

NLWJC - KAGAN

EMAILS RECEIVED

ARMS - BOX 058 - FOLDER -002

[02/26/1997-03/03/1997]

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Angus S. King to Elena Kagan. Subject Re: black farmers [partial] (1 page)	02/27/1997	P6/b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Automated Records Management System [Email]
WHO ([Kagan])
OA/Box Number: 500000

FOLDER TITLE:

[02/26/1997 - 03/03/1997]

2009-1006-F

ab811

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Todd Stern (CN=Todd Stern/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:26-FEB-1997 08:14:52.00

SUBJECT: partial birth

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

This came up in 7:45 meeting and it sounded as though Sylvia might be pulling some people together. I told her that if you she did, you had to be there. Please keep keeping me posted on this, because I'm still interested and will one day return to the table, after Alexis is safely ensconced at Labor.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Angus S. King (CN=Angus S. King/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:26-FEB-1997 09:57:40.00

SUBJECT: disabilities

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I have a memo here from Coelho to Vicki about attendees for the meeting with Erskine. They want to do the big meeting (w/ EBB) on March 6 at 3pm. I don't know if this gives us enough time, but we should discuss.

I'm at 6-2128. Thanks,

-Angus

ps - Thanks for taking on the Black Farmers for now. As soon as the Special Asst. to the POTUS for farm policy is named (I think it's going to be Charles Whillock), we can have him take over.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Christa Robinson (CN=Christa Robinson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:26-FEB-1997 14:05:21.00

SUBJECT: short term scheduling

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I will be going to the Short Term Scheduling meeting in Paul's absence today at 3:30pm. I will report back to you the results of the meeting, but if there is anything you would like to push for let me know. Also, I spoke to Mike Cohen about pending education requests. (Reminder: I don't have a replacement pager yet, but I will check my voice mail right before the meeting.)

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Sondra L. Seba (CN=Sondra L. Seba/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:26-FEB-1997 14:08:15.00

SUBJECT: Bio needed

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Do you have a bio we could include in our information packets to hand out at tomorrow's briefing? The NACW leadership has requested them for all the speakers. Please advise.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: William P. Marshall (CN=William P. Marshall/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:26-FEB-1997 14:35:09.00

SUBJECT: Legal Boundaries on Welfare to Work Outreach

TO: JMonahan (JMonahan @ Os.dhhs.gov @ inet [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria Echaveste (CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sylvia M. Mathews (CN=Sylvia M. Mathews/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elaine C. Kamarck (CN=Elaine C. Kamarck/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marcia L. Hale (CN=Marcia L. Hale/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Kathleen M. Whalen (CN=Kathleen M. Whalen/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Dawn M. Chirwa (CN=Dawn M. Chirwa/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Attached are answers to questions raised at yesterday's (2/25/97) meeting regarding legal limits on White House outreach on welfare to work. They have been reviewed by both Dawn Chirwa and Kathy Whalen in the Counsel's office. Please contact me if you have other questions or need additional information.===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS_EXT:[ATTACH.D82]MAIL41752565W.016 to ASCII,
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF57504370040000010A02010000000205000000DE1600000002000003A11567225C4714C634F22
1321F599A00033EDBC61B5EFB856EADCE9E894968312A83E1DBFFAA92A13108D7FBB8E4C1C873E
1A7CD42C582CCF9CA49DC4331FA6521B75EF0DC982F1E581710BB4184917539A8819CF6B8C8C28
5478C9E810F57C1A99D1B5719CEA6473F54A9A3F698A296D6EEA5CAEBAB4BD71E034BA9F7654A3
5DBD3D4B4BA010EA1B5AF84F31605490FE8D6915C2079131A0B572C8BB0AAE35EF4919D776E6BD
F3BDA29891DC1F10F15A378242735E49A8319746C47110C65770AA273E4B08EAA065E9158B54B1
6F56A64461B3709E62B7AF9259B6F7474F288F3AFC14609FEF374D00D9EDCA9B266011957D537F
E44151CF88D4E867239842726458844934EDB972C33410BD920E3C7E561043C9BFB2D653CCD598
57912DABE7B5374C2BF3422ECA1BB419361595174A19F2143F6E65EE60DC8542010B1804FD7C02
30E972714A71EC990D9B90D07A8151E0B15B7AC896F29FF91B89AAEDDFCE6934796093002C6CE5
B0922946FA69F43AD4C6CD1C55F0B8077E64D51C45A5103BFEC2B2EC593B3520C7A4CDC62B6CF3
8F7267E83E8E476B815524866F1153AD7E2F8FB02633BA733A9D5208F109C5475B1D9E5DAA6D27
977AC28A89F989A68F75FE371D3C8BC07937DBFACDA98FF2327F9A9FA92D290B8E1364D912C125

The following are some questions and answers on the legal limits of White House outreach on welfare to work. The first set of questions deal with our relationships with a 501(c)(3) organization incorporated to assist businesses and other entities with welfare to work programs. The second set deal with our use of particular individuals in carrying welfare to work outreach to religious organizations and non-profits. The answers to these questions are based upon the Standards of Ethical Conduct of Government Employees and other applicable regulations and statutes.

A. Relationship with a particular 501(c)(3).

1. Can we refer businesses and other entities interested in hiring or training welfare recipients to an existing 501(c)(3) for information and direction?

Yes, provided we act evenhandedly if there is more than one 501(c)(3) organization offering similar assistance. If we are not aware of any competing 501(c)(3)s, there is no problem in referring interested parties to one entity.

2. Can we invite representatives of a 501(c)(3) to meetings on welfare to work implementation strategy -- including meetings with the NGA or other similar groups?

Yes, subject to the requirements of even-handedness noted above and provided we do not disclose to them any confidential (non-public) information.

3. Is there a problem in attending meetings held by groups such as the NGA if included in those meetings are representatives from a 501(c)(3)?

No. However, if another 501(c)(3) requests a meeting separately from the one called by the NGA, we must be prepared to meet with them on a similar basis.

4. Can we direct the 501(c)(3) to engage in a particular action or follow a particular strategy?

No. The White House may not direct a private entity to take a particular action or course of action nor we selectively decide which private entities receive public information. We can, however, provide publicly available information to the private entity(ies) and indicate what strategies we feel are the most effective.

5. Can we create our own non-profit to perform welfare to work outreach to religious organizations or to other non-profits?

No. The general rule is that we cannot create non-profits to effectuate government policy. We are currently looking into whether some limited exception to this rule is possible.

B. Use of individuals for outreach.

1. Can we ask individuals to volunteer to work with religious organizations and non-profits in helping them with welfare to work?

This is a difficult issue. There is no problem in discussing issues with private individuals and indicating that we would like them to work on particular matters. However, we cannot direct a private person's activity and we must be careful to not give that person the impression that she holds an official position or that her activities are government sanctioned. That individual, in turn, must be take care in dealings with third parties not to convey the impression that she is acting on behalf of the White House or that her actions have been officially sanctioned.

2. Can we announce that a particular private person is the point person for the White House for outreach to religious organizations and non-profits?

No. We can mention the efforts of persons of which we have knowledge; but if more than one person is engaged in similar activities, we may need to be inclusive to avoid the appearance of favoritism. If we want a person to have a specific and ongoing role, we may have to make that person an employee or provide that person with another form of formal status.

3. Can we direct a private individual to engage in specific actions or employ a particular strategy in welfare to work outreach?

Not unless that person assumes an official position in the Administration.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Angus S. King (CN=Angus S. King/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:26-FEB-1997 14:57:19.00

SUBJECT: Re: disabilities

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Thanks.

What happened with the Black Farmers this morning?

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:27-FEB-1997 09:15:59.00

SUBJECT: Adoption Initiative Language

TO: Pauline M. Abernathy (CN=Pauline M. Abernathy/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lyn A. Hogan (CN=Lyn A. Hogan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

There are two outstanding questions we should address re: HHS' proposed legislative language for the adoption bonus structure.

1) The first is outlined in an e-mail below by Matthew McKearn of OMB and speaks to the question of whether a state can receive per-child bonus payments above the number that represents an increase in its total number of adoptions (i.e. if a state's total number of adoptions increases from 100-125, but the subset of special needs adoptions increases from 50-100, can the state claim bonuses for 50 children or can it just claim 25?). My recollection is that we had decided in a meeting here that the state can not claim bonus payments above its overall increases in adoptions. OMB, for obvious budget reasons, is pushing for this approach, but the legislation currently reads differently.

2) The second question speaks to the issue of the use of the bonus payments. The legislation reads that the bonus dollars must be reinvested in services that support only IV-E children, rather than a broader group of children awaiting adoption placements. Carol Williams left me a voicemail last night that said that it was the feeling of her office, the HHS leg affairs shop and OMB that enabling a broad use of the dollars somehow makes us vulnerable to questions of block-granting and a flexible use of overall dollars -- a rationale I don't understand, but will explore further today.

As you know, WH OMB must clear this language this week, as it is part of the President's Balanced Budget Plan to be submitted sometime soon, so we'll have to address these issues today. Please advise.

----- Forwarded by Nicole R. Rabner/WHO/EOP on 02/27/97
08:56 AM -----

Matthew McKearn
02/26/97 08:33:48 PM
Record Type: Non-Record

To: Kenneth S. Apfel/OMB/EOP, Barry White/OMB/EOP, Keith J. Fontenot/OMB/EOP
cc: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message

Subject: Adoption Initiative Language

You may receive a call from ACF on the structure of the adoption initiative bonus payments. HHS has proposed language that would determine bonuses in a way that differs from our understanding of how the bonuses would be earned. We discussed these issues with White House and DPC staff while reviewing the February 14 HHS report and the proposed legislative language, and they agree with the proposed edits.

We have passed back changes to proposed legislative language that ACF may appeal to you. Our rationale for the changes are outlined below.

The adoption assistance initiative would provide a \$4,000 bonus (base bonus) to States for each adoption above the baseline level and an additional \$2,000 bonus (added bonus) for increases in special needs (IV-E eligible) adoptions.

