

**NLWJC - KAGAN**

**EMAILS RECEIVED**

**ARMS - BOX 043 - FOLDER -002**

**[01/20/1999 - 01/21/1999]**

# Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

## Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Richard Socarides to Elena Kagan re: Invitation (1 page)	01/20/1999	Personal Misfile
002. email	June Turner to Elena Kagan re: Personal (1 page)	01/20/1999	Personal Misfile
003. email	June Turner to Elena Kagan re: Personal (1 page)	01/20/1999	Personal Misfile
004. email	June Turner to Elena Kagan and Laura Emmett re: Personal (1 page)	01/21/1999	Personal Misfile

**COLLECTION:**

Clinton Presidential Records  
 Automated Records Management System [Email]  
 OPD ([Kagan])  
 OA/Box Number: 250000

**FOLDER TITLE:**

[01/20/1999-01/21/1999]

2009-1006-F

bm83

**RESTRICTION CODES**

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:20-JAN-1999 10:40:13.00

SUBJECT: Two issues re: fathers and Welfare-to-Work reauthorization

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Andrea Kane ( CN=Andrea Kane/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I wanted to flag two issues that have arise regarding fathers and Welfare to Work reauthorization:

1) We had proposed to make clear states and localities would have the option of denying services to a father who has another child out of wedlock, a policy roughly parallel to the family cap for moms. HHS is adamantly opposed to this. I've found one of their reasons persuasive -- affirmatively proposing this could make it difficult to rally support for our proposal among many of the religious social service providers such as Catholic Charities, whose help we need in reauthorization. Even if we don't propose it, localities could decide to exclude these fathers. Thus we propose to drop it.

2) A question arose regarding what constitutes "work" for a minor father who hasn't finished high school. We'd propose to allow attending high school or GED to count for this group, as it does for minor moms in TANF. A father could work instead or in addition to school. OK by you?

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Dan Marcus ( CN=Dan Marcus/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:20-JAN-1999 11:14:07.00

SUBJECT: stem cell research

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Could you send me a copy of the HHS legal opinion, cited in today's  
NYTimes? Thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Barry J. Toiv ( CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:20-JAN-1999 12:47:32.00

SUBJECT: What's the welfare event monday?

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer M. Palmieri ( CN=Jennifer M. Palmieri/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Karin Kullman ( CN=Karin Kullman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:20-JAN-1999 12:49:26.00

SUBJECT: Update on Education Event tomorrow

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Cathy R. Mays ( CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Tanya E. Martin ( CN=Tanya E. Martin/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jonathan H. Schnur ( CN=Jonathan H. Schnur/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Michael Cohen ( CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Here are the basics for the Education Event tomorrow.

TIMING:

11:15-11:40am            Briefing  
                          Oval Office

11:45am - 12:35pm        Event  
                          OEOB 450

SPEAKING PROGRAM:

POTUS

FLOTUS (T) -- First Lady's office has not confirmed whether or not she will participate. I will let you know when I find out yes or no.  
Sec. Riley

Real Person:        The person it looks like we will hopefully go with is Arthur Moore, an African-American teacher in the Baltimore School District. He was in the Army for 20+ years as a staff sergeant, and with the help of the Troops to Teachers program became a teacher when he retired. TTT provided him with a stipend that helped him take the courses he needed to become a teacher and also to relocate from Colorado to Baltimore to take a teaching job. He taught 4th grade for about 2 years, and for the last 2 years has been teaching middle school special education classes. He got his bachelors degree in business while in the Army (through night school), and is currently finishing his masters in special education.

MEMBERS tbd

-Leg. Affairs just started reaching out to people this morning, and does not have a lot of firm info. right now. The one person that has confirmed, and has also asked to speak, is Rep. Debbie Stabenow. I think Communications and Scheduling would prefer to not have to add a lot of

members to the program, but I'll keep you updated on the situation.

Please let me know if there's more info. I can provide for you. Thanks!

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Melissa N. Benton ( CN=Melissa N. Benton/OU=OMB/O=EOP [ OMB ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:20-JAN-1999 13:54:30.00

SUBJECT: Reminder--comments on Child Labor Reform Act (LRM MNB2) ARE DUE

TO: Libras MNM@aol.com ( Libras MNM@aol.com [ UNKNOWN ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William H. White Jr. ( CN=William H. White Jr./OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen Tramontano ( CN=Karen Tramontano/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mark A. Weatherly ( CN=Mark A. Weatherly/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert G. Damus ( CN=Robert G. Damus/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah Rosen ( CN=Sarah Rosen/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: rosenbaum\_jon@ustr.gov@INET@VAXGTWY ( rosenbaum\_jon@ustr.gov@INET@VAXGTWY [ UNKN

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: velma.taylor ( velma.taylor @ usdoj.gov @ inet [ UNKNOWN ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Debra J. Bond ( CN=Debra J. Bond/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

This is a reminder that your comments on the subject draft bill are due.

Please provide all comments no later than COB today. If I do not hear from you, I will assume you have no comments and will proceed with clearing the bill.

Please call (5-7887) if you have any comments or questions. Thanks!

# Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

## Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Richard Socarides to Elena Kagan re: Invitation (1 page)	01/20/1999	Personal Misfile

### COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records  
Automated Records Management System [Email]  
OPD ([Kagan])  
OA/Box Number: 250000

### FOLDER TITLE:

[01/20/1999-01/21/1999]

2009-1006-F

bm83

### RESTRICTION CODES

#### Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

#### Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Sean P. Maloney ( CN=Sean P. Maloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:20-JAN-1999 14:04:44.00

SUBJECT: education event

TO: Michael Cohen ( CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Karin Kullman ( CN=Karin Kullman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I know there's a scheduling meeting at 5:00 for tomorrow's education event

- - but can we please have the President's briefing by 6:00-6:30 p.m.?

Thanks.

# Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

## Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
--------------------------	---------------	------	-------------

002. email	June Turner to Elena Kagan re: Personal (1 page)	01/20/1999	Personal Misfile
------------	--	------------	------------------

### COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records  
Automated Records Management System [Email]  
OPD ([Kagan])  
OA/Box Number: 250000

### FOLDER TITLE:

[01/20/1999-01/21/1999]

2009-1006-F

bm83

### RESTRICTION CODES

#### Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

#### Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Amy Weiss ( CN=Amy Weiss/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:20-JAN-1999 16:27:01.00

SUBJECT: Ed Quality Event

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah E. Gegenheimer ( CN=Sarah E. Gegenheimer/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer M. Palmieri ( CN=Jennifer M. Palmieri/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: David C. Leavy ( CN=David C. Leavy/OU=NSC/O=EOP @ EOP [ NSC ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I will give the wires (AP, Reuters, UPI, Bloomberg) and radio (CBS/AP) a read-out for tomorrow for overnight stories, unless anyone objects. Anyone? Also, when can I get the paper?

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:20-JAN-1999 16:27:43.00

SUBJECT: \$240 million in competitive Welfare-to-Work funds

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Karin Kullman ( CN=Karin Kullman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Andrea Kane ( CN=Andrea Kane/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

How do you think it would sound to announce \$240 million in competitive Welfare-to-Work funds for welfare recipients with the greatest challenges to employment, with highest priority for applications addressing:

- (1) limited English proficiency
- (2) disabilities
- (3) substance abuse problems
- (4) domestic violence
- (5) non-custodial fathers

This has the advantage of essentially earmarking the whole \$240 million, not half as we were discussing before (applications that focussed on these populations would get 10 extra points, ensuring they would have a great advantage over other proposals but also ensuring that we wouldn't fund poor quality proposals -- e.g., those that were so bad that they weren't competitive even with the 10 extra points wouldn't be funded). It also enables us to have fathers prominently featured (to show we're focusing on them with this year's competitive \$\$, while we push for a stronger focus for next year's formula \$\$). It also adds victims of domestic violence which may be helpful given past criticism. I think OMB and DOL could live with this (both have pushed hard for no earmarking but if there is to be earmarking DOL wants to ensure fathers are included and OMB wants to add victims of domestic violence). Please respond ASAP -- because this notice gets published in the Federal Register, we need to move to be ready by Monday.

