

NLWJC - KAGAN

EMAILS RECEIVED

ARMS - BOX 065 - FOLDER -008

[09/12/1997 - 09/13/1997]

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Phone No. [partial] (1 page)	09/12/1997	P6/b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
 Automated Records Management System [Email]
 WHO ([Kagan])
 OA/Box Number: 500000

FOLDER TITLE:

[09/12/1997-09/13/1997]

2009-1006-F
vz117

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Michael Waldman (CN=Michael Waldman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:12-SEP-1997 09:04:36.00

SUBJECT: radio address

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria Echaveste (CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Craig T. Smith (CN=Craig T. Smith/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William P. Marshall (CN=William P. Marshall/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John L. Hilley (CN=John L. Hilley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry J. Toiv (CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sylvia M. Mathews (CN=Sylvia M. Mathews/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sidney Blumenthal (CN=Sidney Blumenthal/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul E. Begala (CN=Paul E. Begala/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas D. Janenda (CN=Thomas D. Janenda/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul J. Weinstein Jr. (CN=Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles F. Ruff (CN=Charles F. Ruff/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter G. Jacoby (CN=Peter G. Jacoby/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael D. McCurry (CN=Michael D. McCurry/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John Podesta (CN=John Podesta/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis (CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michelle Crisci (CN=Michelle Crisci/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ: UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Draft 9/12/97 9am

PRESIDENT WILLIAM J. CLINTON
RADIO ADDRESS ON CAMPAIGN REFORM
ROOSEVELT ROOM
September 13, 1997
[taped September 12, 1997]

Good morning. America has had a summer of significant achievement, as we are working to protect our values and prepare America for the 21st Century. The balanced budget shows what we can do when we put aside partisanship and work for the public interest. But America can't rest. And one of the most important steps we can take next is to pass long-overdue campaign finance reform.

The campaign finance laws have been overwhelmed by a rising flood of campaign cash. The amount of money raised by both political parties now doubles every four years. Candidates are caught up in a fundraising arms race, spending more and more time raising more and more money money that raises more and more questions in the public mind. The campaign finance system is broken. And every one of us must take responsibility for fixing it.

When possible, I have acted to promote reform. I have asked the FCC to provide candidates free TV airtime. I have asked the Federal Election Commission to ban large "soft money" contributions to political parties from corporations, unions and wealthy individuals. And the Justice Department has indicated it will go to court, when appropriate, to defend the constitutionality of spending limits.

But there is no substitute for strong, bipartisan campaign finance reform legislation. I proposed reform when I ran for President. I have backed reform legislation every year since then. And every single year, reform has been blocked in the Congress through the filibuster. The excuses keep changing. This year, opponents claim that unlimited campaign spending is just free speech. Well, when money talks in our democracy, that's not the solution that's the problem.

Now, the special interests and their allies in Congress are poised to strike again, waiting to quietly smother reform in the dead of night one more time. But this year, they won't get away with it.

Sens. John McCain, a Republican, and Russ Feingold, a Democrat, have pledged to bring their reform legislation to a vote this month. On Thursday, 45 Democratic Senators every single one wrote to the Senate leadership in support. Citizen groups, spurred by business executives and civic leaders, have gathered one million signatures on a petition to Congress. Presidents Ford, Carter, and Bush have called for reform. They are being joined by dozens of former lawmakers, now free to speak their mind. And the American people overwhelmingly want action. There is a critical mass for action.

This is a time of testing. Ignore the rationalizations: A vote to filibuster campaign reform is a vote for unlimited soft money and backdoor campaign contributions ... a vote to increase the power of big

money in our democracy. Nothing more, nothing less. A vote against a filibuster -- a vote for reform -- is a vote to give ordinary citizens the loudest voice in the halls of power.

This year, despite all the odds, we have the best chance in a generation for reform. Throughout our history, the American people have overcome the resistance of entrenched interests to expand our democracy and keep it strong in changing times. Let's make this autumn a season of reform. Thanks for listening.

DRAFT

Dear Governor _____ :

The continuing explosion of new technologies gives us a groundbreaking opportunity to work together to link our federal and state law enforcement efforts in ways we never thought possible even a few years ago. For example, we are now able to track the whereabouts of convicted sex offenders to prevent them from committing new crimes. If we begin now to coordinate our efforts, I am convinced that American public safety will benefit significantly.

From the historic 1994 Crime Act through the National Crime Prevention and Privacy Compact that I will soon submit to Congress, my Administration has strived to pass legislation to harness computers and other technology for the public good. Many of our states' governors have played crucial roles in fighting to pass this legislation, and I thank you again for that assistance. Although these laws are an important foundation for federal and state cooperation, the new technologies can do only what we commit them to do, and we still need to do much more -- including exchanging criminal records between states for employment checks on workers in occupations that deal with children, and using incident-based crime reports to help police solve specific types of repeated crime, such as church arson or serial murder. Federal and state governments must forge a close partnership to realize the full potential of these new technologies. I am prepared to take actions to intensify our federal efforts in the coming months, but I will need your help in order to ensure our success in several initiatives.

In June of 1996, I directed the Attorney General to develop a national sexual predator and child molester registration system to link the sex offender registration and notification systems being developed in all 50 states. The Interim national sex-offender registry became operational earlier this year, and the Attorney General recently wrote to enlist your assistance. I am encouraged that ten states have already loaded their information on sex offenders onto the system, with many of you pledging to do so within the next few months. I am grateful for any help you can provide in making this *national* sex offender registration system a reality.

To move further ahead, I am directing the Attorney General and Secretary of Defense today to take steps to expand the national sex-offender registry to include convicted sex offenders being released from federal and military facilities. My directive will order the Federal agencies to notify state authorities when sex offenders are released within their borders and to take other steps to improve the information about federally convicted sex offenders included in the national sex offender registry.

I will soon submit to Congress the National Crime Prevention and Privacy

**Automated Records Management System
Hex-Dump Conversion**

Compact to facilitate the exchange of criminal records by establishing an FBI index of state-maintained criminal information that states can access nationwide. The Compact would standardize policies for sharing of criminal records for purposes such as pre-employment background checks for child care workers and school bus drivers. It would improve access to criminal records and save the states and the federal government money by avoiding duplication of effort. I hope I can count on your support of the Compact as it moves through your State legislature.

Many Federal laws --including the Brady Handgun Violence Prevention Act, the Violence Against Women Act, and the National Instant Criminal Background Check System --include provisions to help states automate and improve their criminal records, especially to block handgun sales to prohibited gun purchasers and allow for easy identification of criminal offenders subject to "three strikes" laws. The Department of Justice's Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS) stands ready to help states reach this goal through the National Criminal History Improvement Program. BJS has already awarded more than \$112 million to states, with a total of \$226 million authorized. I urge your State to take full advantage of this program.

