

**NLWJC - KAGAN**

**EMAILS RECEIVED**

**ARMS - BOX 040 - FOLDER -004**

**[11/10/1998 - 11/11/1998]**

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Stacie Spector ( CN=Stacie Spector/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-NOV-1998 16:47:40.00

SUBJECT: Friday Events Mtg. back on at 5pm

TO: Joshua S. Gottheimer ( CN=Joshua S. Gottheimer/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kim B. Widdess ( CN=Kim B. Widdess/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Chandler G. Spaulding ( CN=Chandler G. Spaulding/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Minyon Moore ( CN=Minyon Moore/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jose Cerda III ( CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karin Kullman ( CN=Karin Kullman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisa J. Levin ( CN=Lisa J. Levin/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kris M Balderston ( CN=Kris M Balderston/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Fred DuVal ( CN=Fred DuVal/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sean P. Maloney ( CN=Sean P. Maloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christa Robinson ( CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet Murguia ( CN=Janet Murguia/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elisa Millsap ( CN=Elisa Millsap/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sharon K. Gill ( CN=Sharon K. Gill/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura D. Schwartz ( CN=Laura D. Schwartz/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cheryl M. Carter ( CN=Cheryl M. Carter/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro ( CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jodi R. Sakol ( CN=Jodi R. Sakol/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Patricia M. Ewing ( CN=Patricia M. Ewing/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anne E. McGuire ( CN=Anne E. McGuire/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William H. White Jr. ( CN=William H. White Jr./OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lynn G. Cutler ( CN=Lynn G. Cutler/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Phillip Caplan ( CN=Phillip Caplan/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tracey E. Thornton ( CN=Tracey E. Thornton/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Broderick Johnson ( CN=Broderick Johnson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles M. Brain ( CN=Charles M. Brain/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Please come to Room 173 at 5pm to discuss Friday's events and what options are available to us for a message event and/or Hill event. I apologize for the late notice, we will begin as soon as we have critical mass....  
Thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Dominique L. Cano ( CN=Dominique L. Cano/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-NOV-1998 10:47:20.00

SUBJECT: Long Term Planning Meeting

TO: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer M. Palmieri ( CN=Jennifer M. Palmieri/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Doug Sosnik would like to convene a long-term planning meeting tomorrow at 2pm. Please confirm your availability as soon as possible.

Many thanks.

Lori Anderson  
x65611

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Christopher C. Jennings ( CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-NOV-1998 19:57:38.00

SUBJECT: Hearing on Stem Cell Research

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Any thoughts re this?

cj

----- Forwarded by Christopher C. Jennings/OPD/EOP on  
11/10/98 07:57 PM -----

Daniel N. Mendelson

11/10/98 06:25:33 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Sylvia M. Mathews/OMB/EOP

cc: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message

Subject: Hearing on Stem Cell Research

Thurm called today to inform us that Spector will be calling a hearing for December 1st or 2nd on the promise of stem cell research. Varmus and others recently briefed Spector and Harkin on the science in this area. Spector expressed an interest in addressing the ban on federal funding. In addition, there are questions about whether the ban extends to NIH-funded research that may be based on stem cells that are created as a product of independently funded research. We will clear testimony. Let me know if you want to discuss further.

Message Copied

To:

Joshua Gotbaum/OMB/EOP

Adrienne C. Erbach/OMB/EOP

Barry T. Clendenin/OMB/EOP

Richard J. Turman/OMB/EOP

Gina C. Mooers/OMB/EOP

Christopher C. Jennings/OPD/EOP

Jeanne Lambrew/OPD/EOP

Charles E. Kieffer/OMB/EOP

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Charles J. Payson ( CN=Charles J. Payson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-NOV-1998 09:40:43.00

SUBJECT: Sched Proposal Mtg-- Thursday

TO: Wendy Hartman ( CN=Wendy Hartman/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tracy Pakulniewicz ( CN=Tracy Pakulniewicz/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David R. Goodfriend ( CN=David R. Goodfriend/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Clyde E. Williams ( CN=Clyde E. Williams/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dorinda A. Salcido ( CN=Dorinda A. Salcido/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Timothy W. Emrich ( CN=Timothy W. Emrich/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maya Seiden ( CN=Maya Seiden/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Patrice L. Stanley ( CN=Patrice L. Stanley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dominique L. Cano ( CN=Dominique L. Cano/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan H. Adashek ( CN=Jonathan H. Adashek/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mindy E. Myers ( CN=Mindy E. Myers/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jessica L. Gibson ( CN=Jessica L. Gibson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Virginia L. Cearley ( CN=Virginia L. Cearley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher Wayne ( CN=Christopher Wayne/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet Murguia ( CN=Janet Murguia/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Patricia Solis-Doyle ( CN=Patricia Solis-Doyle/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura D. Schwartz ( CN=Laura D. Schwartz/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stacie Spector ( CN=Stacie Spector/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: MILLISON\_C ( MILLISON\_C @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] ) (NSC)  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Martha A. Livingston ( CN=Martha A. Livingston/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ruth A. Eaglin ( CN=Ruth A. Eaglin/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kim B. Widdess ( CN=Kim B. Widdess/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rachel A. Redington ( CN=Rachel A. Redington/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jacquelyn J. Bennett ( CN=Jacquelyn J. Bennett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Susan L. Hazard ( CN=Susan L. Hazard/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer L. Klein ( CN=Jennifer L. Klein/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cathy R. Mays ( CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: ELKON\_N ( ELKON\_N @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] ) (NSC)  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: DAVIES\_G ( DAVIES\_G @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] ) (NSC)  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Shelley N. Fidler ( CN=Shelley N. Fidler/OU=WHCCTF/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHCCTF ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michele Jolin ( CN=Michele Jolin/OU=CEA/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEA ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisa A. Berg ( CN=Lisa A. Berg/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Daniel Wexler ( CN=Daniel Wexler/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria Echaveste ( CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lori L. Anderson ( CN=Lori L. Anderson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia M. Jasso-Rotunno ( CN=Cynthia M. Jasso-Rotunno/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Craig T. Smith ( CN=Craig T. Smith/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Phillip Caplan ( CN=Phillip Caplan/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael D. Malone ( CN=Michael D. Malone/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Virginia N. Rustique ( CN=Virginia N. Rustique/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cecily C. Williams ( CN=Cecily C. Williams/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eric P. Hothem ( CN=Eric P. Hothem/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Noa A. Meyer ( CN=Noa A. Meyer/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Katharine Button ( CN=Katharine Button/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Douglas J. Band ( CN=Douglas J. Band/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Waldman ( CN=Michael Waldman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ruby Shamir ( CN=Ruby Shamir/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David S. Beaubaire ( CN=David S. Beaubaire/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kris M Balderston ( CN=Kris M Balderston/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas D. Janenda ( CN=Thomas D. Janenda/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: June G. Turner ( CN=June G. Turner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nancy V. Hernreich ( CN=Nancy V. Hernreich/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anthony R. Bernal ( CN=Anthony R. Bernal/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Aviva Steinberg ( CN=Aviva Steinberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer M. Palmieri ( CN=Jennifer M. Palmieri/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Amy Weiss ( CN=Amy Weiss/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leslie Bernstein ( CN=Leslie Bernstein/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joshua S. Gottheimer ( CN=Joshua S. Gottheimer/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Heather L. Davis ( CN=Heather L. Davis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth R. Newman ( CN=Elizabeth R. Newman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marisa Luzzatto ( CN=Marisa Luzzatto/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: George G. Caudill ( CN=George G. Caudill/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Carole A. Parmelee ( CN=Carole A. Parmelee/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joseph C. Fanaroff ( CN=Joseph C. Fanaroff/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Doris O. Matsui ( CN=Doris O. Matsui/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Chandler G. Spaulding ( CN=Chandler G. Spaulding/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Linda Ricci ( CN=Linda Ricci/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Margaret L. Buford ( CN=Margaret L. Buford/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jaycee A. Pribulsky ( CN=Jaycee A. Pribulsky/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dan K. Rosenthal ( CN=Dan K. Rosenthal/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Evan Ryan ( CN=Evan Ryan/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brian A. Barreto ( CN=Brian A. Barreto/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John Dankowski ( CN=John Dankowski/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jake Siewert ( CN=Jake Siewert/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elisabeth Steele ( CN=Elisabeth Steele/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeffrey M. Smith ( CN=Jeffrey M. Smith/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bob J. Nash ( CN=Bob J. Nash/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter A. Weissman ( CN=Peter A. Weissman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Grace A. Garcia ( CN=Grace A. Garcia/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anne M. Edwards ( CN=Anne M. Edwards/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan A. Kaplan ( CN=Jonathan A. Kaplan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Cohen ( CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christa Robinson ( CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: HILLIARD\_B ( HILLIARD\_B @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] ) (NSC)  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: KERRICK\_D ( KERRICK\_D @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] ) (NSC)  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Beth A. Viola ( CN=Beth A. Viola/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ansley Jones ( CN=Ansley Jones/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cheryl M. Carter ( CN=Cheryl M. Carter/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert B. Johnson ( CN=Robert B. Johnson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marjorie Tarmey ( CN=Marjorie Tarmey/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Minyon Moore ( CN=Minyon Moore/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher J. Lavery ( CN=Christopher J. Lavery/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Todd Stern ( CN=Todd Stern/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sean P. Maloney ( CN=Sean P. Maloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeffrey A. Forbes ( CN=Jeffrey A. Forbes/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elisa Millsap ( CN=Elisa Millsap/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Capricia P. Marshall ( CN=Capricia P. Marshall/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ellen M. Lovell ( CN=Ellen M. Lovell/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dawn M. Chirwa ( CN=Dawn M. Chirwa/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jordan Tamagni ( CN=Jordan Tamagni/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kevin S. Moran ( CN=Kevin S. Moran/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis ( CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anne E. McGuire ( CN=Anne E. McGuire/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andrew J. Mayock ( CN=Andrew J. Mayock/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sara M. Latham ( CN=Sara M. Latham/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles J. Payson ( CN=Charles J. Payson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura A. Graham ( CN=Laura A. Graham/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

This week's Scheduling Proposal Meeting (formerly the Short Term Scheduling Meeting)

will be held on Thursday at 10 am in Room 180 OEOB.

If you are not able to make it, please make sure your office is represented.

Thank you!

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Shannon Mason ( CN=Shannon Mason/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-NOV-1998 09:21:21.00

SUBJECT: New Ideas

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Could you please email Sally Katzen a copy of the final SOTU memo that went out on Friday?

Thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Paul D. Glastris ( CN=Paul D. Glastris/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-NOV-1998 13:49:06.00

SUBJECT: two policy ideas, reworked

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Cohen ( CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Mike, Bruce, Elena:

I very much appreciate how open you've been to the policy ideas I've been sending in. I also appreciate the honest criticism you've given them. With those criticisms in mind, I have reworked the following two ideas, which I still believe have merit:

1-How to improve minority college success rate, and keep elite colleges diverse, the Army way: The Clinton administration will continue to support the right of colleges and universities to take race into account for admissions purposes. And rightly so, because it's clear from studies--including the new book by Derek Bok and William Bowen--that diversity works. But there are two problems. First, minority college students complete third studies at lower-than-average rates. Second, courts and ballot measures have chipped away at the legal basis of race-conscious admissions policies, and will probably continue to do so.

What's needed is a second front for affirmative action--a way of creating a supply of minority students who will graduate college at higher rates and whose test scores and academic performance are high enough that they can be admitted to elite schools without racial preferences. Such a system already exist in the U.S. military. The Army, for instance, has a larger proportion of blacks in its upper ranks than almost any other large institution in America. It's secret: The U.S. Military Academic Preparatory School (USMAPS) in New Jersey. Every year, USMAPS accepts hundreds of promising but academically ill-prepared minority high school graduates. These

cadets go through a grueling 10-month course of training in reading, math, military discipline, and good study habits. Cadets who make it through go on to West Point, their SAT scores having risen 110 points higher than when they entered USMAPS. Just as important, USMAPS cadets graduate from West Point at the same or higher rate than their West Point classmates who didn't go through USMAPS (by contrast, blacks at elite civilian colleges graduate at substantially lower rates than whites). Action: The POTUS should empanel a commission of military generals and elite college presidents to explore the possibility of adapting the USMAPS model to civilian higher education.

2-Report cards for public schools:

Picking a school can be the most important choice a parent will ever make for a child. Yet parents today do so with little solid information. Most rely on word-of-mouth. If they're lucky, their local school district will provide SAT scores, teacher-to-pupil ratios,

spending-per-student, and other data on individual schools. But these numbers are not universally available. Moreover, they are proxies for school quality. They measure inputs and norms, not actual performance. Though not wholly unreliable, these measures fail to capture the things parents care most about (or should care about): how much actual learning goes on in the school, and how well-prepared are its graduates for the world beyond that school?

In his State of the Union address, the President should announce as one of his major education goals that every public school in America should be graded, just as every student is graded, so that parents can make more informed choices when picking schools for their children. We are already planning to advance a variation of this idea as part of our proposed reauthorization of the elementary and secondary school act: Having school districts issue "report cards" on individual schools. So raising the idea in the SOTU in a general way makes sense.

It would also leave open the possibility of proposing more federal action that we are currently contemplating. Obviously we would need to debate what those further actions, if any, might be. But in addition to requiring school districts to grade their own schools, we should consider having the federal government collect data on the performance of individual schools, so that objective rating systems could be developed. Specifically, the Department of Education should collect, on a nationwide but voluntary basis, one or more of the following three new sets of data:

1-student achievement tests broken down to the school level. The federal government already has a reliable measuring rod in the National Assessment of Educational Progress. Broader use of the NAEP would be expensive and controversial. But it would work.