Regarding the overall event: in the Ann Lewis meeting people thought the focus on fathers was the strongest message and were less concerned that we show strong business support for welfare to work. Current tentative speaking program:

- (1) CEO
- (2) Local elected
- (3) Father
- (4) POTUS

We're vetting candidates.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jennifer M. Luray ( CN=Jennifer M. Luray/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:20-JAN-1999 16:28:07.00

SUBJECT: Nat'l Partnership statement on SOTU

TO: Nicole R. Rabner ( CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer L. Klein ( CN=Jennifer L. Klein/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Neera Tanden ( CN=Neera Tanden/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Katharine Button ( CN=Katharine Button/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis ( CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:  
FYI

----- Forwarded by Jennifer M. Luray/WHO/EOP on 01/20/99  
04:16 PM -----

- SOTUSTM.99

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====  
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:  
Unable to convert ARMS\_EXT:[ATTACH.D83]MAIL48317012N.036 to ASCII,  
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF575043E7020000010A000000000000FBFF050032001001000006000800000042000000070016  
0000004A000000020056000000600000000C005A000000B600000018007C007800000054696D65  
73204E657720526F6D616E20202854542900  
0058020101F4014300F41A5C121A090000001020508E001C365111031000000000000000000  
00  
00  
00FB  
FF05003200DD01000003003C0000004201000001005D0000007E010000FFFF00000000DB010000  
080002000000DB010000D9071400FF4E9DE70686F656E69780003000000140007D9D101200000  
58025000F41A5C121A090000001020508E001C3651110310000202200001D120202020202020  
20202020202020202020202020202000464F5220494D4D45444494154452052454C4541534520  
20202020202020202020202020202000004C697361204C656465726572004C697361204C65

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE  
Tuesday, January 19, 1999

CONTACT: Lisa Lederer  
202/371-1999 ext.1

## **STATE OF THE UNION REFLECTS PRESIDENT CLINTON'S POWERFUL COMMITMENT TO WORKING FAMILIES**

**Statement by Judith L. Lichtman, President  
National Partnership for Women & Families**

The State of the Union address President Clinton will deliver tonight includes measures that will improve life dramatically for this nation's women and families. President Clinton's proposal to expand the Family & Medical Leave Act to cover more workers at mid-sized companies is urgently needed and long overdue. The Family & Medical Leave Act was the first measure Bill Clinton signed into law when he became president, but too many Americans must still make an impossible choice between the job they need and the family they love when a baby is born or adopted, or a medical crisis strikes. President Clinton is right that we need to lower the threshold so that the law covers more working Americans.

We also applaud a range of other initiatives the President is recommending that will make a difference for women and families: raising the minimum wage; narrowing the wage gap; enforcing civil rights more aggressively; prohibiting job discrimination against working parents; helping people get from welfare to work; and helping families provide care for their children and elderly and disabled relatives. And the President is right that federal lawmakers have no higher priority this year than passing the *Patients' Bill of Rights Act*. Americans deserve real patient protections, not more laws that protect the insurance industry.

President Clinton is spelling out an impressive agenda for working women and their families tonight. Americans will be watching closely to see which elected officials support that agenda, and which stand in its way. Congress should stop playing politics and start making policy.

###

*The National Partnership for Women & Families (formerly the Women's Legal Defense Fund) is a nonprofit, nonpartisan organization that promotes fairness in the workplace, quality health care, and policies that help women and men meet the dual demands of work and family.*

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Amy Weiss ( CN=Amy Weiss/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:20-JAN-1999 16:48:31.00

SUBJECT: LATimes editorial writers

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Roger V. Salazar ( CN=Roger V. Salazar/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Amy Weiss ( CN=Amy Weiss/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Cathy R. Mays ( CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I have calls out to the Post and the NYTimes about whom you should talk with about tobacco and education. Here are the contacts at the Los Angeles Times

Editorial writers:

tobacco, Alex Raksin, 213.237-4301

education, Gayle Pollard, 213.237-7923.

editor of editorial page: Janet Clayton 213/237-7000.

# Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

## Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
003. email	June Turner to Elena Kagan re: Personal (1 page)	01/20/1999	Personal Misfile

### COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records  
Automated Records Management System [Email]  
OPD ([Kagan])  
OA/Box Number: 250000

### FOLDER TITLE:

[01/20/1999-01/21/1999]

2009-1006-F

bm83

### RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Amy Weiss ( CN=Amy Weiss/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 20-JAN-1999 17:51:43.00

SUBJECT: NYTimes ed board on Tobacco & Ed

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ: UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ: UNKNOWN

CC: Barry J. Toiv ( CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ: UNKNOWN

CC: Cathy R. Mays ( CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ: UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ: UNKNOWN

TEXT:

The best person to talk with on both issues is Terry Tang of the NYTimes ed board, 212/556-7745.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Karin Kullman ( CN=Karin Kullman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:20-JAN-1999 18:58:00.00

SUBJECT: Members of Congress Attending Education Event

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan H. Schnur ( CN=Jonathan H. Schnur/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tanya E. Martin ( CN=Tanya E. Martin/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Cohen ( CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

FYI -- Here's the current list of members for tomorrow's event. There was a change in the Senate schedule which caused Lieberman to drop. Leg. Affairs expects that Dorgan and Inouye may drop off also, but they have not thus far.

----- Forwarded by Karin Kullman/WHO/EOP on 01/20/99  
05:57 PM -----

Elisa Millsap  
01/20/99 06:03:51 PM

Record Type: Record

To: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message

cc:

Subject: Members of Congress Attending Education Event

EVENT: Education Event

DATE: Thursday, January 21, 1999

TIME: 11:45am-12:35pm

LOCATION: Old Executive Office Building, Room 450

CONFIRMED TO ATTEND (9):

Sen. Byron Dorgan (D-ND)

Sen. Daniel Inouye (D-HI)

Rep. Elijah Cummings (D-MD) \*\*represents Arthur Moore

Rep. Rush Holt (D-NJ)

Rep. Dale Kildee (D-MI)

Rep. Patsy Mink (D-HI)

Rep. Tim Roemer (D-IN)

Rep. Loretta Sanchez (D-CA)

Rep. Debbie Stabenow (D-MI)

## Message Sent

To:

---

Alphonse J. Maldon/WHO/EOP  
Janet Murguia/WHO/EOP  
Tracey E. Thornton/WHO/EOP  
Donald Goldberg/WHO/EOP  
FOLEY\_M @ A1@CD@LNGTWY  
Virginia N. Rustique/WHO/EOP  
Elisa Millsap/WHO/EOP  
Jessica L. Gibson/WHO/EOP  
Dario J. Gomez/WHO/EOP  
Janelle E. Erickson/WHO/EOP  
Roger S. Ballentine/WHO/EOP  
Charles M. Brain/WHO/EOP  
Broderick Johnson/WHO/EOP  
Eli P. Joseph/WHO/EOP  
Mindy E. Myers/WHO/EOP  
Lisa M. Kountoupes/WHO/EOP  
Jade L Riley/WHO/EOP  
Marty J. Hoffmann/WHO/EOP  
Matthew J. Bianco/WHO/EOP  
Caroline R. Fredrickson/WHO/EOP  
Courtney C. Crouch/WHO/EOP  
Joel K. Wiginton/WHO/EOP  
Kay Casstevens/OVP @ OVP  
David R Thomas/OVP @ OVP  
Paul Thornell/OVP @ OVP  
William T. Glunz/OVP @ OVP  
Julia M. Payne/WHO/EOP  
Elizabeth R. Newman/WHO/EOP  
Nanda Chitre/WHO/EOP  
Jennifer M. Palmieri/WHO/EOP  
Jason H. Schechter/WHO/EOP  
Sarah E. Gegenheimer/WHO/EOP  
Julie B. Goldberg/WHO/EOP  
Roger V. Salazar/WHO/EOP  
Amy Weiss/WHO/EOP  
Dawn L. Smalls/WHO/EOP  
Sara M. Latham/WHO/EOP  
Paul E. Begala/WHO/EOP  
Ann F. Lewis/WHO/EOP  
Stacie Spector/WHO/EOP  
Douglas B. Sosnik/WHO/EOP  
Marjorie Tarmey/WHO/EOP  
Maria Echaveste/WHO/EOP  
Dominique L. Cano/WHO/EOP  
Jeffrey A. Forbes/WHO/EOP  
Tracy Pakulniewicz/WHO/EOP  
June G. Turner/WHO/EOP  
Karin Kullman/WHO/EOP  
Paul K. Engskov/WHO/EOP  
Nancy V. Hernreich/WHO/EOP  
Betty W. Currie/WHO/EOP  
Charles J. Payson/WHO/EOP  
Steve Ricchetti/WHO/EOP  
Rebecca L. Walldorff/WHO/EOP  
Joshua S. Gottheimer/WHO/EOP

James T. Heimbach/WHO/EOP  
Michael Cohen/OPD/EOP  
Clyde E. Williams/WHO/EOP  
Patrice L. Stanley/WHO/EOP  
Lynn G. Cutler/WHO/EOP

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Thomas L. Freedman ( CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:20-JAN-1999 19:02:00.00

SUBJECT: Senator Robb

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Mary L. Smith ( CN=Mary L. Smith/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Senator Robb is taking flack about tobacco and his guy called to say Robb was sticking with us but there might be problems down the road. I've excerpted the Richmond paper description of Robb's defense of the Administration which they wanted us to note.

