

NLWJC - KAGAN

EMAILS RECEIVED

ARMS - BOX 089 - FOLDER -002

[02/05/1999]

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Email to Elena Kagan from Tracy Pakulniewicz at 09:20:15.00 . Subject: Pin (1 page)	02/05/1999	P6/b(6)
002. email	Email to Elena Kagan from Tracy Pakulniewicz at 12:10:14.00. Subject: Pin (1 page)	02/05/1999	P6/b(6)
003. email	Email to Elena Kagan from Beverly Barnes. Subject: Medical (1 page)	02/05/1999	P6/b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
 Automated Records Management System [Email]
 WHO ([Kagan])
 OA/Box Number: 500000

FOLDER TITLE:

[02/05/1999]

2009-1006-F

jm62

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 5-FEB-1999 09:01:31.00

SUBJECT:

TO: ELENA (Pager) #KAGAN (ELENA (Pager) #KAGAN [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

FYI- Ogden & Schultz are here for 9:00 mtg.

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Email to Elena Kagan from Tracy Pakulniewicz at 09:20:15.00 . Subject: Pin (1 page)	02/05/1999	P6/b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Automated Records Management System [Email]
WHO ([Kagan])
OA/Box Number: 500000

FOLDER TITLE:

[02/05/1999]

2009-1006-F

jm62

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Kevin S. Moran (CN=Kevin S. Moran/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 5-FEB-1999 09:23:10.00

SUBJECT: HHS Meeting

TO: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Elena... I understand that we are going to put on a meeting this afternoon to discuss the HHS law suit, but that you need to hold a few discussions first. Do you have any preference for when we schedule the meeting? Also, can you send me a list of the folks you'd recommend we include? Thanks a lot. k

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Andrea Kane (CN=Andrea Kane/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 5-FEB-1999 09:41:21.00

SUBJECT: AP story

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Lee Ann Brackett (CN=Lee Ann Brackett/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: edahl (edahl @ os.dhhs.gov @ inet [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Here's the full transcript from Cuomo's speech.

It does appear the quotes were somewhat out of context. As the excerpt below shows, he talked about successes in welfare reform, challenges remaining, and then about how it had become a political issue when it went to Congress, with demonizing the victim. But, as the bold sentences show, it's possible to see how the reporter could write what she did.

Welfare reform is, welfare reform is the topic of the conference a stepping off point and I think it serves that very well. If you take welfare reform as the specific a lot of success has been achieved through welfare reform. The economy has been going great guns since then. But a lot of success has been achieved. If you look at the numbers on welfare reform, welfare rolls down 44 percent. Single women down 20 percent. Earned income for family is up. All the numbers speak of good story of success. At the same time you can see real challenges within welfare reform. The same time you have the great numbers of success, you also have more cities saying the demand for emergency services is going up. And the numbers tend to show that while there has been a reduction in the welfare rolls, those who have left were the most mobile anyway, and those that are being left on the rolls are the hardest to reach. Well the existing welfare rolls, the remaining welfare rolls are becoming more and more minority. Hispanics are now the growing, largest growing percent within the welfare population, more urban. So a great story of success on the numbers but real challenges lie ahead.

And I think the reason why welfare is an appropriate stepping, stepping off point is because it's the truth about welfare reform, and the reason it's a good metaphor for what's going on now, is welfare reform was never about a policy debate. I was on the Welfare Reform Task Force for President Clinton, believe me it had nothing to do with any form policy debate once the issue got to the United States Congress, it was a political debate. No one would say, how do we really help people on welfare. Let's design an intelligent program to move people from welfare to

work, that wasn't the discussion. It was a political discussion and we were demonizing the people on welfare. They were the enemy and this was a retaliation against the enemy. That was what welfare reform was all about, that was the engine driving it. I would like to say that this was our nation at its best, saying we want to help the people on welfare, we want to invest in the people on welfare, that was not the discussion. It was a Congress pandering to some of the worst sentiment in the American people.

And the welfare recipient was really the icon for the enemy. Welfare recipient was a metaphor for the stereotypes. You know who gets welfare those lazy welfare mothers. We're suppose to give them money so they can sit home on a couch and make babies. All the ugly mean stereotypes, that's what welfare was really about. And welfare reform then meant punish that person who has gotten away with it for too long. I would also like to say that was a unique circumstance, the debate over welfare, but it wasn't, it wasn't. That anger, that mean spiritedness is to all common. Welfare became the hot button for it. It became the vent for it, but it is there day-to-day. I would also like to be able to say well it was a problem of the Congress, elect a new Congress and we'll solve the problem, but frankly it's not that simple either, because Congress was only a mirror reflecting back what the people were showing to the Congress. We should have more leadership from a Congress, we should have more strength from the Congress. But it was a mirror, that's what the representatives gave us back, the anger that they saw coming from the American people. ===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

WPC9

2

BJZCourier#|xHx6X@`7X@HP LaserJet 4SiHPLAS4SI.PRSx
@\' "<LX@#|x2< XHP LaserJet 4SiHPLAS4SI.PRSx
@\' "<LX@(CourierCourier Bold<?xxx,x6X@`7X@?xxx,x `7Xk5f) t4ft!n@)UP~tbPP%+Ft+SD
|#VP67Y]_^Y[XHrZ*u"+EE
B*b=t#273'3'Standard3'3'StandardHPLAS4SI.PRSx
(

@!:

"NATIONAL SUMMIT ON CHURCHES AND WELFARE REFORM
"
"SECRETARY CUOMO'S SPEECH"

%Taken on the date:

"TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 2, 1999

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR PUBLIC AFFAIRS
451 7TH STREET, NORTHWEST, ROOM 10132
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20410
(202) 7080980\$'H&H&@@"NATIONAL SUMMIT ON CHURCHES AND WELFARE REFORM

J"SECRETARY CUOMO'S SPEECH

"TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 2, 1999

□?F□(□START OF AUDIOTAPE□)

(MUSIC)

MALE SPEAKER:□□&Good afternoon. As always there is an announcement. Many of you have asked about the audio tapes of these terrific sessions we've been having and you'll be happy to know that everything has been taped. So audio tape is

on video tape, is on sale. I am told in the recreation area, didn't know we had a recreation area, maybe registration area. And there are order forms you can get an mail for tapes. B. J. after we spoke this morning we so impressed by the program he said maybe we can get some money from Brookings to transcribe these things and make resources out of them, I said that's a good idea.

I'm very happy to welcome Andrew Cuomo to be with us today. We have been, we just met, sharing back behind the stage a little bit about who we are and what we are doing. I use the English metaphor, legs, these people are legs in the neighborhoods across this country. Nonprofit organizations have good legs in the neighborhoods and the religious community often has some of the strongest legs. But mere people who know we are not just service providers, our vocation as religious folk has a prophetic vocation as well. And so we want to speak to the issues of justice and we're glad that Secretary of HUD is one who has a sensitivity to those issues of justice. We are anxious to form more effective working partnership with our friends in the public sector on every level, local, state and federal. And so we are glad for this chance to meet together today and listen to Andrew Cuomo.

Long before Andrew Cuomo became Secretary of the Department of Housing and Urban Development in 1997 he was building affordable housing and leading urban development movements. In fact, he was the first Secretary in HUD's history to have built an operative housing development before taking office. So he knew some

thing about the job before they gave him a job. In
 1986 Secretary Cuomo founde
 d Housing Enterprise for the
 Less Privilege or HELP, HELP. HELP provided more
 than shelter from the elements, but a range of services\$'H&H&@@that moved homel
 ess people from the streets to selfsufficiency. The organization went onto bec
 ome the
 nations largest private provider of transitional housing
 for homeless p
 eople. Many people in this room spend
 their lives and time working with homele
 ss people. t"t"I
 Since 1993 the Secretary has led the way to
 creating a host of innovated progra
 ms dealing with
 community and economic development, job creation,
 affordable ho
 using, and homelessness. But most
 important to us, most important to me is the
 strong
 commitment to encourage new partnerships with faith
 communities. Under
 Secretary Cuomo's leadership HUD
 more than any other agency within the Federal
 Government
 has reached to us in the faith community.
 Father Joe Hakula (phonetic sp.) who is an old
 friend of mine and many of us an
 d sort of our subversive
 agent inside the Federal Government Joe, radical Jesui
 t
 character down here, Father. Who we've known for many
 years with many moveme
 nts and struggles been shoulder
 and shoulder. Joe is involved with the Secreta
 ry and
 Center for Community and Interfaith Partnerships. There
 is now a concer
 ted effort to recognize what we've known\$'H&H&@@all along, the faith based comm
 unity organizations have
 for years been the heart of the cry for social justice
 .
 So I think we are kindred spirits with you today, Mr.
 Secretary, and we welc
 ome to you, this is a wonderful
 election of faith based organizers, these are
 o
 rganizers, these are activists who do the work on the
 ground and on the street,
 and they want to partner with
 you as much as we can find to do that. So we we
 lcome
 you and are very happy that you are with us today.
 Thank you.
 SECRETARY CUOMO:dd+Thank you very much. Good
 afternoon to all of you.
 PARTICIPANTS:☐☐&Good afternoon.
 SECRETARY CUOMO:dd+Oh, it's a better afternoon
 than that. It's a great afterno
 on, isn't it.

PARTICIPANTS:☐☐&Yes.

SECRETARY CUOMO:dd+First, Mr. Jim Wallace, God bless you, Jim, for everything you do and for this convening with more for your inspiration. You couldn't have

a better individual or better call than the call for renewal and Jim Wallace's leadership at this time in our history. And Jim, I just want to thank you very much. Carol Finley, I've worked with her for more years than I choose

to remember, God bless you for organizing this convention and for all you do, Carol, thank you, it's a pleasure to be here. And Jim introduced our radical J

esuit resident at HUD, Father Joseph Hakula, why don't you stand up you radical you. Father Hakula is the only priest who is a political appointee of the Clinton Administration so he very much is the radical.

And we couldn't have him at a better place than HUD.

I have two basic messages for you today. First message is that if we're going to make the kind of projects we're going to make the Government has to listen and hear the message, listen and hear. There is a difference between listening and hearing when you say something to someone, that is listening, hearing is in

taking the words and absorbing them and acting on them. Government must listen and hear. And the second message is, that ultimately it's going to be up to you to make the difference and up to you to catalyze and facilitate public opinion and public action in your community, those are the two messages.

Two stories about the two messages. First on the differences between listening and hearing. For those who hear the (indiscernible) in the confessionals.

You know the fellow goes into the confessionals, forgive me Father I have sinned it has been six months since my last confession, here are my sins. Father, I stole lumber. Father says, you stole lumber? Yes, I've stole lumber, Father. Father says, okay, two Hail Mary's, to Our Father's that's your penance.

The fellow leaves the confessional, does the penance. Next week the same fellow

low comes back to the confessionals, forgive me
Father for I have sinned, yes.

Father I stole lumber,
stole lumber. Okay. Five Hail Mary's and five Our
Fat
her's, that's your penance. Young man leaves the
confessional, next week the y
oung man comes back,
forgive me Father for I have sinned, Father I've stolen
lu
mber. Father said, well, wait, we have a
communication problem here now. Let
me put it this way
because I have given you penance and it hasn't made a
differ
ence, do you know how to make a Novina. He says,
no Father I don't know how to
make a Novina but if you
can get the building plans I can get the lumber. The

difference between listening and hearing. Government\$'H&H&@@has to listen but
Government also has to hear a change.

The second message is ultimately it's up to you.

The story of the farmer and t

he flood. Farmer down

south is on his farm and it starts to rains. And it's

r

aining, and it's raining, and the rain is nearing

biblical proportions and the

authorities now are afraid

of floods. They come out with a large truck and the

y go

out to the farm and they say to farmer, come on get in

the truck, it's rai

ning and the word is coming from the

county they are talking about flooding and

we want to

bring you to safety. The farmer says, no, I'm a God

fearing man, I

go to church every Sunday, the Lord will

take care of it. The authorities are

frustrated but

they leave. And the rain keeps coming, the rain keeps

coming a

nd now it's about six feet deep, the water, and

the authorities come back in a

boat and they say to the

farmer, we told you, now get in the boat, the rain is

coming, the floods are coming, we'll take you to safety.

The farmer says, no,

I'm a God fearing man, I go to

church every Sunday, the Lord will take care of

me.

