

NLWJC - KAGAN

EMAILS RECEIVED

ARMS - BOX 095 - FOLDER -006

[06/04/1999-06/09/1999]

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: David W. Beier (CN=David W. Beier/O=OVP [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 4-JUN-1999 13:40:31.00

SUBJECT: Voting

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen Tramontano (CN=Karen Tramontano/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Thomas A. Kalil (CN=Thomas A. Kalil/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jim Kohlenberger (CN=Jim Kohlenberger/O=OVP@OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Here is an excellent memo from Jim K on the Sanders piece. In addition, you all may wish to consider this issue in a broader context of how electronic commerce/Internet can affect democratic institutions. There is a joint Canadian/American industry effort underway (to be announced in 3 weeks) to look at these issues.

----- Forwarded by David W..Beier/OVP on 06/04/99 01:38 PM -----

To: David W. Beier/OVP@OVP

cc:

From: Jim Kohlenberger/OVP

Date: 04/14/99 03:13:22 PM

Subject: Voting

You gave me a copy of the Bernie Sanders letter on a National Commission on Voter Turnout. I think its an interesting idea, but a commission does punt the issue down the road. There are two things that we are doing now that we can point to:

In order to reconnect our citizens to the political process, we need to connect them to the voting booth. That means two things 1) using the Department of Defense's pilot project where they are allowing the 6 million eligible American citizens living abroad to vote electronically for the first time, to spin off new technologies to states, and 2) encouraging same day registration electronically. Same day registration is something that a commission could look at -- registration remains one of the biggest barriers to voting. Currently there are web sites that allow you to fill out a form on line, the fill out the form and mail it to you for signature. The motor voter law we signed started us down the road of easier registration, but now we could finish the job. For electronic voting, DOD has to resolve issues of security, authentication, and privacy and get more states to buy in. Right now their pilot, known as the Federal Voting Assistance Program, only deals with people voting in Missouri, Utah and Florida. But the technologies they develop are very

relevant.

Voter Apathy. The other problem is that all people get is 8 second soundbites on the news and 30 second ads. That is why we need 5 minutes a night of Free Time for better public discourse.

It might be interesting to appoint a commission with a specific set of ideas to explore. There is obviously nothing you can do for the 2000 elections, but it might fit well our campaign finance reform ideas since we will continue to be attacked there -- and we can talk about reforming the entire election process, from finance to voting.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Mary E. Cahill (CN=Mary E. Cahill/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 4-JUN-1999 09:02:39.00

SUBJECT: INS Restructuring Meeting with Groups

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

----- Forwarded by Mary E. Cahill/WHO/EOP on 06/04/99
09:01 AM -----

Maritza Rivera

06/03/99 06:42:07 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Mary E. Cahill/WHO/EOP@EOP

cc:

Subject: INS Restructuring Meeting with Groups

FYI

----- Forwarded by Maritza Rivera/WHO/EOP on 06/03/99
06:41 PM -----

Irene Bueno

06/03/99 05:45:32 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Mickey Ibarra/WHO/EOP@EOP, Maritza Rivera/WHO/EOP@EOP

cc: Maria E. Soto/WHO/EOP@EOP

Subject: INS Restructuring Meeting with Groups

Here is a report on a meeting with groups on INS restructuring. Please let me know if you have any questions. Thanks.

----- Forwarded by Irene Bueno/OPD/EOP on 06/03/99 05:47
PM -----

Irene Bueno

06/03/99 09:15:56 AM

Record Type: Record

To: Maria Echaveste/WHO/EOP

cc: Janet Murguia/WHO/EOP, Steven M. Mertens/OMB/EOP, Clara J.

Shin/WHO/EOP

Subject: INS Restructuring Meeting with Groups

Maria -

On Wed 6/2, Janet, Steve and I met with representatives from some of the advocacy groups on INS Restructuring. We had a good meeting and they

seemed satisfied where the Administration is on this issue and we will continue to work together to make sure INS Restructuring occurs in a way that is consistent with Administration's principals.

They expressed concern that there is a vacuum of leadership on the Hill on this issue and asked that the Administration take a more visible role on this issue.

We informed them that we are pushing INS to develop a bold and credible plan that would withstand any argument that INS is not making fundamental changes. They were also aware of the meeting that Reno is having with Rodgers to discuss INS Restructuring matters and we explained that Reno plans to discuss the Administration's principles of the INS Restructuring and to lay the groundwork for a working relationship with Rodgers to develop a plan that would be consistent with both our goals.

They also strongly suggested that the INS restructuring plan be presented by someone other than the INS and we explained that that the Department of Justice and the AG herself would be the lead person on this issue. This is a preferable approach given Reno's good relationship with Rodgers and they seemed pleased with that strategy.

The groups informed us that they have sent letters to key members of Congress on their principles (this letter was attached to the letter they sent to you) which are quite similar to our principles. They are also planning to follow up with Congressional staff meetings with the Congressional Hispanic Caucus (6/18), states with high immigrant populations, and key members on the House and Senate side particularly members of the House Appropriations Committee including - Serrano, Roybal-Allard, and Pastor.

Please let me know if you have any questions. Thanks.

(Janet and Steven - let me know if I left anything out.)

Irene

**CLINTON/GORE ADMINISTRATION UNVEILS NEW INITIATIVES TO ADDRESS
MENTAL HEALTH AT THE FIRST-EVER WHITE HOUSE CONFERENCE
ON MENTAL HEALTH**

June 7, 1999

Today, at the first-ever White House Conference on Mental Health, chaired by the President's Mental Health Advisor Tipper Gore, the Clinton/Gore Administration will unveil unprecedented measures to improve mental health. The Administration's proposals provide parity, improve treatment, bolster research, and expand community responses to help those with mental illnesses. Highlights of these initiatives include:

- **Ensuring that the Federal Employees Health Benefits Plan (FEHBP) -- the nation's largest private insurer - implements full mental health and substance abuse parity.** Today, the Office of Personnel Management is sending a letter to the 285 participating health plans informing them that starting next year they will have to offer full mental health and substance abuse parity to participate in the program. This step will provide full parity for nine million beneficiaries by next year and ensure that the Federal government leads the way to providing parity. The Department of Labor is also launching a new outreach campaign to inform Americans about their rights under the Mental Health Parity Act of 1996.
- **Launching national school safety training program for teachers and education personnel.** The President announced a major nationwide public/private partnership between the National Education Association (NEA), EchoStar, and other partners to improve school safety. The partnership, which includes the Departments of Education, Justice, and Health and Human Services, will create and run a comprehensive program that will be available at the beginning of the new school year with the goal of reaching every school across the country and providing training to teachers, school personnel, and community members on how to improve school safety.
- **Accelerating progress in research.** In July, National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) will launch a \$7.3 million landmark study to determine the nature of mental illness and treatment nationwide and to help guide strategies and policy for the next century. This new study will collect information on mental illness, including the prevalence and duration of mental illness as well as the types of treatment that are most commonly used. NIMH also will announce the launch of two new clinical trials, investing a total of \$61 million, to build on effective treatments for those affected by mental illness.
- **Encouraging states to offer more coordinated Medicaid services for people with mental illness.** Millions of Americans with severe mental illness rely on Medicaid to pay for their health care. To encourage states to make the most effective services available, the Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA) will advise all state Medicaid directors that: (1) Medicaid will reimburse for services provided in Assertive Community Treatment (ACT) programs targeting people with the most severe and persistent mental illness; (2) Medicaid recipients all have access to medications approved by FDA for the treatment of serious mental illnesses; and (3) states should educate Medicaid providers and beneficiaries about their ability to enter into "advance planning directives" that set out treatment guideline for people who became severely incapacitated in the future.

- **Launching a pilot program to help people with mental illness get the quality treatment they need to return to work.** Of the 4.7 million Americans that receive Social Security Disability Insurance (SSDI), the Social Security Administration (SSA) estimates that approximately one in nine (about 500,000) has an affective disorder (such as depression or a bipolar disorder). Research suggests that many of the people suffering with these disorders could get effective treatment and perhaps return to work. The Administration will launch a new five-year, \$10 million demonstration to provide treatment for SSDI beneficiaries with affective disorders. This complements the Jeffords-Kennedy-Roth-Moynihan legislation, which allows people to buy into the Medicaid or Medicare program when they return to work.
- **Educating older Americans and their health professionals about the risks of depression.** Five million Americans over the age of 65 suffer from some form of depression, but many do not recognize their symptoms as depression and do not receive the treatment they need. NIMH and the Administration on Aging (AoA) will launch an outreach initiative to educate the elderly and their healthcare professionals about mental illness. The Department of Veteran Affairs will also launch six new study sites to test two modes of primary care for older Americans with mental health and/or substance abuse disorders.
- **Reaching out to vulnerable homeless Americans with mental illnesses.** The Department of Housing and Urban Development is launching a new initiative to encourage communities to create safe havens where homeless mentally ill Americans can get treatment and care. HHS will also launch a two-year, \$4.8 million grant program to study the treatment, housing, education, training, and support services needed by homeless women and their children given to as many as 2,000 homeless mothers and their 4,000 children, many of whom suffer from mental illnesses. The Department of Veteran Affairs will double the number of “stand down” events to reach out to homeless Americans with mental illness to help them get the treatment and services they need.
- **Implementing new strategies to meet the mental health needs of crime victims.** To ensure that the federal response to community crises, like acts of terrorism or mass violence, includes a strong mental health component, the Administration is announcing a new interagency partnership between the Department of Justice’s Office for Victims of Crime and the Center for Mental Health Services within the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMSHA). This partnership also will ensure that strategies are in place to address the mental health needs of victims of violent crime.
- **Developing and implementing new strategies to address mental illness in the criminal justice system.** SAMHSA and DOJ are hosting a conference later this summer to focus on how the criminal justice system can prevent crime by mentally ill people and can address the needs of offenders with mental illness. Following this conference, DOJ will launch an outreach effort to educate the criminal justice community on how to better serve people with mental health needs. This initiative will include a new partnership with the National GAINS center so that communities interested in pursuing these approaches can get technical assistance and ideas about how to implement successful strategies.
- **Implementing a new comprehensive approach to address combat stress in the military.** At least 30 percent of those who have spent time in war zones experience combat stress

reaction. Today the President will direct the Department of Defense to report back within 180 days on an implementation plan for a comprehensive combat stress program throughout the military. DOD will also hold a conference this fall to develop strategies and educate military leaders and medical personnel about the need to enhance current prevention strategies.

- **Launching the expansion of the “Caring For Every Child” mental health campaign.** At least one in ten American children and adolescents may have behavioral, or mental health problems. The Administration will launch a five-year \$5 million dollar campaign in targeted communities to highlight the special mental health needs of children.
- **Improving the mental health of Native American youth.** The suicide rate for Native Americans between the ages of five and 24 years old is three times higher than the rest of the U.S. population in this age group. This initiative allocates at least \$5 million for a collaboration between the Departments of Interior, Justice, Education, and HHS, to go to ten Native American communities to develop effective strategies to address mental health needs of youth in settings such as the home, school, treatment centers, and the juvenile justice system.
- **The Administration Also Challenged Congress to Pass Legislation to Improve Care and Services for People with Mental Illness.** The Administration urged Congress to:
 - Pass the Jeffords-Kennedy-Roth-Moynihan-Lazio-Waxman-Bliley-Dingell legislation, which would enable people with disabilities to return to work by accessing affordable health insurance.
 - Hold hearings on the mental health parity law to review its strengths and weaknesses.
 - Fund the historic \$70 million increase in the mental health grant.
 - Pass a strong enforceable patients’ bill of rights which ensures that people with mental health needs obtain critical protections such as access to specialists and the continuity of care protections.
 - Pass strong comprehensive privacy and legislation to eliminate genetic discrimination.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 4-JUN-1999 10:25:03.00

SUBJECT: gun registration Q&A

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Courtney O. Gregoire (CN=Courtney O. Gregoire/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Cathy R. Mays (CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jose Cerda III (CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS_EXT:[ATTACH.D45]ARMS24827226L.136 to ASCII,
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF5750435A040000010A0201000000020500000006C0D000000020000A72BAEAA64FCA3B9491B1F

**Gun Registration
Question and Answer
June 4, 1999**

Q: This morning on *Good Morning America*, the President said he would support requiring gun owners to register their guns. Why didn't the President propose this earlier? Is this something you are going to push for as the Congress considers gun legislation?

A: Last April, the President proposed the most comprehensive gun legislation put forward by any Administration in 30 years. We are pleased that the Senate passed a number of the President's proposals, including requiring background checks at gun shows, and mandating safety locks for handguns. But we will push the House to move forward -- not backward -- on gun legislation, to include even more of the President's proposals such as raising the handgun age from 18 to 21 and requiring background checks for explosives purchases.

As the President indicated this morning, we do not intend to pursue gun registration in the context of the legislation currently under consideration by the Congress, and we have no intention to introduce such legislation. The President made very clear that we are focused on the common sense steps we can take now to keep guns out of the hands of kids and criminals. We cannot allow the Congress to buckle under the NRA and delay or undermine passage of these sensible measures that will help save lives. And if the Congress fails to pass all of the measures contained in President's bill, we will continue to keep the pressure on Congress to do so.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Charles M. Brain (CN=Charles M. Brain/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 4-JUN-1999 11:55:06.00

SUBJECT: Rep. Sanders & Voter Turnout

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: David W. Beier (CN=David W. Beier/O=OVP@OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Karen Tramontano (CN=Karen Tramontano/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

As I mentioned to you, Cong. Sander has inquired about a possible meeting with John to discuss his request that the President appoint a "National Commission on Voter Turnout" to explore the causes and possible solutions for this nation's low voter turnout rates. In response to this request, I thought it might be useful for you to convene a meeting to discuss our views on the merits of the idea.

I will forward to you a copy of Sanders' letter which was cosigned by Reps. bonior, Boehlert, Campbell, and Conyers.