As you know, Robb has a campaign coming up and the union workers in particular will be crucial for him.

U.S. now to go after tobacco companies / Amount may exceed states' settlement

Wednesday, January 20, 1999

BY GENE MARLOWE  
Media General News Service

... ..  
Ya da yada...

U.S. Sen. John Warner, R-Va., also said he was taken aback by Clinton's announcement.

"There is only so much burden that this industry can absorb and the people who raise tobacco, the workers, the farmers," he said.

Sen. Charles S. Robb was less quick to criticize the federal government's intention to sue tobacco companies. "This might be a vehicle to provide some certainty for not only the tobacco farmers but for the companies and everyone else involved," said Robb, D-Va. He thought Clinton's announcement might lead to valuable negotiations.

Rep. Virgil H. Goode Jr., a Democrat whose 5th District is home for hundreds of tobacco farmers, called Clinton's announcement of a

lawsuit against tobacco companies "just piling on after the states have already worked out a settlement with them."

Tobacco accounts for 27,400 manufacturing, agriculture and retailing jobs in Virginia and is the state's biggest crop in terms of revenue.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Karin Kullman ( CN=Karin Kullman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:20-JAN-1999 19:20:16.00

SUBJECT: Specialty Press Request

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Cohen ( CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

The Press Office has requested that we make someone available to talk through our initiatives that will be announced tomorrow on a conference call with journalists from education publications. I assume we would want Mike to do this.

Can we make someone available and should it be Mike?

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Melissa G. Green ( CN=Melissa G. Green/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JAN-1999 08:02:47.00

SUBJECT:

TO: GENE (SKY) (Pager) #SPERLING ( GENE (SKY) (Pager) #SPERLING [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: ELENA (Pager) #KAGAN ( ELENA (Pager) #KAGAN [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

reminder: talk with podesta re: today's edley race meeting

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Moe Vela ( CN=Moe Vela/O=OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JAN-1999 08:13:51.00

SUBJECT:

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Elena:

As you saw in the e-mail, Janet Murguia suggested I contact you to see if you would be willing to assist me as we prepare the VP for a meeting tomorrow morning with the national Hispanic Leadership Agenda. The topics that I believe you are the expert on that they are going to discuss with the VP are naturalization, temporary status for Central Americans and benefit restoration.....do you think you could help me by putting together some brief talking points for him and a short backgrounder on each---

If I need to seek assistance elsewhere, will you let me know?

Thanks so much

M

69002

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: MaryEllen C. McGuire ( CN=MaryEllen C. McGuire/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 21-JAN-1999 09:40:19.00

SUBJECT: AmeriCorps Conference Call Monday

TO: JGOMPERT ( JGOMPERT @ cns.gov @ inet [ UNKNOWN ] )

READ: UNKNOWN

TO: Thurgood Marshall Jr ( CN=Thurgood Marshall Jr/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ: UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ: UNKNOWN

TO: Stacie Spector ( CN=Stacie Spector/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ: UNKNOWN

TO: Karen Tramontano ( CN=Karen Tramontano/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ: UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer M. Palmieri ( CN=Jennifer M. Palmieri/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ: UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas L. Freedman ( CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ: UNKNOWN

TO: Tanya E. Martin ( CN=Tanya E. Martin/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ: UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ: UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis ( CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ: UNKNOWN

TO: Shirley S. Sagawa ( CN=Shirley S. Sagawa/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ: UNKNOWN

CC: twest ( twest @ cns.gov @ inet [ UNKNOWN ] )

READ: UNKNOWN

CC: Ruby Shamir ( CN=Ruby Shamir/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ: UNKNOWN

CC: Cathy R. Mays ( CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ: UNKNOWN

CC: Carolyn T. Wu ( CN=Carolyn T. Wu/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ: UNKNOWN

TEXT:

There will be an AmeriCorps Visibility Conference call Monday, January 25th at 4:00. Please join us- 757-2100 code 4129.



# **Clinton Presidential Records Automated Records Management System [EMAIL]**

This is not a presidential record. This is used as an administrative marker by the William J. Clinton Presidential Library Staff.

---

**Hex Dump file is not in a recognizable format, has been incorrectly decoded or is damaged.**

---

**File Name:** p\_o2182007\_opd\_html\_1.xls

**Attachment Number:** [ATTACH.D44]MAIL49002812P.036 to ASCII

*Question:* The President has repeatedly stated his goal of reducing class size in the early grades by helping schools hire 100,000 teachers by 2005. Does this budget support funding levels in the outyears to meet that goal?

*Answer:* Yes. The President remains fully committed, as his FY 2000 budget will reiterate, to helping hire 100,000 new teachers by 2005. In response to the President's request, the FY 1999 appropriations act provided the first installment of the necessary funding, \$1.2 billion to help hire more than 30,000 new teachers. The FY 2000 budget surpasses the previously articulated policy path with a \$200 million increase over FY 1999, providing \$1.4 billion to continue financing the 30,000 teachers hired with FY 1999 funds, and to add roughly 8,000 more teachers for a total of over 38,000. The Administration is confident and strongly committed to reaching this goal by 2005.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JAN-1999 10:28:27.00

SUBJECT: Cancer Society is here in 211. CR

TO: ELENA (Pager) #KAGAN ( ELENA (Pager) #KAGAN [ UNKNOWN ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JAN-1999 10:49:10.00

SUBJECT: This is a devastating critique of Title I

TO: Jonathan H. Schnur ( CN=Jonathan H. Schnur/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tanya E. Martin ( CN=Tanya E. Martin/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Cohen ( CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

----- Forwarded by Bruce N. Reed/OPD/EOP on 01/21/99  
10:48 AM -----

Andy Rotherham <ARotherham @ dlcpai.org>  
01/19/99 11:12:47 AM

Record Type: Record

To: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message  
cc:  
Subject: Fwd: Title I

Interesting LA Times article from Sunday on Title I. Mary Jean LeTendre discusses the upcoming efforts to phase out instructional aides and paraprofessionals.

Andy

Andrew Rotherham  
Director, 21st Century Schools Project  
Progressive Policy Institute  
600 Pennsylvania Ave., SE, Suite 400  
Washington, DC 20003  
(202)547-0001  
(202)544-5014 FAX

Received: from imo21.mx.aol.com ([198.81.17.65]) by dlc.org (GroupWise SMTP/MIME daemon 4.1 v3) ; Sun, 17 Jan 99 12:01:24 EST  
Received: from GardenD@aol.com by imo21.mx.aol.com (IMOV18.1) id IQUCa02625; Sun, 17 Jan 1999 11:59:20 -0500 (EST)  
Date: Sun, 17 Jan 1999 11:59:20 -0500  
From: <GardenD@aol.com>  
Subject: Title I  
To: Cefinnjr@aol.com, ARotherham@dlcpai.org, jra@edreform.com, edh9k@faraday.clas.virginia.edu, choxby@harvard.edu,

Tom\_Loveless/FS/KSG@ksg.harvard.edu, dcarnine@oregon.uoregon.edu,  
 bgalston@puafmail.umd.edu, mgandal@ssso.org, hstevens@umich.edu,  
 vinovski@umich.edu

Message-id: <1cf9401d.36a216e8@aol.com>

MIME-version: 1.0

X-Mailer: AOL 4.0 for Windows 95 sub 224

Content-type: text/plain; CHARSET=US-ASCII

Content-disposition: inline

Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

<Picture><Picture: www.latimes.com/HOME/CLASS/REALEST/RENTAL/index.htm>

<Picture>

<Picture>Sunday, January 17, 1999

Title I's \$118 Billion Fails to Close Gap

<Picture>Program has been unable to lift academic level of poor students,  
 research shows.

By RALPH FRAMMOLINO, Times Staff Writer

<Picture><Picture><Picture><Picture>

#### ADVERTISEMENT

<Picture>

<Picture: http://www.universityalliance.com/rsvp/index.asp?Source=LATimes>

<Picture>

<Picture: JobSource>

<Picture: T>he federal government's largest education grant program,  
 despite

spending \$118 billion over the last three decades, has been unable to meet  
 its

goal of narrowing the achievement gap between rich and poor students,  
 interviews and documents show.

Title I, which started with idealistic fervor in the 1960s' War on  
 Poverty, provides \$7.4 billion each year to help one of every five pupils  
 in  
 the nation's public schools.

Recent evaluations by the U.S. Department of Education found that the  
 extra computers, tutoring and more than 132,000 classroom positions paid  
 for

by the massive investment have been "insufficient to close the gap" in  
 reading

and math performance between poor students and their more affluent peers.

