

NLWJC - KAGAN

EMAILS RECEIVED

ARMS - BOX 071 - FOLDER -002

[12/10/1997-12/11/1997]

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Robin Leeds to Elena Kagan, et al. Subject: Meeting with Childcare Advocates [partial] (4 pages)	12/11/1997	P6/b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
 Automated Records Management System (Email)
 WHO ([Kagan])
 OA/Box Number: 500000

FOLDER TITLE:

[12/10/1997 - 12/11/1997]

2009-1006-F
ke724

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

**Miami Drug Event
Questions and Answers
December 11, 1997**

*Automated Records Management System
Hex-Dump Conversion*

Coast Guard Interdiction

Q. How much cocaine has the Coast Guard seized?

A. During FY97, the Coast Guard, in conjunction with other U.S. law enforcement agencies, seized its highest-ever total of cocaine -- 103,617 pounds. Last year's assisted seizures are triple the FY96 total of 28,585 pounds. Moreover, they are substantially higher than their previous record set in FY91 of 90,335 pounds. The increase in Coast Guard seizures is largely the result of the success of Frontier Shield, which were kicked off last year.

Q. What is an assisted seizure?

A. It is a seizure during which Coast Guard assets participated in the operation. Many of our federal law enforcement agencies -- Customs, the Coast Guard, the Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA) -- work together on drug seizure operations.

Q. How do you know seizures are not up because the drug problem is growing?

A. Because the other indicators of drug abuse are down. Overall drug use, what Americans spend on drugs, indicators of crack and methamphetamine usage are all down. Youth drug use seems to have stabilized. If the problem were growing these indicators would also be up.

Q. How do these numbers relate to overall seizure data?

A. Full 1997 Federal-Wide Drug Seizure System (FDSS) data is not yet available. Assuming reported seizure levels remain unchanged during the FDSS "scrub," seizure levels are on track to meet or best prior year levels. For example, the Coast Guard and the Customs Services *alone* combined current data provides a total of 119.6 metric tons of cocaine seized. In 1996, all the federal agencies *combined*, including DEA and the Border Patrol, seized a total 115.3 metric tons of cocaine.

Q. What can you tell us about reports that the DEA released the driver of a tractor-trailer rig loaded with over a ton of cocaine this past weekend in Texas?

A. It is not appropriate for us to comment on this ongoing criminal investigation. Questions

regarding this matter should be directed to the Department of Justice.

Increased Department of Defense Counter-Drug Efforts

Q. How much is the increase?

A. The Department of Defense, under Secretary Cohen's leadership, has agreed to provide an additional \$73 million over its original FY 99 budget request dedicated to counter-drug efforts. [Although this is only a \$25 million increase over last year's enacted level, it represents a \$73 million real increase due to non-recurring capital costs that dropped out this year's budget.]

Q. What will the new monies fund?

A. The funding will go toward a host of Defense's counter-drug efforts, including:

- Enhancing source country interdiction efforts, primarily in Peru, by providing ground-based and airborne radar detection capabilities, as well as training and conducting riverine interdiction programs;
- Implementing the commitments the United States made at the Barbados Summit to assist the Caribbean nations with maritime interdiction efforts; and,
- Working with Mexico to assist them in establishing their own interdiction capabilities;

Q. How did this increase come about?

A. As provided by statute, the White House Office of National Drug Control Policy (ONDCP) reviewed the budget proposal of the Department of Defense to determine if the monies provided in the request adequately met the goals of the President's National Drug Control Strategy. ONDCP determined that the request failed to achieve the President's goals and began to work with the Department of Defense to rectify this situation. Secretary Cohen provided the leadership necessary to ensure that the Department of Defense's budget would meet the President's goals and objectives.

\$195 Million Anti-Drug Youth Media Campaign

Q. What is the campaign?

A. The campaign is a nationwide paid media campaign to use the full force of the media --

Automated Records Management System
Hex-Dump Conversion

from television to the Internet to Sports marketing -- to educate kids and parents about the dangers of drugs.

Q. What is the status of the campaign?

- A. Congress has appropriated the money for the media campaign and the President has signed the appropriations bill into law. ONDCP submitted to the members of the relevant committees a plan for implementing the campaign, as required under the legislation, and Congress has approved the plan. Phase I of the campaign will begin next month, with ads running in 12 pilot cities to evaluate the campaign. The 12 pilot cities are: **Atlanta, Baltimore, Boise, Denver, Hartford, Houston, Milwaukee, Portland (OR), San Diego, Sioux City, Tucson, and Washington, D.C.**

Once the evaluation phase is completed, the campaign will go nationwide. Every American child will learn about the dangers of drugs when they tune in to prime-time television, listen to the radio, and surf the Internet.

Q. Will the ad campaign really have any effect on youth drug use?

- A. We believe it will. While television ads have been found to be among the most efficient and effective means of reducing drug use, anti-drug public service messages and news coverage of drug issues have dropped markedly since 1989. The media campaign will involve the use of both paid and public service advertisements to get the message to kids and their parents about the dangers of drugs.

The amount of funding for the media campaign is based on an estimate from the Partnership for a Drug-Free America and ad agencies for the level of ad exposure necessary to change attitudes and increase risk perception. Youth drug use has increased because risk perceptions have diminished. In 1990, 86.3 percent of kids, ages 12 to 17, said cocaine use was a high-risk behavior, and 40.4 percent said marijuana use was risky. By 1994, high-risk perception rates fell to 81.4 percent for cocaine and to 32.6 percent for marijuana. During the same time, 1992-1996, overall drug-use rates among youth increased. By increasing risk perceptions, coupled with positive messages of opportunity, the campaign will help steer kids away from drugs.

High-Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas

Q. What was today's announcement regarding the creation of a Central Florida HIDTA?

- A. Today, the Administration -- and Florida Members of Congress in a separate press conference-- announced the formation of the Central Florida HIDTA. The Director will soon fulfill the statutory requirements to officially designate the Central Florida HIDTA. However, the President recently signed funding for this HIDTA-- \$1 million in new money-- into law and an Executive Committee has been formed to help.

Automated Records Management System
Hex-Dump Conversion

Q. Why was Central Florida selected for a HIDTA?

A. Data provided by Central Florida authorities indicates that the I-4 Corridor, from Tampa to Orlando, is a critical juncture for drug trafficking. The data also shows that organized drug trafficking has increased in the area with over 75 such groups operating in Tampa alone. The I-4 Corridor has the highest rate of heroin deaths per capita in Florida, Orlando ranks fifth in the nation for cocaine related deaths. During 1996 alone, the Orlando area experienced 30 heroin overdose deaths, many of them by young people.

Q. What is the HIDTA program? What is a HIDTA?

A. The "HIDTA" program provides federal assistance to better coordinate and enhance counter-drug law enforcement -- from the local to the federal level -- in those critical areas of the nation that are centers of drug production, manufacturing, importation, or distribution.

Q. How does a HIDTA get designated, or created?

A. HIDTA's are designated by the Director of ONDCP, in consultation with the Attorney General, heads of the national drug program agencies, and the appropriate Governors. The criteria for designating a HIDTA are:

- the extent to which the area is a center of illegal drug production, manufacturing, importation or distribution;
- the extent to which State and local law enforcement agencies have committed resources to respond to the drug threat;
- the extent to which drug-related activities in the area are having a harmful impact in other areas of the country; and,
- the extent to which a significant increase in allocation of Federal resources is necessary to respond adequately to drug-related activities in the area.