They say, we know that, that's nice, but get into the

boat and we'll take

you to safety. And he says, no, the\$'H&H&@@Lord will provide.

Well the rain keeps coming, the rain keeps coming

and now the farmer is standin

g on the roof of the farm

house and the water is up to the farmers neck and the

farmer has his hands above the water and everything,
 there is water, just his
 head is above the water. And
 he's looking up and out of the clouds appears a
 h
 elicopter and the helicopter throws down a rope and
 they say to the farmer, gra
 b the rope we can still save
 you, it's not too late, grab the rope. And the fa
 rmer
 looks up, he says, no, I'm a God fearing man, I go to
 church every Sunday,
 the Lord will take care of me.
 They said, grab the rope for crying out loud,
 he says,
 no.

Next scene the farmer is at the pearly gates of
 heaven. And he meets our Lord
 and the farmer says,
 Lord, I'm a little confused, I was a God fearing man, I
 we
 nt to church every Sunday, I thought you would take
 care of me, what happened?

Our Lord look back and said,
 you're confused, I'm confused. I sent a truck, I
 sent a
 boat, I sent a helicopter. Ultimately it's up to you.
 Those two stori
 es in mind, let me make a couple of\$ 'H&H&@@points and see if there is any poss
 ible relevance to
 them.

Welfare reform is, welfare reform is the topic of
 the conference a stepping off
 point and I think it
 serves that very well. If you take welfare reform as
 the
 specific a lot of success has been achieved through
 welfare reform. The econo
 my has been going great guns
 since then. But a lot of success has been achieve
 d. If
 you look at the numbers on welfare reform, welfare rolls
 down 44 percent
 . Single women down 20 percent. Earned
 income for family is up. All the numb
 ers speak of good
 story of success. At the same time you can see real
 challeng
 es within welfare reform. The same time you
 have the great numbers of success,
 you also have more
 cities saying the demand for emergency services is going
 up
 . And the numbers tend to show that while there has
 been a reduction in the we
 lfare rolls, those who have
 left were the most mobile anyway, and those that ar
 e
 being left on the rolls are the hardest to reach. Well

vent for it, but
it is there daytoday. I would also like to be able to
say we
ll it was a problem of the Congress, elect a new
Congress and we'll solve the p
roblem, but frankly it's
not that simple either, because Congress was only a
mi
rror reflecting back what the people were showing to
the Congress. We should h
ave more leadership from a
Congress, we should have more strength from the
Cong
ress. But it was a mirror, that's what the
representatives gave us back, the a
nger that they saw\$□'H&H&@@coming from the American people.
Now why the anger? Why the anger? We are
essentially a nation that believes i
n the judaic
Christian tradition. We go to church on Sunday, we go
to temple o
n Saturday and we preach one set of values,
we preach community and we preach c
ompassion and we
preach civility, but then why do we live such a
different set
of values, because that's all this thing
called Government winds up being, it's
just the common.
It is a vehicle for the common will. Why the anger,
when it
is so opposite of all the virtues we espouse.
I think it's important to understand what's driving
the anger, because unless w
e find what is causing it we
will not be able to stop it. And unless we stop i
t we
won't be able to get the progressive government to the
scale that we're go
ing to need it. Because there is no
doubt that we are doing the right things i
n communities
all across the nations. There is also no doubt that
we're not do
ing enough of it and that we have to bring
those efforts up to scale, and to br
ing those efforts up
to scale we're going to have to bring the American
people
with us. So your charge is twofold, not just to\$
'H&H&@@do the good work that
you're doing within your own
sphere, but to increase your sphere to bring more
people
to bring that political will so that Congress which is a
mirror is will
then reflect that.
Why the anger? Why the anger? Complex set of
briefs, we've been working on it
for months at HUD. One

reason I think is a sense that Government has failed.

Government tried and Government failed. And the anger is a resentment towards

Government for that failure and I'm not going to have Government try again because it

failed once before. And the truth is there is some truth to that. Gov

ernment did fail in some cases. Not across the board, it's not a total failure, but in some

cases it failed. Good intent gone awry. And we were too late as

a Government in recognizing that failure.

Where at HUD we do public housing. Talk about an icon of Government failure.

People take public housing and would use it as an illustration of this anger.

You

want more money for the HUD budget, why, so you can build more public housi

ng. You know what public housing

did, we had a nice neighborhood, everything was fine,

then they came in with public housing, they built these\$'H&H&@@big hig

h rises, or if you are out west or down south,

these long complexes that went o

n block after block.

And you took a good neighborhood and you destroyed it, an

d then you crammed people into the public housing, and you made their lives wor

se, not better, and the taxpayer

paid the bill. Some truth to it.

Welfare system 20 years later we said, oops, we

made a mistake. We wind up the

n blaming the victim.

The Government failures were not the problem of the reci

ipient but the Government that designed the programs

in the first place. What t

he programs really did was

disincentivized and penalized all the values and

vir

tues that we espouse as American citizens. Look what

the programs did, disince

ntivized ownership. Public

housing, put people in the housing unit and said, i

t

doesn't matter how you treat the unit, doesn't matter

how you maintain the un

it, rent doesn't go up, rent

doesn't go do, you can never buy it, you can never

sell

it. That is an unnatural experience for us as

Americans. Disincentivize

d ownership, disincentivized

marriage it's what the welfare system did. Disinc

entivized marriage, disincentivized, disempowered\$'H&H&@@the male, her wage ear

ner. And then we wound up penalizing and blaming the people who participated in the program. But the aftermath, the leftovers, American public now says public failed and I'm not so quick to reinvest.

Why else the anger? Sorry to say, but I believe racism plays a part. You look at a poor people in this nation. It's very too often, it's too often synonymous with minority people in this nation. And it's therefore someone else's problem. It's their problem, it's someone different, and racism which is very much alive and well in America then plays a role in this problem. It's about an economic selfishness. All those years we heard about a deficit, this great deficit and we couldn't afford to reach out and help anyone else. Now there is a sense that the economy is going well and people are doing well, and it's okay for me, it must be okay for everyone. And on a higher level I believe this is something I refer to as an aspiration deficit. Which is somehow we got to a place as a people where we no longer to aspire for better. We somehow say, maybe this is all it can be. Maybe this is as good as gets and we shouldn't even try to be any better as a people. An aspiration deficit. We don't even dream a bolder vision or a brighter future. It is a complacency, it is an anger which is pervasive and which is the real obstacle towards long term Government and political action.

We've got to knock down that obstacle. How do we do it? Well the truth is, we do have the answers but we're going to have to make the case. The truth is Government did make mistakes in the past, but we've learned from those mistakes and we now know the right way to do it. We have Government programs that work because Government realizes that Government can't do it, you can do it. And the Government program that works best is the Government program that puts out its hand and says, let's do this in partnership. You community based organization, you faith based organization, we're not, this is not about an

institution that is going to be able to do this, it's
g
oing to be person to person community, the community.
Community based organizations are the vehicle for
change. Faith based organizations are the vehicle for
change. When you are going to help a person, or help a
neighbor, help a community you talk about holistic
change. Unless you can not only help the body,
but also the soul and the spirit you won't really solve the
problem.

And we know the kind of programs that we have to
have that will make a difference. We know that work
works. We know the best thing we can do is get a person

into a job, give them that pride and dignity and sense
of self that comes from their earning and they have a
future ahead of them. We know, by the way, that
that's all the person wanted on welfare to begin with. Welfare
reform is the

person wanted the welfare check and you
have to pull it out of their hands. I've been all
across this nation, I never once have had a person come
up to me and

said say, Mr. Cuomo, do me a favor, help me get
a welfare check. Help me get a job, help me get dreams,
help me get transportation, but that's what people
really

wanted. Get those jobs programs, get the
training, get private businesses located back into
community. Understand that you're going to have to
incentivize

the private businesses to get them back
there, otherwise they will not go. That's where
the Government can play a role. That's what empowerment
zones are all about.

President Clinton APIC, APIC, American Private
Investment Corporation in the State of the Union and
investment bank for poorer communities. We can
subsidize

development overseas. Why don't we subsidize
the Government right here at home

(indiscernible). We know that homeownership works,
homeownership works. Give

ing a person a stake in the community. Getting
people out of rental housing and into ownership where
they have equity, they have control. We know that that

works. And we know that partnership with faith based

and community based organizations where Government provides resources, but the community based organizations provide the programs and the initiative and the incentive, that's how it works. That has to be the formula for the future. It's not going to happen otherwise, this is not about Federal, State, and local government anymore. It's community by community, making the organizations that are there grow and blossom. That's what we are trying to do at HUD. That's what at Father Joe Hakula is all about at HUD. That's what the incentive for

community and faith based organizations are all about. Think about it, HUD today has no relationship with community based organizations. We've talked either to governments, city governments, state governments or what they call public housing authorities. But there was no venue, there is no seat at the table for community based organizations, not for profits. That was yesterday, that is not tomorrow.

You are the vehicle of tomorrow and that's what the Center for Community Based Partnerships is all about. Now we have 28 billion dollars per year in funding, 28 billion, that's billion with a "B", that is a lot of money. This year the President proposed the largest increase in the HUD budget in the past 15 years.

We can make a real difference with these funds, but only if you are at the table, only if you are part of the program, part of the initiative. We have programs that can build housing, programs that can create jobs, programs that can do transportation, programs that can do welfare to work. But it's up to you, the farmer and the flood, we're there, Joe Hakula is there, we have our hand out, but let's form those partnerships and let's go forward together.

And as we are building the programs let's also remember the second goal and the longer term goal, which is we're also going to have to change that public opinion. We're going to have to end the anger. We're going to have to end the apa

thy. We're going to end the complacency and let people know that we can actually solve these problems that have defined resolution for so long. We're going to have to let people know that we can do better as a nation and as a people than we are now doing. This nation is not a success where it stands today and people know it. All this talk about the economy is going great, 18 million new jobs, lowest interest, poverty down, unemployment down, all of which is true, all of which is success on one level. It does not mean we as a nation are successful. And something within people tells them that. They don't feel that we are a success. They see real problems all around them. They just have to know that it can be better than this. They have to know there is still a possibility that we can aspire to a higher place. We don't judge each other. We never said that we are a success by the size of our bank account. We said we are a success as individuals by the way we treat one another, by what we believe, by our values. Well then it's also true we judge a nation as a whole. The DOW Jones hitting record heights doesn't mean we're a success. The stock market hitting a new high doesn't mean we're a success. Tell me how you treat one another, tell me how you live and I'll tell you whether or not you're a success. My job to visit places that it's not even close. And to the Colonias (phonetic sp.) on the Texas, Mexico borders, people live in hell holes. The (indiscernible) Greens, public housing in Chicago. People wouldn't let their poodle live in apartments that we have children living in. You go to the inner city (indiscernible) you go to the inner cities in Bedford Heights (phonetic sp.) and you see the same type of poverty, the same type of hopelessness that we saw 20 years ago. And you say, well, you know the economy is doing great in America, I don't know if you noticed 18 million new jobs. They

didn't notice and it doesn't mean anything. You could be talking about a different planet. When you have those pocket of poverty, where you have that kind of need and you have that kind of despair then you are not a success.

We pride ourselves as a nation of laws, as a nation of justice. Central to our concept we are a nation of justice. Look what they are doing in this town today in the name of justice. The United States Congress consumed with justice. They want justice, they are going to have a trial. They are going to call witnesses, they are going to take depositions to find out if we are just as a society, because they want justice with this President. That's not justice, that is not justice, that is an injustice because it is a distraction. To call that justice, that is not the justice that the bible talks about, that is not the justice the great thinkers talked about, that's not the justice that the great leaders talked about. They talked about a higher form of justice. How about economic justice, that's justice. We have the greatest economy in history on the planet but you still have one out of five children tonight sleeping in poverty. Is that your definition of justice. How about social justice. Where we've gotten to a place as a people where we can have our homeless brothers and sisters sleeping on the street, 600,000 of them, and it doesn't even phase us anymore. We've gotten to a place where it is okay, where we can now walk down the street and just walk by them and not even see them anymore. Where we've gotten to a place as a people, as a community where we'll pick up trash, we'll pick up an aluminum can to recycle before we bend down to help our brother and sister. That is not justice.