The program has been "a failure up to now," said Maris A. Vinovskis, a  
 University of Michigan education expert who has reviewed independent  
 studies

assessing the effectiveness of Title I. "The real losers in this are not  
 just

the taxpayers [but] the kids. . . . We haven't been able to deliver."

One reason, experts agree, is that Title I funds are spread too thin  
 among the nation's poor students to do much good. And, of the billions of  
 dollars allocated each year, most are spent on tutoring and other remedial  
 efforts that have produced marginal improvement in test scores.

Much of the blame for the program's shortcomings has been directed at

the more than 50,000 school aides and teacher assistants hired with Title I funds.

A nationwide movement to replace these "paraprofessionals" with certified teachers has sparked controversy and led to considerable anxiety.

Under increasing pressure to show results, the program now finds itself on a collision course with its past--and the aides are caught in the middle, experts say.

"It's a classic situation where yesterday's reform becomes today's obstacle," said Jerome T. Murphy, dean of Harvard University's Graduate School of Education, who helped write Title I legislation 34 years ago.

Title I, which comes before Congress for reauthorization this year, was created to tackle perhaps the most daunting task in all of education: to help students overcome the inherent barriers that poverty poses to academic achievement.

While no one expects the federal government to eliminate such a formidable deficit, supporters contend that Title I has become a victim of unrealistic expectations. They credit the program with focusing attention on the needs of low-income students, but they also argue that Title I is no match for the challenges presented by poverty and problems such as racial tensions, language barriers, crime, violence and drug use.

Title I "can change some services, but it cannot change the lives of hundreds of thousands of kids," said Jack Jennings, director of the Center on Education Policy in Washington and a former general counsel of the House Labor and Education Committee.

A special evaluation report last fall by the Department of Education found that the gap between 9-year-olds attending "high-poverty" and "low-poverty" schools either stayed the same or increased from the mid-1980s to the mid-1990s. This gap left poor students nearly four grade levels behind affluent pupils in reading and two levels behind in math.

In addition, a separate study commissioned specifically to assess Title I concluded in 1997 that the massive spending has had little effect on the achievement gap.

The 1997 Education Department report found that Title I failed to make a significant dent in the achievement gap from 1991 to 1994 in part because it tolerates low academic standards for poor and minority students.

Many Title I programs "reinforced low expectations for student achievement," the report says. "Students in high-poverty schools were exposed to a 'watered-down' and non-challenging curriculum when compared to other students."

#### Squandering of Funds on Clerks, Aides Cited

Also part of the problem, according to high-ranking education officials and other experts, is that schools squander Title I funds on clerical

workers

and classroom aides who lack the expertise to teach poor students the kind of high-level skills needed to compete with their more affluent peers.

Reformers have seized on these findings and urged the removal of classroom aides to pay for retraining teachers or to hire new ones. The push even comes from the top of the Clinton administration's Education Department.

"It's pretty significant that half of the instructional staff under Title

I were paraprofessionals," said Val Plisko, who supervises independent evaluations for the Education Department's Planning and Evaluation Service. "For children who are most at risk, you want the best-educated, the most knowledgeable, the most effective teachers."

Mary Jean LeTendre, a top federal education official who oversees Title I

and other programs for disadvantaged students, said that in some cases employment of Title I aides has amounted to "a jobs program for members of the community." She added, "I am one who believes that this program needs to be focused on the needs of the kids."

LeTendre vowed in a recent interview to "work with every ounce of my energy" to shift Title I spending from aides to more qualified teachers. She added that federal officials are considering whether to eventually limit or prohibit the use of Title I funds to hire teacher aides.

#### Unfavorable Ratios of Aides to Teachers

In California, the latest available figures indicate that the ratio of aides to teachers paid for by Title I funds is 4 to 1. At Los Angeles Unified, the nation's second-largest school district, the ratio is about 7 to 1. And most of the instructors on the district's Title I payroll rarely teach; instead they serve as program coordinators at their individual schools, officials said.

The winds of change already are being felt at LAUSD, where all but two of 30 schools facing a takeover by the superintendent's office for dismal student performance are considered Title I schools. In all, 465 of 641 LAUSD schools have student populations that are predominantly poor.

Supt. Ruben Zacarias recently ordered the spending of \$10 million in Title I funds for extra tutoring at the district's lowest-performing schools.

In an interview, Zacarias added that he may dip further into those federal funds to pay for other student intervention programs as well as teacher training--moves that he said might spell "crunch time" for teacher aides.

"If the priorities mean that we're going to have to reduce our . . . aides, then we're going to have to bite that bullet," he said.

At Pacoima Elementary, one of the 30 schools on Zacarias' list for academic probation, Principal Lawrence D. Gonzales is already tasting the gunpowder.

In a bid to kick-start student scores languishing in the bottom 25% of the LAUSD, Gonzales is investing \$100,000 of the school's \$800,000 Title I allotment into an intensive reading program for each of Pacoima's 70 classrooms. Some of the money comes from reductions in Title I classroom aides through attrition, said Gonzales.

"We have to put up or shut up," he said.

But the retrenchment has been slow and difficult. Not only are LAUSD aides unionized, they are among the most visible and popular features of a Title I program that has become deeply embedded in some neighborhoods as a source of steady employment that increased the presence of adults in schools.

The Title I aides, who work for significantly lower wages than teachers, are widely used in classrooms to work one-on-one or with small groups of students to reinforce lessons. They also serve nonnative students.

Mary Castro has been on the Title I payroll as an aide for 22 years, the last 11 at James A. Garfield High School in East Los Angeles. The soft-spoken great-grandmother works seven hours a day shelving books, shushing students in the library and preparing due-date notices.

Castro is one of 6,540 part-time paraprofessionals whose employment consumes nearly 40% of LAUSD's Title I budget this year. By comparison, 21% of the district's Title I funds are spent on instructors and teacher training. The remaining expenditures include instructional materials and support staff, such as school psychologists.

As part of its \$1.1-million annual allotment in Title I funds, Garfield employs 22 aides--all but five work in classrooms, budget figures show.

Since her job isn't directly related to classroom instruction, Castro may be a prime candidate for dismissal. At 62, Castro is not volunteering to quit her \$10.84-an-hour position.

"It's not easy to say I'd get another job, because I'm old," she said.

Nor is anyone likely to force her out at Garfield, which is facing administrative takeover due to dismal academic performance. Alex Fuentes, Garfield's Title I coordinator, said that downsizing would put him in a bind, even in cases of non-classroom aides like Castro.

"She's providing services--maybe not the services when she was young, but I'm not getting any complaints," said Fuentes. "What do you say to someone like that? 'Oh, Mary, it's time for you to go out to pasture?'"

#### Question at Heart of Rehabilitation Effort

Indeed, that question--with all its personal and policy implications--is at the heart of the latest push to rehabilitate Title I.

Considered the keystone of the War on Poverty, Title I was fashioned during the country's civil rights struggle by President Lyndon B. Johnson, who muscled it through Congress in a breathtaking 89 days as part of a sweeping school aid bill.

"I will never do anything in my entire life, now or in the future, that excites me more or benefits the nation I serve more," said Johnson, a former teacher, after he signed Title I into law in 1965 in front of a one-room schoolhouse in Texas.

The program was predicated on an academic truism: Family income is closely linked to educational success.

Johnson hoped to make up for the disadvantages of poverty by providing a jolt of federal dollars earmarked for extra tutoring and other add-on programs targeted at low-income students.

In a symbolic gesture, Johnson set the initial Title I appropriation at \$1 billion. The program has since grown to seven times that size.

Title I currently pays an average of \$685 per poor child as defined by the U.S. Census, but its spending formula has been so politicized that the actual amounts vary widely among states. California, home of the largest concentration of impoverished students, receives only \$573 per pupil--an amount that is less than the funding provided to 49 other states and territories.

The money flows from Washington to 46,000--or nearly half--of the nation's schools. It is intended for students who are considered educationally

"at risk." In California, such students are identified as children from welfare families or children who qualify for free or reduced-priced lunches.

The ultimate decision on how to spend the money, however, remains with each school. Across the country, school administrators have invested Title I dollars in "pull-out" programs, in which low-income students are taken out of their regular classes for 30-minute tutoring sessions each day that incorporate new materials and computers.

And they've hired more than 50,000 school and classroom aides.

Typically, the aides were parents or activists from surrounding neighborhoods. They monitored lunchrooms, ran off dittos on the mimeograph, put up bulletin boards.

Teacher aides have had the biggest effect in the classroom, working individually with poor students to reinforce lessons. This is particularly true in elementary schools, where the aides have become fixtures.

As a condition of employment, more than 5,000 classroom "teaching aides"

in Los Angeles are required to enroll in college courses or degree programs to become certified educators, said Margaret A. Jones, LAUSD director of specially funded programs.

