

**NLWJC - KAGAN**

**EMAILS RECEIVED**

**ARMS - BOX 067 - FOLDER -006**

**[10/14/1997-10/15/1997]**

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (EXTERNAL MAIL)

CREATOR: Lawrence J. Haas@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX

CREATION DATE/TIME:14-OCT-1997 12:30:00.00

SUBJECT: URGENT -- update on line-item veto

TO: FOLEY\_M ( FOLEY\_M@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ:14-OCT-1997 12:31:16.21

TO: John Podesta ( John Podesta@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Sylvia M. Mathews ( Sylvia M. Mathews@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Robert S. Bell ( Robert S. Bell@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Rahm I. Emanuel ( Rahm I. Emanuel@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Paul E. Begala ( Paul E. Begala@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Gene B. Sperling ( Gene B. Sperling@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Michael D. McCurry ( Michael D. McCurry@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Barry J. Toiv ( Barry J. Toiv@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Joseph P. Lockhart ( Joseph P. Lockhart@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Ron Klain ( Ron Klain@OVP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Janet L. Yellen ( Janet L. Yellen@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Michele Jolin ( Michele Jolin@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Michael Waldman ( Michael Waldman@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Ann F. Lewis ( Ann F. Lewis@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Sidney Blumenthal ( Sidney Blumenthal@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Mickey Ibarra ( Mickey Ibarra@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Fred DuVal ( Fred DuVal@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

READ:NOT READ

TO: Emily Bromberg  
READ:NOT READ

( Emily Bromberg@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Lynn G. Cutler  
READ:NOT READ

( Lynn G. Cutler@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Maria Echaveste  
READ:NOT READ

( Maria Echaveste@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Robert B. Johnson  
READ:NOT READ

( Robert B. Johnson@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Doris O. Matsui  
READ:NOT READ

( Doris O. Matsui@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: John L. Hilley  
READ:NOT READ

( John L. Hilley@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Barbara Chow  
READ:NOT READ

( Barbara Chow@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Thurgood Marshall Jr  
READ:NOT READ

( Thurgood Marshall Jr@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Stephen B. Silverman  
READ:NOT READ

( Stephen B. Silverman@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Bruce N. Reed  
READ:NOT READ

( Bruce N. Reed@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Elena Kagan  
READ:NOT READ

( Elena Kagan@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Craig T. Smith  
READ:NOT READ

( Craig T. Smith@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Minyon Moore  
READ:NOT READ

( Minyon Moore@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

TO: Karen E. Skelton  
READ:NOT READ

( Karen E. Skelton@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

CC: Jason S. Goldberg  
READ:NOT READ

( Jason S. Goldberg@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

CC: Carole A. Parmelee  
READ:NOT READ

( Carole A. Parmelee@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

CC: Sara M. Latham  
READ:NOT READ

( Sara M. Latham@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

CC: June G. Turner  
READ:NOT READ

( June G. Turner@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

CC: Michelle Crisci  
READ:NOT READ

( Michelle Crisci@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

CC: Russell W. Horwitz

( Russell W. Horwitz@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX )

READ:NOT READ

CC: Lori L. Anderson (Lori L. Anderson@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

CC: Elizabeth R. Newman (Elizabeth R. Newman@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

CC: Debbie B Bengtson (Debbie B Bengtson@OVP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

CC: Jordan Tamagni (Jordan Tamagni@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

CC: Laura K. Capps (Laura K. Capps@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

CC: Kevin S. Moran (Kevin S. Moran@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

CC: Suzanne Dale (Suzanne Dale@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

CC: Elisa Millsap (Elisa Millsap@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

CC: Stacey L. Rubin (Stacey L. Rubin@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

CC: Kris M Balderston (Kris M Balderston@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

CC: Anne E. McGuire (Anne E. McGuire@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

CC: David S. Beaubaire (David S. Beaubaire@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

CC: Angelique Pirozzi (Angelique Pirozzi@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

CC: Marjorie Tarmey (Marjorie Tarmey@EOP@LNWTWY@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TEXT:

Message Creation Date was at 14-OCT-1997 12:25:00

Due to last-minute changes, the specific line-item veto details have changed a bit since Jack Lew briefed the senior White House staff this morning. The attached is the actual press paper that will go out later today. You can educate yourselves about where we are by referring to it. PLEASE DO NOT DISTRIBUTE this paper outside of the White House, however, until at least Raines, et. al., brief the press at 1:30 p.m.

Thank you.

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====  
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE:14-OCT-1997 12:30:00.00

**PRESIDENT CLINTON USES LINE-ITEM VETO  
ON DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS ACT OF 1998**

**October 14, 1997**

- President Clinton used the line-item veto today to cancel 13 projects in the Defense Appropriations Act of 1998.
- The President's action will save \$144 million in budget authority in 1998.
- The Defense Appropriations Act included funding for over 750 projects, costing about \$11 billion, that the President did not request in his 1998 budget.
  - The Administration carefully reviewed those unrequested projects and found that while most of them have merit, some do not.
- The Administration has chosen criteria that are appropriate to each appropriations bill under review.
- For the Defense Appropriations Act, the President considered such factors as:
  - **whether he requested the projects in his 1998 budget,**
  - **whether they contribute to our national security, and**
  - **whether they fit with our long-range defense plans.**
- The President's action will in no way adversely affect our military capability, the readiness of our forces, or their operations in defense of our Nation.
  - The Defense Department has determined there is no national security requirement for these projects.
- The President left thousands of projects in the bill, including the vast majority that Congress added, reflecting his commitment to the military readiness of American forces and Congress' role in shaping appropriations bills.
  - In fact, his actions mean that he has agreed to a net addition of about \$1 billion in Defense Department funding above the level in the Bipartisan Budget Agreement.

## THE LINE ITEM VETO ACT

- o **Scope of Authority.** President may cancel any item of discretionary or direct spending or any limited tax benefit, if he sends a special message to Congress identifying the item within 5 days (excluding Sundays) of signing the bill containing the item.
- o **Cancellations transmitted to Congress are effective upon receipt by Congress, and remain effective unless overturned by a disapproval law.**
- o **The item veto may only be used on items in bills the President signs --he may not veto items that become law without his signature.**
- o **Definition of Item.** The President may cancel (not reduce) whole individual "items" of discretionary spending --defined as any dollar amount in an appropriations bill or report, or any amount required to be allocated by a specific proviso in a bill or represented separately in explanatory text in a report.
- o **President may cancel only the amount of spending --not conditions or restrictions on spending.**
- o **The President may also cancel any "item of new direct spending" --defined as a specific provision of law estimated to result in an increase in direct spending, relative to the baseline.**
- o **Limited Tax Benefits.** "Limited tax benefits" that may be canceled include only revenue-losing provisions applying to 100 or fewer beneficiaries in a fiscal year (where the beneficiaries are not similarly treated), or transitional relief to 10 or fewer beneficiaries. Congress may specify in tax legislation whether the bill contains any provisions subject to cancellation authority.
- o **Lockbox.** Includes a "lockbox" mechanism: discretionary cancellations trigger automatic cap reductions, and paygo savings from cancellations are not put on paygo scorecard.
- o **Congressional procedure.** The Congress has 30 session days following a cancellation message to consider disapproval legislation, under expedited procedures.
- o **Disapproval bills introduced in the House must disapprove all cancellations made with respect to a particular law. Bills introduced in the Senate may select particular cancellations. However, the bills may be amended in both chambers to alter the list of cancellations.**

Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion

- o **Effective dates.** Item veto authority became effective on January 1, 1997 and will sunset on January 1, 2005.

