

NLWJC - KAGAN

EMAILS CREATED

ARMS - BOX 011 - FOLDER 007

[2/15/1997 - 3/7/1997]

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Elena Kagan to Brooks E. Scoville. (1 page)	02/18/1997	P6/b(6)
002. email	Elena Kagan to Melissa M Murray. Subject: party. (1 page)	02/28/1997	Personal Misfile

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
 Automated Records Management System [Email]
 WHO ([From Elena Kagan])
 OA/Box Number: 500000

FOLDER TITLE:

[02/15/1997 - 03/07/1997]

2009-1006-F
ab821

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:15-FEB-1997 11:32:05.00

SUBJECT: Re:

TO: Anne E. McGuire (CN=Anne E. McGuire/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Very possibly. I take it the report is coming from HHS? Can we get an advance draft as soon as possible?

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:15-FEB-1997 11:27:50.00

SUBJECT: 0-3

TO: Pauline M. Abernathy (CN=Pauline M. Abernathy/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeanine D. Smartt (CN=Jeanine D. Smartt/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Could we get together Tuesday at 3:00 to plan next steps? Thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:17-FEB-1997 11:01:01.00

SUBJECT: Re: 0-3

TO: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeanine D. Smartt (CN=Jeanine D. Smartt/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Pauline M. Abernathy (CN=Pauline M. Abernathy/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

nO, LET'S CHANGE IT THEN -- HOW ABOUT 5?

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:18-FEB-1997 13:45:39.00

SUBJECT: Re: Job Offer

TO: Brooks E. Scoville (CN=Brooks E. Scoville/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
same place --218

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:18-FEB-1997 13:32:35.00

SUBJECT: Re: Job Offer

TO: Brooks E. Scoville (CN=Brooks E. Scoville/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

can you come by again later today -- say, around 6 or so?

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Elena Kagan to Brooks E. Scoville. (1 page)	02/18/1997	P6/b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Automated Records Management System [Email]
WHO ([From Elena Kagan])
OA/Box Number: 500000

FOLDER TITLE:

[02/15/1997 - 03/07/1997]

2009-1006-F
ab821

RESTRICTION CODES**Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]**

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:18-FEB-1997 15:01:50.00

SUBJECT: Re: Campaign finance

TO: William P. Marshall (CN=William P. Marshall/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
could you send me the two cases? thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:19-FEB-1997 12:44:33.00

SUBJECT: Re: Follow-up to speaking invitation for Women's Office Briefing Thursday, Febru

TO: Sondra L. Seba (CN=Sondra L. Seba/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

bruce is away, and I'm afraid i can't do anything that afternoon. very
sorry.

Sondra L. Seba

02/18/97 08:21:35 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Bruce N. Reed/OPD/EOP, Elena Kagan/OPD/EOP

cc: Cathy R. Mays/OPD/EOP

Subject: Follow-up to speaking invitation for Women's Office Briefing
Thursday, February 27, 1997

Hopefully, you have time to review the information I faxed to you on Feb.
7 regarding the National Association of Commissions for Women (NACW)
briefing our Office is hosting in the Indian Treaty Room next Thursday,
Feb. 27, from 3:30 - 5:30 p.m.

We will be happy to work with you on what 15 - 20 minute block that best
fits with your busy schedules on that day. Other invited speakers
include: L.D. Acheson from Justice (confirmed); Joan Lombardi from HHS
(confirmed); and Larry Irving from Commerce (invited).

Please e-mail or call me at 6-7310 at your earliest convenience to confirm
your participation and address questions or concerns you might have.
Thanks for your assistance in what will be a memorable event for these 30
women board members and commission associates.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:19-FEB-1997 09:48:46.00

SUBJECT: e.o.

TO: Jeanine D. Smartt (CN=Jeanine D. Smartt/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Pauline M. Abernathy (CN=Pauline M. Abernathy/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Here's the EO. Please give to the Staff Sec. Remind Phil that it's already been circulated once and that we we have incorporated all proposed changes.