Q. How many HIDTAs are there?

A. There are 22 HIDTAs (including the five Southwest Border HIDTAs) in operation now. The Central HIDTA will be the 23rd designated HIDTA. Under the Clinton Administration the number of HIDTAs has grown from 5 to now 23; resources for the program have grown from \$25 million in FY90 to \$162 million in FY98.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Amy W. Tobe (CN=Amy W. Tobe/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-DEC-1997 11:44:00.00

SUBJECT: REMINDER: POTUS press conference

TO: Cathy R. Mays (CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cheryl D. Mills (CN=Cheryl D. Mills/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kathleen A. McGinty (CN=Kathleen A. McGinty/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [CEQ])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: LUZZATTO_A (LUZZATTO_A @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (NSC)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jake Siewert (CN=Jake Siewert/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eleanor S. Parker (CN=Eleanor S. Parker/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher C. Jennings (CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David C. Leavy (CN=David C. Leavy/OU=NSC/O=EOP @ EOP [NSC])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lanny J. Davis (CN=Lanny J. Davis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul E. Begala (CN=Paul E. Begala/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gene B. Sperling (CN=Gene B. Sperling/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Michelle Crisci (CN=Michelle Crisci/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Michael D. McCurry (CN=Michael D. McCurry/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Rahm I. Emanuel (CN=Rahm I. Emanuel/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

As I have mentioned, I need your hard-hitting questions and tight answers with the back-up by COB today for the President's press conference briefing book. I don't want reams of paper from your shop, but enough

material to properly prepare the President for this press conference on Monday. Thank you.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Russell W. Horwitz (CN=Russell W. Horwitz/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-DEC-1997 13:38:56.00

SUBJECT: Kyoto Agreement 1-pager

TO: Joshua Gotbaum (CN=Joshua.Gotbaum/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William A. Halter (CN=William A. Halter/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Roberta W. Greene (CN=Roberta W. Greene/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marsha E. Berry (CN=Marsha E. Berry/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andrei H. Cherny (CN=Andrei H. Cherny/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Neera Tanden (CN=Neera Tanden/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael V. Terrell (CN=Michael V. Terrell/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [CEQ])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher F. Walker (CN=Christopher F. Walker/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan H. Schnur (CN=Jonathan H. Schnur/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robin J. Bachman (CN=Robin J. Bachman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth R. Newman (CN=Elizabeth R. Newman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mickey Ibarra (CN=Mickey Ibarra/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anne H. Lewis (CN=Anne H. Lewis/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lorraine A. Voles (CN=Lorraine A. Voles/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeffrey A. Forbes (CN=Jeffrey A. Forbes/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Craig T. Smith (CN=Craig T. Smith/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher J. Lavery (CN=Christopher J. Lavery/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisa J. Levin (CN=Lisa J. Levin/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William H. White Jr. (CN=William H. White Jr./OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barbara D. Woolley (CN=Barbara D. Woolley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles R. Marr (CN=Charles R. Marr/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sara M. Latham (CN=Sara M. Latham/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisa M. Kountoupes (CN=Lisa M. Kountoupes/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Waldman (CN=Michael Waldman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Daniel K. Tarullo (CN=Daniel K. Tarullo/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Douglas B. Sosnik (CN=Douglas B. Sosnik/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joshua Silverman (CN=Joshua Silverman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alice E. Shuffield (CN=Alice E. Shuffield/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura D. Schwartz (CN=Laura D. Schwartz/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steven J. Ronnel (CN=Steven J. Ronnel/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christa Robinson (CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Franklin D. Raines (CN=Franklin D. Raines/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John Podesta (CN=John Podesta/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter R. Orszag (CN=Peter R. Orszag/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bob J. Nash (CN=Bob J. Nash/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet Murguia (CN=Janet Murguia/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Linda L. Moore (CN=Linda L. Moore/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anne E. McGuire (CN=Anne E. McGuire/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cathy R. Mays (CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sylvia M. Mathews (CN=Sylvia M. Mathews/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce R. Lindsey (CN=Bruce R. Lindsey/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis (CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karin Kullman (CN=Karin Kullman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan A. Kaplan (CN=Jonathan A. Kaplan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter G. Jacoby (CN=Peter G. Jacoby/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John L. Hilley (CN=John L. Hilley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Daniel D. Heath (CN=Daniel D. Heath/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: D. Stephen Goodin (CN=D. Stephen Goodin/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ben A. Freeland (CN=Ben A. Freeland/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen E. Finney (CN=Karen E. Finney/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann T. Eder (CN=Ann T. Eder/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Suzanne Dale (CN=Suzanne Dale/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michelle Crisci (CN=Michelle Crisci/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steven A. Cohen (CN=Steven A. Cohen/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cheryl M. Carter (CN=Cheryl M. Carter/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Phillip Caplan (CN=Phillip Caplan/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Susan A. Brophy (CN=Susan A. Brophy/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Erskine B. Bowles (CN=Erskine B. Bowles/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kris M Balderston (CN=Kris M Balderston/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry B. Anderson (CN=Barry B. Anderson/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John A. Gribben (CN=John A. Gribben/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stacie Spector (CN=Stacie Spector/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mark D. Neschis (CN=Mark D. Neschis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Noa A. Meyer (CN=Noa A. Meyer/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sky Gallegos (CN=Sky Gallegos/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul E. Begala (CN=Paul E. Begala/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Glen M. Weiner (CN=Glen M. Weiner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ruby Shamir (CN=Ruby Shamir/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Darby E. Stott (CN=Darby E. Stott/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher R. Ulrich (CN=Christopher R. Ulrich/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ananias Blocker III (CN=Ananias Blocker III/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert M. Shireman (CN=Robert M. Shireman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Emil E. Parker (CN=Emil E. Parker/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Virginia N. Rustique (CN=Virginia N. Rustique/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Daniel C. Tate (CN=Daniel C. Tate/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Roger V. Salazar (CN=Roger V. Salazar/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Virginia M. Terzano (CN=Virginia M. Terzano/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen E. Skelton (CN=Karen E. Skelton/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Minyon Moore (CN=Minyon Moore/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lynn G. Cutler (CN=Lynn G. Cutler/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jill M. Pizzuto (CN=Jill M. Pizzuto/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Aviva Steinberg (CN=Aviva Steinberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert B. Johnson (CN=Robert B. Johnson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Beverly J. Barnes (CN=Beverly J. Barnes/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas D. Janenda (CN=Thomas D. Janenda/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul J. Weinstein Jr. (CN=Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry J. Toiv (CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jordan Tamagni (CN=Jordan Tamagni/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stephen B. Silverman (CN=Stephen B. Silverman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jake Siewert (CN=Jake Siewert/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David Shipley (CN=David Shipley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stacey L. Rubin (CN=Stacey L. Rubin/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dorothy Robyn (CN=Dorothy Robyn/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Victoria Radd (CN=Victoria Radd/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kristen E. Panerali (CN=Kristen E. Panerali/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter O'Keefe (CN=Peter O'Keefe/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alison Muscatine (CN=Alison Muscatine/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kevin S. Moran (CN=Kevin S. Moran/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joseph J. Minarik (CN=Joseph J. Minarik/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael D. McCurry (CN=Michael D. McCurry/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Doris O. Matsui (CN=Doris O. Matsui/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julie E. Mason (CN=Julie E. Mason/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jacob J. Lew (CN=Jacob J. Lew/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeanne Lambrew (CN=Jeanne Lambrew/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles Konigsberg (CN=Charles Konigsberg/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas A. Kalil (CN=Thomas A. Kalil/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher C. Jennings (CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Katherine Hubbard (CN=Katherine Hubbard/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nancy V. Hernreich (CN=Nancy V. Hernreich/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lawrence J. Haas (CN=Lawrence J. Haas/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jason S. Goldberg (CN=Jason S. Goldberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Diana Fortuna (CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rahm I. Emanuel (CN=Rahm I. Emanuel/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

**THE HISTORIC KYOTO AGREEMENT:
A CRITICAL FIRST STEP IN A GLOBAL EFFORT TO ADDRESS GLOBAL
WARMING
December 11, 1997**

The Kyoto agreement represents an historic and unprecedented step to reduce the threat of global warming in an environmentally strong and economically sound way. It involves 160 nations, and recognizes the crucial importance of specific steps to address climate change. The leadership offered by the President and Vice President allowed this agreement to be completed -- and generations from now, the agreement will be remembered as establishing the core elements of a strong, realistic, and legally binding framework.