Thanks. Let me know what you think.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Sean P. Maloney (CN=Sean P. Maloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 4-JUN-1999 11:03:09.00

SUBJECT: disability eo

TO: Jennifer M. Palmieri (CN=Jennifer M. Palmieri/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: June Shih (CN=June Shih/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Richard L. Siewert (CN=Richard L. Siewert/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry J. Toiv (CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jason H. Schechter (CN=Jason H. Schechter/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Dawn L. Smalls (CN=Dawn L. Smalls/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Sara M. Latham (CN=Sara M. Latham/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

potus checked the "approve" box on today's eo, but also wrote a note saying "before execute [sic] have podesta talk to me" - - so we're holding off for a bit until john's had an opportunity to do so; might also want to be a bit cautious with the press paper/remarks, though i think in the end we're still on track and there's no big issue - - someone (if john cant do it beforehand) ought to explore the president's question/concern in the prebrief.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Sandra Yamin (CN=Sandra Yamin/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 4-JUN-1999 13:06:39.00

SUBJECT: FOR CLEARANCE -- OMB Ltrr to Lott on Lockbox -- comments no later than 5:0

TO: Karen Tramontano (CN=Karen Tramontano/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steve Ricchetti (CN=Steve Ricchetti/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: George T. Frampton (CN=George T. Frampton/OU=CEQ/O=EOP@EOP [CEQ])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria Echaveste (CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Todd Stern (CN=Todd Stern/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wesley P. Warren (CN=Wesley P. Warren/OU=CEQ/O=EOP@EOP [CEQ])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ron Klain (CN=Ron Klain/O=OVP@OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gene B. Sperling (CN=Gene B. Sperling/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lynn G. Cutler (CN=Lynn G. Cutler/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis (CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Miles M. Lackey (CN=Miles M. Lackey/OU=NSC/O=EOP@EOP [NSC])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michelle Peterson (CN=Michelle Peterson/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeffrey M. Smith (CN=Jeffrey M. Smith/OU=OSTP/O=EOP@EOP [OSTP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joshua Gotbaum (CN=Joshua Gotbaum/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Martha Foley (CN=Martha Foley/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sally Katzen (CN=Sally Katzen/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John Podesta (CN=John Podesta/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Mara E. Rudman (CN=Mara E. Rudman/OU=NSC/O=EOP@EOP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Adrienne C. Erbach (CN=Adrienne C. Erbach/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Carolyn T. Wu (CN=Carolyn T. Wu/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Leslie Bernstein (CN=Leslie Bernstein/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Lisa M. Kountoupes (CN=Lisa M. Kountoupes/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Mark J. Tavlarides (CN=Mark J. Tavlarides/OU=NSC/O=EOP@EOP [NSC])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Victoria A. Wachino (CN=Victoria A. Wachino/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Peter A. Weissman (CN=Peter A. Weissman/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Judy Jablow (CN=Judy Jablow/OU=CEQ/O=EOP@EOP [CEQ])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Elizabeth Gore (CN=Elizabeth Gore/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Shannon Mason (CN=Shannon Mason/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Melissa G. Green (CN=Melissa G. Green/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Sara M. Latham (CN=Sara M. Latham/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: James J. Jukes (CN=James J. Jukes/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Sandra Yamin (CN=Sandra Yamin/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: William G. Dauster (CN=William G. Dauster/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Dawn L. Smalls (CN=Dawn L. Smalls/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Linda Ricci (CN=Linda Ricci/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Rebecca L. Walldorff (CN=Rebecca L. Walldorff/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Robert L. Nabors (CN=Robert L. Nabors/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Paul J. Weinstein Jr. (CN=Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Lisa Zweig (CN=Lisa Zweig/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Charles Konigsberg (CN=Charles Konigsberg/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Mindy E. Myers (CN=Mindy E. Myers/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Charles E. Kieffer (CN=Charles E. Kieffer/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Attached for your sign-off is a final draft letter from Jack Lew to Sen Trent Lott regarding H.R. 1259 -- Social Security and Medicare Safe Deposit Box. The Senate is expected to begin debate on lockbox Tuesday afternoon (6/8). Please note that this is a preliminary draft that may be modified after a conversation with Senator Conrad. Appreciate sign-off and comments no later than 5:00PM TODAY. Thank you.

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS_EXT:[ATTACH.D34]ARMS266974265.136 to ASCII,

The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF57504323050000010A02010000000205000000D81B00000002000005249645385A533E5116DBA
F539CAD89233FE5D34B773C18BF9588979DF1ABA6B6AB7A8A59A25D32F461623FC6AF75D296FBA
B26F5D444C4E62C3A0ACE49ADB15AD764579909758312B014EB17D8D195A27FD5B44EEEBD5ADA
AAF9FF8FFEEA1FBE73AF542236A9A82CF10973875102087590BFC034F918C8ECD0EF99CEB11AEC
D28CAE1DF6A2A898A7AA5BA764E176A9CD4A288EECA10AF780A453BF3C34E8D0890D2DC6C04EDE
BFC4547242FD6F2921E6CA91354199F34ECC5F9621393F84EBE69AEC80D54E167EAFB421607E44

The Honorable Trent Lott
Majority Leader
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Mr. Leader:

The purpose of this letter is to provide the Administration's views on H.R. 1259, the "Social Security and Medicare Safe Deposit Box Act of 1999," which recently passed the House of Representatives. As the Senate considers this legislation, your consideration of the Administration's views would be appreciated.

The President is fully committed to protecting Social Security and Medicare. He has committed this Administration to saving Social Security first, and strengthening Medicare, *before* budget surpluses are committed to any other purpose. The Administration supports a "lock-box" that would protect Social Security and Medicare. Unfortunately, H.R. 1259 does nothing to strengthen Social Security and Medicare and would establish a 60-vote point of order in the Senate that could force harmful fiscal policies.

H.R. 1259 seeks to reserve *off-budget* surpluses for future Social Security and Medicare reforms by establishing points of order against Budget Resolutions that "set forth," and new legislation "that would cause or increase," on-budget deficits. The bill sponsors' reasoning is that the occurrence of on-budget deficits would deplete off-budget (i.e. Social Security) surpluses. It is important to realize that *current* budget laws already provide more significant protections for Social Security and Medicare, than H.R. 1259 proposes. The Budget Enforcement Act's discretionary spending caps and pay-as-you-go requirements protect *both* off-budget *and* on-budget surpluses from being spent. And as the President has said, none of the budget surpluses -- on-budget or off-budget -- should be spent until the long-term solvency of Social Security has been secured and Medicare has been strengthened.

[While the bill does nothing to strengthen Social Security and Medicare, it would promote bad fiscal policy. The Administration is deeply concerned that the bill fails to take into account that a very modest economic slowdown could cause a temporary on-budget deficit. A recurrence of on-budget deficits would be even more likely if projected on-budget surpluses are spent on major tax cuts, as called for in the Congressional Budget Resolution.

In the event of an on-budget deficit, whether due to an economic downturn or policies which use projected surpluses that fail to materialize, under H.R. 1259, the Budget Resolution would have to call for spending cuts or tax increases to eliminate the on-budget deficit. In the Senate, any waiver of the new point of order would require a supermajority of 60 votes. This is bad fiscal policy, since enactment of spending cuts or tax increases could accelerate a slowdown or deepen a recession.]

The President's budget framework would save the bulk of projected unified surpluses and

apply them to the critical tasks of strengthening Social Security and Medicare. The framework would dedicate 62 percent of *total* budget surpluses (on- and off-budget) over the next 15 years to extend the solvency of the Social Security Trust Funds. In addition, the President's plan would lock away another 15 percent of *total* budget surpluses to extend the life of the Medicare Trust Fund. These funds would be protected by investing them in Treasury securities -- while at the same time, they would dramatically reduce the Nation's debt held by the public.

The independent Social Security Administration actuaries have estimated that reserving 62 percent of unified budget surpluses for Social Security would extend the life of the Trust Funds into the middle of the next century -- an essential first step towards achieving 75-year solvency. Dedicating 15 percent of total surpluses to Medicare would extend the life of the Hospital Insurance Trust Fund by at least a decade -- another important first step towards strengthening Medicare.

This is where the debate should be focused -- on concrete steps to secure the long-term solvency of Social Security and to strengthen the financing of the Medicare Trust Fund. Unfortunately, H.R. 1259 would do nothing to move the Nation towards that goal and risks bad fiscal policies that would threaten the health of our economy. The Administration urges the Congress to re-focus its efforts on the goal of saving Social Security first and strengthening Medicare.

Sincerely,

Jacob J. Lew
Director

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Sandra Yamin (CN=Sandra Yamin/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 4-JUN-1999 14:35:11.00

SUBJECT: FINAL CLEARANCE -- Draft SAP S. 1122 Department of Defense Appropriations

TO: Karen Tramontano (CN=Karen Tramontano/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steve Ricchetti (CN=Steve Ricchetti/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: George T. Frampton (CN=George T. Frampton/OU=CEQ/O=EOP@EOP [CEQ])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria Echaveste (CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Todd Stern (CN=Todd Stern/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wesley P. Warren (CN=Wesley P. Warren/OU=CEQ/O=EOP@EOP [CEQ])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ron Klain (CN=Ron Klain/O=OVP@OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gene B. Sperling (CN=Gene B. Sperling/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lynn G. Cutler (CN=Lynn G. Cutler/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis (CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Miles M. Lackey (CN=Miles M. Lackey/OU=NSC/O=EOP@EOP [NSC])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michelle Peterson (CN=Michelle Peterson/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeffrey M. Smith (CN=Jeffrey M. Smith/OU=OSTP/O=EOP@EOP [OSTP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joshua Gotbaum (CN=Joshua Gotbaum/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Martha Foley (CN=Martha Foley/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sally Katzen (CN=Sally Katzen/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John Podesta (CN=John Podesta/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Mara E. Rudman (CN=Mara E. Rudman/OU=NSC/O=EOP@EOP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Adrienne C. Erbach (CN=Adrienne C. Erbach/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Carolyn T. Wu (CN=Carolyn T. Wu/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Leslie Bernstein (CN=Leslie Bernstein/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Lisa M. Kountoupes (CN=Lisa M. Kountoupes/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Mark J. Tavlarides (CN=Mark J. Tavlarides/OU=NSC/O=EOP@EOP [NSC])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Victoria A. Wachino (CN=Victoria A. Wachino/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Peter A. Weissman (CN=Peter A. Weissman/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Judy Jablow (CN=Judy Jablow/OU=CEQ/O=EOP@EOP [CEQ])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Elizabeth Gore (CN=Elizabeth Gore/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Shannon Mason (CN=Shannon Mason/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Melissa G. Green (CN=Melissa G. Green/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Sara M. Latham (CN=Sara M. Latham/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: James J. Jukes (CN=James J. Jukes/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Sandra Yamin (CN=Sandra Yamin/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: William G. Dauster (CN=William G. Dauster/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Dawn L. Smalls (CN=Dawn L. Smalls/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Linda Ricci (CN=Linda Ricci/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Rebecca L. Walldorff (CN=Rebecca L. Walldorff/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Robert L. Nabors (CN=Robert L. Nabors/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Paul J. Weinstein Jr. (CN=Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Lisa Zweig (CN=Lisa Zweig/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Charles Konigsberg (CN=Charles Konigsberg/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Mindy E. Myers (CN=Mindy E. Myers/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Charles E. Kieffer (CN=Charles E. Kieffer/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Attached for your sign-off is a final draft Senate floor SAP on S. 1122 -- Department of Defense Appropriations bill. S. 1122 is scheduled for Senate floor action on Monday, June 7. Please provide sign-off and comments to me no later than 5:30PM Today. Thank you.

(Note: Jack Lew has not has an opportunity to review this draft).

DRAFT

June __, 1999

(Senate)

S. 1122 - DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS BILL, FY 2000
(Sponsor: Stevens (R), Alaska)

This Statement of Administration Policy provides the Administration's views on S. 1122, the Department of Defense Appropriations Bill, FY 2000, as reported by the Senate Appropriations Committee. Your consideration of the Administration's views would be appreciated.

The Committee has developed a bill providing requested funding for many of the Administration's priorities. We appreciate the Committee's decision to address readiness and modernization efforts. However, S. 1122 raises several budgetary and policy concerns, outlined below.

Funding Levels

The Committee bill provides funding requested for many of the Administration's priorities. We appreciate the Committee's decision to provide the Administration's overall FY 2000 request of \$262.9 billion. In addition, we are pleased with the Committee's decision to fully fund

the military pay raise, personnel benefits, and critical readiness programs at requested levels. However, the Administration is concerned that the Senate bill, which exceeds the President's budget by nearly \$1.4 billion, will drain critical resources from other programs. When combined with expected defense increases in other Senate bills, defense funding would exceed the President's request by about \$5 billion. The Administration believes that the President's budget request correctly addresses the many needs of the military and that this additional funding is not necessary.

Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Fund

The Administration opposes the \$300 million reduction to the request for the Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Fund (OCOTF). The Committee rightly notes that it is difficult to develop standardized budgeting procedures for contingency operations due to the inherent uncertainty of these operations. This uncertainty, however, prescribes that funding should remain in the flexible OCOTF account to cover unforeseen costs that emerge over the course of a year, even if it is in excess of currently identified requirements. Reducing the OCOTF account would only make it more difficult for the military services to cover contingency operations costs as they emerge, potentially jeopardizing military readiness. Therefore, the Administration urges the Senate to restore the OCOTF account to the requested level. The Administration, of course, will continue to work with the Committee to improve accounting for contingency operations costs and spending.

Rescissions & Other Reductions

The Administration is concerned about some of the rescissions and reductions in the bill's general provisions. The Committee bill would cut \$209 million for civilian personnel under-execution, even though the Department projects minimal under-execution. The Senate Committee also has cut \$250 million for fuel savings, though recent changes in world fuel markets indicate that prices are likely to increase. These reductions would underfund pressing defense readiness programs in the President's request. We will, of course, work closely with the Congress on constructing these provisions in order to preserve a prudent balance of defense priorities.

Incremental Funding of LHD-8 Amphibious Ship

The bill would provide \$500 million for advance procurement and construction of LHD-8, a large-deck amphibious ship for the Marine Corps. The bill also directs that the Navy fund the balance of the acquisition costs incrementally. The Administration opposes incremental funding of major weapon systems, including construction of ships. Incremental funding would make completing construction of this program dependent upon the action of future Congresses. Moreover, to continue construction of LHD-8, the incremental funding approach would require the Navy to identify funds for LHD-8 in each year of its long-range plan that are not currently budgeted. These funds would have to come at the expense of other important programs. The Administration supports acquisition of LHD-8 and plans to fully fund this ship in FY 2005, when construction is required to begin in order to meet current ship replacement schedules.

Unrequested Funding for Modernization

The Administration opposes funding increases proposed for procurement (\$2.4 billion) and research, development, test, and evaluation

(\$1.9 billion) programs. The President's budget represents a careful balance between readiness and modernization programs that supports current needs and long-term modernization priorities in the context of overall government priorities. Unrequested items funded by the Committee include \$300 million for the National Guard and Reserve Equipment account and \$87 million for one EC-130J aircraft for the Air Force.

Chemical Demilitarization Program

The Committee's reduction of \$145 million to the request for the Chemical Demilitarization Program prior to identification and implementation of cost-saving measures is problematic. A reduction of this magnitude would cause a breach in the Chemical Weapons Convention deadline for the destruction of these chemical weapons. Furthermore, the reduction would increase life-cycle costs and increase the risk to local communities surrounding the chemical storage sites as the destruction of these chemical agents is delayed. The Administration strongly urges the Senate to provide the \$1.169 billion requested in the President's FY 2000 Budget.

Combating Terrorism

The Administration objects to the \$339 million increase to the \$1.0 billion request for combating terrorism, an allocation that could unintentionally reduce critical readiness spending.

Remote Area Denial Artillery Munitions

The Administration strongly opposes the committee's deletion of Remote Area Denial Artillery Munitions production funding. RADAM is an artillery-delivered, anti-armor munition that is essential to Army and Marine Corps maneuver warfare capabilities as well as to their ability to protect troops in combat. RADAM is also essential to ending U.S. anti-personnel landmine (APL) deployments outside of Korea by 2003, a key foreign policy goal. As the current artillery-delivered, anti-armor system is removed from service, RADAM will be the only system available to provide U.S. commanders the same force protection and maneuver warfare options in the future. Deletion of RADAM production funds will result in either a failure to meet our policy objectives regarding APL or in exposure of U.S. troops to unacceptable and unacceptable degradation of U.S. ground combat capabilities.