There are higher forms of justice. There is educational justice, educational justice. We said there should be justice in our education system, which is where we have two educational systems right now. We have a private education system and

eal and we can make it real. We can be better than we are. We have to dare to aspire. We have to dare to dream. And you have to be the prophets and the change changers for that inspiration. Because if we don't change the American people we will never change the political will and we'll never change this nation, it can't be done, people want it to be done, people want it to be done. You can see the void, you can hear the vacuum, just give them the hope, the sense of possibility that it can be real. With that sense of justice that is political TNT. You put those together and there will be a real explosion in this nation for the better. Make it happen. Thank you very much.

(MUSIC)

□?□(□END OF AUDIOTAPE□)

\

\

*!□□&dd+0*511:?? D*

\$'H&H&@@□□□□□□@@

CERTIFICATE OF AGENCY

I, Carol J. Schwartz, President of Carol J. Thomas Stenotype Reporting Services, Inc., do hereby certify we were authorized to transcribe the submitted audio cassette tape, and that there after these proceedings were transcribed under our supervision, and I further certify that the forgoing transcription contains a full, true and correct transcription of the audio cassette furnished, to the best of our ability.

CAROL J. SCHWARTZ

PRESIDENT

\$'H&H&@@===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Mark D. Neschis (CN=Mark D. Neschis/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 5-FEB-1999 10:28:43.00

SUBJECT: The NRA and the Radio Address

TO: Ann F. Lewis (CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jose Cerda III (CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lorrie McHugh (CN=Lorrie McHugh/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joseph P. Lockhart (CN=Joseph P. Lockhart/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer M. Palmieri (CN=Jennifer M. Palmieri/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Elizabeth R. Newman (CN=Elizabeth R. Newman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Heather M. Riley (CN=Heather M. Riley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

fyi...The NRA is apparently having a "media availability" today to respond to the President's radio address.

thanks

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
002. email	Email to Elena Kagan from Tracy Pakulniewicz at 12:10:14.00. Subject: Pin (1 page)	02/05/1999	P6/b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Automated Records Management System [Email]
WHO ([Kagan])
OA/Box Number: 500000

FOLDER TITLE:

[02/05/1999]

2009-1006-F

jm62

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Dan Marcus (CN=Dan Marcus/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 5-FEB-1999 13:03:53.00

SUBJECT: Grijalva draft brief

TO: Christopher C. Jennings (CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Devorah R. Adler (CN=Devorah R. Adler/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I am sending the latest draft around to you. See pp. 18-26 for the revised (and beefed-up) state action argument. Reasons HHS and SG (I talked to Kneeder) think it's important to spell out state action point are (1) to make sure Sup Ct appreciates importance of this case and grants cert (rather than denying because it assumes there's state action here even if it finds there isn't in Sullivan); and (2) to influence Ct's writing of Sullivan opinion so that it doesn't say things that will promote arguments for a distinction.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Beverly J. Barnes (CN=Beverly J. Barnes/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 5-FEB-1999 13:14:25.00

SUBJECT: MSNBC Chat with Elena, Promo Page

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

Mark A. Kitchens (CN=Mark A. Kitchens/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

i read this transcript last night from the little laptop they gave me to take home while i'm recovering. anyway, the point is you were absolutely 100 percent on the mark and entirely fantastic. your answers were informative and easy to understand without being lengthy. and, of course, you knew the policy inside out. thanks for doing the interview, elena. i am convinced you scored some points on the president's behalf. bb

----- Forwarded by Beverly J. Barnes/WHO/EOP on 02/05/99
01:10 PM -----

Mark A. Kitchens

02/02/99 06:06:54 PM

Record Type: Record

To: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message

CC:

Subject: MSNBC Chat with Elena, Promo Page

*You can view this page in HTML, pictures and all, by going to:
<http://msnbc.com/chat/elena.asp>

CHAT WITH ELENA KAGAN .

Domestic Policy

President for Domestic

issues such as

Security. Many of the

President's budget are

"This interview

directly to the

policies and issues

Elena Kagan is Deputy Director of the

Council and Deputy Assistant to the

Policy. She advises the President on

Education, Crime, Health and Social

policy initiatives funded by the

developed by the Domestic Policy Council.

presents a unique opportunity to speak

American people about the important

says,
advocate of the use of
delighted to
format." Chat with

surrounding the President's Budget," Kagan
"President Clinton has long been an
innovative technologies so naturally I am
participate in this type of interview
Elena Kagan tonight at 7:30 p.m. ET.

END

Message Sent

To:

Jennifer M. Palmieri/WHO/EOP
crowley_p @ al @ cd @ lngtwy
wozniak_n @ al @ cd @ lngtwy
Elizabeth R. Newman/WHO/EOP
Julia M. Payne/WHO/EOP
Julianne B. Corbett/WHO/EOP
Megan C. Moloney/WHO/EOP
Mark D. Neschis/WHO/EOP
Nanda Chitre/WHO/EOP
James M. Teague/WHO/EOP
Beverly J. Barnes/WHO/EOP
Mark A. Kitchens/WHO/EOP
Dag Vega/WHO/EOP
Anne M. Edwards/WHO/EOP
Brenda M. Anders/WHO/EOP
Jason H. Schechter/WHO/EOP
Dorinda A. Salcido/WHO/EOP
Sarah E. Gegenheimer/WHO/EOP
Julie B. Goldberg/WHO/EOP
Roger V. Salazar/WHO/EOP
Susanna B. McGuire/WHO/EOP
Julie E. Mason/WHO/EOP
Kevin S. Moran/WHO/EOP
nathan b. naylor/ovp @ ovp
Sharon K. Gill/WHO/EOP
bernstein_mj @ al @ cd @ vaxgtwy
Patrick E. Briggs/WHO/EOP
David C. Leavy/NSC/EOP.
suntum_m @ al @ cd @ vaxgtwy
olcott_e @ al @ cd @ vaxgtwy
Heather M. Riley/WHO/EOP
Amy Weiss/WHO/EOP
jodi r. sakol/ovp @ ovp
patricia m. ewing/ovp @ ovp
alejandro g. cabrera/ovp @ ovp
Chandler G. Spaulding/WHO/EOP
Victoria L. Valentine/WHO/EOP
Michael A. Hammer/NSC/EOP
Jake Siewert/OPD/EOP
Linda Ricci/OMB/EOP
Joseph C. Fanaroff/WHO/EOP
christopher s. lehane/ovp @ ovp

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
003. email	Email to Elena Kagan from Beverly Barnes. Subject: Medical (1 page)	02/05/1999	P6/b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Automated Records Management System [Email]
WHO ([Kagan])
OA/Box Number: 500000

FOLDER TITLE:

[02/05/1999]

2009-1006-F

jm62

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Record Type: Record

To: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message
cc:
Subject: MSNBC Chat with Elena, Promo Page

*You can view this page in HTML, pictures and all, by going to:
<http://msnbc.com/chat/elena.asp>

CHAT WITH ELENA KAGAN

Domestic Policy
President for Domestic
issues such as
Security. Many of the
President's budget are
"This interview
directly to the
policies and issues
says,
advocate of the use of
delighted to
format." Chat with

Elena Kagan is Deputy Director of the
Council and Deputy Assistant to the
Policy. She advises the President on
Education, Crime, Health and Social
policy initiatives funded by the
developed by the Domestic Policy Council.
presents a unique opportunity to speak
American people about the important
surrounding the President's Budget," Kagan
"President Clinton has long been an
innovative technologies so naturally I am
participate in this type of interview
Elena Kagan tonight at 7:30 p.m. ET.

END

Message Sent

To: _____
Jennifer M. Palmieri/WHO/EOP
crowley_p @ a1 @ cd @ lngtwy
wozniak_n @ a1 @ cd @ lngtwy
Elizabeth R. Newman/WHO/EOP
Julia M. Payne/WHO/EOP
Julianne B. Corbett/WHO/EOP
Megan C. Moloney/WHO/EOP
Mark D. Neschis/WHO/EOP
Nanda Chitre/WHO/EOP
James M. Teague/WHO/EOP
Beverly J. Barnes/WHO/EOP
Mark A. Kitchens/WHO/EOP
Dag Vega/WHO/EOP
Anne M. Edwards/WHO/EOP
Brenda M. Anders/WHO/EOP
Jason H. Schechter/WHO/EOP

Dorinda A. Salcido/WHO/EOP
Sarah E. Gegenheimer/WHO/EOP
Julie B. Goldberg/WHO/EOP
Roger V. Salazar/WHO/EOP
Susanna B. McGuire/WHO/EOP
Julie E. Mason/WHO/EOP
Kevin S. Moran/WHO/EOP
nathan b. naylor/ovp @ ovp
Sharon K. Gill/WHO/EOP
bernstein_mj @ al @ cd @ vaxgtwy
Patrick E. Briggs/WHO/EOP
David C. Leavy/NSC/EOP
suntum_m @ al @ cd @ vaxgtwy
olcott_e @ al @ cd @ vaxgtwy
Heather M. Riley/WHO/EOP
Amy Weiss/WHO/EOP
jodi r. sakol/ovp @ ovp
patricia m. ewing/ovp @ ovp
alejandro g. cabrera/ovp @ ovp
Chandler G. Spaulding/WHO/EOP
Victoria L. Valentine/WHO/EOP
Michael A. Hammer/NSC/EOP
Jake Siewert/OPD/EOP
Linda Ricci/OMB/EOP
Joseph C. Fanaroff/WHO/EOP
christopher s. lehane/ovp @ ovp

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jose Cerda III (CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 5-FEB-1999 13:29:01.00

SUBJECT:

TO: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

EK:

Require gun show promoters to register with ATF. Promoters would be required to: provide the time and location of every gun show and a list of all vendors; ensure that all vendors are given information about their legal obligations; and require vendors to acknowledge receipt of it. Failure to fulfill these obligations could result in revocation or suspension of registration or civil or criminal penalties.

Report information on firearms sold to ATF's National Tracing Center. Gun dealers would be required to submit certain limited information (e.g., manufacturer, model, and serial number) on all firearms transferred at gun shows to ATF's NTC and to maintain files with additional information -- as gun dealers currently do with guns sold in stores -- to assist in future firearms trace requests by law enforcement.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Shannon Mason (CN=Shannon Mason/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 5-FEB-1999 13:42:25.00

SUBJECT: Davis Bacon

TO: Charles R. Marr (CN=Charles R. Marr/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Broderick Johnson (CN=Broderick Johnson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barbara Chow (CN=Barbara Chow/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles M. Brain (CN=Charles M. Brain/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen Tramontano (CN=Karen Tramontano/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Sandra Yamin (CN=Sandra Yamin/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Dario J. Gomez (CN=Dario J. Gomez/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Carolyn T. Wu (CN=Carolyn T. Wu/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Sally Katzen will host a David Bacon meeting on school construction Thursday, February 11th at 4:30 in Rm 239. Please confirm your attendance. Thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cathy R. Mays (CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 5-FEB-1999 13:59:42.00

SUBJECT: DPC Team Leaders Meeting

TO: Karin Kullman (CN=Karin Kullman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas L. Freedman (CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher C. Jennings (CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jose Cerda III (CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul J. Weinstein Jr. (CN=Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Teresa M. Jones (CN=Teresa M. Jones/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Essence P. Washington (CN=Essence P. Washington/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

The DPC Team Leaders meeting for next week will be held on Tuesday, February 9, at 9:15 a.m. in Bruce Reed's office.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cathy R. Mays (CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 5-FEB-1999 14:00:30.00

SUBJECT: DPC STAFF MEETING

TO: Marsha Scott (CN=Marsha Scott/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Essence P. Washington (CN=Essence P. Washington/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Neera Tanden (CN=Neera Tanden/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary L. Smith (CN=Mary L. Smith/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan H. Schnur (CN=Jonathan H. Schnur/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeanne Lambrew (CN=Jeanne Lambrew/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andrea Kane (CN=Andrea Kane/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Teresa M. Jones (CN=Teresa M. Jones/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: J. Eric Gould (CN=J. Eric Gould/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah A. Bianchi (CN=Sarah A. Bianchi/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Skye S. Philbrick (CN=Skye S. Philbrick/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: WEINSTEIN_P@A1@CD@VAXGTWY (WEINSTEIN_P@A1@CD@VAXGTWY @ VAXGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (O