- **Target and Timetable:** The United States agreed to a binding target of reducing greenhouse gas emissions to 7 percent below a baseline based on 1990 levels by 2008-2012. The agreement, however, included a new accounting system -- so that the 7 percent reduction is roughly equivalent to the President's initial proposal.
- **Market-Based:** The agreement reflects an historic emphasis on market-based mechanisms to address an environmental problem. In addition to the Clean Development Mechanism, the agreement includes a framework for emissions trading among industrialized countries -- producing the most efficient means of reducing emissions.
- **Coverage of Agreement:** The agreement covers six greenhouse gases, which had been a priority of the United States but had not been included in the proposals from other major economies. The inclusion of all six gases represents a major U.S. victory in the agreement and ensures that the environment will be protected against all greenhouse gases.
- **Joint Implementation:** The agreement includes a Clean Development Mechanism, that will allow the types of joint implementation projects that will benefit U.S. businesses. The mechanism gives U.S. companies credit for improving energy efficiency in developing countries.
- **Developing Country Participation:** Global warming is a global problem requiring a global solution. We have therefore adopted a two-step process to this problem. This agreement locks in hard commitments by the developed countries, as well as some commitments by the developing countries (such as on the Clean Development Mechanism). But the President has made very clear that the United States would not take on legally binding targets until there is meaningful participation by developing countries. We will be working hard over the coming months and years to secure that

participation.

Automated Records Management System
Hex-Dump Conversion

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Diana Fortuna (CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-DEC-1997 15:11:41.00

SUBJECT: MLK day meeting

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Julie A. Fernandes (CN=Julie A. Fernandes/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

FYI, I hear Steve Goodin has called a meeting tomorrow on MLK Day and the race initiative in his new role working for Sylvia. Race initiative staff will be there. I will go, and hope Julie can, too, but thought you would want to know about it.

The President's comment on our weekly said we should look into the "more creative" ideas that Steve Waldman suggested -- black and white churches working together on drug addiction, or Hispanic and non-Hispanic high schools working on low-income housing renovation. I've asked the Corporation to think about this. He also asks about involving Powell; to do so, we'd probably need a child focus of some sort.

----- Forwarded by Diana Fortuna/OPD/EOP on 12/11/97
03:09 PM -----

Katherine Hubbard
12/11/97 02:36:11 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Stephen B. Silverman/WHO/EOP, Diana Fortuna/OPD/EOP
cc: D. Stephen Goodin/WHO/EOP
Subject: MLK day meeting

There is a meeting tomorrow at 11am in room 180 to discuss options for MLK Day. Can you guys make it?

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: June G. Turner (CN=June G. Turner/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-DEC-1997 15:18:32.00

SUBJECT: Domestic Family Planning Meeting

TO: Roberta W. Greene (CN=Roberta W. Greene/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: FOLEY_M (FOLEY_M @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (WHO)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Katharine Button (CN=Katharine Button/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher C. Jennings (CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Susan M. Liss (CN=Susan M. Liss/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis (CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Audrey T. Haynes (CN=Audrey T. Haynes/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Tania I. Lopez (CN=Tania I. Lopez/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Ruby Shamir (CN=Ruby Shamir/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Aimee M. Malnati (CN=Aimee M. Malnati/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

This meeting will not be on Friday due to scheduling conflicts. It will be rescheduled for Tuesday, December 16 - time to be determined. I will e-mail you with additional information. Please let me know if there are other people to be invited.

There will be a meeting on the above subject with outside groups on Friday, December 12 at 3:00 pm in the Roosevelt Room. Please let me know if you can attend.

Attendees:

- Sylvia Mathews
- Elena Kagan
- Chris Jennings
- Audrey Haynes

Melanne Verveer
Ann Lewis
Martha Foley

I will forward a list of the Outside Folks as soon as I receive it.

Thanks.

**QUESTION AND ANSWER ON
FOOD IRRADIATION
12/11/97**

*Automated Records Management System
Hex-Dump Conversion*

Q. What actions has the Administration done with regard to irradiation of food and why?

A. Earlier this month the FDA approved irradiation of meat products for controlling disease-causing micro-organisms. The approval applies to fresh and frozen red meats such as beef. The USDA will soon publish a proposed implementing rule for companies that want to use irradiation including requirements for appropriate labeling.

FDA's approval was based on a scientific review of a substantial number of studies conducted worldwide on the effects of irradiation on a wide variety of meat products. FDA concluded that irradiation is safe in reducing disease-causing microbes in or on meats, and that it does not compromise the nutritional quality of treated products. During the irradiation process, food products are treated by subjecting them to radiation from radioactive or machine sources, which kills significant numbers of insects, pathogenic bacteria and parasites. FDA's decision does not require irradiation but simply permits it. The extent to which meat will be irradiated depends on decisions made by the industry and consumers.

Irradiation has previously been approved for products such as wheat, poultry, pork, fruits and vegetables and spices. This approval was in response to a petition filed in August 1994 by a company named Isomedix.

**Questions and Answers on the Tobacco
December 11, 1997**

Q: Do you see any signs that Congress will pass your comprehensive tobacco legislation?

A: Yes. There are promising signs that Congress will come together in a bipartisan fashion and pass tobacco legislation in the upcoming year. Shortly after I announced my plan for the reduction of youth smoking we had a meeting with the leaders of both the House and the Senate on this issue -- Republicans and Democrats -- and the response was very positive. Protecting our children is a goal we can all agree on. Several Senators have introduced legislation, and there is a consensus that we have a unique opportunity if we act right now to control teen smoking.

The Vice-President has been working hard building up support for the plan, he has held 3 very successful public forums around the country. He is conducting a fourth forum next week, December 16th, in Seattle.

Of course, this is a complicated issue. It needs to be studied carefully. But we have great hopes that the Administration and Congress can work together to enact bipartisan legislation in the near future.

Q: Can you clarify the Administration's position on the money states have received from tobacco settlements. Are the states required to share that money with the federal government?

A: The states, particularly the state attorneys general, deserve enormous credit for their role in the fight against the tobacco companies. Along with some important work by the FDA and this Administration, they have made alot of progress. But current law is very clear on this subject, states are required to report to the Health Care Financing Administration reimbursements for Medicaid that may be part of any state tobacco settlements. I look forward to working with states and Congress to address this and other issues through tobacco legislation. That legislation will involve a range of allocation questions we will resolve together. But to change the current requirement Congress must enact comprehensive tobacco legislation.

Q: As the tobacco legislation moves through Congress, what are the elements you will not compromise on?

A: When I announced my plan in September, I made clear that this was not about money, it

Automated Records Management System
Hex-Dump Conversion

was about children, and keeping teenagers from smoking. I will not compromise on that. To get the level of smoking reduced, I proposed a plan with five key elements:

- A comprehensive plan to reduce teen smoking, including tough penalties if targets are not met, a public education and counter advertising campaign, and expanded efforts to restrict the access of youth to tobacco products;
- Full authority for the FDA to regulate tobacco products;
- Changes in the way industry does business, including broad document disclosure;
- Progress toward other public health goals, including reduction of second-hand smoke, expansion of smoking cessation programs, strengthening of international efforts, and funding for health research and other health objectives; and
- Protection for tobacco farmers and their communities.

I think we need these elements to have an effective plan.

Q: What will happen if we fail to seize this opportunity?

A: If current trends continue and nothing is done to curtail tobacco use in America, we can expect an additional 25 million painful and premature deaths among currently living Americans, including five million of our children. On average, smokers who die from smoking-related diseases will lose an average 12 years of life, resulting in medical expenditures of \$50 billion per year.

Q: Why has tobacco become such an important issue to this Administration?

A: We've been working on this for two years now. Each day 3000 young people become regular smokers, replacing many of the adult smokers who have quit or died. As the 20th century comes to a close, we need to "right our past wrongs" and bring to a close a century of neglect in dealing with the greatest preventable cause of death in our society. We need to protect our children from being seduced, and then addicted, and finally afflicted. We need to enter the 21st century with policies and programs in place which treat tobacco commensurate with the harm that it causes.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Diana Fortuna (CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-DEC-1997 16:49:25.00

SUBJECT: Children's SSI report

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

It turns out that Ken Apfel has decided to change the content of the children's SSI report -- he wants to review ALL the children that have been denied, not just the groups targeted earlier (mental retardation, low-performing states). We can talk about this if you like. It will be somewhat harder to argue in the media that SSA did a good job if we are re-reviewing everything. Also, the Republicans will not like it, although our response is that we are not changing the standard, which they view as the most important thing. OMB is wondering if this is necessary, and so we are meeting with SSA tomorrow on it. I am not inclined to second guess this decision -- it's their report, this decision is widely known within SSA, and I certainly wouldn't want to be in the position of arguing from here for fewer reviews.

