

**NLWJC - KAGAN**

**EMAILS RECEIVED**

**ARMS - BOX 052 - FOLDER -008**

**[06/09/1999-06/10/1999]**

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Karin Kullman ( CN=Karin Kullman/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUN-1999 00:19:33.00

SUBJECT: text of press paper

TO: Setti D. Warren ( CN=Setti D. Warren/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David Vandivier ( CN=David Vandivier/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Melissa B. Ratcliff ( CN=Melissa B. Ratcliff/O=OVP@OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Erica S. Lepping ( CN=Erica S. Lepping/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karin Kullman ( CN=Karin Kullman/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julianne B. Corbett ( CN=Julianne B. Corbett/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Heather M. Riley ( CN=Heather M. Riley/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julie B. Goldberg ( CN=Julie B. Goldberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jason H. Schechter ( CN=Jason H. Schechter/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dag Vega ( CN=Dag Vega/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Beverly J. Barnes ( CN=Beverly J. Barnes/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nanda Chitre ( CN=Nanda Chitre/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joseph P. Lockhart ( CN=Joseph P. Lockhart/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Courtney O. Gregoire ( CN=Courtney O. Gregoire/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cathy R. Mays ( CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro ( CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Courtney M. Manning ( CN=Courtney M. Manning/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Deborah Akel ( CN=Deborah Akel/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alejandro G. Cabrera ( CN=Alejandro G. Cabrera/O=OVP@OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mark D. Neschis ( CN=Mark D. Neschis/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Richard L. Siewert ( CN=Richard L. Siewert/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Megan C. Moloney ( CN=Megan C. Moloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Melissa G. Green ( CN=Melissa G. Green/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah E. Gegenheimer ( CN=Sarah E. Gegenheimer/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julia M. Payne ( CN=Julia M. Payne/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Linda Ricci ( CN=Linda Ricci/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth R. Newman ( CN=Elizabeth R. Newman/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer M. Palmieri ( CN=Jennifer M. Palmieri/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry J. Toiv ( CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jose Cerda III ( CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Increasing Trust Between Communities and Law Enforcement:  
Combating Racial Profiling  
June 9, 1999

Today at a Justice Department conference, President Clinton will chair a roundtable discussion with leaders from civil rights and law enforcement organizations on ways to build trust between police and the communities they serve. To address the issue of racial profiling, the President will direct federal law enforcement agencies to begin collecting and reporting data on the race, ethnicity, and gender of the individuals they stop and search. The President also will call on Congress to pass legislation to promote data collection and reporting by state and local law enforcement agencies.

Getting the Facts on Racial Profiling

Leading by example. No person should be targeted by law enforcement because of the color of his or her skin. Stopping or searching individuals on the basis of race is not consistent with our commitment to equal justice under law and is not effective law enforcement policy. Racial profiling is simply wrong. As a necessary step to combat this problem, we need to learn the hard facts about when and where it occurs. That is why the President will direct the Departments of Justice, Treasury and Interior to:

(1) begin collecting data on the race, ethnicity, and gender of individuals subject to traffic and pedestrian stops, inspections at entries into the U.S., and certain other searches; and

(2) after one year, report on the findings of the new data collection system and make additional recommendations based on those findings on how to ensure greater fairness in federal law enforcement's procedures.

Helping states and localities. The President also will support legislation introduced by Representative John Conyers (D-MI) to establish a new federal grant program to assist state and local law enforcement agencies to implement similar data collection systems. This legislation also will authorize the Attorney General to develop a nationwide sample and issue a report on the number and nature of traffic stops conducted by state and local enforcement throughout the country.

Surveying the American public. As recently announced by the Attorney General, this year the Justice Department will amend its National Crime Victimization Survey and begin asking Americans about their experiences with traffic stops, police use of force, and police misconduct. This new information will help measure our success in building trust and improving relations between law enforcement and the community.

#### More Progressive Policing for the 21st Century

Continuing the community policing revolution. To make our communities safer and stronger, we must enhance our commitment to community policing. The 21st Century Policing Initiative contained in the President's crime bill extends his successful community policing initiative and contains several measures to help strengthen the integrity and ethics of police forces across the country. Specifically, his crime bill includes: (1) \$20 million to expand police integrity and ethics training; (2) \$20 million for police scholarships to promote the best educated police force possible; (3) \$2 million for improved minority recruitment to help make sure police departments reflect the diversity of the communities they represent; (4) \$10 million to help police departments purchase more video cameras to protect both the safety of officers and the rights of the individuals they stop; and (5) \$5 million to establish citizen police academies to engage community residents in the fight against crime.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cathy R. Mays ( CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUN-1999 16:51:21.00

SUBJECT: Weekly Health Care Strategy Meeting

TO: Jonathan M. Young ( CN=Jonathan M. Young/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Waldman ( CN=Michael Waldman/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry J. Toiv ( CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary E. Cahill ( CN=Mary E. Cahill/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Devorah R. Adler ( CN=Devorah R. Adler/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah A. Bianchi ( CN=Sarah A. Bianchi/O=OVP@OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Daniel N. Mendelson ( CN=Daniel N. Mendelson/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David W. Beier ( CN=David W. Beier/O=OVP@OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barbara D. Woolley ( CN=Barbara D. Woolley/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Neera Tanden ( CN=Neera Tanden/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeanne Lambrew ( CN=Jeanne Lambrew/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher C. Jennings ( CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jason H. Schechter ( CN=Jason H. Schechter/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Gina C. Mooers ( CN=Gina C. Mooers/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Rhonda Melton ( CN=Rhonda Melton/O=OVP@OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Teresa M. Jones ( CN=Teresa M. Jones/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Andrew F. Schneider ( CN=Andrew F. Schneider/O=OVP@OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Joseph D. Ratner ( CN=Joseph D. Ratner/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Courtney O. Gregoire ( CN=Courtney O. Gregoire/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

We will be having the weekly Health Care Strategy Meeting tomorrow,  
Thursday, June 10, at 4:00 p.m. in Bruce Reed's office.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jose Cerda III ( CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUN-1999 16:53:29.00

SUBJECT: Brady Report

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro ( CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul J. Weinstein Jr. ( CN=Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karin Kullman ( CN=Karin Kullman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:  
BR:

I've touched based w/Bea and Ann Harkins' office, and they expect the Brady report to be come over from BJS tomorrow afternoon. It should be ready for release anytime on Friday.

jc3

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Leanne A. Shimabukuro ( CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUN-1999 16:59:24.00

SUBJECT: wire story

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jose Cerda III ( CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Clinton Orders Study of Racial Profiling

By Kevin Galvin  
Associated Press Writer  
Wednesday, June 9, 1999; 1:43 p.m. EDT

WASHINGTON -- Calling racial profiling by police a  
``morally  
Clinton today ordered  
federal law enforcement officials to collect data on the  
race and gender of  
the people they stop to question or arrest.

The president announced the executive order at a  
roundtable on civil rights  
law enforcement, and said he hoped it would encourage  
state and local  
officials to examine their own law enforcement agencies  
for evidence of  
racial profiling.

``While public confidence in the police has been growing  
steadily overall,  
people of color continue to have less confidence and  
less trust, and  
believe they are targeted for action,'' Clinton said.  
``We must stop the  
morally indefensible, deeply corrosive practice of  
racial profiling. We all  
have an obligation to move beyond anecdotes to find out  
exactly who is  
being stopped and why.''

Clinton recounted how he once asked a group of black  
journalists how  
many of them had ever been stopped by police ``in their  
minds for no  
reason other than the color of their skin.'' All of them  
raised their hands, he  
said, reflecting a widespread problem.

Professors. Even  
said. ``No person  
of  
color is immune from such humiliating experiences.''

``Members of Congress can tell this story. Students.  
off-duty police officers can tell this story,''

Congress that would  
law enforcement

Clinton also said he supports legislation before  
provide funds for states to collect similar data, a move  
officials generally have resisted.

lives of young  
have revived debate  
minorities. In New Jersey,  
stops based on a

Questionable shootings this year by police that took the  
blacks in New York, Pittsburgh and Riverside, Calif.,  
about how officers handle confrontations with  
state troopers came under fire for conducting traffic  
driver's race -- so-called racial profiling.

radio address.

Clinton spoke out against such profiling in a recent

with on its own  
as a nation to  
critical to our

``While each specific allegation will have to be dealt  
merits, it is clear that we need a renewed determination  
restore those bonds of trust that have been absolutely  
success at lowering the crime rate,''

and Transportation  
collect data on the

Clinton's executive order calls on the Treasury, Justice  
departments to develop a proposal within 120 days to  
race, gender and ethnicity of people they stop.

Immigration and  
as the police who

The study would affect, for example, Customs agents and  
Naturalization officials at U.S. ports of entry, as well  
patrol U.S. parklands.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Leanne A. Shimabukuro ( CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUN-1999 00:34:10.00

SUBJECT: additional paper for tomorrow's roundtable

TO: Setti D. Warren ( CN=Setti D. Warren/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David Vandivier ( CN=David Vandivier/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Melissa B. Ratcliff ( CN=Melissa B. Ratcliff/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Erica S. Lepping ( CN=Erica S. Lepping/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karin Kullman ( CN=Karin Kullman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julianne B. Corbett ( CN=Julianne B. Corbett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Heather M. Riley ( CN=Heather M. Riley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julie B. Goldberg ( CN=Julie B. Goldberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jason H. Schechter ( CN=Jason H. Schechter/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dag Vega ( CN=Dag Vega/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Beverly J. Barnes ( CN=Beverly J. Barnes/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nanda Chitre ( CN=Nanda Chitre/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joseph P. Lockhart ( CN=Joseph P. Lockhart/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Courtney O. Gregoire ( CN=Courtney O. Gregoire/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cathy R. Mays ( CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro ( CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Courtney M. Manning ( CN=Courtney M. Manning/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Deborah Akel ( CN=Deborah Akel/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alejandro G. Cabrera ( CN=Alejandro G. Cabrera/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mark D. Neschis ( CN=Mark D. Neschis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Richard L. Siewert ( CN=Richard L. Siewert/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Megan C. Moloney ( CN=Megan C. Moloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Melissa G. Green ( CN=Melissa G. Green/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah E. Gegenheimer ( CN=Sarah E. Gegenheimer/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julia M. Payne ( CN=Julia M. Payne/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Linda Ricci ( CN=Linda Ricci/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth R. Newman ( CN=Elizabeth R. Newman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer M. Palmieri ( CN=Jennifer M. Palmieri/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry J. Toiv ( CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jose Cerda III ( CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Elena thought that this document might be useful to distribute with other press paper to give press a better sense about tomorrow's roundtable discussion with law enforcement and civil rights leaders, and who will be participating.