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sandra Thurman (CN=Sandra Thurman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Todd A. Summers (CN=Todd A. Summers/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tanya E. Martin (CN=Tanya E. Martin/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karin Kullman (CN=Karin Kullman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher C. Jennings (CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas L. Freedman (CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jose Cerda III (CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Devorah R. Adler (CN=Devorah R. Adler/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

The DPC Staff meeting for Tuesday, February 9, is CANCELLED.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cathy R. Mays (CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 5-FEB-1999 14:01:52.00

SUBJECT: Education Strategy Meeting

TO: Vicky_Stroud (Vicky_Stroud @ ed.gov@inet [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David L. Stevenson (CN=David L. Stevenson/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Broderick Johnson (CN=Broderick Johnson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet Murguia (CN=Janet Murguia/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Shirley S. Sagawa (CN=Shirley S. Sagawa/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Amy Weiss (CN=Amy Weiss/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barbara Chow (CN=Barbara Chow/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Sandra Yamin (CN=Sandra Yamin/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Mindy E. Myers (CN=Mindy E. Myers/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: MaryEllen C. McGuire (CN=MaryEllen C. McGuire/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jason H. Schechter (CN=Jason H. Schechter/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Reminder -- There will be an Education Strategy meeting on Tuesday, February 9, at 5:15 p.m. in Bruce Reed's office.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Rebecca L. Walldorff (CN=Rebecca L. Walldorff/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 5-FEB-1999 15:02:55.00

SUBJECT: Legislative Rollout Meeting

TO: Jennifer R. Muller (CN=Jennifer R. Muller/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wesley P. Warren (CN=Wesley P. Warren/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [CEQ])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sally Katzen (CN=Sally Katzen/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Carolyn T. Wu (CN=Carolyn T. Wu/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen Tramontano (CN=Karen Tramontano/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter A. Weissman (CN=Peter A. Weissman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dominique L. Cano (CN=Dominique L. Cano/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cathy R. Mays (CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thurgood Marshall Jr (CN=Thurgood Marshall Jr/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sylvia M. Mathews (CN=Sylvia M. Mathews/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cathy L. Millison (CN=Cathy L. Millison/OU=NSC/O=EOP @ EOP [NSC])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rhonda Melton (CN=Rhonda Melton/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nancy Marlow (CN=Nancy Marlow/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [CEQ])

READ:UNKN

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Sean P. Maloney (CN=Sean P. Maloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 5-FEB-1999 16:00:38.00

SUBJECT: shalala Memo

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

A few weeks back we sent up a memo from Sec'y Shalala on HHS' response to the president's July 1998 directive on the public availability of tobacco documents. Do you have a view about whether the president really needs to see this? We're inclined not to send it in, but defer to you guys.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Shannon Mason (CN=Shannon Mason/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 5-FEB-1999 16:16:41.00

SUBJECT: Davis Bacon

TO: Charles R. Marr (CN=Charles R. Marr/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barbara Chow (CN=Barbara Chow/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Broderick Johnson (CN=Broderick Johnson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles M. Brain (CN=Charles M. Brain/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen Tramontano (CN=Karen Tramontano/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Sandra Yamin (CN=Sandra Yamin/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Dario J. Gomez (CN=Dario J. Gomez/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Carolyn T. Wu (CN=Carolyn T. Wu/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Due to many conflicts, this meeting will now be held on Friday, February 12th at 2:30 in Rm 472. Please reconfirm your attendance. Thanks

----- Forwarded by Shannon Mason/OPD/EOP on 02/05/99
04:10 PM -----

Shannon Mason
02/05/99 01:42:04 PM
Record Type: Record

To: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message
cc: Carolyn T. Wu/WHO/EOP, Sandra Yamin/OMB/EOP, Dario J. Gomez/WHO/EOP,
Laura Emmett/WHO/EOP
Subject: Davis Bacon

Sally Katzen will host a David Bacon meeting on school construction Thursday, February 11th at 4:30 in Rm 239. Please confirm your attendance. Thanks.

Message Sent

To: _____

Karen Tramontano/WHO/EOP

Barbara Chow/OMB/EOP

Charles M. Brain/WHO/EOP

Broderick Johnson/WHO/EOP

Elena Kagan/OPD/EOP

Charles R. Marr/OPD/EOP

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 5-FEB-1999 18:07:55.00

SUBJECT: complete weekly report 1/5/99

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS_EXT:[ATTACH.D10]MAIL47501204A.036 to ASCII,

The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF57504320100000010A020100000002050000000A82900000002000070947DA9DF46B513C4524C
464452D7398A496253D19645BEDA1F1B50CD87BA39FCC496CA09BE8E5002BB7C90BF5CA81F8B79
2DBB79776084E7429F830C824518E77F47678A88A70D99E0695DD7B94CBAE0CD277461814C411E
2CFECE9F30FC2487583CEFA5A54A207DAEE64E09F50E4CB40261C4BFD9242AAB784B9A423A2B07
01E8DF0B085C76501CD83D7EB05452A4D7168C4BC24BBA3ADB53C5508B551ADD136F0BD8391284
5F66FC3D0385D9C53C4619813ADD52A8F718BB50A817092EB655DF56A5BCA482DBA305D8C062BA
557DA7BBC7AF74767F267EBF472C7C9420FC368510EAF09A1BCD2720F826CDE7BCC9886B5F3FE1
07CE8B75FADD2DA68FBBEF531F438947A3EA6F50D617078D5C5D8ECEED3439818CDA0832C13490

Automated Records Management System
Hex-Dump Conversion

February 6, 1999

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: Bruce Reed
Elena Kagan

SUBJECT: DPC Weekly Report

Health Care -- Medicare Update: The Republicans have apparently decided that for the time being, they would be well advised to remain generally quiet about your proposal to dedicate surplus dollars to Medicare. They still do not feel comfortable contrasting Medicare with tax cuts and in fact, in a Ways and Means hearing on the budget, they did not raise the Medicare issue. Your speech to AARP effectively highlighted this issue, but it is clear that we will need to raise it repeatedly to ensure that it is able to break through to both the media and the public. The four Medicare principles you released comforted the Democratic base, but also succeeded in not alienating Senator Breaux. Senator Breaux has indicated that he believes the Commission's recommendations will meet these principles and is also suggesting that your comments raising the profile of the challenges facing Medicare have been constructive. We will have to follow the activities of the Medicare Commission extremely closely over the next few weeks, as its final reporting date is March 1st.

Health Care -- Hearing on Disability Bill: On Thursday, there was a hearing on the Jeffords-Kennedy-Roth-Moynihan Work Incentives Improvement Act that you included in your budget. There is growing bipartisan support for the bill, which was introduced on January 28 with 40 cosponsors, including about a dozen Republicans. Senator Kennedy, in his remarks, thanked you for your support of the legislation, your mention of this important issue in the State of the Union, and your other disability initiatives (tax credit, assistive technology increase, and long-term care initiative). Senator Dole, who also testified, made an appeal to the Republicans. He said that this is about "good government" in response to the prevalent criticism that this is an entitlement expansion. Tommy Thompson's Secretary of Health also testified in strong support of the bill. It is slated for a mark-up in the Finance Committee in early Spring, and possibly Nancy Johnson and Ben Cardin are planning to introduce it in the House.

Tobacco -- Medicaid Recoupment: As you know, current Medicaid law requires HCFA to recoup the federal share (on average 57 percent) of all Medicaid reimbursements from third party insurers or Medicaid-related lawsuits, such as the recent tobacco settlement. This week, a bipartisan group of 25 senators, led by Kay Bailey Hutchison (R-TX) and Bob Graham (D-FL), introduced legislation that would prevent the federal government from recouping tobacco settlement funds. A similar bipartisan bill introduced earlier in the House

by Rep. Michael Bilirakis (R-FL) now has 31 cosponsors. We have made clear that we oppose the legislation because it gives up the federal share of the states' tobacco settlement without any commitment by the states to use the funds to prevent youth smoking, protect tobacco farmers, improve public health, or assist children. We are working with the Hill and the public health community to stem support for the Hutchison/Bilirakis legislation and at the same time foster bipartisan legislation that would resolve the federal claim to settlement funds in exchange for a commitment by the states to use the federal share of tobacco settlement funds to support these shared state and national priorities.

Education -- New NAEP reading scores: The Education Department and National Center for Educational Statistics will announce next week small but statistically significant gains in reading since 1994 in 4th, 8th, and 12th grades, according to the 1998 National Assessment of Educational Progress. 4th and 12th grade scores returned to 1992 levels, after a drop between 1992 and 1994; but 8th grade scores have increased since 1992. Scores are up since 1994 for African-American students in 4th and 8th grade, and up for Hispanic students in 12th grade. The report also shows that 8th and 12th grade students are reading more pages per day, and that fewer students at each grade level are watching more than six hours of television a day, than in 1994.

Service -- Americorps Event: On Wednesday, February 10, you will go to the University of Maryland, in College Park to (1) mark the milestone of the 100,000th AmeriCorps member (2) launch AmeriCorps' Call To Service Campaign -- an unprecedented effort to ask young Americans to serve their community and country and (3) highlight the \$133 million increase in the budget to support 69,000 AmeriCorps members next year, growing to reach 100,000 members per year by 2002. You will be joined on stage by over 100 current and past AmeriCorps members -- some of whom will share their stories -- at a large youth-oriented Call to Service rally. The University of Maryland has a long history of service and involvement with the AmeriCorps program, and the state requires high school students to perform community service as a requirement of graduation.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jason H. Schechter (CN=Jason H. Schechter/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 5-FEB-1999 18:35:17.00