So I'll have to rewrite the memo. The report won't be released until late next week or even the week of Christmas. I'm keeping Sylvia's office informed.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Paul J. Weinstein Jr. (CN=Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-DEC-1997 17:23:30.00

SUBJECT: final draft of initiatives chart

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

----- Forwarded by Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OPD/EOP on
12/11/97 05:23 PM -----

Russell W. Horwitz
12/11/97 02:59:46 PM
Record Type: Record

To: Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OPD/EOP
cc:
Subject: final draft of initiatives chart

here it is. One last thing to look at is where, besides for the community adjustment initiative, funding is already included in the FY 1999 budget.

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS_EXT:[ATTACH.D10]MAIL42414644I.316 to ASCII,
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF57504327190000010A02010000000205000000C286000000020000C3075854817D57FC20806E
9093B218DD07A22D06D0DB818F9919FE416E6E1CFC30663E3B422A4A50C0E0488DC0D58BFC7294
8415B42F1DF7B50A7343FE20ED930FEE95ACA108701DA6AC808C695C73993765AE735D58ED9D71
89B5060DEE208E3321EE88B3F4DF44D24FB659A573A34B393963A7DA60584A6AD3D20B9AB1DC23
FA8B74D5EA7C7ABB4F3B3D0EC4455197D01166577D9F33AC44977936E20E7D2E81BC0CDFD09507
FCFD723F711E2D7DF434FBBA00EA474798ABA0CB38591E0913B27BE2FF4BE4AE7DFAB33E00BE08
6EDD2254ADA17FB6DA412FF337C8D9E47A3E18113EDCA05092C419A6F969FA6860566EC5165674
41D40337DA2642A910ED58C7F84B79397DC219FBE5C28FD55CB05A10B737168AFCCC9CF5D3F823
4152E9C314B69F42607C71735D30C9EE76CC6541594A6010CC91C996F379C62E28B9A037E746D5
E363C041AD29306D587107FA29CAD92317280E4F59F19A1D83AB96F6085BC1C2C8B0C0D5436723
02950D653FC74499A3138B61386306132980F325A88C02B9DDC84472D4BD54EAAD3D3118A06BB9
974EEBBFA7732CC2FC71603DC8E0F51C1FA3B7A4E52B3D1295592DB1A311B68F9D5EF4CA7BDD20
AD892D5701A692633B3FA5A3116CB1728C9DDBA076B49FF8DF9C6935D3D384E46D5F029A42D7F3
5C9632B66D0200A60000000000000000000000823010000000B010000140B000000556C000000
4E0000001F0C0000092501000000060000006D0C00000B300300000028000000730C0000087701
000000400000009B0C000008340100000014000000DB0C00000802010000000F000000EF0C0000
08050100000008000000FE0C00000055690002004E000000060D00000055020000003C00000054

NEW INITIATIVES

<i>PROPOSAL</i>	<i>COST</i>	<i>STATUS</i>
<p>Child Care: Modify the Child and Dependent Care Tax Credit (CDCTC) by raising the top rate from 30 percent (current law) to 50 percent and moving the phase-out range from \$10,000-\$28,000 (current law) to \$30,000-\$59,000. <i>(Mandatory)</i></p>	<p>FY 1999: \$270 million Five-Year: \$5.2 billion</p>	
<p>Child Care: Provide a tax credit to businesses that incur costs related to providing child care services to their employees. <i>(Mandatory)</i></p>	<p>FY 1999: \$637 million (based on JCT costing of Senator Kohl's proposal) Five-Year: \$2.6 billion (based on JCT costing of Senator Kohl's proposal)</p>	
<p>Child Care: Establish the Child Care Provider Scholarship Fund <i>(Discretionary)</i></p>	<p>FY 1999: \$50 million (\$150 million in HHS budget request) Five-Year: \$250 million</p>	
<p>Child Care: Expand the Child Care Apprenticeship Training Program to fund the training of child care providers working toward a degree equivalent to the Child Development Associate degree, with on the job observation and practice. <i>(Discretionary)</i></p>	<p>FY 1999: \$10 million (DOL budget request) Five-Year: \$27 million (DOL budget request)</p>	
<p>Child Care: Establish a Child Care Research and Evaluation Fund to support data and research and technology development and utilization. <i>(Discretionary)</i></p>	<p>FY 1999: \$50 million (HHS budget request) Five Year: \$250 million</p>	
<p>Child Care: Establish an Early Learning and Quality Fund to provide challenge grants to communities for early learning and parent involvement activities. <i>(Discretionary)</i></p>	<p>FY 1999: \$200 to \$400 million (\$800 million in HHS budget request) Five-Year: Approximately \$2 billion</p>	
<p>Child Care: Increase the Early Head Start (children 0-3) set-aside (5 percent under current law), while increasing overall funding in Head Start to ensure that boosting the</p>	<p>FY 1999: \$30 million</p>	

set-aside does not reduce the resources available for children 3-5. <i>(Discretionary)</i>	Five-Year: \$500 million (based on NEC option to double Early Head Start set-aside)	
Education: Expand the 21st Century Community Learning Center Program to provide start-up funds to additional school-community partnerships to establish before- and after-school programs for school-age children at public schools. <i>(Discretionary)</i>	FY 1999: \$100 million (\$400 million in DOE request) Five Year: \$500 million	
Education: Establish a demonstration project for states to test innovative approaches to assisting parents who to stay at home with their children. <i>(Discretionary)</i>	FY 1999: N/A Five Year: N/A	
Education: Education Opportunity Zones -- This proposal would designate from 20 to 40 urban rural school districts as Education Opportunity Zones. High-poverty school districts would be eligible for funds if they adopt tough reform measures and show real improvements over time in student achievements. <i>(Discretionary)*</i>	FY 1999: \$320 million Five Year: \$1.1 billion	Memo submitted into POTUS on 12/6/97.
Education: School/College Partnership -- A grant program to promote strong partnerships between colleges and high-poverty middle and high schools, with the goal of enabling more youth to go on to college. This initiative would encourage colleges to adopt the Eugene Lang model for helping disadvantaged youngsters. <i>(Discretionary)*</i>	FY 1999: \$300 million Five Year: \$2.9 billion	Memo submitted into POTUS on 12/6/97.
Education: Hispanic Education Initiative -- A plan to improve educational opportunities for Hispanic Americans, with goal of decreasing current disparity in dropout rates. Includes a number of administrative actions, as well as targeted investments in programs for migrant, adult, and bilingual education. <i>(Discretionary)</i>	FY 1999: \$153 million Five Year: \$765 million	Memo submitted into POTUS on 12/6/97.
Education: Indian Education Initiative. <i>(Discretionary)</i>	FY 1999: \$75 million Five Year: \$375 million	Memo submitted into POTUS on 12/9/97.
Education: Technology Teacher Training -- options include (1) expanding various innovation grants to ensure that within four years, all new teachers will be ready to use educational technology, or (2) using the Technology Literacy Challenge Fund to train and certify at least one "master teacher" in every school.	FY 1999: \$100 million Five Year: \$500 million	Memo submitted into POTUS on 12/6/97.