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====  
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS\_EXT:[ATTACH.D36]ARMS20781166N.136 to ASCII,  
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF57504380050000010A02010000000205000000921D000000020000C2A880BE77034DA9219890

20F9E04CBE464DE677CFF22A4209C3B678B655C5B10D9A09A0A2B0A20B505A47697C933DA984CC  
1A9770E44FD81096CEEC36A3572F50E4B8B1E7CAA2384CCB98CB8DD70792898F3627355103F94F  
A9F4F9E0F05E3E4A30A0775E996424D265CCFD014492963149A1CCB31B7CF41D0AC909D94E4DEA  
09B5CDDF3A0D8181E6A46398111346CC461BD03733982536BFBD08882CFDC3F1D071734C48508F  
8828CD9145130F79810E9F002C5BFF7117317A84B70EF7F4F68C35779489878EA2D5273DA55E09  
809DFD15F1982A52DD73D9FF4C160F8F4BAD0866CFEA278ACA4BD4AAF4B5A9DF05D9CC397F393  
9B5DEB5AD4620A46FD87EB2EB1E845A54C19B1D91CE5EC59007085ECF7D94F45A5E578573DA25B  
7EF56A3EFA9F4AEFBE34C64C223A8DEB7CC22730D53683068C156C31FCCF447B6A5158E50BF0C0

**ROUNDTABLE DISCUSSION ON  
STRENGTHENING POLICE-COMMUNITY RELATIONS  
June 9, 1999**

President Clinton will serve as the moderator for today's roundtable discussion on police-community relations. With the assistance of Professor Charles Ogletree from Harvard Law School and Christopher Stone from the Vera Institute of Justice, the President will outline several hypothetical situations involving interactions between law enforcement officers and members of the community. He will then follow up by asking individual panel participants a series of questions as to how they would respond to such situations. Some of the examples that the President will use during this discussion are:

**HYPOTHETICAL SITUATION #1:**

The police chief in a mid-size city is presented with requests from neighborhood watch organizers and other residents in a minority, high crime neighborhood to increase police patrols in that area. They particularly are concerned about young men loitering on the corners and in front of liquor stores, and they complain of gang activity and drug buys. When the chief added patrols in the past, the police were criticized strongly by others from this same community and civil rights activists for being heavy-handed.

**HYPOTHETICAL SITUATION #2:**

The Chief of Police of a major city has an aggressive program to stop cars in the city that appear to be carrying gang members and to question the driver and passengers. Gang activity in the city principally has involved gangs of African American, Hispanic, Asian, and Eastern European young people.

The police chief has just come to see the Mayor about a crisis. A few hours ago, two officers, one white and one Hispanic, stopped a low-riding car carrying three teenage black males based on a minor traffic violation, but something went terribly wrong. After the stop, there was an argument and the officers thought they saw one of the passengers reach into the back seat; they saw something shiny and thought it was a gun. They opened fire, injuring the driver and one of the passengers. No gun was found in the car. However, there was a shiny metallic object (wrench?) that may have been what the officers mistook for a gun.

The chief is prepared to support a full investigation and let the chips fall where they may. She is worried that the reaction to the incident may endanger her anti-gang traffic stop program, which she believes has been crucial to bringing down crime. Her community meetings over the last few years have convinced her that the public broadly supports this program. The mayor and chief of police will hold a press conference in two hours.

HYPOTHETICAL SITUATION #3:

A new police chief has been hired and the local paper published a series of op-ed pieces discussing the issues that the new chief should address. In one, the editorial board called on the chief to move beyond the slogans of community policing to the real spirit of community policing: giving local communities, particularly communities of color, a real say in how the police serve them.

The thirty participants in the roundtable discussion are:

CHAIR

President William J. Clinton

PARTICIPANTS

Attorney General Janet Reno

Deputy Attorney General Eric H. Holder, Jr.

Associate Attorney General Raymond C. Fisher

Acting Assistant Attorney General Bill Lann Lee, Civil Rights Division

Secretary Rodney Slater, Department of Transportation

Ronald Daniels, Center for Constitutional Rights

Paul Evans, Boston Police Department

Thomas Frazier, Baltimore Police Department

Gilbert Gallegos, Fraternal Order of Police

Richard Green, Crown Heights Youth Center

Penny Harrington, National Center for Women in Policing

Wade Henderson, Leadership Conference on Civil Rights

Antonia Hernandez, Mexican American Legal Defense & Educational Fund

John Justice, National District Attorneys Association

Representative Sheila Jackson Lee, 18th District, Texas

Ken Lyons, International Brotherhood of Police Officers

Kweisi Mfume, NAACP

Karen Narasaki, Asian Pacific American Legal Consortium

Ron Neubauer, International Association of Chiefs of Police

Professor Charles Ogletree, Harvard Law School

Hugh Price, National Urban League

Robert Scully, National Association of Police Organization

Rev. Al Sharpton, National Action Network

Chuck Sha-King, Youth Force

Dan Smith, National Sheriff's Association

Robert Stewart, National Organization of Black Law Enforcement Executives

Christopher Stone, Vera Institute of Justice

Beverly Watts-Davis, San Antonio Fighting Back

Mayor Anthony Williams, District of Columbia

Raul Yzaguirre, National Council of La Raza

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUN-1999 16:49:43.00

SUBJECT: ap

TO: Jose Cerda III ( CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:  
Clinton Criticizes House Gun Bill

By David Espo  
Associated Press Writer  
Wednesday, June 9, 1999; 1:38 p.m. EDT

WASHINGTON (AP) -- Bitterly evoking the Colorado school  
massacre,  
President Clinton accused House Republicans today of  
pushing a  
watered- down gun-control bill ``plainly ghostwritten''  
by the National  
Rifle Association.

At the same time, internal Republican polling shows  
strong support  
nationwide for a series of gun-control measures,  
including an increase in  
the allowable age for handgun purchases from 18 to 21.

``It is wrong to let the NRA call the shots on this  
issue,'' the president said  
at an afternoon roundtable discussion with civil rights  
and law enforcement  
officials.

``If the American people care about it, if we can still  
remember Littleton --  
it hasn't even been two months -- then we ought to speak  
up and be  
heard. This is a classic, horrible example of how  
Washington is out of  
touch with the rest of America.''

The Republicans' own polls suggested Clinton was  
striking a popular  
chord as he lobbed the first volley in what promises to  
be a highly charged  
political debate.

The GOP survey, conducted late last month in the wake of  
the Littleton,  
Colo., high school shootings, also found lopsided public  
backing for  
mandatory background checks for gun show sales, safety

devices for guns

and mandatory sentences for felons who commit their crimes with a gun.

Backing for all these provisions was in the range of 80 percent, according

to the survey, which was taken for the National Republican Congressional

Committee, the campaign arm of the House GOP. A copy of the survey

was made available to The Associated Press.

The material is in circulation at the same time the National Rifle

Association, a reliable financial backer of Republicans in recent

campaigns, is mounting a lobbying effort to derail the proposed mandatory background check provision.

House GOP leaders reversed course on Tuesday, deciding to send the

issue directly to the floor next week and bypass the Judiciary Committee.

``If you're a member (of Congress) one vote on these issues is better than

two,'' said Rep. Henry Hyde, R-Ill., the Judiciary Committee chairman, in

a reference to the political potency of the issue.

Democratic leader Dick Gephardt said the decision by Republicans

``indicates to me once again that the delay wasn't to go through the

committee. The delay was to allow the NRA to lobby.''

Hyde dismissed that as ``their typical knee-jerk reaction.''

Officials in both parties said the outcome of the debate is unpredictable,

given the narrow party breakdown in the House and the existence of

dissenting blocs within each party. Republicans hold 223 seats, and

Democrats hold 211, with one Democratic-leaning independent. But

Democrats say roughly two or three dozen of their rank-and-file can be

expected to oppose strong gun control provisions. A similarly-sized group

of Republicans is likely to support them.

One GOP lawmaker, Rep. Marge Roukema of New Jersey, said during

the day she wanted the House to pass ``what the Senate passed, at a

minimum.''

That includes the proposed mandatory background check

for all gun show purchases; safety locks or other devices to be sold with guns; a ban on the importation of high capacity ammunition clips; mandatory prison sentences for felons committing crimes with guns, and a lifetime ban on gun ownership for any juvenile convicted of a felony.

The Senate measure does not contain the provision to raise the minimum age for possession of handguns from 18 to 21, and the issue appears to divide Republicans in the House. Hyde supports such a provision, and House Speaker Dennis Hastert, R-Ill., spoke favorably of it last month.

The gun control debate will play out in the House as a part of a broader response to the Littleton shootings. Republicans will bring legislation to the floor to crack down on juvenile crime, and gun control provisions will be debated as proposed amendments. Terms for debate have not been set, but it is possible the GOP leadership will call on the House to vote on three or four competing packages of gun proposals, without possibility of amending any of them.

Hyde also will seek votes on a variety of provisions aimed at curtailing the violence that youth are exposed to.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Irene Bueno ( CN=Irene Bueno/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUN-1999 17:56:08.00

SUBJECT: Press Questions to INS on Racial profiling

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro ( CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jose Cerda III ( CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Courtney O. Gregoire ( CN=Courtney O. Gregoire/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Attached is an e-mail that INS forwarded to me on questions they have received from the press (NY Times, WA Post) on race profiling and how they have responded to the questions.

----- Forwarded by Irene Bueno/OPD/EOP on 06/09/99 05:53 PM -----

Cathy.St.Denis@usdoj.gov  
06/09/99 05:42:00 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Irene Bueno/OPD/EOP  
cc:  
Subject: Racial profiling

FYI

Forward Header

---

Subject: Racial profiling  
Author: Maria T Cardona at HQ-COM-006  
Date: 6/9/99 5:00 PM

FYI,

I've spoken to the New York Times and the Washington Post regarding the Presidential Directive on racial profiling. Their questions were what kind of data to we currently collect and on what to we base stops or in depth questioning. I made the following points:

The kind of data INS currently collects is information on ethnicity (especially relevant for asylum seekers), and country of origin (apprehensions and removals).

Racial profiling is not a legitimate law enforcement tool and INS does not engage in or condone its use. INS enforcement officials make stops based on

reasonable suspicion that someone is here or is engaged in illegal activity.

Reasonable suspicion is based on a number of factors including appearance. But reasonable suspicion may not be based solely on appearance, it must be accompanied by other articulable factors that may include suspicious behavior,

nervous demeanor, physical evidence, unusual reaction to the uniformed agents.

Sensitivity training is an important part of the Border Patrol agent's rigorous training program at INS Border Patrol Academy.

We will be working with the Department of Justice and the White House to work out the details of how we collect additional data according to the directive.

I worked with Gus and DOJ on this. You all should have draft Qs and As that can be used in the days to come -- we also may want to add some given that we did these in 30 minutes... You also should have the President's remarks and the

fact sheet the White House put out.

I've told Becraft and the Commissioner about the Post and the Times.

Thanks.