We interpreted these provisions so that the total number of added bonuses a State earned could equal, but not exceed the number of base bonuses in a year. It is possible that the increase in the number of special needs adoptions in a State could exceed the increase in the total number of adoptions. For example, total adoptions could increase from 1,000 to 1,025, while the subset of IV-E adoptions increased from 600 to 650.

The revised language limits the number of added bonuses in a year to the increase in a State's total adoptions. This ensures that the \$108 million earmarked for bonuses is sufficient to meet the goal of doubling adoptions by the year 2002. Added bonuses would be paid only to the extent that total adoptions increased and progress was made towards the 2002 goal. Staff from the First Lady's Office and the DPC support this approach. They have an additional concern that the incentives not tilt too heavily to favor special needs adoptions.

Message Copied

To:

Nicole R. Rabner/WHO/EOP
Pauline M. Abernathy/OPD/EOP
Jill M. Pizzuto/OMB/EOP
Cynthia M. Smith/OMB/EOP
Lester D. Cash/OMB/EOP

President Clinton Announces First Actions
under FDA's Rule to Protect Children from Tobacco
February 28, 1997
-- DRAFT --

Announcement

- o **President Clinton announced that today the Federal government is taking the first steps under the Food and Drug Administration's rule to restrict children's access to tobacco products.** Beginning today, provisions of FDA's rule:
 - o **prohibit retailers from selling cigarettes and smokeless tobacco products to anyone under age 18.**
 - o **require retailers to verify age by photo ID for anyone under the age of 27 purchasing tobacco products.**

Background

- o **President Clinton announced the final FDA rule to protect children from tobacco on August 23, 1996.** The rule seeks to reduce children's tobacco use by 50% over seven years by restricting children's access to tobacco and to reducing the appeal of cigarettes and smokeless tobacco products to children. The first two provisions of the rule take effect today.
- o **Youth smoking is on the rise.** Each day about 3000 American children become regular smokers. Of these, 1,000 will die early from tobacco-related illness. In the past six years, the smoking rate among eighth graders has risen 50%. Today the average teenage smoker begins to smoke at 14 ½ years old and becomes a daily smoker before age 18.
- o **Youth have widespread access to tobacco products.** Although selling cigarettes to people under 18 is already against the law in all 50 states, studies show that young people easily obtain tobacco products. Most children and adolescents who smoke purchase their own cigarettes. National data from the 1995 Youth Risk Behavior Survey show that over three-quarters of

high school students under age 18 who had purchased cigarettes in the previous month had not been asked by a clerk to show proof of age. Local studies have shown that enforcement of minors' access laws -- especially laws requiring the retailer to check for proof of age -- can significantly reduce the percentage of retailers who sell cigarettes to minors.

Additional Provisions to Restrict Access and Reduce Appeal Take Effect Later this Year

- o On August 28, 1997, additional provisions of FDA's rule become effective. They include: prohibiting billboards within 1,000 feet of schools and playgrounds and restricting other outdoor advertising to black-and-white text only; permitting black-and-white text only advertising in publications with significant youth readership; prohibiting the sale or giveaways of products like caps or gym bags that carry cigarette or smokeless tobacco product brand names or logos; and prohibiting vending machines and self-service displays except in places where people under 18 are never present.
- o On August 28, 1998, FDA's final rule will prohibit the brand-name sponsorship of sporting or entertainment events.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Julie E. Mason (CN=Julie E. Mason/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:27-FEB-1997 12:58:03.00

SUBJECT: PRESS CONFERENCE BRIEFING BOOK

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher J. Lavery (CN=Christopher J. Lavery/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kristen E. Panerali (CN=Kristen E. Panerali/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Adam W. Goldberg (CN=Adam W. Goldberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elisa Millsap (CN=Elisa Millsap/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Russell W. Horwitz (CN=Russell W. Horwitz/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

POTUS is holding a press conference next Tuesday, March 4. We've been asked to get the briefing book in to staff secretary by tomorrow night.

Please email me your TPs by tomorrow at 3pm so I can get them to staff secretary by their 4pm deadline.

CONTACT SUBJECT

Horwitz	Economic
Panareli	Int'l Economics
Goldberg	Counsel's
Millsap	Legislative
Lavery	Political
Kagan	DPC

We can use the TPs from yesterday's press conference briefing book - - let me know what we can just reuse and I can just slip it in. But I'm sure we'll need some updates. We also should add "don't ask, don't tell" and abortion (is that DPC for both, Elena?)

And Leg affairs should work with DPC to come up with campaign finance (last time we had 2 sets). Thanks - - I am at 62712.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Laura A. Graham (CN=Laura A. Graham/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:27-FEB-1997 13:35:19.00

SUBJECT: Education meeting

TO: Holly B. Nichols (CN=Holly B. Nichols/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kimberly H Tilley (CN=Kimberly H Tilley/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Terri J. Tingen (CN=Terri J. Tingen/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jason S. Goldberg (CN=Jason S. Goldberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

The Education Standards meeting, originally scheduled for 3/6 will now be on 3/5. The President will now travel on 3/6. We would like to do it 11:00 - 12:00 pm. Please call me with questions. Thanks!

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Laura A. Graham (CN=Laura A. Graham/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:27-FEB-1997 13:38:13.00

SUBJECT: Meeting Correction

TO: Holly B. Nichols (CN=Holly B. Nichols/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kimberly H Tilley (CN=Kimberly H Tilley/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Terri J. Tingen (CN=Terri J. Tingen/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jason S. Goldberg (CN=Jason S. Goldberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Sorry, please disregard the last email I just sent you. We would like to do the Education Standards meeting on 3/5 10:00 - 11:00 am. Thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Angus S. King (CN=Angus S. King/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:27-FEB-1997 14:09:24.00

SUBJECT: Re: disabilities

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Thanks. Did you go to the meeting? (The farmers report you were not there, resulting in a request for a separate meeting with you.)

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (EXTERNAL MAIL)

CREATOR: Paul J. Weinstein Jr.@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX

CREATION DATE/TIME:27-FEB-1997 15:23:00.00

SUBJECT: State Campaign Finance Reform Initiatives

TO: FOLEY_M (FOLEY_M@A1@CD) (WHO)
READ:27-FEB-1997 15:23:41.09

TO: Bruce N. Reed (Bruce N. Reed@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: John L. Hilley (John L. Hilley@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Elena Kagan (Elena Kagan@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Michelle Crisci (Michelle Crisci@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Peter G. Jacoby (Peter G. Jacoby@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Wendy Smith (Wendy Smith@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Laura K. Capps (Laura K. Capps@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Michael Waldman (Michael Waldman@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TEXT:
Message Creation Date was at 27-FEB-1997 15:16:00

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE:27-FEB-1997 15:23:00.00

ATT BODYPART TYPE:D

TEXT:
The following attachments were included with this message:

TYPE : FILE
NAME : STATE.CFR

===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====

===== ATTACHMENT 2 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE:27-FEB-1997 15:23:00.00

ATT BODYPART TYPE:p

ATT SUBJECT: STATE

TEXT:

MEMORANDUM FOR INTERESTED PARTIES

FROM: Paul Weinstein
Carrie Filak

SUBJECT: **State Campaign Finance Reform Initiatives**

The following document provides a survey of campaign finance reform activities in various states. The survey includes initiatives from beginning with the 1992 cycle.

State Campaign Finance Reform

Public Financing

24 states have provide some public funding to candidates and political parties, enacted by either the state legislature or by ballot initiative.

1992

- Prohibits public funding of election campaigns at the state and local level.

Washington

Passed; 1,549,297 (73) for, 576,161 against

1993

- Provided matching funds for qualifying candidates.

New York

Failed; 60,716 (35) for, 114,876 against

1994

- Provided partial public funding through matching funds of \$250 contributions or less.

California

Passed; 30,548 (55) for, 25,226 against

1996

- Established a scheme of public financing; The Maine Clean Elections Act. Requires that gubernatorial, State, Senate and State House candidates receive a certain number of \$5 contributions from individuals in order to be eligible for matching funds from the Clean Elections Fund. The amount available is equal to 25 less than the average expenditures per candidate in previous years. Matching funds are limited to 2 times the amount originally distributed.

Maine

Passed; 200,472 (52.3) for, 182,743 against (47.7)

- Endorsed the future creation of a system of public financing scheme for all state elections. Currently, a system public financing is not in effect.

Massachusetts

Passed; 326,256 (75.5) for, 43,986 against, 61,729 abstained

Spending Limits

1994

- Set spending limits at \$200,000 for primary and \$100,000 for run-off mayoral elections, \$40,000 for primary and \$20,000 for run-off city council elections.

California

Passed; 30,548 (55) for, 25,226 against

- Created voluntary spending limits through a \$50 tax credit for contributors if the candidate complies with limits. Limits for primary elections at \$500,000 for Governor, \$200,000 for other statewide offices, \$30,000 for State Senate and \$20,000 for State House. General elections limits are \$1,000,000 for Governor, \$400,000 for other statewide offices, \$60,000 for State Senate, \$40,000 for State House.

Oregon

Passed; 851,014 (72) for, 324,224 against

1996

- Established voluntary spending limits at 2 million for a candidate for governor, \$400,000 for a candidate for secretary of state, attorney general, or treasurer, \$100,000 for a candidate for lieutenant governor, \$75,000 for the State Senate and \$50,000 for the State House of Representatives and Board of Education.

Colorado

Passed; 926,591 for, 482,138 against

- Permits certified candidates to accept and spend contributions, reduced by any seed money contributions aggregating no more than \$500 per donor per election for gubernatorial candidates and \$250 for State Senate and State House candidates..

Maine

Passed; 200,472 (52.3) for, 182,743 against

- Sought to establish voluntary spending limits of \$1.5 million for ballot measures.

Massachusetts

Failed; 84,783 (36) for, 148,459 against

Contribution Limits

45 states limit contributions to campaigns by individuals, PACs, corporations or unions. A 1994 survey conducted for the Conference on Campaign Reform showed that of the 1,808 people surveyed, 54 supported in-district contribution limits, which would require candidates to receive most of their donations from sources within their district.