<i>(Discretionary)</i>		
Education: Learning on Demand -- An initiative, related to some of Governor Romer's ideas, to encourage the use of technology (e.g., the internet, CD-ROM, interactive TV) for lifelong learning. Will begin the process of giving all Americans "anytime, anywhere" access to affordable and high-quality learning opportunities. <i>(Discretionary)</i>	FY 1999: \$50 million Five Year: \$250 million	Memo submitted into POTUS on 12/6/97.
Education: Class Size Reduction Initiative -- This is a five-year initiative to increase early reading by reducing class size in grades 1 and 2 to a maximum of 18. <i>(Mandatory)</i>	FY 1999: \$615 million Five Year: \$9.2 billion	Memo submitted into POTUS on 12/6/97.
Education: School Construction -- An initiative to address the problem of the crumbling school infrastructure. <i>(Mandatory or Tax)</i>	FY 1999: Five Year:	Memo submitted into POTUS on 12/6/97.
Civil Rights Enforcement: The initiative involves EEOC and six agencies who have jurisdiction of civil rights enforcement. Funds will be used for activities such as alternative dispute resolution, increased compliance targeting, improved technology and data collection, and reduction in case backlog. <i>(Discretionary Spending)</i>	FY 1999: \$106 million Five Year: N/A	Memo submitted into POTUS on 12/9/97
Crime: Community-Based Prosecutors & Justice -- A five year competitive grant program to increase the number of local prosecutors interacting directly with communities and to encourage local prosecutors to reorient their emphasis from "assembly line" processing of cases to solving specific crime and disorder problems in their communities. <i>(Discretionary Spending)</i>	FY 1999: \$100 Million Five-Year: \$500 Million	Memo submitted into POTUS on 12/6/97.
Health Care: Medicare -- Pre-65 Coverage initiative. Addressing growing concerns about coverage for the pre-65 population, options are being developed to enhance access to health care through Medicare and/or COBRA. This initiative also would help lay the foundation for reforms to extend the Medicare eligibility age from 65 to 67. <i>(Mandatory)</i>	FY 1999: Up to \$1 billion Five-Year: Up to \$5 billion	
Health Care: Medicare -- Clinical Cancer Trials Coverage. This initiative would allow Medicare to pay for high quality cancer clinical trials giving beneficiaries access to some of the most cutting-edge treatments, which offers the potential to expedite	FY 1999: \$200 to \$400 million	

new treatments for cancer. <i>(Mandatory)</i>	Five-Year: \$1.7 billion to \$2 billion	
Health Care: Private Long-Term Care Options -- This initiative would build on new information that Medicare provides to beneficiaries on their choice of health plans by directing programs to include information regarding long-term care options. <i>(Mandatory)</i>	FY 1999: \$5 to \$50 million Five-Year: \$25 million to \$300 million	
Health Care: Children's Health Outreach -- Addressing the fact that the \$24 billion children's investment did not provide enhanced resources for states to target 3 million eligible but not enrolled in Medicaid, this proposal would provide incentives for states to do a greater job of outreach to these population through a series of school-based and child care based outreach activities. <i>(Mandatory)</i>	Five-Year: \$1 to \$2 billion	
Health Care: Workers Between Jobs Demonstration -- Addressing the insecurities of the workforce in a transitional job market, this policy -- consistent with your last two budgets but downsized into a demo -- would provide temporary premium assistance to workers between jobs. <i>(Mandatory)</i>	FY 1999: \$250 to \$500 million Five-Year: \$0.5 to \$3 billion	
Health Care: Voluntary Purchasing Cooperatives -- To address the fact that small business employers and employees still face great difficulties in obtaining affordable health care coverage, this initiative would provide competitively awarded grants to states to establish <u>voluntary purchasing cooperatives</u> . <i>(Mandatory)</i>	FY 1999: \$10 to \$20 million Five-Year: \$50 to \$100 million	
Health Care: National Institutes of Health Budget -- Recognizing the great potentials of the age of biology and the potential to develop new treatments and cures for costly diseases, this proposal would substantially increase resources at the NIH to expedite progress in the areas with the most potential. <i>(Mandatory)</i>	FY 1999: \$1 billion Five-Year: \$10 to \$15 billion	
Health Care: Race and Health Initiative -- This proposal contains a number of public health education and prevention efforts to reduce extreme racial disparities in health care. <i>(Discretionary)</i>	FY 1999: \$100 million Five-Year: N/A	Memo submitted into POTUS on 12/9/97
Health Care: AIDS Spending -- This initiative would increase funds for prevention, treatment, and education for people with HIV/AIDS	FY 1999: \$115 million	Memo submitted into POTUS on

<i>(Discretionary)</i>	Five-Year: N/A	12/9/97
Housing/Welfare: Welfare to Work Housing Vouchers -- A proposal for 50,000 new housing vouchers to assist welfare recipients who must relocate in order to find employment, as well as to help address the shortage of affordable housing. <i>(Mandatory)</i>	FY 1999: \$100 to \$300 million Five-Year: \$1.3 billion	Memo submitted into POTUS on 12/6/97.
Housing: Raise the cap on the Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) -- A proposal to partially offset the loss of the credit's value since 1986 because of inflation and population growth. <i>(Mandatory)</i>	FY 1999: \$120 million Five-Year: \$600 million	Memo submitted into POTUS on 12/6/97.
Housing: Homeownership Initiative -- A play-by-the-rules homeownership proposal to provide assistance to families who have paid their rent on time but have some impediment to buying their own home. <i>(Discretionary)</i>	FY 1999: \$30 million Five-Year: \$150 million	
Labor/Jobs: Child Labor Initiative -- A comprehensive Child Labor Action Plan, anchored by a \$150 million commitment to the International Program on the Elimination of Child Labor (IPEC) -- a voluntary program of the International Labour Organization which is dedicated to the elimination of child labor. <i>(Discretionary)</i>	FY 1999: \$84 million Five-Year: \$420.5 million	Memo submitted into POTUS on 12/9/97
Labor/Jobs: Unemployment Insurance -- This initiative would expand coverage to as many as 450,000 workers, many low-wage; and save the Federal government billions of dollars during the next recession by establishing a better trigger for extended Unemployment Insurance benefits. The proposal would also address the administrative financing problems of the current system. <i>(Mandatory)</i>	OMB and Labor are working on a technical budget solution that would make this entire package of proposals revenue-neutral. FY 1999: \$0 to \$164 million Five-Year: \$0 to \$264 million	
Labor/Jobs: Community and Economic Adjustment Initiative --As part of Fast Track debate, we proposed creation of the Office of Community and Economic Adjustment (OCEA). This office will be modeled after the DoD's Office of Economic Adjustment (OEA) -- the Administration's first point of contact with communities experiencing a military base closure or defense plant closing. The	FY 1999: \$50 million Five-Year: \$250 million	Memo submitted into POTUS on 12/9/97

<p>OCEA would coordinate the Administration's response to regions impacted by a major plant closing or trade, by working with Labor, Commerce, SBA, HUD, Treasury, and other government entities. This group would provide planning grants and expertise to help communities develop comprehensive economic adjustment strategies. <i>(Discretionary)</i></p>	<p>* Already included in FY 1999 budget</p>	
<p>Pensions: An expanded pension coverage initiative that focuses on a simplified defined benefit plan for small businesses, based on the SAFE plan proposed by the American Society of Pension Actuaries (ASPA). Also looking at a payroll deduction IRA proposal, faster (three-year) vesting requirement for employer matching contributions in 401(k) plans, encouraging automatic enrollment in 401(k) plans, a tax credit for small businesses to promote establishment of retirement plans, and a pension right-to-know proposal. <i>(Revenue Loss)</i></p>	<p>Five-Year: \$860 million</p> <p>The only potential new pension proposal with a significant revenue impact is the simplified defined benefit plan, the only element about which there is not consensus. In addition, there are pension items previously proposed by the Administration such as non-discrimination rule changes that also have a budgetary impact.</p>	

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Robin Leeds to Elena Kagan, et al. Subject: Meeting with Childcare Advocates [partial] (4 pages)	12/11/1997	P6/b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Automated Records Management System (Email)
WHO ([Kagan])
OA/Box Number: 500000

FOLDER TITLE:

[12/10/1997 - 12/11/1997]

2009-1006-F

ke724

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Robin Leeds (CN=Robin Leeds/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-DEC-1997 18:43:52.00

SUBJECT: Meeting with Childcare Advocates - 12/12 5pm

TO: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Audrey T. Haynes (CN=Audrey T. Haynes/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer L. Klein (CN=Jennifer L. Klein/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria Echaveste (CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gene B. Sperling (CN=Gene B. Sperling/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Barbara D. Woolley (CN=Barbara D. Woolley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Maureen T. Shea (CN=Maureen T. Shea/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Ann T. Eder (CN=Ann T. Eder/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Miriam H. Vogel (CN=Miriam H. Vogel/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Peter A. Weissman (CN=Peter A. Weissman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Attached is a list of attendees for the 12/12 meeting with childcare advocates. We are also faxing you a letter and set of recommendations for a Bi-Partisan Child Care Initiative sent to POTUS on 10/20/97 from a coalition of childcare advocates. Please review this document in preparation for the 12/12 meeting with childcare advocates. An agenda is in process and we will send it once it is completed. Thanks.===== ATT
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS_EXT:[ATTACH.D58]MAIL40918644R.316 to ASCII,
The following is a HEX DUMP:

P6/(b)(6)

[001]

WHITE HOUSE WOMEN'S OFFICE
CHILD CARE ADVOCATES MEETING

December 12, 1997

5:00 PM - 6:00 PM

Room 180, Old Executive Office Building

Name/Organization	D.O.B/SS#/Country	Phone Number	R.S.V.P.
Yasmina Vinci National Association of Child Care Resource and Referral	P6(b)(6)(s)		Yes
Sammie Moshenberg National Council on Jewish Women			Yes
Barabara Willer National Association for Education of Young Children			Yes
Judy Appelbaum WLC			Yes
Karen Nussbaum AFL-CIO			Yes
Nancy Duff Cambell National Women's Law Center			Yes
Nancy Zirkin			Yes

[0013]

Name/Organization	D.O.B/SS#/Country	Phone Number	R.S.V.P.
AAUW	Pe/(b)(6)		
Joan Entmacher WLDF			Out of office today; assistant also out
Pat Reuss NOW LDF			Out of town until Monday
Lynn White National Child Care Association			No
Nancy Granese Hogan & Hartson			Left Message
Sharon Daly Catholic Charities			Yes
Nancy Wisdo U.S. Catholic Conference			Yes
Nicole Poersch, surrogate for Helen Blank Children's Defense Fund			Yes
Carabelle Pizzagatti Child Welfare League			No
Mary Cooper National Council of Churches			Yes

Name/Organization	D.O.B./SS#/Country	Phone Number	R.S.V.P.
	USA		
Faith Wohl Childcare Action Campaign	P6/(b)(6)		Will try to rearrange schedule
Carolyn York, surrogate for Kathy Colette AFSCME			Carolyn will probably come
Gloria Johnson Coalition of Labor Union Women			No
Elena Kagan DPC		6-5584	Yes
Maria Echaveste OPL		6-6594	Yes
Jen Klein DPC		6-2599	Will try
Nicole Rabner DPC		6-6266	Yes
Gene Sperling NEC		6-2620	Yes
Joan Lombardi HHS/Childcare Bureau		P6/(b)(6)	Out until Monday
Audrey Tayse Haynes Women's Office		6-7300	Yes

Name/Organization	D.O.B/SS#/Country	Phone Number	R.S.V.P.
Robin Leeds Women's Office		6-7300	Yes

Automated Records Management System
Hex-Dump Conversion

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (ALL-IN-1 MAIL)

CREATOR: Jose Cerda III (CERDA_J) (WHO)

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-DEC-1997 19:42:13.76

SUBJECT: Power Grab II -- Bruce for your SOTU package

TO: Michelle Crisci (CRISCI_M) Autoforward to: Remote Address
READ:NOT READ

TO: Bruce N. Reed (REED_B) Autoforward to: Remote Addressee
READ:NOT READ

TO: Elena Kagan (KAGAN_E) Autoforward to: Remote Adresse
READ:NOT READ

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (SHIMABUKUR_L) Autoforward to: Remote Add
READ:NOT READ

TO: Julie A. Fernandes (FERNANDES_J) Autoforward to: Remote Addr
READ:NOT READ

TEXT:

Date: 12/11/97 Time: 19:08

US to unveil five-year plan to end drug trade along Mexican border
MIAMI, Florida, Dec 11 (AFP) - The US government will unveil a detailed
plan next month to drastically curb drug-trafficking along its border
with Mexico within the next five years, officials said Thursday.
The initiative will be officially announced on January 27, during
President Bill Clinton's State of the Union speech to Congress.
Still, White House officials were willing to share a few early details
about the plan.

"We're going to try and stop drug smuggling into the United States
across the Mexican-US border in the next five years, substantially stop
it, while still allowing our second biggest trading partner to continue
economic cooperation," said US drug "czar", retired general Barry
McCaffrey.

Each year, millions of people cross the US border with Mexico, which
stretches some 3,000 kilometers (1,860 miles.)

But the US southern border also has become one of the country's main
points of entry for illegal drugs, McCaffrey said, pointing out that it
is "the biggest open border in the world."

"There's nothing like it anywhere on the face of the Earth," McCaffrey
observed.

McCaffrey said details about the anti-drug program would be made public
next month, but said one idea under consideration is to employ new
X-ray technology that can peer into the interior of metal, and even
concrete receptacles, which might conceal illicit drugs.

"We said, 'let's use them on trucks, let's use them on rail cars.' They
work. They absolutely work," McCaffrey said.

chz/sg/pfm

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Thomas L. Freedman (CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-DEC-1997 19:56:42.00

SUBJECT: OSHA and Tobacco

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Mary L. Smith (CN=Mary L. Smith/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

OSHA is tentatively set to by at 4:00 pm Monday to brief on what they have to say about indoor air quality and tobacco. They are doing this in advance of going to brief Chafee who has requested technical assistance in preparation for a January hearing. If either of you want to come and this is a bad time, let me know. Otherwise I'll report back...

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jennifer M. Palmieri (CN=Jennifer M. Palmieri/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-DEC-1997 19:56:57.00

SUBJECT: MLK Day Events

TO: Michael Wenger (CN=Michael Wenger/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul E. Begala (CN=Paul E. Begala/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: D. Stephen Goodin (CN=D. Stephen Goodin/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andrew J. Mayock (CN=Andrew J. Mayock/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stacie Spector (CN=Stacie Spector/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Katherine Hubbard (CN=Katherine Hubbard/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lynn G. Cutler (CN=Lynn G. Cutler/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Judith A. Winston (CN=Judith A. Winston/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis (CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria Echaveste (CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Richard Socarides (CN=Richard Socarides/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eleanor S. Parker (CN=Eleanor S. Parker/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nelson Reyneri (CN=Nelson Reyneri/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Susan M. Liss (CN=Susan M. Liss/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jake Siewert (CN=Jake Siewert/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet Murguia (CN=Janet Murguia/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stephen B. Silverman (CN=Stephen B. Silverman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Audrey M. Hutchinson (CN=Audrey M. Hutchinson/OU=PIR/O=EOP @ EOP [PIR])
 READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ruby Shamir (CN=Ruby Shamir/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
 READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Terri J. Tingen (CN=Terri J. Tingen/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
 READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Minyon Moore (CN=Minyon Moore/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
 READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Sylvia M. Mathews (CN=Sylvia M. Mathews/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
 READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Ansley Jones (CN=Ansley Jones/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
 READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Marjorie Tarmey (CN=Marjorie Tarmey/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
 READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Patricia Solis-Doyle (CN=Patricia Solis-Doyle/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
 READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Stephen Goodin and I cordially invite you to a meeting tomorrow at noon Friday in Room 180 to discuss MLK Day events.

While the primary purpose of the meeting will be to discuss the President's participation in MLK Day events, we would also like to hear about plans for the PIR Board Members, Cabinet members and the other Principals.

Lynn, Janet, and Ben -- it would be helpful if you would come prepared to discuss particular proposals (if any) members of your respective constituencies would like to have considered.

I apologize for the late notice of the meeting. Please let me know if you are not able to make it. If it is difficult for folks to attend the meeting, we will reschedule for Monday.

An agenda for the meeting follows:

- I) Message Requirements for the Day (Ann Lewis)
 - Race Town Hall on MLK
 - Policy Announcements (DPC/NEC)
- II) Schedule/Logistical Issues (Jennifer Palmieri)
 - Limitations on Travel for 1/15 and 1/19
 - Past POTUS participation on MLK Day
- III) Discussion of Proposals
 - Rev. Jackson/Wall Street (Minyon Moore)
 - Lincoln Memorial (Bibb Hubbard/Stephen Silverman)
 - "Day On" Service (Bibb Hubbard/Stephen Silverman)
 - Requests from Elected Officials (Janet Murguia, Cutler)

Lynn

WH created event

- IV) Surrogate Activities
 - PIR Board Plans (Judy Winston)
 - Cabinet Plans (Bibb Hubbard/Stephen Silverman)
 - Other Principals

If you have any questions or suggested changes to the agenda please let me on Stephen Goodin know.