Maria

----- Forwarded with Changes -----

From: Maria T Cardona at HQ-COM-006  
Priority: Urgent  
Date: 6/9/99 5:00PM

To: Cathy St Denis at HQ-COM-004  
\*To: Allen Erenbaum at HQ-COM-005  
\*To: Kenneth J Elwood at HQ-EXM-002  
Subject: Racial profiling

---

--  
--

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Sandra Yamin ( CN=Sandra Yamin/OU=OMB/O=EOP [ OMB ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUN-1999 13:34:34.00

SUBJECT: REVISED Lockbox Letter -- 3:00PM Today Deadline

TO: Karen Tramontano ( CN=Karen Tramontano/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steve Ricchetti ( CN=Steve Ricchetti/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: George T. Frampton ( CN=George T. Frampton/OU=CEQ/O=EOP@EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria Echaveste ( CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Todd Stern ( CN=Todd Stern/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wesley P. Warren ( CN=Wesley P. Warren/OU=CEQ/O=EOP@EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ron Klain ( CN=Ron Klain/O=OVP@OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gene B. Sperling ( CN=Gene B. Sperling/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lynn G. Cutler ( CN=Lynn G. Cutler/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis ( CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Miles M. Lackey ( CN=Miles M. Lackey/OU=NSC/O=EOP@EOP [ NSC ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michelle Peterson ( CN=Michelle Peterson/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeffrey M. Smith ( CN=Jeffrey M. Smith/OU=OSTP/O=EOP@EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joshua Gotbaum ( CN=Joshua Gotbaum/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Martha Foley ( CN=Martha Foley/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sally Katzen ( CN=Sally Katzen/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John Podesta ( CN=John Podesta/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Wendy E. Gray ( CN=Wendy E. Gray/OU=NSC/O=EOP@EOP [ NSC ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Courtney O. Gregoire ( CN=Courtney O. Gregoire/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: James J. Jukes ( CN=James J. Jukes/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Sandra Yamin ( CN=Sandra Yamin/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: William G. Dauster ( CN=William G. Dauster/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Dawn L. Smalls ( CN=Dawn L. Smalls/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Linda Ricci ( CN=Linda Ricci/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Rebecca L. Walldorff ( CN=Rebecca L. Walldorff/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Robert L. Nabors ( CN=Robert L. Nabors/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Paul J. Weinstein Jr. ( CN=Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Lisa Zweig ( CN=Lisa Zweig/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Shannon Mason ( CN=Shannon Mason/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Mindy E. Myers ( CN=Mindy E. Myers/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Michele Ballantyne ( CN=Michele Ballantyne/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ UNKNOWN ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Mara E. Rudman ( CN=Mara E. Rudman/OU=NSC/O=EOP@EOP [ UNKNOWN ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Adrienne C. Erbach ( CN=Adrienne C. Erbach/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Carolyn T. Wu ( CN=Carolyn T. Wu/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Leslie Bernstein ( CN=Leslie Bernstein/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Lisa M. Kountoupes ( CN=Lisa M. Kountoupes/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Mark J. Tavlarides ( CN=Mark J. Tavlarides/OU=NSC/O=EOP@EOP [ NSC ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Victoria A. Wachino ( CN=Victoria A. Wachino/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Peter A. Weissman ( CN=Peter A. Weissman/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Judy Jablow ( CN=Judy Jablow/OU=CEQ/O=EOP@EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Elizabeth Gore ( CN=Elizabeth Gore/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Melissa G. Green ( CN=Melissa G. Green/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Charles E. Kieffer ( CN=Charles E. Kieffer/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Attached for you clearance is a revised version of the Lockbox letter.  
Appreciate your sign-off by 3:00PM. We aim to transmit this letter  
today. Thank you!

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====  
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS\_EXT:[ATTACH.D61]ARMS26887686A.136 to ASCII,  
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF57504381050000010A020100000002050000008F23000000020000597BFBCFD5F6920B0D6D6D  
B482A992556C440A361CE330B798F1A083F47CEDC03D9F8A0B28A279A78171D4E0D4B8BAEF2BFA  
48E23CC4FB24FC91298A1B5EFF562F4572B663570DF2D6720EAD7B95ECA6B9160187AED9288C6E  
CBF59F490200EB840A5D55DF948A2AD96B9B1BFA5E0A297BD0D282C3AAAB8C6590932C752332CB

The Honorable Trent Lott  
Majority Leader  
United States Senate  
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Mr. Leader:

The purpose of this letter is to provide the Administration's views on S.557. As the Senate acts on this legislation, your consideration of the Administration's views would be appreciated.

In the President's State of the Union, the President presented a budget proposal based on core principles. He called for dedicating the new surplus to a lockbox that would lower the nation's debt and extend the solvency of both Social Security and Medicare. The major elements of the fiscal program are: protecting the solvency of Social Security; saving and improving Medicare; reducing our debt; investing in the future through investing in education, research and development, the environment and national security; and providing targeted tax relief.

The Administration strongly opposes the budget process legislation announced by Senators Abraham and Domenici and the Republican Leadership on March 10, which we understand will be offered on the Senate Floor as a substitute amendment to S. 557. This legislation fails to protect Social Security and would in reality put payment of Social Security benefits at risk. For the reasons detailed below and in the *attached letter* from Secretary of the Treasury Rubin, if the Abraham/Domenici amendment or similar legislation is passed by the Congress, the President's Senior Advisors will recommend to the President that he veto the bill.

The Abraham/Domenici amendment would establish declining statutory limits on debt held by the public. As explained by Secretary Rubin in the attached letter, these arbitrary limitations on the Treasury's ability to borrow funds could trigger periodic debt crises, placing at risk the Federal government's ability to honor its financial obligations -- including payment of Social Security benefits. The Secretary concludes that this mechanism would create "uncertainty about the Federal government's ability to honor its future obligations...potentially threatening the ability to make Social Security payments to millions of Americans." These concerns would exist even if the legislation is amended to include recession waivers, because *any* unanticipated slowdown in the economy would cause a breach of these arbitrary limitations on debt.

Furthermore, the Abraham/Domenici amendment would not extend the solvency of the Social Security Trust Funds by a single day. The legislation is flawed because it fails to extend the solvency of the Trust Funds, fails to ensure that the surplus is used to protect the payment of benefits to Social Security beneficiaries, and contains an escape clause designed to allow the

diversion of surpluses attributable to Social Security to other purposes which neither help Social Security beneficiaries nor reduce the debt.

By contrast, the President has proposed a budget plan that substantially extends the life of the Social Security Trust Funds and dedicates a large portion of projected surpluses for the payment of Social Security benefits. The President's budget framework would reserve 62 percent of unified budget surpluses over the next 15 years to extend the solvency of the Social Security Trust Funds. These surpluses would be fully dedicated to the Social Security Trust Funds and would not be available for tax cuts or other spending programs. The independent Social Security Administration actuaries have estimated that reserving 62 percent of unified budget surpluses for Social Security would extend the life of the Trust Funds until 2059.

Moreover, the Administration supports extension of the Budget Enforcement Act pay-as-you-go requirements and discretionary spending caps, as additional insurance that the dedicated surplus funds will not be used for purposes other than Social Security solvency. The pay-as-you-go requirements and budget caps have been effective for the last ten years, and should be extended without change until the Congress and the President have secured the long-term solvency of Social Security.

In addition to securing the future of the Social Security program, the President's budget framework would lock away an additional 15 percent of total budget surpluses to extend the life of the Medicare Trust Fund by at least a decade. As with the Social Security surpluses, these funds would be dedicated to Medicare by investing the funds in Treasury securities and making them unavailable for any other purpose. The Republican proposal, by contrast, does nothing to guarantee extension of the Medicare Trust Fund and would seriously weaken the budget rules to permit non-Social Security surpluses to be spent on tax cuts.

[The Administration also has concerns about H.R. 1259, the House-passed approach. Although it adopts the principle that a substantial portion of the surplus should be dedicated to debt reduction, it also does nothing to extend social security or Medicare solvency. At the same time, it would promote an unwise and inflexible fiscal policy. It would create a process to force spending cuts or tax increases during a period of economic decline, which would deepen and lengthen an economic downturn.]

The budget debate should be focused on concrete steps to secure the long-term solvency of Social Security and to save and strengthen Medicare. Unfortunately, the Senate "lockbox amendment [and H.R. 1259] would do nothing to move the Nation towards that goal and risks bad fiscal policies that would threaten the health of our economy. The Administration urges the Congress to re-focus its efforts on the goal of saving Social Security first and strengthening Medicare.

Sincerely,

Jacob J. Lew

Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion

Director

Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jonathan H. Schnur ( CN=Jonathan H. Schnur/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUN-1999 17:55:22.00

SUBJECT: weekly item on charters and desegregation (Also, it would be great to get

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

DRAFT (I'd like to show this to Counsel's office if you are OK with that, Elena, so that they can edit for accuracy and comment on the analysis.)

The Justice Department's Civil Rights Division is involved in negotiations over proposed expansions of predominantly Africa-American charter schools in East Baton Rouge, Louisiana. East Baton Rouge is a 56,000 student school district under a longstanding desegregation case, and two thirds of the district's students are African-American. Last week, the East Baton Rouge School District asked the Justice Department to sign on to a joint motion requesting the Court to approve expansions at each school -- currently enrolling just under 100 students each -- by one additional grade next year. The Justice Department has previously expressed concerns about the impact of the proposed expansions on efforts to desegregate other schools in the district and on the district's ability to comply with the desegregation decree, but has made clear that they are open to supporting the expansions if they receive information from the school district that addresses their concerns. The information requested by the Justice Department --which has not yet been supplied -- includes information on the projected racial composition of the schools' student population, the impact of the schools on the racial composition of other schools from which students are drawn, assurances that the location and size of the schools will not be changed without Court approval, and a determination by the school board that the expansions will not impede the ability of the district to comply with the consent decree. While the Justice Department is open to supporting the expansions, their specific concerns appear to be that that the charter school expansions might 1) draw minority students from predominantly white schools (or perhaps white students from predominantly minority schools), thereby causing a few schools that are now marginally desegregated to become one-race schools, or 2) take away funding from the school district that would impede its ability to make improvements in one-race schools that are required under the consent decree (e.g., as wiring schools, fixing the school building). Amidst rumors in East Baton Rouge that the Justice Department is going to oppose the expansions, Clint Bolick and the Institute of Justice may be preparing to file a lawsuit on behalf of the two charter schools. Meanwhile, a private foundation may also step in to support the new grades at these schools as private schools, given concerns that their expansion as public schools may not be approved. Bill Lee is recused from the case because of his prior involvement with the NAACP legal defense fund, which is also a part to the consent decree. But after Clint Bolick wrote a Wall Street Journal editorial suggesting that Bill Lee not be confirmed because of this and other charter school cases, Senator Landrieux requested and received briefings on this case from the Justice Department. This week, Education Department Assistant Secretary Norma Cantu was asked by a House Oversight committee to testify on charter schools and desegregation on June 22 at a hearing that will also deal with high-stakes testing issues. [ELENA-- IN ADDITION TO SUBMITTING A VERSION OF THIS AS A WEEKLY REPORT ITEM, I ALSO WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS THIS WITH YOU AND GET GUIDANCE ON WHAT

WE SHOULD DO. COULD WE DISCUSS THIS TONIGHT OR SOMETIME TOMORROW?]

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jose Cerda III ( CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUN-1999 22:17:09.00

SUBJECT: NRA amendments

TO: Broderick Johnson ( CN=Broderick Johnson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro ( CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet Murguia ( CN=Janet Murguia/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:  
Colleagues:

A friend of mine from the Hill told me Dingell, Stupack, and Barcia had a pro-gun amendment meeting today. Seems they're agreed on offering 2 amendments: (1) to limit gun show checks to 24 hours; and (2) to drop the ban on imported clips and replace it w/increased penalties for violating the ban on clips.

Interesting, eh?

jc3

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jose Cerda III ( CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUN-1999 10:26:48.00

SUBJECT: side by side

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro ( CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Cathy R. Mays ( CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Courtney O. Gregoire ( CN=Courtney O. Gregoire/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

This is pretty good...take a look see...jc3  
----- Forwarded by Jose Cerda III/OPD/EOP on 06/10/99  
10:28 AM -----

JANET MURGUIA  
06/09/99 10:09:39 PM  
Record Type: Record

To: Broderick Johnson/WHO/EOP, Jose Cerda III/OPD/EOP  
cc:  
Subject: side by side

DOJ draft. Please review.  
----- Forwarded by Janet Murguia/WHO/EOP on 06/09/99  
10:08 PM -----

Witzleben Bea L <Bea.L.Witzleben@usdoj.gov>  
06/09/99 10:00:28 PM  
Record Type: Record

To: Janet Murguia/WHO/EOP  
cc:  
Subject: side by side

here it is. -b (matt and joe too!)