SUBJECT: Embargoed Radio Address Paper

TO: Pub_Arch (Pub_Arch [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Releases (Releases @ pub.pub.whitehouse.gov [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Releases (Releases @ www3.whitehouse.gov [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jordan D. Matyas (CN=Jordan D. Matyas/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: bob.davis (bob.davis @ news.wsj.com [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Craig Hughes (CN=Craig Hughes/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Orson C. Porter (CN=Orson C. Porter/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: durph (durph @ aol.com @ inet [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: mgarcia (mgarcia @ pacifica.org @ inet [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: marhast (marhast @ aol.com @ inet [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jodi Enda <jenda (Jodi Enda <jenda @ krwashington.com> [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David Y. Stevens (CN=David Y. Stevens/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eli P. Joseph (CN=Eli P. Joseph/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: photo (photo @ upi.com [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Matthew W. Pitcher (CN=Matthew W. Pitcher/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joseph C. Fanaroff (CN=Joseph C. Fanaroff/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brian S. Mason (CN=Brian S. Mason/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Evan Ryan (CN=Evan Ryan/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: James.gerstenzang (James.gerstenzang @ latimes.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nancy.mathis (Nancy.mathis @ chron.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Caroline R. Fredrickson (CN=Caroline R. Fredrickson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Toby C. Graff (CN=Toby C. Graff/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen Tramontano (CN=Karen Tramontano/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Deborin (Deborin @ aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: meglynn (meglynn @ usia.gov @ INET @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: kara.gerhardt (kara.gerhardt @ ost.dot.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher K. Scully (CN=Christopher K. Scully/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria E. Soto (CN=Maria E. Soto/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: bnichols (bnichols @ usatoday.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: mhall (mhall @ usatoday.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joshua S. Gottheimer (CN=Joshua S. Gottheimer/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer Ferguson (CN=Jennifer Ferguson/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles H. Cole (CN=Charles H. Cole/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert B. Johnson (CN=Robert B. Johnson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan M. Young (CN=Jonathan M. Young/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jocelyn A. Bucaro (CN=Jocelyn A. Bucaro/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jena V. Roscoe (CN=Jena V. Roscoe/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ilia V. Velez (CN=Ilia V. Velez/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tania I. Lopez (CN=Tania I. Lopez/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Deborah B. Mohile (CN=Deborah B. Mohile/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rajiv Y. Mody (CN=Rajiv Y. Mody/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Edward F. Hughes (CN=Edward F. Hughes/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Carolyn T. Wu (CN=Carolyn T. Wu/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles M. Brain (CN=Charles M. Brain/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas M. Rosshirt (CN=Thomas M. Rosshirt/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Roger V. Salazar (CN=Roger V. Salazar/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julie B. Goldberg (CN=Julie B. Goldberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dorinda A. Salcido (CN=Dorinda A. Salcido/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sheyda Jahanbani (CN=Sheyda Jahanbani/OU=NSC/O=EOP @ EOP [NSC])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jason H. Schechter (CN=Jason H. Schechter/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Fred DuVal (CN=Fred DuVal/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: RUNDLET_P (RUNDLET_P @ A1 @ CD @ VAXGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (WHO)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Delia A. Cohen (CN=Delia A. Cohen/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Chandler G. Spaulding (CN=Chandler G. Spaulding/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan E. Smith (CN=Jonathan E. Smith/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeffrey A. Shesol (CN=Jeffrey A. Shesol/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Linda Ricci (CN=Linda Ricci/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jessica L. Gibson (CN=Jessica L. Gibson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marsha Scott (CN=Marsha Scott/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: wh-outbox-distr (wh-outbox-distr @ pub.pub.whitehouse.gov @ inet [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Douglas R. Matties (CN=Douglas R. Matties/OU=OA/O=EOP @ EOP [OA])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Phillip Caplan (CN=Phillip Caplan/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David S. Beaubaire (CN=David S. Beaubaire/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Amy Weiss (CN=Amy Weiss/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elliot J. Diringer (CN=Elliot J. Diringer/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [CEQ])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Glen M. Weiner (CN=Glen M. Weiner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Walker F. Bass (CN=Walker F. Bass/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lynn G. Cutler (CN=Lynn G. Cutler/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Carmen B. Fowler (CN=Carmen B. Fowler/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maureen A. Hudson (CN=Maureen A. Hudson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Debra S. Wood (CN=Debra S. Wood/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Judithanne V. Scourfield (CN=Judithanne V. Scourfield/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Woyneab M. Wondwossen (CN=Woyneab M. Wondwossen/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah S. Knight (CN=Sarah S. Knight/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kyle M. Baker (CN=Kyle M. Baker/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Neera Tanden (CN=Neera Tanden/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: June Shih (CN=June Shih/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ruby Shamir (CN=Ruby Shamir/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julia M. Payne (CN=Julia M. Payne/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sherman A. Williams (CN=Sherman A. Williams/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Douglas J. Band (CN=Douglas J. Band/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ashley L. Raines (CN=Ashley L. Raines/OU=OA/O=EOP @ EOP [OA])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: HEMMIG_M (HEMMIG_M @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (WHO)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth R. Newman (CN=Elizabeth R. Newman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: RILEY_R (RILEY_R @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (OA)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: WEINER_R (WEINER_R @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (DON)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: GRAY_W (GRAY_W @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (NSC)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: usia01 (usia01 @ access.digex.com @ inet [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: backup (backup @ wilson.ai.mit.edu @ inet [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: SUNTUM_M (SUNTUM_M @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (WHO)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: JOHNSON_WC (JOHNSON_WC @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (OA)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: BARBUSCHAK_K (BARBUSCHAK_K @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (OA)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: US (1=US @ 2=WESTERN UNION @ 5=ATT.COM @ *ELN\62955104 @ MRX @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas D. Janenda (CN=Thomas D. Janenda/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura S. Marcus (CN=Laura S. Marcus/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Beverly J. Barnes (CN=Beverly J. Barnes/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sara M. Latham (CN=Sara M. Latham/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jake Siewert (CN=Jake Siewert/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dag Vega (CN=Dag Vega/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brenda M. Anders (CN=Brenda M. Anders/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dorian V. Weaver (CN=Dorian V. Weaver/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry J. Toiv (CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Douglas B. Sosnik (CN=Douglas B. Sosnik/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: G. Timothy Saunders (CN=G. Timothy Saunders/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elisa Millsap (CN=Elisa Millsap/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David E. Kalbaugh (CN=David E. Kalbaugh/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lori E. Abrams (CN=Lori E. Abrams/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lorrie McHugh (CN=Lorrie McHugh/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: patricia.peart (patricia.peart @ MSNBC.COM [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: jeanne.cummings (jeanne.cummings @ news.wsj.com [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Victoria L. Valentine (CN=Victoria L. Valentine/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Simeona F. Pasquil (CN=Simeona F. Pasquil/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bridget T. Leininger (CN=Bridget T. Leininger/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Linda L. Moore (CN=Linda L. Moore/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: info (info @ elsoldetexas.com @ inet [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: mpena (mpena @ efeamerica.com @ inet [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeffrey L. Farrow (CN=Jeffrey L. Farrow/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Neal Sharma (CN=Neal Sharma/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeffrey M. Smith (CN=Jeffrey M. Smith/OU=OSTP/O=EOP @ EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: kenneth.prewitt (kenneth.prewitt @ ccMail.census.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David R. Goodfriend (CN=David R. Goodfriend/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marty J. Hoffmann (CN=Marty J. Hoffmann/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stacie Spector (CN=Stacie Spector/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: rsimoncol (rsimoncol @ aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: IGCP (IGCP @ usia.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Matt Gobush (CN=Matt Gobush/OU=NSC/O=EOP @ EOP [NSC])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Matthew J. Bianco (CN=Matthew J. Bianco/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Samuel O. Spencer (CN=Samuel O. Spencer/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Skye S. Philbrick (CN=Skye S. Philbrick/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan A. Kaplan (CN=Jonathan A. Kaplan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Larry.mcquillan (Larry.mcquillan @ reuters.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mindy E. Myers (CN=Mindy E. Myers/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: JOHN.LONGBRAKE (JOHN.LONGBRAKE @ MS01.DO.treas.sprint.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alejandro G. Cabrera (CN=Alejandro G. Cabrera/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul D. Glastris (CN=Paul D. Glastris/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: spage (spage @ usatoday.com [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Carrie A. Street (CN=Carrie A. Street/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maya Seiden (CN=Maya Seiden/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: drosen (drosen @ newsweek.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jon P. Jennings (CN=Jon P. Jennings/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jackson T. Dunn (CN=Jackson T. Dunn/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kelley L. O'Dell (CN=Kelley L. O'Dell/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cheryl M. Carter (CN=Cheryl M. Carter/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Victoria A. Lynch (CN=Victoria A. Lynch/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maritza Rivera (CN=Maritza Rivera/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sondra L. Seba (CN=Sondra L. Seba/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robin Leeds (CN=Robin Leeds/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher Ferris (CN=Christopher Ferris/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gregory B. Craig (CN=Gregory B. Craig/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dario J. Gomez (CN=Dario J. Gomez/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Minyon Moore (CN=Minyon Moore/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: kyle.mckinnon (kyle.mckinnon @ kcrw.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah E. Gegenheimer (CN=Sarah E. Gegenheimer/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: dmilbank (dmilbank @ tnr.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: cmbeach (cmbeach @ email.msn.com @ inet [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William C. Haymes (CN=William C. Haymes/OU=OA/O=EOP @ EOP [OA])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: BUDIG_N (BUDIG_N @ A1@CD@VAXGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (NSC)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maureen T. Shea (CN=Maureen T. Shea/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janelle E. Erickson (CN=Janelle E. Erickson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: McGavock D. Reed (CN=McGavock D. Reed/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Heather M. Riley (CN=Heather M. Riley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mark D. Neschis (CN=Mark D. Neschis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jodi R. Sakol (CN=Jodi R. Sakol/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gino J. Del Sesto (CN=Gino J. Del Sesto/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sean P. Maloney (CN=Sean P. Maloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eli G. Attie (CN=Eli G. Attie/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Katharine Button (CN=Katharine Button/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisa J. Levin (CN=Lisa J. Levin/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Melissa M. Murray (CN=Melissa M. Murray/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nanda Chitre (CN=Nanda Chitre/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael V. Terrell (CN=Michael V. Terrell/OU=CEQ/O=EOP @ EOP [CEQ])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Virginia Apuzzo (CN=Virginia Apuzzo/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julianne B. Corbett (CN=Julianne B. Corbett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan Orszag (CN=Jonathan Orszag/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lana Dickey (CN=Lana Dickey/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Daniel W. Burkhardt (CN=Daniel W. Burkhardt/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Patrick E. Briggs (CN=Patrick E. Briggs/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeannetta P. Allen (CN=Jeannetta P. Allen/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tracy F. Sisser (CN=Tracy F. Sisser/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Diane Ikemiyashiro (CN=Diane Ikemiyashiro/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Reuben L. Musgrave Jr. (CN=Reuben L. Musgrave Jr./OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brooks E. Scoville (CN=Brooks E. Scoville/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robin J. Bachman (CN=Robin J. Bachman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William W. McCathran (CN=William W. McCathran/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Edwin R. Thomas III (CN=Edwin R. Thomas III/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter A. Weissman (CN=Peter A. Weissman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kevin S. Moran (CN=Kevin S. Moran/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jordan Tamagni (CN=Jordan Tamagni/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: tnewell (tnewell @ ostp.eop.gov @ INET @ LNGTWY [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: GRIBBEN_J (GRIBBEN_J @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (WHO)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: NAPLAN_S (NAPLAN_S @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (NSC)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: usnwire (usnwire @ access.digex.com @ inet [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: newsdesk (newsdesk @ usnewswire.com @ inet [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: WOZNIAK_N (WOZNIAK_N @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (NSC)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: SULLIVAN_M (SULLIVAN_M @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (WHO)

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: INFOMGT (INFOMGT @ A1 @ CD @ LNKTWY [UNKNOWN]) (SYS)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: 62955104 (62955104 @ eln.attmail.com @ inet [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kim B. Widdess (CN=Kim B. Widdess/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brian D. Smith (CN=Brian D. Smith/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Virginia N. Rustique (CN=Virginia N. Rustique/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Megan C. Moloney (CN=Megan C. Moloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andrew J. Mayock (CN=Andrew J. Mayock/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia M. Jasso-Rotunno (CN=Cynthia M. Jasso-Rotunno/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barbara D. Woolley (CN=Barbara D. Woolley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Richard Socarides (CN=Richard Socarides/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Catherine T. Kitchen (CN=Catherine T. Kitchen/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Waldman (CN=Michael Waldman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Todd Stern (CN=Todd Stern/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura D. Schwartz (CN=Laura D. Schwartz/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cheryl D. Mills (CN=Cheryl D. Mills/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julie E. Mason (CN=Julie E. Mason/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anne M. Edwards (CN=Anne M. Edwards/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christine A. Stanek (CN=Christine A. Stanek/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

EMBARGOED UNTIL 10:06 AM EST SATURDAY, FEBRUARY 6, 1999

PRESIDENT CLINTON: CLOSING THE LOOPHOLE ON GUN SHOWS

February 6, 1999

Today, President Clinton will release a report from Treasury Secretary Rubin and Attorney General Reno, recommending actions the Administration can take to ensure that firearms sold at gun shows are not exempt from federal firearms laws, including the law requiring background checks. The President will accept their recommendations and also announce an increase of nearly \$30 million in his FY 2000 budget to increase investigations and prosecutions of gun law violations, including those occurring at gun shows.

Findings of the Report. In response to a directive by the President last November, the Treasury and Justice Departments reviewed 314 gun show-related investigations conducted by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms (ATF), mostly between 1997 and 1998. The investigations involved more than 54,000 firearms and spanned a wide range of federal firearms violations. The review found the following:

Number of gun shows. In 1998, there were an estimated 4,442 gun shows. Most gun shows were sponsored by state and local firearms collectors organizations, though some shows were promoted by individual collectors and business people. Ten states sponsored the most gun shows: TX (472); PA (250); FL (224); IL (203); CA (188); IN (180); NC (170); OR (160); OH (148); and NV (129).

Typical gun show. The typical gun show costs about \$5 to attend and draws an average of between 2,500 and 5,000 people. Vendors rent tables for a fee ranging from \$5 to \$50, and the number of tables varies from as few as 50 to as many as 2,000. Federally-licensed firearms dealers (FFLs) make up about 50 to 75 percent of vendors, with unlicensed gun sellers representing the remaining 25 to 50 percent. Unlicensed gun sellers -- who are assumed to be selling from their personal collections -- are not required to conduct background checks or keep records of gun sales. Large quantities of firearms are also sold in less formal and smaller public markets, such as flea markets.

Guns sold. The firearms sold at gun shows include new and used handguns, semiautomatic assault weapons, shotguns, rifles, and curio and relic firearms (novel and older firearms). Additionally, vendors often sell large capacity magazines, machine gun parts, and a wide variety of other paraphernalia (knives, ammunition, military artifacts, and books).