As you know, the FBI's Uniform Crime Reporting Program gives us data that help us measure our progress in reducing crime. The next generation of UCR, the National Incident-Based Reporting System, will usher in a new era of crime data -- so that we can tell for example, that a crime was a hate crime, an incident of domestic abuse, or a crime directed at a child. Such information will help law enforcement agencies identify local and national trends, and lead to quicker arrests of violent offenders. The Justice Department is working with states, counties, and cities to expand the NIBRS. I hope that you will lend your support to this effort.

With your State's active participation, we can make significant progress in all of these initiatives. Your personal involvement is key in making this happen. I look forward to working with you to achieve our mutual goal -- using new technologies to make America safer for all our citizens.

Sincerely,

#####

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Richard Socarides (CN=Richard Socarides/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:12-SEP-1997 11:00:43.00

SUBJECT: Mtg with National Asian Pacific American Legal Consortium

TO: Peter Rundlet (CN=Peter Rundlet/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer M. Palmieri (CN=Jennifer M. Palmieri/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joseph P. Lockhart (CN=Joseph P. Lockhart/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Beverly J. Barnes (CN=Beverly J. Barnes/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lynn G. Cutler (CN=Lynn G. Cutler/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Richard Socarides (CN=Richard Socarides/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Walker (CN=Ann F. Walker/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jose Cerda III (CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Minyon Moore (CN=Minyon Moore/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tracey E. Thornton (CN=Tracey E. Thornton/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet Murguia (CN=Janet Murguia/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andrew J. Mayock (CN=Andrew J. Mayock/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Susan M. Liss (CN=Susan M. Liss/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria Echaveste (CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Wenger (CN=Michael Wenger/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Judith A. Winston (CN=Judith A. Winston/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ananias Blocker III (CN=Ananias Blocker III/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: James T. Edmonds (CN=James T. Edmonds/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert B. Johnson (CN=Robert B. Johnson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Doris O. Matsui (CN=Doris O. Matsui/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cheryl D. Mills (CN=Cheryl D. Mills/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis (CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thurgood Marshall Jr (CN=Thurgood Marshall Jr/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert N. Weiner (CN=Robert N. Weiner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Richard L. Hayes (CN=Richard L. Hayes/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bob J. Nash (CN=Bob J. Nash/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dawn M. Chirwa (CN=Dawn M. Chirwa/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rahm I. Emanuel (CN=Rahm I. Emanuel/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jon P. Jennings (CN=Jon P. Jennings/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Marjorie A. Black (CN=Marjorie A. Black/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Mary Morrison (CN=Mary Morrison/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Mona G. Mohib (CN=Mona G. Mohib/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Ora Theard (CN=Ora Theard/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Ruby Shamir (CN=Ruby Shamir/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Angelique Pirozzi (CN=Angelique Pirozzi/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Michelle Crisci (CN=Michelle Crisci/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Trooper Sanders (CN=Trooper Sanders/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jessica L. Gibson (CN=Jessica L. Gibson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Lori L. Anderson (CN=Lori L. Anderson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Edward F. Hughes (CN=Edward F. Hughes/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Elisabeth Steele (CN=Elisabeth Steele/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Kevin S. Moran (CN=Kevin S. Moran/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura K. Demeo (CN=Laura K. Demeo/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Marjorie Tarmey (CN=Marjorie Tarmey/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: June G. Turner (CN=June G. Turner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

We will be meeting with representatives of the National Asian Pacific American Legal Consortium to discuss their recent Audit of Violence Against Asian Pacific Americans and to brief them about the upcoming White House Conference on Hate Crimes on Monday, September 15th at 10am, room 476 OEOB.

You are invited to attend.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Eli G. Attie (CN=Eli G. Attie/O=OVP [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME:12-SEP-1997 11:24:53.00

SUBJECT: Revised VP Satcher statement (with pumped-up tobacco language)

TO: Christopher C. Jennings (CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD]
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lowell A. Weiss (CN=Lowell A. Weiss/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Toby Donenfeld (CN=Toby Donenfeld/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lorraine A. Voles (CN=Lorraine A. Voles/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul E. Begala (CN=Paul E. Begala/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Virginia M. Terzano (CN=Virginia M. Terzano/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ron Klain (CN=Ron Klain/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

REMARKS BY VICE PRESIDENT AL GORE
NOMINATION OF DAVID SATCHER AS SURGEON-GENERAL
Friday, September 12, 1997

I am pleased to welcome Secretary Shalala; Dr. Satcher, his wife Nola, and their wonderful family. We are also joined by Dr. Randolph Smoak, the Secretary and Treasurer of the American Medical Association; Dr. Nathaniel Murdock, President of the National Medical Association; and Dr. Robert Graham, Executive Vice President of the American Academy of Family Physicians.

This is an important day for the health of America's children and families. In David Satcher, President Clinton has found that rare combination of the personal and the professional -- someone whose profound personal understanding of health and disease is matched only by his long record of accomplishment in the fields of medicine and public health.

Dr. Satcher learned about illness and disease first-hand, when he survived a near-fatal bout of the whooping cough as a young child -- and he stands before us today, not only healthy and strong, but with a demonstrated commitment to protecting our children's health. As one of ten children growing up on a farm in Alabama, he knows the special challenges of reaching out to remote and underserved communities, and ensuring that all our people have access to quality health care. And I believe it is no coincidence that his own family's experience with breast cancer has been followed by years of leadership in treating and preventing that all-too-common illness.

As Aldous Huxley once wrote, experience is not what happens to a man -- it is what a man does with what happens to him. I know David from his presidency of Meharry Medical College in Nashville, Tennessee -- in fact, Tipper served on the board during that time. But he has also been a highly distinguished medical professor and scholar; and a widely recognized leader on issues ranging from cancer to sickle cell to food safety.

But most important to me is Dr. Satcher's commitment to keeping tobacco out of the hands and lungs of America's children. Smoking is the greatest public health challenge in America today -- a deadly habit that America has got to break. I'm proud that this President has done more to cut off children's access to tobacco than any President in history -- and I'm proud that he is nominating a Surgeon General who will be a true field commander in the war against underage smoking.

We've been very, very lucky to have Dr. Satcher's leadership at the Centers for Disease Control these past four years -- and we'll be even luckier to have him as both our next Surgeon General and our Assistant Secretary for Health -- fighting for the health of our children and families, and bringing his tremendous experience and understanding to bear as America's family doctor. Now I'm pleased to introduce President Clinton, who can add this selection to his own very long list of achievements in the area of public health. Mr. President...