- side by side re hr 2037 and s254 on gun shows by matt june 9 as revised  
by bea.wpd

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS\_EXT:[ATTACH.D60]ARMS28487286J.136 to ASCII,

The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF5750432A0A0000010A02010000000205000000A93200000002000007D75DBC39EC6630297C16A  
A0424F4AB9F0B296D39245808D82C63847BBBB54E9D14374DA74FA4E409B9C55FBD987A988B77F  
E36BAD3746F661E8DD668F82D0D24E0EE8CB530033A6D943D4EB5A8AF8CB9D95C97817F5F8DB3B  
442E985C13FEA4F9C0DEA0F785288E57C95B6E9E6688D5EA55F6C587B2D651BDD4C891764611FA

**“LAUTENBERG LITE”  
HOW H.R. 2037 WEAKENS THE SENATE GUN SHOW BILL  
WITH ARTIFICIAL SWEETENERS FOR THE GUN LOBBY**

Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion

<b>HOW S. 254 CLOSES THE GUN SHOW LOOPHOLE</b>	<b>HOW H.R. 2037 REOPENS THE GUN SHOW LOOPHOLE</b>	<b>WHY IT MAKES A DIFFERENCE.</b>
<p>Defines “gun show” to cover <i>all</i> events where a large number of guns are for sale.</p>	<p>Applies only to events that are both “sponsored to foster the collecting . . . or . . . use of firearms” and involve at least 10 firearms vendors, as defined to include only sellers who have a fixed, assigned, or contracted location.</p>	<p>Under H.R. 2037, there would still be lots of events where lots of guns are being sold without background checks. Although most buyers at these events are law-abiding, these events also attract criminals, who could still get guns with no questions asked. Under H.R. 2037, if the event is not “sponsored” for a reason set out in H.R. 2037, it would not have checks, no matter how many guns are for sale. And even if it is “sponsored” for the specified reason, there would be no background checks if the event organizers and sellers keep the number of “vendors” below 10.</p>
<p>Applies the current Brady Law to all transfers of guns at gun shows.</p>	<p>Changes the Brady Law applied to gun shows to reduce the amount of time law enforcement has to complete the background check from 3 <i>business</i> days to “72 hours.” If the check cannot be completed within 72 hours, the sale must be allowed to proceed and all records about the transfer must be destroyed.</p>	<p>Under H.R. 2037, felons, fugitives, and other prohibited persons will get guns at gun shows, even though they could not get guns at gun stores. Although more than 70% of all Brady checks are completed within minutes, some checks require a few days. Usually, this is true because a State court criminal record must be examined which has not been made available to the instant check system. If H.R. 2037's 72-hour rule were the rule under the Brady Law's National Instant Check System (NICS), 22% of the people who have been denied guns would have gotten them. And with regard to prohibited people who try to buy guns on Saturday -- when most gun shows occur -- the 72-hour rule would have had even worse effect: 28% of the felons, fugitives, and other prohibited people who have been stopped would have gotten guns.</p>
<p>Requires everyone who wants to sell a gun at a gun show to verify their identity to the gun show promoter, and to be notified that they understand they must have a background check done on their buyer.</p>	<p>Does not require that anyone notify sellers of background check requirements and exempts all gun sellers who do not rent a table as a “vendor” -- but instead walk around selling guns at the gun show -- from verifying their identity.</p>	<p>H.R. 2037 complicates what is otherwise a very simple rule: if you intend to sell a gun at a gun show, you must check in with the promoter and be notified of your obligations to have a background check done on your buyer.</p>

<b>HOW S. 254 CLOSSES THE GUN SHOW LOOPHOLE</b>	<b>HOW H.R. 2037 REOPENS THE GUN SHOW LOOPHOLE</b>	<b>WHY IT MAKES A DIFFERENCE.</b>
Enhances law enforcement's ability to trace used guns if those guns are used in crimes.	Prevents tracing of <i>all</i> crime guns sold through "instant check registrants" at gun shows and does nothing to improve tracing of used guns sold by licensed dealers.	Under H.R. 2037, gun shows remain a safe harbor for criminals, who know that law enforcement will be unable to trace used guns bought and sold at gun shows.
Uses existing framework of federally-licensed firearms licensees to do background checks on behalf of unlicensed sellers at gun shows.	Adds new layer of bureaucracy to federal firearms regulation by resurrecting the "special registrants" (now called "instant check registrants") from the repudiated Craig Amendment to the Senate bill.	Licensed professional firearms dealers already have experience filling out the appropriate paperwork and using the NICS, and will make entries with fewer errors than non-professionals, assuring more accurate background checks and crime gun tracing information.
Protects privacy of gun buyers who get their backgrounds checked by using licensed professional dealers – who are subject to strict recordkeeping and inspection – to do background checks.	Allows anyone to get an "instant check registration" that will allow them to do background checks and transfer guns, even if they don't know anything about guns or the firearms business.	Because H.R. 2037's "registrants" are not professional dealers, they have fewer incentives to carefully follow the rules concerning the NICS. H.R. 2037 compounds this problem by requiring the immediate destruction of NICS records, which will prevent law enforcement from having a means to detect and deter misuse and abuse of the NICS. Under H.R. 2037, law enforcement will not be able to assure that the registrants are not using the system to run checks on their friends or enemies for purposes completely unrelated to firearms transfers.
Does not disturb more than 30 years of federal law requiring licensed dealers to sell within their home States only.	Allows federal gun dealers to ship guns directly to unlicensed buyers across State lines.	H.R. 2037 will impede the ability of states to control the flow of guns into their borders by allowing licensees who are unfamiliar with a State's firearms laws to ship guns to private individuals across state lines.
Requires a background check for any gun that is offered for sale, transfer or exchange at a gun show.	Limits background check requirement to guns that are offered for sale <i>and</i> accepted for purchase by a buyer.	H.R. 2037 creates a new loophole that allows unlicensed vendors to offer guns for sale and complete the sale outside the gun show without any background check.
<b>HOW S. 254 CLOSSES THE GUN SHOW LOOPHOLE</b>	<b>HOW H.R. 2037 REOPENS THE GUN SHOW LOOPHOLE</b>	<b>WHY IT MAKES A DIFFERENCE.</b>
Writes into the Brady Law a	Opens up the entire instant check	H.R. 2037 will prevent the FBI from protecting the privacy and

<p>requirement that records of approved transactions must be destroyed within 90 days or, if possible, even sooner.</p>	<p>system to fraud and abuse by requiring immediate destruction of records.</p>	<p>security of the sensitive information in the NICS. By imposing a requirement that records be destroyed immediately, H.R. 2037 will stop the government from detecting and identifying sales of firearms to criminals and other misuses of the system – such as background checks run on citizens for ulterior purposes – undermining the entire instant check system.</p>
<p>Allows States with instant check system to continue to operate under their existing framework.</p>	<p>Forbids anyone doing background checks as points of contact for the instant check system – even states with their own instant check systems – from retaining records or charging a fee.</p>	<p>Keeping States in the Brady check system assures the most thorough background checks, because States often have access to records that the federal government cannot access. H.R. 2037 will drive States away from doing background checks.</p>
<p>Does not create any new immunities.</p>	<p>Gives gun sellers and “registrants” at gun shows potentially sweeping immunity.</p>	<p>There is no reason to use gun show legislation to decrease the accountability of those who engage in gun transactions.</p>

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jose Cerda III ( CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUN-1999 23:16:13.00

SUBJECT: Draft Letter to Brady Supporters

TO: Janet Murguia ( CN=Janet Murguia/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Broderick Johnson ( CN=Broderick Johnson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro ( CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Courtney O. Gregoire ( CN=Courtney O. Gregoire/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Cathy R. Mays ( CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:  
Sorry so late. What do guys think? jc3

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====  
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:  
Unable to convert ARMS\_EXT:[ATTACH.D86]ARMS25747796N.136 to ASCII,  
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF57504370040000010A02010000000205000000BA11000000020000D7715A48719B1234747351  
770CBEDA79FF45D68FF206077CB3F1E68AEC22C280D27B55888784FB0C09242A0ED4BCFA308496  
41691CDD8A35F26D353B91E49FEE0F8B226E87B7B3014D7259FE9C0F6B4A2649D0CC0BB690F01A  
6337BB8A0BDF83580CA3D8319136DCBAD3CE945478B3A16A05D69606F9F8E5BB629D9EF1985542  
95C2CA019DDEB0428CAE18B5F130D119D548BB45FEA58E691718F19CC35E65C0E0E0A63E5C4BA7

June 11, 1999

Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion

Dear [Brady Bill Supporter]:

Next week, you will have the opportunity to vote on one of the most important pieces of gun legislation since you supported the Brady bill in 1993. And I urge you, in the strongest terms possible, to support the common sense gun measures included in Senate's juvenile crime bill.

I especially ask you to support closing the gun show loophole and to reject the false arguments and phony reforms that will be put forward by opponents of this meaningful gun legislation. As a Brady bill supporter, you have heard these arguments before:

Criminals don't buy guns from gun dealers or at gun shows; they buy them on the street or steal them. New gun laws only serve to inconvenience law-abiding citizens.

Gun laws only stop people who need a gun for self protection from getting a gun when they need it most.

Every new gun law is just another step towards federal gun registration or confiscation.

Of course, all Americans now know the truth: since the Brady Law's enactment, well over a quarter of a million illegal handgun sales have been blocked -- and no law-abiding citizen has been stopped from buying a gun for sport or self-protection. In fact, the Brady Law has proven to be one of the most effective law enforcement tools ever. However, none of this has stopped the gun lobby from recycling the same empty arguments in an effort to kill or weaken the gun show legislation passed by the Senate. And unfortunately, the NRA's siren song has swayed at least some in the House leadership, who have proposed gun show legislation that is riddled with new and dangerous loopholes similar to those that were defeated in the Senate.

Make no mistake: your vote on these important details can make the difference in whether or not we close the gun show loophole once and for all. For instance, if the House leadership's proposal to put a 72-hour time limit on background checks at gun shows applied to our National Instant Check System (NICS), the Justice Department estimates that 22 percent of the fugitives and felons that have been denied guns -- or more than 9,300 since the start of this year -- would have them today. But that is not all. The House leadership's bill would also allow hundreds of guns to be sold at flea markets without any background check, and it would prevent law enforcement from tracing many of guns that are sold at gun shows and later used in crimes. I hope you will agree with me that these provisions are simply unacceptable.