1992

- Imposed a \$100 limit on campaign contributions to mayoral and citywide council candidates, total contributions by an individual limited to \$600.

District of Columbia
Passed; 122,502 (65) for, 66,843 against

- Limited contributions to \$100 for state House, \$200 for Senate, and \$300 for other statewide candidates.

Missouri
Passed; 1,549,297 (73) for, 418,630 against

1993

- Attempted to limit contributions to non-campaign committees to \$250 per year, and prohibit acceptance of contribution by non-campaign committee during six months preceding election.

San Francisco, California
Failed; 64,575 (49) for, 68,067 against

- Placed all contributions under a \$500 limit previously adopted by the city.

San Francisco
Passed; 89,245 (68) for, 42,202 against

1994

- Imposed contribution limits of \$500 for mayoral candidates and \$250 for City Council candidates per election.

Long Beach, California
Passed; 30,548 (55) for, 25,226 against

1994

- Limited contributions to \$100 for State House, \$200 for Senate, and \$300 for other statewide candidates.

Missouri
Passed; 1,186,630 (74) for, 418,630 against

- Imposed a \$100 contribution limit for legislative candidates and \$500 for statewide races. Limits political party contributions to \$25,000 for candidates for governor, \$10,000 for

other statewide offices and \$5,000 for 1 legislative candidate.

Oregon

Passed; 851,014 (72) for, 324,224 against

- Limits individual and PAC contributions to candidates for governor and lieutenant governor to \$400, \$200 for other statewide offices and \$100 for all other offices. Political party organizations are limited to \$15,000 for governor, \$5,000 for statewide offices, \$800 for senator and \$500 for all other offices.

Montana

Passed; 200,679 (63) for, 129,983 against

- Sought contribution limits of \$100 for legislative races, \$500 for gubernatorial races, \$250 for lieutenant governor and PACs, and party limits of \$5,000 for legislative races, and \$25,000 for statewide races. 60 of candidates funds would have come from individuals.

Colorado

Failed; 508,029 (46) for, 588,072 against

- Limited total contributions to \$5,000 to state and local candidates for primary and general election campaigns. Limits individual contributions to ballot question committees to \$5,000.

Nevada

Passed; 281,694 (77) for, 83,174 against

1996

- Established contribution limits ranging from \$100 for local and legislative races to \$300 for statewide elections, the creation of citizen contribution committees and 100 tax credits for donations of \$50 or less.

Arkansas

Passed; 487,322 (66.6) for, 244,267 against

- Established expenditure limits with variable contribution limits ranging from \$100 to \$500 for candidates who do not agree to the spending caps and from \$250 to \$1,000 for those that do.

California

Passed; 5,153,907 (61) for, 3,277,510 against

1996

- Set \$5,000 contribution limits for all contributors to statewide candidates.

Nevada
Passed; 300,707 (71) for, 122,922 against

1996

- Limited contributions of \$100 to legislative candidates and \$500 to statewide candidates.

Colorado
Passed; 926,591 (65.8) for, 482,138 against

- Limited contributions to legislative candidates to \$250; contributions to gubernatorial candidates are limited to \$500.

Maine
Passed; 200,472 (52.3) for, 182,743 against

PACs

1994

- Limits contributions at each election to \$500 for statewide candidates and \$100 for legislative candidates.

Oregon
Passed; 851,014 (72) for, 324,224 against

- Sought contribution limits of \$250 for PACs. Bans leadership PACs

Colorado
Failed; 508,029 (46) for, 588,072 against

1996

- \$500 per calendar year per committee limit on PACs that contribute to candidates.

California
Passed; 5,153,907 (61) for, 3,277,510 against

- Superseded previous law that set contribution limits for labor unions, PACs, and political parties.

Nevada
Passed; 300,707 (71) for, 122,922 against

Lobbyists

1996

- No elected officeholder, candidate or committee may solicit or accept a campaign contribution or contribution to an officeholder account from, through, or arranged by a registered state or local lobbyist if that lobbyist finances, engages, or is authorized to engage in lobbying the governmental agency for which the candidate is seeking election or the governmental agency of the officeholder.

California

Failed; 4,095,122 (48.1) for, 4,248,888 against

Income Tax Check-offs and Add-ons

Several states currently rely on a tax check-off or add-on. This method is considered public funding because it uses the tax system to solicit small, voluntary contributions that are distributed according to a legal formula administered and enforced by the state. In no state do more than 2 choose to add-on. Participation rates increase when a tax check-off system is used.

Check-offs

- 1993: 11.30
Hawaii, Idaho, Iowa, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota, New Jersey, North Carolina, Ohio, Rhode Island, Utah, and Wisconsin.
- 1994: 10.99
Hawaii, Idaho, Kentucky, Massachusetts, Minnesota, North Carolina, Ohio, Rhode Island, Utah and Wisconsin.

Add-ons

- 1993: 0.51
Alabama, Arizona, California, Maine, Massachusetts, North Carolina and Virginia.
- 1994: 0.33
Alabama, Arizona, California, Maine, North Carolina and Virginia.

MEMORANDUM FOR INTERESTED PARTIES

FROM: Paul Weinstein
Carrie Filak

SUBJECT: **State Campaign Finance Reform Initiatives**

The following document provides a survey of campaign finance reform activities in various states. The survey includes initiatives from beginning with the 1992 cycle.

State Campaign Finance Reform

Public Financing

24 states have provide some public funding to candidates and political parties, enacted by either the state legislature or by ballot initiative.

1992

- Prohibits public funding of election campaigns at the state and local level.

Washington

Passed; 1,549,297 (73) for, 576,161 against

1993

- Provided matching funds for qualifying candidates.

New York

Failed; 60,716 (35) for, 114,876 against

1994

- Provided partial public funding through matching funds of \$250 contributions or less.

California

Passed; 30,548 (55) for, 25,226 against

1996

- Established a scheme of public financing; The Maine Clean Elections Act. Requires that gubernatorial, State, Senate and State House candidates receive a certain number of \$5 contributions from individuals in order to be eligible for matching funds from the Clean Elections Fund. The amount available is equal to 25 less than the average expenditures per candidate in previous years. Matching funds are limited to 2 times the amount originally distributed.

Maine

Passed; 200,472 (52.3) for, 182,743 against (47.7)

- Endorsed the future creation of a system of public financing scheme for all state elections. Currently, a system public financing is not in effect.

Massachusetts

Passed; 326,256 (75.5) for, 43,986 against, 61,729 abstained

Spending Limits

1994

- Set spending limits at \$200,000 for primary and \$100,000 for run-off mayoral elections, \$40,000 for primary and \$20,000 for run-off city council elections.

California

Passed; 30,548 (55) for, 25,226 against

- Created voluntary spending limits through a \$50 tax credit for contributors if the candidate complies with limits. Limits for primary elections at \$500,000 for Governor, \$200,000 for other statewide offices, \$30,000 for State Senate and \$20,000 for State House. General elections limits are \$1,000,000 for Governor, \$400,000 for other statewide offices, \$60,000 for State Senate, \$40,000 for State House.

Oregon

Passed; 851,014 (72) for, 324,224 against

1996

- Established voluntary spending limits at 2 million for a candidate for governor, \$400,000 for a candidate for secretary of state, attorney general, or treasurer, \$100,000 for a candidate for lieutenant governor, \$75,000 for the State Senate and \$50,000 for the State House of Representatives and Board of Education.

Colorado

Passed; 926,591 for, 482,138 against

- Permits certified candidates to accept and spend contributions, reduced by any seed money contributions aggregating no more than \$500 per donor per election for gubernatorial candidates and \$250 for State Senate and State House candidates..

Maine

Passed; 200,472 (52.3) for, 182,743 against

- Sought to establish voluntary spending limits of \$1.5 million for ballot measures.

Massachusetts

Failed; 84,783 (36) for, 148,459 against

Contribution Limits

45 states limit contributions to campaigns by individuals, PACs, corporations or unions. A 1994 survey conducted for the Conference on Campaign Reform showed that of the 1,808 people surveyed, 54 supported in-district contribution limits, which would require candidates to receive most of their donations from sources within their district.

1992

- Imposed a \$100 limit on campaign contributions to mayoral and citywide council candidates, total contributions by an individual limited to \$600.

District of Columbia
Passed; 122,502 (65) for, 66,843 against

- Limited contributions to \$100 for state House, \$200 for Senate, and \$300 for other statewide candidates.

Missouri
Passed; 1,549,297 (73) for, 418,630 against

1993

- Attempted to limit contributions to non-campaign committees to \$250 per year, and prohibit acceptance of contribution by non-campaign committee during six months preceding election.

San Francisco, California
Failed; 64,575 (49) for, 68,067 against

- Placed all contributions under a \$500 limit previously adopted by the city.

San Francisco
Passed; 89,245 (68) for, 42,202 against

1994

- Imposed contribution limits of \$500 for mayoral candidates and \$250 for City Council candidates per election.

Long Beach, California
Passed; 30,548 (55) for, 25,226 against

1994

- Limited contributions to \$100 for State House, \$200 for Senate, and \$300 for other statewide candidates.

Missouri
Passed; 1,186,630 (74) for, 418,630 against

- Imposed a \$100 contribution limit for legislative candidates and \$500 for statewide races. Limits political party contributions to \$25,000 for candidates for governor, \$10,000 for

other statewide offices and \$5,000 for 1 legislative candidate.

Oregon

Passed; 851,014 (72) for, 324,224 against

- Limits individual and PAC contributions to candidates for governor and lieutenant governor to \$400, \$200 for other statewide offices and \$100 for all other offices. Political party organizations are limited to \$15,000 for governor, \$5,000 for statewide offices, \$800 for senator and \$500 for all other offices.

