

NLWJC - KAGAN

EMAILS RECEIVED

ARMS - BOX 077 - FOLDER -006

[05/27/1998 - 05/29/1998]

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Sarah A. Bianchi (CN=Sarah A. Bianchi/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:27-MAY-1998 21:37:50.00

SUBJECT: press paper

TO: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS_EXT:[ATTACH.D38]MAIL43364674E.126 to ASCII,
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF575043FA040000010A02010000000205000000911A000000020000CE9C81ED19DC43347C5E86
AA8CC1850A9737EFBA5B0C7E111524442907A5E7D8D2923CAE6839DF65C6A9EE5AF1B1B1024B

**PRESIDENT CLINTON RELEASES STATE-BY-STATE REPORT THAT
UNDERScores IMPORTANCE OF A FEDERALLY-ENFORCEABLE
PATIENTS' BILL OF RIGHTS FOR WOMEN AND RENEWS CALL ON CONGRESS TO
PASS LEGISLATION THIS YEAR**

May 28, 1998

Today, the President is releasing a state-by-state report that documents why 122 million Americans cannot be assured access to all the patient protections recommended by the President's Advisory Commission on Consumer Protection and Quality even if every state enacted all of these protections into law. This report also underscores the importance of the patients' bill of rights for women. In releasing this report, the President renewed his call on Congress to pass a Federally-enforceable patients' bill of rights before they adjourn this year.

Millions of Americans Do Not Have the Patient Protections Recommended by the Quality Commission. The Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA) is frequently used to preempt state-enacted protections. Because of ERISA, self-insured plans (plans directly underwritten by employers) are not covered under state law and are not required to abide by state-enacted protections. ERISA can even preempt important patient protections, such as appeals rights, for health plans directly regulated by states. Forty-four states have enacted at least one of the protections recommended by the President's Quality Commission. However, a patchwork of non-comprehensive state laws cannot provide Americans with all the protections they need because states do not have full authority over the 122 million Americans who are in health plans governed by ERISA.

A Patients' Bill of Rights is Particularly Important to Women. Approximately 60 million women are in ERISA health plans and cannot be assured that they would have access to the full range of protections recommended by the Quality Commission without Federal legislation. Women are particularly vulnerable without these protections because they are greater users of health care services, they make three-quarters of the health care decisions for their families, and they suffer from many chronic illnesses at a higher rate, such as arthritis and osteoporosis.

- **Over 60 percent of physician visits are made by women, and women make three quarters of the health care decisions in American households. Without adequate patient protections, women, and all Americans, will continue to be unable to effectively navigate through the nation's rapidly changing health care system.**

- **Women in managed care plans are increasingly dissatisfied with the quality of care. Nearly 70 percent of privately insured women ages 18 to 65 are in managed care plans. Almost two-fifths of women in managed care plans worry that they will not be able to get speciality care when they need it. Twenty-seven percent of women in managed care plans worry that they will be denied a medical procedure they need.**

Without a patients' bill of rights, women may not receive important preventive services. The patient protection that gives women direct access to an obstetrician/gynecologist is not only necessary to make sure that pregnant women get the care they need, but is also important to assure women get important preventive services. Studies show that gynecologists are almost two times as likely to perform timely, needed women's preventive services.

The President Renews Call on the Congress to Pass A Patients' Bill of Rights.

The President called on Congress to enact the Quality Commission's recommendations to assure high quality care for all patients. These recommendations include: assuring patients access to easily understood information; access to the specialists, including specialists for women's health needs; continuity of care for those undergoing a course of treatment for a chronic or disabling condition; access to emergency services when and where the need arises; disclosure of financial incentives that could influence medical decisions; prohibition of "gag clauses"; anti-discrimination protections; and an internal and external appeals process to address grievances with health decisions. The President is pleased that there is growing bipartisan support to pass these long overdue protections.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:27-MAY-1998 13:39:14.00

SUBJECT:

TO: ELENA (Pager) #KAGAN (ELENA (Pager) #KAGAN [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Bruce is semi-concerned about just Donna doing press briefing & if her spin will be positive enough- but he says it is your call

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cathy R. Mays (CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:27-MAY-1998 18:21:12.00

SUBJECT: Health Care Strategy Meeting

TO: Karen E. Skelton (CN=Karen E. Skelton/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barbara D. Woolley (CN=Barbara D. Woolley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Daniel N. Mendelson (CN=Daniel N. Mendelson/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer L. Klein (CN=Jennifer L. Klein/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gene B. Sperling (CN=Gene B. Sperling/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Waldman (CN=Michael Waldman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David W. Beier (CN=David W. Beier/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria Echaveste (CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher C. Jennings (CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rahm I. Emanuel (CN=Rahm I. Emanuel/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Donna L. Geisbert (CN=Donna L. Geisbert/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Satish Narayanan (CN=Satish Narayanan/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Toby Donenfeld (CN=Toby Donenfeld/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Miriam H. Vogel (CN=Miriam H. Vogel/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Michelle Crisci (CN=Michelle Crisci/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Gina C. Mooers (CN=Gina C. Mooers/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura K. Capps (CN=Laura K. Capps/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Suzanne Dale (CN=Suzanne Dale/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Peter A. Weissman (CN=Peter A. Weissman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

The weekly Health Care Strategy Meeting will be held in Room 211, OEOB, tomorrow, Thursday, May 28, at 4:00 p.m.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jordan Tamagni (CN=Jordan Tamagni/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:27-MAY-1998 00:45:50.00

SUBJECT: Welfare To Work Remarks

TO: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andrea Kane (CN=Andrea Kane/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Waldman (CN=Michael Waldman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Diana Fortuna (CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis (CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John Podesta (CN=John Podesta/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Dawn L. Smalls (CN=Dawn L. Smalls/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Eleanor S. Parker (CN=Eleanor S. Parker/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Michelle Crisci (CN=Michelle Crisci/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Ruby Shamir (CN=Ruby Shamir/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Draft 5/26/98 11:30pm

PRESIDENT WILLIAM J. CLINTON
REMARKS FOR WELFARE TO WORK EVENT
THE EAST ROOM
May 27, 1998

Acknowledgments: Sec. Herman; Sec. Shalala; Administrator Alvarez; Eli Segal; Jerry Greenwald.

I want to start by congratulating Rhonda Costa and all the other women here today who have had the courage and the strength to fight their way

from welfare to work. I understand we'll be seeing a lot more of Rhonda when the Welfare to Work Partnership airs its new national public service announcements. I'm certain she will be an inspiration to people who are trying to get off welfare -- and I know she will help convince more business owners to hire more people off of welfare. As Jerry Greenwald made clear a moment ago, hiring people off welfare isn't just good for America -- it's good for American business.

For years, our old welfare system trapped too many women like Rhonda, exiling entire generations from the mainstream of American life. When I was the governor of a small state with its share of people on public assistance, I became convinced that the only way we could hope to break that cycle of dependency and despair was to change our approach. I saw that we had to put an end to a system that did nothing to encourage work or responsibility, and replace it with one that did. I saw then that work -- work that gives structure and dignity to our lives -- was the only way to truly reform welfare.

When I became President, that became our guiding principle. We began by granting waivers to 43 states to launch welfare reform experiments that set time limits and required work. Those efforts alone brought the welfare rolls down by nearly two million people.

Then, in 1996, I signed the historic bipartisan Welfare Reform bill that ended welfare as we knew it. As I said then, that was just the beginning of welfare reform. The hardest work -- creating the private sector jobs for the people we were now requiring to work, and giving welfare recipients the training and support they needed to succeed in the workplace -- lay ahead. And I called on private business to help us meet that challenge.

We are here today because Eli Segal, Jerry Greenwald, and a group of committed corporate leaders rose to my challenge and founded the Welfare to Work Partnership. Eli just told us that in a single year, 5,000 companies have joined the Partnership -- ranging from Fortune 500 corporations to small businesses with just 5 employees. That is a remarkable accomplishment. But what he didn't tell you is that in the same time, those companies have hired 135,000 people off of welfare. That is cause for celebration.

You are showing that welfare recipients don't need handouts -- they need a helping hand to find a job, and a chance to succeed. And given that opportunity, they do succeed with tremendous benefits to companies. As United Airlines and many other companies have found, hiring from the welfare rolls can reduce employee turnover, and motivate existing employees to work even harder.

Here in the national government we have done our part as well, connecting small business owners to new workers through Small Business Administration offices all across the country ... mobilizing civic, religious, and non-profit groups under the Vice President's leadership to step up their efforts to help people succeed in the workplace through mentoring and other support ... and in just one year, we have hired more than 4,800 welfare recipients to work in the federal government -- well on our way to meeting our goal of hiring 10,000 by the year 2000.

The historic balanced budget agreement I signed into law last summer includes a \$3 billion Welfare to Work program to help states and local communities move long-term welfare recipients into lasting, unsubsidized jobs. It also includes a welfare to work tax credit to encourage private employers to hire welfare recipients.

Our combined efforts have produced the largest drop in the welfare rolls in history, reducing them to the lowest they have been in nearly 30 years. Since I took office, there are 5.2 million fewer people on the welfare rolls -- fully 3.3 million fewer since I signed the Welfare Reform bill in 1996.

This is a hard-won victory for all the families who have moved from welfare to work. It is a victory for all of us who have worked so hard to reform the old welfare system. And it is a victory for America. But with millions of Americans still on the welfare rolls, we know we must not rest. And once again, every one of us has a part to play, in government, in business, and in every sector of our society.

First, we must continue to find jobs for people who are moving from welfare to work -- and that means encouraging private business. Today, I challenge the companies in the Welfare to Work Partnership to double the number of people they hire off welfare to 270,000 in 1998. And I challenge business owners all over America -- not only those in the Welfare to Work partnership -- to follow the partnership's example, and find new ways to hire welfare recipients.