As a supporter of the Brady bill, you have a record of putting the interests of the American people over the clout of the gun lobby. In the that same spirit, I ask you again to vote your convictions -- and to vote to strengthen our guns as much as possible.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Barry J. Toiv ( CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUN-1999 09:02:31.00

SUBJECT: two pieces in Times

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

1. Thornstrom op-ed -- Is that OCR report a problem for our testing and standards, etc.?

2. Safire -- We should probably think about guidance on the question of repealing the 2nd amendment if Safire's going to be talking about it

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Sandra Yamin ( CN=Sandra Yamin/OU=OMB/O=EOP [ OMB ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUN-1999 13:23:47.00

SUBJECT: URGENT 1:50PM DEADLINE -- FINAL Draft Letter on Commerce/Justice/State App

TO: Karen Tramontano ( CN=Karen Tramontano/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steve Ricchetti ( CN=Steve Ricchetti/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: George T. Frampton ( CN=George T. Frampton/OU=CEQ/O=EOP@EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria Echaveste ( CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Todd Stern ( CN=Todd Stern/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wesley P. Warren ( CN=Wesley P. Warren/OU=CEQ/O=EOP@EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ron Klain ( CN=Ron Klain/O=OVP@OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gene B. Sperling ( CN=Gene B. Sperling/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lynn G. Cutler ( CN=Lynn G. Cutler/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis ( CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Miles M. Lackey ( CN=Miles M. Lackey/OU=NSC/O=EOP@EOP [ NSC ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michelle Peterson ( CN=Michelle Peterson/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeffrey M. Smith ( CN=Jeffrey M. Smith/OU=OSTP/O=EOP@EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joshua Gotbaum ( CN=Joshua Gotbaum/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Martha Foley ( CN=Martha Foley/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sally Katzen ( CN=Sally Katzen/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John Podesta ( CN=John Podesta/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Wendy E. Gray ( CN=Wendy E. Gray/OU=NSC/O=EOP@EOP [ NSC ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Courtney O. Gregoire ( CN=Courtney O. Gregoire/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: James J. Jukes ( CN=James J. Jukes/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Sandra Yamin ( CN=Sandra Yamin/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: William G. Dauster ( CN=William G. Dauster/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Dawn L. Smalls ( CN=Dawn L. Smalls/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Linda Ricci ( CN=Linda Ricci/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Rebecca L. Walldorff ( CN=Rebecca L. Walldorff/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Robert L. Nabors ( CN=Robert L. Nabors/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Paul J. Weinstein Jr. ( CN=Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Lisa Zweig ( CN=Lisa Zweig/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Shannon Mason ( CN=Shannon Mason/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Mindy E. Myers ( CN=Mindy E. Myers/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Daniel B. Shapiro ( CN=Daniel B. Shapiro/OU=NSC/O=EOP@EOP [ NSC ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Michele Ballantyne ( CN=Michele Ballantyne/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ UNKNOWN ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Mara E. Rudman ( CN=Mara E. Rudman/OU=NSC/O=EOP@EOP [ UNKNOWN ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Adrienne C. Erbach ( CN=Adrienne C. Erbach/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Carolyn T. Wu ( CN=Carolyn T. Wu/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Leslie Bernstein ( CN=Leslie Bernstein/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Lisa M. Kountoupes ( CN=Lisa M. Kountoupes/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Mark J. Tavlarides ( CN=Mark J. Tavlarides/OU=NSC/O=EOP@EOP [ NSC ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Victoria A. Wachino ( CN=Victoria A. Wachino/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Peter A. Weissman ( CN=Peter A. Weissman/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Judy Jablow ( CN=Judy Jablow/OU=CEQ/O=EOP@EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Elizabeth Gore ( CN=Elizabeth Gore/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Melissa G. Green ( CN=Melissa G. Green/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Charles E. Kieffer ( CN=Charles E. Kieffer/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Attached for your sign-off is a final draft letter on the Commerce/Justice/State Appropriations Bill, FY00. Full committee mark-up is scheduled for 3:00PM TODAY. Appreciate your sign-off and comments no later than 1:50PM. Our apologies for the tight turnaround. Thank you

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====  
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS\_EXT:[ATTACH.D67]ARMS205366860.136 to ASCII,  
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF5750439A0C0000010A02010000000205000000FC390000000200003BA8009A3B3FC4E597C381  
A6F75836FA8D6F307E487A1847077CCE1FDB5DD502AF5C2F9271346D2BC1C4DE6F943EA642E1D0  
132CCF82EF18DDE408B6BB32F30A7FA3E8A0B256A0E130990FD744C3F684C8DEDC26C4DE5F329D  
336B7553A9F13944FD0D3BF592B3C8A12CAC82C2CA3A384896B14B1A4D68138DB71F5B3895D790

The Honorable Ted Stevens  
Chairman  
Committee of Appropriations  
United States Senate  
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The purpose of this letter is to provide the Administration's views on the Commerce, Justice, and State, the Judiciary, and Related Agencies Appropriations Bill, FY 2000, as approved by the Senate Subcommittee. As the Committee develops its version of the bill, your consideration of the Administration's views would be appreciated. These views are based on incomplete information and are, therefore, necessarily preliminary.

The Administration appreciates the Subcommittee's efforts to accommodate some of the Administration's priorities within its 302(b) allocation. However, the inadequacy of the 302(b) allocation has forced the Subcommittee to make choices that are simply unacceptable.

The President's FY 2000 Budget proposes levels of discretionary spending that meet important national needs while conforming to the Bipartisan Budget Agreement by making savings proposals in mandatory and other programs available to help finance vital spending needs. Congress has approved and the President has signed into law nearly \$29 billion of such offsets in appropriations legislation since 1995. The Administration urges the Congress to consider such proposals as the FY 2000 appropriations process moves forward. Such action will be critical in the development of this bill, as it must accommodate additional requests for two vital activities – the decennial census and embassy security – that were transmitted to the Congress, fully offset, on June 8, 1999. We look forward to working with the Committee to ensure that funding is provided for programs included in that budget amendment package as the bill moves forward. In addition, we urge the Committee to keep the bill free of extraneous provisions.

**[While information on the bill is not complete, if a bill that did not address the concerns below were presented to the President, the President's senior advisers would recommend that he veto the bill.]** The Administration strongly urges the Committee to address the following issues:

Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion

## Department of Justice

21<sup>st</sup> Century Policing Initiative/Community Oriented Policing Services. The Administration strongly opposes the Subcommittee's decision not to fund the 21<sup>st</sup> Century Policing Initiative, the logical successor to the highly-effective Community Oriented Policing Services program. Congress should not terminate this highly-effective program. We urge the restoration of funding for the 21st Century Policing Initiative, which will enable local police Departments to hire up to 50,000 additional community police officers.

Federal Law Enforcement Funding. The Administration is concerned that while the Subcommittee bill purports to fund several new initiatives, it fails to even provide funding to support the FBI, DEA, INS, and U.S. Attorneys at current services levels in FY 2000. If these levels and the earmarks identified in the bill were enacted, reductions in current staffing levels would be required.

Brady Handgun National Instant Check System. The Administration is concerned that the bill does not include the requested fee to fund the cost of the Brady Handgun National Instant Check System (NICS), nor does it provide sufficient funding to the FBI to continue operation of the NICS system to perform these necessary checks. The Administration urges the Committee to approve the requested fee.

State Criminal Alien Assistance. The Administration is disappointed with the Subcommittee's decision to substantially reduce funding for the State Criminal Alien Assistance program.

## Department of Commerce

Decennial Census. On June 8, 1999, the President requested \$1.7 billion in additional funding for implementation of the decennial census. This funding will support the increased activities made necessary by the January 25, 1999, U.S. Supreme Court ruling. The funds requested in the amendment primarily address the additional workload associated with a non-sampling census for purposes of congressional apportionment, including additional staff, equipment, office space, and information technology needs. Although proceeding with a non-sampling census for purposes of congressional apportionment will increase our costs substantially, it unfortunately will produce less accurate results than the sampling method proposed by the Census Bureau.

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. We are disappointed that the Subcommittee mark does not provide the \$60 million needed to implement the 1999 Pacific Salmon Agreement, as requested in the Administration's recently-submitted budget amendment. We also urge full funding of the President's Lands Legacy initiative. In addition, we urge the Committee to fully fund the request for the Global Learning and Observations to Benefit the Environment (GLOBE) program. The Subcommittee bill would freeze the program at \$2.5

million, half the requested level. We also urge the Committee to include the requested \$1 million for new education and outreach activities at Historically Black Colleges and Universities.

This funding would have a dramatic impact on creating a pipeline of marine biology students at these institutions.

Economic Development Administration. The Subcommittee mark reduces the Economic Development Administration (EDA) by \$165 million, over 40 percent below both the request and the FY 1999 enacted level. This funding level would mean a significant reduction in EDA's ability to create jobs and expand economic opportunity in hundreds of distressed communities around the country.

Critical Infrastructure Protection. The Subcommittee mark does not include the funds necessary to protect our Nation's critical infrastructure. We urge the Committee to fully fund the \$7.3 million requested for NTIA and NIST.

#### Legal Services Corporation

The Administration urges the Committee to increase the mark for the Legal Services Corporation (LSC) from a freeze at the FY 1999 enacted level, \$300 million, to the requested level of \$340 million. Funding LSC at the requested level will help to ensure equal access to the judicial system.

#### International Affairs Programs

The Administration is deeply concerned about the Subcommittee's severe reductions to the request for Department of State accounts that fund diplomatic and consular activities, as well as contributions to international organizations and peacekeeping. Reductions of eight percent to the request for ongoing diplomatic and consular operations would impair the ability of the Department to support American interests and provide services to the public by forcing severe reductions to personnel, operations, and investment that would undermine U.S. leadership in world affairs.

The Administration appreciates funding provided to continue enhanced embassy security operations, but the Subcommittee's mark does not meet the President's request for an accelerated construction program of new, secure embassy facilities and does not provide the requested advance appropriations necessary to support a multi-year capital improvement program. The Subcommittee bill significantly underfunds the annual assessed contributions to international organizations and peacekeeping and only partially funds our requirement to pay off U.S. arrearages. These funding levels would increase arrears, further inhibit chances for reforms we are all seeking, and seriously constrain the ability of the United States to address foreign policy interests through the mechanism of U.N. peacekeeping. Most troubling, based on limited information, the Subcommittee mark does not appear to include the \$107 million arrears credit that the Senate Foreign Relations Committee specifically directed to be included as part of the bipartisan arrears package. The Administration considers this credit a key element of our U.N. arrears agreement.

The Administration opposes the Subcommittee's decision not to fund the National Endowment for Democracy.

Small Business Administration

The Administration is disappointed that the Subcommittee mark does not include funding for the new markets initiatives to invest in rural and urban areas -- \$82 million for New Markets Technical Assistance, 7(a) small loans, and the New Markets Venture Capital initiative. In addition, we are concerned that the Subcommittee has not provided the \$233 million in contingent emergency funding requested for disaster loans. This funding will ensure SBA that can meet the projected demand for the program.

The Administration will provide additional views on the bill as information becomes available. We look forward to working with the Committee to address our mutual concerns.