Forum for illegal sales and trafficking. Gun shows provide a forum for illegal firearms sales and gun trafficking. Of the 314 gun show investigations reviewed, 46 percent involved the purchase or sale of firearms by felons, and 34 percent involved the sale of firearms later used in serious crimes, including homicides. Other gun law violations observed at gun shows included: the sale of firearms without a federal gun license (more than 50 percent of the cases reviewed); the sale of firearms "off the books" -- without conducting a background check or keeping a record (about 20 percent of cases); and the sale or transfer of machine guns in

-more-

violation of federal law (20 percent of cases). More than a third of the investigations involved more than 50 firearms, and at least one investigation involved up to 10,000 firearms.

The Report's Recommendations. To close the gun-show loophole that allows countless firearms to be sold on a "no questions asked" basis, the

President will endorse all of the report's recommendations and support legislation, to be introduced by Senator Frank Lautenberg (D-NJ) and Representative Rod Blagojevich (D-IL), that will make them the law of the land. These recommendations include:

(1) Requiring Brady background checks on all firearms transferred at gun shows, with the assistance of federally-licensed dealers. Currently, an estimated 25-50 percent of all firearms sold at gun shows are not subject to background checks, because they are sold by an unlicensed seller. The new legislation will require the participation of licensed dealers in all sales at gun shows, so that all sales will be subject to the Brady background check requirement.

(2) Requiring vendors to report information on firearms sold at gun shows to the ATF. Gun dealers would submit certain information (e.g., manufacturer, model, serial number) on firearms transferred at gun shows to ATF's National Tracing Center and maintain additional information on file to assist in future firearms tracing requests by law enforcement. These requirements would ensure that firearms sold at gun shows cannot (as they so often do now) disappear without a trace.

(3) Requiring gun show promoters to register with the ATF and notify it of all gun shows. Promoters would have to provide the time and location of gun shows and a list of vendors; provide vendors with information about their legal obligations; and require vendors to acknowledge receipt of this information.

(4) Defining gun shows broadly. These requirements would apply to any place where 50 or more firearms are offered for sale by at least two vendors.

(5) Increasing resources to combat the illegal trade of firearms at gun shows.

New Resources for Firearms Enforcement. Consistent with the report's findings and recommendations, the President's FY 2000 budget includes over \$28 million in new funds to enhance the enforcement of federal firearms laws, including:

\$23.8 million for more than 120 new ATF agents to support firearms investigations, arrest violent criminals and gun traffickers, and crack down on illegal gun sales.

\$5 million for more than 40 additional federal prosecutors to conduct intensive firearms prosecution projects targeting violent felons who possess guns, armed drug traffickers, and illegal gun markets.

###

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Maureen A. Hudson (CN=Maureen A. Hudson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 5-FEB-1999 20:17:41.00

SUBJECT: Congressional Request

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Daniel W. Burkhardt (CN=Daniel W. Burkhardt/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Representative George Miller of California has written the President asking him to provide a message for "National Talking With Kids Awareness Week" -- the week of March 14. This apparently is a national campaign being promoted by Children Now and the Kaiser Family Foundation to encourage parents and caregivers to talk sooner and more often with their children about such tough issues as sex, AIDS, violence, drugs, and alcohol, and they would like the President to help raise public awareness of the campaign.

Do you recommend that we provide a message for this campaign, or do you think it's something we should turn down on the grounds that some parents would resent being told by the President when and how to discuss sensitive issues w/their children? Thanks for your help!

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jordan Tamagni (CN=Jordan Tamagni/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 5-FEB-1999 20:38:31.00

SUBJECT: Draft Drug Speech 8:45pm

TO: Kenneth L. Schwartz (CN=Kenneth L. Schwartz/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ted Widmer (CN=Ted Widmer/OU=NSC/O=EOP @ EOP [NSC])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joshua S. Gottheimer (CN=Joshua S. Gottheimer/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tracy Pakulniewicz (CN=Tracy Pakulniewicz/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: mpatrick (mpatrick @ os.dhhs.gov @ inet [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Francis X. Kinney (CN=Francis X. Kinney/OU=ONDCP/O=EOP @ EOP [ONDCP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Linda Ricci (CN=Linda Ricci/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dominique L. Cano (CN=Dominique L. Cano/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul E. Begala (CN=Paul E. Begala/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Michael Waldman (CN=Michael Waldman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Revised Draft 2/5/99 8:00pm
Tamagni

PRESIDENT WILLIAM J. CLINTON
REMARKS FOR ANTI-DRUG EVENT
THE OLD EXECUTIVE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC
February 8, 1999

Acknowledgments: VP Gore; AG Reno; Sec. Shalala; ONDCP Dir. Gen. McCaffrey
; Jessica Hulsey [HULL-see]; Rhode Island AG Sheldon Whitehouse.

I want to start by thanking Jessica Hulsey for sharing her story.
Just finding the courage and strength to survive and even thrive in a home
where drugs -- not parents -- are in control is remarkable. But your

commitment to make sure that no other child has to live through the fear and uncertainty you endured is an inspiration for us all. You are a truly exceptional young woman, and I thank you for being here today.

I know from my own experience what it feels like to have a family member caught in the deadly grip of drugs. My own brother nearly died of a cocaine addiction -- and I have asked myself hundreds of times why I didn't see it -- and what I could have done to stop it if I had. I know that my experience is not unique -- that thousands of families suffer through that nightmare of powerlessness and frustration.

But one of the most important things that Jessica's story and the ads from our media campaign teach us is that we do have the power to fight drugs. If each of us takes action, at every level of government, in every community, in every house of faith, and in every home ... if we reach out to our young people -- as parents, mentors, and peers -- before drug dealers reach them ... if we join forces, united and relentless in our determination to win this war, we can make our nation stronger than ever in the 21st Century.

For years, it seemed that crime was an insurmountable and ever-increasing problem. But we put in place a tough, smart anti-crime plan, with police, tougher punishment, and better prevention. Six years later, we know that our strategy is working -- beyond our expectations. Around the country, in cities large and small, crime is down to its lowest rates in 25 years.

We are beginning to win the war against crime, and we can win the war against drugs -- by marshaling the forces and resources of our nation. Year after year, my administration has secured the largest anti-drug budgets in history, with more money for drug enforcement agents, for border and customs control, for education and outreach, for treatment and prevention. Under the leadership of General Barry McCaffrey at the Office of National Drug Control Policy, our efforts have begun to pay off. Overall drug use by adults has dropped to more than half of its highest levels in 1979. Even drug use by our young people -- which seemed to be getting worse every year -- has finally begun to decline.

But when drug dealers still roam our streets and rob our children of their dreams, when drug-related crime still ravages our neighborhoods, we know we must do more. With our economy the strongest in a generation and our confidence rising, we have a rare opportunity -- and an obligation -- to redouble our efforts in the war against drugs.

We must start by recognizing that our nation's drug problem was not born in isolation and does not exist in a vacuum. It is an interconnected problem -- so our solutions must also be interconnected.

To deal with the drug problem, we need to do more to expand opportunity and create jobs for young people, especially in communities that have too often been passed by in good times. That means bringing the spark of enterprise to inner cities, with more tax incentives for businesses and investors, and expanded credit for low-income entrepreneurs. It means strengthening the summer jobs programs that help so many young people build a brighter future.

To deal with the drug problem, we need to do more to improve our schools and help all of our students to reach high standards. We need more afterschool and summer school programs to keep young people learning in the classroom in the hours between when school lets out and parents come home from work -- the hours when young people are more likely to fall prey

to drugs.

And to deal with the drug problem, we need a comprehensive anti-drug effort that fights drugs on every front and uses every weapon we possess.

That is why I am so pleased to release our 1999 National Drug Control Strategy. This is not a short-term plan designed to produce short-lived results. It is a comprehensive, long-term strategy, with more money for drug testing and treatment ... better drug-law enforcement in our communities and better drug control on our borders ... and better anti-drug education for young people, including our media campaign.

And our plan is backed by the largest anti-drug budget ever presented to the Congress: My balanced budget for 2000 -- the first budget of the 21st Century -- includes nearly \$18 billion to keep drugs away from our borders, off of our streets, and out of our children's reach.

I want to say a special word about our National Youth Anti-Drug Media Campaign. We launched this campaign last year because we knew that when it comes to fighting drugs, attitudes drive actions. Young people who understand the damage drugs can do to their lives -- and the lives of the people they love -- are simply less likely to use them.

Since we kicked off the campaign in July, we have reached literally millions of young people with a powerful message: drugs are illegal, drugs are wrong, and drugs can kill you. Although it is too early to fully measure our success, we are seeing evidence that our anti-drug message is getting through. And with ads in 10 languages, we are reaching young people of every ethnicity and national origin.

One big reason for this success is the remarkable response of the private sector to my challenge to join our fight against drugs. In six months, they have made more than \$165 million in matching contributions for paid anti-drug ads. Virtually every major network has produced high profile anti-drug public service announcements with their best known celebrities -- you just saw a few of those -- and donated air time to scores of non-profit organizations for their own anti-drug PSAs.

To put this strategy to work is not a job for just one agency, but for every agency, 365 days of the year. That is why I have called on my Cabinet to redouble their efforts in our fight against drugs.

I have asked Education Secretary Riley to build on our efforts to keep our schools safe, by strengthening the Safe and Drug Free Schools initiative, and encouraging more school districts to start afterschool programs.

I have asked Health and Human Services Secretary Shalala to increase our efforts to promote drug treatment and prevention programs, to help our young people stay off of drugs.

I have asked Attorney General Reno to push forward with more drug testing of prisoners and parolees, and more police on the streets of our communities, to break the deadly cycle between crime and drugs. I have also asked her to redouble our efforts against drug trafficking by organized crime groups.

I have asked Secretary Slater to maintain the vigorous maritime interdiction operations against drug traffickers that are such an important part of our supply-side anti-drug strategy.

I have asked Secretary Rubin to step up anti-money laundering efforts and work harder than ever, along with the Justice Department, to keep drugs from crossing our borders.

I have asked Secretary Cohen to intensify his on-going efforts to use the unique capabilities of the Defense Department to support our drug law enforcement efforts, especially along our Southwest border.

And I have asked Secretary of State Albright to continue our partnership with other nations -- particularly in the Western Hemisphere Drug Alliance -- to fight the global drug problem.

Next week, I will travel to Mexico, one of our strongest and most important partners in the fight against drugs. A major portion of the drugs that come into our country come through Mexico, across the 2,000 mile border we share. This illegal drug trade endangers Mexicans and Americans -- and it is in our nations' mutual interest to work together to shut it down.

The Alliance Against Drugs that President Zedillo and I adopted together in 1997 is beginning to make progress -- and I am committed to building on that progress, sharing resources, information, and experience. I am very pleased that last Thursday, the Mexican government announced it will be spending \$400 to \$500 million over three years to buy new planes, ships, radar and law enforcement equipment. I look forward to discussing ways we can extend our cooperation when I meet with President Zedillo.

Our battle against drugs is a fight to the finish -- and it is not a job for government alone. It will take all of our efforts and energy, all of our courage and compassion. It will take every one of us, looking ahead to a day when the scourge of drugs no longer threaten our children, our communities, or our collective future. I believe that we will reach that day, working together, and build a stronger nation for the 21st Century.

Thank you.

###

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jordan Tamagni (CN=Jordan Tamagni/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 5-FEB-1999 20:52:32.00

SUBJECT: With Slight Revisions

TO: Jeffrey K. Nussbaum (CN=Jeffrey K. Nussbaum/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN]-)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kenneth L. Schwartz (CN=Kenneth L. Schwartz/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ted Widmer (CN=Ted Widmer/OU=NSC/O=EOP @ EOP [NSC])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joshua S. Gottheimer (CN=Joshua S. Gottheimer/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tracy Pakulniewicz (CN=Tracy Pakulniewicz/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: mpatrick (mpatrick @ os.dhhs.gov @ inet [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Francis X. Kinney (CN=Francis X. Kinney/OU=ONDCP/O=EOP @ EOP [ONDCP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Linda Ricci (CN=Linda Ricci/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dominique L. Cano (CN=Dominique L. Cano/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul E. Begala (CN=Paul E. Begala/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Michael Waldman (CN=Michael Waldman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Revised Draft 2/5/99 9:00pm
Tamagni

PRESIDENT WILLIAM J. CLINTON
REMARKS FOR ANTI-DRUG EVENT
THE OLD EXECUTIVE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC
February 8, 1999

Acknowledgments: VP Gore; AG Reno; Sec. Shalala; ONDCP Dir. Gen. McCaffrey
; Jessica Hulsey [HULL-see]; Rhode Island AG Sheldon Whitehouse.