2-graduation rates for every high school. Currently each state calculates graduation rates differently and the data they gather is incomplete. Federal standards would make the information much more reliable and comparable

3-data tracking students', achievements 3-to-5 years after graduation (job, income, further education, etc).

It's important to note that Washington would merely be providing data that would make rating systems possible. The federal government would not be doing the actual rating of schools. That job is better left to school districts, to private organizations such as newsmagazines, and to education reform groups. Each of these organizations would be free to weigh the data differently and to throw other criteria into the mix, such as SAT scores or extracurricular programs. The point is, without the federal government providing some or all of the above data, no rating system can work (which explains why no one has tried to put one together).

However far we want to go, the basic notion of requiring school report cards is a good one. It would empower parents to make better, more informed choices. More than that, it would be a powerful engine for school reform. Numerous experts have noted that the vast majority of parents have the Lake Wobegon view that their kids', schools are above average. This system might help shake off that complacency. Experts have also noted that the mere act of grading institutions causes managers of those institutions to make improvements.

The more rigorous the grading we require, the stiffed the opposition we can expect from conservative Republicans and liberal Democrats and the Black and Hispanic Caucuses. But we are bound to face this kind of opposition on a number of fronts--for instance, our efforts to ban social promotion, or any new efforts we might make for national tests and standards. Grading public schools merely provides further rationale for those other efforts. Republicans will probably object that this idea means more federal spending and federal intrusion into state and local education matters. In fact, measuring school performance is less intrusive than other policies, such as setting high academic standards

(and the measurement system can probably be done on a voluntary basis). But just as the standards debate highlighted an internal contradiction in conservative ideology (you cannot be for local control and high standards), this debate will highlight another (you cannot be for parental choice in education but against giving parents the information they need to make those choices wisely).

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Andrea Kane ( CN=Andrea Kane/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-NOV-1998 13:49:18.00

SUBJECT: Possible Welfare Reform announcements

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Christa Robinson ( CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

The W2W Partnership is sending over more detailed requests for POTUS in Miami 2/26 and VP in SF 3/1 or 3/2. I'll turn that into a scheduling request. In the meantime, here's possible announcements we might want to package with the Miami event, as well as some other ideas re: State of the Union.

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS\_EXT:[ATTACH.D84]MAIL46900341K.326 to ASCII,  
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF57504370040000010A020100000002050000004A0C00000002000091AD98DDAA899694165AA6  
A0AB32356713DA0B42BCCD0641A484FD3F3156D708E7554711F1E443FB6B82CA5A09B3FC303410  
A878235F8A1BD4D0D5B55E5189AF8D14256A185D589F3EA710778A65F799FE3B83179D3EE444F0  
F16A7689382B3BD1E8EE710867BE791D4357962243AC70B7902F5AAACE63965CC8B342CAAB1E65E  
BE0631E60C06092D39D4CDCA9C92B5C0A201F414F5E8775167E9C2AF38974E67904629A83EB061  
A9DE117059AAF54D3B418B7AF7EDB15C4516C173192B8CF187D36FFCBAF049C66E39DD62BFFB21  
1F6CF972455511A64C925FB65C8B6A99A5B552033E668AC1E5FFFEB01EAB70938DD29DD93F7674  
A098A112FFE3F8FC91E2D047C884BD4802174D727ED66C9D6F7705EB22264DFD2B5055DB8EF11E  
C10442D1D75EB82256E9547E81B4867F9CB955E268CE05C5349FACCE05B50F305303ED4F4B2965  
E9A840ABF0577142A6C88598F8F11F50CDC7101ABB6E16FFC95BE31224A98C6F5010CC3114CEBD  
8FC097EC386183096B230A48BBD4E24B01E21AC1C092D73DFB1C2F0691BE8ADAF17A0A24E0A680  
3D0B192F18EA6A2E11BBBC84A9A9E1EC09D027E98DAB7A5665C6F48E6AF929073F71EB53477C76  
37DC0A5480939BC6A47831230214545E1705B91EC1859A0F45E590793DF13E31A5FDD059A4C8FB

POSSIBLE WELFARE REFORM ANNOUNCEMENTS  
(As of 11/10/98)

Possible SOTU announcements:

- Partnership has met President's challenge to sign up 10,000 companies
- Federal agencies have already met nearly all of their Year 2000 hiring goals
- Welfare caseload reductions
- Announce IDA grant competition (HHS must publish announcement by 1/27)

Possible announcements for 2/26 Partnership event in Miami:

- Announce FY 99 competition for Welfare-to-Work competitive grants, targeted at priority issues/populations -- could highlight literacy/ESL. Also consider having Hispanic welfare to work success story introduce the President.
- Advance EITC initiative w/ ABD (Partnership is exploring details)
- Possibly announce Job Access transportation grants (DOT to complete preliminary selection in February, but those selected must document compliance with standard DOT labor, environmental, and other requirements before they receive final award)
- Announce Partnership has met President's challenge to double number of hires -- 270,000 [Partnership staff do not think this can be done by January, and will be difficult to meet by February. Alternatively, save this announcement for May 1999 -- 2nd anniversary of the Partnership launch, and one year after President issued challenge]

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-NOV-1998 17:19:10.00

SUBJECT: Let me know if there's anything (Q&A etc) you want me to do re FDA case. C

TO: ELENA (Pager) #KAGAN ( ELENA (Pager) #KAGAN [ UNKNOWN ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: 4697 ( 4697 @ WHCA [ UNKNOWN ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Kris M Balderston ( CN=Kris M Balderston/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-NOV-1998 15:52:56.00

SUBJECT: DOL will be ready to go w the W2W grants on 11/20

TO: Eli G. Attie ( CN=Eli G. Attie/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Patricia M. Ewing ( CN=Patricia M. Ewing/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stacie Spector ( CN=Stacie Spector/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

They are excited about the idea of getting other Cabinet to travel or do satellites. Stacie - do you want to set up a mtg?

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Kevin S. Moran ( CN=Kevin S. Moran/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-NOV-1998 15:50:27.00

SUBJECT: Podesta Q&As

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

John is going to meet with Susan Page and Mara Liason tomorrow for an on the record interview. I pulled these Q&As together from materials that have been circulating recently. Can you take a look at them? Are there any other issues that you think he should definitely be prepped for? Thanks a lot for your time

PRESIDENT□,S AGENDA

Q: Is the President frustrated that he has not been able to accomplish more of his agenda? What are his priorities for the coming months?

A: If you look at the priorities the President set forth in last January□,s State of the Union address, we□,ve achieved some significant accomplishments. First, we saved the surplus for the hard work of Social Security reform early next year. Second, we made major strides in renewing our public schools, especially with the historic commitment of 100,000 new teachers to reduce class size in the early grades. And third, we made a profound commitment to strengthening our own economy by funding our share of the IMF and assuming our responsibility in stabilizing the global economy on which so much of our prosperity depends.

Unfortunately, Republicans in the last Congress killed, at least for now, several critical priorities, including the President□,s school rehabilitation and construction proposal, the patients' bill of rights, tobacco reform, campaign finance reform and the minimum wage. In the days to come the President will work hard to conclude this unfinished business.

The President will also work to build on the achievements of the past year. He will continue to lead the effort to reserve the surplus until we can develop a bipartisan plan to reform Social Security. He will build on our successes to develop policies and programs that ensure a cleaner environment for our children. And, he will continue to lead efforts to protect and strengthen the international economy and U.S. economy.

TOBACCO

Q: What is the Administration's position on the state tobacco settlement that reportedly will be announced later this week?

A: We will review the state settlement as soon as it becomes available. From what we know, the settlement is a real step in the right direction, and we appreciate the work the state Attorneys General have done to hold the tobacco industry to account for marketing their product to children. But even with this settlement, there is a great deal left to do, and we will continue to push the Congress to enact comprehensive legislation to substantially reduce youth smoking.

Q: Is it true that the Fourth Circuit denied the Administration's request for a rehearing in the FDA case? What's the next step for the Administration?

A: The Fourth Circuit did decline the Administration's request for an en banc review, and the Solicitor General has now decided to seek a review of the Fourth Circuit's decision in the Supreme Court. Any further questions on this matter should be directed to the Justice Department.

Q: Will the President propose to increase cigarette taxes, as reported in today's Wall Street Journal?

A: Absolutely no decisions have been made about what, if anything, the President's budget will include on tobacco. Staff members are in the process of considering many options, and have made no recommendations of any kind to the President. Of course, the President will continue to take action to reduce youth smoking, but it's too early to say what his budget will include or what specific tobacco control policies he will call for.

Q: If the states settle their tobacco cases for \$200 billion, will the Administration propose something smaller than the per-pack price increase called for in last year's budget?

A: It is completely premature to speculate on what the President will include in his budget, or on how the settlement will affect the President's proposals. We have not even seen the state settlement yet, and we certainly do not know how many states will opt into it or how large it will be.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-NOV-1998 13:14:14.00

SUBJECT: New Ideas Memo

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

We have a lot of ideas. I counted 77 subject headings in our memo alone, and some of those have multiple initiatives under one heading. CEQ, OSTP, and Todd add another 15. If we liked one another, we could reorder all these into a single memo called "100 Ideas for a New Century". But we don't really like them, so it's not worth the trouble. Besides, everyone would think all the ideas must be small because we have so many of them -- rather than that our brains must be really, really big.

My changes are small:

- 1) p. 3, #9, subpart (4): I believe they meant "matriculation between 2 and 4 yr colleges", not "articulation" -- although that might be a more achievable goal.
- 2) p. 4, #11: Wouldn't JROTC make more sense as a Service idea?
- 3) p. 5, #3: In fairness, work-study is a DPC/NEC idea. But if fairness is any guide, I'm annoyed that we're calling Work-Site Schools a joint idea.
- 4) p. 6, #3: Is Chris also working on any other tax credits as a coverage expansion idea? Ask him.
- 5) p. 7, #9: You're probably right -- you might move up Prescription drugs, and cross reference Tobacco
- 6) p. 8, Tobacco, new item #3: Medicare Suit Against Tobacco Companies. Our best leverage over the tobacco industry is the prospect of a federal suit to recoup Medicare costs associated with smoking. We should call on Congress to enact Sen. Graham's legislation to authorize such a lawsuit (which would make the Justice Department more likely to bring it). At the same time, we can ask for funds for Justice and HCFA to prepare a lawsuit against the tobacco industry. We could go further, and pledge that any proceeds from such a lawsuit would be used either to extend the life of the Medicare Trust Fund or to provide a new prescription drug benefit to Medicare beneficiaries in managed care. (Cost for lawsuit: TBD, but probably small.)
- 7) p. 9, #7: "smally" should be "a very small"
- 8) p. 9: Given the mind-numbing quality of many of the ideas in Community Empowerment, Technology, etc., I would strongly recommend moving up two sections that read well -- CRIME and PENSIONS.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Christa Robinson ( CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-NOV-1998 12:34:24.00

SUBJECT: Re: scheduling memo

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Bruce asked for a copy of this this morning. We sent this in when you were out of town.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-NOV-1998 18:34:01.00

SUBJECT: NYC Update: Conflict bet Jason Turner and Mayor Guiliani

TO: Andrea Kane ( CN=Andrea Kane/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jeanne Lambrew ( CN=Jeanne Lambrew/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Devorah R. Adler ( CN=Devorah R. Adler/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Christopher C. Jennings ( CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Unfortunately, as this Times story indicates, the conflict between Jason Turner and Mayor Guiliani over the Food Stamp/Medicaid application policy has heated up (there have also been stories in the Daily News and Newsday).

We're right on the policy: PRWORA amended Food Stamp law to say "a State agency... shall permit an applicant household to apply to participate in the program on the same day that the household first contacts a food stamp office in person during office hours." NYC is resting its argument that it can force applicants to wait until their second visit to get a Food Stamp application on the fact that USDA has not promulgated regs yet.

Devorah or Jeanne -- could you verify the basis for the Medicaid policy (i.e., statute or reg) and get a copy of it?

I've gotten USDA out of the limelight -- they are only talking to reporters on background to correct factual errors, and they are not engaged in any discussions with the city (regional office staff are still on site checking records). What worries me is that Guiliani is way out there, and views this issue as a challenge to his work first welfare strategy. I'm trying to figure out what if anything we can do. Any thoughts?

Copyright 1998 The New York Times Company

The New York Times

November 11, 1998, Wednesday, Late Edition - Final

SECTION: Section B; Page 6; Column 1; Metropolitan Desk

LENGTH: 620 words

HEADLINE: Mayor Backs City Policy On Welfare

BYLINE: By RACHEL L. SWARNS

BODY:

Mayor Rudolph W. Giuliani yesterday defended his policy of delaying the distribution of food stamp and Medicaid applications to the poor and denied that his Welfare Commissioner had ever said the policy would change.

Mr. Giuliani's remarks came as Federal officials from the Department of Agriculture and the Health Care Financing Administration continued their inquiry into the city's welfare policies. The Federal officials have said the city appeared to be violating the law by delaying applications in an effort to discourage the needy from applying for welfare.

On Sunday, the Welfare Commissioner, Jason A. Turner, told The New York Times that he planned to speed up the distribution of applications as an "extra precaution" to mollify Federal officials. "Our new policy is just to hand them a food stamp application," Mr. Turner said in the telephone interview, calling the change "an improvement."

But yesterday, Mr. Giuliani denied that Mr. Turner had ever made that statement. The Mayor did not explain why he did not dispute those comments on Monday, the day when Mr. Turner's comments appeared, and Colleen Roche, a spokeswoman for Mr. Giuliani, declined to elaborate yesterday. Mr. Turner was not available for comment yesterday, according to his press secretary, Debra Sproles.