**CANCELLATION OF  
DOLLAR AMOUNT OF DISCRETIONARY BUDGET AUTHORITY  
Report Pursuant to the Line Item Veto Act, P.L. 104-130**

**Bill Citation:** "Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 1998" (H.R. 2266)

**1(A). Dollar Amount of Discretionary Budget Authority:** \$30,000 thousand for Operation and Maintenance, Air Force project "SR-71" on page 75 of House Report 105-265 dated September 23, 1997.

**1(B). Determinations:** This cancellation will reduce the Federal budget deficit, will not impair any essential Government functions, and will not harm the national interest.

**1(C),(E). Reasons for Cancellation; Facts, Circumstances, and Considerations Relating to or Bearing upon the Cancellation; and Estimated Effect of Cancellation on Objects, Purposes, and Programs:** This project would provide manning, operations and support to sustain SR-71 training requirements during fiscal year 1998 and provide for a 30-day operational deployment capability. The SR-71 is a high-speed, high-altitude, long-range, multi-sensor, all-weather, wide-area surveillance aircraft. **There is no military requirement to continue to operate the SR-71. The project is being canceled because it was not requested in the President's FY 1998 Budget and the Department of Defense has determined that it would not make a significant contribution to US military capability.**

**1(D). Estimated Fiscal, Economic, and Budgetary Effect of Cancellation:** As a result of the cancellation, Federal outlays will not increase, as specified below. This will have a commensurate effect on the Federal budget deficit and, to that extent, will have a beneficial effect on the economy.

<u>Outlay Changes (in thousands of dollars)</u>					
<u>FY 1998</u>	<u>FY 1999</u>	<u>FY 2000</u>	<u>FY 2001</u>	<u>FY 2002</u>	<u>Total</u>
<b>-\$21,870</b>	<b>-\$6,480</b>	<b>-\$900</b>	<b>-\$270</b>	<b>-\$120</b>	<b>\$30,000</b>

**1(F). Adjustments to Defense Discretionary Spending Limits**  
**Budget Authority:** -\$30,000 thousand in FY 1998  
**Outlays:** The estimated outlay effect for each year is shown above.

**Evaluation of Effects of These Adjustments upon Sequestration Procedures:**  
**If a sequestration were required, such sequestration would occur at levels that are reduced by the amounts above.**

Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion

**2(A). Agency: Department of Defense**

**2(A). Bureau: Operation and Maintenance**

**2(A). Governmental Function/Project (Account): SR-71 (Operation and Maintenance, Air Force)**

**2(B). States and Congressional Districts Affected:** California, 21st Congressional District

**2(C). Total Number of Cancellations (inclusive) in Current Session in each State and District identified above:** California: five; 21st District: one

**CANCELLATION OF  
DOLLAR AMOUNT OF DISCRETIONARY BUDGET AUTHORITY  
Report Pursuant to the Line Item Veto Act, P.L. 104-130**

**Bill Citation: "Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 1998" (H.R. 2266)**

**1(A). Dollar Amount of Discretionary Budget Authority: \$9,000 thousand for Aircraft Procurement, Air Force, project "SR-71 Mods" on page 103 of House Report 105-265 dated September 23, 1997.**

**1(B). Determinations: This cancellation will reduce the Federal budget deficit, will not impair any essential Government functions, and will not harm the national interest.**

**1(C),(E). Reasons for Cancellation; Facts, Circumstances, and Considerations Relating to or Bearing upon the Cancellation; and Estimated Effect of Cancellation on Objects, Purposes, and Programs: This project would modify cameras, enhance radar processing, install a Global Positioning System navigation system, and improve electronic intelligence for the SR-71 reconnaissance aircraft. The SR-71 is a high-speed, high-altitude, long-range, multi-sensor, all-weather, wide-area surveillance aircraft. There is no military requirement to continue to operate the SR-71. The project is being canceled because it was not requested in the President's FY 1998 Budget and the Department of Defense has determined that it would not make a significant contribution to US military capability.**

**1(D). Estimated Fiscal, Economic, and Budgetary Effect of Cancellation: As a result of the cancellation, Federal outlays will not increase, as specified below. This will have a commensurate effect on the Federal budget deficit and, to that extent, will have a beneficial effect on the economy.**

<b>Outlay Changes (in thousands of</b>					
<b>dollars)</b>					
<b>FY 1998</b>	<b>FY 1999</b>	<b>FY 2000</b>	<b>FY 2001</b>	<b>FY 2002</b>	<b>Total</b>
-\$711	-\$2,169	-\$2,961	-\$1,782	-\$765	-\$9,000

**1(F). Adjustments to Defense Discretionary Spending Limits**  
**Budget Authority:** -\$9,000 thousand in FY 1998  
**Outlays:** The estimated outlay effect for each year is shown above.

**Evaluation of Effects of These Adjustments upon Sequestration Procedures:**  
 If a sequestration were required, such sequestration would occur at levels that are reduced by the amounts above.

**Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion**

**2(A). Agency:** Department of Defense

**2(A). Bureau:** Aircraft Procurement

**2(A). Governmental Function/Project (Account):** SR-71 Modifications (Aircraft Procurement, Air Force)

**2(B). States and Congressional Districts Affected:** California, 25th Congressional District.

**2(C). Total Number of Cancellations (inclusive) in Current Session in each State and District identified above:** California: six; 25th District: one

**CANCELLATION OF  
DOLLAR AMOUNT OF DISCRETIONARY BUDGET AUTHORITY  
Report Pursuant to the Line Item Veto Act, P.L. 104-130**

**Bill Citation: "Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 1998" (H.R. 2266)**

**1(A). Dollar Amount of Discretionary Budget Authority: \$4,000 thousand for the Research, Development Test and Evaluation, Army project titled "Gallo Center" on page 112 of House Report 105-265 dated September 23, 1997, and page 166 of House Report 105-206 dated July 25, 1997.**

**1(B). Determinations: This cancellation will reduce the Federal budget deficit, will not impair any essential Government functions, and will not harm the national interest.**

**1(C),(E). Reasons for Cancellation; Facts, Circumstances, and Considerations Relating to or Bearing upon the Cancellation; and Estimated Effect of Cancellation on Objects, Purposes, and Programs: The President's FY 1998 Budget supports ongoing work to demonstrate and export new environmentally acceptable technology to the industrial base and to train the industrial base on the use of new technology. The appropriated increase would support life-cycle environmental and manufacturing technologies research specifically related to weapons systems and munitions technology assessment and analysis. The Army does not believe this additional research merits funding at this time.**

**1(D). Estimated Fiscal, Economic, and Budgetary Effect of Cancellation: As a result of the cancellation, Federal outlays will not increase, as specified below. This will have a commensurate effect on the Federal budget deficit and, to that extent, will have a beneficial effect on the economy.**

**Outlay Changes (in thousands of dollars)**

<b>FY 1998</b>	<b>FY 1999</b>	<b>FY 2000</b>	<b>FY 2001</b>	<b>FY 2002</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>-\$2,320</b>	<b>-\$1,320</b>	<b>-\$212</b>	<b>-\$72</b>	<b>-\$32</b>	<b>-\$4,000</b>

**1(F). Adjustments to Defense Discretionary Spending Limits**

**Budget Authority: -\$4,000 thousand in FY 1998**

**Outlays: The estimated outlay effect for each year is shown above.**

**Evaluation of Effects of These Adjustments upon Sequestration Procedures: If a sequestration were required, such sequestration would occur at levels that are reduced by the amounts above.**