DRAFT (September 12, 1997)

Executive Order _____

Federalism

By the authority vested in me as President by the Constitution and laws of the United States of America, and in order to restore the division of governmental responsibilities, embodied in the Constitution, between the federal government and the States that was intended by the Framers to ensure that the principles of federalism guide the Executive departments and agencies in the formulation and implementation of policies, it is hereby ordered as follows:

Sec. 1. *Definitions.* For purposes of this Order:

- (a) "Policies that have federalism implications" refers to regulations, legislative comments or proposed legislation, and other policy statements or actions that have substantial direct effects on the relationship between the federal government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.
- (b) "State" or "States" refer to the States of the United States of America, individually or collectively, and, where relevant, to State governments, including units of local government, tribal government, and other political subdivisions established by the States.
- (c) "Agency" or "agencies" mean any authority of the United States that is an "agency" under 44 U.S.C. 3502(1), other than those considered to be independent regulatory agencies, as defined in 44 U.S.C. 3502(10).

Sec. 2. *Fundamental Federalism Principles.* In formulating and implementing policies that have federalism implications, agencies shall be guided by the following fundamental federalism principles:

- (a) Federalism is rooted in the knowledge that our political liberties are best assured by limiting the size and scope of the federal government.
- (b) The people of the States created a federal government of limited powers. All other sovereign powers are reserved to the States, save those expressly prohibited the States by the Constitution, or to the people.
- (c) The people of the States are free, subject only to restrictions in the Constitution itself or in constitutionally authorized Acts of Congress, to define the moral, political, and legal character of

their lives.

(d) The nature of our constitutional system encourages a healthy diversity in the public policies adopted by the people of the several States according to their own conditions, needs, and desires.

Effective public policy is often achieved when there is competition among the several states in the fashioning of different approaches to public policy issues.

(e) The search for enlightened public policy is furthered when individual States and communities are free to experiment with a variety of approaches to public issues. Uniform, national approaches to public policy problems can often inhibit the creation of effective solutions to those problems.

(f) In most areas of governmental concern, the States uniquely possess the constitutional authority, the resources, and the competence to discern the sentiments of the people and to govern accordingly. In Thomas Jefferson's words, the States are "the most competent administrations for our domestic concerns and the surest bulwarks against antirepublican tendencies."

(g) Acts of the federal government -- whether legislative, executive, or judicial in nature -- that exceed the limited powers of that government under the Constitution violate the principle of federalism established by the Framers.

(h) Policies of the federal government should recognize the responsibility of -- and should encourage opportunities for -- individuals, families, neighborhoods, local governments, and private associations to achieve their personal, social, and economic objectives through cooperative effort.

Sec. 3. Federalism Policymaking Criteria. In addition to the fundamental federalism principles set forth in section 2, Executive departments and agencies shall adhere, to the extent permitted by law, to the following criteria when formulating and implementing policies that have federalism implications:

(a) There should be strict adherence to constitutional principles. Executive departments and agencies should closely examine the constitutional and statutory authority supporting any federal action that would limit the policymaking discretion of the States, and should carefully assess the necessity for such action.

(b) Federal actions limiting the policymaking discretion of the States should be taken only where constitutional authority for the action is clear and certain.

(c) With respect to national policies administered by the States, the federal government should

grant the States the maximum administrative discretion possible. Intrusive federal oversight of State administration is neither necessary nor desirable.

(d) It is important to recognize the distinction between problems of national or multi-state scope (which may justify federal action) and problems that are merely common to the States (which will not justify federal action because individual States, acting individually or together, can effectively deal with them). In general federal action is warranted only in the following circumstances:

- (1) When the problem to be addressed by federal action occurs interstate as opposed to being contained within one State's boundaries.
- (2) When the source of the problem that is sought to be redressed occurs in a State different from the State (or States) where a significant amount of the harm occurs. [This type of issue often exists in the environmental area when the State which is the source of the environmental harm is often not the State which suffers the greatest effect of that harm.]
- (3) When there is a need for uniform national standards.
- (4) When decentralization increases the costs of government thus imposing additional burdens on the taxpayer. [For example, in an increasingly technologically and economically sophisticated environment, regulatory intervention often requires the use of highly-skilled experts, analysts, and accountants; and the costs inherent in maintaining this type of regulatory task force are quite sizable. It is therefore less costly and far more efficient for there to be one regulatory authority incurring the costs of these analysts and experts than to have fifty similar regulatory task-forces throughout the country.]
- (5) When State and local governments have not acted to protect individual rights and liberties.
- (6) When decentralized authority will lead to circumstances in which a State will be reluctant to impose necessary regulations on its industry for fear of losing that industry to another State.
- (7) When placing regulatory authority at the State or local level will seriously undermine regulatory goals because enforcement costs will effectively place the regulatory matter beyond the resources of State and local enforcement authorities. [As industries expand in size and complexity and the dangers they pose to the society become more severe, the cost of monitoring and regulating their activities also become greater. Federal action may be justified to assure that industry does not escape regulation based upon its size alone.]

Sec. 4. *Consultation.* (a) Each agency shall develop an effective process to permit elected officials and other representatives of State, local, and tribal governments to provide meaningful

and timely input in the development of regulatory policies that have federalism implications.

(b) To the extent feasible and permitted by law, no agency shall promulgate any regulation that is not required by statute and that has federalism implications, unless the agency, in a separately identified portion of the preamble to the regulation as it is proposed for public comment in the Federal Register, provides a description of the extent of the agency's prior consultation with representatives of affected State, local, and tribal governments, a summary of the nature of their concerns [with its federalism implications], and the agency's position supporting the need to issue the regulation.

Sec. 5. Increasing Flexibility for State and Local Waivers. (a) Each agency shall review its waiver application process and take appropriate steps to streamline that process.

(b) Each agency shall, to the extent practicable and permitted by law, consider any application by a State, local, or tribal government for a waiver of statutory or regulatory requirements in connection with any program administered by that agency with a general view toward increasing opportunities for utilizing flexible policy approaches at the State, local, and tribal level in cases in which the proposed waiver is consistent with the applicable Federal policy objectives and is otherwise appropriate.

(c) Each agency shall, to the fullest extent practicable and permitted by law, render a decision upon a complete application for a waiver within 120 days of receipt of such application by the agency. If the application for a waiver is not granted, the agency shall provide the applicant with timely written notice of the decision and the reasons therefor.

(d) This section applies only to statutory or regulatory requirements of the programs that are discretionary and subject to waiver by the agency.

Sec. 6. Independent Agencies. Independent regulatory agencies are requested to comply with the provisions of this order.