"The Commissioner didn't say that," Mr. Giuliani said during a news conference yesterday, referring to the comments published in The Times. "Sometimes, things aren't reported exactly the way somebody says something because there is an emotional or ideological bias that drives the way something is reported."

Joyce Purnick, the metropolitan editor of The Times, dismissed Mr. Giuliani's accusations.

"Our reporter had two extensive conversations with Mr. Turner on Sunday, took detailed notes, and never got a subsequent complaint from him saying he was quoted incorrectly," Ms. Purnick said. "We do not make things up, nor are our reporters politically motivated."

Mr. Giuliani's remarks were the city's latest response to questions about the Federal inquiry into his welfare policies. The topic is sensitive for the Giuliani administration since the Mayor has repeatedly described his tough welfare policy as one of his primary accomplishments.

The Mayor is particularly proud of his decision to convert welfare offices

into "job centers," where the needy are encouraged to rely on jobs, relatives and food pantries instead of on government checks.

In the job centers, the vast majority of people receive applications only on their second visit, the practice that touched off the Federal inquiry. Federal law requires city officials to give food stamp and Medicaid applications upon an applicant's first visit.

Mr. Turner said on Sunday that the city would expedite the distribution of applications. On Monday, city officials appeared to reverse themselves, saying they would not speed the process after all. Anthony P. Coles, a senior adviser to Mr. Giuliani, said the Agriculture Department had decided to judge the city's programs based on the 1996 Federal welfare law, which allows states and cities to delay welfare applications.

The current law governing food stamps and Medicaid, however, requires city officials to distribute applications without delay. And officials from the Department of Agriculture and Health Care Financing Administration said those existing laws still applied.

On Friday, Medicaid officials are to meet with state health officials, who are responsible for monitoring the city's Medicaid program. The Federal officials, who have requested copies of the city's application and referral procedures, hope to determine whether the city's program complies with Federal law.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-NOV-1998 15:06:37.00

SUBJECT: New Ideas

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

It might be worth making his changes. He's right about ATMs. I don't know the other issue -- it seems sufficiently wonky to be included in that section.

----- Forwarded by Bruce N. Reed/OPD/EOP on 11/11/98  
03:05 PM -----

Paul J. Weinstein Jr.  
11/11/98 02:49:32 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Bruce N. Reed/OPD/EOP, Elena Kagan/OPD/EOP

cc:

Subject: New Ideas

I am not particularly pleased. I just saw a version of the new ideas memo and noticed that we gave the NEC credit for my ATM idea. That is complete bullshit. I am not coming up with stuff anymore that other people take credit for.

I am also mad that Private Activity Bonds was taken out of the list. You should have asked me about that before cutting it out. That is one of the few ideas that will definitely pass next year. If I am going to do have to do Community Empowerment, than I expect to be consulted as the policy expert.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 11-NOV-1998 11:09:44.00

SUBJECT: gun suits

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jose Cerda III ( CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Paul J. Weinstein Jr. ( CN=Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Sidney B. has been bugging me about class action lawsuits on guns etc. He says Cuomo wants to sue the industry over guns in public housing.

What should we do here?

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Ruby Shamir ( CN=Ruby Shamir/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-NOV-1998 11:56:29.00

SUBJECT: Women's Mtg

TO: Sondra L. Seba ( CN=Sondra L. Seba/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet L. Graves ( CN=Janet L. Graves/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mindy E. Myers ( CN=Mindy E. Myers/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mona G. Mohib ( CN=Mona G. Mohib/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nicole R. Rabner ( CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marjorie Tarmey ( CN=Marjorie Tarmey/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sylvia M. Mathews ( CN=Sylvia M. Mathews/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sandra Thurman ( CN=Sandra Thurman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Judith A. Winston ( CN=Judith A. Winston/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robin Leeds ( CN=Robin Leeds/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet Murguia ( CN=Janet Murguia/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen E. Skelton ( CN=Karen E. Skelton/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria Echaveste ( CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lynn G. Cutler ( CN=Lynn G. Cutler/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lucia F. Gilliland ( CN=Lucia F. Gilliland/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Skye S. Philbrick ( CN=Skye S. Philbrick/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maureen T. Shea ( CN=Maureen T. Shea/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leslie Bernstein ( CN=Leslie Bernstein/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Francine P. Obermiller ( CN=Francine P. Obermiller/OU=CEA/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEA ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer L. Klein ( CN=Jennifer L. Klein/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Katharine Button ( CN=Katharine Button/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Virginia Apuzzo ( CN=Virginia Apuzzo/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rebecca M. Blank ( CN=Rebecca M. Blank/OU=CEA/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEA ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stacie Spector ( CN=Stacie Spector/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marsha Scott ( CN=Marsha Scott/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tracey E. Thornton ( CN=Tracey E. Thornton/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Minyon Moore ( CN=Minyon Moore/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Susan M. Liss ( CN=Susan M. Liss/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ellen M. Lovell ( CN=Ellen M. Lovell/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

There will be a Women's Mtg at 9am on Thursday in room 100. Thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-NOV-1998 11:47:10.00

SUBJECT: Re: tobacco

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Good except maybe don't mention marriage penalty (in case of leaks). You could say "long-term health and/or non-health" or something like that.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-NOV-1998 10:43:43.00

SUBJECT: Here it is

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS\_EXT:[ATTACH.D81]MAIL46615841D.326 to ASCII,  
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF57504370040000010A02010000000205000000180B00000002000009BF6EF6824737829B92F908  
9205117DE955FAEDB922A7DC49B246948A17BB3C6A5CCE016F6C52623E31777FA0386232E2CA43  
80A1AA773FB589994F0C6316FD99305FF8D1E848D393876844B8AD6AA71EB85FABBB23556F74AF  
02D820C763A586062034D152B6CE04FF5E710A0E9B0A26D2F0D9F253C6D91BB0CEE368897CFA3A  
7D6DFDD4F88E06BB1BDE3709381D490514314752ECC90188977C0BB6CA8F2C989ACC6431D9B08F  
1E8BA0B98BF0B7E5C50A567448F5761471762766BF28C42F555080A04CB06C312BDC6A70DFA907

**DRAFT**  
**Tobacco Q&A**  
**November 11, 1998 -- Revised**

**Q. What do you think of the reported state tobacco settlement?**

**A:** We will review the settlement as soon as it becomes available. From what we know, the settlement has some good things in it, and we appreciate the efforts of the state Attorneys General to make the tobacco industry responsible for targeting children and luring millions of them to begin smoking. However, even with this settlement, we will have a long way to go, and we will continue to push the Congress to enact comprehensive legislation to substantially reduce youth smoking.

**Q: Is it true that the Fourth Circuit denied the Administration's request for a rehearing in the FDA case? What's the next step for the Administration?**

**A:** The Solicitor General has decided to seek a petition of certiorari in the Supreme Court, and we're referring all inquiries on the matter to the Justice Department.

*Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion*

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-NOV-1998 12:12:14.00

SUBJECT: Reminder

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

----- Forwarded by Laura Emmett/WHO/EOP on 11/11/98 12:12  
PM -----

LESLIE  
BERNSTEIN  
11/10/98 08:13:17 PM

Record Type: Record

To: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message

cc:

Subject: Reminder

Entry Word: memorandum

Function: n

Text: 1

Synonyms NOTE 2, chit, memo, notandum, notation

2 a communication that contains directive, advisory, or informative matter  
<the memorandum

announced the holiday schedule>

Synonyms directive, memo, notice

Related Word announcement, dispatch, minute; epistle, letter, missive,  
note; reminder, tickler;  
message; diary

Just a gentle reminder:

Your SOTU memos are due by COB tomorrow.

Enjoy the holiday!

Message Sent

To:

---

Cathy R. Mays/OPD/EOP

Laura Emmett/WHO/EOP

Peter A. Weissman/OPD/EOP

Melissa G. Green/OPD/EOP

Betty J. Fountain/OSTP/EOP

Nancy Marlow/CEQ/EOP

Jonathan H. Adashek/WHO/EOP



RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Sally Katzen ( CN=Sally Katzen/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-NOV-1998 12:53:00.00

SUBJECT: one more thing

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

we talked about taking out the employment tax credit (#4 in community empowerment) -- i didn't delete it because you wanted to add a sentence to welfare mentioning the credit and i used this to remind myself to remind you.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Julie A. Fernandes ( CN=Julie A. Fernandes/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-NOV-1998 12:09:51.00

SUBJECT: Late Amnesty and Las Vegas

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria Echaveste ( CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Marjorie Tarmey ( CN=Marjorie Tarmey/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Leslie Bernstein ( CN=Leslie Bernstein/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Maria/Elena,

Last Thursday, I met with a group of advocates from Las Vegas. According to them, there are approximately 27,000 immigrants in the Las Vegas area who were issued fraudulent work authorizations by the INS between 1989 and 1991. The INS began notifying this group in 1996 that their documentation was fraudulently obtained and that they need to come forward to assert the legitimate basis for having work authorization (which would include being a prima facie member of one of the "late amnesty" classes). Otherwise, their authorization was to be revoked.

It is likely that some who obtained fraudulent work authorizations thought that they were undergoing a legitimate process and perhaps even claimed (to the notario or lawyer involved) that they were eligible for "late amnesty." However, all of the authorizations were fraudulently obtained. Only those who can demonstrate a legitimate basis for obtaining the authorization (e.g., had a legitimate "late amnesty" claim) will have their work papers renewed -- a very small number.

The only problem is the equities that many of these immigrants have built up over eight or nine years. They have lived and worked in the U.S.; bought homes; paid taxes; etc. It is going to be a significant hardship for many of them to have to return home. However, I don't think that we want to be in a position of rewarding fraud.

The advocates for these folks have been making the rounds. They spoke with the VP when he was in Las Vegas some weeks ago (his office is interested in what has been going on); have involved the AFL-CIO (some of those with fraudulent documents are union members); have contacted the INS; the Office of Special Counsel at Justice and even Secretary Richardson (whose office called here to inquire about the situation).

I will keep you posted on any further developments.

julie

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Charles J. Payson ( CN=Charles J. Payson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-NOV-1998 16:10:15.00

SUBJECT: Sched Proposal Mtg-- Cancelled

TO: Wendy Hartman ( CN=Wendy Hartman/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tracy Pakulniewicz ( CN=Tracy Pakulniewicz/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David R. Goodfriend ( CN=David R. Goodfriend/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Clyde E. Williams ( CN=Clyde E. Williams/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dorinda A. Salcido ( CN=Dorinda A. Salcido/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Timothy W. Emrich ( CN=Timothy W. Emrich/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maya Seiden ( CN=Maya Seiden/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Patrice L. Stanley ( CN=Patrice L. Stanley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dominique L. Cano ( CN=Dominique L. Cano/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan H. Adashek ( CN=Jonathan H. Adashek/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mindy E. Myers ( CN=Mindy E. Myers/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jessica L. Gibson ( CN=Jessica L. Gibson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Virginia L. Cearley ( CN=Virginia L. Cearley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher Wayne ( CN=Christopher Wayne/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet Murguia ( CN=Janet Murguia/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Patricia Solis-Doyle ( CN=Patricia Solis-Doyle/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura D. Schwartz ( CN=Laura D. Schwartz/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stacie Spector ( CN=Stacie Spector/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: MILLISON\_C ( MILLISON\_C @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] ) (NSC)  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Martha A. Livingston ( CN=Martha A. Livingston/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ruth A. Eaglin ( CN=Ruth A. Eaglin/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kim B. Widdess ( CN=Kim B. Widdess/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rachel A. Redington ( CN=Rachel A. Redington/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jacquelyn J. Bennett ( CN=Jacquelyn J. Bennett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Susan L. Hazard ( CN=Susan L. Hazard/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer L. Klein ( CN=Jennifer L. Klein/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cathy R. Mays ( CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: ELKON\_N ( ELKON\_N @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] ) (NSC)  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: DAVIES\_G ( DAVIES\_G @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] ) (NSC)  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Shelley N. Fidler ( CN=Shelley N. Fidler/OU=WHCCTF/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHCCTF ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michele Jolin ( CN=Michele Jolin/OU=CEA/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEA ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisa A. Berg ( CN=Lisa A. Berg/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Daniel Wexler ( CN=Daniel Wexler/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria Echaveste ( CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lori L. Anderson ( CN=Lori L. Anderson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia M. Jasso-Rotunno ( CN=Cynthia M. Jasso-Rotunno/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Craig T. Smith ( CN=Craig T. Smith/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Phillip Caplan ( CN=Phillip Caplan/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael D. Malone ( CN=Michael D. Malone/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Virginia N. Rustique ( CN=Virginia N. Rustique/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cecily C. Williams ( CN=Cecily C. Williams/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eric P. Hothem ( CN=Eric P. Hothem/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Noa A. Meyer ( CN=Noa A. Meyer/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Katharine Button ( CN=Katharine Button/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Douglas J. Band ( CN=Douglas J. Band/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Waldman ( CN=Michael Waldman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ruby Shamir ( CN=Ruby Shamir/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David S. Beaubaire ( CN=David S. Beaubaire/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kris M Balderston ( CN=Kris M Balderston/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas D. Janenda ( CN=Thomas D. Janenda/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: June G. Turner ( CN=June G. Turner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nancy V. Hernreich ( CN=Nancy V. Hernreich/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anthony R. Bernal ( CN=Anthony R. Bernal/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Aviva Steinberg ( CN=Aviva Steinberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer M. Palmieri ( CN=Jennifer M. Palmieri/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Amy Weiss ( CN=Amy Weiss/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leslie Bernstein ( CN=Leslie Bernstein/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joshua S. Gottheimer ( CN=Joshua S. Gottheimer/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Heather L. Davis ( CN=Heather L. Davis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth R. Newman ( CN=Elizabeth R. Newman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marisa Luzzatto ( CN=Marisa Luzzatto/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: George G. Caudill ( CN=George G. Caudill/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Carole A. Parmelee ( CN=Carole A. Parmelee/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joseph C. Fanaroff ( CN=Joseph C. Fanaroff/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Doris O. Matsui ( CN=Doris O. Matsui/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Chandler G. Spaulding ( CN=Chandler G. Spaulding/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Linda Ricci ( CN=Linda Ricci/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Margaret L. Buford ( CN=Margaret L. Buford/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jaycee A. Pribulsky ( CN=Jaycee A. Pribulsky/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dan K. Rosenthal ( CN=Dan K. Rosenthal/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Evan Ryan ( CN=Evan Ryan/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brian A. Barreto ( CN=Brian A. Barreto/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John Dankowski ( CN=John Dankowski/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jake Siewert ( CN=Jake Siewert/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elisabeth Steele ( CN=Elisabeth Steele/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeffrey M. Smith ( CN=Jeffrey M. Smith/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bob J. Nash ( CN=Bob J. Nash/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter A. Weissman ( CN=Peter A. Weissman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Grace A. Garcia ( CN=Grace A. Garcia/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anne M. Edwards ( CN=Anne M. Edwards/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan A. Kaplan ( CN=Jonathan A. Kaplan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Cohen ( CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christa Robinson ( CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: HILLIARD\_B ( HILLIARD\_B @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] ) (NSC)  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: KERRICK\_D ( KERRICK\_D @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] ) (NSC)  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Beth A. Viola ( CN=Beth A. Viola/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ansley Jones ( CN=Ansley Jones/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cheryl M. Carter ( CN=Cheryl M. Carter/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert B. Johnson ( CN=Robert B. Johnson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marjorie Tarmey ( CN=Marjorie Tarmey/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Minyon Moore ( CN=Minyon Moore/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher J. Lavery ( CN=Christopher J. Lavery/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Todd Stern ( CN=Todd Stern/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sean P. Maloney ( CN=Sean P. Maloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeffrey A. Forbes ( CN=Jeffrey A. Forbes/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elisa Millsap ( CN=Elisa Millsap/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Capricia P. Marshall ( CN=Capricia P. Marshall/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ellen M. Lovell ( CN=Ellen M. Lovell/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dawn M. Chirwa ( CN=Dawn M. Chirwa/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jordan Tamagni ( CN=Jordan Tamagni/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kevin S. Moran ( CN=Kevin S. Moran/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis ( CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anne E. McGuire ( CN=Anne E. McGuire/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andrew J. Mayock ( CN=Andrew J. Mayock/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sara M. Latham ( CN=Sara M. Latham/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles J. Payson ( CN=Charles J. Payson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura A. Graham ( CN=Laura A. Graham/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Unfortunately, we must cancel the Scheduling Proposal Meeting for tomorrow. Sorry about the late notice. I will let you when the next meeting will occur. Thanks.