**Automated Records Management System**

**Hex-Dump Conversion**

**2(A). Agency: Department of Defense**

**2(A). Bureau: Research, Development, Test and Evaluation**

Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion

**2(A). Governmental Function/Project (Account): Gallo Center (Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army)**

**2(B). States and Congressional Districts Affected: New Jersey, 11th Congressional District**

**2(C). Total Number of Cancellations (inclusive) in Current Session in each State and District identified above: New Jersey: one; 11th District: one**

**CANCELLATION OF  
DOLLAR AMOUNT OF DISCRETIONARY BUDGET AUTHORITY  
Report Pursuant to the Line Item Veto Act, P.L. 104-130**

**Bill Citation: "Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 1998" (H.R. 2266)**

**1(A). Dollar Amount of Discretionary Budget Authority: \$6,000 thousand for the Research, Development Test and Evaluation, Army project "Molten Carbonate Fuel Cells Technology" on page 113 of House Report 105-265 dated September 23, 1997.**

**1(B). Determinations: This cancellation will reduce the Federal budget deficit, will not impair any essential Government functions, and will not harm the national interest.**

**1(C),(E). Reasons for Cancellation; Facts, Circumstances, and Considerations Relating to or Bearing upon the Cancellation; and Estimated Effect of Cancellation on Objects, Purposes, and Programs: This project would research and develop alternative fuel cell technology that converts chemical energy to electricity. It has primary applications for the Department of Energy which has responsibility for alternative energy research. The project is being canceled because it was not requested in the President's FY 1998 Budget and the Department of Defense has determined that it would not make a significant contribution to US military capability.**

**1(D). Estimated Fiscal, Economic, and Budgetary Effect of Cancellation: As a result of the cancellation, Federal outlays will not increase, as specified below. This will have a commensurate effect on the Federal budget deficit and, to that extent, will have a beneficial effect on the economy.**

**Outlay Changes (in thousands of dollars)**

<b>FY 1998</b>	<b>FY 1999</b>	<b>FY 2000</b>	<b>FY 2001</b>	<b>FY 2002</b>	<b>Total</b>
-\$3,480	-\$1,980	-\$318	-\$108	-\$48	-\$6,000

**1(F). Adjustments to Defense Discretionary Spending Limits**

**Budget Authority: -\$6,000 thousand in FY 1998**

**Outlays: The estimated outlay effect for each year is shown above.**

**Evaluation of Effects of These Adjustments upon Sequestration Procedures: If a sequestration were required, such sequestration would occur at levels that are reduced by the amounts above.**

**2(A). Agency: Department of Defense**

Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion

**2(A). Bureau: Research, Development, Test and Evaluation**

**2(A). Governmental Function/Project (Account): Molten Carbonate Fuel Cells  
Technology (Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army)**

**2(B). States and Congressional Districts Affected: Unknown**

**2(C). Total Number of Cancellations (inclusive) in Current Session in each State  
and District identified above: Unknown**

**CANCELLATION OF  
DOLLAR AMOUNT OF DISCRETIONARY BUDGET AUTHORITY  
Report Pursuant to the Line Item Veto Act, P.L. 104-130**

**Bill Citation: "Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 1998" (H.R. 2266)**

**1(A). Dollar Amount of Discretionary Budget Authority: \$3,000 thousand for the Research, Development Test and Evaluation, Army project "Periscopic Minimally-Invasive Surgery" on page 113 and 116 of House Report 105-265 dated September 23, 1997.**

**1(B). Determinations: This cancellation will reduce the Federal budget deficit, will not impair any essential Government functions, and will not harm the national interest.**

**1(C),(E). Reasons for Cancellation; Facts, Circumstances, and Considerations Relating to or Bearing upon the Cancellation; and Estimated Effect of Cancellation on Objects, Purposes, and Programs: This project would research less-invasive surgery techniques that could potentially result in faster healing, less hospital time, and reduced costs. However, the Department already funds microsurgery programs with specific military applications. The project is being canceled because it was not requested in the President's FY 1998 Budget and the Department of Defense has determined that it would not make a significant contribution to US military capability.**

**1(D). Estimated Fiscal, Economic, and Budgetary Effect of Cancellation: As a result of the cancellation, Federal outlays will not increase, as specified below. This will have a commensurate effect on the Federal budget deficit and, to that extent, will have a beneficial effect on the economy.**

**Outlay Changes (in thousands of dollars)**

<b>FY 1998</b>	<b>FY 1999</b>	<b>FY 2000</b>	<b>FY 2001</b>	<b>FY 2002</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>-\$1,740</b>	<b>-\$990</b>	<b>-\$159</b>	<b>-\$54</b>	<b>-\$24</b>	<b>-\$3,000</b>

**1(F). Adjustments to Defense Discretionary Spending Limits**

**Budget Authority: -\$3,000 thousand in FY 1998**

**Outlays: The estimated outlay effect for each year is shown above.**

**Evaluation of Effects of These Adjustments upon Sequestration Procedures: If a sequestration were required, such sequestration would occur at levels that are reduced by the amounts above.**

Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion

**2(A). Agency: Department of Defense**

**2(A). Bureau: Research, Development, Test and Evaluation**

**2(A). Governmental Function/Project (Account): Periscopic Minimally-Invasive Surgery (Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army)**

**2(B). States and Congressional Districts Affected: Unknown**

**2(C). Total Number of Cancellations (inclusive) in Current Session in each State and District identified above: Unknown**

**CANCELLATION OF  
DOLLAR AMOUNT OF DISCRETIONARY BUDGET AUTHORITY  
Report Pursuant to the Line Item Veto Act, P.L. 104-130**

**Bill Citation: "Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 1998" (H.R. 2266)**

**1(A). Dollar Amount of Discretionary Budget Authority: \$4,000 thousand for the Research, Development Test and Evaluation, Army project "Proton Beam" on page 113 of House Report 105-265 dated September 23, 1997.**

**1(B). Determinations: This cancellation will reduce the Federal budget deficit, will not impair any essential Government functions, and will not harm the national interest.**

**1(C),(E). Reasons for Cancellation; Facts, Circumstances, and Considerations Relating to or Bearing upon the Cancellation; and Estimated Effect of Cancellation on Objects, Purposes, and Programs: This project would research a new machine that delivers radiation therapy for cancer treatment. The appropriated funds constitute a grant to a private organization for medical research that should be funded through a peer review process. The project is being canceled because it was not requested in the President's FY 1998 Budget and the Department of Defense has determined that it would not make a significant contribution to US military capability.**

**1(D). Estimated Fiscal, Economic, and Budgetary Effect of Cancellation: As a result of the cancellation, Federal outlays will not increase, as specified below. This will have a commensurate effect on the Federal budget deficit and, to that extent, will have a beneficial effect on the economy.**

**Outlay Changes (in thousands of dollars)**

<b>FY 1998</b>	<b>FY 1999</b>	<b>FY 2000</b>	<b>FY 2001</b>	<b>FY 2002</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>-\$2,320</b>	<b>-\$1,320</b>	<b>-\$212</b>	<b>-\$72</b>	<b>-\$32</b>	<b>-\$4,000</b>

**1(F). Adjustments to Defense Discretionary Spending Limits**

**Budget Authority: -\$4,000 thousand in FY 1998**

**Outlays: The estimated outlay effect for each year is shown above.**

**Evaluation of Effects of These Adjustments upon Sequestration Procedures: If a sequestration were required, such sequestration would occur at levels that are reduced by the amounts above.**

**2(A). Agency: Department of Defense**

*Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion*

**2(A). Bureau: Research, Development, Test and Evaluation**

**2(A). Governmental Function/Project (Account): Proton Beam (Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army)**

**2(B). States and Congressional Districts Affected: California, 40th Congressional District**

**2(C). Total Number of Cancellations (inclusive) in Current Session in each State and District identified above: California: seven; 40th District: three**

**CANCELLATION OF  
DOLLAR AMOUNT OF DISCRETIONARY BUDGET AUTHORITY  
Report Pursuant to the Line Item Veto Act, P.L. 104-130**

**Bill Citation:** "Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 1998" (H.R. 2266)

**1(A). Dollar Amount of Discretionary Budget Authority:** \$3,000 thousand for a Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Navy project "Terfenol-D" on pages 119 and 122 of House Report 105-265 dated September 23, 1997.