Montana

Passed; 200,679 (63) for, 129,983 against

- Sought contribution limits of \$100 for legislative races, \$500 for gubernatorial races, \$250 for lieutenant governor and PACs, and party limits of \$5,000 for legislative races, and \$25,000 for statewide races. 60 of candidates funds would have come from individuals.

Colorado

Failed; 508,029 (46) for, 588,072 against

- Limited total contributions to \$5,000 to state and local candidates for primary and general election campaigns. Limits individual contributions to ballot question committees to \$5,000.

Nevada

Passed; 281,694 (77) for, 83,174 against

1996

- Established contribution limits ranging from \$100 for local and legislative races to \$300 for statewide elections, the creation of citizen contribution committees and 100 tax credits for donations of \$50 or less.

Arkansas

Passed; 487,322 (66.6) for, 244,267 against

- Established expenditure limits with variable contribution limits ranging from \$100 to \$500 for candidates who do not agree to the spending caps and from \$250 to \$1,000 for those that do.

California

Passed; 5,153,907 (61) for, 3,277,510 against

1996

- Set \$5,000 contribution limits for all contributors to statewide candidates.

Nevada
Passed; 300,707 (71) for, 122,922 against

1996

- Limited contributions of \$100 to legislative candidates and \$500 to statewide candidates.

Colorado
Passed; 926,591 (65.8) for, 482,138 against

- Limited contributions to legislative candidates to \$250; contributions to gubernatorial candidates are limited to \$500.

Maine
Passed; 200,472 (52.3) for, 182,743 against

PACs

1994

- Limits contributions at each election to \$500 for statewide candidates and \$100 for legislative candidates.

Oregon
Passed; 851,014 (72) for, 324,224 against

- Sought contribution limits of \$250 for PACs. Bans leadership PACs

Colorado
Failed; 508,029 (46) for, 588,072 against

1996

- \$500 per calendar year per committee limit on PACs that contribute to candidates.

California
Passed; 5,153,907 (61) for, 3,277,510 against

- Superseded previous law that set contribution limits for labor unions, PACs, and political parties.

Nevada
Passed; 300,707 (71) for, 122,922 against

Lobbyists

1996

- No elected officeholder, candidate or committee may solicit or accept a campaign contribution or contribution to an officeholder account from, through, or arranged by a registered state or local lobbyist if that lobbyist finances, engages, or is authorized to engage in lobbying the governmental agency for which the candidate is seeking election or the governmental agency of the officeholder.

California

Failed; 4,095,122 (48.1) for, 4,248,888 against

Income Tax Check-offs and Add-ons

Several states currently rely on a tax check-off or add-on. This method is considered public funding because it uses the tax system to solicit small, voluntary contributions that are distributed according to a legal formula administered and enforced by the state. In no state do more than 2 choose to add-on. Participation rates increase when a tax check-off system is used.

Check-offs

- 1993: 11.30
Hawaii, Idaho, Iowa, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota, New Jersey, North Carolina, Ohio, Rhode Island, Utah, and Wisconsin.
- 1994: 10.99
Hawaii, Idaho, Kentucky, Massachusetts, Minnesota, North Carolina, Ohio, Rhode Island, Utah and Wisconsin.

Add-ons

- 1993: 0.51
Alabama, Arizona, California, Maine, Massachusetts, North Carolina and Virginia.
- 1994: 0.33
Alabama, Arizona, California, Maine, North Carolina and Virginia.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Julie E. Mason (CN=Julie E. Mason/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:27-FEB-1997 16:47:21.00

SUBJECT: Re: PRESS CONFERENCE BRIEFING BOOK

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

thanks - - i talked to socarides. i will ask richard sorian to do some
aids tps (needle exchange, ryan white grants, etc) - - then i'll send to
you to vet with bruce if thats ok.

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Angus S. King to Elena Kagan. Subject Re: black farmers [partial] (1 page)	02/27/1997	P6/b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Automated Records Management System [Email]
WHO ([Kagan])
OA/Box Number: 500000

FOLDER TITLE:

[02/26/1997 - 03/03/1997]

2009-1006-F
ab811

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Angus S. King (CN=Angus S. King/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:27-FEB-1997 16:48:30.00

SUBJECT: Re: black farmers

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

The number on the card is P6/(b)(6). The beeps you hear are the number of messages he has, I think. Wait for all 10 or so of them, then you'll get a slightly longer beep, and then, I assume, you can leave a message. There doesn't seem to be any sort of greeting.

[001]

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:27-FEB-1997 16:49:35.00

SUBJECT: Re: leg language on adoption

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

The Administration leg proposal that is being circulated represents our proposals that specifically support the President's Balanced Budget Plan -- the FY98 Budget -- so it fleshes out, in leg language, only the \$ issues, i.e., for adoption, the bonus. Nothing is circulating through the Administration yet on Reasonable Efforts, although I understand that Chafee/Rockefeller have a bill in draft, and there was a House Ways and Means hearing today on "Encouraging Adoption." Unfortunately, neither Lyn nor I could go to it, but I'm trying to find out about it from HHS, and get the testimony.

I just talked with Dan Lewis, Carol Williams' deputy, about the two policy issues outstanding. Call me when you have a chance so we can make a decision about if we want to push either or both.

And 4:30 is fine for the WH meeting on Monday.

Nicole

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Tracey E. Thornton (CN=Tracey E. Thornton/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:27-FEB-1997 16:49:52.00

SUBJECT: PRESS CONFERENCE BRIEFING BOOK

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I've been asked to get with you on Q&A on late-term. What are we saying girl?

----- Forwarded by Tracey E. Thornton/WHO/EOP on 02/27/97
04:39 PM -----

Elisa Millsap
02/27/97 01:21:24 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Tracey E. Thornton/WHO/EOP

cc: Peter G. Jacoby/WHO/EOP, Ananias Blocker III/WHO/EOP

Subject: PRESS CONFERENCE BRIEFING BOOK

Tracey-

Will you work with DPC on the late-term Qs&As for the press conference?
Also, do the last Qs&As on Comp/Flex time need to be updated?

----- Forwarded by Elisa Millsap/WHO/EOP on 02/27/97
01:16 PM -----

Julie E. Mason

02/27/97 01:00:17 PM

Record Type: Record

To: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message

cc:

Subject: PRESS CONFERENCE BRIEFING BOOK

POTUS is holding a press conference next Tuesday, March 4. We've been asked to get the briefing book in to staff secretary by tomorrow night.

Please email me your TPs by tomorrow at 3pm so I can get them to staff secretary by their 4pm deadline.

CONTACT SUBJECT

Horwitz Economic

Panareli Int'l Economics

Goldberg Counsel's

Millsap Legislative

Lavery Political

Kagan DPC

We can use the TPs from yesterday's press conference briefing book - -
let me know what we can just reuse and I can just slip it in. But I'm

sure we'll need some updates. We also should add "don't ask, don't tell" and abortion (is that DPC for both, Elena?)

And Leg affairs should work with DPC to come up with campaign finance (last time we had 2 sets). Thanks - - I am at 62712.

Message Sent

To:

Russell W. Horwitz/OPD/EOP
Kristen E. Panerali/OPD/EOP
Elisa Millsap/WHO/EOP
Christopher J. Lavery/WHO/EOP
Adam W. Goldberg/WHO/EOP
Elena Kagan/OPD/EOP

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: June G. Turner (CN=June G. Turner/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:27-FEB-1997 17:23:26.00

SUBJECT: Monday Meeting

TO: Maria Echaveste (CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Marjorie Tarmey (CN=Marjorie Tarmey/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Sylvia is having a Race/Unity Meeting and Monday at 5:00 pm in the Roosevelt Room and both of you are invited to attend.

Thanks. Papers forthcoming tomorrow.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Maria Echaveste (CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:27-FEB-1997 18:07:27.00

SUBJECT: Re: Legal Boundaries on Welfare to Work Outreach

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

----- Forwarded by Maria Echaveste/WHO/EOP on 02/27/97
06:08 PM -----

Maria Echaveste
02/27/97 06:09:15 PM
Record Type: Record

To: William P. Marshall/WHO/EOP
cc:
Subject: Re: Legal Boundaries on Welfare to Work Outreach

Bill--Elena and I reviewed your legal memo and have a couple more questions for you. Better said, here is what we want to do, tell us how it can be done.

We want to identify individuals with the proper stature in the nonprofit and in the religious community who could organize their community around the welfare to work implementation. Such a person might do outreach and identify other like-minded individuals, provide information and technical assistance to businesses and state governments as to the capacity and abilities of the nonprofit and religious communities to aid in the job creation for welfare recipients.

How we work with such individuals is very critical. We will want to communicate and provide information regarding creative ideas we discover, refer innovative programs for replication, etc.

Please tell us how we can accomplish this. Thank you, Maria and Elena

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Christa Robinson (CN=Christa Robinson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:26-FEB-1997 18:10:28.00

SUBJECT: Short Term Scheduling Mtg

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul J. Weinstein Jr. (CN=Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Michael Cohen (CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

2/27 - Thursday - Remarks to the Business Council

2/28 - Friday - Taping of Radio Address -- they said the topic wasn't confirmed but that there was talk of doing certification ie.) Mexico. I said that you had proposed child support. You should push Don on it if that is what you want.

3/3 - Monday - Ad Council PSA Launch - POTUS and HRC

3/4 - Tuesday - PRESS CONFERENCE

Tuesday - HRC is doing School Construction Event -Pauline Abernathy is working on it. We'll be meeting on it tomorrow.

3/5 - Wednesday - POTUS travels to East Lansing, Michigan to meet with a State Legislature. Marcia Hale is the staff contact. Is this an education message?

3/6 - Thursday - Education Standards Meeting w/ Mark Tucker, etc. is on the schedule and will be confirmed once Erskine signs off on it, hopefully tomorrow. Stephanie thinks that he will. They do not have a message event for this day, so if there is a possible press/message event you would like to do around this meeting I think scheduling would be open to the suggestion.

3/7 - Friday - Taping Radio Address and Welfare Meeting - both tentative.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Anne W. Hawley (CN=Anne W. Hawley/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:28-FEB-1997 09:22:53.00

SUBJECT: Re: chief state school officers talk

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

What day is that?