----- Forwarded by Charles J. Payson/WHO/EOP on 11/11/98  
04:07 PM -----

Charles J. Payson  
11/10/98 09:37:41 AM  
Record Type: Record

To: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message  
cc:  
Subject: Sched Proposal Mtg-- Thursday

This week's Scheduling Proposal Meeting (formerly the Short Term Scheduling Meeting)

will be held on Thursday at 10 am in Room 180 OEOB.

If you are not able to make it, please make sure your office is represented.

Thank you!

Message Sent

To:

---

Stephanie S. Streett/WHO/EOP  
Jennifer M. Palmieri/WHO/EOP  
Karin Kullman/WHO/EOP  
Aviva Steinberg/WHO/EOP  
Laura A. Graham/WHO/EOP  
Anthony R. Bernal/OVP @ OVP  
Charles J. Payson/WHO/EOP  
Nancy V. Hernreich/WHO/EOP  
Sara M. Latham/WHO/EOP  
June G. Turner/WHO/EOP  
Andrew J. Mayock/WHO/EOP  
Thomas D. Janenda/WHO/EOP  
Elisabeth Steele/WHO/EOP  
Kris M Balderston/WHO/EOP  
Anne E. McGuire/WHO/EOP  
David S. Beaubaire/WHO/EOP  
Ann F. Lewis/WHO/EOP  
Ruby Shamir/WHO/EOP  
Kevin S. Moran/WHO/EOP  
Michael Waldman/WHO/EOP  
Jordan Tamagni/WHO/EOP  
Douglas J. Band/WHO/EOP  
Dawn M. Chirwa/WHO/EOP  
Katharine Button/WHO/EOP  
Ellen M. Lovell/WHO/EOP  
Noa A. Meyer/WHO/EOP  
Capricia P. Marshall/WHO/EOP  
Eric P. Hothem/WHO/EOP  
Laura D. Schwartz/WHO/EOP  
Cecily C. Williams/WHO/EOP  
Elisa Millsap/WHO/EOP  
Virginia N. Rustique/WHO/EOP  
Jeffrey A. Forbes/WHO/EOP  
Michael D. Malone/WHO/EOP  
Sean P. Maloney/WHO/EOP  
Phillip Caplan/WHO/EOP  
Todd Stern/WHO/EOP  
Craig T. Smith/WHO/EOP  
Christopher J. Lavery/WHO/EOP  
Cynthia M. Jasso-Rotunno/WHO/EOP  
Minyon Moore/WHO/EOP  
Lori L. Anderson/WHO/EOP  
Marjorie Tarmey/WHO/EOP  
Maria Echaveste/WHO/EOP  
Robert B. Johnson/WHO/EOP  
Daniel Wexler/WHO/EOP  
Cheryl M. Carter/WHO/EOP  
Lisa A. Berg/OVP @ OVP  
Ansley Jones/OVP @ OVP  
Michele Jolin/CEA/EOP  
Beth A. Viola/CEQ/EOP  
Shelley N. Fidler/WHCCTF/EOP  
KERRICK\_D @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY

DAVIES\_G @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY  
HILLIARD\_B @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY  
ELKON\_N @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY  
Bruce N. Reed/OPD/EOP  
Cathy R. Mays/OPD/EOP  
Christa Robinson/OPD/EOP  
Elena Kagan/OPD/EOP  
Michael Cohen/OPD/EOP  
Jennifer L. Klein/OPD/EOP  
Jonathan A. Kaplan/OPD/EOP  
Susan L. Hazard/WHO/EOP  
Anne M. Edwards/WHO/EOP  
Jacquelyn J. Bennett/WHO/EOP  
Grace A. Garcia/PIR/EOP  
Rachel A. Redington/WHO/EOP  
Peter A. Weissman/OPD/EOP  
Kim B. Widdess/WHO/EOP  
Bob J. Nash/WHO/EOP  
Ruth A. Eaglin/WHO/EOP  
Jeffrey M. Smith/OSTP/EOP  
Martha A. Livingston/OSTP/EOP  
Elisabeth Steele/WHO/EOP  
MILLISON\_C @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY  
Jake Siewert/OPD/EOP  
Stacie Spector/WHO/EOP  
John Dankowski/WHO/EOP  
Laura D. Schwartz/WHO/EOP  
Brian A. Barreto/OPD/EOP  
Patricia Solis-Doyle/WHO/EOP  
Evan Ryan/WHO/EOP  
Janet Murguia/WHO/EOP  
Dan K. Rosenthal/WHO/EOP  
Christopher Wayne/WHO/EOP  
Jaycee A. Pribulsky/WHO/EOP  
Virginia L. Cearley/WHO/EOP  
Margaret L. Buford/WHO/EOP  
Jessica L. Gibson/WHO/EOP  
Linda Ricci/OMB/EOP  
Mindy E. Myers/WHO/EOP  
Chandler G. Spaulding/WHO/EOP  
Jonathan H. Adashek/WHO/EOP  
Doris O. Matsui/WHO/EOP  
Dominique L. Cano/WHO/EOP  
Joseph C. Fanaroff/WHO/EOP  
Patrice L. Stanley/WHO/EOP  
Carole A. Parmelee/WHO/EOP  
Maya Seiden/WHO/EOP  
George G. Caudill/WHO/EOP  
Timothy W. Emrich/WHO/EOP  
Marisa Luzzatto/WHO/EOP  
Dorinda A. Salcido/WHO/EOP  
Elizabeth R. Newman/WHO/EOP  
Clyde E. Williams/WHO/EOP  
Heather L. Davis/WHO/EOP  
David R. Goodfriend/WHO/EOP  
Joshua S. Gottheimer/WHO/EOP  
Tracy Pakulniewicz/WHO/EOP  
Leslie Bernstein/WHO/EOP  
Wendy Hartman/OVP @ OVP  
Amy Weiss/WHO/EOP



RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Christa Robinson ( CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-NOV-1998 12:09:12.00

SUBJECT: scheduling memo

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS\_EXT:[ATTACH.D13]MAIL429930516.326 to ASCII,  
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF57504396050000010A02010000000205000000AE55000000020000E67505652D52EECA37CCD9  
D77FB2A70191824244D494744D8BE28CC7C0A117DCDEC4A26121B24344AA37D92C3B1CD05032FB  
D5FACA3A3CB619907A0D39489E359876FFF5ED389EB4456C284A777FD9527717E2F03299147B1E  
AFB83E8E7F9B9F542818E4873B06F3C9F18634F23FB325B4A16CA031C20ABC2BFFEFF0B9C1AAF

October 27, 1998

MEMORANDUM FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

CC: MARIA ECHAVESTE  
ANN LEWIS  
STEPHANIE STREETT

FROM: BRUCE REED

SUBJECT: POSSIBLE POLICY ANNOUNCEMENTS

Following is a list of possible DPC announcements the President could make during the months of November and December.

**CRIME:**

November 22 **FBI Crime Statistics**

The final 1997 FBI Unified Crime Report data will be released on Sunday, November 22, demonstrating further that crime has decreased dramatically under the President's watch. The President should issue a written statement on that Sunday. **(Ready)**

December **Youth Crime Gun Interdiction Initiative**

The President could highlight the success of his innovative Youth Crime Gun Interdiction Initiative (YCGII), which has been ongoing for two years in 27 cities, by announcing: (1) the release of the second annual report on the YCGII, which will provide new data on guns used in crimes and demonstrate the success of the YCGII; (2) the availability of funds to hire new ATF agents to investigate gun trafficking in these 27 cities; and (3) a proposal to dramatically expand the YCGII to 20 new cities which was just provided for in the recent omnibus appropriations bill. **(Ready in early December)**

November or **Brady/NICS Launch**

December At the end of this week, the Attorney General will publish the final regulation implementing the Brady National Instant Criminal Background Check System (NICS). Under the NICS, which will go into effect on November 30th, the FBI and/or state points of contact will conduct computerized background checks of **all** prospective guns purchasers (not just handguns). The President could announce on November 30 -- or sometime that week -- the official implementation of the

NICS, which is a huge victory for the President. The President not only fought hard for the Brady Bill's passage in 1993, but he has continued to fight against efforts to undermine Brady's implementation and for funds to improve state criminal history records. **(November 30th or later)**

November **"Zero Tolerance" for Drugs in Prisons**

The recently passed omnibus appropriations bill includes an important provision that would allow states to use up to 10% of their prison funds to drug test and, if necessary, treat prisoners and parolees. This policy change, which the President called for in a directive to the Attorney General last January, was strongly opposed by Republicans and represents another victory for the President in the recent budget battle. To highlight this accomplishment, the President could join the Attorney General, General McCaffrey and perhaps group of Governors in issuing new guidelines to ensure that the states use their funds to promote this new "zero tolerance" policy. **(Ready)**

**WOMEN, CHILDREN, AND FAMILIES:**

November **Title IX Executive Order**

The President could sign an executive order as early as next week prohibiting discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, or sex in education programs conducted by the federal government. This includes schools operated by the Defense Department for military dependents, schools operated by the Department of the Interior for Native Americans, and numerous training and educational programs run by federal departments. This is a follow up to an Executive Memorandum the President issued last year asking the Department of Justice to lead an effort to identify all federally-conducted education programs and to recommend appropriate action to end discrimination. **(Ready)**

November or **New Child Care Data**

December The President could announce new data on the number of children served with child care assistance through the Child Care and Development Block Grant (CCDBG). The data is expected to indicate that approximately 1.8 million children of the 10 million eligible for assistance have been served through the block grant. This is the first data to examine the block grant, which was created in the 1996 welfare reform bill, and it will highlight the need for increased investment in the block grant. **(Ready)**

November or **Adoption Registry**

December **The President could call for an internet-based national registry of children in foster care waiting to be adopted. Prospective adoptive parents will be able to visit the site and meet these waiting children. The registry, to cost between \$1-2 million, will be funded through the Adoption Opportunities Grants, which are a part of the President's Adoption 2002 Initiative -- an effort to double the number of children adopted from the public child welfare system by the 2002. In addition, the President can announce new**

**adoption data which will show that adoptions have increased in FY 1998. Also, the President could announce the HHS Adoption 2002 Awards, which he called for by executive memorandum in 1996. November is National Adoption Month, and is exactly one-year since the President signed the landmark Adoption and Safe Families Act. The First Lady is very interested in holding an event on adoption during November, preferably at the end of the month before Thanksgiving.**

December

**Family Report**

The President could announce the findings of a new report by the CEA detailing changes in the last thirty years in family life. The report will show the increased numbers of women in the workplace, and detail the diminished amount of time families have to spend with their children. (mid-December)

**HEALTH:**

December

**Asthma Initiative**

The President could announce a concerted fight against asthma, which has become a national epidemic impacting the lives of 15 million Americans. The initial goals of this program will be to halt the increase in asthma, reduce by half the number of children who die or suffer activity limitations because of this disease, and limit the disproportionate impact of asthma on minority communities.