Network Technologies

The Committee bill makes cuts to two important technology programs: \$25 million to the Administration's \$70 million request for DoD's Extensible Information Systems/Deeply Networked Systems program; and, \$9 million to the \$40 million request for the Next Generation Internet (NGI) program. As we enter an era of information-based warfare, it is crucial that DoD remain at the forefront of networking research. The Extensible Information Systems is a major part of the President's Information Technology for the Twenty First Century initiative to accelerate information technology research. The DoD's NGI is part of the Government-wide Next Generation Internet initiative to develop technologies to make Internet-like communications faster. Each reduction represents, in addition to the defense effects, a seven-to nine-percent reduction in spending for the inter-agency initiatives. Failure to provide the requested funding would result in delayed progress and lost

leadership for DoD in these areas.

Objectionable General Provision

Section 8073 of the Committee-reported bill would prohibit the use of funds to transfer defense articles or services to another nation or to an organization in connection with international peacekeeping or humanitarian operations, unless the President gives 15 days advance notice to Congress. The provision includes no waiver for national security emergencies such as providing weapons to troops supporting U.S. forces engaged in hostilities. Because the provision intrudes on the President's authority as Commander-in-Chief, the Administration would construe it as permitting such expenditure, with the notification occurring as soon thereafter as possible.

FY 2000 Tobacco Proposals
June 7, 1999 – DRAFT 9:30am

Below are several approaches that would reduce youth smoking and raise revenue for health-related programs in FY 2000. All estimates are preliminary. Either an industry payment or a company payment based on the number of children smoking could raise close to \$4 billion. Adding an acceleration of the scheduled increase in the tobacco excise tax to either payment could raise another \$1.1 billion.

Industry and Company Payments

Industry Payments

Each tobacco company would pay a per-child payment based on the number of children smoking nationwide (regardless of brands smoked).

- A one-time payment of \$1,200 per kid raises about \$4.4 billion in payment payments, less about \$600 million in lost excise tax revenues, for a net revenue effect of \$3.8 billion. This payment amounts to 22 cents per pack; because such payments would be nondeductible for the companies, prices would go up by 33 cents per pack.
- A one-time payment of \$900 per kid raises about \$3.4 billion, less about \$500 million in lost excise tax revenues, for a net effect of \$2.9 billion. This translates into 17 cents per pack in payment, and a 26-cent increase in retail prices.

Company Payments

Each tobacco company would pay a per-child payment based on the number of children smoking its brands of cigarettes nationwide.

- A one-time payment of \$1,000 per kid raises about \$3.8 billion, translating into 19 cents per pack payment.
- A one-time payment of \$750 per kid raises about \$2.9 billion, and translates into 15 cents per pack in payment.

Both options would use data gathered by the newly expanded SAMSHA survey, which collects both brand-specific and overall data about smoking among youth. [check data availability]

Acceleration of Excise Tax Increase

The 1997 Balanced Budget Act raised the tobacco excise tax 10 cents per pack effective January 1, 2000 and another 5 cents effective January 1, 2002. Accelerating the scheduled 15 cent tobacco tax increase, so that it takes effect in FY2000, would add about \$1.1 billion in FY 2000. The increase would be slightly less if coupled with the industry payment, rather than the company payment, because the industry payment would increase cigarette higher, reducing cigarette consumption and the revenue raised by the higher excise tax.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Oscar Gonzalez (CN=Oscar Gonzalez/OU=OMB/O=EOP [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 7-JUN-1999 11:07:27.00

SUBJECT:

TO: James J. Jukes (CN=James J. Jukes/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brian S. Mason (CN=Brian S. Mason/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Toni S. Husted (CN=Toni S. Husted/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles M. Brain (CN=Charles M. Brain/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Broderick Johnson (CN=Broderick Johnson/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry T. Clendenin (CN=Barry T. Clendenin/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rachel E. Levinson (CN=Rachel E. Levinson/OU=OSTP/O=EOP@EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Frank J. Seidl III (CN=Frank J. Seidl III/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah S. Lee (CN=Sarah S. Lee/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stuart Shapiro (CN=Stuart Shapiro/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Larry R. Matlack (CN=Larry R. Matlack/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert G. Damus (CN=Robert G. Damus/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet R. Forsgren (CN=Janet R. Forsgren/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeanne Lambrew (CN=Jeanne Lambrew/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Devorah R. Adler (CN=Devorah R. Adler/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen Tramontano (CN=Karen Tramontano/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joanne S. Tornow (CN=Joanne S. Tornow/OU=OSTP/O=EOP@EOP [OSTP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marc Garufi (CN=Marc Garufi/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Richard J. Turman (CN=Richard J. Turman/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Daniel J. Chenok (CN=Daniel J. Chenok/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Melissa N. Benton (CN=Melissa N. Benton/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry White (CN=Barry White/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

This is a reminder that your comments on Labor's letter regarding HR 1899, the Health Care Workers Needlestick and Sharps Injury Prevention Act (LRM ID OGG15) are due by noon today. If I don't hear from you, I'll assume you are OK with the letter.

Thanks,

Oscar

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Irene Bueno (CN=Irene Bueno/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 7-JUN-1999 16:32:36.00

SUBJECT: INS Detention Budget/Administrative/Legislative Proposals - UPDATE

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jose Cerda III (CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Courtney O. Gregoire (CN=Courtney O. Gregoire/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

Irene Bueno (CN=Irene Bueno/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

As you know, we have developing an INS Detention proposal that has budget, administrative and legislative component to address the overcrowding issue.

OMB plans to send this package up today along with other budget amendments(ie - census). This package needs to be sent today b/c Senate Subcommittee on Commerce Justice plans to mark up on Wednesday and they want this proposal to be considered as part of that mark up.

I have reviewed the various components and it appears that INS has developed a good approach to address overcrowding issue. It's a \$230 million proposal for detention services, administrative proposal to improve the mangement of the detention centers, and some legislative fixes.

However, the offsets for this package may be the most controversial area b/c the offset includes reinstatement 245(i), and taking funds from the border patrol hiring funds since INS is unable to hire the 1000 border patrol authorized. Also, Jose raised some concerns with taking money from the victim fund. According to OMB, they do not have any other alternatives and they believe that the Hill will be critical if offsets are not proposed.

I will send to you by fax more detailed information (fact sheet and qs and as) on the entire package later today. I have a draft but it requires some changes.

Please let me know if you have any questions, comments, or concerns about this approach. Thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cathy R. Mays (CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 7-JUN-1999 17:51:58.00

SUBJECT: DPC Staff Meeting

TO: Skye S. Philbrick (CN=Skye S. Philbrick/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul J. Weinstein Jr. (CN=Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sandra Thurman (CN=Sandra Thurman/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Todd A. Summers (CN=Todd A. Summers/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan H. Schnur (CN=Jonathan H. Schnur/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bethany Little (CN=Bethany Little/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karin Kullman (CN=Karin Kullman/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher C. Jennings (CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: J. Eric Gould (CN=J. Eric Gould/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eugenia Chough (CN=Eugenia Chough/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Irene Bueno (CN=Irene Bueno/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marsha Scott (CN=Marsha Scott/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Essence P. Washington (CN=Essence P. Washington/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Neera Tanden (CN=Neera Tanden/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary L. Smith (CN=Mary L. Smith/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ruby Shamir (CN=Ruby Shamir/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tanya E. Martin (CN=Tanya E. Martin/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeanne Lambrew (CN=Jeanne Lambrew/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andrea Kane (CN=Andrea Kane/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Teresa M. Jones (CN=Teresa M. Jones/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Courtney O. Gregoire (CN=Courtney O. Gregoire/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas L. Freedman (CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jose Cerda III (CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Devorah R. Adler (CN=Devorah R. Adler/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

We will be having a DPC Staff Meeting tomorrow, Tuesday, June 8, at 9:15 a.m. in Room 211.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Richard L. Siewert (CN=Richard L. Siewert/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 7-JUN-1999 18:32:50.00

SUBJECT: Washington Post Story on Privacy and Deadbeat Parents Database

TO: Melissa G. Green (CN=Melissa G. Green/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas A. Kalil (CN=Thomas A. Kalil/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cynthia A. Rice (CN=Cynthia A. Rice/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jim Kohlenberger (CN=Jim Kohlenberger/O=OVP@OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah Rosen Wartell (CN=Sarah Rosen Wartell/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Neera Tanden (CN=Neera Tanden/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David W. Beier (CN=David W. Beier/O=OVP@OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jennifer M. Palmieri (CN=Jennifer M. Palmieri/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Julia M. Payne (CN=Julia M. Payne/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Barry J. Toiv (CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Bob O'Harrow, the Washington Post reporter who is most closely following the privacy debate is working on an extended piece about the conflict between our database network for deadbeat parents and our effort to protect people's private financial information.

Here's how the story goes. He has been in touch with our Office of Child Support Enforcement, which is building the database of information to help track down deadbeat parents. He claims their operation is very far-reaching. They have names, SS #'s, and wage reports for virtually every working American; these records are currently matched against outstanding child support orders. This database is also about to incorporate information from virtually every bank and mutual fund in the country about existing accounts. O'Harrow acknowledges that this database is very effective and the additional financial info will make it even more so.

What he is concerned about is how this stacks up against our commitment to protecting financial privacy. O'Harrow thinks this is probably the

largest data collection operation in the last 50 years and the largest hi-tech surveillance operation ever. We have put a heavy emphasis on notice, opt-ins and choice in financial records, yet few Americans realize that their wage (and soon their bank and mutual fund records) are being routinely cross-checked in this way. the only real notice has been in the Federal Register.

Anyway, he wants some official government (White House) reaction this week. I don't know who is the definitive point person on this issue, but let me know how we should proceed. O'Harrow's stories tend to get pretty prominent placement, and we should make sure we have a tight response.

Draft 6/7/99 7pm
Michael Waldman

**PRESIDENT WILLIAM J. CLINTON
“PUTTING A HUMAN FACE ON THE GLOBAL ECONOMY”
ADDRESS TO THE GRADUATES
UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS
June 12, 1999**

[more University of Chicago stuff – a description of first seeing the school from a Saul Bellow or Philip Roth novel or memoir?]

It is an honor to join you at this great university, in the heart of our nation, at the height of our strength and prosperity in the world.

Let me address myself, first, to the graduates here today: You have chosen the life of the mind, a path of academic rigor. I know that there has been much discussion on this campus in recent weeks about the undergraduate program and the core curriculum -- but never lose sight of the common value you all share in abundance: an extraordinary ethic of discipline, intensity and an insistence on the primacy of thought.

You leave here, ready as few others, to reap the rewards of this golden moment for America. You are prepared to take your place as full citizens of this nation. Moreover, you leave here prepared to be “citizens of the world.”

I want to talk to you about the extraordinary opportunities that await you – and the profound responsibilities that come with them. For far more than we sometimes realize, this has been a period of rapid, accelerating change. *[a few thoughts re the jobs they will have]* It is a world that demands fresh thought and constant questioning -- an appreciation of our most profound values and hallowed traditions, and a relentless search for new ways to apply them to new times. The most illustrious son of Illinois, President Lincoln, said, “as our case is new, we must think anew, and act anew. We must disenthrall ourselves.”

For half a century our policies, our politics, and our purpose were shaped by the struggle for freedom against Communism. I believe that over the next half century, our greatest single challenge will be to wage that struggle for freedom on a new stage – to honor our values and advance our interests in the now-emerging global economy. Before us are undreamed of opportunities for abundance and fulfillment. We have the chance to lift literally billions of our fellow men and women into a thriving global middle class. Yet the idea-driven, dynamic, often disruptive international marketplace poses stiff new challenges, threatens new instability, risks new inequalities. An international economy that fails to benefit ordinary citizens everywhere will neither win nor deserve their support. We must put a human face on the global economy.

Automated Records Management System
Hex-Dump Conversion

This new defense of freedom and of democratic capitalism will call on the talents and the minds of the faculty and graduates of the University of Chicago. Your generation will play a central role in this new drama. And I want to talk to you to today about how we can do it.

It was ten years ago this week that Solidarity came to power in Poland, sparking a chain reaction as powerful in its way as the one caused by Enrico Fermi under Stagg Field. [*first nuclear fission was at University of Chicago during WWII*] The end of the Cold War let loose a torrent of economic and technological change that has woven the world together into a new, dynamic and dramatically different global economy. The freedom tide that swept away Soviet Communism has swept away old economic verities as well.

A technological revolution, which is familiar to you all, has transformed our lives. There is more computer power in the PCs and laptops in the dorm rooms of [*dorm*] than there were in all the computers in America [20] years ago. For years, economists wondered why the spread of new technology wasn't spurring productivity. They've stopped wondering. In the last quarter alone, productivity rose 4%. [ck] The digital revolution is responsible for the "death of distance," making it possible for people to work together across oceans as if they were working together across the hall.

America has led and shaped the global marketplace, from founding the World Trade Organization to creating the Internet to inventing the most dynamic new industries, from biotech to DVDs to aerospace. We benefit hugely, of course, from the goods and services we export, from the high wage jobs that are created. We benefit, as well, from imports. They are a driving force behind our competitive strength, forcing our firms to innovate, and helping us to grow rapidly with little inflation. The strengths prized by the new economy – innovation, teamwork, flexibility, drive – are qualities at the core of the American character. These characteristics are expressed in our popular culture, even in our sports. Michael Jordan is an icon on the dorm walls of Beijing University not just because of his fast break but because his style represents the American style, and the world is drawn to that style because it is the right one for the new economy.

By balancing the budget, nearly doubling investment in education and training, by forging nearly [300] trade agreements to open foreign markets to our goods and services, we have created the conditions to bring this new economy into being. Rather than resisting economic change, or telling our people they are on their own, we have chosen to equip people to master change. Today, as you know, the American economy is the strongest in a generation – perhaps the strongest it has ever been.

But the economic storms that have rattled our windows since 1997 sharply remind us that the new economy poses new challenges. For nearly two years, the world has experienced the first financial crisis of the information age. We saw that the very interconnectedness of nations could turn a crisis in one continent into a calamity in another, virtually overnight. We saw runs not on banks but on entire countries. In Asia, some 30 million people who had worked their way

into the middle class suddenly were plunged into poverty. And here in the United States, amidst record prosperity, 250,000 manufacturing workers lost their jobs.

As I said last year, this was the most serious economic challenge since World War II. For a year and a half, the United States mobilized the major nations of the world to stabilize the global financial system and restore growth. Today, we can look back at those efforts with some satisfaction, and continued caution. The world economy has pulled back from the brink. Growth and hope have begun to return to every corner of the globe.

But a near-miss on the freeway shouldn't just make us drive faster. We must see this crisis for what it is: an urgent imperative to understand the new realities of the international economy, and to act on them. We see more clearly than ever that our task as Americans -- and your mission as future leaders -- must be to shape and steer these currents of change. For all its glamour, the global economy cannot be an end in itself. [The new global community cannot survive as a tale of two cities -- one with hundreds of McDonalds, thousands of cellphones, and CNN on every television -- the other mired in poverty and resentful of the world passing it by.]

This is our task, but it is not new to our time. Throughout our history, America's mission has been to widen the circle of opportunity and deepen the meaning of freedom in each new era.

A century ago, the new Industrial Age offered brilliant prospects but also brutal new challenges to our traditional notions of opportunity and individual liberty. Then, most economic life in America was conducted at the local level. Most regulation of business and social legislation was done in city halls or state capitals, if done at all. But the rise of great national corporations, the shift to industrial mass production, and the birth of a truly national economy made that old approach obsolete. It took many decades -- and several depressions and wars -- but eventually our nation realized that a national economy demanded decisive action from a strong national government. In the Progressive Era and then the New Deal, we built the institutions to strengthen that national economy. To temper the cycles of boom and bust, we created the Federal Reserve and imposed strong bank regulation, deposit insurance, unemployment insurance and a host of other measures. To stretch a safety net under working people, so they could share in America's bounty, we created Social Security and Medicare and guaranteed the right to collective bargaining. The farsighted generations of a half-century ago built a platform for prosperity on which we stand to this day.