Elena Kagan

02/28/97 08:37:56 AM

Record Type: Record

To: John Podesta/WHO/EOP, Stephanie S. Streett/WHO/EOP, Anne W. Hawley/WHO/EOP, Marcia L. Hale/WHO/EOP

cc:

Subject: chief state school officers talk

There will be about 45 chief state school officers in Washington. They're meeting at the Westin Washington City Center at 1400 M St.

INTERAGENCY WORKING GROUP ON EARLY CHILD DEVELOPMENT
MEETING AGENDA

FEBRUARY 28, 1997
12:30 - 1:30 pm

ISSUES FOR DISCUSSION:

1. **Child Care** -- health/safety/quality/standards
2. **Effective Parenting** -- supporting parents, particularly new parents
3. **Health Issues** -- budget/leg. proposals aside, discussion of other ideas

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Julie E. Mason (CN=Julie E. Mason/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:28-FEB-1997 12:30:19.00

SUBJECT: Q&A Review

TO: Cathy R. Mays (CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I have a Q&A form Richard Sorian for inclusion in the POTUS briefing book that's going to Staff Secretary today (for Tuesday's press conference). Who from your shop should review? What fax should I send it to? Thanks!

(Elena - thanks for your adoption Q&A. I'll include.)

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Laura A. Graham (CN=Laura A. Graham/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:28-FEB-1997 15:57:42.00

SUBJECT: education meeting

TO: Kimberly H Tilley (CN=Kimberly H Tilley/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Cohen (CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Holly B. Nichols (CN=Holly B. Nichols/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Terri J. Tingen (CN=Terri J. Tingen/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jason S. Goldberg (CN=Jason S. Goldberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

The education standards meeting will now take place on 3/5 1:15 - 2:15 pm. Please let me know if there are any problems. This time works for both the First Lady and President. Please let me know how large the group is and where you would like it held.

thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Laura A. Graham (CN=Laura A. Graham/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:28-FEB-1997 16:13:54.00

SUBJECT: Welfare meeting

TO: Holly B. Nichols (CN=Holly B. Nichols/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Terri J. Tingen (CN=Terri J. Tingen/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Cathy R. Mays (CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jason S. Goldberg (CN=Jason S. Goldberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

We would now have the welfare meeting tentatively scheduled for 3/7 1:45 - 2:45 pm. Please note this time change. It is my understanding that we are not sure if we want to do this meeting, but if it happens this would be the time. thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Julie E. Mason (CN=Julie E. Mason/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:28-FEB-1997 19:38:58.00

SUBJECT: Re: Q&A Review

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

i just faxed it over. thanks.

(phil now says that we can give these to him tomorrow so he can have them ready for potus when he returns from ny....per phil they are due tomorrow early afternoon, whatever that means.) hope that gives you some time to catch up on everything eles.

let me know which of the old tps i should include from your group. thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Angus S. King (CN=Angus S. King/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 2-MAR-1997 13:25:16.00

SUBJECT: Re: hate crimes report

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I'll have one of our interns run you off a copy Monday morning, if that works for you. Otherwise, I'll leave my copy on my table in Rm. 199, and you can come borrow it today. (I would like it back, though.) Thanks,
Angus

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (ALL-IN-1 MAIL)

CREATOR: Dinah Bear (BEAR_D) (CEQ)

CREATION DATE/TIME: 2-MAR-1997 13:50:18.31

SUBJECT: Tuesday meeting agenda

TO: Kris Balderston (BALDERSTON_K) Autoforward to: Remote Add
READ:NOT READ

TO: Ron Cogswell (COGSWELL_R) Autoforward to: Remote Addre
READ:NOT READ

TO: Martha Foley (FOLEY_M) (WHO)
READ: 3-MAR-1997 09:18:11.40

TO: Thomas C. Jensen (JENSEN_T) Autoforward to: Remote Address
READ:NOT READ

TO: Elena Kagan (KAGAN_E) Autoforward to: Remote Adresse
READ:NOT READ

TO: Christine L. Nolin (NOLIN_CL) Autoforward to: Remote Address
READ:NOT READ

TO: Jennifer M. O'Connor (OCONNOR_J)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Ruth D. Saunders (SAUNDERS_R) Autoforward to: Remote Addre
READ:NOT READ

TO: Remote Addressee (TLXA1MAIL_\F:97205437\C:Anne Kennedy\\)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Remote Addressee (TLXA1MAIL_\F:95140557\C:Peter Coppelman\\)
READ:NOT READ

TO: FAX (9-482-6318, Doug Hall) (TLXA1MAIL_\F:9-482-6318\C: Doug Hall\\)
READ:NOT READ

TO: FAX (95640070, Richard Sanderson) (TLXA1MAIL_\F:95640070\C:Richard Sanderson
READ:NOT READ

TO: FAX (96902730, Mike Gippert) (TLXA1MAIL_\F:96902730\C:Mike Gippert\\)
READ:NOT READ

TO: FAX (92083877, Bob Baum) (TLXA1MAIL_\F:92083877\C:Bob Baum\\)
READ:NOT READ

TO: FAX (92191792, Kris Clark) (TLXA1MAIL_\F:92191792\C:Kris Clark\\)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Remote Addressee (TLXA1MAIL_\F:97204732\C:Mark Gaede\\)
READ:NOT READ

TO: FAX (9-720-4732, Jim Lyons) (TLXA1MAIL_\F:9-720-4732\C: Jim Lyons\\)
READ:NOT READ

TO: FAX (9-208-6916, Gerry Jackson) (TLXA1MAIL_\F:9-208-6916\C:Gerry Jackson\\

READ:NOT READ

TO: Remote Addressee (TLXA1MAIL_\F:915033266254\C:Tom Tuchman\
READ:NOT READ

TO: FAX (9-301-713-0658,Jason Patlis) (TLXA1MAIL_\F:9-301-713-0658\C:Jason Patli
READ:NOT READ

TO: Remote Addressee (TLXA1MAIL_\F:92085242\C:Nancy Hayes\
READ:NOT READ

TO: Mark A. Weatherly (WEATHERLY_M) (OMB)
READ: 3-MAR-1997 09:17:31.80

TO: David J. Rostker (ROSTKER_D) Autoforward to: Remote Addres
READ:NOT READ

TO: FAX (9-514-4231,Jeremy Heep) (TLXA1MAIL_\F:9-514-4231\C:Jeremy Heep\
READ:NOT READ

TEXT:

There will be a meeting of the interagency/EOP timber group this Tuesday, March 4, 1997, at 2 pm in the CEQ conference room at 722 Jackson Place, N.W. The agenda will include:

1. Litigation report
2. Congressional update
3. Report on interagency action plan (follow-up to interagency salvage program report)
4. Other business

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 2-MAR-1997 19:07:39.00

SUBJECT: agenda for tomorrow's mtg

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Pauline M. Abernathy (CN=Pauline M. Abernathy/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Attached is a proposed agenda for tomorrow's internal White House meeting on the brain conference, as well as an options menu for POTUS participation in the Conference.===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS_EXT:[ATTACH.D0]MAIL40198606H.016 to ASCII,
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF57504370040000010A02010000000205000000811900000002000024FCBA916F6E61066BDB3C
789E9A51E27D5075A0363C2561E185CD888086443E8F110BD622756EF0316A98C715D8CC239CFA
49AC0662FE0EA32A00A7EA62F3E80718E449FA260AB481373670D38282D0D71DEA8BC4BBF09561
3955F8128B67CF975EB1E545AF568113921A366582E5A885B899A65E3FB00A9B13BA512E29EC95
D1ED8810B82038C376C7509DDB89BF6173E58C150E04517EB3D817BAFEF16217C7A5FC592AC35D
1BAEF5A1A2F2F1F3EAF1DBB3113B00CE73F36B3275D8B16C37D5F1418E1B43E99998400A057421
853F2BF45A130A0544FDA080EFFF41D2ED4CF7EA934324BC37C982DF8D95B84FF56845AD41954
2571294D56F03169859BCAAE9E38E1AB05BED812F9E0467322C84194DF5C3B4D555875BBFB2F0E
2E65345FD490B075388570C1974B6178A59390C873C202D6BB1F2A998A60CC6D26194AF7BE66B0
92B70EB10ACEDC8B6F1159F0A5EA4F6DFB42BD20318CE6EFB369900F5999578258D74733AA1A0D
10A82AE83B2E9224AE5672DBB7D8AB14D9F846505EB1197DFDBE8C4D59D0819329EFD6BD3B797
5C184A9AD0631A8ADA18E41239D71B23933B7F4726B0167D6E4B63354D9394BE2747F36D79C250
085BFE954DB6C7E96C9F6A92B3CA09B750FF15CDC9E121C4FA6FA46795C5507853166AE511F47D
528AEBB9B9020009000000000000000000000000082301000000B0100007E020000005501000000
4E0000008903000009250100000006000000D70300000B300200000028000000DD030000087701
000000400000000504000008340100000014000000450400000802010000000F00000059040000
080501000000080000006804000000985C005C004F0041005F0038005C005C005C004500410053
0054005F00570049004E0047005C0046004C005F003100300032005F0041000000000000000000
0000000000000000000000000057494E53504F4F4C0000000000C800C8002C012C012C012C01C800
C8003000
00
00
0000000005E008F0E2800C8196810480D000011090000005A000B01008B143600540069006D0065
00730020004E0065007700200052006F006D0061006E00200052006500670075006C0061007200
0000000000000000001000200580201000000400280000000000000000000000000000000000
00011202002400A1000000A1000000A0000008502020002008602010045008702010002008802
010045008902020002008A02010045008B02020002008C02020002008D02010044008E02010045
000E92362200
0002000000DD0A10008301040003000200211000DDDD0B0B00030000040B00DD9B4D6172636880
322C8031393937CCCCF20EF24D454D4F52414E44554DF30EF3D0041500000B000900019006E001
020001201500D0CC544F3AE0110C0000000008070C00E0456C656E61804B6167616ED004150000
0B000900017008C003040001201500D046523AE0110C0000000008070C00E04E69636F6C658052
61626E65722C805061756C696E6580416265726E61746879D0041500000B000900016009B00405
0001201500D052453AE0110C0000000008070C00E09C427261696E80436F6E666572656E636580
616E6480496E746572844F6666696365804D656574696E679BD0041500000B00090001500AA005

March 2, 1997

MEMORANDUM

TO: Elena Kagan
FR: Nicole Rabner, Pauline Abernathy
RE: Brain Conference and Inter-Office Meeting

The attached agenda breaks down the White House Conference into component parts and recommends delegating responsibility to various White House offices. Please advise of any changes before tomorrow's 2pm meeting.