Sec. 7. General Provisions. (a) This Order is intended only to improve the internal management of the executive branch and is not intended to, and does not, create any right or benefit, substantive or procedural, enforceable at law or equity by a party against the United States, its agencies or instrumentalities, its officers or employees, or any other person.

(b) This order shall supplement but not supersede the requirements contained in Executive Order No. 12866 ("Regulatory Planning and Review") and Executive Order No. 12988 ("Civil Justice Reform").

(c) Executive Order Nos. 12612 of October 26, 1987, and 12875 of October 26, 1993, are revoked.

(d) This order shall be effective 90 days after the date of this order.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Dawn M. Chirwa (CN=Dawn M. Chirwa/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:12-SEP-1997 12:57:57.00

SUBJECT: Civil Rights Enforcement

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

A few weeks ago, a "subcommittee" of our minority issues group drafted a memo to Sylvia recommending that we convene the civil rights enforcement officers at the agencies to discuss what could be done to ramp up civil rights enforcement efforts government-wide. (This was the same group that Chris E. and Deval convened when reviewing government affirmative action). Sylvia agreed and told us to go ahead and asked Chuck to oversee. But, Susan Liss just let me know that, unbeknownst to us, you had already taken the bull by the horns and convened this group.

It probably makes more sense for it to be run from DPC, but, can I find out what came out of your meeting, since I know Chuck is interested in our office being involved as this effort goes forward?

Thanks, Elena!

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:12-SEP-1997 13:04:12.00

SUBJECT:

TO: ELENA (Pager) #KAGAN (ELENA (Pager) #KAGAN [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Reminder: after tobacco mtg is 2:00 FOP mtg. w/ COS

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Nelson Reyneri (CN=Nelson Reyneri/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:12-SEP-1997 15:46:10.00

SUBJECT: Mexico City/UNFPA

TO: Sandra Yamin (CN=Sandra Yamin/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ruby Shamir (CN=Ruby Shamir/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marjorie Tarmey (CN=Marjorie Tarmey/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gordon Adams (CN=Gordon Adams/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis (CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David B Sandalow (CN=David B Sandalow/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [CEQ])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Martha Foley (CN=Martha Foley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alphonse J. Maldon (CN=Alphonse J. Maldon/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Katharine Button (CN=Katharine Button/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert S. Kapla (CN=Robert S. Kapla/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [CEQ])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet Himler (CN=Janet Himler/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jacob J. Lew (CN=Jacob J. Lew/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kay Casstevens (CN=Kay Casstevens/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Philip A. DuSault (CN=Philip A. DuSault/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: June G. Turner (CN=June G. Turner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Sylvia M. Mathews (CN=Sylvia M. Mathews/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

There will be another meeting on Mexico City Policy on Monday, (Sept. 15) from 2:30-3:30 in the Roosevelt Room. The following offices/individuals have been contacted and asked to attend.

Invitees:

Sylvia Mathews
Martha Foley
Al Maldon
Maria Echaveste
Melanne Verveer
Ann Lewis
Jack Lew or Gordon Adams
Phil DuSault
Will Davis (NSC)
David Sandalow
Elena Kagan
Nelson Reyneri

OVP
Kay Casstevens

STATE
Meg Donovan
Kelly Clements on behalf Tim Wirth (out of town)

US AID
Adm. Brian Atwood
Jill Buckley

If you have any other suggestions regarding names of those you feel should attend, as well as suggested agenda items, please let me know.

I can also be reached at 456-2016. Thanks again for your help.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Ruby Shamir (CN=Ruby Shamir/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:12-SEP-1997 16:17:30.00

SUBJECT: Tobacco Message Meeting

TO: Michael Waldman (CN=Michael Waldman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christa Robinson (CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Emily Bromberg (CN=Emily Bromberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elisa Millsap (CN=Elisa Millsap/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anne M. Edwards (CN=Anne M. Edwards/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julia M. Payne (CN=Julia M. Payne/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia M. Jasso-Rotunno (CN=Cynthia M. Jasso-Rotunno/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barbara D. Woolley (CN=Barbara D. Woolley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jerold R. Mande (CN=Jerold R. Mande/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas D. Janenda (CN=Thomas D. Janenda/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jon P. Jennings (CN=Jon P. Jennings/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anne E. McGuire (CN=Anne E. McGuire/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cecily C. Williams (CN=Cecily C. Williams/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeremy M. Gaines (CN=Jeremy M. Gaines/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julia R. Green (CN=Julia R. Green/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan Murchinson (CN=Jonathan Murchinson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer M. Palmieri (CN=Jennifer M. Palmieri/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Toby Donenfeld (CN=Toby Donenfeld/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Darby E. Stott (CN=Darby E. Stott/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura K. Capps (CN=Laura K. Capps/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Lori L. Anderson (CN=Lori L. Anderson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Mary Morrison (CN=Mary Morrison/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

There will be a Tobacco Message Meeting in Ann Lewis' office on Monday
(8/15) at 1pm.
Please confirm your attendance with me. Thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Marjorie Tarmey (CN=Marjorie Tarmey/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:12-SEP-1997 17:04:52.00

SUBJECT: Sunday AA Mtg

TO: Gene B. Sperling (CN=Gene B. Sperling/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Judith A. Winston (CN=Judith A. Winston/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dawn M. Chirwa (CN=Dawn M. Chirwa/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles F. Ruff (CN=Charles F. Ruff/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert N. Weiner (CN=Robert N. Weiner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cheryl D. Mills (CN=Cheryl D. Mills/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sylvia M. Mathews (CN=Sylvia M. Mathews/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Ora Theard (CN=Ora Theard/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Melissa Green (CN=Melissa Green/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Marjorie A. Black (CN=Marjorie A. Black/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: June G. Turner (CN=June G. Turner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

The 2:00pm Sunday meeting has been rescheduled to 10:30am on Sunday, September 14, in room 180. In addition to White House staff, Chris Edley will be at the meeting.

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Phone No. [partial] (1 page)	09/12/1997	P6/b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Automated Records Management System [Email]
WHO ([Kagan])
OA/Box Number: 500000

FOLDER TITLE:

[09/12/1997-09/13/1997]

2009-1006-F
vz117

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

September 12, 1997

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: Bruce Reed
Elena Kagan

RE: DPC Weekly Report

1. Welfare Reform: New Republican Proposal on Workfare and Minimum Wage -- House Ways and Means Human Resources Subcommittee Chairman Clay Shaw is trying to garner bipartisan support among Governors and House members for a bill that addresses state concerns about the cost of workfare programs. Unfortunately it does so by significantly weakening the welfare law's work requirements. The draft legislation requires states to pay the minimum wage for work experience and community service programs, but it limits the number of work hours to what states can afford to pay, based on the amount of their welfare grant plus food stamps. The balance of a recipients' time could be spent on job search and education activities. Thus, a welfare recipient could work 10 hours a week and do 10 hours of job search. There is a concern that the legislation's definition of "work experience" and "community service" may be so broad that nearly all subsidized work could be defined as such, allowing low benefit states to require less than 20 hours of work from nearly all their "working" recipients. The bill would also exempt workfare positions from FICA and unemployment taxes, something that we indicated during the balanced budget negotiations that we were willing to do.