Thanks to all.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-DEC-1997 21:05:33.00

SUBJECT: INS reform options

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Julie A. Fernandes (CN=Julie A. Fernandes/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Attached is a memo briefly summarizing reform proposals and an outline of the options, considered broadly. This needs further fleshing out, but it's something to get you started. Let us know what else would be useful for you.

Thanks.

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS_EXT: [ATTACH.D96]MAIL42576744E.316 to ASCII,
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF57504348070000010A020100000002050000000B4470000000200005A277BB6A6B0B36DA79A90
9BDDA470191A214262D33266CE9F4D0DAFA19E85B93087461FE0B06998F6967EE109DF09EE62CD
DAF7A6E01D7CDCDE3CD76D568153CCBEEF54304F1CF7CC6A71F3FCD668E47092F0702960C70DBD
0AB818492FAA9313C060F7AD049349C2ADE3863F52FEF12B64B68193FA7860BC14626AA45533F7
592D45B646EDC775EB9DEB0DEEF573F6ABCE106D3E293F9364F29FDECE1CDB44B833BBAEF2DA8D
3A0B7452EE6411E1910A476BAC54D0E76DB3E2CA0EA10087962BAC26E0DF16E44A2EE5E3CC6726
6B11EC6833A79EFF2DECD9038728E994BA5510A12B74AF0894BC0FFFE75EB5CAE078D7AB262A23
AB8CCB176316EEDAE3A6086C694120149A540E7DD2EE85B189E8ED26F6BC85F1751F8F392954F5
4E9A823C433322ABC960D8458319E3BCBD64C49BB22C82A3728A56DEA2F874CA306CE2EFBB747A
615582AA2A19B9B44AD465286C76D5A43E0EA64EB609F5CC60E3BC0E625D952D8C0DBC99F2DCA8
B62A3778B4F5C30655C214570D0DCD566AA2A7F5F0A69A085EBAD88FF928A263B5FB8B362BF9A7
04955A5BF961C8CAD4CDBBD0F0EA4A2F33A01736E4DD47864FB5275FD5D5E5BB5F44444A908890
401FCD2A6D3E0AC5801023C2B20E5A9173FE98656DC849A746AFE455D7BFD6CA8BDCDCF1E4AAED
9FC01904FF02002B0000000000000000000000000000000823010000000B0100005A04000005501000000
4E0000006505000009250100000006000000B30500000B300300000028000000B9050000087701
00000040000000E10500000834010000001400000021060000080201000000F00000035060000
0608010000002D000000440600000045010000002000000710600000B30010000006C00000073
0600000B300100000044000000DF06000008050100000008000000230700000000000000000000
00002307000000000000000000000000002307000000000000000000000000002307000000000000
00000000002307000000000000000000000000000000230700000000000000000000000000230700
000000000000000000230700000000000000000000000000002307000000000000000000000000000
230700230700000000000000000000000000
00000023070000000000000000000000002307000000000000000000000000000000230700000000
000000000023070000000000000000000000000000002307000000000000000000000000002307
00000000000000000023070000000000000000000000000000230700000000000000000000000000
0023070000000000000000000000000000230700

MEMORANDUM

TO: Elena Kagan
FROM: Julie A. Fernandes
Leanne A. Shimabukuro
DATE: December 11, 1997
RE: Options for INS Reform

Automated Records Management System
Hex-Dump Conversion

We have done a very brief summary of the major INS reform proposals and provided an outline of the broad options which integrates aspects of the different proposals (as well as other informal suggestions that were made during our meetings) as a thinkpiece for you.

PROPOSAL SUMMARIES

Commission on Immigration Reform. The Commission on Immigration Reform (CIR) proposes significant structural reform to immigration operations. In its final report, the CIR proposed to eliminate the INS and restructure the immigration system into four main operations:

- **Immigration Enforcement:** All immigration enforcement (e.g., Border Patrol, inspectors, investigators) at both the border and the interior would be moved into a newly created Bureau of Immigration Enforcement and the Department of Justice.
- **Immigration Services:** The Department of State would assume responsibility for adjudication of all immigration-related applications, including immigrant, limited duration admissions (e.g., tourist, student visas), asylum/refugees and naturalizations. All immigration functions would fall under the jurisdiction of a new Undersecretary for Citizenship, Immigration and Refugee Admissions.
- **Worksite Enforcement:** All responsibility for enforcement of immigration-related standards for employers would be housed at the Department of Labor. This would include enforcing employer sanctions against employers who fail to verify I-9 forms for work authorization and monitoring compliance with employer attestations to sponsor skill-based immigrants.
- **Immigration Review:** An independent body within the Executive Branch would be created to hear appeals of immigration-related administrative decisions, including removal (e.g., deportation) hearings. Decisions by the appellate body would be binding over the Executive Branch. Only the federal courts and Congress would have the authority to reverse.

Reyes Bill

- The Reyes proposed legislation, "The Border Security Enforcement Act of 1997," would make a significant structural change to INS by putting its entire enforcement component into a newly-created agency within the Department of Justice. The Director of the new Office of Enforcement and Border Affairs would report directly to the Attorney General. All enforcement, including Border Patrol, detention, deportations, inspections, intelligence, and investigations, would be moved to the new agency. INS would continue all remaining non-enforcement functions.

INS' Reorganization Proposal. The INS proposal would reorganize their existing structure and contains various management reforms.

- **Separation of Enforcement and Services:** The INS plan is focused on reengineering their delivery of services and moving toward a customer-service orientation. To this end, the INS plan would create a clearer delineation between enforcement and service functions by physically separating the functions at the district office level. Services staff and enforcement staff would be trained separately. This would also mean that individuals seeking services from INS would never come into physical contact with enforcement officers when entering district offices.
- **Infrastructure Improvements:** The INS plan focuses on making significant enhancements to technology, including the centralization and automation of INS records, in order to improve both delivery of services and law enforcement needs.
- **Management Reforms:** The INS plan would overhaul career development systems, and address longstanding pay issues to improve standards of professionalism within the Service.

OMB Proposal. The OMB proposal, similar to the INS proposal, retains current INS functions within the Service.

- **Separation of Enforcement and Services:** The OMB proposal would reorganize INS and create two Executive Associate Commissioners (EACs): one for enforcement and one for services and benefits. The EACs would report directly to the Deputy Commissioner. The Enforcement EAC would be responsible for all of INS' enforcement agents -- which OMB recommends merging into one uniform service and a white collar investigate service (CIR proposal). The EAC for Services would be responsible for regional service centers, foreign operations for refugees/asylees and all existing district office operations.
- **INS Headquarters Reorganization:** Headquarters would be focused on policy, strategic planning and management, budget, and compliance with policy and procedures.

OPTIONS OUTLINE

As the outline below reveals, there are many ways that the various proposals could be combined.

We have used three very broad categories as a guide to thinking about the issues: reforms within INS; reforms that involve changes within the Department of Justice structure; and reforms that impact other agencies.

I. Reform that would keep all functions within INS.

A. Separate enforcement from services -- from field up to Headquarters.

1. Create two Deputy Commissioners, with two separate lines of authority and chains of command: one with responsibility for enforcement and the other for services.
 - a. Could organize the enforcement side more like a police department: start as a border patrol agent (beat cop), move up to an investigator (detective) and further potential to move up in the chain of command to Headquarters.
 - i. Would address the concern that the border patrol agents are too isolated from the rest of enforcement, which creates the perception of lack of accountability.
 - ii. Could create a more substantial career path for agents, resulting in lower turnover and greater professionalism.
 - b. Would create a direct line of authority between Headquarters and district offices, providing for greater accountability that many say is lacking under the current system.
 - c. Would allow for the creation of a more regional model for location of all enforcement resources (i.e., Southwest Border emphasis) and a more community-based model for services.
2. Create two Executive Associate Commissioners-- one for services and one for enforcement. Resulting stovepipe structure for all enforcement and service functions could be same as above but would merge at the Deputy Commissioner's office.

B. Separate enforcement from services at district office level only.

1. Split enforcement from service functions at the field level, though keep the functions integrated at the regional office level and above.
2. This option is favored by INS.