Second, we must continue to do everything we can to help welfare recipients succeed in the workplace. We know that many of the people who remain on welfare face challenges that make it hard for them to get and keep jobs. Secretary Herman has seen many of these problems first-hand, as she has traveled around the country, talking to employers, new workers, and community groups

Today, I am pleased to announce \$186 million in Welfare to Work competitive grants from the Labor Department that address many of these concerns. These funds -- which are fully paid for in my balanced budget -- will support 49 innovative welfare to work efforts. Some of these projects will provide on-the-job training and support to welfare recipients with disabilities, limited English skills, and who live in remote rural areas. Others will help people move from entry-level jobs into higher paying positions. Still others will help unemployed fathers who don't live with their children to go to work, increasing their ability to honor their responsibilities to pay their child support.

We know that securing adequate child care is also critical for all parents who work. My child care initiative will help America's working families succeed at home and at work by paying for safe, quality child care, and expanding after-school programs around the country.

Third, we must continue to do everything we can to make sure that welfare recipients can reach the jobs we are working so hard to create. We know that more than 80% of welfare recipients live in our inner cities and rural areas, far from the suburbs where the vast majority of new jobs are being created. My balanced budget proposed \$283 million dollars in Welfare to Work housing vouchers, to help welfare recipients move closer to new jobs, reduce long commutes, or secure more stable housing to eliminate emergencies that increase absenteeism and lateness on the job.

Congress acted just this month to fund my Access to Jobs proposal, by providing up to \$150 million in funds for local communities to improve transportation for welfare recipients. Today, I urge Congress to fully fund my Welfare to Work housing voucher initiative as well, and give people on welfare another tool to make a successful transition to work.

Our progress in the last five years is a product of hard work, and bipartisan co-operation. When I signed the welfare reform bill into law, we knew that it wasn't perfect, but we also knew that it was the single best chance in a generation to break the cycle of dependency and restore millions of families to the dignity of work. But the bill also contained several provisions that had nothing to do with encouraging responsibility and work. I was proud that Congress did the right thing last year to restore critically important disability and health benefits to legal immigrants. Last week, the Senate voted overwhelmingly to restore Food Stamps to elderly, disabled, and very young legal immigrants. Today, I want to urge the House of Representatives to follow the Senate's lead -- it is the right thing to do.

We will continue to do everything we can in the national government to sustain our success and finish the job of welfare reform. But today, I want to encourage all Americans to join us, in their communities, in their communities of worship, and even in their workplaces to help people move from welfare to work. Be a mentor to a person on welfare; volunteer at a job training center to help someone learn computer skills. Above all, remember that people trying to move from welfare to work -- people like Rhonda -- deserve our respect and all our encouragement.

If we do this, we can help make America stronger than ever in the 21st Century.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:27-MAY-1998 13:17:39.00

SUBJECT:

TO: ELENA (Pager) #KAGAN (ELENA (Pager) #KAGAN [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Drop by Sally's 1:00 privacy mtg. in 180 if you can; then press briefing
should be at 2:00- I'll page you

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Lori L. Anderson (CN=Lori L. Anderson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:27-MAY-1998 13:56:44.00

SUBJECT:

TO: LAURA (Pager) #EMMETT (LAURA (Pager) #EMMETT [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: ELENA (Pager) #KAGAN (ELENA (Pager) #KAGAN [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

fyi: the briefers are now gathering in mcurry's office

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Sonyia Matthews (CN=Sonyia Matthews/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:27-MAY-1998 11:26:08.00

SUBJECT: Bankruptcy Meeting today

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Roger S. Ballentine (CN=Roger S. Ballentine/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Audrey T. Haynes (CN=Audrey T. Haynes/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alice Veenstra (CN=Alice Veenstra/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert N. Weiner (CN=Robert N. Weiner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Diana Fortuna (CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher D. Carroll (CN=Christopher D. Carroll/OU=CEA/O=EOP @ EOP [CEA])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rebecca M. Blank (CN=Rebecca M. Blank/OU=CEA/O=EOP @ EOP [CEA])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria Echaveste (CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joseph J. Minarik (CN=Joseph J. Minarik/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Ophelia D. West (CN=Ophelia D. West/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Miriam H. Vogel (CN=Miriam H. Vogel/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Marjorie Tarmey (CN=Marjorie Tarmey/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

The bankruptcy meeting scheduled for 3:00 today has been changed to 4:30 pm room 324. Sorry for any inconveniences. Thank you.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:27-MAY-1998 09:30:58.00

SUBJECT:

TO: ELENA (Pager) #KAGAN (ELENA (Pager) #KAGAN [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Please Call Mike McCurry ASAP 62673

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jose Cerda III (CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:28-MAY-1998 22:12:23.00

SUBJECT: Sorry...wrong version...incomplete sentence

TO: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Christa Robinson (CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
Here we go again...jc3

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:
Unable to convert ARMS_EXT:[ATTACH.D7]MAIL42884415B.126 to ASCII,
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF575043B0040000010A0201000000020500000009B2C000000020000B687F20459A2A733DFFBE8
427F4C66D207597EEFFFAE1ADB4154207A55E02604D7492BBD72145E3ABC96643A423368680742

**Questions and Answers on COPS Event
May 29, 1998**

New COPS Initiative

Q. What is the new COPS initiative you are announcing today? How will it work?

A. The President launched a new initiative, called the Distressed Neighborhoods Pilot Project, to provide funding for high-crime, high-need communities to hire new officers through the Justice Department COPS Program. Under the initiative, \$106 million in grants will be provided to 18 pilot cities to fund 738 new or redeployed officers. The communities will be required to deploy these officers in the targeted areas with high crime or poverty identified by the cities.

The grants provided through the initiative will fund 100% of the entry-level salary and benefits for new law enforcement officers for three years -- waiving the usual local match.

In addition, the COPS Office will provide a package of assistance including specialized training to help cities better address crime in their targeted neighborhoods.

Q. How much are the cities receiving? How many officers will each city fund?

A. The cities and totals for grants and officers are as follows:

| | | |
|--------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Baltimore, MD | 100 officers | \$10.8 million |
| Bessemer, AL | 12 officers | \$1.2 million |
| Birmingham, AL | 25 officers | \$2.8 million |
| Buffalo, NY | 20 officers | \$2.8 million |
| Camden, NJ | 5 officers | \$891,000 |
| Chicago, IL | 150 officers | \$23 million |
| Cleveland, OH | 100 officers | \$15 million |
| El Paso, TX | 12 officers | \$1.4 million |
| Flint, MI | 12 officers | \$877,122 |
| Fort Pierce, FL | 3 officers | \$318,000 |
| Fresno, CA | 75 officers | \$13.7 million |
| Greenville, MS | 6 officers | \$493,920 |
| Hartford, CN | 12 officers | \$1.5 million |
| McAllen, TX | 20 officers | \$2.2 million |
| Miami, FL | 168 officers | \$24.2 million |
| Monroe, LA | 5 officers | \$393,435 |
| Muskegon, MI | 5 officers | \$609,230 |
| San Bernardino, CA | 8 officers | \$1.6 million |

Q. How were these cities selected?

- A. The Justice Department COPS Office reviewed crime data, previous participation in COPS and other Federal programs, and financial hardship. The primary criteria used were crime index indicators, unemployment data, and poverty data. The jurisdictions in the pilot have some of the highest crime and poverty rates in the nation as compared to other cities of similar size.
- Q. Why weren't other cities such as Gary, Indiana, and Nashville -- both of which have had increases in crime-- selected?**
- A. The pilot cities were chosen based on a careful analysis of several factors -- not just crime rates alone. A combination of factors, such as economic distress, crime, unemployment and poverty were all considered. Many of the targeted neighborhoods under the initiative face some of the most dire circumstances facing any community in America. We recognize that the pilot cities are not the only ones facing challenges with respect to these criteria, which is why we will look into expanding the initiative in the future. In addition, the COPS Office is already working with cities such as Gary and Nashville to provide a wide range of community policing assistance and support.

COPS General

- Q. How many of the 75,000 officers whom you've funded are actually on the street?**
- A. While the most recent survey of this information by the COPS office will not be completed until next month, we do know that more than half of the new officers (40,000) have already been hired by local police departments and are on the street.

The remaining police officers are somewhere in the process of being recruited, screened, tested or trained -- a process that can take as long as 18 months. The funds to pay these officers are available to local police departments just as soon as they are ready to hire their new recruits.

Equally important, the COPS office expects local law enforcement agencies to use their normal rigorous recruiting and hiring procedures in filling all COPS-funded positions. Nothing could be more important than hiring qualified and fully screened police to entrust with the public's safety.

(NB: Consistent with the 1994 Crime Act, the Administration has provided funding for about 17,000 new officers every year since FY 1995. That means that by the end of this year, we should have funded a total of 65,000 more police -- with just under 40,000 on the street. With more than four months left in the fiscal year, we have already surpassed these expectations -- 75,000 funded and over 40,000 on the street.)

- Q. Some have argued that this program is only a short-term fix, and that after three years, these officers will either be fired or absorbed through a department's natural attrition process. Does the Justice Department have any idea whether these**

communities will actually keep these officers when the 3-year grant period expires?

- A. We are confident that the vast majority of our grantees are planning to retain their officers. This was part of the commitment they made in order to receive the federal COPS grant. And the reports that the Justice Department has received from the grantees as well as some of the research and media stories from across the country confirm it.

For example, a recent Akron Beacon Journal survey found that 44 out of 45 Northern Ohio agencies are planning to retain their officers. The Daily Southtown in Illinois reported that all 33 communities in its coverage area that had received grants were making plans to retain these officers. In Mississippi, the Biloxi Sun Herald reported that Gulf Coast communities planned to retain their officers.

We understand that there may be some unforeseen circumstances, fiscal emergencies or natural disasters that may prevent a department from retaining their COPS-funded officers. The COPS Office will work with communities on a case-by-case basis if they encounter such difficulties.

We are also starting to provide assistance for smaller communities with populations below 50,000 that are facing unexpected financial hardships. The COPS Small Community Grant Program will provide one-time grants for a fourth year of COPS funding for some of our smaller grantees to help them make the transition to 100% local funding when the grant expires.