Also attached is a options memo for the President's role in the Conference. We both lean toward the President participating as a keynote speaker at the luncheon and/or at the top of the second panel.

White House Conference on Early Learning and Brain Development

White House Inter-Office Meeting

March 3, 1997 -- 2:00 - 3:00 pm

AGENDA

1. Overview of Conference
2. Breakdown of Conference Issues & Questions
 - Policy Development/Coordination for Before, During and After Conference
 - Inter-Agency Process: DPC, OFL: Elena Kagan,
Pauline Abernathy, Nicole Rabner
 - Invitations
 - Suggestions for Participants: OFL: Nicole Rabner
 - Suggestions for Audience: OPL
 - Managing Invite List: OPL
 - Issuing Invitations: Social Office
 - Conference Panels:
 - Panel 1: Nicole Rabner
 - Panel 2: Pauline Abernathy
 - Communications Strategy for Before, During and After Conference
 - Media Plan for Conference: Communications Working Group
 - Post-Conf. Message Dissemination, including
pos. PBS involvement and development of conf.
video: Communications Working Group
 - Materials Coordination/Development DPC, Inter-Agency, OPL
 - Financing Questions
 - Role of Departments/Past Experiences: Cabinet Affairs
 - Strategy for Participation of Elected Officials
 - Congressional Participation (including Question of
bringing experts to Congress after Conference),
Managing Inquiries from Congress: Congressional Affairs
 - State & Local Leaders Participation: Inter-Governmental Affairs
 - Satellite Conferences (T)
 - Organizing Regional Convenings with
WH Conference on Satellite: Cabinet Affairs, IGA

White House Conference on Early Learning and Brain Development
Options For POTUS Participation

1. Keynote Opening the Conference (announcing Administration initiatives and accomplishments)
2. Keynote Closing the Conference (announcing Administration initiatives and accomplishments)
3. Keynote During Luncheon (announcing Administration initiatives and accomplishments)
4. Participation in Panel 1: Discussion of current scientific research and its practical application for parents and care-giver
5. Participation in Panel 2: Role of all Sectors of Society in Supporting Children Before They Reach School-age and in Equipping Parents and Care-givers with best information

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Sara M. Latham (CN=Sara M. Latham/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 3-MAR-1997 09:31:08.00

SUBJECT:

TO: JOHN B. (Pager) #EMERSON (JOHN B. (Pager) #EMERSON [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: ELENA (Pager) #KAGAN (ELENA (Pager) #KAGAN [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: TIMOTHY L. (Pager) #NEWELL (TIMOTHY L. (Pager) #NEWELL [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

3/3 10:15am cloning mtg, Podesta's ofc

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Laura A. Graham (CN=Laura A. Graham/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 3-MAR-1997 10:13:17.00

SUBJECT: welfare meeting

TO: Kimberly H Tilley (CN=Kimberly H Tilley/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John D. Funderburk (CN=John D. Funderburk/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Holly B. Nichols (CN=Holly B. Nichols/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Terri J. Tingen (CN=Terri J. Tingen/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jason S. Goldberg (CN=Jason S. Goldberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

The welfare meeting which was tentatively scheduled for 3/7 has been postponed date tba. Due to POTUS travel to Arkansas tomorrow, we had to reshuffle things a bit. Thanks for your patience!! I will get back to you as soon as I hear anything.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Helen P. Howell (CN=Helen P. Howell/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 3-MAR-1997 11:58:26.00

SUBJECT: Advisory Commission on Quality and Consumer Protection in the Health Care

TO: Kathleen M. Whalen (CN=Kathleen M. Whalen/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: McGavock D. Reed (CN=McGavock D. Reed/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

We have received the proposed Executive Order to add 12 members to the Advisory Commission. Would you let me know the preferred timing for the issuance of the EO? Mac Reed of OMB based on discussions with you, Kathy, suggested that we need to get it out tomorrow or Wednesday. However, the DPC weekly report to POTUS suggested a March 10 release date.

We need to staff it out to senior WH staff for feedback, and the deadline we give will be based on when you need the EO to be issued.

Let me know.

THANKS.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 3-MAR-1997 12:41:16.00

SUBJECT: 2pm mtg today

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Did you have any changes to the agenda that I e-mailed to you yesterday? I plan to bring that as well as an internal description of the Conference for distribution at the mtg.

Also, if you think it makes sense to include her in the Conference planning and organizing (and we certainly need help), please e-mail Christa Robinson and ask her to come as well. Thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jill M. Pizzuto (CN=Jill M. Pizzuto/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 3-MAR-1997 12:57:12.00

SUBJECT: need to postpone the Head Start meeting for tomorrow

TO: Melissa Green (CN=Melissa Green/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Edwin Lau (CN=Edwin Lau/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Kathleen M. Wallman (CN=Kathleen M. Wallman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Keith J. Fontenot (CN=Keith J. Fontenot/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

sorry to do this, but we need to postpone the Head Start meeting until tomorrow.

I talked w/ Golden's office and 5:00p works for them (follows the Reed Weekly Welfare Reform meeting).

Elena -- does this work for you?

Melissa - I'm not sure who you may have forwarded this message to on your staff. Please let me know who plans on attending this meeting (wallman?)

thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Karin Kullman (CN=Karin Kullman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 3-MAR-1997 13:46:38.00

SUBJECT: FYI

TO: Peter A. Selfridge (CN=Peter A. Selfridge/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lucie F. Naphin (CN=Lucie F. Naphin/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lee A. Satterfield (CN=Lee A. Satterfield/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Madge H. Henning (CN=Madge H. Henning/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Irma L. Martinez (CN=Irma L. Martinez/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Holly H. Holt (CN=Holly H. Holt/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann M. Cattalini (CN=Ann M. Cattalini/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Victoria Radd (CN=Victoria Radd/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Melissa M. Murray (CN=Melissa M. Murray/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Capricia P. Marshall (CN=Capricia P. Marshall/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Setti D. Warren (CN=Setti D. Warren/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Catherine A. Cornelius (CN=Catherine A. Cornelius/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sondra L. Seba (CN=Sondra L. Seba/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: MYERS_B (MYERS_B @ A1 @ CD @ LNWTWY [EOP]) (WHO)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Margaret A. Williams (CN=Margaret A. Williams/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura K. Capps (CN=Laura K. Capps/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Evan Ryan (CN=Evan Ryan/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Teresa Wildman (CN=Teresa Wildman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ansley Jones (CN=Ansley Jones/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kimberly H Tilley (CN=Kimberly H Tilley/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Helen P. Howell (CN=Helen P. Howell/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Russell W. Horwitz (CN=Russell W. Horwitz/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher J. Lavery (CN=Christopher J. Lavery/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jaycee A. Pribulsky (CN=Jaycee A. Pribulsky/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Patricia Solis-Doyle (CN=Patricia Solis-Doyle/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dan K. Rosenthal (CN=Dan K. Rosenthal/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sara M. Latham (CN=Sara M. Latham/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julie E. Mason (CN=Julie E. Mason/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lori L. Anderson (CN=Lori L. Anderson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer D. Dudley (CN=Jennifer D. Dudley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Katherine Hubbard (CN=Katherine Hubbard/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David S. Beaubaire (CN=David S. Beaubaire/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elisa Millsap (CN=Elisa Millsap/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nancy V. Hernreich (CN=Nancy V. Hernreich/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura A. Graham (CN=Laura A. Graham/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Suzanna A. Valdez (CN=Suzanna A. Valdez/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marjorie Tarmey (CN=Marjorie Tarmey/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peggy Cusack (CN=Peggy Cusack/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Terri J. Tingen (CN=Terri J. Tingen/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: MILLISON_C (MILLISON_C @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [EOP]) (NSC)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: James A. Dorskind (CN=James A. Dorskind/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Patrick M. Steel (CN=Patrick M. Steel/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nicole Elkon (CN=Nicole Elkon/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ruth A. Eaglin (CN=Ruth A. Eaglin/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marc A. Hoberman (CN=Marc A. Hoberman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: C. Wayne Skinner (CN=C. Wayne Skinner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Melinda N. Bates (CN=Melinda N. Bates/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stacey L. Rubin (CN=Stacey L. Rubin/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alice J. Pushkar (CN=Alice J. Pushkar/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eric P. Hothem (CN=Eric P. Hothem/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher Wayne (CN=Christopher Wayne/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen W. Peterson (CN=Karen W. Peterson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisa O. Ross (CN=Lisa O. Ross/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peggy A. Lewis (CN=Peggy A. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jordan Tamagni (CN=Jordan Tamagni/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Waldman (CN=Michael Waldman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Katharine Button (CN=Katharine Button/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: JOTTO (PR_U=JOTTO @ PR_L=WHMOOEOB @ MRP @ OPUS @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John J. Donoghue (CN=John J. Donoghue/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wendy Hartman (CN=Wendy Hartman/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tracy B. LaBrecque (CN=Tracy B. LaBrecque/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Phillip Caplan (CN=Phillip Caplan/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Melissa Green (CN=Melissa Green/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John D. Funderburk (CN=John D. Funderburk/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Holly B. Nichols (CN=Holly B. Nichols/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Edward F. Hughes (CN=Edward F. Hughes/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cathy R. Mays (CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Molly Varney (CN=Molly Varney/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: MCHUGH_L (MCHUGH_L @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [EOP]) (WHO)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: June G. Turner (CN=June G. Turner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Debbie B Bengtson (CN=Debbie B Bengtson/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stephen B. Silverman (CN=Stephen B. Silverman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth M. Toohey (CN=Elizabeth M. Toohey/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rebecca A. Cameron (CN=Rebecca A. Cameron/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alison E. Bracewell (CN=Alison E. Bracewell/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Timothy L. Newell (CN=Timothy L. Newell/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Daniel Wexler (CN=Daniel Wexler/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michelle Crisci (CN=Michelle Crisci/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jason S. Goldberg (CN=Jason S. Goldberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: HILLIARD_B (HILLIARD_B @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [EOP]) (NSC)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kevin S. Moran (CN=Kevin S. Moran/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I'm sure most of you already know this, but for those of you who don't...