Now, our task is nothing less than this: to find a way to advance these same values and protect these same interests in the international economy

Just as your scholars taught the world about the power and logic of the market, they teach us as well about the enduring importance of strong social norms -- the vital institutions and values, rooted in families and communities and religious institutions, that give meaning to our lives. You are teaching us that effective laws and mutual responsibility are not opposed to thriving markets -- they are the basis of thriving markets.

We must find a way to balance the dynamism, disruption and churning innovation of the new economy with the need for security and predictability that undergirds civilized life. We must make the same effort we have made here at home – to marry the power of the market with the principles of social equality – in a world where commerce is global and capital is mobile.

I believe the humane global system we seek should be guided by four great principles – principles drawn from our successful experiment in self-government over the past century.

First, we must make certain that the new global economy lifts the lives of working people.

Our labor and environmental laws are monuments to social justice in this century. But more than that, they are vital economic safeguards as well. By establishing strong national standards – a floor – we set in motion competition based on price and productivity, rather than exploitation and predatory practices.

We risk similar competitive pressures in the international economy as well – and we would benefit from the same high standards. The evidence is strong that rising trade lifts standards of living, and that wealthier nations are better able to protect their environment. But as markets move more quickly, and as capital is able to flow into and out of nations in an electronic instant, there will be greater pressure for nations to compete by slashing protections for their people. We must make certain that vigorous competition among nations never becomes a race to the bottom in labor or environmental standards. We should level up, not level down.

Let me mention three specific ways I propose to do that.

We should work to lift labor standards everywhere in the world. Last year, the nations of the world, through the International Labor Organization, put forward a new set of universal principles to lift labor standards worldwide: the right to organize a union ... a minimum wage ... an end to racial and gender discrimination ... an end to forced labor ... an end to abusive child labor. These rights are human rights. There is no country in the world so poor that it cannot guarantee them. Next week, I will be the first American president to address the International Labor Organization in Geneva. I will ask them to work toward these principles. And I am asking the Congress to fully fund the effort to lift labor standards worldwide.

And if we seek trade that honors our values, then our trade agreements must be allowed to advance those same values. When it comes to trade, labor and environmental concerns should no longer be ‘second class’ issues. I am seeking renewal of the authority, granted to presidents of both parties for [x] years, to negotiate new trade agreements and submit them to Congress for approval. I will ask the Congress to allow the President to negotiate to protect labor and the environment in trade agreements. For years, Presidents have used trade talks to protect intellectual property; they should be able to use trade talks to protect the rights of workers and the dignity of work.

And we can take specific and concrete steps to end child labor. It was an advance for American civilization when the activists of Hull House, early in this century, led the fight to ban child labor – and then went on to found the School of Social Work here at Chicago. But in too many communities around the world, tens of millions of children work in abusive conditions that shock the conscience. [e.g. on child labor TK] My administration has led the struggle to ban abusive child labor. Within days, I am confident we will conclude a worldwide agreement to ban the most abusive forms of child labor. If we do so, I will ask the Congress to ratify it this year.

And I am determined that the national government do everything it can to this end. The biggest single purchaser of clothing in the United States is ... the United States government. Today I am directing that the national government move forward to stop purchasing any products made with forced child labor. And I ask state and local governments – and private businesses – to take the same voluntary step. The purchasing power of the American people, deployed in a way that honors our values, can be a decisive force in the lives of hundreds of thousands of children.

The second significant lesson we must learn from the American experience is that growth that is broadly shared is better sustained. From the depth of the Great Depression came the insight that sustaining a strong middle class – with its mass purchasing power – was not just morally right, but economically necessary. Now we must be concerned not only with how fast the global economy is growing, but how widely shared is the growth.

[debt relief]

The third lesson we should learn is that we can – and must – find ways to temper the wild swings of economic boom and bust, as we have done in our own economy.

Even today, the free flow of capital is the surest route to prosperity for the greatest number. Even today, after all the economic shocks, citizens of Korea or Thailand are far more affluent than a decade ago. But the world has shown itself too prone to speculation and crowd psychology, as impatient capital first rushes in and then just as suddenly rushes out of emerging markets.

A global economy prone to bouts of economic collapse simply is not sustainable. For half a century, the International Monetary Fund and the World Bank have helped to stabilize the markets and spur growth. Now we need a financial architecture as modern as the markets they serve. *[financial architecture proposals]*

The fourth lesson is as old as our republic. Simply, institutions that are democratically accountable are more effective than those that are not. *[STUFF FROM WTO SPEECH ON ACCOUNTABLE INSTITUTIONS]*

Conclusion: challenge to graduates, vision of future, etc.

Now, in this new era, I say to you frankly that Americans today have not found all the answers. Indeed, we have not even fully grasped all the questions. We sense that many of the first political choices in new century will be driven by how we handle the challenge of the global economy. But we do not know how. Traditional political alliances are scrambled. This is always how it is in a time of flux. President Kennedy said, "As every past generation has had to disenthral itself from an inheritance of truisms and stereotypes, so in our own time we must move on from the reassuring repetition of stale phrases to a new, difficult, but essential confrontation with reality."

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Sandra Yamin (CN=Sandra Yamin/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 7-JUN-1999 20:24:35.00

SUBJECT: 9:00AM TUES DEADLINE -- FINAL CLEARANCE -- Draft Letter for Transportation

TO: Karen Tramontano (CN=Karen Tramontano/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steve Ricchetti (CN=Steve Ricchetti/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: George T. Frampton (CN=George T. Frampton/OU=CEQ/O=EOP@EOP [CEQ])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria Echaveste (CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Todd Stern (CN=Todd Stern/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wesley P. Warren (CN=Wesley P. Warren/OU=CEQ/O=EOP@EOP [CEQ])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ron Klain (CN=Ron Klain/O=OVP@OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gene B. Sperling (CN=Gene B. Sperling/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lynn G. Cutler (CN=Lynn G. Cutler/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis (CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Miles M. Lackey (CN=Miles M. Lackey/OU=NSC/O=EOP@EOP [NSC])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michelle Peterson (CN=Michelle Peterson/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeffrey M. Smith (CN=Jeffrey M. Smith/OU=OSTP/O=EOP@EOP [OSTP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joshua Gotbaum (CN=Joshua Gotbaum/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Martha Foley (CN=Martha Foley/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sally Katzen (CN=Sally Katzen/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John Podesta (CN=John Podesta/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Courtney O. Gregoire (CN=Courtney O. Gregoire/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: James J. Jukes (CN=James J. Jukes/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Sandra Yamin (CN=Sandra Yamin/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: William G. Dauster (CN=William G. Dauster/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Dawn L. Smalls (CN=Dawn L. Smalls/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Linda Ricci (CN=Linda Ricci/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Rebecca L. Walldorff (CN=Rebecca L. Walldorff/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Robert L. Nabors (CN=Robert L. Nabors/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Paul J. Weinstein Jr. (CN=Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Lisa Zweig (CN=Lisa Zweig/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Charles Konigsberg (CN=Charles Konigsberg/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Melissa G. Green (CN=Melissa G. Green/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Sara M. Latham (CN=Sara M. Latham/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Michele Ballantyne (CN=Michele Ballantyne/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Mara E. Rudman (CN=Mara E. Rudman/OU=NSC/O=EOP@EOP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Adrienne C. Erbach (CN=Adrienne C. Erbach/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Carolyn T. Wu (CN=Carolyn T. Wu/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Leslie Bernstein (CN=Leslie Bernstein/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Lisa M. Kountoupes (CN=Lisa M. Kountoupes/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Mark J. Tavlarides (CN=Mark J. Tavlarides/OU=NSC/O=EOP@EOP [NSC])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Victoria A. Wachino (CN=Victoria A. Wachino/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Peter A. Weissman (CN=Peter A. Weissman/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Judy Jablow (CN=Judy Jablow/OU=CEQ/O=EOP@EOP [CEQ])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Elizabeth Gore (CN=Elizabeth Gore/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Shannon Mason (CN=Shannon Mason/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Mindy E. Myers (CN=Mindy E. Myers/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Charles E. Kieffer (CN=Charles E. Kieffer/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Attached for your clearance is the final draft letter for the House Full Committee Mark-up of the Transportation and Related Agencies Appropriation bill, FY2000. The mark-up is scheduled for Tues, June 8 at 10:00AM. Please provide your sign-off and comments no later than 9:00AM Tues. Thank you.

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS_EXT:[ATTACH.D96]ARMS28981346Z.136 to ASCII,
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF575043F5050000010A020100000002050000002E2D0000000200007022BE27BBF2971F2090E5
F62F917C68C6E87388957604EDBC154744AA20CEC66C7E9134838F16BE638C2D6D32534BD3ACB7

The Honorable C.W. Bill Young
Chairman
Committee on Appropriations
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The purpose of this letter is to provide the Administration's views on the Transportation and Related Agencies Appropriations Bill, FY 2000, as reported by the House Subcommittee. As the Committee develops its version of the bill, your consideration of the Administration's views would be appreciated.

The Administration appreciates the Subcommittee's efforts to accommodate many of the Administration's priorities within its 302(b) allocation. However, the Administration is concerned about some of the choices made necessary by this allocation.

The President's FY 2000 Budget proposed levels of discretionary spending that meet important national needs while conforming to the Bipartisan Budget Agreement by making savings proposals in mandatory and other programs available to help finance vital spending needs. Congress has approved and the President has signed into law nearly \$29 billion of such offsets in appropriations legislation since 1995. The Administration urges the Congress to consider our user fee proposals as the FY 2000 appropriations process moves forward. In particular, with respect to this bill, the Administration urges the Congress to consider our proposals such as aviation user fees.

The Administration proposes to meet important safety, mobility, and environmental requirements by reallocating a portion of the increased spending permitted by higher-than-anticipated highway excise taxes. Under this proposal, every State would receive at least as much funding as was assumed when the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century was enacted. Last year, Congress chose to reallocate some funding within the highway "guarantee." The Committee is encouraged to build upon this by enacting the Administration's proposal as a means to fund these important priorities.

The Administration is concerned that the Subcommittee bill could compromise the Federal Aviation Administration's (FAA's) operations and modernization programs, reduce highway and motor carrier safety, and underfund other important programs. The Committee could partially accommodate the funding increases recommended below by adhering more closely to the President's request for the Airport Improvement Program, High Speed Rail, Coast Guard Alteration of Bridges, the Coast Guard capital acquisition program, and other programs.

The following highlights our specific concerns with the Subcommittee bill. We look forward to working with you to resolve these issues as the bill moves forward.

Aviation Safety and Modernization

The Administration strongly urges the Committee to fully fund the Administration's request for FAA Operations. The \$114 million, or two-percent, reduction made by the Subcommittee would force the FAA to close low-level towers, defer hiring of safety and security personnel needed to meet the demands of increased air travel, and possibly slow air travel. The Committee is also urged to restore the \$119 million, or five-percent, reduction to the FAA Facilities and Equipment account. The Subcommittee's funding level would undermine our National Airspace System modernization program. Safety projects as well as critically-needed capacity enhancing projects would be delayed, increasing future air travel delays.

The Administration supports the Subcommittee's decision to eliminate the General Fund subsidy for FAA Operations but urges the Congress to enact a user fee system to finance the agency. Such a system would improve the FAA's efficiency and effectiveness by creating new incentives for it to operate in a business-like manner.

Motor Carrier Safety

The Secretary of Transportation recently announced a comprehensive Motor Carrier Safety Action Plan to implement much-needed improvements to truck safety. The need for these improvements has been recognized by Congress, the Department of Transportation Inspector General, and an independent assessment conducted by former Congressman Mineta. The Committee is urged to provide the additional \$50 million for the National Motor Carrier Safety Grant program to undertake these improvements and increase safety on our Nation's roads and highways.

Highway Safety

The Administration is concerned that the Subcommittee has provided \$36 million less than the President has requested for the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration's Operation and Research account. This funding reduction would limit important research activities on advanced air bags, crash worthiness, and the enhanced testing proposed in the New Car Assessment program to make better car safety information available to the public.

Amtrak

The Subcommittee is commended for funding Amtrak at \$571 million, the President's requested level, and providing Amtrak with the flexibility to spend capital funds wisely by adopting for Amtrak the same definition of capital as used by transit grantees.

Automated Records Management System
Hex-Dump Conversion

Livability Programs

The Administration is disappointed that the Subcommittee bill funds transit formula grants at \$212 million below the President's request and the Transportation Community and Preservation Pilot program (TCSP) at \$25 million, or 50 percent, below the request. The earmarking of the TCSP program would hinder the goal of improving land use by not permitting the development and identification of innovative new approaches. These livability programs are important components of an Administration effort to provide communities with the tools and resources they need to combat congestion and sprawl.

Job Access and Reverse Commute

The Committee is urged to provide the additional \$75 million requested for this program because it is a critical component of the Administration's welfare-to-work effort. This program is significantly over-subscribed now. Demand is expected to increase as more communities around the country begin to see how effective the program can be in helping individuals make a successful transition from welfare to work.

Coast Guard

The Administration is concerned about the Subcommittee's earmarks to continue operations of the Long Island, New York, and Muskegon, Michigan, air facilities and to establish an additional air facility at Waukegan, Illinois. The Coast Guard has concluded, based on careful review, that none of these facilities is necessary to meet its search and rescue coverage standards. By forcing the Coast Guard to spend nearly \$9 million on these facilities, the Subcommittee is effectively reducing funding for higher priority Coast Guard activities, such as improving boat station readiness nationwide.

CAFÉ Standards

The Administration urges the Committee to drop the prohibition of technical work on the corporate average fuel economy (CAFÉ) standards. This provision would compromise important information that directly influences the Nation's environment and safety.

We look forward to working with the Committee to address our mutual concerns.

Sincerely,

Jacob J. Lew
Director

Identical Letter Sent to The Honorable C. W. Young,
The Honorable David R. Obey, The Honorable Frank R. Wolf,
and The Honorable Martin O. Sabo

The Honorable David R. Obey
Committee on Appropriations
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Representative Obey:

The Honorable Frank R. Wolf
Chairman
Subcommittee on Transportation
and Related Agencies Appropriations
Committee on Appropriations
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The Honorable Martin O. Sabo
Subcommittee on Transportation
and Related Agencies Appropriations
Committee on Appropriations
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Representative Sabo:

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jeffrey A. Shesol (CN=Jeffrey A. Shesol/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 7-JUN-1999 22:23:43.00

SUBJECT: draft remarks on youth violence -- 6/7 10pm -- comments to Jeff Shesol 6-2

TO: Richard Socarides (CN=Richard Socarides/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas L. Freedman (CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis (CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas D. Janenda (CN=Thomas D. Janenda/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet Murguia (CN=Janet Murguia/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Erica S. Lepping (CN=Erica S. Lepping/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joseph P. Lockhart (CN=Joseph P. Lockhart/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Douglas B. Sosnik (CN=Douglas B. Sosnik/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steve Ricchetti (CN=Steve Ricchetti/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dawn L. Smalls (CN=Dawn L. Smalls/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julie D. Eddy (CN=Julie D. Eddy/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Neera Tanden (CN=Neera Tanden/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karin Kullman (CN=Karin Kullman/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann C. Hertelendy (CN=Ann C. Hertelendy/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Loretta M. Ucelli (CN=Loretta M. Ucelli/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer M. Palmieri (CN=Jennifer M. Palmieri/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Heather M. Riley (CN=Heather M. Riley/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dominique L. Cano (CN=Dominique L. Cano/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen Tramontano (CN=Karen Tramontano/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sara M. Latham (CN=Sara M. Latham/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jose Cerda III (CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John Podesta (CN=John Podesta/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Joshua S. Gottheimer (CN=Joshua S. Gottheimer/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Michael Waldman (CN=Michael Waldman/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Draft 06/07/99 10:00pm
Jeff Shesol

PRESIDENT WILLIAM J. CLINTON
REMARKS ON MOVIE RATINGS ENFORCEMENT
THE ROOSEVELT ROOM
June 8, 1999

Now, I hope it won't confuse anybody when I say that I just met with representatives of NATO and we talked about the movies.