The President could announce new FY2000 budget proposals to expand programs that have had demonstrated success on a pilot basis in preventing, managing, and understanding asthma. The President could also release an interagency report on best practices and strategies to address asthma. *(Requires budget decision.)*

December

**Meeting with Presidential Task Force on People with Disabilities**

**The President could meet with the Presidential Task Force on People with Disabilities and formally announce his endorsement of the Kennedy-Jeffords Work Incentives Improvement Act --the disability community's top health priority. The President could also possibly announce at this time new budget proposals effecting people with disabilities, including possibly a tax credit for people with disabilities returning to work or a bridge program to help people with disabilities go to work. (Ready – certain components pending budget decisions).**

**WELFARE:**

November

**Food Stamp Fraud Directives**

**The President could sign three directives to crack down on food stamp fraud: (1) The President would direct the Social Security Administration to share its death records with the Food Stamp agency to ensure that Food Stamp recipients who die are immediately removed from the rolls; (2) The President would direct USDA to develop a plan to create an interstate matching system**

to ensure Food Stamp recipients are not receiving benefits in multiple states; and (3) The President would direct Treasury to collect (through offset of federal payments and other means) unpaid fines owed by retailers that have violated Food Stamp rules, such as exchanging Food Stamps for cash. The first two directives will build on the Lugar legislation that passed at the end of the session. (Ready)

December **Work Participation Rates**

The President could visit a welfare-to-work program and announce: (1) new state work participation rates, showing that almost all states are meeting the welfare law's single-parent requirements, but some are failing to meet the law's separate two-parent requirement; (2) new caseload data showing continuing declines; and (3) new data on the number of people who were on welfare in 1997 and working in March 1998. (Expected to be ready in early December.)

December **Welfare to Work Housing Vouchers**

The Congress approved in the VA/HUD appropriations bill full funding for the President's request for 50,000 welfare-to-work housing vouchers. The President could hold an event announcing the opening of the first competition for these vouchers. This is the first time ever that housing vouchers will be linked to welfare reform, and represents an improvement in the way welfare, employment, and housing agencies can work together. (Ready in December.)

**EDUCATION:**

December or **Afterschool Grants**

January The Congress fully funded the President's request for \$200 million for afterschool programs. Anytime after November 4, the President could announce the release of the first \$40 million in 21st Century Learning Center Grants for over 100 school districts throughout the country. (Ready)

December **Teacher Quality Report**

The National Center on Educational Statistics at the Department of Education has conducted a rapid response survey of teachers as well as an analysis of state efforts to improve the quality of the teaching force that will be included in the Department's first biennial teacher quality report. The President would announce the release of this report and possibly announce new budget and legislative proposals to improve teacher quality. (Ready late December or January.)

December **Social Promotions Report**

The President could announce a new Education Department report on best practices for ending social promotion. This would be an opportunity for the President to renew his call made this year to end social promotion. (Ready late December or January.)

November

**AmeriCorps**

The President could reschedule the ceremony for him to swear-in the 100,000th Americorps member. At this event the President would also announce: (1) new grants to support 500-1000 Americorps Promise Fellowships to support the goals set at the Presidents' Summit; (2) the recipients of the President's Service Awards, the nation's highest volunteer service award; and (3) the recipients of the President's Student Service Awards. **(Ready)**

**EMPOWERMENT ZONES:**

December

**Empowerment Zone Awards**

The President could announce the winners of the nationwide competition for the second round Empowerment Zones. Twenty communities will win designations as new EZs -- 15 urban and 5 rural. Like the first round, each EZ will receive a mixture of tax incentives and flexible grants to leverage private, non-profit, and state and local government resources to promote business development and job creation in some of our country's most distressed communities. The EZ statute requires that these designations be made by January 1, 1999. The EZ program is one of the cornerstones of the President's and Vice President's Community Empowerment Agenda. **(Ready in December.)**

**Other likely initiatives as part of State of the Union Rollout, December - January:**

**December      Nationwide Crackdown on Food Safety**  
The President could announce a new \$87 million budget proposal to crackdown on food safety nationally and a proposed legislative package to give authority to USDA to issue recalls of unsafe food and impose civil fines. In addition, the President would urge states to adopt his model food code for retailers, providing incentives and disincentives for states to adopt to the code.(Ready, depending on budget decisions.)

**December      Long-Term Care Tax Credit Package**  
The President would announce a proposal to provide a \$1,000 tax credit to people with long-term care needs or their care givers -- which we expect will help about 2.3 million people. At the same time, the President could call for the Federal Employees Health Benefit plan to offer long-term care insurance to federal employees, underscoring that a model employer should promote high-quality private long-term care insurance policies to its employees. **OPM estimates that 300,000 people would buy these policies. This long-term care tax credit does not currently have offsets. However, pending budget decisions, this policy could be ready to go in December. (Pending budget decisions.)**

**December      Superbug Initiative**  
Recent reports have indicated that resistance to anti-biotics is becoming a major public health crisis, causing prolonged illnesses and even death. For example, pneumonia, which impact over 500,000 Americans each year, is becoming resistance to most antibiotics and staph, the most common hospital-based infection, is now only responding to the strongest drug available and some people are even starting to show resistance to this drug. Experts believe that this critical public health problem is on track to affect more and more viruses. To reduce this problem, the President could: (1) launch a new budget initiative to enable experts to obtain critical information about which viruses are likely to become resistant and why; (2) launch a major education campaign to inform health providers and professionals about how to prescribe drugs more appropriately and increase the use of vaccines to reduce the likelihood that diseases will become resistant; and (3) fund community-based demonstrations to examine how best to implement prevention efforts. (Pending budget decision.)

**December      Expansion of Values-Based Crime Prevention Initiative**  
Recognizing the important role that civic, community, and faith-based organizations can play in reducing crime, this past July the President announced a \$2.2 million in grants available for 16 community-based collaboratives, including religiously-affiliated organizations, to target youth violence, gangs, truancy, and other juvenile problems by promoting common-sense values and responsibility. To build on this effort, the President could commit to a series of new grants to

support values-based crime prevention efforts, and urge Congress to endorse and increase federal funding for such programs. The President could also highlight the efforts of Rev. Eugene Rivers of Boston, who inspired the President to develop this initiative and has organized a National 10-Point Leadership Foundation to mobilize 1,000 black churches in 40 cities to help at-risk kids in the 50 worst neighborhoods. **(Pending budget decisions.)**

**December      Family/Child Care Package**

The President could announce a "families agenda" that will include proposals to: help working families pay for child care through subsidies and tax credits; give a tax credit to help parents who wish to stay at home to care for their children; provide paid parental leave for families with newborn or newly adopted children; provide funding for home visiting and other parenting skills programs for parents with very young children; and increase support for after-school programs. Also, the President would propose expanding family medical leave so that it would cover employers with 25 employees or fewer. **(Pending budget decisions.)**

**January        No-Social-Promotions Initiative**

The President could announce a new \$300 million dollar budget initiative to help local school systems around the country follow Chicago's example and end social promotions. This would be a competitive grants program through which the federal government would pay for the cost of mandatory summer school and after-school to help students meet promotion standards, if the school district agrees to end social promotion. **(Pending budget decisions.)**

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-NOV-1998 12:02:16.00

SUBJECT: The other Q&A

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I chatted with Bruce about this when I couldn't reach you on the phone and all but the last sentence is some version of what he said -- which doesn't mean it couldn't be improved!===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====  
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS\_EXT:[ATTACH.D15]MAIL422720512.326 to ASCII,  
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF5750435A040000010A020100000000205000000930A000000020000AF344AF2636EEFD90594F5  
CA71AF8CF8DD0A080ACE01C0315DCA4CA783BB8CE39C2B67B140158D903963C83421981A9BA3A0  
CDE0DE986233ABF8D41125D943F9E7E1476ADA588BE03DE39F25D8436E0BDF84CD5711ACF39DAF

**Additional Tobacco Q&A**  
**November 11, 1998**

**Q: Will the President propose higher cigarette taxes, as reported in today's Wall Street Journal?**

A: Absolutely no decisions have been made about what if anything the President's budget will include on tobacco. No recommendations have been made to the President, and no proposals have been considered. Certainly, the President will continue to do everything he can to reduce youth smoking, but its too early to say what his budget will or won't include.

**Q: But won't a \$200 billion state settlement make it more likely that the President would propose something less than \$1.10 per pack?**

A: It is completely premature to speculate on what the President will include in his budget. We have not even seen the state settlement yet and as you know the amount of that settlement will depend on how many states opt into it.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Sally Katzen ( CN=Sally Katzen/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-NOV-1998 12:47:02.00

SUBJECT: my revisions are in

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

Melissa G. Green ( CN=Melissa G. Green/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====  
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS\_EXT:[ATTACH.D39]MAIL45844151K.326 to ASCII,  
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF57504365070000010A02010000000205000000872601000002000001B35AE0941EB5B2453243C  
06D9554279AAAF3E0007949C9A05D6A4CAB0FB930446F7A1A4F48C863DBAA05F79EE0ED481E322  
67443F6E44A729204E9E4C3CB267433E0B4FBF0488B979065F32FEBDBC0424DF8AF0B37F6B44BE  
098C9952C0EF800AE325F7B40135F240388E77011EDA6FE783FE0B8279DFDF0B3531DF16D7513A  
2827EE719E706B1B94F866CBEA53C226991B7F83718846173F5BBB2A0D508C2C73F4A6FDF8D7A9

November 5, 1998

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: Bruce Reed  
Gene Sperling  
Elena Kagan  
Sally Katzen

Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion

SUBJECT: State of the Union/Budget Ideas

This memorandum provides a brief description of new ideas we are considering for the State of the Union. Some work has been done on fleshing them out, but many need additional work and further vetting through the interagency process. Most of these ideas involve increased spending, and you will have to make choices among them and/or scale them back as you consider the FY 2000 budget. Although our offices have worked together on many, if not most, of the ideas in this memo, we have noted, where possible, which of our offices has the lead role with respect to each proposal. Options relating to Social Security are not included in this memo.

**EDUCATION AND TRAINING (DPC/NEC as specified)**

**1. Ending Social Promotion.** Last year's budget proposal included \$200 million for Education Opportunity Zones in districts that agreed to remove bad teachers, turn around failing schools, and end social promotions. The proposal required authorization, which Congress will never give us. For next year, we recommend a simpler approach that uses existing authority and focuses entirely on ending social promotion. We would like to expand our after-school program from \$200 million to \$700 million and give a disproportionate share of this money to districts that end social promotion. These school districts could use the money (as Chicago does) to provide extra help after school and mandatory summer school for students who need it. (Cost: \$300 million above FY99 budget.) (DPC)

**2. Teacher Quality and Recruitment.** Now that we're on track to begin hiring 100,000 new teachers to reduce class size, we have an even greater responsibility to help communities attract talented new teachers to the profession. We envision a five-part strategy on teacher quality and recruitment: (1) a \$100 million increase in the teacher recruitment scholarships we enacted this year in the Higher Education Act, which would put us on course to attract 60,000 new teachers at high-need schools over the next five years; (2) a \$60 million initiative -- modeled after the successful Troops-to-Teachers program -- that would help states expand alternative certification routes and attract talented people from other professions, such as military personnel and employees in firms being downsized; (3) a nationwide crackdown on teacher education schools, including new regulations authorized by the Higher Education Act to require report cards for

education schools; (4) a \$50 million increase in the Eisenhower program to send secondary school teachers who teach outside their field back to college to take additional courses in the subjects they're teaching, coupled with a new requirement that new secondary teachers pass competency tests in a subject before they can teach it; and (5) a high-profile effort to help states make the most of the 15 percent set-aside for teacher quality in the recently passed class size legislation. (Cost: about \$210 million above FY99 budget). We are also exploring a politically interesting counter to private school choice: vouchers for private school teachers -- i.e., an incentive program to encourage private school teachers to teach in public schools. (DPC)

**3. Work-Site Schools.** One of the most promising new education ideas sprouting up around the country is the creation of public schools at work sites, designed primarily to serve employees' children. School districts provide the teachers and curriculum; companies provide facilities and upkeep. These schools-at-work serve a host of objectives at once, by (1) providing new facilities at no cost to the district; (2) increasing parental involvement in the schools and parental satisfaction in the workplace; (3) reducing employee turnover and absenteeism; and (4) increasing school diversity, because work sites are more diverse than residential neighborhoods. We propose a \$100 million increase in an existing discretionary program to provide grants to 100 communities to launch work-site schools. We also could seek a stand-alone bill (like the charter school law) to advance this idea. In addition, we are working with Treasury to develop a tax credit for businesses that start on-site schools, similar to the Kohl business tax credit for on-site child care that is already in our budget. (Cost: \$100 million for start-up grants. No estimate yet for tax credit, but it will be very small.) (DPC/NEC)

**4. Public School Choice.** As support grows for private school vouchers, we must continue our efforts to expand choices within the public schools. Charter schools are one answer, and we recommend a \$20 million increase, to \$120 million, to keep us on track to 3,000 charter schools by 2002. Work-site schools are another. We also recommend increased funding for (1) an existing grant program that helps urban and suburban school districts reduce racial isolation by forming interdistrict magnet programs; and (2) magnet schools on university campuses, especially in urban areas. (Cost: \$25 million for interdistrict magnet programs; \$15 million for 10 university-based schools.) (DPC)