I have taken over Margo Spiritus' job upon her departure from the White House on Friday. Please send me any information you would normally send to Margo.

Thank you and please feel free to call me with any questions. I look forward to working with all of you!

Karin

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: William H. White Jr. (CN=William H. White Jr./OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 3-MAR-1997 15:00:49.00

SUBJECT: Disability

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Diana Fortuna (CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Where are we on the disability appointee meeting with Erskine. I raised the subject with Maria Echaveste, and she recommends that she should meet with the appointees first, and defer the meeting with Erskine until next week.

Bill (6-7032)

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 3-MAR-1997 15:39:59.00

SUBJECT: Re: 2pm mtg today

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Did you mean to send this to Christa?

----- Forwarded by Nicole R. Rabner/WHO/EOP on 03/03/97
03:38 PM -----

Elena Kagan
03/03/97 01:45:16 PM
Record Type: Record

To: Nicole R. Rabner/WHO/EOP
cc:
Subject: Re: 2pm mtg today

can you come?

draft 2/2 2pm

**PRESIDENT WILLIAM J. CLINTON
REMARKS ON HUMAN CLONING
Tuesday, March 3, 1997**

In recent days, all Americans were startled to learn of the successful cloning of a sheep by Scottish researchers. There is no question that this is a breakthrough of enormous consequence for science, medicine, and agriculture -- one that could yield important benefits in the years to come.

It also raises a very troubling prospect -- that it might someday be possible to use these techniques to clone human beings from our own genetic material.

There is much about this discovery and its applications that we still do not know. But this much we do know: any discovery that touches upon human creation is not simply a matter of scientific inquiry. It is a matter of human morality and human decency as well.

My own deeply-held view is that the prospect of human cloning is morally repugnant. It violates our most cherished concepts of faith and humanity. Each human life is unique -- blessed by the spirit of a mother and a father, born of a miracle that reaches beyond laboratory science. I believe that we must respect this profound gift, and resist the temptation to become our own creators.

That is why, one week ago today, I asked our National Bioethics Advisory Commission, headed by Princeton University President Harold Shapiro, to conduct a thorough review of the moral and ethical issues raised by this new cloning technology, and to recommend possible actions to prevent its abuse. Their report, due back in 90 days, will give us a better understanding of the scope and implications of this scientific breakthrough.

But there are steps we can take right now to prevent the possibility of human cloning. After reviewing the current restrictions on the use of federal funds for research involving human embryos, we found loopholes that could allow human cloning. Today, I am issuing a directive that bans the use of any federal funds for human cloning. Effective immediately, no federal agency may support, fund, or undertake such activity.

Of course, a great deal of research and activity in this area is supported by private funds. That is why I am urging the entire scientific community -- and every foundation, university, and industry that supports work in this area -- to heed the federal government's example. I am asking for a voluntary moratorium on all efforts to pursue or undertake human cloning, until our Bioethics Advisory Commission and our entire nation have had a chance to understand and debate the profound ethical implications.

Until we learn more about the potential uses and abuses of cloning, the sensible course is to proceed not just with caution, but with conscience as well. By insisting that not a single taxpayer's dollar supports human cloning -- and by urging a moratorium on all private efforts to pursue human cloning -- we can ensure that as we move forward on this issue, we weigh the concerns of faith and family, and not just of laboratory science alone.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 3-MAR-1997 17:09:51.00

SUBJECT: Interior will not Appeal

TO: Lyn A. Hogan (CN=Lyn A. Hogan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Pauline M. Abernathy (CN=Pauline M. Abernathy/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I think the adoption bill is finalized, with change in the language to make more broad the use of the bonus dollars, as discussed on today's conference call.

----- Forwarded by Nicole R. Rabner/WHO/EOP on 03/03/97
05:06 PM -----

Melinda D. Haskins 03/03/97 04:50:50 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Kenneth S. Apfel/OMB/EOP

cc: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message

Subject: Interior will not Appeal

I have alerted the Department of the Interior that OMB does not plan to address its concerns with the adoption bonus language that is included in the balanced budget bill. Interior will not appeal the OMB decision.

Message Copied

To:

Ronald M. Cogswell/OMB/EOP

Janet R. Forsgren/OMB/EOP

Keith J. Fontenot/OMB/EOP

Nicole R. Rabner/WHO/EOP

Matthew McKearn/OMB/EOP

Lester D. Cash/OMB/EOP

E. Irene James/OMB/EOP

Cynthia M. Smith/OMB/EOP

James C. Murr/OMB/EOP

Barry White/OMB/EOP

**PRESIDENT WILLIAM J. CLINTON
REMARKS ON HUMAN CLONING
Tuesday, March 3, 1997**

Automated Records Management System
Hex-Dump Conversion

In recent days, the world witnessed the successful cloning of a sheep by Scottish researchers. It is a discovery that could yield enormous benefits -- enabling us to reproduce the most productive strains of crops and livestock; holding out the promise of groundbreaking medical treatments and cures; helping to unlock the greatest secrets of the genetic code.

But like the splitting of the atom, this is a discovery that carries burdens as well as benefits. Today, science often moves faster than our ability to understand its implications. That is why we have a responsibility to move slowly and cautiously -- to harness the powerful forces of science and technology, so that we can reap the benefits, while avoiding the potential dangers.

This new breakthrough raises the troubling prospect that it might someday be possible to clone human beings from our own genetic material. There is much about cloning that we still do not know. But this much we do know: any discovery that touches upon human creation is not simply a matter of scientific inquiry. It is a matter of morality, decency, and spirituality as well.

My own deeply-held view is that the prospect of human cloning is morally repugnant. It violates our most cherished concepts of faith and humanity. Each human life is unique -- born of a miracle that reaches beyond laboratory science. I believe that we must respect this profound gift, and resist the temptation to become our own creators.

At the very least, we need a better understanding of the scope and implications of this breakthrough. Last week, I asked our National Bioethics Advisory Commission, headed by Princeton University President Harold Shapiro, to conduct a thorough review of the legal and ethical issues raised by this new cloning technology, and to recommend possible actions to prevent its abuse, reporting back to me in 90 days.

In the meantime, I am taking steps to prevent the more imminent possibility of human cloning. After reviewing the current restrictions on the use of federal funds for research involving human embryos, we believe there are loopholes that could allow human cloning if the technology were developed. Today, I am issuing a directive that bans the use of any federal funds for human cloning. Effective immediately, no federal agency may support, fund, or undertake such activity.

Of course, a great deal of research and activity in this area is supported by private funds. That is why I am urging the entire scientific community -- and every foundation, university, and industry that supports work in this area -- to heed the federal government's example. I am asking for a voluntary moratorium on all efforts to undertake human cloning, until our Bioethics Advisory Commission and our entire nation have had a chance to understand and debate the profound ethical implications.

As we gain a fuller understanding of cloning, we must proceed not just with caution, but with conscience as well. By insisting that not a single taxpayer's dollar supports human cloning -- and by urging a moratorium on all private efforts to pursue human cloning -- we can ensure that as we move forward on this issue, we weigh the concerns of faith and family, and not just of laboratory science alone.

Tuesday, March 3, 1997

In recent days, the world witnessed the successful cloning of a sheep by Scottish researchers. It is a discovery that could yield enormous benefits -- enabling us to reproduce the most productive strains of crops and livestock; holding out the promise of groundbreaking medical treatments and cures; helping to unlock the greatest secrets of the genetic code.

But like the splitting of the atom, this is a discovery that carries burdens as well as benefits. Today, science often moves faster than our ability to understand its implications. That is why we have a responsibility to move slowly and cautiously -- to harness the powerful forces of science and technology, so that we can reap the benefits, while avoiding the potential dangers.

This new breakthrough raises the troubling prospect that it might someday be possible to clone human beings from our own genetic material. There is much about cloning that we still do not know. But this much we do know: any discovery that touches upon human creation is not simply a matter of scientific inquiry. It is a matter of morality, decency, and spirituality as well.

My own deeply-held view is that the prospect of human cloning is morally repugnant. It violates our most cherished concepts of faith and humanity. Each human life is unique -- born of a miracle that reaches beyond laboratory science. I believe that we must respect this profound gift, and resist the temptation to become our own creators.

At the very least, we need a better understanding of the scope and implications of this breakthrough. Last week, I asked our National Bioethics Advisory Commission, headed by Princeton University President Harold Shapiro, to conduct a thorough review of the legal and ethical issues raised by this new cloning technology, and to recommend possible actions to prevent its abuse, reporting back to me in 90 days.

In the meantime, I am taking steps to prevent the more imminent possibility of human cloning. After reviewing the current restrictions on the use of federal funds for research involving human embryos, we believe there are loopholes that could allow human cloning if the technology were developed. Today, I am issuing a directive that bans the use of any federal funds for human cloning. Effective immediately, no federal agency may support, fund, or undertake such activity.

Of course, a great deal of research and activity in this area is supported by private funds. That is why I am urging the entire scientific community -- and every foundation, university, and industry that supports work in this area -- to heed the federal government's example. I am asking for a voluntary moratorium on all efforts to undertake human cloning, until our Bioethics Advisory Commission and our entire nation have had a chance to understand and debate the profound ethical implications.