I'm referring, of course, to a somewhat different "NATO" than usual - this time, I'm talking about the National Association of Theatre Owners. We did have a very good discussion. I spoke with NATO President Bill Kartoian and his colleagues about the ways creative and committed people are working together to prevent youth violence, and the ways the theatre industry in particular is stepping up to its responsibility.

It was not even two months ago that the tragedy at Columbine High School seared itself into our national consciousness. But ever since that day we have, I think, moved surely and steadily toward the kind of future we want for our country. People from all walks of life are coming together in a national grassroots campaign to prevent youth violence and to give our young people the safe childhoods they deserve.

We all know that parents are the first and most important influence on a child. But we also know that the demands on parents are increasing. As more and more parents work outside the home, they have less and less time for their children. Parents in the average family now have 22 fewer hours each week to spend at home than parents did a

generation ago.

Since my first days as President we have worked hard to help parents balance home and work. One of the ways we've done that is by giving parents better tools to make immediate but informed judgments about the shows their kids watch, the music they hear, and the video games they play. We have worked hard to give parents new tools like the V-Chip, which, by the end of next month, will be in every new television set sold in America, and will help parents block violent programming from their living rooms. We've also made progress on parental screening for the Internet and ratings for Internet game sites.

But for ratings systems to work, the ratings must be accurate; and they must not be undercut by the industries that apply them. That is why, last week, I asked the Department of Justice and the Federal Trade Commission to study the extent to which the video game, music and movie industries market violence to children and whether those industries are abiding by their own voluntary systems of regulation. Children must not be targets in the marketing of violence.

For ratings systems to work, they must also be enforced. Not just by watchful parents, but by retailers at the point of sale, and by theatre owners at the multiplex. Still, too often, children are making it past the ticket counter underage, unescorted, and unquestioned. And too often, people have chosen to look away rather than turn these children away.

But today, I am pleased to announce that a powerful group has agreed to draw the line at the theatre doors. By checking IDs for R-rated movies, theatre owners will now make the R rating mean what it is supposed to mean: Restricted. No one under 17 admitted without a parent or guardian. No exceptions. No excuses.

Last week, when I challenged theatre owners to step up to their responsibility, I could only have hoped they would respond so quickly or resoundingly. I want to applaud Bill Kartoizian and his organization. I also want to thank Senators [Hatch and Leahy] for the work they have done on this issue.

[As I have said, there are others who must step up to their responsibility for the sake and the safety of our children. This week, the House Judiciary Committee will take up important legislation, already passed by the Senate, to help prevent youth violence. This includes common-sense measures that close the deadly gun-show loophole; require that safety locks be sold with every handgun; ban the importation of large-capacity ammunition clips; and ban violent juveniles from owning guns as adults.]

[I strongly urge the House not to riddle this legislation with loopholes. They should act to pass it into law and should act quickly. There is no call or excuse for delay. Every day of delay is another day for the NRA to muscle Members of Congress into killing a good bill. In recent days, the NRA has fought to defy the growing consensus for common-sense gun laws, and to defend the indefensible idea that criminals should be able to buy guns at gun shows, no questions asked. The gun lobby is also trying to muzzle gun representatives who came here a month ago and supported our proposals. Let me be clear: those representatives of the gun industry took a stand for what is right. They should be singled out for praise, not targeted for retribution.]

I strongly hope the Congress will resist the pressure tactics of the gun

lobby and step up to its responsibility. In our great national effort to prevent youth violence, none of us can stand aside, and none of us should stand in the way. Our national campaign is gathering members and gaining momentum. I think today's commitment by the theatre owners demonstrates that plainly. And in the weeks and months ahead, I believe we will continue to move forward as one nation, striving together to build a better future for all our children. Thank you.

June 7, 1999

STATEMENT WITH NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF THEATRE OWNERS

DATE:	June 8, 1999
LOCATION:	Roosevelt Room
BRIEFING TIME:	1:15pm – 1:30pm
EVENT TIME:	1:40pm – 2:05pm
FROM:	Bruce Reed

I. PURPOSE

To join with the National Association of Theatre Owners in announcing a new nationwide movie ratings enforcement and educational effort.

II. BACKGROUND

The three-part plan you will announce today includes: (1) a new national policy under which movie theatre owners will require photo identification for young people seeking admission to “R” rated films; (2) an educational outreach program for parents about the ratings system and the new ID-check policy; and (3) support by the theatre owners for a national study on the causes of violence. The National Association of Theatre Owners is a national trade association that represents 65% of the motion picture screens in the United States, with over 20,000 screens located in every state. You will also reiterate your call on Congress to move quickly and pass common sense gun legislation.

Requiring Photo Identification for “R” Rated Movies

The movie rating system, adopted by the Motion Picture Association of America in 1968, can be a useful tool for parents, but only if its main restrictive rating -- “R” (for “Restricted: Under 17 Requires Accompanying Parent or Adult Guardian”) -- is effectively enforced. Under the policy you will announce today, the theatre owners’ association states that from now on, “all of its members should require photo identification at the box office of young patrons not accompanied by a parent or guardian seeking admission to “R” rated films.” The association also will ask theatre owners to post notices reflecting the “R” rated admission policy. Prior to the announcement of today’s new policy you will meet with the association’s president, William Kartoizian; its chairman, Barrie Lawson Loeks; and theatre executives Greg Dunn of Regal Cinemas and J. Wayne Anderson of R/C Theatres Management.

You challenged the theatre owners to strengthen enforcement of movie ratings in your May 15 radio address. Today you will also repeat your challenge to the movie industry to reevaluate its entire ratings systems, especially the PG-13 rating, to determine whether it is allowing too much gratuitous violence in movies approved for viewing by children. You have urged the entertainment industry to take other responsible steps, including calling on the videogame, recording and movie industries not to market violence to children. Last week, you announced a joint study of entertainment industry marketing by the Federal Trade Commission and the Justice Department.

Reaching Out to Parents

The theatre owners will also announce a national community education effort in conjunction with parent and religious organizations. The educational outreach will work to develop additional programs designed to enhance the ability of parents to make informed choices about which movies are appropriate for their children. As part of the new policy, the theatre association will also call for a national study of youth violence. You announced at the White House Strategy Session on Children, Violence, and Responsibility that the Surgeon General would issue a report on youth violence next year.

Challenging the House to Pass Common Sense Gun Legislation

You will once again challenge the House of Representatives to pass common sense gun legislation without loopholes and without further delay. In May, the Senate passed tough new provisions to close the gun show loophole, require child safety locks with every new handgun sold, ban the importation of large capacity ammunition clips, and prohibit violent juveniles from owning guns as adults. You will call on the House to strengthen -- not weaken -- the Senate-passed bill, to include provisions to raise the age of handgun possession from 18 to 21 and require background checks for explosives purchases. You will also reiterate your commitment to expose and fight against any effort by the gun lobby to riddle the House bill with dangerous new loopholes and water down critical safety measures passed in the Senate.

III. PARTICIPANTS

Briefing Participants:

Bruce Reed
Loretta Ucelli
Janet Murguia
Tom Freedman
Jeff Shesol

Program Participant:

William Kartzian, President, National Association of Theatre Owners (NATO)

Other Event Participants:

Ms. Barrie Lawson Loeks, Chairman of the Board of Directors, NATO; President and Co-Owner of Loeks-Star Theatres

Greg Dunn, Vice-Chairman of the Board of Directors, NATO; Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, Regal Cinemas, Inc.

J. Wayne Anderson, R/C Theatres Management Company

IV. PRESS PLAN

Pool Press

V. SEQUENCE OF EVENTS

- YOU will make remarks and introduce William Kartozián, President, National Association of Theatre Owners.
- William Kartozián will make remarks.
- YOU will depart.

VI. REMARKS

To be provided by speechwriting.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jeffrey A. Shesol (CN=Jeffrey A. Shesol/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 8-JUN-1999 10:51:24.00

SUBJECT: final draft remarks -- theatre owners -- 6/8 10:45am

TO: Erica S. Lepping (CN=Erica S. Lepping/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lawrence J. Stein (CN=Lawrence J. Stein/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karin Kullman (CN=Karin Kullman/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julie D. Eddy (CN=Julie D. Eddy/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jose Cerda III (CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dominique L. Cano (CN=Dominique L. Cano/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis (CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas D. Janenda (CN=Thomas D. Janenda/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen Tramontano (CN=Karen Tramontano/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leslie Bernstein (CN=Leslie Bernstein/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dawn L. Smalls (CN=Dawn L. Smalls/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Heather M. Riley (CN=Heather M. Riley/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Janet Murguia (CN=Janet Murguia/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer M. Palmieri (CN=Jennifer M. Palmieri/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sara M. Latham (CN=Sara M. Latham/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas L. Freedman (CN=Thomas L. Freedman/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Douglas B. Sosnik (CN=Douglas B. Sosnik/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann C. Hertelendy (CN=Ann C. Hertelendy/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Loretta M. Ucelli (CN=Loretta M. Ucelli/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steve Ricchetti (CN=Steve Ricchetti/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria Echaveste (CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John Podesta (CN=John Podesta/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Joshua S. Gottheimer (CN=Joshua S. Gottheimer/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Michael Waldman (CN=Michael Waldman/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

mawaldman@aol.com (mawaldman@aol.com @ inet [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
Final 06/08/99 10:45am
Jeff Shesol

PRESIDENT WILLIAM J. CLINTON
REMARKS ON MOVIE RATINGS ENFORCEMENT
THE ROOSEVELT ROOM
June 8, 1999

Now, I hope it won't confuse anybody when I say that I just met with representatives of NATO and we talked about the movies.

I'm referring, of course, to a somewhat different NATO than usual the National Association of Theatre Owners. We did have a very good discussion. I spoke with NATO President Bill Kartoziian and his colleagues about the ways creative and committed people are working together to prevent youth violence, and the ways the theatre industry in particular is stepping up to its responsibility.

It was not even two months ago that the tragedy at Columbine High School seared itself into our national consciousness. But ever since that day we have, I think, moved surely and steadily toward the kind of future we want for our country. People from all walks of life are coming together in a national grassroots campaign to prevent youth violence and to give our young people the safe childhoods they deserve.

We all know that parents are the first and most important influence on a child. But we also know that the demands on parents are

increasing. As more and more parents work outside the home, they have less and less time for their children. Parents in the average family now have 22 fewer hours each week to spend at home than parents did a generation ago.

Since my first days as President we have worked hard to help parents balance home and work. One of the ways we've done that is by giving parents better tools to make immediate but informed judgments about the shows their kids watch, the music they hear, and the video games they play. We have worked hard to give parents new tools like the V-Chip, which, by the end of next month, will be in half the new television sets sold in America, and will help parents block violent programming from their living rooms. We've also made progress on parental screening for the Internet and ratings for Internet game sites.

But we must do more. We must ensure that children are not targets in the marketing of violence. That is why, last week, I asked the Department of Justice and the Federal Trade Commission to study the extent to which the video game, music and movie industries market violence to children and whether those industries are abiding by their own voluntary systems of regulation.

For ratings systems to work, they must be enforced. Not just by watchful parents, but by retailers at the point of sale, and theatre owners at the multiplex. The great thing about the multiplex is that there's a movie for every member of the family. But not every movie is for every member of the family. When you drop them off at the theatre, you shouldn't have to worry that your G-rated kids are getting into violent or suggestive R-rated movies. Still, too often, children get past the ticket counter unescorted and underage.

I am pleased to announce that today, we are drawing the line. The nation's largest group of theatre owners has agreed to ask young people for IDs at R-rated movies. From now on, parents will know that the R rating means what it is supposed to mean: Restricted. No one under 17 gets in without a parent or guardian. No exceptions.

Last month, when I challenged theatre owners to step up to their responsibility, I could only have hoped they would respond so quickly. I want to applaud Bill Kartoizian [kar-TOE-zhan] and his organization for doing their part. [I also want to thank Senators Hatch and Leahy for the work they have done on this issue.] Every one of us has something to contribute.

The Congress, too, must step up to its responsibility for the sake and the safety of our children. This week, the House will take up important legislation, already passed by the Senate, to help prevent youth violence. This includes common-sense measures that close the deadly gun-show loophole; require that safety locks be sold with every handgun; ban the importation of large-capacity ammunition clips; and ban violent juveniles from owning guns as adults. I strongly urge the House not to riddle this legislation with loopholes, but to pass it into law, and to pass it without delay.

I hope Congress will follow the lead of these theatre owners. In our growing national campaign to prevent youth violence, none of us can stand aside, and none of us should stand in the way. Our national campaign is gathering members and gaining momentum. And in the weeks and months ahead, I believe we will continue to move forward as one nation, striving together to build a better future for all our children. Thank you.

Now, I'd like to introduce Bill Kartoian to tell us more about the steps that theatre owners have agreed to take.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Karen Tramontano (CN=Karen Tramontano/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 8-JUN-1999 11:20:15.00

SUBJECT: HUD/Help

TO: Kris M Balderston (CN=Kris M Balderston/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan A. Kaplan (CN=Jonathan A. Kaplan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thurgood Marshall Jr (CN=Thurgood Marshall Jr/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gene B. Sperling (CN=Gene B. Sperling/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Cathy R. Mays (CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Melissa G. Green (CN=Melissa G. Green/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Cuomo talked w/ Loretta this morning said he had an idea about an executive action -- His thought is to have the President direct HUD/Cuomo to engage in a process to change the appraisal process -- can someone vet this --- and let me know whether it actually is meaningful -- thanks

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Maria Echaveste (CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 8-JUN-1999 11:59:31.00

SUBJECT: responses to letters on racial profiling

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Charles F. Ruff (CN=Charles F. Ruff/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jose Cerda III (CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Spoke to Wade Henderson today on a number of topics. He raised with me that we have not yet responded to LCCR's or Price's letter on racial profiling and that they're getting a little perturbed--what's our plan--there should be a written response. Remember we wrote an interim response. Also--he hoped the president would show leadership tomorrow, talked about an executive order and he urged strong language and if we couldn't do an outright ban because of ins/customs, he definitely thought we needed executive action tomorrow--president can't just go and talk--I'll make sure the president knows this if he's unwilling to make a decision.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jennifer M. Luray (CN=Jennifer M. Luray/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 8-JUN-1999 15:18:31.00

SUBJECT: RU 486 Amendment

TO: Linda L. Moore (CN=Linda L. Moore/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary E. Cahill (CN=Mary E. Cahill/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marjorie Tarmey (CN=Marjorie Tarmey/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lynn G. Cutler (CN=Lynn G. Cutler/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah A. Bianchi (CN=Sarah A. Bianchi/O=OVP@OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barbara D. Woolley (CN=Barbara D. Woolley/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Broderick Johnson (CN=Broderick Johnson/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisa M. Kountoupes (CN=Lisa M. Kountoupes/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robin Leeds (CN=Robin Leeds/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Daniel N. Mendelson (CN=Daniel N. Mendelson/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen Tramontano (CN=Karen Tramontano/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher C. Jennings (CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Neera Tanden (CN=Neera Tanden/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barbara Chow (CN=Barbara Chow/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Martha Foley (CN=Martha Foley/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Caroline R. Fredrickson (CN=Caroline R. Fredrickson/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis (CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

FYI --The House is currently debating the Coburn RU486 amendment on the Ag
Approps bill.