**5. School Leadership Academies.** Research has shown that an effective principal is the single most important indicator of school success, yet little has been done at the national or state level to improve the management skills of principals. We propose a small initiative to create school leadership academies that would provide training in management, teacher evaluation, school discipline, and other areas to elementary school principals in high-poverty districts. (Cost: \$50 million) (DPC)

**6. Class size.** To stay on course to reach 100,000 new teachers in seven years, we will ask for \$1.3 billion in the FY2000 budget. We are planning an ambitious rollout of the class size initiative over the next year, as we award first-year funding, issue guidance to local districts on how the program works, and so on. We also will press Congress to restore the local matching

requirement and strengthen the provisions to require competency testing of new teachers. (DPC)

**7. Adult Literacy.** According to the National Adult Literacy Survey, 44 million adults struggle with a job application, cannot read to their children, or are left on the welfare rolls because they lack basic skills. We are considering: (1) Workplace: a new tax credit and/or Federal grants to encourage employers to provide adult basic education classes at the worksite, and setting aside funds for work-based literacy projects within Welfare-to-Work competitive grants (see welfare section of this memo); (2) Community: expanding the infrastructure and funding for adult basic education through the Adult Education program, encouraging the development of programs focused on easing the transition to the U.S. for new immigrants (through ESL and civics classes), subsidizing the provision of child care on college campuses and other adult education sites, and launching a national information campaign to make people aware of the problem of functional illiteracy and of available services; and (3) Home: using the new *Learning Anytime Anywhere Partnerships* to create software for adult basic education using \$200 computers (e.g., WebTV, game players) and subsidizing public housing projects that create computer literacy programs. (NEC)

**8. National Campaign to Open Doors of College.** Notwithstanding enormous strides we have made in reducing the financial barriers to college, too many families assume college is more expensive than it really is and are not aware of the aid that is available (Even among low-income youth with high test scores, one-fourth say they have not been able to get much information about financial aid for college). We are planning: (1) launching a major national public information campaign about college costs and financial aid (e.g. naming a national chairman such as Bill Cosby, having a national college visit day, etc.), (2) building on the authority in the new GEAR UP program, providing *every* middle school (e.g. 7th grade counselor) with the ability to give students a "21st Century Scholar Certificate," indicating the financial aid that they are eligible for, and (3) seeking to provide *every* high-poverty middle school with a college partner. This does not require any new investment, just some focus and creativity. (NEC)

**9. Improving the College Success Rate.** Getting people in the doors of college is not enough to close the racial and income opportunity gaps. For example, only 21% of African-American and 18% of Hispanic students who begin college complete a bachelor's degree within 5 years compared to 30% of White students. We are considering a package of policies, including: (1) a super-Pell grant for the lowest income families and/or to encourage a full-time focus on school in the first year of college (this would be expensive); (2) expanding successful mentoring and other support services in colleges (including those aimed at graduate school preparation); (3) promoting college course-taking while in high school; (4) improving articulation between two-year and four-year colleges; (5) encouraging partnerships between predominately minority-serving and predominately majority-serving institutions of higher education (particularly to promote graduate study); and (6) establishing a bridge fellowship program for graduate study in science and technology fields for minorities and women. (NEC)

**10. School Modernization.** The current assumption is that we will repeat this year's proposal for tax credits to build and renovate schools covering the interest on nearly \$22 billion in bonds. We are, however, critically comparing our current proposal against other possible mechanisms to ensure we have the most effective approach. (NEC)

**11. Further Expanding Junior ROTC.** In response to the Los Angeles riots, Colin Powell proposed and Congress approved in 1992 an expansion of the high school-based JROTC. Since then, 1,000 units have been added primarily in urban areas, bringing the total to nearly 2,600 units with 400,000 participants. The budget increased over that period from \$76 million to \$166 million. There is a waiting list of more than 450 schools that would like to have a JROTC unit. Because DOD does not plan any further expansion, these 450 schools on the waiting list will not likely be added. We could propose adding another 900 units over the next few years, to reach the authorized maximum of 3,500. Cost: about \$235 million. (NEC)

**12. Training American Workers for Current and Future Skills Gaps.** We should challenge the private sector to make specific commitments to train more American workers, which they pledged to do during the debate on H1-B visas. They could provide more college scholarships for women and minorities, partner with community colleges to develop cutting-edge curricula, and encourage their employees to serve as telementors for middle school students to get them excited about math and science. In addition, we are working on: (1) a program to foster partnerships ("Regional Skills Alliances") between industry and training providers to train both employed and unemployed workers; (2) competitive grants to encourage companies to develop programs in which they subsidize the training of individuals who they then commit to hire; (3) extensions and/or expansions of some of the current training tax provisions (such as the lifelong learning tax credit and Section 127); and (4) a major informational/media campaign by the Departments of Education and Labor to inform all Americans about available training opportunities, financial aid, and job search assistance to allow them to develop the skills required for employment opportunities around the country. (NEC)

**13. Making Job Training Universal.** We are considering an initiative to make job training more universal. The first component of this initiative would be to seek a significant increase in dislocated worker funding -- about \$190 million -- so that we are on path to provide training to every dislocated worker who wants or needs it within five years. The second component would be to ensure that every unemployed person is eligible for core labor market services, e.g., job search assistance. The final component would be to take the steps necessary to ensure that every worker, regardless of where they live, would be able to have access to a One-Stop Career Center (where they can learn about job training, employment service activities, unemployment insurance, vocational rehabilitation, adult education, and other assistance.) (NEC)

**14. Community Computing Centers.** We have roughly 650 computing community centers, which empower low-income Americans in the Information Age by teaching them to type a cover letter and a resume, search for job vacancies on the Internet, or even start an Internet-related business. These efforts should be expanded. (NEC)

**School safety -- see CRIME section**

## SERVICE (DPC)

1. **AmeriCorps Seniors.** In the wake of John Glenn's return to space, we have an opportunity to give other senior citizens a mission. **We propose adding \$25 million to the current AmeriCorps program to create a senior corps of 10,000 volunteers to serve as tutors and mentors and in afterschool programs.** We would build on a successful demonstration program that recruits seniors to serve 15-20 hours per week over a fixed period of time in schools and other community centers. In exchange, seniors would be eligible for small incentives, including awards to participate in senior learning programs. By inspiring responsibility among seniors, this initiative would provide an ideal complement to Social Security reform. John Glenn has expressed some interest in playing a role in AmeriCorps now that he's retired. We could invite him back to the State of the Union and place him in charge of a national effort to inspire seniors to serve. (Cost: \$25 million)

2. **Expand AmeriCorps.** We propose expanding the AmeriCorps program from its current level of 50,000 members per year to approximately 70,000 per year, with the goal of reaching 100,000 per year by the end of this Administration. These additional members could be targeted to serve primarily in after-school and summer school programs. (Cost: \$75 million)

3. *Expand Service Component of Work-Study Program.* **Nearly 1 million students now receive federal work-study funding. Despite our efforts, colleges and universities are required to use only 7 percent of their work-study money for students employed in community service. The higher education lobby would object, but we could propose a substantial increase in that requirement -- e.g., phasing it up to 25 percent over the next 3 years.**

## HEALTH CARE (DPC/NEC as specified below)

1. **Long-Term Care Initiative.** This package could include: (1) a tax credit of up to \$1,000 for people with three or more limitations in activities of daily living (ADL) or their caregivers, at a cost of about \$6 billion over 5 years; (2) a plan for OPM to offer federal employees a choice of high-quality private long-term care insurance policies at lower-than-market prices; (3) a family caregiver support program, costing about \$500 to \$750 million over five years, that would provide grants to states for "one-stop shops" to assist families who care for severely impaired elderly relatives through counseling, training, and respite services; and (4) a nursing home quality initiative, costing about \$500 to \$750 million over five years, that would include new enforcement provisions (e.g., increased penalties), new funds for surveys of repeat offenders and improved surveyor training, and perhaps a new commission to oversee HCFA's nursing home enforcement efforts and to investigate other kinds of facilities where health care is offered (e.g., assisted living facilities). (DPC/NEC)

2. **Disability Proposals.** A health-related disabilities package could include: (1) the Jeffords-Kennedy Work Incentives Improvement Act, which enables people with

disabilities to go back to work by giving them an option to buy into Medicaid and Medicare, at a cost of about \$1.2 billion over 5 years; (2) a proposal, costing \$50 million over five years, to promote the deinstitutionalization of Medicaid beneficiaries by developing viable community-based care alternatives for people residing in nursing homes after a "date certain"; and (3) a proposal to make Medigap supplemental insurance more accessible to people with disabilities.

A separate work-related disabilities package could include: a tax credit of \$1,000 to \$5,000 for working people with disabilities to assist them in paying for the costs associated with employment, at a cost of about \$1 to 2 billion over 5 years; a new competitive grant program, developed by your disabilities task force, to increase the employment rate of adults with disabilities; and efforts to ensure that new technologies are designed so as to be accessible to people with disabilities (see technology section). (DPC/NEC)

3. **Health Insurance Coverage Expansions.** We could propose again, in somewhat new and improved forms: (1) an initiative to encourage small businesses to form purchasing cooperatives for health insurance, costing about \$50 to 100 million over 5 years; (2) proposals to improve outreach for children's health insurance; and (3) a proposal, more limited than last year's, to provide a Medicare buy-in for certain people ages 55 to 65, benefiting about 30,000 people and costing \$500 million over 5 years. (DPC/NEC)

4. **Biomedical Research.** We should again propose an increased investment in biomedical research -- perhaps (depending on how we treat tobacco money in the budget) between \$500 million and \$1 billion. (DPC)

5. **Antibiotics (Super Bug) Initiative.** Resistance to antibiotics is becoming a public health crisis, causing prolonged illnesses and even death. A new initiative, costing about \$25 million each year, could address this problem through: (1) a major outreach and education campaign involving hospitals, health professionals, and managed care organizations; and (2) new research and surveillance efforts to understand where and why antibiotic resistance occurs and to develop effective responses. (DPC)

6. **Bioterrorism Initiative.** This initiative, costing \$100-300 million each year, would: (1) train epidemic intelligence officers who can coordinate with state health departments to identify and respond to attacks; (2) develop a mass casualty emergency response system that includes primary care, emergency transportation, and decontamination abilities; (3) create and maintain a stockpile of pharmaceuticals; and (4) improve research to develop new vaccines and antibiotics to be used in the event of attack. (DPC)

7. **Protecting beneficiaries from HMO withdrawals from Medicare.** This year, a number of HMOs pulled out of Medicare with only a few months notice, leaving 50,000 beneficiaries with no plan options in their areas. You announced that the Administration would develop legislation to prevent this behavior in the future, and we are currently reviewing the best approaches. (DPC)

**8. Redesigning and increasing enrollment in Medicare's premium assistance program.** Over 3 million low-income Medicare beneficiaries are eligible but do not receive Medicaid coverage of their Medicare premiums and cost sharing. Many more may not get enough assistance through a new provision that is supposed to help higher income beneficiaries. We are developing a range of proposals, costing up to \$500 million over five years, to use Social Security Offices to educate beneficiaries about this program, reduce administrative complexity for states, and give them incentives to engage in more aggressive outreach efforts. (DPC/NEC)

**9. Prescription drug coverage for Medicare beneficiaries.** We are considering a variety of proposals to address the lack of coverage for prescription drugs in Medicare, including a means-tested Medicaid option, an approach that would apply only in managed care, a traditional benefit for all beneficiaries, and an unsubsidized purchasing mechanism that uses Medicare's size as leverage for drug discounts for beneficiaries. If desirable, a proposal could be included in the budget or coordinated with the March release of the Medicare Commission's recommendations. The cost varies significantly depending on the proposal, ranging from \$1 to 20 billion a year. (DPC/NEC)

**10. Disease Initiatives.** We are working on several initiatives designed to combat particular diseases. These initiatives, which you could choose to do individually or in combination, are: (1) an asthma initiative, which will curb recent steep increases in asthma cases especially among young children, by disseminating new treatment guidelines to state and local public health programs and encouraging them to work with schools, child care organizations, businesses, and other community organizations; (2) a mental illness initiative that will accompany a Surgeon General's report on this subject (and perhaps a White House Conference recommended by Mrs. Gore) and will include public-private partnerships to improve access to prevention and treatment, reforms in federal health programs to improve delivery of mental health services, and funding increases in the mental health block grant; and (3) a heart disease initiative, which could include: a new partnership with aging networks to evaluate and improve nutrition; efforts to measure successful prevention approaches and replicate them nationwide; and the creation of a network of educators, churches, and community-based organizations to launch a nationwide awareness campaign. In each of these initiatives, the public health efforts described above would supplement NIH funding of research projects. The estimated cost of these initiatives is \$50 million for asthma, \$100 million for mental illness, and \$20 million for heart disease. (DPC)

**11. Food Safety.** We are working on a food safety initiative that will highlight safety standards and enforcement. Included in this initiative are: (1) a repackaged and somewhat modified legislative proposal giving the FDA and USDA additional enforcement powers (e.g., mandatory recalls and civil penalties); (2) additional food-specific regulations and/or guidelines (e.g., for certain fruits and vegetables); and (3) more extensive adoption of our model codes for restaurants and food service workers. In addition, we will focus on

improving coordination with state and local agencies that regulate food safety in order to develop a wholly integrated national inspection system. (DPC)

## **TOBACCO (DPC)**

1. **State Menu.** Our best vehicle for enacting tobacco legislation next year will be a legislative waiver of federal Medicaid claims to the states' expected \$200 billion settlement with the tobacco companies. We will seek bipartisan agreement on a menu of uses for the federal share of state money, with tobacco control and child care as our top priorities. We will try to use this measure as a vehicle for other key elements of our tobacco policy, such as FDA jurisdiction and warning labels.