COMMUNITY POLICING AND CRIME RATES

- Q. Isn't crime really falling because of aging baby boomers, or the decline in crack use? Isn't it just a matter of time before crime goes back up?**

- A. There is no doubt that community policing and these additional officers have had an impact on crime. Police chiefs and sheriffs across the country have consistently credited community policing with the gains we have experienced over the last six years in our fight against crime. And an increasing number of researchers are also concluding that increased police staffing levels and community policing can cause significant reductions in crime.

Demographics do not need to be our destiny. The federal government can help by working with police, prosecutors, and community leaders to give them the tools they need to make a difference. By working together and taking a balanced approach -- of more police, fewer guns on our streets, tougher punishment for violent offenders, and better opportunities for our kids -- our efforts are showing results.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cathy R. Mays (CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:28-MAY-1998 13:46:56.00

SUBJECT: Today's Health Care Strategy Meeting

TO: Karen E. Skelton (CN=Karen E. Skelton/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barbara D. Woolley (CN=Barbara D. Woolley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Daniel N. Mendelson (CN=Daniel N. Mendelson/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer L. Klein (CN=Jennifer L. Klein/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gene B. Sperling (CN=Gene B. Sperling/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Waldman (CN=Michael Waldman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David W. Beier (CN=David W. Beier/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria Echaveste (CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher C. Jennings (CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rahm I. Emanuel (CN=Rahm I. Emanuel/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Donna L. Geisbert (CN=Donna L. Geisbert/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Satish Narayanan (CN=Satish Narayanan/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Toby Donenfeld (CN=Toby Donenfeld/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Miriam H. Vogel (CN=Miriam H. Vogel/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Michelle Crisci (CN=Michelle Crisci/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Gina C. Mooers (CN=Gina C. Mooers/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura K. Capps (CN=Laura K. Capps/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Suzanne Dale (CN=Suzanne Dale/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Peter A. Weissman (CN=Peter A. Weissman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
CANCELLED.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:28-MAY-1998 10:09:28.00

SUBJECT:

TO: ELENA (Pager) #KAGAN (ELENA (Pager) #KAGAN [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Sylvia wants you to come to the 10:00 abortion mtg. in Rossevelt Room now

**Questions and Answers on COPS Event
May 29, 1998**

New COPS Initiative

Q. What is the new COPS initiative you are announcing today? How will it work?

A. The President launched a new initiative, called the Distressed Neighborhoods Pilot Project, to provide funding for high-crime, high-need communities to hire new officers through the Justice Department COPS Program. Under the initiative, \$106 million in grants will be provided to 18 pilot cities to fund 738 new or redeployed officers. The communities will be required to deploy these officers in the targeted areas with high crime or poverty identified by the cities.

The grants provided through the initiative will fund 100% of the entry-level salary and benefits for new law enforcement officers for three years -- waiving the usual local match. In addition, the COPS Office will provide a package of assistance including specialized training to help cities better address crime in their targeted neighborhoods.

Q. How much are the cities receiving? How many officers will each city fund?

A. The cities and totals for grants and officers are as follows:

| | | |
|--------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Baltimore, MD | 100 officers | \$10.8 million |
| Bessemer, AL | 12 officers | \$1.2 million |
| Birmingham, AL | 25 officers | \$2.8 million |
| Buffalo, NY | 20 officers | \$2.8 million |
| Camden, NJ | 5 officers | \$891,000 |
| Chicago, IL | 150 officers | \$23 million |
| Cleveland, OH | 100 officers | \$15 million |
| El Paso, TX | 12 officers | \$1.4 million |
| Flint, MI | 12 officers | \$877,122 |
| Fort Pierce, FL | 3 officers | \$318,000 |
| Fresno, CA | 75 officers | \$13.7 million |
| Greenville, MS | 6 officers | \$493,920 |
| Hartford, CN | 12 officers | \$1.5 million |
| McAllen, TX | 20 officers | \$2.2 million |
| Miami, FL | 168 officers | \$24.2 million |
| Monroe, LA | 5 officers | \$393,435 |
| Muskegon, MI | 5 officers | \$609,230 |
| San Bernardino, CA | 8 officers | \$1.6 million |

Q. How were these cities selected?

A. The Justice Department COPS Office reviewed crime data, previous participation in COPS and other Federal programs, and financial hardship. The primary criteria used were crime index indicators, unemployment data, and poverty data. The jurisdictions in the pilot have some of the highest crime and poverty rates in the nation as compared to other cities of similar size.

Q. Why weren't other cities such as Gary, Indiana, and Nashville -- both of which have had increases in crime-- selected?

A. The pilot cities were chosen based on a careful analysis of several factors -- not just crime rates alone. A combination of factors, such as economic distress, crime, unemployment and poverty were all considered. Many of the targeted neighborhoods under the initiative face some of the most dire circumstances facing any community in America. We recognize that the pilot cities are not the only ones facing challenges with respect to these criteria, which is why we will look into expanding the initiative in the future. In addition, the COPS Office is already working with cities such as Gary and Nashville to provide a wide range of community policing assistance and support.

COPS General

Q. How many of the 75,000 officers whom you've funded are actually on the street?

A. While the most recent survey of this information by the COPS office will not be completed until next month, we do know that more than half of the new officers (40,000) have already been hired by local police departments and are on the street.

The remaining police officers are somewhere in the process of being recruited, screened, tested or trained -- a process that can take as long as 18 months. The funds to pay these officers are available to local police departments just as soon as they are ready to hire their new recruits.

Equally important, the COPS office expects local law enforcement agencies to use their normal rigorous recruiting and hiring procedures in filling all COPS-funded positions. It is more important

(NB: Consistent with the 1994 Crime Act, the Administration has provided funding for about 17,000 new officers every year since FY 1995. That means that by the end of this year, we should have funded a total of 65,000 more police -- with just under 40,000 on the street. With more than four months left in the fiscal year, we have already surpassed these expectations -- 75,000 funded and over 40,000 on the street.)

Q. Some have argued that this program is only a short-term fix, and that after three years, these officers will either be fired or absorbed through a department's natural attrition process. Does the Justice Department have any idea whether these communities will actually keep these officers when the 3-year grant period expires?

- A. We are confident that the vast majority of our grantees are planning to retain their officers. This was part of the commitment they made in order to receive the federal COPS grant. And the reports that the Justice Department has received from the grantees as well as some of the research and media stories from across the country confirm it.

For example, a recent Akron Beacon Journal survey found that 44 out of 45 Northern Ohio agencies are planning to retain their officers. The Daily Southtown in Illinois reported that all 33 communities in its coverage area that had received grants were making plans to retain these officers. In Mississippi, the Biloxi Sun Herald reported that Gulf Coast communities planned to retain their officers.

We understand that there may be some unforeseen circumstances, fiscal emergencies or natural disasters that may prevent a department from retaining their COPS-funded officers. The COPS Office will work with communities on a case-by-case basis if they encounter such difficulties.

We are also starting to provide assistance for smaller communities with populations below 50,000 that are facing unexpected financial hardships. The COPS Small Community Grant Program will provide one-time grants for a fourth year of COPS funding for some of our smaller grantees to help them make the transition to 100% local funding when the grant expires.

COMMUNITY POLICING AND CRIME RATES

- Q. Isn't crime really falling because of aging baby boomers, or the decline in crack use? Isn't it just a matter of time before crime goes back up?**

- A. There is no doubt that community policing and these additional officers have had an impact on crime. Police chiefs and sheriffs across the country have consistently credited community policing with the gains we have experienced over the last six years in our fight against crime. And an increasing number of researchers are also concluding that increased police staffing levels and community policing can cause significant reductions in crime.

Demographics do not need to be our destiny. The federal government can help by working with police, prosecutors, and community leaders to give them the tools they need to make a difference. By working together and taking a balanced approach -- of more police, fewer guns on our streets, tougher punishment for violent offenders, and better opportunities for our kids -- our efforts are showing results.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Christa Robinson (CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:28-MAY-1998 16:32:57.00

SUBJECT: COPS Event Program

TO: Christopher C. Jennings (CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura D. Schwartz (CN=Laura D. Schwartz/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lynn G. Cutler (CN=Lynn G. Cutler/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer M. Palmieri (CN=Jennifer M. Palmieri/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rachel A. Redington (CN=Rachel A. Redington/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jose Cerda III (CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mona G. Mohib (CN=Mona G. Mohib/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julia M. Payne (CN=Julia M. Payne/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Mayor White from Cleveland will speak at tomorrow's event. The program is as follows:

Vice President

Mayor Michael White

Attorney General Reno

Superintendent Terry Hillard, Chicago Police Dept.

POTUS

We have 15 uniformed Cops for the stage, and 2 Clinton Cop success stories for the front row. We need reserved cards for Joe Brann, Director of the COPS Office, and Ray Fisher, Associate AG.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Mary L. Smith (CN=Mary L. Smith/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:28-MAY-1998 11:43:42.00

SUBJECT: Privacy Meeting Update

TO: Thomas L. Freedman (CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Here is an update on what happened in the privacy meeting:

Sally asked that everyone come up with a draft package of privacy initiatives in two weeks. The topics that the package will address are: (1) the privacy entity in the EOP; (2) identity theft; (3) profiling; and (4) industry self-regulation (what Ira Magaziner is working on). The package will hopefully also include some legislation we could endorse.