**1(B). Determinations:** This cancellation will reduce the Federal budget deficit, will not impair any essential Government functions, and will not harm the national interest.

**1(C),(E). Reasons for Cancellation; Facts, Circumstances, and Considerations Relating to or Bearing upon the Cancellation; and Estimated Effect of Cancellation on Objects, Purposes, and Programs:** This project is intended to enable the Navy to achieve further cost reductions in the application of a magnetorestrictive, iron/terbium/dysprosium alloy for new high performance sonar systems. This effort is to be undertaken through a partnership between any entity which has made a financial commitment and has experience in the production of the alloy and the National Center of Excellence in Metal Working Technology. The Navy has no military requirement for this technology. This project is being canceled because it was not requested in the President's FY 1998 Budget and the Department of Defense has determined that it would not make a significant contribution to US military capability.

**1(D). Estimated Fiscal, Economic, and Budgetary Effect of Cancellation:** As a result of the cancellation, Federal outlays will not increase, as specified below. This will have a commensurate effect on the Federal budget deficit and, to that extent, will have a beneficial effect on the economy.

	Outlay Changes (in thousands of dollars)					
	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	Total
	-\$1,603	-\$1,008	-\$253	-\$60	-\$33	
						-\$3,000

**1(F). Adjustments to Defense Discretionary Spending Limits**

**Budget Authority:** -\$3,000 thousand in FY 1998

**Outlays:** The estimated outlay effect for each year is shown above.

**Evaluation of Effects of These Adjustments upon Sequestration Procedures:** If a sequestration were required, such sequestration would occur at levels that are reduced by the amounts above.

**Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion**

**2(A). Agency:** Department of Defense

**2(A). Bureau:** Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation

**2(A). Governmental Function/Project (Account):** Terfenol-D (Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Navy)

**2(B). States and Congressional Districts Affected:** Pennsylvania, 12th Congressional District

**2(C). Total Number of Cancellations (inclusive) in Current Session in each State and District identified above:** Pennsylvania: three; 12th District: two

**CANCELLATION OF  
DOLLAR AMOUNT OF DISCRETIONARY BUDGET AUTHORITY  
Report Pursuant to the Line Item Veto Act, P.L. 104-130**

**Bill Citation:** "Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 1998" (H.R. 2266)

**1(A). Dollar Amount of Discretionary Budget Authority:** \$3,000 thousand for a Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Navy project for "COTS airgun as an acoustic source" on page 120 of House Report 105-265 dated September 23, 1997.

**1(B). Determinations:** This cancellation will reduce the Federal budget deficit, will not impair any essential Government functions, and will not harm the national interest.

**1(C),(E). Reasons for Cancellation; Facts, Circumstances, and Considerations Relating to or Bearing upon the Cancellation; and Estimated Effect of Cancellation on Objects, Purposes, and Programs:** This project would continue development, testing, and calibration of components for a mobile, high power broadband acoustic surveillance source that is based upon the adaptation of commercial air-gun technology. Technical review in the Department of Defense has concluded that this technology will not be effective, except in shallow water regions where there are no current requirements. The project is being canceled because it was not requested in the President's FY 1998 Budget and the Department of Defense has determined that it would not make a significant contribution to US military capability.

**1(D). Estimated Fiscal, Economic, and Budgetary Effect of Cancellation:** As a result of the cancellation, Federal outlays will not increase, as specified below. This will have a commensurate effect on the Federal budget deficit and, to that extent, will have a beneficial effect on the economy.

Outlay Changes (in thousands of dollars)

	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	Total
		-\$1,603	-\$1,008	-\$253	-\$60	
-33	-\$3,000					

**1(F). Adjustments to Defense Discretionary Spending Limits**

**Budget Authority:** -\$3,000 thousand in FY 1998

**Outlays:** The estimated outlay effect for each year is shown above.

**Evaluation of Effects of These Adjustments upon Sequestration Procedures:** If a sequestration were required, such sequestration would occur at levels that are reduced by the amounts above.

**Automated Records Management System**

**Hex-Dump Conversion**

**2(A). Agency:** Department of Defense

**2(A). Bureau:** Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation

**2(A). Governmental Function/Project (Account):** COTS airgun as an acoustic source  
(Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Navy)

**2(B). States and Congressional Districts Affected:** Unknown

**2(C). Total Number of Cancellations (inclusive) in Current Session in each State  
and District identified above:** Unknown

**CANCELLATION OF  
DOLLAR AMOUNT OF DISCRETIONARY BUDGET AUTHORITY  
Report Pursuant to the Line Item Veto Act, P.L. 104-130**

**Bill Citation: "Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 1998" (H.R. 2266)**

**1(A). Dollar Amount of Discretionary Budget Authority: \$10,000 thousand for Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force project "Military Spaceplane" on page 125 of House Report 105-265 dated September 23, 1997.**

**1(B). Determinations: This cancellation will reduce the Federal budget deficit, will not impair any essential Government functions, and will not harm the national interest.**

**1(C),(E). Reasons for Cancellation; Facts, Circumstances, and Considerations Relating to or Bearing upon the Cancellation; and Estimated Effect of Cancellation on Objects, Purposes, and Programs: This project would fund research into hypersonic technologies and is intended to complement NASA's reusable launch vehicle program. However, NASA, not the Department of Defense, is responsible for the development of reusable launch vehicles. The project is being canceled because it was not requested in the President's FY 1998 Budget, and because the Department of Defense has determined that it would not make a significant contribution to US military capability.**

**1(D). Estimated Fiscal, Economic, and Budgetary Effect of Cancellation: As a result of the cancellation, Federal outlays will not increase, as specified below. This will have a commensurate effect on the Federal budget deficit and, to that extent, will have a beneficial effect on the economy.**

Outlay Changes (in thousands of dollars)						
	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	Total
	-\$4,272	-\$4,272	-\$887	-\$357		
-\$116	-\$10,000					

**1(F). Adjustments to Defense Discretionary Spending Limits**

**Budget Authority: -\$10,000 thousand in FY 1998**  
**Outlays: The estimated outlay effect for each year is shown above.**

**Evaluation of Effects of These Adjustments upon Sequestration Procedures: If a sequestration were required, such sequestration would occur at levels that are reduced by the amounts above.**

Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion

**2(A). Agency: Department of Defense**

**2(A). Bureau: Research, Development, Testing and Evaluation**

**2(A). Governmental Function/Project (Account): Military Spaceplane (Research, Development, Testing and Evaluation, Air Force)**

**2(B). States and Congressional Districts Affected: New Mexico, 1st Congressional District.**

**2(C). Total Number of Cancellations (inclusive) in Current Session in each State and District identified above: New Mexico: three; 1st District: two**



Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion

reduced by the amounts above.