I want to start by thanking Jessica Hulsey for sharing her story. Just finding the courage and strength to survive and even thrive in a home where drugs -- not parents -- are in control is remarkable. But your commitment to make sure that no other child has to live through the fear and uncertainty you endured is an inspiration for us all. You are a truly exceptional young woman, and I thank you for being here today.

I know from my own experience what it feels like to have a family member caught in the deadly grip of drugs. My own brother nearly died of a cocaine addiction -- and I have asked myself hundreds of times why I didn't see it -- and what I could have done to stop it if I had. I know that my experience is not unique -- that thousands of families suffer through that nightmare of powerlessness and frustration.

But one of the most important things that Jessica's story and the ads from our media campaign teach us is that we do have the power to fight drugs. If each of us takes action, at every level of government, in every community, in every house of faith, and in every home ... if we reach out to our young people -- as parents, mentors, and peers -- before drug dealers reach them ... if we join forces, united and relentless in our determination to win this war, we can make our nation stronger than ever in the 21st Century.

For years, it seemed that crime was an insurmountable and ever-increasing problem. But we put in place a tough, smart anti-crime plan, with police, tougher punishment, and better prevention. Six years later, we know that our strategy is working -- beyond our expectations. Around the country, in cities large and small, crime is down to its lowest rates in 25 years.

We are beginning to win the war against crime, and we can win the war against drugs -- by marshaling the forces and resources of our nation. Year after year, my administration has secured the largest anti-drug budgets in history, with more money for drug enforcement agents, for border and customs control, for education and outreach, for treatment and prevention. Under the leadership of General Barry McCaffrey at the Office of National Drug Control Policy, our efforts have begun to pay off. Overall drug use by adults has dropped to more than half of its highest levels in 1979. Even drug use by our young people -- which seemed to be getting worse every year -- has finally begun to decline.

But when drug dealers still roam our streets and rob our children of their dreams, when drug-related crime still ravages our neighborhoods, we know we must do more. With our economy the strongest in a generation and our confidence rising, we have a rare opportunity -- and an obligation -- to redouble our efforts in the war against drugs.

We must start by recognizing that our nation's drug problem was not born in isolation and does not exist in a vacuum. It is an interconnected problem -- so our solutions must also be interconnected.

To deal with the drug problem, we need to do more to expand opportunity and create jobs for young people, especially in communities that have too often been passed by in good times. That means bringing the spark of enterprise to inner cities, with more tax incentives for businesses and investors, and expanded credit for low-income entrepreneurs. It means strengthening the summer jobs programs that help so many young people build a brighter future.

To deal with the drug problem, we need to do more to improve our schools and help all of our students to reach high standards. We need more

afterschool and summer school programs to keep young people learning in the classroom in the hours between when school lets out and parents come home from work -- the hours when young people are more likely to fall prey to drugs.

And to deal with the drug problem, we need a comprehensive anti-drug effort that fights drugs on every front and uses every weapon we possess.

That is why I am so pleased to release our 1999 National Drug Control Strategy. This is not a short-term plan designed to produce short-lived results. It is a comprehensive, long-term strategy, with more money for drug testing and treatment ... better drug-law enforcement in our communities and better drug control on our borders ... and better anti-drug education for young people, including our media campaign.

And our plan is backed by the largest anti-drug budget ever presented to the Congress: My balanced budget for 2000 -- the first budget of the 21st Century -- includes nearly \$18 billion to keep drugs away from our borders, off of our streets, and out of our children's reach.

I want to say a special word about our National Youth Anti-Drug Media Campaign. We launched this campaign last year because we knew that when it comes to fighting drugs, attitudes drive actions. Young people who understand the damage drugs can do to their lives -- and the lives of the people they love -- are simply less likely to use them.

Since we kicked off the campaign in July, we have reached literally millions of young people with a powerful message: drugs are illegal, drugs are wrong, and drugs can kill you. Although it is too early to fully measure our success, we are seeing evidence that our anti-drug message is getting through. And with ads in 10 languages, we are reaching young people of every ethnicity and national origin.

One big reason for this success is the remarkable response of the private sector to my challenge to join our fight against drugs. In six months, our campaign has generated more than \$165 million in matching contributions for paid anti-drug ads. Virtually every major network has produced high profile anti-drug public service announcements with their best known celebrities -- you just saw a few of those -- and donated air time to scores of non-profit organizations

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 5-FEB-1999 22:53:41.00

SUBJECT: please review

TO: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jose Cerda III (CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

One pager and Q&A attached for the drug event on Monday morning. McCaffrey will be briefing the press corps after the event. We are off the hook for the event brief - Cabinet Affairs/ONDCP are submitting it. Yipee.

Also, you should already have it, but the most recent version of the remarks are copied onto the bottom of this email.

Thanks,
Leanne

Revised Draft 2/5/99 9:00pm
Tamagni

PRESIDENT WILLIAM J. CLINTON
REMARKS FOR ANTI-DRUG EVENT
THE OLD EXECUTIVE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC
February 8, 1999

Acknowledgments: VP Gore; AG Reno; Sec. Shalala; ONDCP Dir. Gen. McCaffrey ; Jessica Hulsey [HULL-see]; Rhode Island AG Sheldon Whitehouse.

I want to start by thanking Jessica Hulsey for sharing her story. Just finding the courage and strength to survive and even thrive in a home where drugs -- not parents -- are in control is remarkable. But your commitment to make sure that no other child has to live through the fear and uncertainty you endured is an inspiration for us all. You are a truly exceptional young woman, and I thank you for being here today.

I know from my own experience what it feels like to have a family member caught in the deadly grip of drugs. My own brother nearly died of a cocaine addiction -- and I have asked myself hundreds of times why I didn't see it -- and what I could have done to stop it if I had. I know that my experience is not unique -- that thousands of families suffer through that nightmare of powerlessness and frustration.

But one of the most important things that Jessica's story and the

ads from our media campaign teach us is that we do have the power to fight drugs. If each of us takes action, at every level of government, in every community, in every house of faith, and in every home ... if we reach out to our young people -- as parents, mentors, and peers -- before drug dealers reach them ... if we join forces, united and relentless in our determination to win this war, we can make our nation stronger than ever in the 21st Century.

For years, it seemed that crime was an insurmountable and ever-increasing problem. But we put in place a tough, smart anti-crime plan, with police, tougher punishment, and better prevention. Six years later, we know that our strategy is working -- beyond our expectations. Around the country, in cities large and small, crime is down to its lowest rates in 25 years.

We are beginning to win the war against crime, and we can win the war against drugs -- by marshaling the forces and resources of our nation. Year after year, my administration has secured the largest anti-drug budgets in history, with more money for drug enforcement agents, for border and customs control, for education and outreach, for treatment and prevention. Under the leadership of General Barry McCaffrey at the Office of National Drug Control Policy, our efforts have begun to pay off. Overall drug use by adults has dropped to more than half of its highest levels in 1979. Even drug use by our young people -- which seemed to be getting worse every year -- has finally begun to decline.

But when drug dealers still roam our streets and rob our children of their dreams, when drug-related crime still ravages our neighborhoods, we know we must do more. With our economy the strongest in a generation and our confidence rising, we have a rare opportunity -- and an obligation -- to redouble our efforts in the war against drugs.

We must start by recognizing that our nation's drug problem was not born in isolation and does not exist in a vacuum. It is an interconnected problem -- so our solutions must also be interconnected.

To deal with the drug problem, we need to do more to expand opportunity and create jobs for young people, especially in communities that have too often been passed by in good times. That means bringing the spark of enterprise to inner cities, with more tax incentives for businesses and investors, and expanded credit for low-income entrepreneurs. It means strengthening the summer jobs programs that help so many young people build a brighter future.

To deal with the drug problem, we need to do more to improve our schools and help all of our students to reach high standards. We need more afterschool and summer school programs to keep young people learning in the classroom in the hours between when school lets out and parents come home from work -- the hours when young people are more likely to fall prey to drugs.

And to deal with the drug problem, we need a comprehensive anti-drug effort that fights drugs on every front and uses every weapon we possess.

That is why I am so pleased to release our 1999 National Drug Control Strategy. This is not a short-term plan designed to produce short-lived results. It is a comprehensive, long-term strategy, with more money for drug testing and treatment ... better drug-law enforcement in our communities and better drug control on our borders ... and better anti-drug education for young people, including our media campaign.

And our plan is backed by the largest anti-drug budget ever presented to the Congress: My balanced budget for 2000 -- the first budget of the 21st Century -- includes nearly \$18 billion to keep drugs away from our borders, off of our streets, and out of our children's reach.

I want to say a special word about our National Youth Anti-Drug Media Campaign. We launched this campaign last year because we knew that when it comes to fighting drugs, attitudes drive actions. Young people who understand the damage drugs can do to their lives -- and the lives of the people they love -- are simply less likely to use them.

Since we kicked off the campaign in July, we have reached literally millions of young people with a powerful message: drugs are illegal, drugs are wrong, and drugs can kill you. Although it is too early to fully measure our success, we are seeing evidence that our anti-drug message is getting through. And with ads in 10 languages, we are reaching young people of every ethnicity and national origin.

One big reason for this success is the remarkable response of the private sector to my challenge to join our fight against drugs. In six months, our campaign has generated more than \$165 million in matching contributions for paid anti-drug ads. Virtually every major network has produced high profile anti-drug public service announcements with their best known celebrities -- you just saw a few of those -- and donated air time to scores of non-profit organizations for their own anti-drug PSAs.

I am very proud of everything we are doing -- especially at the ONDCP -- to fight drugs. But making our anti-drug strategy work is not a job for just one agency, but for every agency, 365 days of the year. That is why I have called on my Cabinet to redouble their efforts in our fight against drugs.

I have asked Education Secretary Riley to build on our efforts to keep our schools safe, by strengthening the Safe and Drug Free Schools initiative, and encouraging more school districts to start afterschool programs.

I have asked Health and Human Services Secretary Shalala to help our young people stay off of drugs by increasing our efforts to promote drug treatment and prevention programs around the country.

I have asked Attorney General Reno to push forward with more drug testing of prisoners and parolees, and more police on the streets of our communities, to break the deadly cycle between crime and drugs. I have also asked her to redouble our efforts against drug trafficking by organized crime groups.

I have asked Transportation Secretary Slater to maintain the vigorous maritime interdiction operations against drug traffickers that are such an important part of our supply-side anti-drug strategy.

I have asked Treasury Secretary Rubin to step up anti-money laundering efforts and work harder than ever, along with the Justice Department, to keep drugs from crossing our borders.

I have asked Defense Secretary Cohen to intensify his on-going efforts to use the unique capabilities of our military to support our drug law enforcement efforts, especially along our Southwest border.

And I have asked Secretary of State Albright to continue our partnership

with other nations -- particularly in the Western Hemisphere Drug Alliance -- to fight the global drug problem.

Next week, I will travel to Mexico, one of our strongest and most important partners in the fight against drugs. A major portion of the drugs that come into our country come through Mexico, across the 2,000 mile border we share. This illegal drug trade endangers Mexicans and Americans -- and it is in our nations', mutual interest to work together to shut it down.

The Alliance Against Drugs that President Zedillo and I adopted together in 1997 is beginning to make progress -- and I am committed to building on that progress, sharing resources, information, and experience. I am very pleased that last Thursday, the Mexican government announced it will be spending \$400 to \$500 million over three years to buy new planes, ships, radar and law enforcement equipment. I look forward to discussing ways we can extend our cooperation when I meet with President Zedillo.

Our battle against drugs is a fight to the finish -- and it is not a job for government alone. It will take all of our efforts and energy, all of our courage and compassion. It will take every one of us, looking ahead to a day when the scourge of drugs no longer threaten our children, our communities, or our collective future. I believe that we will reach that day, working together, and I look forward to working with all of you to build a stronger nation for the 21st Century.

Thank you.