"I've seen some teaching assistants who are better than some of the teachers we have," Jones said, scoffing at the movement by critics to replace aides.

An additional 1,500 resource aides are not required to enroll in college courses, but some are still used in classrooms and contend they do a good job.

Sharon Watanabe has outlasted three principals and all but a few teachers as a \$12.26-an-hour Title I aide for the last 19 years at Hoover Street Elementary School, near downtown Los Angeles.

"I think I make a big difference in the classroom with the children because I've seen it," said Watanabe, who works three hours each morning.

"In the beginning of the year, some [students] wouldn't speak in English. Now they come up to me and make a conversation with me."

Few have challenged such claims, especially during the 1970s and early

1980s, when test scores among minority students--who receive the bulk of Title

I services--began catching up, narrowing the achievement gap by about a third.

But in the mid-1980s, scores for minority students stalled and the gap widened. Critics, particularly political conservatives, have heaped blame on

Title I ever since.

"It's a waste," Chester E. Finn Jr., former assistant secretary of Education under President Reagan, said in a recent interview. "It's accomplishing nothing other than the expenditure of money."

Finn noted that the program remains popular in Washington because Title I

funds go to most congressional districts. "The fiercest fights in Congress are

not over whether it accomplishes anything but over the distribution formula for the money."

#### Complaints by Black Parents Are Described

Even longtime advocates such as Phyllis McClure, a former NAACP Legal Defense and Education Fund monitor who kept a watchful eye on Title I compliance, now raise questions about the efficacy of the program. McClure recalled hearing complaints from black parents that the program was relegating their children to a second-class education.

"When black parents were taking their kids out of Title I because . . . they weren't getting the regular math, they were getting something low-level .

. . . I changed my mind," said McClure, who six years ago led a federal task force to assess Title I. "This program isn't working as it was intended to work."

In 1993, the Education Department released preliminary results of an ongoing, comprehensive study that measured Title I's effect on 40,000 students and the achievement gap. The study found that Title I assistance "did not compensate for the initial deficiencies of the disadvantaged students." It also pointed out that the lowest-achieving poor students often received instruction from Title I aides.

Some Title I advocates complain that aides are scapegoats for a program that, at last count, contributed only 2 cents of every local, state and federal dollar spent on public education. Title I accounts for 42% of every federal dollar spent on education from kindergarten through high school.

Congress made sweeping changes in its 1994 reauthorization of Title I, requiring that students in the program be held to the same academic standards

as other children. It also required for the first time that aides have at least a high school diploma.

LeTendre, the department's director of Title I, said she was "incensed" that Congress set such a minimal requirement for aides who often help instruct students. She said surveys show that only 13% of the Title I aides hold college degrees:

And while she applauded efforts that encouraged Title I aides to get their teaching degrees, she said it was an "absolute must" that more certified instructors be hired with program money.

A new comprehensive assessment of these reforms will not be finished

until the spring; early indications are that the number of aides nationwide is declining.

But the cutbacks have not come easily.

After much coaxing and coalition-building, school officials in Pueblo, Colo., laid off 62 aides this summer, said Paul Ruiz, partner of the Education

Trust, a Washington nonprofit group that helped broker the change. Most of those receiving pink slips were Latino "moms and dads, some of whom worked as teacher aides for 10, 15 years," he said.

The money saved from the dismissal of school aides will be redirected into professional training for teachers, Ruiz said.

Education Trust abandoned a similar effort in Hartford, Conn., Ruiz said, where local officials could not muster the "political will."

\* \* \*

#### The Gap

The achievement gap between students attending high-poverty and low-poverty schools has remained the same or grown bigger since the late 1980s, standardized tests show. Poorer students lag behind their better-off peers by

four grade levels in reading and two in math in 1996.

Reading Scores (1988 through 1996)

Affluent

Impoverished

Math Scores (1988 through 1996)

Affluent

Impoverished

Note: Scores are from the National Assessment of Education Progress tests

and reach 10 point difference between scores represents roughly one grade level.

Source: U.S. Dept. of Education

Copyright 1999 Los Angeles Times. All Rights Reserved

<Picture>Search the archives of the Los Angeles Times for similar stories about:

#### Message Sent

To: \_\_\_\_\_

bhunter @ aasa.org

joan\_huffer @ daschle.senate.gov

jsack @ epe.org

Gina.Mahony @ mail.house.gov

public-ed-afege @ msn.com

Bruce N. Reed/OPD/EOP

rothstei @ oxy.edu

dav3e @ virginia.edu



RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Michael Waldman ( CN=Michael Waldman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JAN-1999 10:49:47.00

SUBJECT: fyi - from slate - if you haven't seen it

TO: Paul D. Glastris ( CN=Paul D. Glastris/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Cohen ( CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Federalize the Schools!

In praise of Clinton's State of the  
Union power grab.

By Timothy Noah  
(Posted Thursday, Jan. 21, 1999)

for  
unpopular  
nation's  
proposal to  
tting most of  
with the loss of  
enforce strict  
interesting  
speech. If  
hangs onto his  
important  
doesn't, or if the bill  
be hostile to it, it  
of the more  
presidency.

Let's give President Clinton credit  
attempting, in this darkest hour of his  
presidency, to revive a worthwhile if  
idea: stronger federal control over the  
public schools.  
Clinton's State of the Union  
semi-privatize Social Security may be ge  
the press, but his plan to penalize states  
federal education dollars if they fail to  
academic standards was by far the most  
policy initiative unveiled in his Tuesday  
Clinton sticks to his rhetorical guns (and  
job), it could become one of the most  
initiatives of his presidency. If he  
fails to clear a Congress that's sure to  
will rank with the health care bill as one  
significant policy disappointments of his

he proposal reaches the table at a time when education reform has all but disappeared from the national political agenda. Remember education reform? Back in 1983, a blue-ribbon panel on elementary and high-school education declared "the nation at risk," and in response states legislated reforms such as merit pay to boost teacher performance. The cry for better public schools reached a crescendo in 1989, when George Bush, the "education president," convened an education summit in Charlottesville, Va., which defined national education goals to be reached by the Year 2000. Clinton, one of the more reform-minded governors at the Charlottesville conference, tried to establish national standards to help achieve the Charlottesville goals when he became president. A Clinton-authored grant program inspired by the conference did become law in 1994. But the standard-setting portion was watered down by congressional Republicans who perceived it as a liberal plot to create a national board of education, and by some congressional Democrats who saw tougher school standards as a threat to the self-esteem of black and Hispanic students. It was all but gutted after Republicans took over Congress in 1995. (A special place in hell should be reserved for perpetual Republican presidential candidate Lamar Alexander, who as education secretary during the Bush administration promoted national standards only to oppose them when they were put forth by a Democratic White House.)

Over the past few years, education has receded as a subject of national concern. A large part of the impetus behind the education reform movement had been panic over U.S. competitiveness in the international economy. Today, of course, the U.S. position in the world economy is very strong. MIT economist Lester Thurow recently observed in the New York Times that as recently as 1990 the United States had only one of the world's 10 most valuable companies. Last year, it had nine. Unfortunately, the resurgence of U.S. economic dominance (much of which has less to do with American might than with financial turmoil in Asia) was not accompanied by much improvement in the performance of U.S. schools. Education Week recently pronounced the chances were "practically nil" that the 1989 Charlottesville goals would be met by the target year of 2000. An accompanying chart shows that 30 percent of fourth- and eighth-graders met the goals panel's performance standard in reading in 1996, only one statistically insignificant percentage point greater than in 1992; for 12th-graders, the 36 percent who met the performance standard was four percentage points less than in 1992. Math achievement did show significant improvement, especially for fourth- and eighth-graders, but three-quarters of the fourth-, eighth-, and 12th-graders surveyed continued not to meet the goals panel's math

performance standard. High-school seniors were bested by 14 out of 20 surveyed countries on math achievement, and by 11 out of 20 on science achievement.

proposal are still  
later this year,  
language  
Act. But  
plan is to  
funds to  
percent to 2  
government  
junior high, and  
state's schools are  
practices. (If the  
old the actual  
an unlikely  
assistant  
education.)  
promotion," whereby  
next grade  
poor  
to subjects  
aimed mainly  
teaching  
the teachers'  
stricter  
plan also  
report cards on  
cards on the

he outlines of Clinton's education  
a bit vague. They will be sketched in  
when the White House drafts reauthorization  
for the Elementary and Secondary Education  
at least as conceived at the moment, the  
empower the federal government to withhold  
state education administrators--roughly 1  
percent of the \$11 billion that the federal  
spends annually on public elementary,  
high schools through this law--if the  
not taking steps to end certain harmful  
feds got really mad, they could also withh  
funds that go to schools, although that is  
"last resort," according to Gerald Tirozzi,  
secretary for elementary and secondary  
Among these practices are "social .  
unqualified students are kicked up to the  
level; persistent low academic performance;  
discipline; and the assignment of teachers  
for which they are unqualified. (This last,  
at eliminating the issuing of "emergency"  
certificates, appears to be a concession to  
unions, but it will be accompanied by  
requirements for testing new teachers.) The  
requires schools to issue, in addition to  
individual students' performance, report

school's overall academic performance.