**2(A). Agency: Department of Defense**

**2(A). Bureau: Research, Development, Testing and Evaluation**

**2(A). Governmental Function/Project (Account): Clementine (Research, Development, Testing and Evaluation, Air Force)**

**2(B). States and Congressional Districts Affected: New Mexico, 1st Congressional District.**

**2(C). Total Number of Cancellations (inclusive) in Current Session in each State and District identified above: New Mexico: four; 1st District: three**

**CANCELLATION OF  
DOLLAR AMOUNT OF DISCRETIONARY BUDGET AUTHORITY  
Report Pursuant to the Line Item Veto Act, P.L. 104-130**

**Bill Citation: "Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 1998" (H.R. 2266)**

**1(A). Dollar Amount of Discretionary Budget Authority: \$1,500 thousand for Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force project "Optical Correlator Technology" on page 125 of House Report 105-265 dated September 23, 1997.**

**1(B). Determinations: This cancellation will reduce the Federal budget deficit, will not impair any essential Government functions, and will not harm the national interest.**

**1(C),(E). Reasons for Cancellation; Facts, Circumstances, and Considerations Relating to or Bearing upon the Cancellation; and Estimated Effect of Cancellation on Objects, Purposes, and Programs: This project would conduct research and development to attempt to improve optical correlator technology. The project is being canceled because it was not requested in the President's FY 1998 Budget, and because the Department of Defense has determined that it would not make a significant contribution to US military capability.**

**1(D). Estimated Fiscal, Economic, and Budgetary Effect of Cancellation: As a result of the cancellation, Federal outlays will not increase, as specified below. This will have a commensurate effect on the Federal budget deficit and, to that extent, will have a beneficial effect on the economy.**

Outlay Changes (in thousands of dollars)

	<u>FY 1998</u>	<u>FY 1999</u>	<u>FY 2000</u>	<u>FY 2001</u>	<u>FY 2002</u>	<u>Total</u>
	-641	-641	-133		-54	-17

**1(F). Adjustments to Defense Discretionary Spending Limits**

**Budget Authority: -\$1,500 thousand in FY 1998**

**Outlays: The estimated outlay effect for each year is shown above.**

**Evaluation of Effects of These Adjustments upon Sequestration Procedures: If a sequestration were required, such sequestration would occur at levels that are reduced by the amounts above.**

**2(A). Agency: Department of Defense**

**2(A). Bureau: Research, Development, Testing and Evaluation**

**2(A). Governmental Function/Project (Account): Optical Correlator Technology**

*Automated Records Management System*  
*Hex-Dump Conversion*  
(Research, Development, Testing and Evaluation, Air Force)

**2(B). States and Congressional Districts Affected:** Unknown

**CANCELLATION OF  
DOLLAR AMOUNT OF DISCRETIONARY BUDGET AUTHORITY  
Report Pursuant to the Line Item Veto Act, P.L. 104-130**

**Bill Citation:** "Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 1998" (H.R. 2266)

**1(A). Dollar Amount of Discretionary Budget Authority:** \$37,500 thousand for Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-wide project "ASAT" on page 130 of House Report 105-265 dated September 23, 1997.

**1(B). Determinations:** This cancellation will reduce the Federal budget deficit, will not impair any essential Government functions, and will not harm the national interest.

**1(C),(E). Reasons for Cancellation; Facts, Circumstances, and Considerations Relating to or Bearing upon the Cancellation; and Estimated Effect of Cancellation on Objects, Purposes, and Programs:** This project would fund a technology demonstration experiment to prove the feasibility of launching a seeker that would smash into an enemy's satellite, thereby disabling it. However, alternative means exist for addressing a satellite threat, such as destroying ground stations or disrupting satellite communications links. This item is being canceled because it was not requested in the President's FY 1998 Budget; it was not in the Department of Defense Future Years Defense Plan; and there are alternative ways to disrupt enemy satellite capabilities.

**1(D). Estimated Fiscal, Economic, and Budgetary Effect of Cancellation:** As a result of the cancellation, Federal outlays will not increase, as specified below. This will have a commensurate effect on the Federal budget deficit and, to that extent, will have a beneficial effect on the economy.

Outlay Changes (in thousands of dollars)

<u>FY 1998</u>	<u>FY 1999</u>	<u>FY 2000</u>	<u>FY 2001</u>	<u>FY 2002</u>	<u>Total</u>
-16,313	-15,000	-4,500		-563	-563
-37,500					

**1(F). Adjustments to Defense Discretionary Spending Limits**

**Budget Authority:** -\$37,500 thousand in FY 1998

**Outlays:** The estimated outlay effect for each year is shown above.

**Evaluation of Effects of These Adjustments upon Sequestration Procedures:**  
If a sequestration were required, such sequestration would occur at levels that are reduced by the amounts above.

Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion

**2(A). Agency:** Department of Defense

**2(A). Bureau:** Research, Development, Testing and Evaluation

Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion

**2(A). Governmental Function/Project (Account):** ASAT (Research, Development, Testing and Evaluation, Defense-wide)

**2(B). States and Congressional Districts Affected:** California, 23rd and/or 24th Congressional Districts.

**2(C). Total Number of Cancellations (inclusive) in Current Session in each State and District identified above:** California: eight; 23rd District: one; 24th District: one



Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion

**2(A). Agency: Department of Defense**

**2(A). Bureau: Research, Development, Testing and Evaluation**

**2(A). Governmental Function/Project (Account): Risk-based toxic chemicals research (Research, Development, Testing and Evaluation, Defense-wide)**

**2(B). States and Congressional Districts Affected: Unknown.**

**2(C). Total Number of Cancellations (inclusive) in Current Session in each State and District identified above: Unknown**

**CANCELLATION OF  
DOLLAR AMOUNT OF DISCRETIONARY BUDGET AUTHORITY  
Report Pursuant to the Line Item Veto Act, P.L. 104-130**

**Bill Citation: "Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 1998" (H.R. 2266)**

**1(A). Dollar Amount of Discretionary Budget Authority: \$1,000 thousand for Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-wide project "Defense Techlink rural technology transfer" on page 133 of House Report 105-265 dated September 23, 1997.**

**1(B). Determinations: This cancellation will reduce the Federal budget deficit, will not impair any essential Government functions, and will not harm the national interest.**

**1(C),(E). Reasons for Cancellation; Facts, Circumstances, and Considerations Relating to or Bearing upon the Cancellation; and Estimated Effect of Cancellation on Objects, Purposes, and Programs: This project would develop a rural technology model of a five state rural region of Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, Wyoming and Idaho. The project is being canceled because it was not requested in the President's FY 1998 Budget and the Department of Defense has determined that it would not make a significant contribution to US military capability.**

**1(D). Estimated Fiscal, Economic, and Budgetary Effect of Cancellation: As a result of the cancellation, Federal outlays will not increase, as specified below. This will have a commensurate effect on the Federal budget deficit and, to that extent, will have a beneficial effect on the economy.**

Outlay Changes (in thousands of dollars)

	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	Total
		-\$435	-\$400	-\$120	-\$15	
	-\$15	-\$1,000				