Department of Labor lawyers are currently reviewing the legislative language to determine if the bill weakens worker protections or minimum wage enforcement. If it does not, then our grounds for opposition will rest solely on the weakening of the work requirements, an issue on which we may not have many allies. We hear Chairman Shaw may unveil this legislation at a press conference on Thursday, with a hearing and markup soon to follow. He apparently plans to move the measure as a separate piece of legislation. As you may recall, Speaker Gingrich told the Republican gathering in Indianapolis on August 22nd that enacting legislation in this area would be a key priority for the fall "because the Clinton Administration, working with the unions and the bureaucrats, is trying to undermine and destroy welfare reform."

2. Education: Preliminary Injunction Denied in High Stakes Testing Case in North Carolina. On August 29, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina refused to grant a preliminary injunction against a local school district policy which requires all students in grades 3 through 8 to achieve a specified score on the North Carolina state reading and math tests before they can be promoted to the next grade. Approximately 480 students have been retained as a result of the policy, which had been challenged on behalf of the NAACP Legal Defense Fund and parents of 14 of the students affected. In denying relief, the court found that the plaintiffs were "less than likely" to prevail on the merits of their claim. Department of Education staff understands that the school district is adding additional measures of student achievement for promotion purposes.

3. Crime: Juvenile Crime -- On Wednesday, a new report was released on crime committed in the after school hours. The report-- which contains the most comprehensive data on the prevalence of juvenile crime by time of day-- revealed that 41.8 percent of violent juvenile crime is committed between 3pm and 8pm on weekdays. While the numbers are slightly lower than a study that was previously cited, the data make clear that juvenile crime peaks in the after school hours and emphasize the need for responsible supervision and constructive activities for juveniles. The report was released by James Alan Fox of Northeastern University and Sanford Newman of Fight Crime: Invest in Kids.

4. Crime: COPS -- Next week, the COPS Office will announce \$23.8 million to fund an additional 319 new or redeployed police officers. The grants will fund officers in 10 states, bringing the total to more than 65,000 total officers funded throughout the country by the Clinton COPS Program.

Education Weekly

1. National Tests: The Senate adopted our proposal to put NAGB in charge of the tests by a strong bipartisan vote of 88-12. The amendment to the appropriations bill also included some modifications to NAGB's membership, and gives it greater independence from the Education Department. The House vote has been put off until next Tuesday or Wednesday. We continue to face solid opposition from Republicans and from the Congressional Black and Hispanic Caucuses.

Secretary Riley has sent a detailed letter to the Leadership Conference on Civil Rights, providing the most detailed, specific and, we believe, satisfying responses to issues they and members of both Caucuses have raised about the tests. While we do not expect this letter to change any votes in either Caucus, we believe it can help create a better climate for our continued efforts to find a basis on which we can ultimately win their support.

2. Senate Vote to create Education Block Grant: Shortly after voting to support your testing proposal, the Senate voted by a 51-49 margin to combine almost all elementary and secondary education programs into a block grant that would be distributed directly to local school districts. Among the long list of programs effectively eliminated by this provision are Goals 2000, Charter Schools, Technology Challenge Grants, Eisenhower Professional Development program, and Safe and Drug Free Schools. Title 1 is also turned into a block grant, by eliminating any requirements on the use of the funds. Secretary Riley has already indicated that this provision is unacceptable, and we are working with other White House offices to determine the level of veto threat most appropriate to issue.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Richard Socarides (CN=Richard Socarides/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:13-SEP-1997 08:46:55.00

SUBJECT: Politics Defeats Science in Needle Exchange Deabte as House

TO: Joshua Gotbaum (CN=Joshua Gotbaum/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sylvia M. Mathews (CN=Sylvia M. Mathews/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Todd A. Summers (CN=Todd A. Summers/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher C. Jennings (CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria Echaveste (CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sandra Thurman (CN=Sandra Thurman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

----- Forwarded by Richard Socarides/WHO/EOP on 09/13/97
08:46 AM -----

Doug.Case @ sdsu.edu
09/12/97 11:40:00 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Stuart D. Rosenstein, Richard Socarides

cc:

Subject: Politics Defeats Science in Needle Exchange Deabte as House

NEWS from the
Human Rights Campaign

1101 14th Street NW
Washington, DC 20005
email: kim.mills@hrc.org

WWW: http://www.hrc.org

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Thursday, Sept. 11, 1997

POLITICS DEFEATS SCIENCE IN NEEDLE EXCHANGE DEBATE AS HOUSE PASSES
ILL-CONSIDERED AMENDMENT

Human Rights Campaign Calls on House-Senate Conferees
To Strike Measure From Final Bill

WASHINGTON -- Politics trumped science today as the House of Representatives passed a wrong-headed amendment that would prevent the use of federal funds for needle exchange programs, according to the Human Rights Campaign.

"The House of Representatives turned a collective blind eye to science today so that some members could sound tough on drugs," said Seth Kilbourn, HRC's senior policy advocate for health issues. "What they did in reality, however, was vote to strip local communities of this chance to save lives.

"There is ample evidence that needle exchange programs save lives by stemming the spread of HIV and AIDS without encouraging illegal drug use. But a majority of the House decided not to let the facts get in the way of demagoguing the issue."

By a vote of 266 to 158, the House passed an amendment to the \$270 billion Labor-Health and Human Services appropriations bill. The amendment would remove the ability of the Health and Human Services secretary to allow local communities to use federal funds for needle exchange programs. The amendment was originally written by Rep. Tom Coburn, R-Okla. It was introduced by Reps. Dennis Hastert, R-Ill., and Roger Wicker, R-Miss.

The Senate version of the appropriations bill retains the secretary's authority to determine federal policy.

"The Human Rights Campaign will work with House and Senate conferees to make sure that the final bill allows science, not politics, to lead this issue," Kilbourn said.

Needle exchange programs provide intravenous drug users with sterile syringes in exchange for used ones. Such programs have been implemented in more than 100 communities around the country, and have been shown to stem the spread of HIV and other blood-borne diseases transmitted through the sharing of injection equipment.

Approximately one-third of reported AIDS cases are related to intravenous drug use.