usurpation of  
 Chester Finn, a  
 who formerly  
 Education,  
 goals,  
 authorities in  
 have  
 reporters  
 White House  
 said, "I  
 Times got it  
 for state and  
 headline, one hopes,  
 Control on  
 question comes  
 with--and, when  
 additional federal  
 all money  
 through  
 have a  
 well spent?  
 "constitutional authority"  
 sovereignty

Howls of protest about the plan's  
 local prerogatives have already begun.  
 senior fellow at the Manhattan Institute,  
 worked in Ronald Reagan's Department of  
 and who is sympathetic to many of Clinton's  
 insists, "The states are the constitutional  
 education." Such complaints already seem to  
 cowed the Clinton administration. At a  
 briefing on the plan earlier this week,  
 Domestic Policy Council Director Bruce Reed  
 think the headline writer for the New York  
 wrong--we're not for U.S. control, we're  
 local control." Actually, the Times  
 got it right: "Clinton To Urge More U.S.  
 Aid to Schools." Given that the aid in  
 from the federal government to begin  
 combined with about \$9 billion in  
 spending, accounts for about 6 percent of  
 spent on public education from kindergarten  
 12th grade--doesn't the federal government  
 responsibility to make sure the money is  
 Any state bent on keeping its  
 unmolested is always free to buy back its  
 by refusing federal education aid.

purely a local  
 more than it has

n fact, the whole notion that education should be  
 concern has long reflected Jeffersonian nostalgia  
 reality. As Nicholas Lemann observed in the November

Atlantic  
 committed to  
 patchwork,  
 national  
 national laws,  
 also, he might  
 parceled out  
 educated in  
 him to prosper  
 may be.  
 of Teachers,  
 "There has been too  
 states."  
 much effect? As  
 penalty provision in the  
 ucation Act  
 received warnings,  
 There's some real  
 programs, no  
 the new law. Like  
 eschews  
 price for union  
 when he argues  
 ones is to say we'll  
 s that  
 retiring during the  
 the Clinton  
 regrettably, remains  
 education reform  
 fundamentalists,

Monthly, American public schools are "rhetorically  
 decentralization" but in fact are "centralized in a  
 undeliberate way. We have national standardized tests,  
 teachers' unions, national textbook publishers, and  
 regulations, and funding programs for schools." And  
 well have pointed out, a national economy: Jobs are not  
 equally according to school districts. A child being  
 Mississippi needs to be educated sufficiently to allow  
 in Ohio, New Jersey, or wherever his future employment  
 Sandra Feldman, president of the 'American Federation  
 which has lent its support to Clinton's plan, says,  
 great a difference between school districts and

Will Clinton's plan be radical enough to have  
 Finn rightly points out, a similar but narrower  
 1994 reauthorization of the Elementary and Secondary Ed  
 has never been used; although some states have  
 none has lost funds, as is permitted under the law.  
 danger that cosmetic reforms at the state level--more  
 results--will be enough to stave off penalties under  
 most major education reforms in recent years, this one  
 testing of teachers who are already on the job as the  
 support of testing for new teachers. Finn may be right  
 that "to say we're only going to deal with the new  
 solve the problem 20 years from now." (Feldman counter  
 two-thirds of the nation's 3 million teachers will be  
 next decade.) Another cause for disappointment is that  
 plan will not impose a national curriculum, which,  
 beyond the pale in any practical discussion about  
 because of the ongoing culture wars among Christian  
 mainstream educators, and multicultural leftists.

Still, bully for Clinton's Hail Mary pass, if that's what he intends it to be. As a lame-duck president who'll count himself lucky to inhabit the Oval Office for two more years, this is no time to play it safe.

#### Links

prediction that  
won't be met  
click here.  
here's the  
Progress. Nicholas  
some  
achieved in  
curriculum  
Clinton's State of  
Slate.

Check out Education Week's gloomy  
the Charlottesville conference's goals  
here. If you missed the accompanying chart,  
Or, if you prefer the official statistics,  
National Assessment of Educational  
Lemann's Atlantic Monthly piece includes  
interesting reporting about good results  
low-income schools with a highly regimented  
called Success for All. And here's  
the Union address.  
Timothy Noah writes [Chatterbox](#) for

Illustrations by Robert Neubecker.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JAN-1999 11:32:48.00

SUBJECT: Bruce's reaction re: \$240 million in competitive Welfare-to-Work funds

TO: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

fyi -- We discussed the \$240 milllion competitive grants at the morning staff meeting and Bruce said this was fine.

----- Forwarded by Cynthia A. Rice/OPD/EOP on 01/21/99  
11:27 AM -----

Cynthia A. Rice

01/20/99 03:55:56 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Bruce N. Reed/OPD/EOP, Elena Kagan/OPD/EOP, Laura Emmett/WHO/EOP

cc: Andrea Kane/OPD/EOP, Karin Kullman/WHO/EOP

Subject: \$240 million in competitive Welfare-to-Work funds

How do you think it would sound to announce \$240 million in competitive Welfare-to-Work funds for welfare recipients with the greatest challenges to employment, with highest priority for applications addressing:

- (1) limited English proficiency
- (2) disabilities
- (3) substance abuse problems
- (4) domestic violence
- (5) non-custodial fathers

This has the advantage of essentially earmarking the whole \$240 million, not half as we were discussing before (applications that focussed on these populations would get 10 extra points, ensuring they would have a great advantage over other proposals but also ensuring that we wouldn't fund poor quality proposals -- e.g., those that were so bad that they weren't competitive even with the 10 extra points wouldn't be funded). It also enables us to have fathers prominently featured (to show we're focusing on them with this year's competitive \$\$, while we push for a stronger focus for next year's formula \$\$). It also adds victims of domestic violence which may be helpful given past criticism. I think OMB and DOL could live with this (both have pushed hard for no earmarking but if there is to be earmarking DOL wants to ensure fathers are included and OMB wants to add victims of domestic violence). Please respond ASAP -- because this notice gets published in the Federal Register, we need to move to be ready by Monday.

Regarding the overall event: in the Ann Lewis meeting people thought the focus on fathers was the strongest message and were less concerned that we show strong business support for welfare to work. Current tentative

speaking program:

- (1) CEO
- (2) Local elected
- (3) Father
- (4) POTUS

We're vetting candidates.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Clara J. Shin ( CN=Clara J. Shin/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JAN-1999 12:15:41.00

SUBJECT: Race Book

TO: Shirley S. Sagawa ( CN=Shirley S. Sagawa/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Waldman ( CN=Michael Waldman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul E. Begala ( CN=Paul E. Begala/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Minyon Moore ( CN=Minyon Moore/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sidney Blumenthal ( CN=Sidney Blumenthal/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis ( CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gene B. Sperling ( CN=Gene B. Sperling/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John Podesta ( CN=John Podesta/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Katharine Button ( CN=Katharine Button/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Joshua Gotbaum ( CN=Joshua Gotbaum/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Ruby Shamir ( CN=Ruby Shamir/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Melissa G. Green ( CN=Melissa G. Green/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Cathy R. Mays ( CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Tracy Pakulniewicz ( CN=Tracy Pakulniewicz/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jocelyn A. Bucaro ( CN=Jocelyn A. Bucaro/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Peter A. Weissman ( CN=Peter A. Weissman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Sara M. Latham ( CN=Sara M. Latham/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Please forward your comments and edits re: the Introduction and education portion of the workplan chapter of the President's Race Book to Maria E. by tomorrow (Friday) 5:00 pm. Thank you.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Barry J. Toiv ( CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JAN-1999 12:19:22.00

SUBJECT: welfare to work Monday

TO: Beverly J. Barnes ( CN=Beverly J. Barnes/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer M. Palmieri ( CN=Jennifer M. Palmieri/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Amy Weiss ( CN=Amy Weiss/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joseph P. Lockhart ( CN=Joseph P. Lockhart/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Heather M. Riley ( CN=Heather M. Riley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Do we have any plans yet for whether and how we leak this information?