The following are some upcoming dates:

June 4 - the industry is thinking of having a pre-announcement of how it will regulate itself

June 4 - FTC is releasing a report on privacy

June 23 - Commerce Department "summit"

July 1 -Commerce Department report to the President - Sally pushed Ira on the industry self-regulation part -- if the industry doesn't come up with a strong enough proposal, we need to have a Plan "B" to announce on July 1.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:28-MAY-1998 17:58:30.00

SUBJECT:

TO: ELENA (Pager) #KAGAN (ELENA (Pager) #KAGAN [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Car #12 will be ther in 10 minutes; I have ALL of the event paper her for
you -Laura

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Robin Leeds (CN=Robin Leeds/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:28-MAY-1998 17:11:51.00

SUBJECT: "Child Custody Protection Act"
Statistics on Relevant Issues

TO: Nelson Reyneri (CN=Nelson Reyneri/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tracey E. Thornton (CN=Tracey E. Thornton/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis (CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Audrey T. Haynes (CN=Audrey T. Haynes/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William P. Marshall (CN=William P. Marshall/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisa M. Brown (CN=Lisa M. Brown/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter G. Jacoby (CN=Peter G. Jacoby/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria Echaveste (CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sylvia M. Mathews (CN=Sylvia M. Mathews/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

----- Forwarded by Robin Leeds/WHO/EOP on 05/28/98 05:07
PM -----

Robin Leeds
05/27/98 02:43:00 PM
Record Type: Record

To: Nelson Reyneri/WHO/EOP
cc: Audrey T. Haynes/WHO/EOP
Subject: "Child Custody Protection Act"
Statistics on Relevant Issues

1. Numbers of minors getting abortions - In 1996, the Alan Guttmacher

Institute (AGI) estimated that 1.4 million abortions took place. 22% of these abortions were performed on teenage girls, while 33% were performed on women aged 20-24. In general, 55% of the 1.4 million abortions performed were on women under the age 25 .

According to a 1994 AGI study, 110,890 young women between age 15 and 17 had abortions, and 12,150 young women under the age of 15 had abortions. In 1992 AGI estimated there were about 308,000 abortions among teens. In 1988, AGI estimated that 172,000 young women aged 17 or younger obtained an abortion.

2. Other relevant statistics -

- 28 states currently enforce parental consent or notification laws for a minor seeking an abortion: AL, AR, DE, GA, IN, KS, KY, LA, MD, MA, MI, MN, MS, MO, MT, NE, NC, ND, OH, PA, RI, SC, SD, UT, VA, WV, WI and WY.

- In 1991, AGI estimated that 61% of minors who have abortions do so with at least one parent's knowledge; 45% of parents are told by their daughter. Even in states that enforce no mandatory parental consent or notice requirements, more than 75 percent of minors under 16 involve one or both parents. An AGI study found that more than half of all young women who did not involve a parent in considering an abortion did involve an adult, including 15 percent who involved a step-parent or adult relative. Among minors who did not tell a parent of theirs, 30 percent had experienced violence in their family or feared violence or being forced to leave home. The majority of parents support their daughter's decision to have an abortion.

- These health care professional organizations have opposed parental consent laws mainly due to concerns about preserving patient/provider confidentiality and reducing access barriers to reproductive health care for young women: College of American Academy of Family Physicians, American Academy of Pediatrics, American Obstetricians, NAACOG, Organizations of Obstetric, Gynecological and Neonatal Nurses, National Medical Association, American Medical Women's Association, American Nurses Association, American Medical Association, American Psychiatric Association, American Psychological Association, and American Public Health Association.

- Data on the number of illegal abortions is largely non-existent. By it's very nature this data would not be reported by patients or providers.

- Data on the use of judicial bypass could be collected from state courts, but there is no central source that has compiled this data. A number of the pro-choice organizations are in the process of collecting this data in certain states, but this process will take some time.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Nelson Reyneri (CN=Nelson Reyneri/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:28-MAY-1998 11:48:03.00

SUBJECT: Action Items from today's Child Custody Protection Act

TO: Nelson Reyneri (CN=Nelson Reyneri/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tracey E. Thornton (CN=Tracey E. Thornton/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robin Leeds (CN=Robin Leeds/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michelle Crisci (CN=Michelle Crisci/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ora Theard (CN=Ora Theard/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis (CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marjorie Tarmey (CN=Marjorie Tarmey/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jessica L. Gibson (CN=Jessica L. Gibson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria Echaveste (CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janelle E. Erickson (CN=Janelle E. Erickson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisa M. Brown (CN=Lisa M. Brown/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter G. Jacoby (CN=Peter G. Jacoby/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tania I. Lopez (CN=Tania I. Lopez/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dawn L. Smalls (CN=Dawn L. Smalls/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ruby Shamir (CN=Ruby Shamir/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Miriam H. Vogel (CN=Miriam H. Vogel/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Audrey T. Haynes (CN=Audrey T. Haynes/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William P. Marshall (CN=William P. Marshall/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Sylvia M. Mathews (CN=Sylvia M. Mathews/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: June G. Turner (CN=June G. Turner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

From today's meeting (please contact me if I missed anything)

1. Secure more intelligence (Leg Affairs)
 - A. Senate member count
 - B. Republican member who would speak out on our side, e.g. Snowe?
2. Check with DOJ regarding technicalities (Bill Marshall)
3. Identify our real life example (Robin Leeds)
 - B. Sylvia Mathews to contact her sister for ideas
4. What do we need for outreach? (Janelle Erickson, Robin Leeds, Ann Lewis)
 - A. Among constituency groups
 - B. Among members
5. Reconvene same time next week (Nelson Reyneri, June Turner)
 - A. June 4 @ 10AM, Roosevelt Room

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Thomas L. Freedman (CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:28-MAY-1998 17:14:12.00

SUBJECT: Possible Weekly on farmers

TO: Mary L. Smith (CN=Mary L. Smith/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

If you want a weekly item on tobacco farmers. (We could also mention
McConnell's surprise move to support Lugar and that Baesler is using the
issue effectively to put Bunning on the defensive.) ===== ATTACHMENT
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS_EXT:[ATTACH.D71]MAIL48439315C.126 to ASCII,
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF57504316050000010A020100000002050000008B10000000020000DA96D01F87F2CD379AD7E8
BC6AA07946C8040BED76EB4E303B22A6209ED6BB97C7507BE477543A6A4CB0D8BDB7E86C75B0F1

Status of Provision Involving Tobacco Farmers

The Senate has not yet voted on provisions that deal with tobacco farmers but may do so this week. The legislation the Commerce Committee voted out included Senator Ford's provision which you supported during your trip to Kentucky last month. However, Majority Leader Lott also added Senator Lugar's competing provision to the McCain measure when the legislation reached the floor. The Lugar provision would buy-out all farmers from the quota program within 3 years at a cost of \$18 billion, eliminating the government program and creating a free market in tobacco in its place. As you recall, Senator Ford's measure preserves the program and gives farmers the option of being bought out, at a total cost of \$28.5 billion spread over 25 years.

USDA calculates that the Lugar free market approach would lead to dramatic increases in the amount of tobacco grown in the U.S., and a reduction in the cost of tobacco for companies. The Washington Post carried the USDA figures, including the calculation that the companies will save \$800 million a year or \$20 billion over the next 25 years if Lugar becomes law. In addition, OMB estimates that the Lugar provision's funding needs would necessitate a 69% cut in the research and other public health programs such as cessation, education and prevention called for in the McCain legislation. Armed with these numbers, public health groups like the Campaign for Tobacco-Free Kids have lobbied the Senate for the Ford measure. Procedurally, the next move is for both Ford and Lugar to try and strike the other's measure from the bill. Along with USDA, we will continue to work with Senators Ford, Robb and Hollings for their farmers provision and simultaneously seek to fashion a compromise that might garner significant Republican support.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Andrea Kane (CN=Andrea Kane/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:28-MAY-1998 17:47:43.00

SUBJECT: Birth data and weekly

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Bruce, I've finally confirmed that the drop in births to unmarried women is not new data. Ironically, it's not even in the census report (sloppy journalism). The 95 data showing a 4% drop was the first year it dropped so this must be the same number you remember that we talked about before. It was released in preliminary form in 10/96 and in a final vital statistics report from NCHS in 6/97.

Weekly: I don't think I can do justice to POTUS question on areas where people are leaving the rolls without jobs in time for this week's weekly. Elena, it came up again in POTUS meeting yesterday with CEOs. I'd done Q&A on Brookings report on caseload decline in cities but I've talked to them and they did not correlate with unemployment (as you suggested we should in your note to me yesterday Bruce). It'll take a little doing to do that and I think it's worth doing--for states and cities both. Instead, I am doing a quick piece on reinvesting TANF savings, which he also talked about yesterday, based on Fiscal Survey of States released yesterday. will send along by 6 (cuz I need to leave then today).

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Fred DuVal (CN=Fred DuVal/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:28-MAY-1998 17:53:02.00

SUBJECT:

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Mickey Ibarra (CN=Mickey Ibarra/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I've been touching base with Attorneys General other than Moore/Greggiore and wanted you to know that most don't care that we've worked the tobacco spending issues with the Govs - and most are grateful the package is more state friendly than the June 20 agreement.

Please remember that while Mike has a profile and singular importance (and willingness to stay totally engaged), he doesn't speak for even a majority of his colleagues on most of these issues. We have to and should deal with him as the prinipal AG negotiator - but he overplays his hand.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Sarah A. Bianchi (CN=Sarah A. Bianchi/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:28-MAY-1998 19:41:24.00

SUBJECT: chicago hospital draft press release

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry J. Toiv (CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Following up on your conversations with Chris, here is the draft POTUS statement that could be released tomorrow in conjunction with HCFA's letter to terminate the Chicago hospital's participation in the Medicare program. Chris asked if you could review and edit this document and get it back to him after senior staff meeting tomorrow.

Thanks,

sb

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS_EXT:[ATTACH.D50]MAIL426044156.126 to ASCII,
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF57504370040000010A02010000000205000000550E000000020000B6EF8DB829D391669B96EF
3B5B07FE446BFF8EE98493BE9B0B4960A210248BF4070D41CA475D609EA09CE54B9B9C067476FD

STATEMENT BY THE PRESIDENT

Today, my Administration informed the President of Ravenswood Hospital in Chicago, Illinois that the hospital will lose its Medicare funding on June 19, 1998, unless the facility takes steps to ensure that the events that led to the tragic death of Christopher Sercye will not be repeated.

XX days ago, 15 year old Christopher died of gunshot wounds just 35 feet from Ravenswood, where friends had brought the young man for help. There is simply no excuse for this unthinkable death. No one should be left untreated just footsteps from a hospital entrance. No health care professional should turn the other way, and no supervisor should direct an employee not to intervene in a medical emergency.