Sincerely,

Jacob J. Lew  
Director

Identical Letter Sent to The Honorable Ted Stevens,  
The Honorable Robert C. Byrd, The Honorable Judd Gregg,  
and The Honorable Ernest F. Hollings

The Honorable Robert C. Byrd  
Committee on Appropriations  
United States Senate  
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Byrd:

Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion

The Honorable Judd Gregg  
Chairman  
Subcommittee on Commerce, Justice,  
State, and Judiciary Appropriations  
Committee on Appropriations  
United States Senate  
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Mr. Chairman:

Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion

The Honorable Ernest F. Hollings  
Subcommittee on Commerce, Justice,  
State, and Judiciary Appropriations  
Committee on Appropriations  
United States Senate  
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Hollings:

Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cathy R. Mays ( CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUN-1999 11:03:56.00

SUBJECT: Education Strategy Meeting

TO: Mike\_Cohen@ed.gov@inet ( Mike\_Cohen@ed.gov@inet [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul D. Glastris ( CN=Paul D. Glastris/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tanya E. Martin ( CN=Tanya E. Martin/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bethany Little ( CN=Bethany Little/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Victoria A. Lynch ( CN=Victoria A. Lynch/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Shirley S. Sagawa ( CN=Shirley S. Sagawa/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisa M. Towne ( CN=Lisa M. Towne/OU=OSTP/O=EOP@EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Broderick Johnson ( CN=Broderick Johnson/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Emma\_Harrell@ed.gov@inet ( Emma\_Harrell@ed.gov@inet [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Vicky\_Stroud@ed.gov@inet ( Vicky\_Stroud@ed.gov@inet [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan M. Young ( CN=Jonathan M. Young/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary E. Cahill ( CN=Mary E. Cahill/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer M. Palmieri ( CN=Jennifer M. Palmieri/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan H. Schnur ( CN=Jonathan H. Schnur/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry J. Toiv ( CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter Rundlet ( CN=Peter Rundlet/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet Murguia ( CN=Janet Murguia/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barbara Chow ( CN=Barbara Chow/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: MaryEllen C. McGuire ( CN=MaryEllen C. McGuire/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jason H. Schechter ( CN=Jason H. Schechter/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jennifer E. McGee ( CN=Jennifer E. McGee/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ UNKNOWN ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Joseph D. Ratner ( CN=Joseph D. Ratner/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Iratha H. Waters ( CN=Iratha H. Waters/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Mindy E. Myers ( CN=Mindy E. Myers/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Courtney O. Gregoire ( CN=Courtney O. Gregoire/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

The Education Strategy Meeting for today at 5:15 p.m. is CANCELLED.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Karen Tramontano ( CN=Karen Tramontano/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUN-1999 18:59:30.00

SUBJECT: VP at AFSME

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher C. Jennings ( CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

i can't think of anything -- canyou?

----- Forwarded by Karen Tramontano/WHO/EOP on 06/10/99

06:58 PM -----

Melissa B. Ratcliff@OVP

06/09/99 12:42:01 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Karen Tramontano/WHO/EOP@EOP

cc: Laura M. Quinn/OVP@OVP

Subject: VP at AFSME

The VP is speaking to AFSME in Iowa on June 25th. Any suggestions or ideas for a deliverable? Please let me or Laura know, thanks!!

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: MaryEllen C. McGuire ( CN=MaryEllen C. McGuire/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUN-1999 13:58:53.00

SUBJECT: Conference Call

TO: Andrew J. Mayock ( CN=Andrew J. Mayock/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: JGompert@cns.gov ( JGompert@cns.gov @ inet [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thurgood Marshall Jr ( CN=Thurgood Marshall Jr/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anne E. McGuire ( CN=Anne E. McGuire/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] ) -  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen Tramontano ( CN=Karen Tramontano/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer M. Palmieri ( CN=Jennifer M. Palmieri/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas L. Freedman ( CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tanya E. Martin ( CN=Tanya E. Martin/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis ( CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Shirley S. Sagawa ( CN=Shirley S. Sagawa/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Twest@cns.gov ( Twest@cns.gov @ inet [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Aprill N. Springfield ( CN=Aprill N. Springfield/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Cathy R. Mays ( CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Carolyn T. Wu ( CN=Carolyn T. Wu/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

We will have and AmeriCorps Conference call this coming Monday, June 14th at 5pm. Please call 757-2100, code 4129.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Leanne A. Shimabukuro ( CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUN-1999 13:07:19.00

SUBJECT: COPS Q&A

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Courtney O. Gregoire ( CN=Courtney O. Gregoire/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jose Cerda III ( CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Barry just asked for this Q&A. Please review and send to press.

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====  
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS\_EXT:[ATTACH.D10]ARMS25434686R.136 to ASCII,  
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF57504308050000010A02010000000205000000BB0D000000020000403E9AF59AEA97C7ABEE93  
F596F2008BBC69D8C301E9C16C263A5E35374B04C6EB65FE84565FC474A6E3B8754BEA98F601D5  
A49F5140FE8C4E326A69121EB63126D93AA6D5F902BCD6A6165EDECDC4978EE117D027FB3A388A  
35E8B51535FC75F3B42C979FF0C5DB85F02E73942E8FA63A322342BA0DD0B80230CABE6C6D1489  
49C347267F8E57F4C2D85EF503863853C6EB6D341C92DBC2B378D4BEBD7BEC4307F7EB12E30DB8  
194242C8C00B9283D6709FC1F46341C9C9EA90F02827A1D6783716917BE5010742EEA1E9C068CF  
34ACD427C0C911132263BCC59A11606F4F3EAA990A23574C2032178F7C46CB334D5AC8B755BD18  
FD7118E8DBB464268B4D7599E2EDD2CBAEC9070E95880CAFDF2DCCC8EA1FB0F20AB6A191C20FFA  
B850460FFAEE907C74275D59548D73C01A5E8266E3D4E0D87FEE2250CA8787AA1E1D4BAC551E28  
F9BAC0F10B9842894CAD8A3515DBCDD41CDE6E523DA2BE6AC56DE64431788D40A7116B08ACFD42  
57CAD02D3D3B60F3CEEFD225348FB21147E5A63B6765D891B32B68537AF74D9175E43380A61741

**COPS**  
**Question and Answer**  
**June 10, 1999**

**Q: Yesterday, the Senate Commerce, Justice Appropriations Subcommittee voted out a bill which zeroes out the President's COPS Program. What is your reaction?**

**A: We are strongly opposed to the Senate move to zero out our COPS program. The President's COPS Initiative has been instrumental in helping communities to cut crime in all categories and across the country in cities and towns of all sizes. Mayors, police chiefs, and residents alike confirm that this program has made a difference in helping to bring down crime and make our communities safer.**

Just last month, the President announced that we have funded 100,000 officers for our nation's streets. Instead of ending this initiative, we need to continue the success we've had with our COPS program -- by putting more community police officers on our streets and giving them the tools they need to continue to cut crime into the 21st Century. The President's balanced budget provides nearly \$1.3 billion to continue the COPS program -- and we will fight to ensure that our initiative is fully funded in any final budget package passed by the Congress.

**Clearly, there's a difference of approach here. We have a simple strategy that is reducing crime across America: we want more cops on the street and fewer guns. They want more guns on the street and fewer cops. We think that's the wrong approach for America.**

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jose Cerda III ( CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUN-1999 14:10:50.00

SUBJECT:

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
 READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro ( CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
 READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
 READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Court Strikes Down 'Street Gang' Law

By Richard Carelli  
 Associated Press Writer  
 Thursday, June 10, 1999; 11:59 a.m. EDT

WASHINGTON □\* The Supreme Court today struck down a  
 Chicago law aimed at preventing gang members and their friends  
 from hanging out in public "with no apparent purpose." The 6-3 decision  
 ended a constitutional dispute that captured the attention of  
 states and communities across the nation.

The court said the 1992 anti-loitering ordinance, which  
 resulted in 45,000 arrests in the three years it was enforced, violated the  
 rights of the people police arrested under it because it did not give them  
 adequate notice what was forbidden.

The ruling limits communities' options in battling  
 problems caused by street gangs.

In other decisions today, the court:

□□ Limited the use of out-of-court statements as evidence  
 in criminal trials.

In a divided ruling that revived a Virginia death row  
 inmate's challenge of his conviction, the justices made it harder for  
 prosecutors to use as evidence the earlier confession of an alleged accomplice  
 who admitted some wrongdoing but pinned the primary blame on the  
 defendant.

Resolved a major patent-law dispute in the

government's favor today,  
narrowing a specialized court's authority to  
second-guess federal officials'  
decisions on which inventions deserve patent protection.  
The 6-3 ruling  
said such decisions by the Patent and Trademark Office  
must be given  
more deference by a federal appeals court that  
specializes in technical  
cases.

□□ Made it harder for prosecutors to prove federal cases  
alleging bank,  
wire or mail fraud. The court ruled unanimously that  
prosecutors in such  
cases must prove that an allegedly fraudulent act  
affected the outcome of  
the transaction.

The Chicago ordinance required police to order any group  
of people  
standing around "with no apparent purpose" to move along  
if an officer  
believed at least one of them belonged to a street gang.  
Those who  
disregarded the order would be arrested.

The Illinois Supreme Court had struck down the law,  
calling its language  
too vague and ruling that it gave police officers too  
much discretion in  
deciding whether there had been a violation.

Today's decision said the state court was right.

"Since the city cannot conceivably have meant to  
criminalize each instance  
a citizen stands in public with a gang member, the  
vagueness that dooms  
this ordinance is not the product of uncertainty about  
the normal meaning  
of 'loitering' but rather about what loitering is  
covered by the ordinance  
and what is not," Justice John Paul Stevens wrote for  
the court.

Stevens, the highest court's only Chicago native, said  
the law required  
police to tell people to move on without inquiring about  
their purpose in  
standing around.

"It matters not whether the reason that a gang member  
and his father, for  
example, might loiter near Wrigley Field is to rob an  
unsuspecting fan or  
just to get a glimpse of Sammy Sosa leaving the  
ballpark," he said. "In  
either, if their purpose is not apparent to a nearby  
police officer, she (the

officer) may indeed, she "shall" order them to disperse."

In finding fault with Chicago's anti-loitering law, Stevens was joined by Justices Sandra Day O'Connor, Anthony M. Kennedy, David H. Souter, Ruth Bader Ginsburg and Stephen G. Breyer.

O'Connor, Kennedy and Breyer wrote separate opinions explaining their views.

Justice Antonin Scalia, who dissented along with Chief Justice William H. Rehnquist and Justice Clarence Thomas, took six minutes to read aloud from the bench part of his strongly worded opinion.

"The citizens of Chicago have decided that depriving themselves of the freedom to 'hang out' with a gang member is necessary to eliminate pervasive gang crime and intimidation .) and that the elimination of the one is worth the deprivation of the other," he said. "This court has no business second-guessing either the degree of necessity or the fairness of the trade."

He called the invalidated ordinance "a perfectly reasonable measure."

When the case was argued before the justices in December, city lawyer Lawrence Rosenthal called gang crime "different from every other form of criminal activity." He told the court, "Street gangs rely on their ability to terrorize the community," and that police officers most often arrive on the scene only to see gang members "pretending to innocently loiter."

But a majority of the justices had voiced concerns during the argument session over what O'Connor called the "arbitrariness of the police."

The Clinton administration, 31 states, the National League of Cities, U.S. Mayors Conference and National Governors Association sided with Chicago and urged the court to reinstate the ordinance.

The ordinance's opponents included the NAACP and other civil rights groups, the National Law Center on Homelessness and Poverty and the

National Black Police Association.

decision  
gangs cause for  
In striking down the ordinance as too vague, today's  
acknowledged "the serious and difficult problems" street  
Chicago.

ordinance that affords  
to citizens who wish  
"However, in this instance the city has enacted an  
too much discretion to the police and too little notice  
to use the public streets," Stevens wrote.