In addition, under either model, could better integrate the Border Patrol in the chain of command within enforcement, to address the issue of increasing alienation of the Border Patrol from the rest of INS. Also, could move law enforcement within INS up to the level (training, pay, etc.) of other law enforcement agencies with the DOJ. In addition, under either model could re-cast inspections as an enforcement function.

II. Reform that would keep all functions within the DOJ, but move some out of INS.

A. Move enforcement from INS to Main Justice

1. Would better integrate the Border patrol into the Justice enforcement structure, and may result in improved professionalism and retention.
2. Would require costly changes in salary structure.
3. John Morton from DOJ informed us that Justice is generally reluctant to create another law enforcement sub-agency. Other Justice law enforcement agencies (e.g., FBI, DEA) may also resist.

B. Create a high-level person at the Department of Justice to handle immigration (e.g., an Assistant Attorney General for Immigration).

1. Could help make DOJ more institutionally responsive to immigration issues.
2. INS argues this would actually weaken stature of immigration function since Commissioner now reports directly to the Deputy Attorney General.

C. Consolidate administrative review within EOIR at Justice.

1. Under the current system, there are several discreet administrative review functions being performed by INS and Labor.

III. Reforms that impact other agencies

Any of the following reforms but the first could be done in conjunction with a

restructuring within the INS or within DOJ.

A. State Department

1. The creation of an Undersecretary for Migration at the State Department who would head an office in charge of virtually all immigration services -- visas, adjustment of status, naturalization, labor certification, etc.
 - a. Strongly opposed by advocates, INS, and DOJ.
 - b. Strong reservations raised by State Department.
2. State Department to perform all of the refugee applications overseas.
 - a. Strongly opposed by advocates. Apparently, it has been a long fight to get State out of this process, because of years of politicization (see, e.g., Central Americans).
3. Scale back the Department of State's role in the processing of petitions for non-immigrant visas.
 - a. Under the current system, State often re-adjudicates the application instead of just checking Interpol and possible foreign policy concerns. Instead, INS could control the process, but consult with State for the limited purpose of international checks.

B. Labor Department

1. Empower Labor to sanction employers for I-9 violations, rather than simply referring to INS, where there is little follow through.
 - a. Concern about protections for undocumented workers who report labor violations.
 - b. Concern that fear of deportation could chill the reporting of poor labor standards, which adversely affects all workers.
2. Move labor certification out of the Labor Department to INS (or State, if they are handling naturalization and visas).
 - a. Many criticize Labor for taking too long to process a labor certification, which then forces employers to hire workers with

temporary visas until the paperwork is completed.

- b. Labor believes that the link between employment-based immigration and the labor market supports the continued use of some sort of labor market test in deciding whether an employer is permitted to import a foreign worker.
3. Consolidate all non-immigrant visa programs and give them all a set of labor standards that the Department of Labor could enforce.
- a. Could include making legal hiring a labor standard, enforced by the DOL as part of their general review of employers for compliance with other labor standards (minimum wage laws; equal pay for equal work; etc.)
- C. Create an independent agency to handle all administrative appeals.
- 1. According to John Morton, strongly opposed by the DOJ. They do not want to diminish the Executive's adjudicative and policy-making role.
- D. Remove INS from the process of determining employment-based immigration (leaving decision to Labor and State).
- E. Create a permanent office at the White House to handle the various migration issues on a more global policy level.

Automated Records Management System
Hex-Dump Conversion

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jose Cerda III (CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-DEC-1997 22:34:36.00

SUBJECT: For Monday's Press Conference

TO: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
Suggested Q/A for Monday on tonight's wire story. EK should look at this to make sure I've hit the right tone. I'm not sure I have. jc3

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:
Unable to convert ARMS_EXT: [ATTACH.D96]MAIL41291844K.316 to ASCII,
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF575043B0040000010A020100000002050000008611000000020000006ABED7FF4D444EF7A3FE8
617FC28C71D49EF838E25FF9E1331A1A93307977BEE24F30B5D8DDB6D948B4AA1C44CDD379B697
1D2A2747D338827072D4508D5A761BECACEC48C64044D3A57BE68AEB1736D69F4050FF23D74DBC
FAFB268A58592A168BA17AA0957A71FBB9661BFD4F56A19DCA4E66607DADA0A016C7E0652CF71D
E6F76EC01E0017760DE384C54BE8FC47AE364ECE19A5E16B53798ACDE971F958D50392DF09A56E
E2B55C70580AB6C83048B4B17B59A8713F9F2F8509BD388D96B7161BD5DB96C4084D6DB4DEF988
4F0EF7D18CA6D3FF4D8A2E15D3BAAD124DE68545FECEE22ABD5FB644EAB5D26EE56DAF05E854AD
72B3A2878DA54810969246216BEC69ACF33CEDD9D9617E57123A80CBB38B3543F96CEC068F4443
408724FAC4DA501F658B12D289F1DDB233B95E94C4C796A945D936DCBA96AD7E85849343EBC5BB
2C0F822DEB161079DEF237695E17A6EC4C9E96B22A7954285264EBB32BF99DC95030A5AAF89100
1317ECEA0D258CB05387551B15C074F08F98188506BF8B76DCF11D5A1079F9EA1CABBF91917D82
FA5FE1E06A941506A0083016F91AAE9701DBAF7503AF6F1980A98C90BF629F376B3350415697C5
6CA05FE261A2792BFC53C5937CBF421520B30FB6E89814B320B3B5AB8FAF6248B71E08EE9D4D58
24F3D656FE02000A0000000000000000000000000823010000000B0100008C020000005501000000
4E0000009703000009250100000006000000E50300000B300200000028000000EB030000081601
000000320000001304000008770100000040000000450400000834010000001400000085040000
0802010000000F0000009904000008050100000008000000A804000000984C006F00630061006C
0020004800500020003500
00
C800C800F000F000F000F000C800C8003000
00
00
00
00
00
0B010000103600540069006D006500730020004E0065007700200052006F006D0061006E002000
52006500670075006C0061007200
00
00
4F00470053005C00570050005C005300540041004E0044004100520044002E0057005000540000
000A0000005A03020002005B0302007B035C03020002005D03010045005E03010002005F030100
440060030100020061030100440062030100450063030D006581F7A26422000000000000000000
000000000000000008337C0078000102000063030000030100040002000000DD0A10008301040003

Southwest Border Drug Initiative

Background:

For several months, General McCaffrey has been arguing for a comprehensive effort to stem the flow of drugs coming across the Southwest Border. Based on his site visits to many of the 39 points of entry (POEs) along the Southwest Border, McCaffrey has argued for improved management and increased resources to support the "rule of law" along the border. In brief, McCaffrey's recommendations include: (1) improved accountability by establishing a "Border Czar" and appointing an "in-charge" federal official at each POE; (2) improving infrastructure along the Southwest Border; (3) expanding cooperation with Mexico; and (4) improving law enforcement and intelligence coordination. After outlining these recommendations to the Chief of Staff this week, General McCaffrey announced to the press that the Administration would be releasing a 5-year Southwest Border strategy as part of the State of the Union.

Q: Mr. President, this past week General McCaffrey announced that you would be announcing a new strategy for the Southwest Border in your State of the Union Address. Is this true and, if so, can you tell us a little bit about this proposed strategy?

A: This summer, General McCaffrey and other officials spent a considerable amount of time on a fact-finding tour of the Southwest Border. He has since briefed me and key Members of Congress on his findings. In short, he points out that our Southwest Border is one of the largest and most active borders in the world, and that its getting even busier. Tens of millions of cars and trucks cross every year, as do hundreds of millions of people -- and billions of dollars in two-way trade. And this increased traffic makes our drug control efforts more important than ever.

This Administration has already dramatically increased the federal presence at the border. We have doubled the number of Border Patrol agents from 3,000 to 6,000 and added other law enforcement resources. But even more needs to be done.

General McCaffrey has already initiated an exhaustive review of our intelligence architecture. Working with the Departments of Justice and the Treasury, he is looking for ways to improve and better coordinate our intelligence efforts. But we need to look at other ways to support the rule of the law at the border -- increased accountability, better law enforcement coordination and improved technological capabilities. These are all issues we are considering in the budget process and in the development of our National Drug Control Strategy, and I expect we will come forward with recommendations and improvements throughout the year.