Current law says that federal funds may not be used for needle exchange programs unless the Department of Health and Human Services gives the green light, which it has not done.

In February, a report by HHS found that needle exchange programs are effective in slowing the spread of HIV and AIDS. Six federally funded studies have reported that needle exchange programs reduce HIV transmission and do not increase drug use.

Also in February, a panel of public health experts at the National Institutes of Health concluded that needle exchange programs are a powerful and proven weapon in the war against HIV and AIDS.

Plus, a majority of the American public -- 55 percent -- favors needle exchange programs as a method to curb the spread of HIV and AIDS, according to a bipartisan poll conducted for the Human Rights Campaign.

The poll found 55 percent "strongly favor" or "somewhat favor" needle exchange programs while 38 percent "somewhat oppose" or "strongly oppose" them. The poll was conducted for HRC by the Tarrance Group, a Republican firm, and Lake Sosin Snell and Associates, Democratic pollsters. The results are based on a survey of 1,000 registered voters contacted between April 8-10.

The poll found that 64 percent of Democrats, 58 percent of independents and 45 percent of Republicans favor needle exchange programs. In addition, needle exchange finds support in ever region of the country, with 64 percent of Americans favoring it in the West, 60 percent in the Northeast, 51 percent in the South and 49 percent in the Midwest. The poll's margin of error is +/- 3.1 percent.

In July, the American Medical Association endorsed needle exchange programs, joining such groups as the American Public Health Association, the Association of State and Territorial Health Officials, the National Academy of Sciences, the National Alliance of State and Territorial AIDS Directors, the National Black Caucus of State Legislators and the U.S. Conference of Mayors. The American Bar Association endorsed them in August.

The Human Rights Campaign is the largest national lesbian and gay political organization, with members throughout the country. It effectively lobbies Congress, provides campaign support and educates the public to ensure that lesbian and gay Americans can be open, honest and safe at home, at work and in the community.

- 30 -

HRC-NEWS is a public email news service of the Human Rights Campaign, providing you with up to the minute news releases and action alerts. You can instantly and easily subscribe or unsubscribe to HRC-NEWS via <http://www.hrc.org/hrc/hrcnews> or by sending an email to majordomo@lists.hrcusa.org. The subject line can be blank, but on the top line of the body of the message either type: SUBSCRIBE HRC-NEWS or UNSUBSCRIBE HRC-NEWS The server is case sensitive so please type the above commands as shown. If you have any problems, please contact Phil Attey at phil.attey@hrc.org

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:
RFC-822-headers:
Received: from conversion.pmdf.eop.gov by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-4 #6879) id <01INKL BG4E6800DZTV@PMDF.EOP.GOV>; Fri, 12 Sep 1997 22:40:33 -0400 (EDT)
Received: from storm.eop.gov (storm.eop.gov) by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-4 #6879) id <01INKLBCWX5C005TEO@PMDF.EOP.GOV>; Fri,

12 Sep 1997 22:40:29 -0400 (EDT)
Received: from mail.sdsu.edu ([130.191.25.1])
by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.1-7 #6879)
with ESMTTP id <01INKLATZVEG004SWL@STORM.EOP.GOV>; Fri,
12 Sep 1997 22:40:18 -0400 (EDT)
Received: from [130.191.242.121] ([130.191.242.121])
by mail.sdsu.edu (8.8.7/8.8.7) with ESMTTP id TAA12427; Fri,
12 Sep 1997 19:34:36 -0700 (PDT)
X-Sender: dcase@mail.sdsu.edu
===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====

D R A F T

September 13, 1997

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: RAHM EMANUEL
BRUCE REED

RE: MEETING WITH SENATOR FEINSTEIN ON FIREARMS ISSUES

Senator Feinstein will want to discuss three firearms issues with you that were recently featured in a week-long LA Times series criticizing the California and federal laws banning assault weapons. They include: (1) the importation of large capacity ammunition feeding devices; (2) "copycat" or "sporterized" assault weapons; and (3) enforcing federal firearms laws at gun shows. This memorandum summarizes these issues and provides some suggested talking points for your meeting.

I. LARGE CAPACITY AMMUNITION FEEDING DEVICES

The assault weapons ban generally banned the possession of ammunition clips with a capacity of more than 10 rounds, but specifically grand fathered those clips that were manufactured on or before September 13, 1994. Initially, The Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (ATF), with guidance from the Domestic Policy Council (DPC), interpreted this ban to prohibit continued importation of all large capacity clips -- no matter when they were manufactured. The thinking being that clips not in the country before the effective date could not have been lawfully possessed at that time and were, thus, banned from importation.

Several importers, however, brought suit challenging this narrow interpretation of the law, and the Department of Justice advised that the ATF/DPC position was not likely to hold up in court and should be reversed. As a result, in July of 1996, ATF reinterpreted the clip provision acknowledging that pre-ban clips could be imported, but requiring importers to present reasonable evidence that clips to be imported were manufactured on or before the ban's enactment. As of March 1, 1997, approximately 160,000 large capacity clips had entered the country under 21 approved permits, and another 20 permits were denied for lack of evidence. In total, 83 one-year permits have been approved seeking to import more than 2 million large clips. (While there are no definitive numbers about how many domestically produced clips were grand fathered, it is estimated that there are many millions of existing -- and reusable -- clips that will last a lifetime.)

Senator Feinstein opposed the language in the assault weapons ban grand fathering large and would support legislation to repeal it. This language, however, was inserted in conference by Representative John Dingell, and Administration officials -- including former Chief of Staff

Leon Panetta -- have been reluctant to seek its repeal.

The Senator has also suggested that the Administration can, by executive order, further restrict the number of large clips imported or increase the number of ATF agents investigating the production of clips overseas. We are not optimistic about either of these options. First, as previously mentioned, the Department of Justice has already overturned the ATF/ DPC initial policy to ban the importation of all large clips. And second, ATF agents have no overseas jurisdiction to conduct investigations and can only do so by convention or through mutual assistance treaties.

II. "COPYCAT" OR "SPORTERIZED" ASSAULT WEAPONS

The assault weapons ban covers 19 named firearms, duplicates of those 19 by any other name and semiautomatic weapons that accept a detachable magazine and possess at least two of certain characteristics specifically outlined in the law (e.g., folding stocks, bayonet lugs, flash suppressors, protruding pistol grips, etc.). Since passage of the assault weapons ban, some gun manufacturers have adapted or "sporterized" their assault weapons to meet the law's criteria. As a result, there are guns on the market with either similar names or similar features as assault weapons, but that otherwise comply with the terms of the ban. In fact, despite their appearance, some of these firearms -- such as the Israeli Military Industries Galil -- have been re-engineered and are considerably more difficult to convert to fully automatic than their previous versions. Nonetheless, a lucrative market still exists for "assault-type" weapons, and some manufacturers have sought -- either through brand names or appearances -- to continue to target this segment of the market.