Jacksonville, Fla.,  
place to place  
A more liberal Supreme Court in 1972 struck down a  
ordinance that prohibited "persons wandering around from  
without any lawful purpose or object."

were viewed by  
certain towns and  
the Chicago  
adherence to the  
Such general anti-loitering ordinances, once common,  
many as thinly veiled attempts to keep blacks out of  
neighborhoods. Many civil rights lawyers had predicted  
dispute would gauge the current court's continued  
27-year-old precedent.

ruling.  
Stevens' opinion made only scant mention to the 1972

"the enormous  
certain about just how  
estimated that  
told that federal  
100,000.  
While the justices had been warned in December about  
evils associated with gang loitering," no one seemed  
many street gang members reside in Chicago. City police  
gang membership might total 10,000 but the court was  
prosecutors believe the total might be closer to

The case is Chicago vs. Morales, 97-1121.

, Copyright 1999 The Associated Press

Back to the top

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: David R. Goodfriend ( CN=David R. Goodfriend/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUN-1999 17:37:00.00

SUBJECT: President's Trip to Chicago

TO: Sean P. Maloney ( CN=Sean P. Maloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rebecca L. Walldorff ( CN=Rebecca L. Walldorff/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Loretta M. Ucelli ( CN=Loretta M. Ucelli/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karin Kullman ( CN=Karin Kullman/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bridget T. Leininger ( CN=Bridget T. Leininger/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul D. Glastris ( CN=Paul D. Glastris/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lowell A. Weiss ( CN=Lowell A. Weiss/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Beth A. Viola ( CN=Beth A. Viola/OU=CEQ/O=EOP@EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marjorie Tarmey ( CN=Marjorie Tarmey/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Catherine R. Pacific ( CN=Catherine R. Pacific/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joshua S. Gottheimer ( CN=Joshua S. Gottheimer/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Fred DuVal ( CN=Fred DuVal/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles M. Brain ( CN=Charles M. Brain/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Malcolm R. Lee ( CN=Malcolm R. Lee/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lawrence J. Stein ( CN=Lawrence J. Stein/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert S. Kapla ( CN=Robert S. Kapla/OU=CEQ/O=EOP@EOP [ CEQ ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julianne B. Corbett ( CN=Julianne B. Corbett/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul J. Weinstein Jr. ( CN=Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Waldman ( CN=Michael Waldman/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry J. Toiv ( CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stephanie S. Streett ( CN=Stephanie S. Streett/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Todd Stern ( CN=Todd Stern/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dan K. Rosenthal ( CN=Dan K. Rosenthal/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer M. Palmieri ( CN=Jennifer M. Palmieri/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary Morrison ( CN=Mary Morrison/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Linda L. Moore ( CN=Linda L. Moore/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anne E. McGuire ( CN=Anne E. McGuire/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joseph P. Lockhart ( CN=Joseph P. Lockhart/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis ( CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kirk T. Hanlin ( CN=Kirk T. Hanlin/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia M. Jasso-Rotunno ( CN=Cynthia M. Jasso-Rotunno/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nancy V. Hernreich ( CN=Nancy V. Hernreich/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul K. Engskov ( CN=Paul K. Engskov/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria Echaveste ( CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Carolyn E. Cleveland ( CN=Carolyn E. Cleveland/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Debra D. Bird ( CN=Debra D. Bird/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kris M Balderston ( CN=Kris M Balderston/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Irma L. Martinez ( CN=Irma L. Martinez/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tanya L. Lombard ( CN=Tanya L. Lombard/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Orson C. Porter ( CN=Orson C. Porter/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Carolyn T. Wu ( CN=Carolyn T. Wu/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jocelyn A. Bucaro ( CN=Jocelyn A. Bucaro/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David R. Goodfriend ( CN=David R. Goodfriend/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: June Shih ( CN=June Shih/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wesley P. Warren ( CN=Wesley P. Warren/OU=CEQ/O=EOP@EOP [ CEQ ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen Tramontano ( CN=Karen Tramontano/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steve Ricchetti ( CN=Steve Ricchetti/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet Murguia ( CN=Janet Murguia/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: George T. Frampton ( CN=George T. Frampton/OU=CEQ/O=EOP@EOP [ CEQ ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dominique L. Cano ( CN=Dominique L. Cano/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sidney Blumenthal ( CN=Sidney Blumenthal/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria E. Soto ( CN=Maria E. Soto/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Craig Hughes ( CN=Craig Hughes/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cecily C. Williams ( CN=Cecily C. Williams/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dorian V. Weaver ( CN=Dorian V. Weaver/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael V. Terrell ( CN=Michael V. Terrell/OU=CEQ/O=EOP@EOP [ CEQ ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jordan Tamagni ( CN=Jordan Tamagni/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Aviva Steinberg ( CN=Aviva Steinberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura D. Schwartz ( CN=Laura D. Schwartz/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Simeona F. Pasquil ( CN=Simeona F. Pasquil/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth R. Newman ( CN=Elizabeth R. Newman/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Minyon Moore ( CN=Minyon Moore/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Megan C. Moloney ( CN=Megan C. Moloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andrew J. Mayock ( CN=Andrew J. Mayock/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce R. Lindsey ( CN=Bruce R. Lindsey/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sara M. Latham ( CN=Sara M. Latham/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Phu D. Huynh ( CN=Phu D. Huynh/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura A. Graham ( CN=Laura A. Graham/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anne M. Edwards ( CN=Anne M. Edwards/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brenda B. Costello ( CN=Brenda B. Costello/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jose Cerda III ( CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barbara A. Barclay ( CN=Barbara A. Barclay/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brenda M. Anders ( CN=Brenda M. Anders/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

On Saturday, June 12, the President will travel to Chicago to deliver the commencement address at the University of Chicago, as well as to greet Illinois Air National Guard personnel. Deadlines for the Trip Book are as follows:

Background Memos (IL): DUE FRIDAY, JUNE 11, 3:00 P.M.

- Political Memo
- CEQ Hot Issues

- Cabinet Affairs Hot Issues
- Accomplishments

Event Memos:

DUE FRIDAY, JUNE 11, 6:00 P.M.

- University of Chicago Commencement
- Greet Illinois Air National Guard Personnel

If you have any questions, please e-mail or call me (6-2702). Thanks.

--David Goodfriend

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jeffrey A. Shesol ( CN=Jeffrey A. Shesol/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUN-1999 12:52:54.00

SUBJECT: draft remarks to mayors -- pls do not circulate but do comment to Jeff She

TO: Lisa Green ( CN=Lisa Green/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jose Cerda III ( CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kris M Balderston ( CN=Kris M Balderston/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cathy R. Mays ( CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro ( CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul J. Weinstein Jr. ( CN=Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Draft 06/10/99 12:30pm

Jeff Shesol

PRESIDENT WILLIAM J. CLINTON

VIDEOTAPED REMARKS TO THE U.S. CONFERENCE OF MAYORS

June 11, 1999

Mayor Corradini, thank you for the kind introduction. I'm thankful for the opportunity to speak with you today, even as I continue to monitor events in Kosovo. I know that you, like all Americans, will join me in honoring our men and women in uniform for their fine and brave service. They will remain on our minds and in our hearts as they complete this important mission and ensure that peace takes hold.

First, I want to thank Mayor Corradini for her leadership on so many issues this past year. And Mayor Webb, I look forward to working with you in the year to come. Let me also thank the chair of your Advisory Board, Mayor Brent Coles, for the fine work he does on your behalf; and to Mayor Mark Morial for hosting this conference.

Even though I can't join you in person, I know I'm well represented in New Orleans by my Cabinet, including the man who just about single-handedly reinvented HUD, Andrew Cuomo. And I know the Vice President, who has been our greatest advocate for urban empowerment, will be speaking to you on Monday. I send you greetings from Mickey Ibarra, my Director of Inter-governmental Affairs, here at the White House, as well as my new special assistant, Barbara Hunt, who is there with you in New

Orleans. My thanks to all of you who work so hard for our cities and for our nation.

As you know, it wasn't too long ago that some people had pretty well lost hope in America's cities. Here in Washington, there was a fervent but false debate raging between those who said that government should just give up on urban America, and those who said that government alone could save the cities. When Vice President Gore and I took office in 1993, we dedicated our administration to a different vision of government — a third way. We have said and you have confirmed that government works best as a catalyst — as a partner with business, community groups, and citizens. By lighting the spark of private enterprise in our poorest neighborhoods. . . by putting community police on once-abandoned streets. . . by providing small-business loans to inner-city residents. . . we have empowered citizens with the tools to make the most of their own lives.

No one knows better than you how far we have come. To experience an American city in 1999 is to feel the same vibrancy and vitality, the same sense of pure possibility that existed in the first great era of urban expansion. Now, on the edge of a new century, our cities are strong — and growing stronger.

This is a point made plain in our third annual State of the Cities report. Secretary Cuomo, who has been a tireless leader and partner and innovator in this effort, will describe the report to you in more detail. But I want to highlight one central finding: that cities are indeed sharing in America's economic renaissance. Urban unemployment has plummeted since 1992, from 8.1 percent to 4.8 percent. Wages are rising, crime is falling, welfare rolls are shrinking. City budgets are balanced and city populations are growing. And — for the first time in our nation's history — a majority of urban families own their own homes. This is no small achievement. This is the American dream.

Still, we cannot grow complacent. Stubborn pockets of poverty do not yet share in our national prosperity. We must keep working together — those of us in the White House and on Capitol Hill, those of you in City Hall, and in every other civic institution. We must bring all Americans into the economic mainstream.

To build on our successful efforts, and the new ideas you continue to generate at the local level, our administration has outlined a 21st Century Agenda for America's Cities and Suburbs. First, we want to open doors to new markets. As my New Markets Initiative makes clear, the greatest opportunities for investment and new customers are not beyond our shores — they're in our own backyard. Second, we intend to keep investing in our people — in the training and transportation that help workers make the most of new opportunities. Third, we want to make housing even more affordable and available. And fourth, as the Vice President has said, we can make our communities more livable by promoting smarter growth.

In all these areas, we know that America's mayors will do their part. But Congress, too, must do its part. As members consider the federal budget for the year 2000, they will make critical choices that will impact our cities and our nation well into the 21st Century. I strongly hope they will not choose a Republican budget that cuts education, cuts HeadStart, cuts job training, cuts toxic waste cleanup — in short, a budget that cuts essential programs and undercuts our progress.

The Senate majority even wants to kill our successful COPS program — the

very community police who have helped cut crime in neighborhoods across our nation. My balanced budget extends our commitment to community police into the 21st Century, putting more officers on our streets and giving them the tools they need to make those streets safe. Now is the time to build on that success, not to undermine it.

It is also time -- high time -- to keep guns out of the wrong hands. But the House leadership seems intent on ignoring the lessons of Littleton. They want to water down the common-sense gun legislation passed by the Senate. According to news reports, the NRA is crowing that the House leadership gave them 90 percent of the new loopholes they wanted.

Clearly, there's a difference of approach here. We have a simple strategy that is reducing crime across America: we want more cops on the street and fewer guns. They want more guns on the street and fewer cops. I think that's the wrong approach for America. The House leadership should heed the clear voice of the American people and stop listening to the deadly whispers of the gun lobby.