The groups have been working it and our SAP includes a clear statement
opposed to it. Like last year, we should get at least 200 votes opposed.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jennifer M. Luray (CN=Jennifer M. Luray/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 8-JUN-1999 17:13:07.00

SUBJECT: Coburn RU 486 Amendment

TO: Linda L. Moore (CN=Linda L. Moore/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary E. Cahill (CN=Mary E. Cahill/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marjorie Tarmey (CN=Marjorie Tarmey/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lynn G. Cutler (CN=Lynn G. Cutler/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah A. Bianchi (CN=Sarah A. Bianchi/O=OVP@OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barbara D. Woolley (CN=Barbara D. Woolley/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Broderick Johnson (CN=Broderick Johnson/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisa M. Kountoupes (CN=Lisa M. Kountoupes/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sylvia M. Mathews (CN=Sylvia M. Mathews/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robin Leeds (CN=Robin Leeds/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Daniel N. Mendelson (CN=Daniel N. Mendelson/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen Tramontano (CN=Karen Tramontano/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher C. Jennings (CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Neera Tanden (CN=Neera Tanden/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barbara Chow (CN=Barbara Chow/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Martha Foley (CN=Martha Foley/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Caroline R. Fredrickson (CN=Caroline R. Fredrickson/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann F. Lewis (CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

We did much better than expected -- only three votes short (217-214).

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Irene Bueno (CN=Irene Bueno/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 8-JUN-1999 18:27:17.00

SUBJECT: Number of T Visas - Please advise

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Courtney O. Gregoire (CN=Courtney O. Gregoire/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jose Cerda III (CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I forgot to raise this with you this morning but Maria asked me to check with you about raising the number of visas proposed for the T visas from 750 - 1000.

As you may recall, the T visa provision is part of the President crime bill. The purpose of the T visas is to help victims of trafficking/worker exploitation and to encourage them to report these cases. DOJ initially proposed 1000 visas for this new visa category but as I recall from Julie, I believe that DPC pushed back and lowered the number to 750. I mentioned this to Maria but she asked me to raise with you again because she expects that in Congressional negotiations the numbers will be further reduced.

Since it unclear how many people will need these visas, I think a lower number such as 750 is better than 1000. Also, I think it is more likely that we will be able to get support for a creating a new visa category that is more limited in number. DOJ seemed to be okay if we ended up with a 500 visas.

Please advise. Thanks.

**CONFERENCE ON STRENGTHENING POLICE-COMMUNITY
RELATIONS
SUGGESTED HYPOTHETICALS AND QUESTIONS
FOR ROUNDTABLE DISCUSSION**

Facilitators: Charles Ogletree, Harvard Law School
Christopher Stone, Vera Institute of Justice
Moderator: The President

1. HYPOTHETICAL SITUATION

Ogletree/Stone: The police chief in a mid-size city is presented with requests from neighborhood watch organizers and other residents in a minority, high crime neighborhood to increase police patrols in that area. They particularly are concerned about young men loitering on the corners and in front of liquor stores, and they complain of gang activity and drug buys. When the chief added patrols in the past, the police were criticized strongly by others from this same community and civil rights activists for being heavy-handed.

Suggested Questions for THE PRESIDENT:

1. **Suggested Question to Hugh Price, National Urban League:**
What steps would you take to work with the police to meet the real needs of the neighborhood residents to combat crime, while also addressing the civil rights concerns?

2. **Suggested Question to Tom Frazier, Baltimore Police Department or Richard Green, Crown Heights Youth Center:**
What steps can a police department take to get community approval for increased crime control, without creating tensions and a perception of bias or lack of respect for residents?

3. **Suggested Question to Chuck Sha-King, Youth Force or Attorney General Reno:**
How can police best learn how to talk to youth?

2. HYPOTHETICAL SITUATION

Ogletree/Stone: The Chief of Police of a major city has an aggressive program to stop cars in the city that appear to be carrying gang members and to question the driver and passengers. Gang activity in the city principally has involved gangs of African American, Hispanic, Asian, and Eastern European young people.

The police chief has just come to see the Mayor about a crisis. A few hours ago, two officers, one white and one Hispanic, stopped a low-riding car carrying three teenage black males based on a minor traffic violation, but something went terribly wrong. After the stop, there was an argument and the officers thought they saw one of the passengers reach into the back seat; they saw something shiny and thought it was a gun. They opened fire, injuring the driver and one of the passengers. No gun was found in the car. However, there was a shiny metallic object (wrench?) that may have been what the officers mistook for a gun.

The chief is prepared to support a full investigation and let the chips fall where they may. She is worried that the reaction to the incident may endanger her anti-gang traffic stop program, which she believes has been crucial to bringing down crime. Her community meetings over the last few years have convinced her that the public broadly supports this program. The mayor and chief of police will hold a press conference in two hours.

Suggested Questions for THE PRESIDENT:

1. **Suggested Question to Robert Stewart, National Organization of Black Law Enforcement Executives or Bob Scully, National Association of Police Organizations:**
What strategy would you recommend to the Mayor if you were chief of police?
2. **Suggested Question to Gil Gallegos, Fraternal Order of Police or Kweisi Mfume, NAACP:**
As Mayor, what should your priorities be in responding to the incident? How broadly or narrowly do you want to focus the issue?
3. **Suggested Question to Ron Neubauer, International Association of Chiefs of Police:**
What policies have been successful in other cities in preventing these kinds of tragedies?

3. HYPOTHETICAL SITUATION

Ogletree/Stone: A new police chief has been hired and the local paper published a series of op-ed pieces discussing the issues that the new chief should address. In one, the editorial board called on the chief to move beyond the slogans of community policing to the real spirit of community policing: giving local communities, particularly communities of color, a real say in how the police serve them.

Suggested Question for THE PRESIDENT:

1. **Suggested Question to Paul Evans, Boston Police Department or Wade Henderson, Leadership Conference on Civil Rights:**

What practical steps can we take to move us closer to the spirit of community policing in cities across this country?

IF TIME ALLOWS THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS/SITUATIONS COULD BE ADDRESSED:

4. QUESTION

Ogletree/Stone: Traffic stop enforcement is an important law enforcement tool for a variety of reasons, not the least of which is traffic safety and decreasing traffic fatalities. Secretary Slater, I know that you have a seat belt initiative that you believe is particularly important in the African American community and in other communities of color. How will addressing the concerns of racial profiling in traffic enforcement affect the receptiveness of these communities to your initiative?

5. HYPOTHETICAL SITUATION

Ogletree/Stone: Police have been called to a minority neighborhood because a man who appears to be mentally ill has been shouting abusively at residents. When two policemen arrive, they announce that they are police, but the man responds by pulling out a knife. When the police shout at the man that he should drop the knife, instead he lunges forward at one of the officers. The other officer fires his weapon, seriously wounding the man. This incident has occurred against the backdrop of increasing tensions between the police and members of the minority community.

Suggested Questions for THE PRESIDENT:

1. **Suggested Question to Dan Smith, National Sheriff's Association or Raul Yzaguirre, National Council of La Raza:**

If you are the chief of police, what steps should you take to avoid community unrest?

2. **Suggested Question to Ken Lyons, International Brotherhood of Police Officers or Karen Narasaki, Asian Pacific American Legal Consortium:**

What steps should we be taking to minimize these types of incidents?

[This hypothetical could also be used as springboard for discussion of fact that some incidents, while avoidable and tragic, and perhaps the result of lapses in police policy, may not be ones that can or should be prosecuted.]

3. **Suggested Question to Antonia Hernandez, Mexican American Legal Defense & Educational Fund:**

You are the police union representative for the officer involved in the shooting. Civil rights leaders are calling for prosecution of the officers. What position should you be taking?

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Karin Kullman (CN=Karin Kullman/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUN-1999 00:19:33.00

SUBJECT: text of press paper

TO: Setti D. Warren (CN=Setti D. Warren/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David Vandivier (CN=David Vandivier/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Melissa B. Ratcliff (CN=Melissa B. Ratcliff/O=OVP@OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Erica S. Lepping (CN=Erica S. Lepping/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karin Kullman (CN=Karin Kullman/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julianne B. Corbett (CN=Julianne B. Corbett/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Heather M. Riley (CN=Heather M. Riley/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julie B. Goldberg (CN=Julie B. Goldberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jason H. Schechter (CN=Jason H. Schechter/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dag Vega (CN=Dag Vega/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Beverly J. Barnes (CN=Beverly J. Barnes/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nanda Chitre (CN=Nanda Chitre/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joseph P. Lockhart (CN=Joseph P. Lockhart/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Courtney O. Gregoire (CN=Courtney O. Gregoire/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cathy R. Mays (CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Courtney M. Manning (CN=Courtney M. Manning/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Deborah Akel (CN=Deborah Akel/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alejandro G. Cabrera (CN=Alejandro G. Cabrera/O=OVP@OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mark D. Neschis (CN=Mark D. Neschis/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Richard L. Siewert (CN=Richard L. Siewert/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Megan C. Moloney (CN=Megan C. Moloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Melissa G. Green (CN=Melissa G. Green/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah E. Gegenheimer (CN=Sarah E. Gegenheimer/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julia M. Payne (CN=Julia M. Payne/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Linda Ricci (CN=Linda Ricci/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth R. Newman (CN=Elizabeth R. Newman/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer M. Palmieri (CN=Jennifer M. Palmieri/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry J. Toiv (CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jose Cerda III (CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Increasing Trust Between Communities and Law Enforcement:
Combating Racial Profiling
June 9, 1999

Today at a Justice Department conference, President Clinton will chair a roundtable discussion with leaders from civil rights and law enforcement organizations on ways to build trust between police and the communities they serve. To address the issue of racial profiling, the President will direct federal law enforcement agencies to begin collecting and reporting data on the race, ethnicity, and gender of the individuals they stop and search. The President also will call on Congress to pass legislation to promote data collection and reporting by state and local law enforcement agencies.

Getting the Facts on Racial Profiling

Leading by example. No person should be targeted by law enforcement because of the color of his or her skin. Stopping or searching individuals on the basis of race is not consistent with our commitment to equal justice under law and is not effective law enforcement policy. Racial profiling is simply wrong. As a necessary step to combat this problem, we need to learn the hard facts about when and where it occurs. That is why the President will direct the Departments of Justice, Treasury and Interior to:

(1) begin collecting data on the race, ethnicity, and gender of individuals subject to traffic and pedestrian stops, inspections at entries into the U.S., and certain other searches; and

(2) after one year, report on the findings of the new data collection system and make additional recommendations based on those findings on how to ensure greater fairness in federal law enforcement's procedures.

Helping states and localities. The President also will support legislation introduced by Representative John Conyers (D-MI) to establish a new federal grant program to assist state and local law enforcement agencies to implement similar data collection systems. This legislation also will authorize the Attorney General to develop a nationwide sample and issue a report on the number and nature of traffic stops conducted by state and local enforcement throughout the country.

Surveying the American public. As recently announced by the Attorney General, this year the Justice Department will amend its National Crime Victimization Survey and begin asking Americans about their experiences with traffic stops, police use of force, and police misconduct. This new information will help measure our success in building trust and improving relations between law enforcement and the community.

More Progressive Policing for the 21st Century

Continuing the community policing revolution. To make our communities safer and stronger, we must enhance our commitment to community policing. The 21st Century Policing Initiative contained in the President's crime bill extends his successful community policing initiative and contains several measures to help strengthen the integrity and ethics of police forces across the country. Specifically, his crime bill includes: (1) \$20 million to expand police integrity and ethics training; (2) \$20 million for police scholarships to promote the best educated police force possible; (3) \$2 million for improved minority recruitment to help make sure police departments reflect the diversity of the communities they represent; (4) \$10 million to help police departments purchase more video cameras to protect both the safety of officers and the rights of the individuals they stop; and (5) \$5 million to establish citizen police academies to engage community residents in the fight against crime.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUN-1999 00:34:10.00

SUBJECT: additional paper for tomorrow's roundtable

TO: Setti D. Warren (CN=Setti D. Warren/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David Vandivier (CN=David Vandivier/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Melissa B. Ratcliff (CN=Melissa B. Ratcliff/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Erica S. Lepping (CN=Erica S. Lepping/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karin Kullman (CN=Karin Kullman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julianne B. Corbett (CN=Julianne B. Corbett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Heather M. Riley (CN=Heather M. Riley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julie B. Goldberg (CN=Julie B. Goldberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jason H. Schechter (CN=Jason H. Schechter/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dag Vega (CN=Dag Vega/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Beverly J. Barnes (CN=Beverly J. Barnes/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nanda Chitre (CN=Nanda Chitre/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joseph P. Lockhart (CN=Joseph P. Lockhart/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Courtney O. Gregoire (CN=Courtney O. Gregoire/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cathy R. Mays (CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Courtney M. Manning (CN=Courtney M. Manning/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Deborah Akel (CN=Deborah Akel/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alejandro G. Cabrera (CN=Alejandro G. Cabrera/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mark D. Neschis (CN=Mark D. Neschis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Richard L. Siewert (CN=Richard L. Siewert/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Megan C. Moloney (CN=Megan C. Moloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Melissa G. Green (CN=Melissa G. Green/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah E. Gegenheimer (CN=Sarah E. Gegenheimer/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julia M. Payne (CN=Julia M. Payne/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Linda Ricci (CN=Linda Ricci/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth R. Newman (CN=Elizabeth R. Newman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer M. Palmieri (CN=Jennifer M. Palmieri/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry J. Toiv (CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jose Cerda III (CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Elena thought that this document might be useful to distribute with other press paper to give press a better sense about tomorrow's roundtable discussion with law enforcement and civil rights leaders, and who will be participating.