That is why the Health Care Financing Administration delivered a letter today advising Ravenswood hospital that unless they provide credible evidence that its policies have been restructured, it will lose all Medicare funding next month. This Administration stands ready to take the same strong action against any facility that does not assist in a medical emergency.

I am also extremely pleased that the American Hospital Association has released new guidelines that advise hospitals to change any policies that prevent taking appropriate actions in a medical emergency. We cannot reverse last week's inexplicable tragedy, but we can and must take action so that it does not happen again.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Andrea Kane (CN=Andrea Kane/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:28-MAY-1998 18:03:18.00

SUBJECT: Weekly

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Diana Fortuna (CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS_EXT:[ATTACH.D49]MAIL497714150.126 to ASCII,
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF575043B6050000010A020100000002050000001B0D00000002000021B66801605AB9B231CC25
B35AE768BFD28A627569D63D8FFD4A350D273B82D669F140278CC92916F35D26937FC4B26A6579

Weekly Report -- Welfare Reform Team

5/28/98

Welfare Reform -- State Reinvestment of TANF Savings: During your meeting with Welfare-to-Work Partnership Board members last week you stressed the importance of states reinvesting their welfare savings in child care, transportation and training. We will work with HHS to analyze state expenditure data for TANF and child care. This data is not as timely as we would like. In the meantime, there is some encouraging information in the NGA Fiscal Survey of States released on May 27th. The way states are spending their welfare funds is shifting from cash to work-related supports. Comparing expenditures in 1996 with planned spending for 1998, the percent of welfare spending on cash assistance dropped 27 percent (roughly comparable with caseload declines), while spending on child care increased by 55 percent and spending on work activities increased 34 percent. Total welfare spending declined by 9 percent, but given caseload reductions, this actually represents increased spending per person.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Neera Tanden (CN=Neera Tanden/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:28-MAY-1998 15:35:00.00

SUBJECT: Chief of Staff scheduling -- request

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jennifer L. Klein (CN=Jennifer L. Klein/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

We are trying to push for the after-school event for June 11th. Our understanding is that it is tentavely scheduled for the President, and set for the First Lady. Because of the tightness of the schedule for June, they want to leave it as an option for the President. However, this event -- which is both the grants announcement and the release of a new report showing how after-school programs prevent crime and help kids learn -- responds to the President's wish to do an after-school event, and sets us up for the appropriations process we are entering. We are basically trying to get a commitment by the President for the 11th because Ed is concerned that we can't push the grants announcement much later.

Thanks very much--

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Christopher C. Jennings (CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:29-MAY-1998 15:43:21.00

SUBJECT: minority and tobacco update for weekly

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Here you go.

cj===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS_EXT:[ATTACH.D50]MAIL45275615C.126 to ASCII,
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF5750435A040000010A020100000002050000004E0D000000020000C5FC3C09D52FC0D002A04F
948D5371150DCAE12D3A56C41A5BC4545E69F08903D5C92B0C4F575B3E3B7FF019359DA14AB651

Tobacco Legislation and the House Minority Caucuses. Next Monday, DPC, OMB, and HHS will meet with representatives of the House Minority Caucuses to discuss the provisions they wish to be inserted into any tobacco legislation. Working out an acceptable agreement with the Members of the Caucuses is critical to ensuring their support for the overall tobacco initiative. We are already hearing that they have grave concerns about the Senate version of the tobacco bill, particularly as it relates to provisions that block grant the prevention and cessation investments. As you know, this community has a long-standing mistrust toward the states and the use of block grants. They are also upset that there are no specific investments for Historically Black and Hispanic Colleges and Universities and Medical Schools. Their current alternative raises some significant constitutional and administrative concerns that we must work through. More specifically, their language has set-aside oriented language that may run afoul of the Adarand Supreme Court decision and their funding allocations would alter the investment agreements we have worked out with Senator McCain, as well as create major administrative burdens for HHS. We anticipate that Monday will be the first in a series of drawn out discussions designed to reach an acceptable compromise.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Thomas L. Freedman (CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:29-MAY-1998 11:19:37.00

SUBJECT: WSJ Farmers Item

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia Dailard (CN=Cynthia Dailard/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

The Journal carried an item today indicating that Conrad is working on a compromise and Ford thinks he has 50 votes.

1. Conrad has said pretty consistently that he wants less money in the overall LEAF package, and some money for ND farmers -- particularly those in emergency situations. He and Ford have been confidentially negotiating some money for farm states and I think that is what the Journal is referring to. Tom Mahre said there is no compromise, he thinks that is what the Journal is talking about. A move in this direction is necessary to hold our D's, most likely, but it won't increase our overall vote count (doesn't take from the Lugar total).
2. The Journal says Ford thinks he has 50. Ford is saying he thinks he will probably hold the 45 D's, he's got 3 publicly committed R's, and so needs one or two R's depending on whether Lott and/or Faircloth abstain.
3. We need a way to break up the Lugar coalition. One idea is to get a vote on whether, should Lugar pass, the bill will require \$6 billion of spending or \$2.1 billion as indicated in Title IV. If it is \$2 billion, McConnell and Helms etc. could not support Lugar, if it is \$6 billion it would presumably make it much harder for Jeffords, Chafee. We need to explore this. Lugar is said to be considering some options on spending, either a separate excise tax dedicated to farmers or suggesting the money come out of the budget surplus. This is rumor.
4. We are still working to build a compromise. Ford does think he will win, if he loses he thinks that is the time to offer the compromise.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Sarah A. Bianchi (CN=Sarah A. Bianchi/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:29-MAY-1998 10:59:34.00

SUBJECT: weekly

TO: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS_EXT:[ATTACH.D8]MAIL47403515D.126 to ASCII,
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF57504370040000010A020100000002050000006D20000000020000E7A02373B5C3E0F84316D3
9F8FF05C80E2FCBC372B8D2D7F0B771870C7C350DB88825C6862ECFF89413BA1B66E04CCC15403

Assisted Suicide Update. Next week we plan on releasing the Justice Department interpretation that concludes that the Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA) has no legal authority to prohibit physicians from prescribing drugs with the intention of hastening death. As you will recall, Senator Hatch and Congressman Hyde had requested that the DEA/Justice determine if there was any Federal authority to address Oregon's assisted suicide law, which permits physicians to provide medications for the purposes of aiding in an assisted suicide. Consistent with your instructions, we will release this interpretation, but will also make clear that you maintain your longstanding position of opposition to assisted suicide and remain open to workable legislative approaches that address this issue. We expect that Senator Hatch and Congressman Hyde will respond by introducing legislation that provides DEA the explicit authority to press charges against physicians who assist in suicide. As you know, we believe that a DEA approach is ill-advised. We will carefully roll out the announcement of your position on this issue with both Members and interested organizations, such as the Catholic Health Association and the American Medical Association and will keep you advised of legislative developments.

HCFA Letter to Ravenswood Hospital in Chicago. Last week, 15 year old Christopher Sercye died of gunshot wounds just 35 feet from Ravenswood Hospital in Chicago, where friends had brought the young man for help. Hospital workers did not leave their posts and apparently would not even give police officers a stretcher to help the young man. In response, the Health Care Financing Administration sent out a letter to the President of Ravenswood Hospital on Friday stating that the hospital will lose its Medicare funding on June 19, 1998, unless the facility takes steps to ensure that the events that led to the tragic death of Christopher Sercye will not be repeated. You released a statement to highlight the Administration's strong action. In addition, your statement urges all hospitals to follow the recently released guidance by the American Hospital Association that advise hospitals to change any policies that prevent taking appropriate actions in a medical emergency.

Medicare Commission. Next Monday, the Medicare Commission will be holding another meeting to respond to its Members concerns that they have not had enough time to have intensive discussions about the major issues of benefits, costs, eligibility, administration, and financing. Senator Breaux, concerned about the perception of the Commission's irrelevance, has asked Senators Lott and Daschle to open up the meeting with words of encouragement on the charge and the potential of this Commission. The Democrats, including our Commission members, are becoming increasingly nervous that the Commission's staff and Congressman Thomas are focusing much too heavily on highly speculative, numbers-driven policy and much too little time on how best to reform the Medicare program to respond to the delivery challenges it faces in the next century. They therefore can be expected to urge the Commission to dedicate as much time to program design as to program financing. Our members are increasingly seeking answers from us as to how comfortable we are in allowing them to engage in serious discussions about controversial policy reforms on benefits (both in requiring higher cost sharing and more benefits like prescription drug coverage), eligibility age changes, income-related means-testing, and the possibility of putting new revenues on the table. In general, we believe that at this point it would be constructive for the Commission to engage in general discussions on all of these issues with the exception of new revenues. As for revenues, we believe our members should only

address this issue until it becomes clear that traditional saving approaches alone will be insufficient to satisfactorily address the program's financing challenges. We are working closely with Gene Sperling and the NEC to assure we have a coordinate message.

Long Term Care. Long term care is an issue that the aging and disability advocates are increasingly raising as a major issue that cannot continue to go unaddressed. The demographic changes in the population make clear that, in the absence of major new breakthroughs in cures and treatments, there will be an extraordinary increase in demand for services targeted to the nation's aging and disability population. Because of cost and other limitations, both the public and private sectors have been slow to develop responses to this challenge. Although comprehensive solutions are politically and financially unrealistic, we believe that we should begin a series of targeted policy options to begin to address this problem. These could include, requiring FEHBP to offer (but not pay for) private long-term care policies, educating Medicare beneficiaries that Medicare does not cover long term care and advising them of other options, developing policy options that provide more flexibility to states to provide home and community-based personal care options for Medicaid elderly and disabled eligibles, and developing possible tax incentive approaches that could potentially increase the purchase of private long-term care policies. We believe that you may want to move in this area relatively soon and capture at least part of an issue that has extraordinary political and policy dimensions.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jennifer M. Palmieri (CN=Jennifer M. Palmieri/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 29-MAY-1998 17:55:44.00

SUBJECT: Statement

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ: UNKNOWN

TO: Amy W. Tobe (CN=Amy W. Tobe/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ: UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Briefing for statement will be 10:15-10:45 am, statement will be 10:45-11:00 am.