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JAN-1999 13:30:35.00

SUBJECT: ag

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Shares of Dow Component Philip Morris Cos Inc. (NYSE:MO - news) were off 1 at 47-7/16 after

Attorney General Janet Reno said she would bring a landmark lawsuit against the tobacco industry to recover amounts spent by the federal government on smoking-related illnesses.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JAN-1999 13:34:45.00

SUBJECT: ag

TO: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Tobacco Industry Criticizes Lawsuit

By Estes Thompson  
Associated Press Writer  
Thursday, January 21, 1999; 11:43 a.m. EST

DURHAM, N.C. (AP) -- Tobacco company executives and officials in states dependent on the crop had nothing but scorn for President Clinton's threatened lawsuit against cigarette makers and an increased tobacco tax.

Patton said ``This industry is under siege,'' Kentucky Gov. Paul Wednesday. ``There's a limit to what this product can bear, and we're coming close to that limit.''

Patton was among representatives from 11 tobacco states and four companies who discussed Clinton's speech as they met in Durham to work out plans for a \$5.15 billion trust fund to assist farmers hurt by the settlement of state lawsuits.

In his State of the Union speech Tuesday night, Clinton said the federal government would follow the lead of states and sue tobacco companies to recover health care costs of treating sick smokers.

States won \$246 billion, mostly through lawsuit settlements, plus new restrictions on tobacco ads and other industry practices. Federal attorneys have studied the states' strategy.

Administration officials insisted that the decision was made after they determined the government has legal grounds to make its case.

``I have made the decision, after looking at all the

information available,  
after going through the legal issues that are involved,  
that we can file a  
lawsuit," Attorney General Janet Reno said today in  
Washington.

Reno said the suit would be filed "with all deliberate  
speed" but noted  
that the timing and exact legal claims would be worked  
out by a task force  
of civil division lawyers that is still being formed.

"There have been vast amounts of monies paid out as a  
result of this  
issue. And I think we've got to do everything we can  
within the law to try  
to recover that money" for taxpayers, Reno said at her w  
eekly news  
conference.

Tommy Payne, senior vice president of R.J. Reynolds,  
said Clinton  
apparently wants "more money from the industry and some  
political gain  
from the industry."

"The federal government already makes more money per  
pack on  
cigarettes than the companies make," he said. "The  
federal government  
makes 24 cents per pack, and R.J. Reynolds makes 9 or 10  
cents."

Phil Carlton, a tobacco industry lawyer, said the  
companies would fight  
any federal suit "to the last day."

"If there's any federal lawsuit, if new taxes are added  
by Congress, it will  
have a terrible impact on the industry," he said.  
Cigarette makers called  
the potential federal suit "political."

Administration officials say the lawsuit is independent  
from Clinton's push  
to pass tobacco legislation in Congress, but they  
acknowledge a pending  
suit could put increased pressure on the industry to cut  
a deal.

The government released few details about the upcoming  
lawsuit, which is  
meant to recover tax dollars spent treating sick smokers  
in Medicare, the  
Defense Department, Veterans Affairs, federal employee  
health benefits  
and other federal programs.

It's expected to be months before a suit is filed.

A task force within the Justice Department, yet to be appointed, will soon begin work to develop the precise legal strategy. Officials would not say where the suit might be filed or how much money it might seek.

Separately, the administration will try again to persuade Congress to give the Food and Drug Administration the power to regulate tobacco and adopt other policies meant to cut youth smoking.

The president also has proposed an additional 55-cents-per-pack tax on cigarettes.

It's unclear how the administration and its allies will fare on their second try in Congress.

Last year, there was considerably more momentum for tobacco legislation, in part because state lawsuits had not yet been settled. But the deal died.

Administration officials argue they have a better chance this time because the legislation is less ambitious and doesn't directly tie tobacco penalties to domestic spending.

Still, Republicans fiercely oppose hiking the cigarette tax, and the industry is sure to renew its fight against new regulations.

Carlton said the settlement with the states doesn't set any legal precedent and that ``unfortunately, the polls apparently show that suing tobacco is popular.''

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Rebecca L. Walldorff ( CN=Rebecca L. Walldorff/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JAN-1999 13:52:49.00

SUBJECT: Meeting Tomorrow, Friday

TO: Karen Tramontano ( CN=Karen Tramontano/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter A. Weissman ( CN=Peter A. Weissman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dominique L. Cano ( CN=Dominique L. Cano/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cathy R. Mays ( CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thurgood Marshall Jr ( CN=Thurgood Marshall Jr/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sylvia M. Mathews ( CN=Sylvia M. Mathews/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles R. Marr ( CN=Charles R. Marr/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ruby Shamir ( CN=Ruby Shamir/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maya Seiden ( CN=Maya Seiden/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jessica L. Gibson ( CN=Jessica L. Gibson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet L. Graves ( CN=Janet L. Graves/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Steve would like to have everyone at the meeting today come to the follow up meeting to be held tomorrow, FRIDAY Jan 22 at 3pm. As soon as I know what room, I'll let everyone know.

Please email me if you cannot attend.

Thanks!

Rebecca

# Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

## Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
--------------------------	---------------	------	-------------

004. email	June Turner to Elena Kagan and Laura Emmett re: Personal (1 page)	01/21/1999	Personal Misfile
------------	---	------------	------------------

### COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records  
Automated Records Management System [Email]  
OPD ([Kagan])  
OA/Box Number: 250000

### FOLDER TITLE:

[01/20/1999-01/21/1999]

2009-1006-F  
bm83

### RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Charles J. Payson ( CN=Charles J. Payson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JAN-1999 15:44:56.00

SUBJECT: Budget/Message Mtg TODAY at 4:45 pm

TO: Martha Foley ( CN=Martha Foley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stacie Spector ( CN=Stacie Spector/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Waldman ( CN=Michael Waldman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ron Klain ( CN=Ron Klain/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul E. Begala ( CN=Paul E. Begala/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer M. Palmieri ( CN=Jennifer M. Palmieri/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gene B. Sperling ( CN=Gene B. Sperling/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sylvia M. Mathews ( CN=Sylvia M. Mathews/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry J. Toiv ( CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steve Ricchetti ( CN=Steve Ricchetti/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria Echaveste ( CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen Tramontano ( CN=Karen Tramontano/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Douglas B. Sosnik ( CN=Douglas B. Sosnik/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jake Siewert ( CN=Jake Siewert/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis ( CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jacob J. Lew ( CN=Jacob J. Lew/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Rebecca L. Walldorff ( CN=Rebecca L. Walldorff/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Leslie Bernstein ( CN=Leslie Bernstein/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Cathy R. Mays ( CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Dominique L. Cano ( CN=Dominique L. Cano/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Melissa G. Green ( CN=Melissa G. Green/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Adrienne C. Erbach ( CN=Adrienne C. Erbach/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Katharine Button ( CN=Katharine Button/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Joshua S. Gottheimer ( CN=Joshua S. Gottheimer/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Scott R. Hynes ( CN=Scott R. Hynes/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Carolyn T. Wu ( CN=Carolyn T. Wu/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Tracy Pakulniewicz ( CN=Tracy Pakulniewicz/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Peter A. Weissman ( CN=Peter A. Weissman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Ruby Shamir ( CN=Ruby Shamir/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Janet L. Graves ( CN=Janet L. Graves/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Stephanie and Doug Sosnik are holding a meeting to discuss the Message schedule and the Budget at 4:45 pm TODAY in the First Lady's Conference Room (100 OEOB). They would like the following people should attend.

Thanks.

Sosnik

Streett

Lew

Mathews

Verveer

Lewis

Sperling

Siewert

Palmieri  
Begala  
Reed  
Kagan  
Tramontano  
Klain  
Echaveste  
Waldman  
Ricchetti  
Spector  
Toiv  
Foley

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JAN-1999 16:08:17.00

SUBJECT: Tobacco farmers

TO: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry J. Toiv ( CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joshua Gotbaum ( CN=Joshua Gotbaum/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas L. Freedman ( CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Fund To Help Tobacco Farmers

By ESTES THOMPSON  
Associated Press Writer

DURHAM, N.C. (AP) -- The nation's four largest cigarette companies agreed today to set up a \$5.15 billion trust fund to help tobacco growers who face a shrinking market due to settlements of lawsuits that have sharply raised the price of smoking and stepped up anti-smoking initiatives.

The agreement climaxed two days of private meetings between company officials and representatives from 11 tobacco states. For a time, it appeared the trust fund was in jeopardy because R.J. Reynolds balked at participating. The company said a better way to help the farmers would be to buy more of their tobacco.

But during negotiations today, R.J. Reynolds relented and signed onto the trust fund, which was restructured. Previously, the four companies -- R.J. Reynolds, Philip Morris, Brown & Williamson and Lorillard -- would have paid into the fund over 10 years; the agreement extends that to 12 years.