Senator Feinstein believes that we can do more to crackdown on these new "copycat" versions of assault weapons. We do not think this is possible without additional authority from Congress. This was a limitation of the assault weapons ban that the Administration and Congress accepted when we decided to endorse the Feinstein/DeConcini approach over Representative Schumer's, which granted the Treasury Department the authority to add or delete firearms from the prohibited list.

III. ENFORCING FEDERAL FIREARMS LAWS AT GUN SHOWS

Gun shows and flea markets are the last bastion of completely unregulated and undocumented firearms transfers. Most participants are private gun owners who do not sell firearms for a living and are generally there to buy and sell from each others private collections. As such, these secondary sales are generally exempt from most state and federal firearms laws, including the 1968 Gun Control Act that gave the Treasury Department the authority to license and regulate federal firearms dealers. However, time and time again anecdotal evidence has shown that violations of state and federal firearms laws often take place at these shows. And Senator Feinstein believes that ATF should police these shows more aggressively, and that it should alter its current regulations to allow ATF agents to attend these shows.

ATF's current policy -- as of March 16, 1994 -- is that agents must get authorization from

their local Special Agent in Charge (SAC) and have an intended subject or target before they can attend a gun show. Prior to this date -- and as a response to congressional hearings on ATF's internal policies and procedures -- ATF had an even more restrictive policy that required approval from the Washington office before an agent could attend a gun show. This is no longer the case, and ATF agents do attend gun shows in the course of investigations and to follow-up on tips from legitimate gun dealers. ATF agents, however, do not attend gun shows without any leads or information. It is worth mentioning also that ATF inspectors do attend and sponsor booths at all of the major gun shows attended by manufacturers and gun dealers.

IV. SUGGESTED TALKING POINTS

- Senator, I share your concerns with respect to the assault weapons ban and am willing to use the full authority of the executive branch to make sure that we do our best to enforce the ban.
- In fact, on three separate occasions I have taken executive action to crackdown on assault weapons and gun dealers. In August of 1993, I banned the importation of assault pistols and toughened requirements for federal gun dealers. And in May of 1994, for foreign policy reasons, I banned the importation of firearms from China -- including millions of assault-type weapons and large capacity clips.
- Equally important, we have tried to interpret the provisions of the assaults weapons ban as strictly as possible, but I understand that we were suited and forced to change our position.
- So I think we will need to pass new legislation that expands Treasury's authority if we want to ban more guns and more clips -- and you know that will not be easy. But I am pleased to ask Rahm Emanuel, Bruce Reed and our attorneys to take one more look at the law and your suggestions, and to see if there is anything more we can do short of legislation.
- With respect to gun shows, I think you have hit on an important but difficult issue. We have had so much success with Brady, the assault weapons ban and reforming the federal firearms licensing system. And gun shows should not be allowed to undermine these efforts.
- While it seems that we have little authority in this area, I agree with you that there must be more we can do. Again, I would like to ask Rahm and Bruce to do some research on this and see what administrative options are available to us -- to see what more we might be able to do in terms of enforcing federal firearms laws at these shows.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jose Cerda III (CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:13-SEP-1997 13:39:14.00

SUBJECT:

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michelle Crisci (CN=Michelle Crisci/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
Cleaned this up some, and incorporated some Hilley edits. jc3

=====
ATTACHMENT 1
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:
Unable to convert ARMS_EXT:[ATTACH.D81]MAIL47249455Y.216 to ASCII,
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF575043B0040000010A02010000000205000000B92B0000000200000350845F8CE77BF03504BE6
F8CAC27BEF409C138DA2B396C9779B9122A658D402AF198E10F046B87295DF50C5ECEB57614250
EC7E129BAE7B88BF4137649D1760D4EB8E8348F6C0868AD747E2A614B46AE1618391390B0D8342
62C6CD1F034D8015B2D7847C67A56E7587D987DC05935AFCE14DE7E1340B0F623AC979C0781F8A
D525722A57E78C89F0B4CCFD5C146AD652D421D440352FC8AFC59854C614466518483BFE4357B5
3151ABA214F99E28A594BEFE4E7D6EB79DC399FA3536593DA0AD173565FFE86DA80C59D84A0A6
BD88731CE39327D4E5446F27077B5DF0AF3D378F23158A0B591731331D93F0B8116EC6B5A895C9
2B92C40C979236008C7B3AD8CF0E30E7EE06176A3927C6C4DCBBB29860DA886F536BF30D37C871
DD8AB36A8994880840ACEF17B10C0ED0D94215876EC3AE6F7E99DBBF5770BDF7A728E8F14B9694
37307B807C08B085132C978F245E34A9EA6F1096645CB2CC2EB65E0419E6C44095FF2C4D7610A8
55FA1A8E32B5F4F9CE6DCA12FBC741F874A081BEAA2427245897F73A32AA0C3D0AB1BA029DA120
3B09A3801B94DA06F4EAFADBE936588498A393C77BDE1ADE6C35AE897153B18105DAB1E0A3F3C8
B23765A21987FAD86E90400E689DB249B6057A37D27D1919366A75DBAACDD915F0C21942212F87
047DA9E04D02000A0000000000000000000000000823010000000B0100008C020000005501000000
4E0000009703000009250100000006000000E50300000B300200000028000000EB030000081601
000000320000001304000008770100000040000000450400000834010000001400000085040000
0802010000000F0000009904000008050100000008000000A804000000984C006F00630061006C
0020004800500020003500
00
C800C8002C012C012C012C01C800C8003000
00
00
00
00
0B01008B143600540069006D006500730020004E0065007700200052006F006D0061006E002000
52006500670075006C0061007200
00
4F00470053005C00570050005C005300540041004E0044004100520044002E0057005000540000
000A000000F51301000200F61301000200F71301000200F81301004400F91301004400FA130100
4500FB1302000200FC1301004500FD1301000200FE1343006581F7AA6422000000000000000000
0000000000000000000000337C00780000020000FE130000030100040002000000DD0A10008301040003
000200211000DDDD0B0B00030000040B00DDF102FE13F19BF103FE13F1F100FE13F19BF101FE13
F1F102FE13F1F103FE13F1E0401200000000301230122600EC131200E0F20CF244805280418046

D R A F T

September 13, 1997

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: RAHM EMANUEL
BRUCE REED

RE: MEETING WITH SENATOR FEINSTEIN ON FIREARMS ISSUES

Senator Feinstein wants to discuss 3 firearms issues with you that were recently featured in an LA Times series criticizing the California and federal laws banning assault weapons. These include: (1) the importation of large capacity ammunition feeding devices; (2) "copycat" or "sporterized" assault weapons; and (3) enforcing federal firearms laws at gun shows. This memorandum summarizes these issues and provides some suggested talking points for your meeting.