Plan is for POTUS only to speak, but VP and Erskine to be with him.

How does that sound?

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Thomas L. Freedman (CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:29-MAY-1998 11:55:18.00

SUBJECT: Other steps

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia Dailard (CN=Cynthia Dailard/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Mary L. Smith (CN=Mary L. Smith/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

With the goal of causing some split off from Lugar, we've asked OMB (Gotbaum) to put together state by state numbers on what Lugar would mean in cuts for each state programs so we could go to Collins, Snowe, Jeffords, etc. and show them the impact on their state. Josh may mention this project to you.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:29-MAY-1998 11:50:49.00

SUBJECT: Complete Weekly 5/29- Paul has edited

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS_EXT:[ATTACH.D14]MAIL44085515L.126 to ASCII,
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF5750433A0F0000010A02010000000205000000041500000000200000D340DC3C1AEFAB4EDC99BC
6CAA1B0F7A08EF427C65212246941C9ED5045072ACBB9AC3214D067BC78008318790C71F74BB65

May 29, 1998

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: Bruce Reed
Elena Kagan

RE: DPC Weekly Report

Tobacco -- Senate Update: When the Senate returns from recess, it is scheduled to return to the McCain legislation and resume consideration of an amendment by Sen. Durbin to increase look back penalties and an amendment by Sen. Gramm to devote most of the bill's revenues to a tax cut for married couples with income of less than \$50,000 per year (advertised as an elimination of the marriage penalty). The Gramm amendment would provide a significant tax cut to low- and middle- income people, but would require a 78 percent cut in spending for public health, medical research, farmers, and the states. We are still deciding whether to develop and/or support a smaller tax cut proposal. Such a proposal would take the pressure off Democrats to vote for the Gramm amendment and if passed, would enhance the attractiveness of the bill to Republicans; inevitably, however, the proposal would diminish the funds available for spending on health research and public health programs.

Tobacco --Tobacco Farmers: This week the Senate may begin consideration of those provisions of the tobacco bill relating to tobacco farmers. The legislation currently includes a provision drafted by Sen. Lugar that would buy-out all farmers from the quota program within 3 years at a cost of \$18 billion, eliminating the government program and creating a free market in tobacco in its place. The legislation also includes Sen. Ford's measure, which the Administration supports, to preserve the program and give farmers the option of being bought out, at a total cost of \$28.5 billion spread over 25 years. USDA calculates that the Lugar approach would lead to dramatic increases in the amount of tobacco grown in the U.S., and a reduction in the cost of tobacco for companies. Last week, the Washington Post ran an article that included USDA's calculation that tobacco companies will save \$800 million a year or \$20 billion over the next 25 years if Lugar becomes law. In addition, OMB estimates that the Lugar provision would necessitate a 69% cut in the research and other public health programs called for in the McCain legislation. Armed with these numbers, public health groups like the Campaign for Tobacco-Free Kids have lobbied the Senate for the Ford measure. Procedurally, the next move is for both Sens. Ford and Lugar to try and strike the other's measure from the bill. Along with USDA, we will continue to work with Sens. Ford, Robb, and Hollings to build support for their farmers provision and simultaneously seek to fashion a compromise that might garner significant Republican votes.

Welfare Reform -- State Reinvestment of TANF Savings: During your meeting with Welfare-to-Work Partnership Board members last week you stressed the importance of states reinvesting their welfare savings in child care, transportation and training. We will work with HHS to analyze state expenditure data for TANF and child care. Unfortunately, this data is not as timely as we would like. In the meantime, there is some encouraging information in the NGA Fiscal Survey of States released on May 27th. The report indicates that States are shifting the spending of their welfare funds from cash to work-related supports. Comparing expenditures in 1996 with planned spending for 1998, the percent of welfare spending on cash assistance dropped 27 percent (roughly comparable with caseload declines), while spending on child care increased by 55 percent and spending on work activities increased 34 percent. Total welfare spending declined by 9 percent, but given caseload reductions, this actually represents increased spending per person.

Education -- Gov. Carper's Testing Program: Prior to your speech to the Delaware State Legislature, Gov. Carper related to you that Delaware's testing program tests students against both state and national standards, by combining test items aligned with state standards with items from NAEP. He reiterated this point in a recent letter following up your trip. We have followed up with Gov. Carper's staff to get more information about the state testing program. While it is true that Delaware does have a "hybrid" testing program, it is more accurate to say that it tests students against national norms, rather than national standards. Approximately 25% of the items on the Delaware test are taken from the SAT 9 (the Stanford Achievement Test), and this is sufficient to tell how an individual student ranks compared to other students nationwide. It does not, however, provide information on student performance relative to national standards, such as those in NAEP. You may recall that the National Academy of Sciences is studying the feasibility of statistically linking state tests with NAEP, so that state tests could in fact test students against national standards. Preliminary indications are that the NAS report, due July 1, will indicate that few state testing programs will meet the technical requirements for this kind of linking.

Education -- GAO report on national testing: Next week the GAO will issue a report, requested by Rep. Goodling, on the relationship between the Education Department and NAGB in developing the tests, and the procedures for awarding contracts for test development. The report affirms that the Education Department has honored the requirements of the law which placed control of test development with NAGB. In addition, GAO stated it had no concerns with regard to contracting procedures. While we do not expect the report will alter Rep. Goodling's position, it does negate one set of arguments he had planned to utilize.

Education -- Adult Education: You recently asked what the Administration had accomplished with respect to Adult Education, literacy training and the GED, and whether we need to take additional steps. The Senate and House Workforce bills, which are expected to be passed by July 1, provide for the reauthorization of Adult Education programs. Your FY 1999 budget requests a \$16 million increase in adult education for state grants, which funds local literacy, GED and adult education programs. It also includes a request for funds to develop

model English as a second language programs for adults, since 40% of adult education participants are in such programs. The Education Department has also funded a PBS series, "Crossroads Cafe" that is a "Sesame Street" for adults learning English. The program is now shown in 35 states (and 50 countries) and will be expanded shortly. DPC will work with NEC and the Education Department to explore how we can best highlight the efforts we already have underway, and what additional steps would be appropriate.

Health Care -- Assisted Suicide Update: Next week we plan on releasing the Justice Department interpretation that concludes that the Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA) has no legal authority to prohibit physicians from prescribing drugs with the intention of hastening death. As you will recall, Senator Hatch and Congressman Hyde had requested that the DEA/Justice determine if there was any Federal authority to address Oregon's assisted suicide law, which permits physicians to provide medications for the purposes of aiding in an assisted suicide. Consistent with your instructions, we will release this interpretation, but will also make clear that you maintain your longstanding position of opposition to assisted suicide and remain open to workable legislative approaches that address this issue. We expect that Senator Hatch and Congressman Hyde will respond by introducing legislation that provides DEA the explicit authority to press charges against physicians who assist in suicide. As you know, we believe that a DEA approach is ill-advised. We will carefully roll-out the announcement of your position on this issue with both Members and interested organizations, such as the Catholic Health Association and the American Medical Association and will keep you advised of legislative developments.

Health Care -- HCFA Letter to Ravenswood Hospital in Chicago: Last week, 15 year old Christopher Sercye died of gunshot wounds just 35 feet from Ravenswood Hospital in Chicago, where friends had brought the young man for help. Hospital workers did not leave their posts and apparently would not even give police officers a stretcher to help the young man. In response, the Health Care Financing Administration sent out a letter to the President of Ravenswood Hospital on Friday stating that the hospital will lose its Medicare funding on June 19, 1998, unless the facility takes steps to ensure that the events that led to the tragic death of Christopher Sercye will not be repeated. You released a statement to highlight the Administration's strong action. In addition, your statement urges all hospitals to follow the recently released guidance by the American Hospital Association that advise hospitals to change any policies that prevent taking appropriate actions in a medical emergency.

Health Care -- Medicare Commission: Next Monday, the Medicare Commission will be holding another meeting to respond to its Members concerns that they have not had enough time to have intensive discussions about the major issues of benefits, costs, eligibility, administration, and financing. Senator Breaux, troubled about the perception of the Commission's irrelevance, has asked Sens. Lott and Daschle to open up the meeting with words of encouragement on the charge and the potential of this Commission. The Democrats, including our Commission members, are becoming increasingly nervous that

the Commission's staff and Congressman Thomas are focusing much too heavily on highly speculative, numbers-driven policy and much too little time on how best to reform the Medicare program to respond to the delivery challenges it faces in the next century. We expect they will urge the Commission to dedicate as much time to program design as to program financing. Our members are increasingly seeking answers from us as to how comfortable we are in allowing them to engage in serious discussions about controversial policy reforms on benefits (including requiring higher cost sharing and more benefits like prescription drug coverage), eligibility age changes, income-related means-testing, and the possibility of putting new revenues on the table. In general, we believe that it would be constructive for the Commission to engage in general discussions on all of these issues with the exception of new revenues. We believe our members should only address the revenue issue only if it is determined that traditional saving approaches alone will be insufficient to satisfactorily address the program's financing challenges. We are working closely with Gene Sperling and the NEC to assure we have a coordinated message.

Health Care -- Long Term Care: Aging and disability advocates are increasingly pressing us to address the issues surrounding long-term care. The demographic changes in the population make clear that, in the absence of major new breakthroughs in cures and treatments, there will be an extraordinary increase in demand for services targeted to the nation's aging and disability population. Because of cost and other limitations, both the public and private sectors have been slow to develop responses to this challenge. Although comprehensive solutions are politically and financially unrealistic, we believe that we should begin a series of targeted policy options to begin to address this problem. These could include, requiring FEHBP to offer (but not pay for) private long-term care policies, educating Medicare beneficiaries that Medicare does not cover long term care and advising them of other options, developing policy options that provide more flexibility to States to provide home and community-based personal care options for Medicaid elderly and disabled eligibles, and developing possible tax incentive approaches that could potentially increase the purchase of private long-term care policies. We believe that you may want to take steps in this area relatively soon and capture at least part of an issue that has extraordinary political and policy dimensions.