As we gain a fuller understanding of cloning, we must proceed not just with caution, but with conscience as well. By insisting that not a single taxpayer's dollar supports human cloning -- and by urging a moratorium on all private efforts to pursue human cloning -- we can ensure that as we move forward on this issue, we weigh the concerns of faith and family, and not just of laboratory science alone.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Angus S. King (CN=Angus S. King/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 3-MAR-1997 18:48:11.00

SUBJECT: disabilities

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Vicki wants to meet with us tomorrow to see where we are. Got a favorite time? 2-4 doesn't work for me.

March 3, 1997

CLONING MEETING AND STATEMENT

DATE: March 4, 1997
LOCATION: Oval Office
TIME: 9:00 A.M.
FROM: Tim Newell

I. PURPOSE

You will meet with Administration officials in the area of research and ethics to 1) issue a statement on cloning to assure the public that federal funds will not be used to clone humans; and (2) call on the scientific community to voluntarily refrain from human cloning until the ethical issues can be considered.

II. BACKGROUND

The recent announcement that Scottish researchers have successfully cloned an adult sheep has received widespread attention, since, hypothetically, similar techniques could be used to clone humans. Because of the ethical concerns human cloning would present, on February 24 you asked your National Bioethics Advisory Commission (NBAC) to review the legal and ethical issues involved and to report back within 90 days on possible federal actions (see attached letter to Dr. Shapiro, NBAC Chair).

Most scientists believe that human cloning faces major scientific barriers, and the majority of experts believe that any prospect of successfully applying this new cloning method to human beings in the near future is remote.

Human cloning research also faces federal funding barriers. On December 2, 1994, you issued a statement barring the use of federal funds to create human embryos for research purposes. Appropriations bills for FY96 and FY97 codified this policy and expanded it to cover HHS research in which human embryos are "destroyed, discarded, or knowingly subjected to risk of injury or death greater than that allowed for research on fetuses in utero." (The Administration has opposed addressing the issue through legislation and has supported repealing this provision)

There is some fear, however, that public concern over this issue could erode support for important genetic research programs, and/or result in overly-restrictive legislation. On February 26, testifying before the House Appropriations Subcom. on Labor, Health and Human Services, Dr. Varmus stated that while the idea of human cloning was "repugnant," he "would be concerned about a rush to legislate" a prohibition since legislation could also restrict related work that offers important medical, economic, and scientific benefits. A consensus is emerging that researchers should not pursue the cloning of human beings at least until the nation has more thoroughly considered the ethical implications of the

technology. The current restrictions do not assure this outcome for two reasons.

First, the current ban on using federal funds to create embryos for research does not explicitly prohibit all human cloning -- it only covers cloning of embryos that will be discarded (not implanted), and only covers HHS-funded research.

Second, the restrictions apply to federally-supported human embryo research only, not privately-funded activities. Privately funded facilities are free to engage in human cloning research under current law. There is a booming business in all forms of reproduction technology to assist infertile couples. Human cloning is not likely to be pursued in this context -- at least until it has a chance of competing successfully against existing technology -- but it cannot be definitively ruled out.

Congress has scheduled fact-finding hearings on human cloning March 5 (Technology Subcommittee, House Science Committee) and March 12 (Senate Subcommittee on Science, Technology and Space). NIH Director Harold Varmus has been asked to testify at both upcoming hearings.

Your statement at this time is intended to reassure the public; deter restrictive, ill-advised legislation; and strengthen the nation's resolve to consider ethical questions carefully before advancing human cloning by 1) clarifying that federal dollars cannot be used for human cloning and that you are signing a memorandum to that effect; 2) calling on the scientific community to refrain from human cloning at least until NBAC and the nation have carefully considered the issue.

III. PARTICIPANTS

Meeting Participants

The President

The Vice President

Secretary Shalala

Harold Varmus, Director of NIH

Harold Shapiro, President of Princeton University/Chair, Natl Bioethics Advisory Comm

Jack Gibbons

Bruce Reed

John Podesta

Tim Newell

Oval Office Event Participants

The Vice President

Secretary Shalala

Harold Varmus, Director of NIH

Harold Shapiro, President of Princeton University/Chair, Natl Bioethics Advisory Comm.

Jack Gibbons

Bruce Reed

John Podesta

Tim Newell

Elena Kagan

Elizabeth Dryer

Cliff Gabriel

Rachel Levinson

IV. PRESS PLAN

Press Pool

V. SEQUENCE OF EVENTS

- At 9:00 AM, you will meet briefly in the Presidential Dining Room with the Vice President, Sec. Shalala, Dr. Varmus, Dr. Shapiro, Jack Gibbons, and Bruce Reed to discuss the Administration's response to the recent advances in cloning technology.
 - Dr. Varmus will brief the Vice President and you on the biomedical implications of the new cloning technology.
 - Dr. Shapiro will discuss how NBAC will respond to your request for a review of the ethical and legal implications related to cloning humans.
- At 9:10 AM, you will proceed into the Oval Office to the podium, accompanied by the Vice President, Sec. Shalala, Dr. Varmus, Dr. Shapiro, and Jack Gibbons.
- You will make a statement on cloning to the Press Pool.
- You will take questions from assembled press.
- You will depart the Oval Office.

VI. REMARKS

To be provided by Speechwriters

VII. ATTACHMENTS

24 Feb 97 letter to NBAC/Shapiro

Human Cloning
Possible Q's & A's

Question:

Why have you issued a directive prohibiting the use of Federal funds for cloning of human beings?

Answer:

I believe we need to make it absolutely clear that Federal funds will not be used in this manner, and the current prohibitions left room for other interpretations.

In 1994, I directed NIH not to support the creation of human embryos for research purposes, and Congression extended this ban to cover other forms of human embryo research. However, neither of these prohibitions would clearly cover the creation of human embryos, using cloning technology, that are intended to be actually implanted in a womb and carried to term.

My directive today will make it clear that federal funds are not to be used for cloning humans.

Question:

Would you support legislation to ban the cloning of humans?

Answer:

I think that legislation is premature at this time. Frankly, I believe that the broader Congressional prohibition on human embryo research risked cutting off sound research that has great medical importance. I think that we need a serious, public discussion-- which I have asked NBAC to lead -- rather than hasty legislation.

Question:

What are the next steps?

Answer:

I have asked the National Bioethics Advisory Commission (NBAC) to report back to me in 90 days with recommendations for possible federal action. They will review the ethical and legal implications as the basis for their deliberations, which will take place in open, public meetings. Each meeting will provide an opportunity for public input and education, which are crucial for reaching agreement on what we should do next. I will take NBAC's recommendations and develop proposals that will also require public comment before they become final.

Question:

What impact does the directive have on the private sector?

Answer:

The directive only covers work done by government scientists or in a federally funded laboratory. Therefore, I am also asking the scientific and medical communities to also refrain from cloning human beings at this time, until NBAC has had time for its deliberations. But, let me be clear, the majority of scientific experts believe that any prospect of successfully applying this cloning method to human beings in the near future is extremely remote.

Question:

Is animal research like the sheep experiment affected by the directive?

Answer:

No. The directive refers to the cloning of humans. The legislative ban also covers only human research. There is no ban, nor should there be any ban on animal cloning. There is very important scientific work that can be accomplished using animals. This work will have significant benefits for agriculture, medicine and veterinary medicine. It could also lead to improvements in organ transplantation and better treatments for burn victims or cancer patients. Also, by creating genetically identical animals, scientists testing drugs could use far fewer animals than they now need.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 3-MAR-1997 20:53:21.00

SUBJECT: meeting tomorrow

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis (CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Kevin S. Moran (CN=Kevin S. Moran/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Do you have time for a brief meeting tomorrow afternoon with the Communications and Press Offices staff assigned to work on developing a communications plan for before, during and after the WH Conference? I'll notify the others for whenever you are free.

The group includes:

Pat Lewis

Eli Attie

Laura Schwartz

Steve Cohen

Lori Anderson and/or Lorrie McHugh

Human Cloning
Possible Q's & A's

Question:

Why have you issued a directive prohibiting the use of Federal funds for cloning of human beings?

Answer:

I believe we need to make it absolutely clear that Federal funds will not be used in this manner, and the current prohibitions left room for other interpretations.

In 1994, I directed NIH not to support the creation of human embryos for research purposes, and Congress extended this ban to cover other forms of human embryo research. However, neither of these prohibitions would clearly cover the creation of human embryos by cloning that are intended to be actually implanted in a womb and carried to term.

My directive today will make it clear that federal funds are not to be used for cloning humans.

Question:

Is this action really necessary? Are scientists really ready to clone human beings?

Answer:

While the majority of scientific experts believe that successfully cloning human beings is very unlikely in the near future -- we can not rule it out. It is important that we stop now and consider the ethical implications.

Question:

Would you support legislation to ban the cloning of humans?

Answer:

I think that legislation is premature at this time. I think that we need a serious, public discussion-- which I have asked NBAC to lead -- rather than hasty legislation.

Question:

What impact does the directive have on the private sector?

Answer:

The directive only federally-supported research. Therefore, I am asking the broader scientific and medical communities to also refrain from cloning human beings at this time, until NBAC has had time for its deliberations.

Question:

What are the next steps?

Answer:

I have asked the National Bioethics Advisory Commission (NBAC) to report back to me in 90 days with recommendations for possible federal action. They will review the ethical and legal implications in open, public meetings. I look forward to receiving and reviewing NBAC's recommendations.

Question:

Is animal research like the sheep experiment affected by the directive?

Answer:

No. The directive refers to the cloning of humans. The legislative ban also covers only human research. There is no ban, nor should there be any ban on animal cloning. There is very important scientific work that can be accomplished using animals. This work will have significant benefits for agriculture, medicine and veterinary medicine. It could also lead to improvements in organ transplantation and better treatments for burn victims or cancer patients. Also, by creating genetically identical animals, scientists testing drugs could use far fewer animals than they now need.