America's mayors have been on the frontlines of this and so many fights. I know you will continue to make your presence felt and your voices heard. And thanks to your energy and ingenuity, our cities will offer even more hope, and more opportunity, to millions of Americans as we move forward, together, into the 21st Century. I am grateful, your cities are grateful, and all America is grateful for the hard work you do. Thank you.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jonathan H. Schnur ( CN=Jonathan H. Schnur/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUN-1999 17:59:24.00

SUBJECT: Re: weekly item on charters and desegregation (Also, it would be great to

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

OK

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jose Cerda III ( CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUN-1999 12:59:57.00

SUBJECT: Revisde Gun Chart

TO: Courtney O. Gregoire ( CN=Courtney O. Gregoire/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Richard L. Siewert ( CN=Richard L. Siewert/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry J. Toiv ( CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dawn L. Smalls ( CN=Dawn L. Smalls/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Erica S. Lepping ( CN=Erica S. Lepping/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer M. Palmieri ( CN=Jennifer M. Palmieri/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro ( CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:  
Barry, et.al.:

Here's the gun chart to accompany the Podesta letter...Bruce is now running the letter by John.

jc3

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====  
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:  
Unable to convert ARMS\_EXT:[ATTACH.D58]ARMS213726868.136 to ASCII,  
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF575043690A0000010A02010000000205000000DA3500000002000060CF0077252C23558BD53D  
D948A56D9C41E147C79EBF37F4CA5B2C6B63206A32ABC7CFDB49F2C424C87353BC6B63121A8468  
8E4722833D5F7F5DAE790DE1DF9A8CF1C8171AB139DA997274EC8E9D7A40EBF4DA5AAADEA83A90  
289C8FD0545C08F74429FFA23A568ECAEA15E067753ACF3A0C12352BA8FF30B22E1C98C474D66B  
B937259B356CDCAE086DD3B7D45DC3D12921757BD308A56D46CE59FC86A4EADD33F296E1F7926A

**“LAUTENBERG LITE”**  
**HOW H.R. 2037 WEAKENS THE SENATE GUN SHOW BILL**  
**WITH ARTIFICIAL SWEETENERS FOR THE GUN LOBBY**

Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion

<b>HOW S. 254 CLOSES THE GUN SHOW LOOPHOLE</b>	<b>HOW H.R. 2037 REOPENS THE GUN SHOW LOOPHOLE</b>	<b>WHY IT MAKES A DIFFERENCE.</b>
<p>Defines “gun show” to cover <i>all</i> events where a large number of guns are for sale.</p>	<p>Applies only to events that are both “sponsored to foster the collecting . . . or . . . use of firearms” and involve at least 10 firearms vendors, as defined to include only sellers who have a fixed, assigned, or contracted location.</p>	<p>Under H.R. 2037, there would still be lots of events where lots of guns are being sold without background checks. Although most buyers at these events are law-abiding, these events also attract criminals, who could still get guns with no questions asked. Under H.R. 2037, if the event is not “sponsored” for a reason set out in H.R. 2037, it would not have checks, no matter how many guns are for sale. And even if it is “sponsored” for the specified reason, there would be no background checks if the event organizers and sellers keep the number of “vendors” below 10.</p>
<p>Applies the current Brady Law to all transfers of guns at gun shows.</p>	<p>Changes the Brady Law applied to gun shows to reduce the amount of time law enforcement has to complete the background check from 3 <i>business</i> days to “72 hours.” If the check cannot be completed within 72 hours, the sale must be allowed to proceed and all records about the transfer must be destroyed.</p>	<p>Under H.R. 2037, felons, fugitives, and other prohibited persons will get guns at gun shows, even though they could not get guns at gun stores. Although more than 70% of all Brady checks are completed within minutes, some checks require a few days. Usually, this is true because a State court criminal record must be examined which has not been made available to the instant check system. If H.R. 2037's 72-hour rule were the rule under the Brady Law's National Instant Check System (NICS), 22% of the people who have been denied guns would have gotten them. And with regard to prohibited people who try to buy guns on Saturday -- when most gun shows occur -- the 72-hour rule would have had even worse effect: 28% of the felons, fugitives, and other prohibited people who have been stopped would have gotten guns.</p>
<p>Requires everyone who wants to sell a gun at a gun show to verify their identity to the gun show promoter, and to be notified that they must have a background check done on their buyer.</p>	<p>Does not require that anyone notify sellers of background check requirements and exempts all gun sellers who do not rent a table as a “vendor” -- but instead walk around selling guns at the gun show -- from verifying their identity.</p>	<p>H.R. 2037 complicates what is otherwise a very simple rule: if you intend to sell a gun at a gun show, you must check in with the promoter and be notified of your obligations to have a background check done on your buyer.</p>

HOW S. 254 CLOSES THE GUN SHOW LOOPHOLE	HOW H.R. 2037 REOPENS THE GUN SHOW LOOPHOLE	WHY IT MAKES A DIFFERENCE.
Enhances law enforcement's ability to trace used guns if those guns are used in crimes.	Prevents tracing of <i>all</i> crime guns sold through "instant check registrants" at gun shows and does nothing to improve tracing of used guns sold by licensed dealers.	Under H.R. 2037, gun shows remain a safe harbor for criminals, who know that law enforcement will be unable to trace used guns bought and sold at gun shows.
Uses existing framework of federally-licensed firearms licensees to do background checks on behalf of unlicensed sellers at gun shows.	Adds new layer of bureaucracy to federal firearms regulation by resurrecting the "special registrants" (now called "instant check registrants") from the repudiated Craig Amendment to the Senate bill.	Licensed professional firearms dealers already have experience filling out the appropriate paperwork and using the NICS, and will make entries with fewer errors than non-professionals, assuring more accurate background checks and crime gun tracing information.
Protects privacy of gun buyers who get their backgrounds checked by using licensed professional dealers – who are subject to strict recordkeeping and inspection – to do background checks.	Allows anyone to get an "instant check registration" that will allow them to do background checks and transfer guns, even if they don't know anything about guns or the firearms business.	Because H.R. 2037's "registrants" are not professional dealers, they have fewer incentives to carefully follow the rules concerning the NICS. H.R. 2037 compounds this problem by requiring the immediate destruction of NICS records, which will prevent law enforcement from having a means to detect and deter misuse and abuse of the NICS. Under H.R. 2037, law enforcement will not be able to assure that the "registrants" are not using the system to run checks on their friends or enemies for purposes completely unrelated to firearms transfers.
Does not disturb more than 30 years of federal law requiring licensed dealers to sell within their home States only.	Allows federal gun dealers to ship guns directly to unlicensed buyers across State lines.	H.R. 2037 will impede the ability of states to control the flow of guns into their borders by allowing licensees who are unfamiliar with a State's firearms laws to ship guns to private individuals across State lines.
Requires a background check for any gun that is offered for sale, transfer or exchange at a gun show.	Limits background check requirement to guns that are offered for sale <i>and</i> accepted for purchase by a buyer.	H.R. 2037 creates a new loophole that allows unlicensed vendors to offer guns for sale and complete the sale outside the gun show without any background check.
HOW S. 254 CLOSES THE GUN SHOW LOOPHOLE	HOW H.R. 2037 REOPENS THE GUN SHOW LOOPHOLE	WHY IT MAKES A DIFFERENCE.
Writes into the Brady Law a	Opens up the entire instant check	H.R. 2037 will prevent the FBI from protecting the privacy and

<p>requirement that records of approved transactions must be destroyed within 90 days or, if possible, even sooner.</p>	<p>system to fraud and abuse by requiring immediate destruction of records.</p>	<p>security of the sensitive information in the NICS. By imposing a requirement that records be destroyed immediately, H.R. 2037 will stop the government from detecting and identifying sales of firearms to criminals and other misuses of the system – such as background checks run on citizens for ulterior purposes – undermining the entire instant check system.</p>
<p>Allows States with instant check system to continue to operate under their existing framework.</p>	<p>Forbids anyone doing background checks as points of contact for the instant check system – even states with their own instant check systems – from retaining records or charging a fee.</p>	<p>Keeping States in the Brady check system assures the most thorough background checks, because States often have access to records that the federal government cannot access. H.R. 2037 will drive States away from doing background checks.</p>
<p>Does not create any new immunities.</p>	<p>Gives gun sellers and “registrants” at gun shows potentially sweeping immunity.</p>	<p>There is no reason to use gun show legislation to decrease the accountability of those who engage in gun transactions.</p>

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JUN-1999 18:19:09.00

SUBJECT: AP story on tax treatment of smoking cessation

TO: Richard J. Turman ( CN=Richard J. Turman/OU=OMB/O=EOP [ OMB ] )  
 READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Daniel N. Mendelson ( CN=Daniel N. Mendelson/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
 READ:UNKNOWN

TO: J. Eric Gould ( CN=J. Eric Gould/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
 READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
 READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joshua Gotbaum ( CN=Joshua Gotbaum/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
 READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Courtney O. Gregoire ( CN=Courtney O. Gregoire/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
 READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
 READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

IRS Allows Smokers Some Deductions

By Curt Anderson  
 AP Tax Writer  
 Thursday, June 10, 1999; 5:48 p.m. EDT

WASHINGTON (AP) -- Smokers trying to kick the habit can  
 claim  
 some costs as medical expenses on income tax returns  
 under a decision  
 Thursday by the Internal Revenue Service that reverses a  
 20-year-old  
 agency position.

Doctors involved in smoking cessation programs said the  
 IRS ruling could  
 have broader ramifications by persuading providers of  
 health benefits  
 packages to include such treatment in their coverage.

``It's about time,`` said Dr. Mike Eriksen, director of  
 smoking and health  
 at the federal Centers for Disease Control in Atlanta.  
 ``There is no better  
 preventative program than stopping someone from  
 smoking.``

Citing Surgeon General reports since 1988 that nicotine  
 is addictive and  
 smoking harms health, the IRS concluded that programs

and prescription drugs that help someone quit smoking are no different from efforts to treat alcoholism or drug addiction -- both of which are tax deductible.

``What the research of the last decade has documented is that this is one of the most powerful addictions that a person can succumb to,'' said Dr. Michael Fiore, director of the Center for Tobacco Research and Prevention at the University of Wisconsin. ``It's not just some bad habit. It's a dangerous, chronic disease.''

In revoking its 1979 position against the deduction, the IRS agreed: ``A strong causal link exists between smoking and several diseases,'' the agency said in its announcement. ``Nicotine, a substance common to all forms of tobacco, is a powerfully addictive drug.''

Not every expense can be deducted. The law does not permit a taxpayer to claim such non-prescription medications as nicotine patches or gum. Also, the total in medical expenses -- smoking-related or otherwise -- must reach 7.5 percent of a taxpayer's adjusted gross income in order to qualify as an itemized deduction.

But prescriptions, doctor bills or cessation programs offered by hospitals or other treatment facilities could be deducted, so long as they are not reimbursed by an employer or by insurance.

Eriksen said there are an increasing number of intensive programs available, including some at drug treatment centers that have added nicotine addiction. There are also more and more pharmaceutical options, he said.

``It's the right thing to do in terms of the dollar invested and the dollar saved,'' he said.

Taxpayers who paid for a smoking cessation program in recent years could file an amended return to claim those costs, particularly if they already had enough medical expenses to qualify. Other taxpayers should check past returns to figure if the smoking expenses reached the 7.5

percent of adjusted gross income threshold.

Taxpayers can generally file an amended return for three years after the due date of the original return using IRS Form 1040X.

, Copyright 1999 The Associated Press