, the findings of his paper have been misquoted. *As noted in the Table above, the estimate that Evans stands behind is very close to the Administration estimate.* The confusion over his findings arises from the fact that his estimates which include data from the late 1970s show a smaller youth elasticity than his estimates which focus on the 1980s and 1990s. As Prof. Evans has noted, however, the data are less reliable for this earlier period; in addition, as noted above, this was an era when non-price factors were driving teen smoking down in the face of constant prices.

### The Cornell Study

- Some have cited the recent study by DeCicca et al. as refuting the previous literature. In fact, this study finds higher estimates than the remainder of the literature when standard estimation techniques are used on their full sample of 8th-12th graders.<sup>6</sup>
- The study does find smaller effects when they choose the particular sample of 12th graders who weren't smoking in 8th grade, and try to model whether they start smoking. But there is no obvious explanation for this anomalous result; after all, removing from their model a population that is more addicted to cigarettes — individuals smoking from 8th to 12th grade — should *raise*, not *lower*, the elasticity estimate. It is troubling that dropping only 5% of their sample — the 5% of teens that are most addicted — reduces their estimate so dramatically.

---

<sup>6</sup>The estimate cited in our Table is an average of their elasticities for 8th, 10th, and 12th graders.

- The explanation for this anomalous finding is fundamental problems with their empirical methodology. A recent re-analysis of their data by Professors Thomas Dee of Georgia Tech and William Evans of Maryland has found these results to be very sensitive to the particular sample restrictions imposed by the Cornell authors. As these experts note, “The results appear to be purely an artifact of the way that the authors constructed the analysis sample.” When a broader sample of observations is used, there is a very significant effect of taxes on youth smoking in their onset model -- indeed, the results are quite comparable to the previous literature.
- This partly explains why the results of the Cornell study are so statistically imprecise. For example, in this particular model, they estimate that a \$1.10 price rise would reduce smoking onset by only 7%. However, given the level of statistical imprecision in their model, their findings would be equally consistent with a reduction in youth onset of 50% or more from this \$1.10 price increase — a range which encompasses the Treasury estimate, as well as their own estimates using a more straightforward methodology.
- One criticism levied by DeCicca et al. against the earlier literature is that it does not control for differences across states at a point in time that might determine youth smoking propensities; low tax states may have high smoking because of other regulatory or cultural factors. But their approach does not solve this problem; it still relies on point-in-time comparisons of smoking onset across states, making it difficult to separate out other differences across those states. Other studies address this problem much more directly. Chaloupka and Grossman (1996) do so by including a variety of state characteristics, including state anti-tobacco regulations. Evans and Huang (1997) address the problem even more directly by examining only the effect of within-state price changes on youth smoking. The fact that these estimates are so similar to that used by Treasury highlights the robustness of the conclusions, and indicates why the previous scientific consensus is not undermined by one set of anomalous results.

### **International Evidence**

- There has also been much recent attention paid to the fact that youth smoking remains high in other countries with much higher cigarette prices. In particular, Wall Street analyst Martin Feldman noted in his testimony of March 19th that youth smoking rose in the U.K. between 1988 and 1996, despite a 26% rise in the real price of cigarettes. The inference that is often drawn from this type of evidence is that higher prices won't deter youth smoking in the U.S.
- *But these international comparisons do not tell us much of anything about the response of youth in the U.S. to price changes.* The fact that youth smoking rates remain high in other countries reflects other cultural factors that influence youth to smoke, and is not a rejection of the fundamental relationship between price and demand. And increased smoking among youth in the U.K. between 1988 and 1996 may have more to do with other factors, such as a recession which raised youth unemployment rates by 25% over this period, than with changes in the price of cigarettes. In light of this concern, it is certainly preferable to rely on careful, controlled analysis of U.S. teen smoking than on this type of anecdotal international evidence.

- Nevertheless, if one is going to make international comparisons, then the most appropriate one would be to Canada, since it is more similar to and faces many of the same cultural influences as the U.S. The two economic studies which estimate the effects of cigarette prices on Canadian teens are both consistent with the findings in the U.S. literature — teens are not only responsive to price changes, but they are more responsive than adults. In fact, the Canadian youth elasticity estimates are higher than those for the U.S.
- Moreover, the pattern of youth smoking in Canada during the 1980s confirms the sensitivity of youth to price changes.
- In 1981, youth smoking was pervasive in Canada -- 43.5% of Canadian teen were current smokers (defined as having smoked in the last thirty days). The youth smoking rate during this period was about 50% higher than in the U.S.
- Over the next decade, Canada significantly increased the price of cigarettes. From 1981 to 1989, the price increased by about 90%. It is worth noting that these price increases occurred without significant smuggling; the widely cited smuggling episode from Canada did not occur until 1992-1993, when cigarette prices had risen by more than \$1.50 above their 1989 level.
- These price increases were associated with enormous declines in teen smoking. From 1981 to 1989, current Canadian teen smoking rates fell from 43.5% to 22.6%, a decline of almost fifty percent. During this period there were no substantial change in teen smoking rates in the U.S., so by 1991 Canada's teen smoking rate was lower than ours.
- The implied Canadian elasticity of -0.52 is very close to the estimate that would be computed by the Treasury model (which allows the price responsiveness to fall as the magnitude of the price increase grows) for this large a price rise, which is an elasticity of -0.51. The large declines in Canadian youth smoking rates represent largely the impacts of price increases, as opposed to other public policies designed to curb teen smoking. From 1981 to 1989, Canada did not impose any significant public health policy changes designed to reduce teen smoking.
- In the early 1990s, smuggling did become a problem in Canada, and the government lowered dramatically its federal excise taxes. As a result, between 1991 and 1994 teen smoking began to rise again in Canada. Of course, teen smoking was on the rise in the U.S. over this period as well, so it is not as easy to attribute all of the rise in Canada over this time period to price impacts. But the fact remains that *for the country most comparable to the U.S., teen smoking rates fell as prices rose, and rose as prices fell.*