**1(F). Adjustments to Defense Discretionary Spending Limits**

**Budget Authority: -\$1,000 thousand in FY 1998**

**Outlays: The estimated outlay effect for each year is shown above.**

**Evaluation of Effects of These Adjustments upon Sequestration Procedures: If a sequestration were required, such sequestration would occur at levels that are reduced by the amounts above.**

**Automated Records Management System  
Hex-Dump Conversion**

**2(A). Agency: Department of Defense**

**2(A). Bureau: Research, Development, Testing and Evaluation**

**2(A). Governmental Function/Project (Account): Defense Techlink rural  
technology transfer (Research, Development, Testing and Evaluation, Defense-wide)**

**2(B). States and Congressional Districts Affected: Idaho, Montana, North Dakota,  
South Dakota, Wyoming; Congressional Districts unknown.**

**2(C). Total Number of Cancellations (inclusive) in Current Session in each State  
and District identified above: Idaho: three; Montana: two; North Dakota: one; South  
Dakota: two; Wyoming: one**

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: William R. Kincaid ( CN=William R. Kincaid/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:14-OCT-1997 13:16:41.00

SUBJECT: document to review quickly

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Michael Cohen ( CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: BALDERSTON\_A ( BALDERSTON\_A @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] ) (OPD)

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Dawn Chirwa is sending us a document that the Department of Education wants to release at ACE's conference on diversity in higher education tomorrow; the document has been cleared by the civil rights division, and is currently being ok'd by OLC. My understanding is that the document is something along the lines of guidelines for higher ed institutions to use in assessing their practices in admissions, financial aid, etc. As soon as we get a copy from the counsel's office we will forward a copy to you.

Thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Thomas L. Freedman ( CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:14-OCT-1997 13:43:01.00

SUBJECT: civil rights meetings

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

We have 3 separate meetings set up with DOJ, EEOC and the other lead agencies on Thurs. and Friday (Pinzler from DOJ was out of town until Thursday and we wanted to do DOJ first). We had also scheduled WH meetings on civil rights enforcement on Wednesday and Friday. I'm not sure we need the WH meeting on Wednesday if we won't be able to report on these meetings till Friday anyhow...yes?

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Thomas L. Freedman ( CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:14-OCT-1997 14:17:21.00

SUBJECT: Native American education

TO: Michael Cohen ( CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Mary L. Smith ( CN=Mary L. Smith/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

This seems like a possibly promising area for something in the area of the race initiative, especially if we don't already have any measures aimed at helping Native Americans in our package of initiatives. The problems correlate to some steps the Administration has taken generally in education -- indian drop out rates are above 50%, and there are problems in testing and school construction. However, when Mike and I had representatives of BIA , the Department of Education, and OMB come in and tell us what they would like today, we didn't get much. If we came up with something, what do you of trying to fit it in the race initiatives for funding?

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cathy R. Mays ( CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:14-OCT-1997 14:30:58.00

SUBJECT: Weekly Strategy Meeting

TO: Barbara D. Woolley ( CN=Barbara D. Woolley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles R. Marr ( CN=Charles R. Marr/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Waldman ( CN=Michael Waldman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anne E. McGuire ( CN=Anne E. McGuire/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Donald H. Gips ( CN=Donald H. Gips/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sanders D. Korenman ( CN=Sanders D. Korenman/OU=CEA/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEA ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria Echaveste ( CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Diana Fortuna ( CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Susan A. Brophy ( CN=Susan A. Brophy/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gene B. Sperling ( CN=Gene B. Sperling/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet Murguia ( CN=Janet Murguia/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan Murchinson ( CN=Jonathan Murchinson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jake Siewert ( CN=Jake Siewert/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kathryn B. Stack ( CN=Kathryn B. Stack/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen E. Skelton ( CN=Karen E. Skelton/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Keith J. Fontenot ( CN=Keith J. Fontenot/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Emil E. Parker ( CN=Emil E. Parker/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Emily Bromberg ( CN=Emily Bromberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rahm I. Emanuel ( CN=Rahm I. Emanuel/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jessica L. Gibson ( CN=Jessica L. Gibson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura K. Capps ( CN=Laura K. Capps/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Toby Donenfeld ( CN=Toby Donenfeld/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Raymond E. Donnelly III ( CN=Raymond E. Donnelly III/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jill M. Pizzuto ( CN=Jill M. Pizzuto/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Virginia N. Rustique ( CN=Virginia N. Rustique/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Angelique Pirozzi ( CN=Angelique Pirozzi/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Lisa J. Levin ( CN=Lisa J. Levin/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Marjorie Tarmey ( CN=Marjorie Tarmey/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Michelle Crisci ( CN=Michelle Crisci/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Melissa Green ( CN=Melissa Green/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

We are having the weekly Welfare Reform Strategy Meeting today at 4:00 p.m. in Room 211, OEOB.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:14-OCT-1997 15:17:52.00

SUBJECT: Draft Agenda for Weekly Welfare Meeting

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Diana Fortuna ( CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Cathy R. Mays ( CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Weekly Welfare Strategy Meeting

Draft Agenda

October 14, 1997

- I. Last Wednesday's POTUS and VPOTUS events (handouts)
- II. FLSA: Update on Thursday's Markup; Next Steps
- III. DOL Welfare to Work Grants: Guidance out Today? OMB to receive regs tomorrow?
- IV. Tomorrow: VP in Orlando Florida at Goodwill welfare to work site
- V. Possible Nov. 19th White House event with Welfare to Work Partnership
  - Announce June '97 caseload numbers? (down 40 months in a row)
  - Announce work participation rate results?
- VI. DOL: Information on Expanded Welfare to Work Tax Credit--is it available?
- VII. Expand this meeting to include one person each from SBA, HUD, DOT, USDA, SSA

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jessica L. Gibson ( CN=Jessica L. Gibson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:14-OCT-1997 15:40:02.00

SUBJECT: Blue Dog Mtg

TO: Raymond E. Donnelly III ( CN=Raymond E. Donnelly III/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Susan A. Brophy ( CN=Susan A. Brophy/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer L. Klein ( CN=Jennifer L. Klein/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: lgriffil ( lgriffil @ os.dhhs.gov @ INET @ LNGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nicole R. Rabner ( CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet Murguia ( CN=Janet Murguia/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

We are rescheduling the mtg with the blue dogs. Tomorrow at 1:00pm? Is it possible to have a person from DPC and a person from HHS at that time? Pls let me know.... Thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:14-OCT-1997 15:47:03.00

SUBJECT: Women's Office Meeting this morning

TO: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Ann Rosewater tells me thinks went fine this morning with the meeting with domestic violence advocates, which was organized by the Women's office.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Essence P. Washington ( CN=Essence P. Washington/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:14-OCT-1997 17:05:36.00

SUBJECT: Weekly Crime Meeting

TO: NELSON\_J ( NELSON\_J @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] ) (CPC)  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: SANDER\_T ( SANDER\_T @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] ) (VPO)  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tracey E. Thornton ( CN=Tracey E. Thornton/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rahm I. Emanuel ( CN=Rahm I. Emanuel/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christine A. Stanek ( CN=Christine A. Stanek/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christa Robinson ( CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan Prince ( CN=Jonathan Prince/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anne E. McGuire ( CN=Anne E. McGuire/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisa J. Levin ( CN=Lisa J. Levin/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter G. Jacoby ( CN=Peter G. Jacoby/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas L. Freedman ( CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Teresa L. Collins ( CN=Teresa L. Collins/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: James Boden ( CN=James Boden/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: BLANCHARD\_C ( BLANCHARD\_C @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] ) (DON)  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: HYLAND\_K ( HYLAND\_K @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [ UNKNOWN ] ) (CPC)  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer Brown ( CN=Jennifer Brown/OU=ONDCP/O=EOP @ EOP [ ONDCP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro ( CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Neera Tanden ( CN=Neera Tanden/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary L. Smith ( CN=Mary L. Smith/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen A. Popp ( CN=Karen A. Popp/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cathy R. Mays ( CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David J. Haun ( CN=David J. Haun/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas D. Janenda ( CN=Thomas D. Janenda/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michelle Crisci ( CN=Michelle Crisci/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Emily Bromberg ( CN=Emily Bromberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jose Cerda III ( CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

The Weekly Crime Meeting was cancelled for Wednesday October 15, 1997 at  
10:00am  
in room 211.