Community Empowerment -- Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC): This week staff from the DPC and the NEC meet with representatives of LISC and the Enterprise Foundation on ways to secure passage of the LIHTC. Legislation that would implement your proposal to expand the credit by \$1.6 billion now has 50 cosponsors in the Senate and almost 200 hundred in the House. We asked both organizations for suggestions on how build more support for the legislation. They are planning to get back to us in the next two weeks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:29-MAY-1998 11:46:34.00

SUBJECT:

TO: ELENA (Pager) #KAGAN (ELENA (Pager) #KAGAN [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Bruce just called & will call back in 3 minutes

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Michael Cohen (CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:29-MAY-1998 16:03:06.00

SUBJECT: cleaned up draft

TO: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS_EXT:[ATTACH.D83]MAIL41446615Q.126 to ASCII,
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF5750430C050000010A02010000000205000000543F000000020000CE03A156F2AB360A46162D
DC6552FE52CF7D8DA0EC6EC7E99C9AA6D73C8BBD7081DF3263CB20E70971CF41BF2A50C9D30036
8B2900B33C90E8B5F0FBF31F702728115EB8C2756C7B37DCC26198B3A4492A290588F08C56CCA5

DRAFT

May 29, 1998

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: BRUCE REED

SUBJECT: Riggs Bilingual Education Bill

On Thursday May 21 the House Subcommittee on Early Childhood, Youth and Families reported H.R. 3892, the English Fluency Act, introduced by Rep. Frank Riggs. This bill raises many of the same programmatic issues and political dynamics as the Unz Initiative in California, as well as additional civil rights enforcement concerns. We expect the bill to be taken up at the full committee either on June 4 or June 11.

The purpose of this memo is to update you on our strategy for addressing this bill. Because few members have focused on this bill yet, the political context in which it will be considered is still quite fluid. The Unz vote in California next week as well as the mark-up shortly after the recess will focus attention on this issue, and we will reassess the situation and our strategy after these and other significant events.

I. Summary and Analysis of the Proposed Legislation

The bill would eliminate the existing Bilingual Education and Emergency Immigrant Education programs and (1) establish a formula block grant program to states to provide assistance to LEP and immigrant students in English language instruction, (2) require states to withdraw funding from local programs in cases where students do not master English within two years; (3) set a 3-year limit for serving any individual student with these program funds; (4) require parental consent before a student could be placed in a program that uses native language instruction; and, (5) prohibit states from exempting LEP students who have been in the same school system for 2 years from statewide testing in academic subjects in English, by withdrawing funds under this program from states that do not follow this policy.

In addition, the bill would void existing compliance agreements between the Department's Office for Civil Rights (OCR) and States and local school districts, if those agreements require those States and school districts to develop, implement, provide, or maintain any form of bilingual education. Similarly, the bill requires OCR to publish in the Federal Register all enforcement guidelines and compliance standards that relate to the provision of English language

instruction to students with limited English proficiency. OCR would be prohibited from entering into any new compliance agreements based on those guidelines or standards until those guidelines and standards, themselves, are approved by a subsequent statute.

This bill is seriously flawed in a number of respects, even though it attempts to promote the more rapid mastery of English. Like Unz, its punitive approach is likely to result in fewer rather than more students mastering English. It is simply unrealistic to expect that all students, or even a large proportion of them, will master English within 2 years. And in cases where this timetable is not achieved, the sole remedy is to cut off funds. The bill makes no provisions to provide extra help to individual students who lack English proficiency after two years. Nor does it provide a mechanism or resources to strengthen local programs that do not meet the two year standard.

The proposed state block grant would replace the existing discretionary grant program that targets funds to the local school systems with the greatest need and highest quality proposals.

There would be no formula for allocating funds to local school districts within each state. Under this arrangement, funding would be less effectively targeted to communities with the greatest need, especially large cities such as Los Angeles, San Francisco, New York and Chicago.

These communities generally receive less than their fair share of funds in other programs (e.g., Goals 2000 and the Technology Literacy Challenge Fund) that allow states to distribute funds on a discretionary rather than formula basis. In addition, the bill lacks maintenance of effort and supplement-not-supplant provisions to prevent States and school districts from substituting federal funds for state and local funds.

The Riggs bill is likely to exacerbate the current shortage of qualified bilingual education and ESL teachers nationwide. It would eliminate the professional development program which helps strengthen the skills of existing teachers and train new ESL and bilingual education teachers. In contrast to your FY 1999 proposal to double funding for teacher training, this bill would limit state use of funds for teacher training and force teacher training to compete for funds for service delivery at the local level.

Finally, the civil rights provisions could effectively end--or at least seriously delay--enforcement of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as it applies to the education of language minority children, through the use of voluntary compliance agreements. The use of compliance agreements is one of OCR's most important (and, by far, the most commonly used) enforcement tools regarding the provision of appropriate educational programs for such children.

Title VI, itself, requires OCR to attempt to resolve all compliance problems under it, including those relating to the education of language minority children, through voluntary means before employing other, more adversarial, means, such as funding terminations--preceded by administrative litigation--and referral to the Department of Justice for court litigation. If H.R. 3892 were enacted, OCR enforcement of Title VI as it applies to the education of language minority children would necessarily have to rely far more on litigation than it currently does, and States and school districts would necessarily endure far more costly and time-consuming

litigation, not only with the Department of Education and the Department of Justice, but with private parties, as well, because States and school districts would no longer be able to rely on voluntary agreements with OCR as evidence of their compliance with Title VI.

II. Congressional Outlook and Strategy

The bill was reported out of subcommittee on a party-line vote. We expect the bill to be taken up at full committee shortly after recess, on either June 4 or June 11. We expect Democrats will remain uniformly opposed to the bill at committee.

The Congressional Hispanic Caucus is adamantly opposed to the Riggs bill. Shortly before subcommittee mark-up they met with Secretary Riley and pressed him very hard for a veto threat from the Administration. While Secretary Riley would in fact recommend a veto of this bill, he told the Caucus that a veto threat would need to be accompanied by an alternative bill, in order to reinforce the Administration's principles for strengthening bilingual education articulated in his statement opposing the Unz Initiative, and to provide cover to Democrats voting against Riggs. However, Caucus members are also firmly opposed to the introduction of any alternative bill, and we will have difficulty gaining strong Democratic support for our alternative over the opposition of the CHC. They prefer to delay consideration of changes in the program until next year's scheduled reauthorization. In addition, they are not comfortable with the prospect of translating our 3-year goal for students to become proficient in English into legislation. For subcommittee mark-up, the CHC preferred that we stay silent on the bill rather than signalling opposition short of a veto threat, or coupling a veto threat with an alternative bill. We did not comment on the bill before subcommittee mark up, but will need to take a position before full committee.

We have been working with Mr. Gephardt's office to gauge the bill's prospects on the House floor. However, few members beyond the subcommittee and the Hispanic Caucus have focused on it, so it is difficult to predict how this will play out. While the civil rights provisions may help keep Democrats together, we expect that many conservative Democrats, particularly those without sizeable Hispanic constituencies, will find it difficult to oppose this legislation, especially in the wake of the anticipated Unz vote, without some alternative to vote for.

There is no indication at present that the Senate Education and Labor Committee intends to take up the Riggs bill. While there is always a chance of a floor amendment, we think the odds that such a move would succeed are quite slim. Thus, it is very unlikely that a bill to overhaul bilingual education will pass the Congress this session.

Our primary objective therefore is to oppose Riggs while avoiding the appearance of defending the status quo. We also have an opportunity to set the stage for the reauthorization of the bilingual education program in the next Congress, if we can effectively advocate for our own reform approach without splitting Democrats on the issue. Our effectiveness toward these ends

depends in part our ability to gain Hispanic Caucus support for our alternative. This in turn will depend heavily on the extent to which other Democrats express the need for an alternative bill, the extent to which the Caucus continues to want a strong veto threat on this bill, and our willingness to condition a veto threat on CHC support for our alternative legislation.

To accomplish our objectives, we will stay in close touch with the CHC and with Mr. Gephardt's office, and are planning the following steps:

- **Prepare an alternative legislative proposal.** The Education Department is drafting legislation, in the form of amendments to the existing bilingual education program, based on the principles articulated by Secretary Riley's statement of opposition to Unz. While the legislation can be ready shortly, we have not yet determined the best time to introduce the bill. As indicated above; if an alternative were introduced at committee mark-up next week, we could not count on broad Democratic support due to CHC opposition. We believe the situation could well change when the bill comes to the floor, when many Democrats may feel the need for an alternative to vote for in order to vote against Riggs. If this situation materializes, we believe the Hispanic Caucus will likely change its position and support our alternative. If not, we would again face the prospect of offering a bill that is opposed by a significant number of Democrats, and would recommend against taking this step.
- **Continue to link the possibility of a veto threat to support for our alternative proposal, at least through full committee mark-up.** In our conversations with the Hispanic Caucus leading up to the full committee mark-up, we will continue to take the position that we cannot issue a veto threat unless we also put our alternative on the table, with their support. In the short run, the most likely result of this approach is that we indicate our "strong opposition" to the Riggs bill before mark-up, rather than issuing a veto threat, though the outcome of the Unz vote could affect how caucus members view the value of an alternative bill, even before the mark-up. When the bill goes to the floor we will be able to better gauge the desirability of a veto threat and alternative legislation, and will reassess our strategy accordingly.
- **Consider nonlegislative steps to highlight your commitment to reforming bilingual education.** In order to ensure that your opposition to Riggs is not equated with a defense of the status quo, we are exploring several steps you could take to underscore your commitment to reform. One would be to issue a directive to the Education Department or NPR, to identify models of effective approaches to helping kids become proficient in English within your 3-year goal. A second approach would be to begin to reframe the debate over bilingual education. In addition to stressing the necessity of LEP kids becoming proficient in English within 3 years, you could also call for efforts to help more native English speakers become proficient in a second language, in order to be competitive in the global economy. In California, each of the gubernatorial candidates has taken this approach, stressing the value of all students leaving school fluent in English and another language.