2. **Price Increase.** One of the most difficult budget decisions will be whether to assume a tobacco tax increase in our budget request, and if so, what to do with the money. There are strong arguments on each side of the question whether to include a tobacco tax increase in our budget. If we *do* assume tobacco revenue, the candidates for it include: (1) assistance to tobacco farmers (about \$1 billion a year); (2) the long-term care tax credit (about \$1 billion a year); (3) other tax cuts, such as a child care / stay-at-home tax credit and/or a reduction in the marriage penalty; (4) NIH research; (5) public health programs; and (6) the Medicare trust fund and/or a new prescription drug benefit for Medicare beneficiaries.

## **FAMILIES AND CHILDREN (DPC/NEC as specified)**

1. **Expansion of the Child Care and Development Block Grant (old policy).** We propose to expand the Child Care and Development Block Grant as we did in the FY 1999 Budget. The block grant is the primary federal child care subsidy program, helping low-income working families to pay for child care. Currently, between one and two million children are served by the program, leaving roughly nine million children who are eligible but unserved. This proposal would cost at least \$7.5 billion over five years. (DPC)

2. **Tax Relief for Parents, Including Parents who Stay at Home.** We are considering replacing our last year's proposal to expand the Child and Dependent Care Tax Credit with a new proposal to benefit all parents, including those who stay home. This change will address the criticism that our child care initiative did little for stay-at-home parents. We are reviewing proposals to (1) double the child tax credit to \$1,000 per child for all children under the age of four, at a cost of about \$12 billion over five years; (2) increase the standard deduction for each child under the age of three by \$1,000, at a cost of about \$3 billion over five years; or (3) expand the Child and Dependent Care Tax Credit as we did last year *and* extend its benefits to parents with children below age three by assuming minimum child care expenses of \$150 each month, at a total cost of about \$21 billion over five years. Each of these proposals can be dialed up or down by adjusting either the age threshold or the dollar amount. (DPC/NEC)

3. **Tax Credit for Businesses Providing Child Care.** We could again propose to provide a tax credit to businesses that provide child care services for their employees. The credit, which

covers 25% of qualified costs but may not exceed \$150,000 per year, costs \$500 million over 5 years. To further build on this concept, we also propose to provide tax credits to businesses that provide on-site schools (see education section). (DPC/NEC)

**4. Parent Paid Leave Plan.** Many workers cannot afford to take unpaid leave following the birth or adoption of a child, even though they have access to an unpaid leave policy through FMLA or voluntary employer benefit plans. To address this problem, we are considering a proposal to provide eligible parents who already have access to unpaid leave with partial wage replacement for a set period of time. The cost of the program, which would be administered through the Unemployment Insurance System, varies according to the selected eligibility criteria.

If we choose, for example, to give \$200 per week for four weeks to new parents with median income (about \$37,000) or below, the cost will be about \$875 million for FY 2000 (including start-up and administrative expenses). (DPC)

**5. FMLA Expansion to Businesses with 25 Workers (old but unarticulated policy).** Under current law, workers are eligible for FMLA coverage only if they work at a business with *50 or more* employees and if they have worked at least twelve months and 1,250 hours for the employer. In your last State of the Union, you called for covering more workers under the FMLA, but did not fully articulate how you would do so. We could now advance a specific proposal to lower the FMLA threshold to 25 or more workers, which would expand coverage for up to ten million more American workers. (DPC/NEC)

**6. Parent Education and Support Fund.** We are considering proposals to create a competitive grant program administered by HHS to fund parent education and support programs, including home visitation programs and "second chance maternity homes" to support teen mothers and teach parenting skills. This fund could cost about \$500 million over five years. (DPC)

**7. Adoption Registry.** We are working on plans to create an Internet-based adoption registry of foster care children waiting to be adopted, so that prospective adoptive parents can learn about these waiting children. Funding this registry would require very small increase in HHS's Adoption Opportunities Grant Program. (DPC)

## **COMMUNITY EMPOWERMENT (DPC/NEC for all)**

**1. CDFI Tax Credit.** We are looking at a proposal to extend tax incentives to encourage investment in CDFIs, which would leverage additional private investment in distressed areas and stimulate the economic revitalization of those areas. Under the proposal, \$100 million in non-refundable tax credits would be made available to the CDFI Fund to allocate among equity investors in qualified CDFIs using a competitive process.

**2. Microcredit Initiative.** We are working to identify means to increase support for microenterprise finance, both domestically and internationally. We are examining whether to build on Senators Kennedy's and Domenici's PRIME legislation which would provide technical

assistance to microenterprise. We are also looking at increased funding for CDFI initiatives specifically targeted to microenterprise. On the international side, we are looking at whether we can increase microenterprise funding through USAID or MDBs, especially to countries hardest hit by the financial crisis.

**3. Clean Water, Parks, and Communities Bonds.** We are examining three proposals to encourage "green" infrastructure projects. The first model uses the same financing mechanism as your school construction proposal for a menu of projects: protecting and improving water quality; cleanup of contaminated sediments; waterfront reclamation and revitalization; stormwater runoff control; purchasing of green spaces to prevent sprawl; park enhancements and revitalization, and brownfields cleanup. The second model, which provides a smaller incentive than the first model, would create new tax-exempt bond authority for these state and local areas to invest in clean water, parks, and communities. The advantage of this model is that it builds on the current system of bond finance. The final model would allocate tax credits (like the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit) to states and local areas to provide to the developers of these green infrastructure projects.

**4. Employment Tax Credits.** The Work Opportunity Tax Credit and the Welfare-To-Work Tax Credit encourage employers to hire and retain members of certain economically disadvantaged targeted groups. Both credits will expire on June 30, 1999. Under this proposal the two credits would be made permanent.

**5. Re-Develop 10,000 Abandoned Buildings.** Abandoned buildings are a symbol of urban blight, and an action plan to turn this around will be a powerful signal of change. We are examining different proposals to help re-develop 10,000 abandoned buildings, combining several existing programs or providing grants or tax incentives to spur private-sector redevelopment of these sites.

**6. Low-Income Housing Tax Credit .** Last year, you proposed a 40-percent expansion of the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit to spur the private sector to develop more affordable rental housing for low-income Americans. We recommend that you again ask Congress to take this action, which would restore the value of the credit to its 1986 level and help develop an additional 150,000-180,000 affordable housing units over the next five years. This proposal would cost \$1.6 billion over five years.

**7. Homeownership Tax Credit.** We are examining two kinds of tax credits to promote homeownership among lower-income families, who generally do not benefit from the mortgage interest deduction. The first proposal would use the model of the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit to create a Low-Income Homeownership Tax Credit. Under this proposal, low-income families would receive a low- or zero-interest second mortgage, which would reduce their upfront costs (e.g., downpayment and closing costs) and investors would receive tax credits in return. The second proposal is a \$5,000 tax credit for first-time homebuyers in Empowerment Zones or Enterprise Communities.

**8. Housing for the Elderly Initiative.** This proposal is designed to improve housing for elderly people and thereby provide an alternative to nursing home care. In addition to providing capital to improve and modify such housing to meet the needs of elderly residents, the initiative would provide housing vouchers for low income elderly who live in housing developed through the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit. Because the tax credit helps subsidize rent, this proposal would allow us to leverage our resources and provide more vouchers to the poor elderly.

**9. Incremental Tenant-based Section 8 Vouchers.** To build on our success in this past year's budget, we recommend seeking an additional 50,000 welfare-to-work housing vouchers and another 25,000 vouchers to meet the needs of the homeless, including elderly homeless and homeless veterans.

**10. Homelessness.** We are working on a three-part proposal that would: (1) assist the approximately 250,000 homeless veterans by increasing residential alternatives, community-based contracted care, job preparation activities, stand down activities (community-sponsored events that conduct one-stop service delivery programs for homeless veterans), the distribution of clothing, and long-term housing; (2) allow VA to sell surplus property with 10 percent of proceeds going to homeless veterans; and (3) start a demonstration project targeted to the chronically homeless to test the most promising models for moving the chronically homeless to self-sufficiency using a combination of permanent housing and links to mainstream services. Cost: \$105 million -- \$60 million for VA and \$45 million for HUD demonstration project.

#### **RURAL/AGRICULTURE (NEC/DPC as specified)**

**1. Strengthening the Safety Net.** To help farmers suffering from the depressed export markets and natural disasters, we are considering various reforms of the crop insurance program and closing gaps in the emergency loan program. We are paying special attention to programs that will help small family farms. (NEC)

**2. Bringing the knowledge of land grant colleges to every rural American: The USDA spends \$1.6 billion on agricultural research, much of it at America's land grant colleges and universities. The government could provide grants to ensure that this information is available on the Internet and is well-organized --so that all rural Americans can easily access information on topics such as crops, livestock, rural development, natural resource conservation, and food safety. (NEC)**

**3. Emergency Medical Services in Rural Areas.** The presence of viable emergency systems is critical for residents in rural areas, because of the high rates of injury associated with jobs in these areas and the long distances to health providers. This proposal, costing about \$50 million, would provide funds to States and local communities to improve access to 911 services or alternative emergency systems. It also would fund programs to help

rural communities train local citizens in CPR and first responder techniques and to recruit and retain emergency personnel. (DPC)

**4. Rural Transportation.** Transportation is crucial to the efforts of residents and businesses in rural America to improve the livability of their communities and expand their economic activities. We are developing a rural transportation initiative that will help those who live and work in rural areas by improving the ability of farms and businesses to obtain materials and move their products to markets, and by making it easier for small communities to attract additional commercial jet air service. (NEC/DPC)

## **TECHNOLOGY (NEC)**

**1. Curbscuts on the Information Highway.** We are looking at several options that would make information technology usable by people with disabilities in a manner that improves their lives: (1) investing in R&D (e.g., text-to-speech, automatic captioning, speech recognition); (2) giving disabilities groups a seat at the table as the standards for new technologies are developed; (3) making the government a model "user" of accessible technology; and (4) explore opportunities for greater deployment. In addition, the tax credit for work-related impairment expenses for people with disabilities could be used to expand the market for assistive technology.

**2. A Digital Library for Science, Math and Engineering.** We need to get every young student and undergraduate excited about math, science and engineering. We are exploring creating a "digital library," which would contain lectures from Nobel Prize laureates, have an ability to track and replicate cutting-edge scientific experiments, and make it easier for students and teachers to locate the best instructional material on the Internet.

**3. Information Technology Research Initiative.** Increasing our investment in information technology research, which is currently about \$1 billion of the federal research budget, could lead to the following breakthroughs: supercomputers that can more rapidly perform important functions, such as designing life-saving drugs and predicting severe weather systems; wireless networks that can bring telemedicine and distance learning to rural America; a device of the size of a paper that could monitor the vital signs of a senior citizen, send a "911" message in the event of a medical emergency, and provide an exact location using global positioning technology; new software tools that can help us cope with "information overload" by discovering patterns in huge quantities of data; and intelligent spacecraft that can explore the Solar System. Options have been developed at roughly \$100, \$200 and \$400 million in FY2000; and \$1, \$2 and \$3 billion over 5 years.

**4. 21st Century Research Fund.** One initiative that you announced in last year's budget that we think is important to continue is the 21st Century Research Fund -- which provided across-the-board support for civilian R&D at agencies such as NIH, NSF, and Energy. For FY99, Congress provided a 10 percent increase for basic research, so this is an area where

bipartisan cooperation is possible.

Currently, the FY2000 budget reflects only a 2% increase in civilian research.

## **CRIME (DPC)**

**1. Crime Bill II.** The 1994 Crime Act will expire at the end of the FY 2000 budget cycle, guaranteeing that the next Congress will consider major crime legislation. We recommend that you get a jump on this debate by using your State of the Union and FY 2000 budget to challenge Congress to pass a new crime bill that builds on the core elements of the successful 1994 Act -- more police, smarter punishment, and more prevention. Most of the money required is already built into future budgets; continuation of the COPS program, however, will require new funds totaling about \$1 billion. We believe that a new Crime Act should include the following elements:

- **Community-Oriented Policing and Prosecution Services (COPPS).** Your pledge to help fund 100,000 more police is likely to be fulfilled before the end of next summer. A new COPPS initiative (note the extra "P" for "Prosecution"), costing about \$1.4 billion in the first year, could include funds to: (1) hire, redeploy, and retain an estimated 7,500 more police each year; (2) provide modern technology and equipment and support training in modern policing techniques, with a special emphasis on "hot spots" technology; (3) hire, train, and equip prosecutors to join local police in fighting crime on a more community-based, pro-active basis; and (4) support partnerships between law enforcement and community-based groups to prevent crime in their areas.
- **A new focus on probation supervision and coerced abstinence.** The punishment title of the crime bill now focuses largely on prison construction; we recommend shifting the focus to a new "Certainty of Punishment" initiative that will support the expanded use of probation supervision and of drug testing and treatment.
- **Gun initiatives.** A new crime bill should include your longstanding firearms priorities -- juvenile Brady, Brady II, federal CAP legislation and child safety locks. It also could include new proposals to: (1) close the loophole that exempts many firearms sales at gun shows and flea markets from Brady background checks; (2) expand the Youth Crime Gun Interdiction Initiative (YCGII) -- to trace all crime guns and investigate gun traffickers -- to an additional 20-40 cities; and (3) assemble gun strike forces -- teams of federal prosecutors and ATF agents, acting with local law enforcement -- to target cities with high levels of gun violence and crack down on gun traffickers.
- **Values-based crime prevention initiative.** In addition to other crime bill prevention programs, we could invest in promoting values-based crime and violence prevention efforts, such as those of Rev. Eugene Rivers. Funds from this program would go to comprehensive prevention programs run by faith-based and other institutions seeking to instill and reinforce common sense values in troubled youth.