Thank You!

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Ronald E. Jones ( CN=Ronald E. Jones/OU=OMB/O=EOP [ OMB ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:14-OCT-1997 17:37:10.00

SUBJECT: Justice Letter on Cellular Telephone Protection Act

TO: John E. Thompson ( CN=John E. Thompson/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter G. Jacoby ( CN=Peter G. Jacoby/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Douglas D. McCormick ( CN=Douglas D. McCormick/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steven D. Aitken ( CN=Steven D. Aitken/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul J. Weinstein Jr. ( CN=Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William P. Marshall ( CN=William P. Marshall/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Unless I hear otherwise by 10:00 am, tomorrow, October 15th 1997, I will assume you have no objection to LRMs REJ286, dated 10/14/97. The LRM asked for comments on a draft DOJ letter strongly opposing H.R. 1252, Judicial Reform Act of 1997.

If you (1) need another copy of the LRM, (2) intend to comment but need more time, or (3) have provided comments that I may have overlooked, please let me know by answering this E-mail.

Thanks,

Ron Jones  
395-3386

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jerold R. Mande ( CN=Jerold R. Mande/OU=OSTP/O=EOP [ OSTP ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:14-OCT-1997 17:38:17.00

SUBJECT: Decoded copy of Reno letter to 5 Senators on Tobacco and Medicaid

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher C. Jennings ( CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jeanne Lambrew ( CN=Jeanne Lambrew/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Fred DuVal ( CN=Fred DuVal/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Thomas L. Freedman ( CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Elena and Bruce --

Here is a decoded copy of the draft letter in case the version George sent came over encoded, which it did on my computer.

The Honorable Tom Harkin  
United States Senate  
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Harkin:

Thank you for your letter of September 18, 1997, requesting an answer to the question of whether the federal government will be bringing a lawsuit against the tobacco companies for health care costs caused by tobacco related diseases. As explained in my previous letter, the Department of Justice along with the involved agencies have considered such suits and, to date, have not elected to attempt to recover these costs through direct litigation.

This Administration believes that our efforts should be directed at reducing teen smoking through comprehensive tobacco legislation. As the President said, "Reducing teen smoking has always been America's bottom line." That is why the President on September 17th called for comprehensive tobacco legislation with the goal of reducing teen smoking by 50 percent within seven years.

The President announced five key elements that must be the heart of any national tobacco legislation:

1. A comprehensive plan to reduce teen smoking, including tough penalties if targets are not met;
2. Full authority for the FDA to regulate tobacco products;

3. Changes in the way the tobacco industry does business;
4. Progress toward other public health goals; and
5. Protection for tobacco farmers and their communities.

Reducing teen smoking is most important because it will save the lives and health of our children. Financially, reducing teen smoking would be the most significant step that the Administration and Congress could take to save future health care costs.

Crafting the comprehensive tobacco legislation that will successfully reduce teenage tobacco use, is an enormous challenge. Forging such legislation will necessarily balance the competing interests of many different goals and policies. We believe the consideration of the costs incurred by federal programs in treating tobacco related diseases should be addressed through the comprehensive tobacco legislation.

Until that process is concluded, however, it would be inadvisable for the Department to explain why it has not attempted to recover such costs through direct litigation because events and facts may develop which would call for a different course in the future. Pronouncements at this time could impede the Department's ability to change course at that time.

Sincerely,

Janet Reno

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Peter Rundlet ( CN=Peter Rundlet/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:14-OCT-1997 18:57:01.00

SUBJECT: Follow up to last Friday's meeting

TO: Robert Wexler ( CN=Robert Wexler/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gene B. Sperling ( CN=Gene B. Sperling/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter Rundlet ( CN=Peter Rundlet/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andrew J. Mayock ( CN=Andrew J. Mayock/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lin Liu ( CN=Lin Liu/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis ( CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Claire Gonzales ( CN=Claire Gonzales/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: edley@law.harvard.edu@INET@LNGTWY ( edley@law.harvard.edu@INET@LNGTWY [ UNKNOWN

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sidney Blumenthal ( CN=Sidney Blumenthal/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Wenger ( CN=Michael Wenger/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael J. Sorrell ( CN=Michael J. Sorrell/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nelson Reyneri ( CN=Nelson Reyneri/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jacinta Ma ( CN=Jacinta Ma/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Susan M. Liss ( CN=Susan M. Liss/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mickey Ibarra ( CN=Mickey Ibarra/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Beverly J. Barnes ( CN=Beverly J. Barnes/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Judith A. Winston ( CN=Judith A. Winston/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )



[AUTO DATE]  
March 10, 2010

**MEMORANDUM FOR RACE INITIATIVE WORKING GROUP MEMBERS**

**FROM: SYLVIA MATHEWS AND JUDITH WINSTON**

**SUBJECT: Follow-up on Last Friday's Meeting**

First of all, we would like to thank you for all your work in preparation for last Friday's meeting with Erskine Bowles and other White House staff. We made a lot of progress over the past few weeks.

At 3:00 p.m., on Thursday, October 23, we will meet again with Erskine. In the meantime, there are a number of follow-up actions to complete in response to last Friday's meeting. Also, there are a number of other items on which we need to follow through to accomplish some near-term goals. The list below details those items which need follow-up.

On Monday, October 20, we will hold a meeting with the team leaders to review our progress on near-term goals and Bowles follow up. This meeting also will help us prepare for the meeting with Erskine next Thursday.

**Specific tasks to be completed by the dates indicated:**

**All Working Groups:**

By October 20, each group should further develop their work plans so that clear time lines are established and tasks are assigned. Refined and detailed work plans should be prepared by and brought to the Monday meeting. Please bring approximately 30 copies of each work plan to the meeting.