Automated Records Management System
Hex-Dump Conversion

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Michael Cohen (CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:29-MAY-1998 14:14:56.00

SUBJECT: Reed/Sperling Education memo for Podesta

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Cathy R. Mays (CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Attached is a memo requested by Karen Tramontano to serve as background for the WH participants in Monday afternoon's meeting with Bob Chase and Sandy Feldman. Karen asked that we get it to John by COB today, for circulation on Monday.

Bob Shireman has sent this same version to Gene.===== ATTACHMENT 1

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS_EXT:[ATTACH.D35]MAIL48402615B.126 to ASCII,

The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF575043D8050000010A02010000000205000000C12C000000020000A20801B96A7E4FC5CD2BBF
6002727CE7450AA0F1BCD57FF2EAA5959CE9AF8CB7047E4FC580AE158C6A1B21C872CF7B1763AD

DRAFT DRAFT DRAFT
May 29, 1998

MEMORANDUM TO JOHN PODESTA

ANN LEWIS
LARRY STEIN
RON KLAIN
KAREN TRAMONTANO

FROM: GENE SPERLING
BRUCE REED
MIKE COHEN
BOB SHIREMAN

SUBJECT: MEETING WITH BOB CHASE AND SANDY FELDMAN

The primary focus of the meeting should be how we work to achieve our common agenda of increasing the Federal investment in education.

- For us, the main struggle is finding hooks for engaging the Congress and getting press attention on these issues.
- From them, we should ask for a report on their current and planned activities with Congress and in the field.

We should also touch on some of the areas where the Congress has attempted to put Democrats on the defensive.

I. The Common Agenda

The NEA and AFT are strong supporters of our efforts on education, especially:

- school modernization
- class size reduction
- increased spending on discretionary programs (we differ on special education, which our budget essentially straight-lined and Republicans plan to increase).

Status: The Republicans this year have made a greater effort to appear to be *for* something, rather than just opposed to our pro-education efforts. That has made it more difficult, at least so far, to draw the stark distinctions that have helped us to define -- and win -- the debate in prior years. Our efforts to substitute school construction for the Coverdell bill yielded strong votes, but no victory. We have been unsuccessful in getting class size as part of the tobacco deal (though the NIH funding would relieve pressure on appropriations). And the allocation for Labor-HHS-Education appropriations, while basically consistent with the BBA, will not support our investments.

Strategy: Resolution of these issues -- if any -- will occur in the final weeks of the legislative session. So far, however, observers in the press do not see education as a major item of dissention that will need to be worked out this year. Our chances of succeeding are low unless we begin raising the temperature **now** so that Congress feels intense heat on this issue. We can do that through the bully pulpit, and Democrats in Congress can help by offering amendments and using the legislative process in other ways to force the issues. *In short, we must take every opportunity to emphasize our education priorities.* Some of the opportunities include:

- **Signing of Highway Bill:** building on the President's prior statements about the need to invest in the education infrastructure, challenge Congress to pass the school modernization bill (which provides bonds worth just 10% of the highway investment).
- **House budget resolution:** Criticize cuts to education that would be required (treat Kasich's original assumptions as real); insist on investment, not just maintaining current levels.
- **Veto of Coverdell bill:** push modern schools and smaller class size as the logical, constructive approach.
- **Tax bill:** Insist on school construction as a part of any bill.
- **Labor/HHS appropriations mark-ups:** Pounce on them immediately for failing to invest.
- **Putting Class Size Reduction back in play:** With tobacco funding no longer viable, we need to put the class size proposal back onto the agenda by proposing an offset. OMB is searching for any offsets we could possibly use, though this will be difficult.
- **Finding additional cosponsors for School Modernization:** Now that there is a Republican cosponsor of the School Modernization bill (Rep. Leach, thanks to NEA's efforts), escalate our cosponsor-gathering activities.

We need help from the Democrats in Congress. In order for the above to succeed, we need Democrats to join with us in these efforts. If Democrats cut their own deals -- e.g. on appropriations -- then it will be much more difficult to make the case for the overall investments.

II. Defensive Efforts

Republicans will continue to press us on a number of issues, including:

- **Coverdell** (education savings accounts for private K-12 education). At some point -- but *not* to be discussed Monday -- we may need to think about versions that we might ultimately find acceptable, in exchange for other of our priorities.
- **Block Grants.** As the Republican proposals get more sophisticated, some Dems may find that they need an alternative to support. While the NEA & AFT are not thrilled with our "Ed-Flex" proposal, it does offer that alternative.
- **Special Education.** In appropriations and other battles, Republicans have essentially started a "special education first" mantra, creating the impression that they are not against education investments, they are just for different investments.

- **Bilingual Education.** Riggs bill, to be marked-up at full committee first or second week of June, will radically change bilingual education. Administration is opposed to the bill; POTUS will want to have an alternative on the table during the debate.

III. Other important issues to mention

- **National Tests:** we simply need to reiterate that this remains high priority for POTUS, and we will fight to get continued funding for it in appropriations.
- **Reading Initiative:** We will push for Congress to pass a bill before July 1, so we can use \$210 million advance appropriation before it is turned over to special education. While we are not enthused about the TAG provision (sort of an after-school tutoring voucher), it is drafted in a way that we can accept, and we hope NEA/AFT won't let it stand in the way of our getting a bill.
- **Higher Education Act/Master Teachers:** POTUS will fight hard to prevent any damage to the National Board for Professional Teaching Standards. (In HEA, the High Hopes for College initiative and teacher training proposals are also of interest to NEA/AFT).

IV. Other issues that may come up:

- **Charter School Legislation:** Bipartisan legislation expanding current charter school program has passed the House and will be marked up in Senate in near future; neither AFT nor NEA support this legislation. No need to highlight this in the meeting.
- **Workforce Development Act/G.I. Bill for America's Workers:** A conference agreement may be on the President's desk by the end of June. We will fight any final language that would undermine school-to-work or vocational education.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Christa Robinson (CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:29-MAY-1998 17:25:29.00

SUBJECT: Tobacco Statement

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia Dailard (CN=Cynthia Dailard/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Cathy R. Mays (CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Toby Donenfeld (CN=Toby Donenfeld/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

The President will make a statement on tobacco on Monday at 10:45am in the Rosegarden. The Vice President and Erskine will stand behind him. At this point, there are no plans to invite any Cabinet or anyone else. Please let me know if you think Shalala should be there.

Paper:

- 1.) I have let speechwriting know that Cynthia Dailard is the contact to help on the statement. I think Waldman will be writing the remarks and I'm sure he'll be calling you all. A draft will be circulated Monday morning.
- 2.) I will draft a short briefing memo right now for Elena's review. It would be great to turn it in tonight, but can go in as late as Sunday at 4pm.
- 3.) Do you think we need a one pager? I would think the statement can stand alone. Please let me know so I can alert the press office.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:29-MAY-1998 10:56:05.00

SUBJECT:

TO: ELENA (Pager) #KAGAN (ELENA (Pager) #KAGAN [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Call Bill Corr ASAP 224-5556

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Christa Robinson (CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:29-MAY-1998 17:05:28.00

SUBJECT: POTUS Schedule

TO: Julie A. Fernandes (CN=Julie A. Fernandes/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andrea Kane (CN=Andrea Kane/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeanne Lambrew (CN=Jeanne Lambrew/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Essence P. Washington (CN=Essence P. Washington/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Cohen (CN=Michael Cohen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher C. Jennings (CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary L. Smith (CN=Mary L. Smith/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tanya E. Martin (CN=Tanya E. Martin/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Neera Tanden (CN=Neera Tanden/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas L. Freedman (CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cathy R. Mays (CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Donna L. Geisbert (CN=Donna L. Geisbert/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Allison Balderston (CN=Allison Balderston/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia Dailard (CN=Cynthia Dailard/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah A. Bianchi (CN=Sarah A. Bianchi/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
 READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Diana Fortuna (CN=Diana Fortuna/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
 READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer L. Klein (CN=Jennifer L. Klein/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
 READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan H. Schnur (CN=Jonathan H. Schnur/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
 READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jose Cerda III (CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
 READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
 READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
 READ:UNKNOWN

TO: WEINSTEIN_P (WEINSTEIN_P @ A1 @ CD @ VAXGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (OPD)
 READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

There are several message openings the week of June 15th. Please let me know asap if you have any events you would like to propose for that week. (There will be a decision meeting next week). Thanks.

Next Week:

| | | |
|------|--------|---|
| Mon | Jun 1 | Tobacco Statement |
| Tue | Jun 2 | Census Event (Houston) |
| Wed | Jun 3 | City Year Event (Cleveland) |
| Thur | Jun 4 | SAVER Summit DLC National Conversation |
| Fri | Jun 5 | MIT Commencement Tape Radio Address -- Homeownership Message Opening of the Thoreau Institute |
| Mon | Jun 8 | UN Special Session on Drugs (NYC) |
| Tue | Jun 9 | ISTEA Bill Signing (White House) Korean State Visit |
| Wed | Jun 10 | Equal Pay Event Tape Videos |
| Thur | Jun 11 | After School Event PIR Lunch (Tentative) |
| Fri | Jun 12 | Oceans Conference (Monterey, CA) Tape Radio Address |
| Mon | Jun 15 | Presidential Scholars Event |
| Tue | Jun 16 | Message Event TBD |
| Wed | Jun 17 | Message Event TBD |
| Thur | Jun 18 | Foreign Visit |
| Fri | Jun 19 | Message Event TBD Satellite to US Conf. of Mayors |
| Mon | Jun 22 | Family Conference |
| Tue | Jun 23 | Message Event TBD |

Wed Jun 24- Travel to China
Jul 7