I. LARGE CAPACITY AMMUNITION FEEDING DEVICES

The assault weapons ban generally prohibited the possession of ammunition clips with a capacity of more than 10 rounds, but specifically grandfathered clips manufactured on or before September 13, 1994. Initially, The Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (ATF), with guidance from the Domestic Policy Council (DPC), interpreted this prohibition to include the continued importation of all large capacity clips -- no matter when they were manufactured. This interpretation was based on the fact that clips not in the country before the effective date could not have been lawfully possessed at that time and, thus, were banned from importation.

Several importers, however, brought suit challenging this narrow interpretation of the law, and the Department of Justice advised that the ATF/DPC position was not likely to hold up in court and should be reversed. As a result, in July of 1996, ATF reinterpreted the clip provision acknowledging that pre-ban clips could be imported, but requiring importers to present reasonable evidence that clips to be imported were manufactured on or before the ban's enactment. Thus, as of March 1, 1997, approximately 160,000 large capacity clips had entered the country under 21 approved permits; another 20 permits had been denied for lack of evidence; and a total of 83 approved permits seeking to import more than 2 million large clips remained outstanding. (NB: There are no definitive numbers about how many domestically produced clips have been grandfathered, but it is estimated that there are many millions of existing -- and reusable -- clips that will last a lifetime.)

Senator Feinstein opposed the language in the assault weapons ban grandfathering large clips and supports repealing it. This language, however, was inserted during the crime bill conference by Representative John Dingell, and Administration officials and Members of Congress involved in the negotiations have been reluctant to seek its repeal.

The Senator has also suggested that the Administration can, by executive order, further restrict the number of large clips imported or increase the number of ATF agents investigating the production of clips overseas. We are not optimistic about either of these options. First, as previously mentioned, the Department of Justice has already overturned the ATF/ DPC initial policy to ban the importation of all large clips. And second, ATF agents have no overseas jurisdiction to conduct investigations and can only do so by convention or through mutual assistance treaties with other countries.

II. "COPYCAT" OR "SPORTERIZED" ASSAULT WEAPONS

The assault weapons ban prohibits 19 specific firearms, duplicates of those 19 and other semiautomatic weapons that meet various criteria (i.e., those that accept a detachable magazine and possess characteristics such as folding stocks, bayonet lugs and flash suppressors). Since passage of the assault weapons ban, some gun manufacturers have adapted or "sporterized" their assault weapons to meet the law's criteria. As a result, there are guns on the market today with either similar names or certain similar features as assault weapons, but that otherwise comply with the terms of the ban. In fact, despite their appearance, some of these firearms -- such as the Israeli Military Industries Galil -- have been re-engineered and are considerably more difficult to convert to fully automatic than their previous versions. Nonetheless, a lucrative market still exists for "assault-type" weapons, and some manufactures -- either through brand names or appearances -- continue to target this segment of the market.

Senator Feinstein believes that we can do more to crack down on these new "copycat" versions of assault weapons. We do not think this is possible without additional authority from Congress. This was a limitation of the assault weapons ban that the Administration and Congress accepted when they decided to endorse the Feinstein/DeConcini approach over Representative Schumer's. The Schumer ban granted the Treasury Department the authority to add or delete firearms from the prohibited list.

III. ENFORCING FEDERAL FIREARMS LAWS AT GUN SHOWS

Gun shows and flea markets are the last bastion of unregulated and undocumented firearms transfers. Most participants are private gun owners who do not sell firearms for a living and are generally there to buy and sell from each others' private collections. As such, these secondary sales are generally exempt from most state and federal firearms laws, including the 1968 Gun Control Act that gives the Treasury Department the authority to license and regulate federal firearms dealers. However, anecdotal evidence repeatedly has shown that violations of state and federal firearms laws often do take place at these shows. Senator Feinstein believes that ATF should amend its internal policies and police these shows more aggressively.

As of March 16, 1994, ATF's policy on gun shows provides that agents must get authorization from their local Special Agent in Charge (SAC) and have an intended subject or target before they can attend a gun show. Prior to this date -- and in response to congressional hearings on ATF's policies -- ATF had an even more restrictive policy that required approval

from the Washington headquarters before an agent could attend a gun show. This is no longer the case, and ATF agents do attend gun shows in the course of investigations and to follow-up on tips from legitimate gun dealers. Additionally, ATF inspectors do attend and sponsor booths at all of the major gun shows -- those attended by manufacturers and gun dealers, not just private collectors -- and disseminate information on federal gun laws.

IV. SUGGESTED TALKING POINTS

- Senator, I share your concerns with respect to the assault weapons ban and am willing to use the full authority of the executive branch to make sure that we do our best to enforce the ban's provisions.
- In fact, on 3 separate occasions I have taken executive action to crack down on assault weapons and gun dealers. In August of 1993, I banned the importation of assault pistols and toughened requirements for federal gun dealers. And in May of 1994, for foreign policy reasons, I banned the importation of firearms from China -- including millions of assault-type weapons and large capacity clips.
- Equally important, we have tried to interpret the provisions of the ban on large capacity clips as strictly as possible, but litigation forced us to change our position.
- So, unfortunately, I think we will need to pass new legislation that expands Treasury's authority if we want to include more guns and more clips -- and you know that will not be easy. But I am pleased to ask Rahm Emanuel, Bruce Reed and our attorneys to take one more look at the law and your suggestions, and to see if there is anything more we can do short of legislation.
- With respect to gun shows, I think you have hit on an important issue. We have had much success through the Brady Bill, assault weapons ban and reforms to the federal firearms licensing system, and gun shows should not be allowed to undermine these efforts.
- Still, it seems that we have little authority in this area, and that Congress has a history of restricting ATF's ability to aggressively enforce our gun laws. But I agree with you that there must be more we can do. Again, I would like to ask Rahm and Bruce to do some research on this and see what administrative options are available to us -- to see what more we might be able to do in terms of federal enforcement at these shows.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Sylvia M. Mathews (CN=Sylvia M. Mathews/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:13-SEP-1997 16:42:33.00

SUBJECT: Roe anniversary

TO: Anne D. Cutler (CN=Anne D. Cutler/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Audrey T. Haynes (CN=Audrey T. Haynes/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis (CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nelson Reyneri (CN=Nelson Reyneri/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ellen M. Lovell (CN=Ellen M. Lovell/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria Echaveste (CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
What is the day?