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS_EXT:[ATTACH.D36]ARMS20781166N.136 to ASCII,
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF57504380050000010A02010000000205000000921D000000020000C2A880BE77034DA9219890

20F9E04CBE464DE677CFF22A4209C3B678B655C5B10D9A09A0A2B0A20B505A47697C933DA984CC
1A9770E44FD81096CEEC36A3572F50E4B8B1E7CAA2384CCB98CB8DD70792898F3627355103F94F
A9F4F9E0F05E3E4A30A0775E996424D265CCFD014492963149A1CCB31B7CF41D0AC909D94E4DEA
09B5CDDF3A0D8181E6A46398111346CC461BD03733982536BFBD08882CFDC3F1D071734C48508F

**ROUNDTABLE DISCUSSION ON
STRENGTHENING POLICE-COMMUNITY RELATIONS
June 9, 1999**

President Clinton will serve as the moderator for today's roundtable discussion on police-community relations. With the assistance of Professor Charles Ogletree from Harvard Law School and Christopher Stone from the Vera Institute of Justice, the President will outline several hypothetical situations involving interactions between law enforcement officers and members of the community. He will then follow up by asking individual panel participants a series of questions as to how they would respond to such situations. Some of the examples that the President will use during this discussion are:

HYPOTHETICAL SITUATION #1:

The police chief in a mid-size city is presented with requests from neighborhood watch organizers and other residents in a minority, high crime neighborhood to increase police patrols in that area. They particularly are concerned about young men loitering on the corners and in front of liquor stores, and they complain of gang activity and drug buys. When the chief added patrols in the past, the police were criticized strongly by others from this same community and civil rights activists for being heavy-handed.

HYPOTHETICAL SITUATION #2:

The Chief of Police of a major city has an aggressive program to stop cars in the city that appear to be carrying gang members and to question the driver and passengers. Gang activity in the city principally has involved gangs of African American, Hispanic, Asian, and Eastern European young people.

The police chief has just come to see the Mayor about a crisis. A few hours ago, two officers, one white and one Hispanic, stopped a low-riding car carrying three teenage black males based on a minor traffic violation, but something went terribly wrong. After the stop, there was an argument and the officers thought they saw one of the passengers reach into the back seat; they saw something shiny and thought it was a gun. They opened fire, injuring the driver and one of the passengers. No gun was found in the car. However, there was a shiny metallic object (wrench?) that may have been what the officers mistook for a gun.

The chief is prepared to support a full investigation and let the chips fall where they may. She is worried that the reaction to the incident may endanger her anti-gang traffic stop program, which she believes has been crucial to bringing down crime. Her community meetings over the last few years have convinced her that the public broadly supports this program. The mayor and chief of police will hold a press conference in two hours.

HYPOTHETICAL SITUATION #3:

A new police chief has been hired and the local paper published a series of op-ed pieces discussing the issues that the new chief should address. In one, the editorial board called on the chief to move beyond the slogans of community policing to the real spirit of community policing: giving local communities, particularly communities of color, a real say in how the police serve them.

The thirty participants in the roundtable discussion are:

CHAIR

President William J. Clinton

PARTICIPANTS

Attorney General Janet Reno

Deputy Attorney General Eric H. Holder, Jr.

Associate Attorney General Raymond C. Fisher

Acting Assistant Attorney General Bill Lann Lee, Civil Rights Division

Secretary Rodney Slater, Department of Transportation

Ronald Daniels, Center for Constitutional Rights

Paul Evans, Boston Police Department

Thomas Frazier, Baltimore Police Department

Gilbert Gallegos, Fraternal Order of Police

Richard Green, Crown Heights Youth Center

Penny Harrington, National Center for Women in Policing

Wade Henderson, Leadership Conference on Civil Rights

Antonia Hernandez, Mexican American Legal Defense & Educational Fund

John Justice, National District Attorneys Association

Representative Sheila Jackson Lee, 18th District, Texas

Ken Lyons, International Brotherhood of Police Officers

Kweisi Mfume, NAACP

Karen Narasaki, Asian Pacific American Legal Consortium

Ron Neubauer, International Association of Chiefs of Police

Professor Charles Ogletree, Harvard Law School

Hugh Price, National Urban League

Robert Scully, National Association of Police Organization

Rev. Al Sharpton, National Action Network

Chuck Sha-King, Youth Force

Dan Smith, National Sheriff's Association

Robert Stewart, National Organization of Black Law Enforcement Executives

Christopher Stone, Vera Institute of Justice

Beverly Watts-Davis, San Antonio Fighting Back

Mayor Anthony Williams, District of Columbia

Raul Yzaguirre, National Council of La Raza

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUN-1999 02:29:57.00

SUBJECT: Q&A

TO: Courtney O. Gregoire (CN=Courtney O. Gregoire/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Karin Kullman (CN=Karin Kullman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jose Cerda III (CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

This is the event Q&A with an additional Q&A on the juvie bill. We may want to change the A on the Louima question depending on the senior staff reaction to the case in the morning. (It's kind of hard to get a sense of how these things are playing out at this time of night...)

Thanks.

----- Forwarded by Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OPD/EOP on
06/09/99 02:30 AM -----

Jose Cerda III
06/09/99 02:23:53 AM
Record Type: Record

To: Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OPD/EOP
cc:
Subject:

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS_EXT:[ATTACH.D95]ARMS20033166U.136 to ASCII,
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF575043AB070000010A02010000000205000000302F0000000200000E2A3ECE531195617EF6AFE
DC1091239A064DDA8BF552E341BF0B649ABFC792ACFA2D8231F6DD0462B4284D62D918083C9F8C

Strengthening Community- Police Relationships
Questions and Answers
June 9, 1999

Q: Instead of simply collecting data, why didn't the President ban racial profiling outright?

A: As the President said today, racial profiling is wrong. Stopping or searching individuals on the basis of race is not consistent with our commitment to equal justice under law and is not effective law enforcement policy. **But in order to really take on the problem of racial profiling, it is critical that we have the hard facts -- instead of mere anecdotes -- about when and where it occurs.** Having hard data can help guide future proposals to combat this problem. That is why the President the ordered the federal agencies take leadership on this issue and start to collect and report data on the race, ethnicity and gender of persons stopped and searched by federal law enforcement agents.

Once the agencies have collected and reported on the data for a year, we will review their recommendations on how we can expand and make permanent such data collection systems as well as ensure greater fairness in federal law enforcement's procedures.

Q: Do you have any comment on the *Louima* decision yesterday?

A: We are please with yesterday's decision. It sends a strong signal to abusive and corrupt cops that illegal behavior and excessive use of force will not be tolerated.

The vast majority of law enforcement do their jobs fairly and with honor -- which is why it is important for us to support these dedicated officers and acknowledge our gratitude to them for their service. But as a society, we must continue to send the message that we will not tolerate those officers who breach the public's trust by abusing their positions of authority. Yesterday's jury decision clearly conveyed this message.

Q: In March, the President announced new initiatives to help build trust between police and the communities they serve. What is the status of these initiatives?

A: These initiatives are part of the 21st Century crime bill unveiled by the President last month. During consideration of the juvenile crime bill, the Senate missed an important opportunity to move forward on these important police integrity proposals. On a party line vote, the Senate defeated an amendment by Senator Biden to authorize these and other policing initiatives proposed by the President. We will continue to urge the Congress to pass our police integrity proposals and 21st Century Policing Initiative as part of the appropriations process.

Q: How is the Conyers legislation different from what you hope to accomplish under the President's directive?

A: While the President's directive is meant to combat racial profiling by instructing the federal law enforcement agencies to collect and report data, the Conyers legislation seeks to encourage state and local law enforcement agencies (who comprise the vast majority of law enforcement in this country) to collect and report similar data on traffic stops. The Conyers legislation also requires the collection of additional data not specifically called for in the President's directive, such as the age and immigration status of the driver pulled over for a traffic stop. The data collected under both the President's directive as well as the Conyers bill will give us a better national picture and understanding about different kinds of law enforcement stops and searches, and the individuals who are subjected to them.

Additionally, while we announced our overall support for the Conyers legislation, the Administration supports some modest, but important revisions to the bill to ensure that the data collected for the study is used for research purposes only -- and cannot be used in future lawsuits against police departments.

Q: Your directive refers to the creation of "field tests" to begin to collect data. Does this mean that the federal agencies don't have to cover all of the law enforcement agencies under their control? Can they exclude whole sub-agencies such as INS or Customs from the data collection directive?

A: The directive grants some discretion to the agencies in deciding the exact scope of their data collection and reporting systems. And while we do not expect all federal law enforcement agencies to be initially covered, we certainly expect agencies such as the Immigration and Naturalization Service, Drug Enforcement Agency, and Customs Service -- all of which conduct stops and searches of individuals as a matter of course -- to be part of the first "field tests" implemented by the agencies.

Q: Can you comment on the status of the *Diallo* case?

A: The FBI, the U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York and the Justice Department's Civil Rights Division continue to work closely and actively with the Bronx District Attorney's Office on this investigation. However, given that this is still an active investigation, we cannot say anything more.

Q: What is the Administration's response to the juvenile crime/gun bill unveiled by Republicans last night?

A: Unfortunately, the Republican bill introduced last night confirms our worst fears about how Republicans in the House intend to proceed with juvenile crime and gun legislation. After pleading for more time to allow the Judiciary Committee to work its will, they are now planning to bring a partisan bill directly to the floor, with little or no opportunity for Democrats to debate the issues and offer amendments. Whatever you think about the substance of the Senate-passed bill, at least Senators had an open and serious debate on a wide range of issues. It doesn't seem like that will be the case in the House.

But even more disturbing is the fact that -- instead of taking the opportunity to improve upon the Senate-passed bill -- House Republicans have stamped it with the NRA's seal of approval. Their bill will not cover many events at which hundreds of guns are sold. It will not necessarily cover unlicensed sellers who walk around at gun shows with "for sale" signs on their backs. It will weaken Brady background checks at gun shows. And it will make it more difficult for law enforcement to trace many of the guns that are sold at gun shows and later used in crimes. We oppose this "Lautenberg Lite" version of the bill, and will work to amend the bill when it reaches the House floor.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Sean P. Maloney (CN=Sean P. Maloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUN-1999 09:37:25.00

SUBJECT: Spoke to Elena; she disagrees, but DPC will go back to DOJ to discuss.

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

fyi - my page to chuck

----- Forwarded by Sean P. Maloney/WHO/EOP on 06/09/99

09:36 AM -----

Sean P. Maloney

06/09/99 09:36:40 AM

Record Type: Record

To: 4719@WHCA

cc:

Subject: Spoke to Elena; she disagrees, but DPC will go back to DOJ to discuss.

Tobacco Technical Assistance
June 8, 1999

Below are several approaches that would reduce youth smoking and raise revenue for health-related programs in FY 2000. All estimates are preliminary.

Payments Per Child Smoker

Tobacco companies would pay per-child payments based on the number of children smoking nationwide. Alternatives include:

- A one-time payment of \$1,200 per child raises about \$4.4 billion in FY 2000, less about \$600 million in lost excise tax revenues, for a net revenue effect of \$3.8 billion. This payment amounts to 22 cents per pack; because such payments would be nondeductible for the companies, retail prices would go up by 33 cents per pack.
- A one-time payment of \$900 per child raises about \$3.4 billion in FY 2000, less about \$500 million in lost excise tax revenues, for a net effect of \$2.9 billion. This translates into 17 cents per pack in payment, and a 26-cent increase in retail prices.

Acceleration of Excise Tax Increase

The 1997 Balanced Budget Act raised the tobacco excise tax 10 cents per pack effective January 1, 2000 and another 5 cents effective January 1, 2002. Accelerating the scheduled 15 cent tobacco tax increase, so that it takes effect in FY 2000, would add about \$1.1 billion in FY 2000. (The revenue would be slightly less if coupled with the industry payment because the payment would increase cigarette prices, reducing cigarette consumption as well as the revenue raised by the accelerated excise tax.) This proposal was included in the Administration's FY 2000 budget.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Karin Kullman (CN=Karin Kullman/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUN-1999 09:56:30.00

SUBJECT: Re: text of press paper

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Courtney O. Gregoire (CN=Courtney O. Gregoire/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jose Cerda III (CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Richard L. Siewert (CN=Richard L. Siewert/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

----- Forwarded by Karin Kullman/OPD/EOP on 06/09/99
09:56 AM -----

Richard L. Siewert
06/09/99 09:04:47 AM
Record Type: Record

To: Karin Kullman/OPD/EOP@EOP
cc:
Subject: Re: text of press paper

Karin

Apparently, some civil right leaders are calling on the president to
reopen old police brutality cases. can we get a q & a on that? Thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUN-1999 10:03:38.00

SUBJECT: REVISED PRESS PAPER

TO: Setti D. Warren (CN=Setti D. Warren/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David Vandivier (CN=David Vandivier/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Melissa B. Ratcliff (CN=Melissa B. Ratcliff/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Erica S. Lepping (CN=Erica S. Lepping/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karin Kullman (CN=Karin Kullman/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julianne B. Corbett (CN=Julianne B. Corbett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Heather M. Riley (CN=Heather M. Riley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julie B. Goldberg (CN=Julie B. Goldberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jason H. Schechter (CN=Jason H. Schechter/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dag Vega (CN=Dag Vega/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Beverly J. Barnes (CN=Beverly J. Barnes/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nanda Chitre (CN=Nanda Chitre/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joseph P. Lockhart (CN=Joseph P. Lockhart/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Courtney O. Gregoire (CN=Courtney O. Gregoire/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cathy R. Mays (CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Irene Bueno (CN=Irene Bueno/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Courtney M. Manning (CN=Courtney M. Manning/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Deborah Akel (CN=Deborah Akel/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alejandro G. Cabrera (CN=Alejandro G. Cabrera/O=OVP @ OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mark D. Neschis (CN=Mark D. Neschis/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Richard L. Siewert (CN=Richard L. Siewert/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Megan C. Moloney (CN=Megan C. Moloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Melissa G. Green (CN=Melissa G. Green/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah E. Gegenheimer (CN=Sarah E. Gegenheimer/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julia M. Payne (CN=Julia M. Payne/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Linda Ricci (CN=Linda Ricci/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth R. Newman (CN=Elizabeth R. Newman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer M. Palmieri (CN=Jennifer M. Palmieri/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry J. Toiv (CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jose Cerda III (CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Jason -- Revised press paper for today's event, as discussed. Please call me if you have any questions.

Leanne
65574

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS_EXT:[ATTACH.D36]ARMS27972366L.136 to ASCII,
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF57504356050000010A02010000000205000000671A00000002000082DF76CEA7D34B679D2ECE

**Increasing Trust Between Communities and Law Enforcement:
Combating Racial Profiling
June 9, 1999**

Today at a Justice Department conference, President Clinton will chair a roundtable discussion with leaders from civil rights and law enforcement organizations on ways to build trust between police and the communities they serve. To address the issue of racial profiling, the President will direct federal law enforcement agencies to begin collecting and reporting data on the race, ethnicity, and gender of the individuals they stop and search. The President also will call on Congress to pass legislation to promote data collection and reporting by state and local law enforcement agencies.

Getting the Facts on Racial Profiling

Leading by example. No person should be targeted by law enforcement because of the color of his or her skin. Stopping or searching individuals on the basis of race is not consistent with our commitment to equal justice under law and is not effective law enforcement policy. Racial profiling is simply wrong. As a necessary step to combat this problem, we need to learn the hard facts about when and where it occurs. That is why the President will direct the Departments of Justice, Treasury and Interior to:

- (1) begin collecting data on the race, ethnicity, and gender of individuals subject to traffic and pedestrian stops, inspections at entries into the U.S., and certain other searches by federal law enforcement agencies including the Immigration and Naturalization Service, Drug Enforcement Agency, Customs Service, and National Park Service ; and
- (2) after one year, report on the findings of the new data collection system and make additional recommendations based on those findings on how to ensure greater fairness in federal law enforcement's procedures.