###

=====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS_EXT:[ATTACH.D82]MAIL498093042.036 to ASCII,
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF575043500A0000010A02010000000205000000E227000000020000974B3E50B6A363F1783EBE
1BF8ADFEF20A17A2E77312F7D6140C5DB6F9FAB67D2A5F846C087DEC044C4ED4A2A9BE76A7AEE5
2D3B99A1060C0EA096DC577EB69E6BBDBEFB3A85A51B76F2D3EA7E0210D47D1D3E31CFD464AF35
40F902FB171DA073A0249A8762BCD798F6F1C594F993EB4B846DED174A3EA50AD9637B8D74A7D8
077AB7F3D7AB56ECC9E9F9FF6C4C1FFBD74E080088655B22CF424D81A23CA7DCD0B7E2F0C1D06F
78E6D5CFD4239EFB9D5D149FF3665800B184DE8B18575B604F10F067386870032CA192F829E589
5C0F3A4A531600995E4B7A0317A4254C7CACD0D8274A20F3759270F1716EA908430EA8EE3DA046
2BB1E3F76A99F5392249D840F6696707984F28713B6C8EB9C0B5770130075F2CDD5A3CA95E891A

**1999 National Drug Control Strategy
Questions and Answers
February 8, 1998**

Q. What is the President announcing today?

A. President Clinton will release the *1999 National Drug Control Strategy*. The ONDCP Reauthorization Act of 1998 requires the President to submit a long-term plan to reduce illegal drug use and availability and its consequences. Within the *Strategy* is a detailed report on the nation's drug abuse profile and a comprehensive plan to cut drug use by 50% by 2007.

The President will also highlight the extraordinary efforts of the private sector to join forces with the successful Youth Anti-Drug Media Campaign to get the right message to kids, parents, and teachers on drugs. In just six months, the private sector has committed \$165 million in matching contributions to our media campaign.

Q. What are the highlights of the new *Strategy*?

A. The *1999 Strategy* builds on the President's previous national strategies. It refines the mid- and long-term targets presented last year for reducing drug use and availability by 50 percent by the year 2007. It also sets the target of reducing the criminal consequences of drug abuse by 30 percent and the health and social consequences by 25 percent by 2007. The *1999 Strategy* will serve as a strong guide in our national drug control efforts for the next five years.

Q. Why isn't the *Strategy* more ambitious? Can't we do better than cut drug abuse by 50 percent by 2007?

A. Reducing and stopping drug use requires fundamental changes in the attitudes of millions of Americans, and that shift in attitude is more gradual than we would wish. The *Strategy* promotes a steady pressure against drug use and underscores why drug control must be lifted out of partisan conflict. The Administration's long-term plan to reduce drug use by 50 percent to the lowest levels ever in our national experience is based on an historical perspective that is essential in the campaign against drug abuse -- not on simplistic solutions and sound bites that won't impact this difficult problem.

Q. Doesn't your budget fall short of what is needed to implement your strategy and the corresponding performance measures?

A. No. The President's FY 2000 budget supports the goals and objectives of the National Drug Control Strategy through increases in areas identified as funding priorities. The proposed FY2000 anti-drug budget is \$17.8 billion-- \$735 million (+4.3) over FY 1999 regular, non-emergency appropriations. Our budget provides increases of \$210 million (+3.6 in FY 2000 over FY 1999 regular appropriations) to support drug education, prevention and treatment, and increases supply reduction programs by \$524.8 million (+4.7 in FY 2000 over FY 1999 regular appropriations). Interdiction resources, mostly for one-time capital acquisitions, will increase significantly in 1999 as the result of a Congressional appropriation of \$870 million for international drug-control and interdiction spending.

Q. Isn't this *Strategy* deferring accountability to future years? Why not issue an annual report card?

A. The *1999 Strategy* provides a detailed annual update on progress in reducing drug abuse and its consequences. Among the 1999 report's principal conclusions are that drug use among the general population remained statistically unchanged between 1996 and 1997. Drug use among 12-17 year olds declined slightly in 1997 and 1998. In 1996, drug-related deaths leveled off at about 9,300 after climbing throughout the 1990s. Drug-related medical emergencies remained near historic highs but were statistically constant in 1997. The costs of illegal drug abuse were estimated \$110 billion in 1995, 64 percent higher than in 1990. And consumer spending on drugs declined by 37.3 percent from \$91.4 billion in 1988 to \$57.3 billion in 1995.

Q. Can the federal government really accomplish these long-term targets established by this *Strategy*?

A. We can, but to do so, we will need the support of the fifty states and four U.S. territories as well as the thousands of city, county, and local governments threatened by illegal drugs. State governments, for example, have enormous potential for addressing the drug problem. They administer the school systems, exercise far-reaching jurisdictional power, channel money and resources to specific needs, and educate citizens about the dangers of illegal drugs. States' funds account for much of the spending on drug prevention and treatment, providing funds to thousands of community-based treatment programs and prevention providers. Counties and cities play an equally important role, providing essential services such as emergency medical care, education, and law enforcement. All levels of government must become partners with the federal government in countering illegal drugs.

Automated Records Management System
Hex-Dump Conversion

Q. What makes you think you can cut drug use in half by 2007? Did you pick a ten year marker since President Clinton will no longer be in office by that time?

A. After much research and consultation, General McCaffrey recommended the goal of cutting drug use in half over ten years as a tough, but attainable goal. From 1979 to 1996, the number of people using drugs dropped by 49 percent, and the number using cocaine dropped by 70 percent. The critical part of meeting this goal is preventing the next generation of young people from ever starting to use drugs. If we can do this, we will achieve the goal. That is why the *Strategy* reflects the need for an enduring commitment. The long-term marker reflects the fact that there is no quick fix to America's drug problem. Studies show that drug use patterns occur within generational cycles -- drug use falls off, and unless there is ongoing education, young people forget the dangers of drugs. To help us chart these drug use patterns this *Strategy* includes -- as did the 1998 Strategy -- a report on the nation's drug abuse profile.

Q. Why doesn't the spending match the rhetoric? The *Strategy* identifies demand reduction as the priority, yet doesn't it invest more in supply reduction?

A. No. We hope that Congress will support this record drug-control budget. If enacted, spending on prevention will have increased by 53 percent since FY1996 while spending on treatment will have increased by 26 percent. Overall, spending on demand reduction will have increased by 36 percent and outpaced the growth of spending on supply reduction, which will have increased by 30 percent.

Q. Why are congressional critics saying that your drug-control budget doesn't invest sufficiently in supply reduction? And what about the charges that this failure is the reason why youth drug use increased during the 1990's?

A. We are continuing to seek increased funding to reduce the supply of drugs -- our FY 2000 request for supply reduction is over 30% more than in FY 1996. And though we have sought even greater increases during that same period for prevention -- our Strategy's top priority -- we believe that our proposal for supply reduction is more than sufficient. For instance, our Western Hemisphere cocaine control efforts have been extremely successful. Cocaine production in South America has plummeted by 280 metric tons (MTs) since 1995. Coca cultivation has declined by 56 percent in Peru. Expanded Colombian cultivation slightly offset significant reductions in Bolivia and Peru. In 1997, an estimated 289 MTs of cocaine were available in the U.S., the lowest amount since the 1980s and far below the peak of 529 MTs in 1992. And in 1998, 145 MTs of cocaine were seized en route to the U.S.

However, if you really believe that the ready availability of drugs fueled the 1992-1996

Automated Records Management System
Hex-Dump Conversion

increase of drug use rates among 12-17 year-olds, you would target domestically grown marijuana, not South American cocaine. Just 0.6 percent of seventeen-year-olds were using cocaine in 1997, whereas marijuana usage accounts for about 90 percent of illegal drug use among juveniles.

The 1999 National Drug Control Strategy
February 8, 1999

Today at Presidential Hall, President Clinton will release the *1999 National Drug Control Strategy*, a comprehensive long-term plan to reduce drug use and availability to historic new lows. The *Strategy* is backed by a \$17.8 billion counter-drug budget -- the largest ever presented to Congress. The President will also highlight the extraordinary efforts of the private sector to join forces with the successful Youth Anti-Drug Media Campaign to get the right message to kids, parents, and teachers on drugs.

A long term commitment to fight drugs. Year in and year out, the Clinton Administration has proposed the largest anti-drug budgets ever, helping to increase federal counter-drug spending by nearly 40% between FY 93 and FY 99. Our sustained effort is having an impact: overall drug use is half the level it was at its peak in the 1970's; drug-related murders are down by 40 percent since 1992; the first-ever paid anti-drug media campaign has been launched nationwide; and youth drug use is on the decline for the second year in a row. The *1999 National Drug Control Strategy* builds on this progress and takes the next steps to reduce drug use and availability across the board.

Keeping kids the number one priority. If our children can make it to adulthood free of substance abuse, the vast majority will avoid addiction for the rest of their lives. That is why the first goal of the *Strategy* is to educate and enable kids to reject drugs. And while recent studies show declining youth drug use in 1997 and 1998, we have more work to do. The President's *Strategy* and FY 2000 budget reflect a strong commitment to meeting this challenge:

- \$195 Million for National Youth Anti-Drug Media Campaign. The President's budget continues this unprecedented, 5-year campaign to use the full power of the mass media to educate millions of young people, parents, teachers and mentors about the dangers of drugs. In just six months, the private sector has joined our national effort and made over \$165 million in matching contributions -- helping us to reach even more people by creating their own anti-drug ads, producing shows about drug prevention, and giving scores of non-profit organizations free air time to run their drug-related messages.
- \$590 Million for Safe and Drug-Free Schools. In addition to calling for increased funds, the President is committed to reforming the Safe and Drug-Free Schools Program to make it even more effective. The President's proposal will require schools to adopt rigorous, comprehensive school safety plans that include tough, but fair discipline policies; safe passage to and from schools; effective drug and violence policies and programs; annual school safety and drug use report cards; and links to after school programs.

Breaking the iron link between drugs and crime. A third of state prisoners and one in five federal prisoners commit their crimes under the influence of drugs. Nearly 20 percent of state prisoners and 15 percent of federal inmates commit their crimes to buy drugs. The President's budget provides new resources for states and localities to break crime-committing addicts of their

Automated Records Management System
Hex-Dump Conversion

addictions and reduce recidivism:

- \$215 Million for Zero Tolerance Drug Supervision. The President proposes the most comprehensive drug supervision ever to help keep offenders drug- and crime-free: \$100 million in new funds to help states and localities to drug test, treat, and sanction prisoners, parolees and probationers; \$50 million to expand innovative drug courts; and \$65 million for residential drug treatment for prisoners with the most serious drug problems.

Strengthening law enforcement. One of the *Strategy's* goals is to increase the safety of America's citizens by substantially reducing drug-related crime and violence. To help keep crime coming down to record low levels, the President's budget includes:

- \$1.275 Billion for a 21st Century Policing Initiative, to help communities hire, redeploy and retain up to 50,000 law enforcement officers with an effort to target crime and drug "hot spots"; to equip officers with the latest crime-fighting technologies; and to engage entire communities to work together to prevent and fight crime.
- \$22 Million Increase for DEA Drug Intelligence, including \$13 million to assist the Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA) with its efforts to automate and improve access to critical law enforcement and intelligence information, and \$9 million to support investigations to dismantle drug trafficking organizations.

Closing the treatment gap. Dependence on drugs exacts an enormous toll in individuals, their families, businesses, communities, and the nation. Treatment can help end dependence on addictive drugs -- and its destructive consequences. To help make treatment available to more Americans in need, the President's budget provides:

- \$85 Million to Increase Drug Treatment. The President's budget provides an additional \$55 million in Targeted Capacity Grants to expand the availability of drug treatment to meet existing or emerging needs, and \$30 million more for the Substance Abuse Block Grant -- the backbone of federal efforts to help states and localities reduce the gap between those seeking treatment and the capacity of the public treatment system.

Stopping drugs at the border and breaking foreign sources of supply. The *Strategy* will help shield our borders and strengthen multinational cooperation on drugs by including:

- \$50 Million Increase for the Southwest Border. The President's budget includes additional funds for INS to deploy "force multiplying" technology, such as infrared and color cameras and ground sensors to aid Border Patrol enforcement and drug interdiction efforts.
- \$29 Million More for International Programs, to fund the State Department's International Narcotics Law Enforcement Affairs' efforts in the Andean countries, and Mexico, and to provide assistance to enhance multinational cooperation in our anti-drug efforts.