``There are many, many details yet to be worked out,' ' said Phil Carlton, the lawyer representing the tobacco companies. ``One of the concerns was one of the companies in particular had a problem with paying the money out that would have been its share in the first couple of years.' '

Tobacco farmers have been worried about their future as the drive against smoking intensified.

Costly settlements of state claims against tobacco companies seeking reimbursement for the cost of treating sick smokers have sharply raised the price of cigarettes and that is expected to reduce demand.

The trust fund initially was proposed by Philip Morris after the major cigarette makers agreed to a \$206 billion settlement with 46 states over health care costs last November. Part of that agreement required the companies to meet with state officials to discuss the trust fund for farmers.

Philip Morris announced last week it would deposit \$300 million into the fund this year, even if other companies chose not to participate. But the No. 1 tobacco company said its future participation hinged on the other companies joining the fund.

During the fund's first year, the companies would put in \$380 million, with Philip Morris' \$300 million contribution making up the bulk of the payment.

The second year, the companies would deposit \$280 million; the third year, \$400 million; years four-10, \$500 million a year; the 11th year, \$440 million; and the 12th year, \$150 million, the officials said.

North Carolina Gov. Jim Hunt said each state will receive a share of the fund equal to the amount of tobacco it grows.

States participating in the discussions are North Carolina, Ohio, South Carolina, Tennessee, Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Indiana, Kentucky, Virginia and West Virginia.

Hunt said the directors of the trust will determine the amount of the payments and when they are disbursed.

"We all leave here today winners with a positive outlook for a measure of financial assistance for our tobacco farmers," Hunt said.

During discussions Wednesday, R.J. Reynolds' senior vice president, Tommy Payne, said his company had concerns about how the trust fund money would be spent and whether it might raise antitrust issues. He said Reynolds' plan to buy more tobacco would not pose such problems because antitrust laws "do not preclude companies from coming to independent decisions."

Others, however, dismissed Reynolds' concerns and questioned whether they were the real reason for the company's reluctance to sign onto the fund.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JAN-1999 16:55:27.00

SUBJECT: Question re: DOJ lawsuit

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: J. Eric Gould ( CN=J. Eric Gould/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Do we want to propose all recoveries go to the Medicare trust fund (i.e., not only any Medicare recoveries but also any DOD, VA, etc. recoveries)?

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Thomas L. Freedman ( CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JAN-1999 17:11:44.00

SUBJECT: Draft Statement

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Mary L. Smith ( CN=Mary L. Smith/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

A statement I worked out with Dallas. We talked to the flue cured guy and he thought it sounded fine. Burely is still out of pocket.

While complete information is not yet available, the Administration supports the effort announced today to provide needed resources to tobacco farmers. The Administration has repeatedly stated its commitment to protecting tobacco farmers and their communities, a commitment the President affirmed last night in his State of the Union address. We look forward to working with all parties as this process continues, including Members of Congress, to ensure the financial well-being of tobacco farmers, their families, and their communities. Farmers who never marketed cigarettes to children and worked hard to sell a legal crop should be protected.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Thomas L. Freedman ( CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JAN-1999 18:35:26.00

SUBJECT: tobacco farmers item. BR want to add Hunt conversation?

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Mary L. Smith ( CN=Mary L. Smith/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Bruce may want to add a line about what Gov. Hunt said to him for the weekly item.

Tobacco Farmers.

The four largest cigarette companies agreed Thursday to set up a \$5.15 billion trust fund to help tobacco growers who face a shrinking market due to the state settlements that raised the price of smoking and is expected to reduce demand. The companies had agreed to meet with state officials to discuss the trust fund for farmers as part of the \$206 billion state settlement last November. There are many details still to be worked out, but it was announced the funds would be distributed over 12 years. During the fund's first year, the companies would put in \$380 million, (Philip Morris has already contributed \$300 million, the bulk of the payment). The second year, the companies would deposit \$280 million; the third year, \$400 million; years four-10, \$500 million a year; the 11th year, \$440 million; and the 12th year, \$150 million. Gov. Hunt, who helped spearhead the effort, said each state will receive a share of the fund equal to the amount of tobacco it grows. The eleven participating states are North Carolina, Ohio, South Carolina, Tennessee, Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Indiana, Kentucky, Virginia and West Virginia. News stories quoted Governor Hunt as stating: ``We all leave here today winners with a positive outlook for a measure of financial assistance for our tobacco farmers.'' Bruce Reed spoke with the Washington Post congratulating Governor Hunt and other state leaders for this positive step and reaffirming the Administration's commitment to protecting tobacco farmers and their communities. USDA will issue a similar statement. We have also spoken with leaders of some of the leading tobacco farmer organizations to explain recent Administration proposals and our continued commitment. The farm groups have expressed concern that a federal suit and new federal tax will further decrease demand for tobacco, but privately acknowledge that the threat of further federal action has thus far probably aided their efforts in negotiating the \$5 billion trust fund.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Thomas L. Freedman ( CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JAN-1999 19:04:36.00

SUBJECT: listeria outbreak stories

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Mary L. Smith ( CN=Mary L. Smith/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

USDA is worried it is going to get attacked for its handling of the listeria outbreak. The critiquer is a University of Minnesota epidemiologist who says USDA didn't do enough testing at the offending plant and they didn't put out a public warning or press releases. USDA says they did do testing, they have closed the offending lines in the plant, they posted Sara Lee's press release and have given multiple press interviews on the subject. They are putting out a list of the steps they have taken. Before he got on a plane to Russia, Glickman also had them put out a statement on their new lower salmonella numbers.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 21-JAN-1999 19:16:18.00

SUBJECT: Race Book

TO: Michael Cohen ( CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ: UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ: UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Can we do this?

----- Forwarded by Bruce N. Reed/OPD/EOP on 01/21/99  
06:58 PM -----

Clara J. Shin  
01/21/99 12:12:44 PM  
Record Type: Record

To: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message  
cc: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message  
Subject: Race Book

Please forward your comments and edits re: the Introduction and education portion of the workplan chapter of the President's Race Book to Maria E. by tomorrow (Friday) 5:00 pm. Thank you.

Message Sent

To: \_\_\_\_\_  
John Podesta/WHO/EOP  
Bruce N. Reed/OPD/EOP  
Gene B. Sperling/OPD/EOP  
Minyon Moore/WHO/EOP  
Ann F. Lewis/WHO/EOP  
Paul E. Begala/WHO/EOP  
Sidney Blumenthal/WHO/EOP  
Michael Waldman/WHO/EOP  
Elena Kagan/OPD/EOP  
Shirley S. Sagawa/WHO/EOP

Message Copied

To: \_\_\_\_\_  
Sara M. Latham/WHO/EOP  
Cathy R. Mays/OPD/EOP  
Peter A. Weissman/OPD/EOP  
Melissa G. Green/OPD/EOP  
Jocelyn A. Bucaro/WHO/EOP  
Ruby Shamir/WHO/EOP  
Tracy Pakulniewicz/WHO/EOP  
Joshua Gotbaum/OMB/EOP  
Laura Emmett/WHO/EOP

Katharine Button/WHO/EOP

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Mickey Ibarra ( CN=Mickey Ibarra/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JAN-1999 19:26:57.00

SUBJECT: Troops to Teachers

TO: Jennifer M. Palmieri ( CN=Jennifer M. Palmieri/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stacie Spector ( CN=Stacie Spector/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Amy Weiss ( CN=Amy Weiss/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria Echaveste ( CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis ( CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Cohen ( CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Maria E. Soto ( CN=Maria E. Soto/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Today's program was outstanding. And, CBS national news just aired a very positive story on the program and the President's proposal, including footage from the event.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jeanne Lambrew ( CN=Jeanne Lambrew/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JAN-1999 20:51:48.00

SUBJECT: FYI: Medicare Commission draft plan released

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ .OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Medicare Commission Plan Released. Thursday night, Senator Breaux sent out a press release announcing that the Commission would meet on Tuesday, January 26, and that he would release a plan in advance of it. We got a copy of that plan on Thursday night. Among other things, it includes a premium support plan that allows for differential benefits; raises the age eligibility for Medicare along with "a proposal to allow seniors with delayed eligibility to participate in Medicare, with exact details to be developed;" and a new Medicare Board to replace most of HCFA's functions. Adding a prescription drug benefit is included in a section entitled "areas that need to be resolved," with a statement that "Democrats are exploring ways to include an affordable drug benefit in Medicare's fee-for-service program." We are developing a set of qs and as, since reporters are already calling, and since Secretary Shalala is briefing the White House Press after Friday's bioterrorism event.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Karen Tramontano ( CN=Karen Tramontano/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JAN-1999 21:01:46.00

SUBJECT: dpc budget issues

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

would you down load the remaining dpc budget issues that could use events  
or leaks or other special treatment --i got the list from nec. thanks