Helping states and localities. The President also will support legislation introduced by Representative John Conyers (D-MI) to establish a new federal grant program to assist state and local law enforcement agencies to implement similar data collection systems. This legislation also will authorize the Attorney General to develop a nationwide sample and issue a report on the number and nature of traffic stops conducted by state and local enforcement throughout the country.

Surveying the American public. As recently announced by the Attorney General, **this year the Justice Department will amend its National Crime Victimization Survey and begin asking Americans about their experiences with traffic stops, police use of force, and police misconduct. This new information will help measure our success in building trust and improving relations between law enforcement and the community.**

More Progressive Policing for the 21st Century

Continuing the community policing revolution. To make our communities safer and stronger, we must enhance our commitment to community policing. The 21st Century Policing Initiative contained in the President's crime bill extends his successful community policing initiative and contains several measures to help strengthen the integrity and ethics of police forces across the country. Specifically, his crime bill includes: (1) \$20 million to expand police integrity and ethics training; (2) \$20 million for police scholarships to promote the best educated police force possible; (3) \$2 million for improved minority recruitment to help make sure police departments reflect the diversity of the communities they represent; (4) \$10 million to help police departments purchase more video cameras to protect both the safety of officers and the rights of the individuals they stop; and (5) \$5 million to establish citizen police academies to engage community residents in the fight against crime.

(Letter to cosponsors or press statement?)

Today, the Equity in Prescription Insurance and Contraceptive Coverage Act (EPICC) will be introduced in both the House and the Senate. I urge Congress to pass legislation, which will ensure that women across our nation have access to the full range of contraceptive care. Family planning is basic health care for women although too many health plans do not cover the full cost of this care.

In October of last year, Congress took a historic step forward in making health care costs for women more equitable when it approved legislation as part of the FY 1999 Omnibus Appropriations Act requiring all insurance plans participating in the Federal Employee Health Benefits Program that cover prescription drugs, to include contraceptive drugs and devices as part of that coverage. I strongly urge Congress to pass legislation that is similar to that enacted last year, so those women outside of the federal workforce may share these important benefits.

Even though well over 80 percent of private insurance plans cover prescription drugs in general, only about one-third cover the costs of oral contraceptives (the most commonly used birth control method). Yet, research shows that three out of every four women say that cost is an important factor when choosing between a birth control method that is covered and one that is not. Moreover, women of childbearing age spend 68 percent more in out-of-pocket health care costs than do men.

In addition, we know that improved contraceptive coverage means fewer unintended pregnancies, which means fewer abortions. This is a goal we all share.

I urge Congress to pass contraceptive coverage legislation that will put women health care consumers on a more level playing field, reduce unwanted pregnancies, and improve the quality of care for women across the nation.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jennifer M. Luray (CN=Jennifer M. Luray/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUN-1999 12:11:40.00

SUBJECT: EEOC issue

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah A. Bianchi (CN=Sarah A. Bianchi/O=OVP@OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher C. Jennings (CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Assuming we formally endorse EPICC, the timing of EEOC's guidance to employers regarding whether those covered by Title VII must provide contraception benefits is relevant. I have a call into Ellen Vargas to find out where things stand.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Sean P. Maloney (CN=Sean P. Maloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUN-1999 14:22:08.00

SUBJECT: Fact Sheet: Increasing Trust Between Communities and Law Enforcement

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

now that's some great press paper :-)

----- Forwarded by Sean P. Maloney/WHO/EOP on 06/09/99

02:21 PM -----

Jason H. Schechter

06/09/99 12:16:32 PM

Record Type: Record

To: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message

cc:

Subject: Fact Sheet: Increasing Trust Between Communities and Law Enforcement

INCREASING TRUST BETWEEN COMMUNITIES AND LAW ENFORCEMENT:

COMBATING RACIAL PROFILING

June 9, 1999

Today, at a Department of Justice conference, President Clinton will chair a roundtable discussion with leaders from civil rights and law enforcement organizations on ways to build trust between police and the communities they serve. To address the issue of racial profiling, the President will direct federal law enforcement agencies to begin collecting and reporting data on the race, ethnicity, and gender of the individuals they stop and search. The President will also call on Congress to pass legislation to promote data collection and reporting by state and local law enforcement agencies.

Getting the Facts on Racial Profiling

Leading by example. No person should be targeted by law enforcement because of the color of his or her skin. Stopping or searching individuals on the basis of race is not consistent with our commitment to equal justice under law and is not effective law enforcement policy. Racial profiling is simply wrong. As a necessary step to combat this problem, we need to learn the hard facts about when and where it occurs. That is why the President will direct the Departments of Justice, Treasury, and the Interior to:

(1) begin collecting data on the race, ethnicity, and gender of individuals subject to traffic and pedestrian stops, inspections at entries into the U.S., and certain other searches by federal law enforcement agencies, including the Immigration and Naturalization Service, Drug Enforcement Agency, Customs Service, and National Park Service; and

(2) after one year, report on the findings of the new data collection system and make additional recommendations based on those findings on how to ensure greater fairness in federal law enforcement's procedures.

Helping states and localities. The President also will support legislation introduced by Representative John Conyers (D-MI) to establish a new federal grant program to assist state and local law enforcement agencies to implement similar data collection systems. This legislation also will authorize the Attorney General to develop a nationwide sample and issue a report on the number and nature of traffic stops conducted by state and local enforcement throughout the country.

Surveying the American public. As recently announced by the Attorney General, the Justice Department this year will amend its National Crime Victimization Survey and begin asking Americans about their experiences with traffic stops, police use of force, and police misconduct. This new information will help measure our success in building trust and improving relations between law enforcement and the community.

-more-

More Progressive Policing for the 21st Century

Continuing the community policing revolution. To make our communities safer and stronger, we must enhance our commitment to community policing. The 21st Century Policing Initiative contained in the President's crime bill extends his successful community policing initiative and contains several measures to help strengthen the integrity and ethics of police forces across the country. Specifically, his crime bill includes: (1) \$20 million to expand police integrity and ethics training; (2) \$20 million for police scholarships to promote the best educated police force possible; (3) \$2 million for improved minority recruitment to help make sure police departments reflect the diversity of the communities they represent; (4) \$10 million to help police departments purchase more video cameras to protect both the safety of officers and the rights of the individuals they stop; and (5) \$5 million to establish citizen police academies to engage community residents in the fight against crime.

###

ROUNDTABLE PARTICIPANTS

Attorney General Janet Reno
Deputy Attorney General Eric H. Holder, Jr.
Associate Attorney General Raymond C. Fisher
Acting Assistant Attorney General Bill Lann Lee, Civil Rights Division
Secretary Rodney Slater, Department of Transportation
Ronald Daniels, Center for Constitutional Rights
Paul Evans, Boston Police Department
Thomas Frazier, Baltimore Police Department
Gilbert Gallegos, Fraternal Order of Police
Richard Green, Crown Heights Youth Center
Penny Harrington, National Center for Women in Policing
Wade Henderson, Leadership Conference on Civil Rights
Antonia Hernandez, Mexican American Legal Defense & Educational Fund
John Justice, National District Attorneys Association
Representative Sheila Jackson Lee, 18th District, Texas
Ken Lyons, International Brotherhood of Police Officers
Kweisi Mfume, NAACP
Karen Narasaki, Asian Pacific American Legal Consortium
Ron Neubauer, International Association of Chiefs of Police

Professor Charles Ogletree, Harvard Law School
Hugh Price, National Urban League
Robert Scully, National Association of Police Organization
Rev. Al Sharpton, National Action Network
Chuck Sha-King, Youth Force
Dan Smith, National Sheriff's Association
Robert Stewart, National Organization of Black Law Enforcement Executives
Christopher Stone, Vera Institute of Justice
Beverly Watts-Davis, San Antonio Fighting Back
Mayor Anthony Williams, District of Columbia
Raul Yzaguirre, National Council of La Raza

Message Sent

To:

Christine A. Stanek/WHO/EOP@EOP
Lori E. Abrams/WHO/EOP@EOP
Anne M. Edwards/WHO/EOP@EOP
David E. Kalbaugh/WHO/EOP@EOP
Julie E. Mason/WHO/EOP@EOP
Cheryl D. Mills/WHO/EOP@EOP
G. Timothy Saunders/WHO/EOP@EOP
Laura D. Schwartz/WHO/EOP@EOP
Douglas B. Sosnik/WHO/EOP@EOP
Todd Stern/WHO/EOP@EOP
Barry J. Toiv/WHO/EOP@EOP
Michael Waldman/WHO/EOP@EOP
Dorian V. Weaver/WHO/EOP@EOP
Catherine T. Kitchen/WHO/EOP@EOP
Brenda M. Anders/WHO/EOP@EOP
Richard Socarides/WHO/EOP@EOP
Dag Vega/WHO/EOP@EOP
Barbara D. Woolley/WHO/EOP@EOP
Cynthia M. Jasso-Rotunno/WHO/EOP@EOP
Sara M. Latham/WHO/EOP@EOP
Andrew J. Mayock/WHO/EOP@EOP
Beverly J. Barnes/WHO/EOP@EOP
Megan C. Moloney/WHO/EOP@EOP
Laura S. Marcus/WHO/EOP@EOP
Virginia N. Rustique/WHO/EOP@EOP
Thomas D. Janenda/WHO/EOP@EOP
Brian D. Smith/WHO/EOP@EOP
Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OPD/EOP@EOP
Kim B. Widdess/WHO/EOP@EOP
1=US @ 2=WESTERN UNION @ 5=ATT.COM @ *ELN\62955104 @ MRX @ LNGTWY
62955104@eln.attmail.com @ inet
BARBUSCHAK_K@A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY
INFOMGT@A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY
JOHNSON_WC@A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY
SUNTUM_M@A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY
WOZNIAK_N@A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY
backup@wilson.ai.mit.edu @ inet
newsdesk@usnewswire.com @ inet
usnwire@access.digex.com @ inet
GRAY_W@A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY
NAPLAN_S@A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY
WEINER_R@A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY
GRIBBEN_J@A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY
tnewell@ostp.eop.gov @ INET @ LNGTWY

Elizabeth R. Newman/WHO/EOP@EOP
Jordan Tamagni/WHO/EOP@EOP
HEMMIG_M@A1 @ CD @ LNWTWY
Ashley L. Raines/OA/EOP@EOP
Peter A. Weissman/OPD/EOP@EOP
Douglas J. Band/WHO/EOP@EOP
Edwin R. Thomas III/WHO/EOP@EOP
Sherman A. Williams/WHO/EOP@EOP
William W. McCathran/WHO/EOP@EOP
Julia M. Payne/WHO/EOP@EOP
Robin J. Bachman/WHO/EOP@EOP
Nicole R. Rabner/WHO/EOP@EOP
June Shih/WHO/EOP@EOP
Brooks E. Scoville/WHO/EOP@EOP
Neera Tanden/WHO/EOP@EOP
Sarah S. Knight/WHO/EOP@EOP
Tracy F. Sisser/WHO/EOP@EOP
Woyneab M. Wondwossen/WHO/EOP@EOP
Judithanne V. Scourfield/WHO/EOP@EOP
Patrick E. Briggs/WHO/EOP@EOP
Debra S. Wood/WHO/EOP@EOP
Daniel W. Burkhardt/WHO/EOP@EOP
Maureen A. Hudson/WHO/EOP@EOP
Lana Dickey/WHO/EOP@EOP
Carmen B. Fowler/WHO/EOP@EOP
Lynn G. Cutler/WHO/EOP@EOP
Julianne B. Corbett/WHO/EOP@EOP
Walker F. Bass/WHO/EOP@EOP
Virginia Apuzzo/WHO/EOP@EOP
Michael V. Terrell/CEQ/EOP@EOP
Elliot J. Diringer/CEQ/EOP@EOP
Nanda Chitre/WHO/EOP@EOP
Melissa M. Murray/WHO/

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jennifer M. Luray (CN=Jennifer M. Luray/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUN-1999 15:54:19.00

SUBJECT: FYI-- EPICC/EEOC

TO: Devorah R. Adler (CN=Devorah R. Adler/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher C. Jennings (CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Caroline R. Fredrickson (CN=Caroline R. Fredrickson/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah A. Bianchi (CN=Sarah A. Bianchi/O=OVP@OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I wanted to make you aware, that tomorrow, the National Women's Law Center will send a letter to the EEOC asking that guidance be issued to employers stating that not providing contraceptive coverage would be a violation under Title VII. According to the EEOC, it will take a couple of months before they determine whether they should issue such guidance. If EEOC were to find in favor of the Law Center's analysis, EPICC would still be necessary (for the 14 million or so in firms under 15, and the 16 million or so whose insurance is not provided by their employer) However, Lowey's office and the other choice groups are not pleased with the Law Center's timing since EPICC is on track to be introduced tomorrow (press conf. at 11:00 in Senate Swamp)

Upshot -- Know about the NWLC letter in case we get questions. While the NWLC strategy is not ideal, I think we can work with it.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Cathy R. Mays (CN=Cathy R. Mays/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUN-1999 16:51:21.00

SUBJECT: Weekly Health Care Strategy Meeting

TO: Jonathan M. Young (CN=Jonathan M. Young/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Waldman (CN=Michael Waldman/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry J. Toiv (CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary E. Cahill (CN=Mary E. Cahill/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Devorah R. Adler (CN=Devorah R. Adler/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah A. Bianchi (CN=Sarah A. Bianchi/O=OVP@OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Daniel N. Mendelson (CN=Daniel N. Mendelson/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David W. Beier (CN=David W. Beier/O=OVP@OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barbara D. Woolley (CN=Barbara D. Woolley/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Neera Tanden (CN=Neera Tanden/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeanne Lambrew (CN=Jeanne Lambrew/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher C. Jennings (CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jason H. Schechter (CN=Jason H. Schechter/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Gina C. Mooers (CN=Gina C. Mooers/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Rhonda Melton (CN=Rhonda Melton/O=OVP@OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Teresa M. Jones (CN=Teresa M. Jones/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Andrew F. Schneider (CN=Andrew F. Schneider/O=OVP@OVP [UNKNOWN])

• READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Joseph D. Ratner (CN=Joseph D. Ratner/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Courtney O. Gregoire (CN=Courtney O. Gregoire/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

We will be having the weekly Health Care Strategy Meeting tomorrow,
Thursday, June 10, at 4:00 p.m. in Bruce Reed's office.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Mickey Ibarra (CN=Mickey Ibarra/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUN-1999 19:23:42.00

SUBJECT: Re: USCM Ideas from HUD

TO: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Loretta M. Ucelli (CN=Loretta M. Ucelli/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Please keep me in the loop on announcements for Presidents remarks to USCM on Friday. This is very late in the day--5pm EST. We reported on our department/agency conference call today to coordinate our preparation for the USCM meeting that we expected the President would focus on State of the Cities Report, Republican Budget Proposal, perhaps guns and Kosovo. As staff contact for this activity, I would appreciate your help. Thanks.
----- Forwarded by Mickey Ibarra/WHO/EOP on 06/09/99
07:15 PM -----

Paul J. Weinstein Jr.
06/09/99 07:06:34 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Mickey Ibarra/WHO/EOP@EOP
cc:
Subject: Re: USCM Ideas from HUD

At this point I am not sure that we are going to do the announcements because we may announce a Brady report. However, the combination of the State of the Cities Report and the three initiatives on low income homeownership are probably presidential enough.