**2. Safe, Disciplined, and Drug-Free Schools.** At the White House Conference on School Safety, you announced that you would overhaul and strengthen the Safe and Drug-Free Schools Program. Under this proposed reform, funds will be appropriately targeted to schools with serious drug and crime problems, and schools will have to adopt rigorous, comprehensive school safety plans that include: tough but fair discipline policies, such as zero tolerance for guns and drugs; safe passage to and from schools; effective drug and violence policies and programs; annual school safety and drug use report cards; links to after school programs; efforts to involve parents; and crisis management plans. We also could include in this package (1) funds for states that adopt a policy of drug testing first-time applicants for drivers' licenses and (2) funds for school districts that adopt a policy of drug testing middle and high school students with parental consent. We believe that these reforms will require up to \$450 million in new funding in FY 2000.

**3. Parity for Substance Abuse Treatment.** Appropriate substance abuse treatment remains unavailable to nearly half of the people who need it. To help fill this treatment gap, we could propose legislation to encourage parity between substance abuse treatment and other medical benefits. Similar to the Mental Health Parity Act signed into law in 1996, a current draft of this legislation would prohibit health care plans that provide a substance abuse benefit from setting annual or lifetime dollar limits on this benefit at a lower level than those for other medical and surgical benefits. At the same time, we would have to ensure that federal health programs provide parity between substance abuse treatment and other medical benefits; we are still exploring the cost of any necessary reforms to these programs.

**4. Binge Drinking.** We are working on a number of proposals regarding alcohol abuse, including (1) promoting a voluntary code for alcohol advertisements directed toward minors; (2) banning alcohol billboards near schools; (3) discouraging alcohol advertising on youth-oriented web sites; (4) and funding educational efforts about the dangers of alcohol consumption.

#### **WELFARE REFORM, CHILD SUPPORT ENFORCEMENT, AND CHILD WELFARE (DPC)**

**1. Reauthorize the Welfare-to-Work Program.** Congress authorized the Welfare-to-Work Program for only two years; if we wish to continue our current investment in the hardest-to-employ, we will have to propose a reauthorization of about \$1.5 billion annually. Within this funding level, we propose several set-asides, totaling \$500 million, for the following specific purposes: (1) work-based English-language literacy projects for immigrants and others; (2) work-based substance abuse testing and treatment programs; (3) employment services for welfare recipients with disabilities; and (4) a work-based program to promote responsible fatherhood, including efforts to increase low-income fathers' employment and earnings and ensure that they provide financial and other support to their children.

**2. Child Support.** One initiative, costing just a few million dollars each year, would

increase the prosecution of egregious child support violators by establishing multi-agency teams, working with state and local law enforcement, to identify, analyze, and investigate cases for prosecution. A pilot project of this kind is already under way in five states; this proposal would put these units in place all across the nation within the next several years. A second initiative would seek legislation to exclude doctors and other health care providers who are delinquent in child support from the Medicare program or from programs offering health professional loans.

**3. Children "Aging Out" of Foster Care.** Each year, nearly 20,000 18-year-olds "age out" of the public child welfare system. Federal financial support for these young people ends just at the time they are making the critical transition to adulthood. Areas for increased investment for these young adults include: (1) expanding the independent living program, which provides services to foster care children in this age group; (2) expanding the transitional living program, a competitive grant program that funds community-based organizations that provide services to this population, including housing support; and (3) giving states the option of using Federal Medicaid dollars to provide health care coverage for this population. (Cost: roughly \$150 million each year)

**Welfare-to-Work Housing Vouchers and Tax Credit -- see COMMUNITY EMPOWERMENT Section**

#### **CIVIL RIGHTS AND WOMEN'S RIGHTS (DPC)**

**1. Equal Pay.** We are working on a program to be run by the EEOC and DOL to increase outreach to businesses and employees about equal pay requirements, provide technical assistance to businesses seeking to comply, improve training for EEOC employees, and expand enforcement capabilities. In addition, the program will fund research on the nature and extent of wage discrimination, as well as a new Women in Non-Traditional Occupations Initiative designed to improve access of women into occupations such as construction and high technology. Cost: about \$20 million for EEOC and \$10 million for DOL.

**2. Abortion Violence.** We are working on a comprehensive initiative to address violence against providers of reproductive health services. This initiative may include: (1) a National Task Force established by the Department of Justice that will conduct investigations of abortion violence, collect and collate information related to clinic violence, and provide training to federal, state, and local law enforcement personnel on how to address this problem; (2) special security measures, including stepped-up U.S. Marshal support, at clinics identified to be at risk of violence; and (3) federal guarantees of loans taken out by clinics that must rebuild after they have been attacked. Cost: Unknown at this time.

**TRANSPORTATION (NEC/DPC as specified)**

1. **Reauthorization of the FAA, with Focus on Modernization and Competition.** A blue-ribbon bi-partisan panel concluded last year that the air transportation system faces "gridlock" within a decade without sweeping changes. We are considering various policy options to incorporate into the FAA reauthorization that you will propose in 1999 (it is a must pass this year) that will: (1) improve the efficiency and capacity of the nation's aviation system, and (2) enhance competition and service to rural areas. Some of the components of this initiative would include: centralizing the air traffic control services (ATS) in a performance-based organization (recommended by the bi-partisan panel); financing ATS for commercial aviation through cost-based user fees (supported by the major airlines); increasing Passenger Facility Charges (PFCs) to finance airport expansion nationwide (supported by state and local governments); modifying federal rules on how airports can use PFCs and other funds to encourage new airline entrants; and enhancing service to underserved areas.

We are also looking at ways to further competition in international aviation. The Administration has extended the benefits of competition by negotiating dozens of bilateral open-skies agreements. We could press our trading partners for World Wide Open Skies and explore lifting other restrictions on foreign aviation investment and operation on a reciprocal basis. (NEC)

2. **Auto Safety.** We are making headway on auto safety. Last year, the number and rate of auto fatalities declined. However, we still have a long way to go -- more than 40,000 Americans die in auto accidents each year, at a direct cost of \$150 billion. The keys are seatbelts (more) and alcohol (less). We are working on a comprehensive initiative that would include: (1) meeting the President's goal of 85 percent seatbelt compliance by the year 2000, which would save 4,000 lives and nearly \$7 billion; (2) promoting education initiatives like the Buckle-Up America campaign; (3) enforcing the TEA-21 requirement that states lower the legal blood alcohol content level from .10 to .08; and (4) pushing a new Administration initiative on children's safety that will target auto accidents, among other problems, by promoting the use of child safety seats, booster seats (for children ages 4-8), and bicycle helmets. (NEC/DPC)

3. **Transportation Needs of the Aged.** With the number of Americans over 65 expected to grow by half by 2020, we should begin addressing the need to ensure their continued mobility, independence and safety in their later years. We are only beginning to look at this issue with DOT, which plans to hold six town meetings soon with senior citizens, medical experts, transportation safety specialists, and others to discuss the problems and challenges and identify best practices. The U.S. will host an international conference on this topic next year, in connection with the United Nation's Year of Older Persons. This may be combined with the long-term care and the housing for the elderly initiatives. (NEC)

**4. "Smart Growth" and Sustainable Development.** One of the biggest challenges facing America's communities is that "sprawl" development is threatening the long-term economic vitality and quality of life in America's urban, suburban and rural areas. Although land use decisions should remain the domain of state and local government, the federal government can be an effective partner. First, we will continue investing in sustainable transportation. TEA-21 authorizes a record \$41 billion over the next six years for transit; increases tax-free transit benefits; and expands communities' ability to transfer funds from highway construction to transit, bicycle and pedestrian programs, telecommuting and other forms of transportation that reduce congestion and pollution. Second, we will provide incentives to make it easier for communities to pursue smart growth policies, by exploring ways cities can capture the air quality benefits of sustainable development and by supporting a private sector initiative that would encourage mortgage lenders to consider the savings from "location efficiency" in making mortgage determinations for homebuyers. (NEC)

#### **ENERGY (NEC)**

**1. Electricity Restructuring.** You could call on Congress to enact legislation, to make the electricity industry more competitive and to provide more choices for industrial, commercial and residential customers. The Administration's Comprehensive Electricity Competition Act will save consumers \$20 billion a year. Retail competition will not only improve efficiency, but also reduce the two-thirds waste of energy currently associated with fossil-fuel generation of electricity, thereby cutting greenhouse gas emissions. Prominent Republicans have included electricity restructuring on their list of priorities for 1999.

**2. Distributed Generation ("Micropower").** To increase the consumer savings and environmental benefits from electricity competition, the Administration will pursue legislation to eliminate obstacles to the use of small, clean efficient generation technologies (e.g., fuel cells and photovoltaics) that can be installed at or near the electricity user's site. Moving from large, central-station generation of electricity to distributed generation by small, clean sources is analogous to the move from mainframe computers to personal computers.

#### **PENSIONS (NEC)**

**1. Expanded Private Pension Plan Coverage:** Last year, you announced several initiatives to expand pension plan coverage which were not enacted, but which we continue to believe are important and have substantial support on the Hill. We should again call for legislation that: authorizes a simplified plan for small businesses that combines the best features of a defined benefit and defined contribution plan (SMART), costing \$313 million over five years; provides a three-year tax credit to encourage small businesses to set up retirement programs, costing \$508 million over five years; and authorizes payroll deductions for IRAs. We are exploring ways to expand coverage for moderate and lower-income workers. Consideration is also being given to

ways of enabling multiple small businesses to pool together for pension plan administration.

**2. Women's Retirement Security:** To underscore the importance of pensions for women's retirement security, you would call for legislation enacting the two initiatives you announced in late October -- namely, that time taken under FMLA should count toward retirement plan vesting requirements and mandating that employer plans offer an option that pays less while the retired employee is living but pays a survivor benefit equal to at least 75 percent of the benefit the couple received while both were alive.

**3. Pension Portability:** You could renew your call for reducing vesting requirements from five years to three years for employer matching contributions to 401(k) and other plans to reflect an increasingly mobile workforce, and more workers moving in and out of the workforce over a lifetime. We are also exploring various options that would increase pension portability and facilitate the movement of retirement savings between plans, where this can be done without encouraging "leakage" or loss of worker protections -- e.g., providing that federal employees can roll over retirement savings from private sector qualified plans into the federal Thrift Savings Plan.

**4. Expand Pension Right to Know Provisions:** You could call for a pension right to know package that provides for both workers and their spouses general information relating to retirement needs and their benefits under employer retirement plans. In addition, an employee's spouse should have the same rights to get information as the employee, before waiving the statutorily provided survivor protection. You should call for a Pension Right to Know package that provides information for both workers and their spouses. We are also working on an employee education program that would provide employees with the tools they need to work with their employers to provide pension plans, and are thinking about how to encourage courses in high schools on the importance of savings and other general investment education (which can be combined with the Consumer Literacy and Education campaign described below). Consideration is also being given to a savings stamp book program in the schools (sell savings stamps in very small amounts; when the book is full, turn it in for a U.S. savings bond) to help educate the young about how to reach savings goals.

**5. Increase Retirement Security:** To promote security, we are continuing to work on the pension audit bill, changes to the multi employer (collectively bargained) plan rules, and expansion of PBGC's missing participant program.

**FINANCIAL SERVICES (NEC/DPC as specified)**

**1. Consumer Financial Literacy and Education.** We are currently developing a set of proposals to promote consumer financial awareness and enhance consumer credit literacy, ranging from a public awareness campaign to establishing an educational clearinghouse to disseminate quality curricula to high school students. We are also working on a study to identify what the biggest problems are with how Americans use consumer credit, and what basic banking services and steps they can take to help themselves (this may be very important if bankruptcy reform is a live item next year). Part of our focus is on reaching

out to low-income households, building on (and expanding) two existing government programs -- Treasury's Electronic Funds Transfer program that was a first step in helping the "unbanked" enter into electronic commerce and a USDA extension program that is providing some (limited) services to rural low-income families. This proposal would cost \$5-10 million. (NEC)

2. **Consumer Financial Bill of Rights.** In order to respond to the outrage consumers feel about ATM surcharges, without supporting economically questionable regulation of ATM fees, we are considering a proposal either for the government or for financial institutions voluntarily to make publicly available a list of basic banking services and fees on an individual or geographic basis to be published periodically over the Internet. The services profiled would include, but would not be limited to, charges for access to ATMs. We are also considering the adequacy of current credit card disclosure requirements (again, relevant to bankruptcy reform) and other areas where information about financial service arrangements would be helpful to consumers. This would cost \$3-5 million. (NEC/DPC)

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Paul J. Weinstein Jr. ( CN=Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-NOV-1998 14:51:46.00

SUBJECT: New Ideas

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I am not particularly pleased. I just saw a version of the new ideas memo and noticed that we gave the NEC credit for my ATM idea. That is complete bullshit. I am not coming up with stuff anymore that other people take credit for.

I am also mad that Private Activity Bonds was taken out of the list. You should have asked me about that before cutting it out. That is one of the few ideas that will definitely pass next year. If I am going to do have to do Community Empowerment, than I expect to be consulted as the policy expert.