**Promising Practices:**

By October 20, determine the criteria for reviewing promising practices, and create and implement a process for review and set a realistic deadline for getting practices on the Web: *Susan, Lin, Kevin.*

**Dialogue:**

By October 20, establish a theme for the first Town Hall meeting, propose a site and mix of attendees: *Ann, Claire, Michael.*

**Policy/Enforcement:**

By October 23, identify non-budgetary policies that can be announced in the short term: *Elena, Lin.*

**Automated Records Management System**  
**Hex-Dump Conversion**

Starting this week, include a section on race in the DPC's weekly report to the President:  
*Elena.*

By October 23, discuss the possibility of creating a cross-cut on race for FY 99 budget:  
*Elena, Frank, Gene, Judy, and Sylvia.*

**Recruiting Leaders:**

By October 20, determine the criteria for selecting and vetting leaders and determine specifically the things the leaders will be asked to do: *Maria, Mickey, and Mike.*

**Hard Questions/Tough Issues:**

By October 17, outline the difficult issues that the President and the Race Initiative will attempt to address: *Chris, Sid.*

**Communications:**

By October 20, develop talking points on what the Initiative has accomplished to date:  
*Ann, Claire.*

**Outreach:**

By October 23, get a structure up and running to engage the corporate sector. Be ready to announce our corporate effort by October 28: *Maria, Mike.*

By October 23, convene a meeting with conservatives/Republicans to get them engaged in the Race Initiative: *Maria, Janet.*

By October 20, touch base with Roger Wilkins: *Maria.*

**Cabinet Affairs:**

By October 23, meet with Blacks in Government and other groups to identify affirmative measures the Initiative can pursue: *Goody.*

By October 23, develop a plan, process, and structure to pursue the "At the table" idea:  
*Goody, Michele.*

**Miscellaneous:**

Starting this week, communicate with the working groups and submit a draft weekly report for the Race Initiative to Judy and Sylvia every Thursday evening: *Jacinta.*

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jacinta Ma ( CN=Jacinta Ma/OU=PIR/O=EOP [ PIR ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:14-OCT-1997 19:41:46.00

SUBJECT: Weekly report from PIR

TO: Michael Wenger ( CN=Michael Wenger/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael J. Sorrell ( CN=Michael J. Sorrell/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Minyon Moore ( CN=Minyon Moore/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sylvia M. Mathews ( CN=Sylvia M. Mathews/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lin Liu ( CN=Lin Liu/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis ( CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Claire Gonzales ( CN=Claire Gonzales/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria Echaveste ( CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Judith A. Winston ( CN=Judith A. Winston/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gene B. Sperling ( CN=Gene B. Sperling/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter Rundlet ( CN=Peter Rundlet/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andrew J. Mayock ( CN=Andrew J. Mayock/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thurgood Marshall Jr ( CN=Thurgood Marshall Jr/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Susan M. Liss ( CN=Susan M. Liss/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mickey Ibarra ( CN=Mickey Ibarra/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michele Cavataio ( CN=Michele Cavataio/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Robert Wexler ( CN=Robert Wexler/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [ PIR ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

PIR team leaders--

I'll be compiling the weekly report to the President from PIR. Sylvia Mathews wants us to include updates from all the working groups. I'll need to get information from you by the end of the day on Wednesdays in order to make our Friday deadlines. (For this week, that means tomorrow). If you are not the contact person, please let me know who on your team will be. In the updates, please include activities that you expect to occur on Thursday and Friday of the week.

Also, because we are working out of the Department of Justice, please remember that the updates you send to me are subject to the Freedom of Information Act as are all of our weekly reports.

Thanks for your help.

--Jacinta

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cathy R. Mays ( CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:15-OCT-1997 09:07:30.00

SUBJECT: Weekly Strategy Meeting

TO: Christopher C. Jennings ( CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter R. Orszag ( CN=Peter R. Orszag/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Daniel K. Tarullo ( CN=Daniel K. Tarullo/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce R. Lindsey ( CN=Bruce R. Lindsey/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Daniel C. Tate ( CN=Daniel C. Tate/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Emily Bromberg ( CN=Emily Bromberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Toby Donenfeld ( CN=Toby Donenfeld/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jerold R. Mande ( CN=Jerold R. Mande/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeanne Lambrew ( CN=Jeanne Lambrew/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas L. Freedman ( CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles F. Stone ( CN=Charles F. Stone/OU=CEA/O=EOP @ EOP [ CEA ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joshua Gotbaum ( CN=Joshua Gotbaum/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sherman G. Boone ( CN=Sherman G. Boone/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gene B. Sperling ( CN=Gene B. Sperling/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul R. Carey ( CN=Paul R. Carey/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry J. Toiv ( CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barbara D. Woolley ( CN=Barbara D. Woolley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Donald H. Gips ( CN=Donald H. Gips/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul J. Weinstein Jr. ( CN=Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary L. Smith ( CN=Mary L. Smith/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jill M. Pizzuto ( CN=Jill M. Pizzuto/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [ OMB ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Kristen E. Panerali ( CN=Kristen E. Panerali/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Dan J. Taylor ( CN=Dan J. Taylor/O=OVP @ OVP [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jennifer D. Dudley ( CN=Jennifer D. Dudley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Melissa Green ( CN=Melissa Green/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

We will be having our weekly Tobacco Strategy Meeting tomorrow, October 16, at 2:45 p.m., Room 211, OEOB.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Mary L. Smith ( CN=Mary L. Smith/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:15-OCT-1997 10:11:45.00

SUBJECT: Hate Crimes Legislation Briefing and Strategy Meeting with the Department

TO: William P. Marshall ( CN=William P. Marshall/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet Murguia ( CN=Janet Murguia/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Susan A. Brophy ( CN=Susan A. Brophy/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas L. Freedman ( CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dawn M. Chirwa ( CN=Dawn M. Chirwa/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter G. Jacoby ( CN=Peter G. Jacoby/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tracey E. Thornton ( CN=Tracey E. Thornton/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Richard Socarides ( CN=Richard Socarides/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Essence P. Washington ( CN=Essence P. Washington/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett ( CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

On Monday, October 20, 1997 at 11 a.m. in Room 211, we will have a meeting to discuss strategy regarding hate crimes legislation in anticipation of the White House Hate Crimes Conference scheduled for November 10. DOJ has drafted several options, and would like to discuss whether we should endorse a particular piece of legislation or simply some broad principles.

From DOJ, the following persons will attend:

David Ogden  
Andrew Fois  
Bill Yeomans  
Dana Hyde

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cynthia A. Rice ( CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP [ OPD ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:15-OCT-1997 10:13:31.00

SUBJECT: FICA update from Haskins

TO: Emily Bromberg ( CN=Emily Bromberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan ( CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Diana Fortuna ( CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed ( CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [ OPD ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Mary Bourdette spoke to Ron Haskins, who said he has no plans to push his FICA exemption further and he doesn't see any vehicles. He said the unions went all out to kill Shaw's effort last week.



**DRAFT**  
**TALKING POINTS ON**  
**PENTAGON REQUEST FOR SHARE OF TOBACCO SETTLEMENT**

\* The issue raised in the letter from the Pentagon needs to be resolved in the context of the comprehensive tobacco legislation which is just beginning to be considered in Congress. There are a variety of important and valid uses of resources that may become available as a result of the tobacco legislation, and the Pentagon's points deserve deliberation and weighing in the context of the entire legislation. The Administration looks forward to considering these issues in a bipartisan fashion with Congress.

\* Although there are many competing options for use of possible resources that could come from the legislation, the President has made clear that the chief goal of tobacco legislation should be the reduction of youth smoking. On September 17th when he announced his plan for comprehensive tobacco legislation he challenged Congress to "build on this historic opportunity by passing sweeping tobacco legislation that has one goal in mind: the dramatic reduction of teen smoking."

\* When President Clinton announced his plan for tobacco legislation, he listed five key elements that must be at the heart of any tobacco legislation. The elements are: reductions in youth smoking, including tough penalties if targets are not met; full authority for FDA to regulate tobacco products; the tobacco industry must change the way it does business -- including its marketing to children; progress toward other public health goals -- including reducing second-hand smoke; and protection of tobacco farmers and their communities. This is the President's framework for tobacco legislation and issues like those raised by the Pentagon should be considered in this context.