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS_EXT:[ATTACH.D79]MAIL41735394S.016 to ASCII,
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF5750435E050000010A02010000000205000000E6100000000200000B733C7F0E0FDF61E5C2DE8
894C4624B493817AC93094B7D31EFD36DA217219ACC9F64844FCD1266A7706D1EDDFDC2E29E19D
605D36E47886EB489319E4472691C34EC69870310B40E8A3E4EDC76E5511AED7CA38F560BF1528
234DF786622CC1986B10CAC7BA81F0D594654EDE9B825994A011336C1939144B88109189A973F0
92C360D0B5A675A3DFE264207242202A286A4F921690B3DF2B84AC066576424EB395B5847CAE56
AD83C0D2FEC80B9E4F43DA9419A1C83D208825E9A1161BB000F44BE8B46AC110C675CFFE91660C
D2C7D6BC55743AC782AE0539209C7B17F0575375BFF460E6AA91C65DE939C203B58991FD671163
DB0E7317E35D363D22416BB549BD4D3B4B268ECB07B256DCCABCD9C96410555CC226B4A09A3442
1DD47EC4A9D6CC2A6C8209BE417F296B7D74D2438D152FAC088B6EE354DC30CE61EF518C850021
7E83C7FB17C5ADAA740C0B61AE7F13579F998FF2675F4D56CF4B5F899C317D4193EE6A6DC0EF82
4CD598E478A3B4E2086F94D9A6EDB916286E111B76D9089230228912D9473DC30E0DB9FC7C2C65
0147C324DE15E4B755900EB75A7CE302DE13B0FE84883772D158B185EAA2F1C51EB05546E6AC89
282CC4D1453A220CCA3C475E6A60CAB363F9A456888A35650024C4CE1BEC19878117427B01F505
E76FBE808302000B000000000000000000000000082301000000B0100009A020000005502000000
4E000000A503000009250100000006000000F30300000B300200000028000000F9030000005502
0000003E00000021040000087701000000400000005F040000083401000000140000009F040000
0802010000000F000000B30400000055020000004E000000C20400000055010000004E00000010
05000000984C004F00430041004C00
00
0057494E53504F4F4C0000000000C800C8002C012C012C012C01C800C80030000000000000000
00
00
00
00
1EC30F390800011090000005A000B01008B143600540069006D006500730020004E0065007700
200052006F006D0061006E00200052006500670075006C0061007200000000000000000000000000
0058020100000004002800
A10000003C00E81C5C12280A000001390000005A000B05000010260043006F0075007200690065
007200200052006500670075006C0061007200
010002009602020002009702010045009802020002009902010045009A02010002009B02010044
009C02010045009D0201000200006B3F2200
000200009D020000032800D61EC30F390800011090000005A000B01008B143600540069006D00
6500730020004E0065007700200052006F006D0061006E00200052006500670075006C00610072
00

February 19, 1997

MEMORANDUM FOR THE HEADS OF EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES

SUBJECT: Federal Policies Targeted to Children in Their Earliest Years

Over the past few years, scientific research has demonstrated that the earliest years of life -- before children reach school-age -- are critical to cognitive, emotional, and physical development. We know that emotional nourishment, intellectual stimulation, parental and community support, good nutrition, proper health care, quality child care, and safe housing during the first years of life form the foundation for a child's ability to learn, thrive in school, work productively and contribute fully to society.

Across the federal government, we are making great strides to enhance development during the earliest years of life, before a child reaches school, by investing in research, educating parents and caregivers, and supporting programs that provide early intervention to disadvantaged families. I am committed to accelerating our efforts to target the earliest years of life. We all have a stake in ensuring that every child is given the opportunity to fulfill his or her God-given potential.

Today, I am directing the heads of executive departments and agencies to report to me in thirty days with:

1. a comprehensive list and assessment of existing projects and programs that target the earliest years of life -- including any qualitative or quantitative evidence of success, as well as current funding level and number of clients served -- and a description of proposed improvements, if any are needed, to such projects and programs;
2. a comprehensive list and assessment of planned projects and programs that target the earliest years of life, including projected funding levels and number of clients to be served; and
3. specific proposals for additional projects and programs that could be undertaken to improve the earliest years of life that do not require new spending, or that can be undertaken this year within the proposals in the FY 1998 Budget, or that could be developed for consideration for the FY 1999 Budget, within the limits of my Balanced Budget Plan.

I am also directing the establishment of a senior level interagency working group to share, examine, and develop these assessments and plans.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:19-FEB-1997 13:48:55.00

SUBJECT: speaking engagement

TO: Sondra L. Seba (CN=Sondra L. Seba/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Ignore my last message. It looks like I will be able to come that afternoon. Call me to set up a time.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL).

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-FEB-1997 17:36:18.00

SUBJECT: Re: flsa

TO: Emily Bromberg (CN=Emily Bromberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
yes.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-FEB-1997 08:52:43.00

SUBJECT: Re: Election reform

TO: William P. Marshall (CN=William P. Marshall/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
what of the other points?

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 21-FEB-1997 11:08:33.00

SUBJECT: Re: cos breakfast

TO: Ronda H. Jackson (CN=Ronda H. Jackson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ: UNKNOWN

TEXT:
yes, that's fine.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-FEB-1997 11:26:30.00

SUBJECT: settlement

TO: Cheryl D. Mills (CN=Cheryl D. Mills/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Sorry to bug you but, their settlement conference is on Monday, so they need to know what they can say today. As we discussed, all they really want is to be able to tell the Judge that there is a meeting scheduled with DOJ to discuss the issue.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-FEB-1997 08:37:42.00

SUBJECT: Re: Election reform

TO: William P. Marshall (CN=William P. Marshall/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Peter G. Jacoby (CN=Peter G. Jacoby/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Paul J. Weinstein Jr. (CN=Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
Is Justice right? We should be aggressive here.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:22-FEB-1997 12:47:24.00

SUBJECT: Re: From EB: Fair Labor Mtg. on Monday

TO: Suzanne Dale (CN=Suzanne Dale/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

yes

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:22-FEB-1997 13:04:00.00

SUBJECT: Re: speaking engagement

TO: Sondra L. Seba (CN=Sondra L. Seba/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

sorry-- what date are we talking about again?

Sondra L. Seba
02/20/97 03:26:45 PM
Record Type: Record

To: Elena Kagan/OPD/EOP
cc:
Subject: Re: speaking engagement

I'm so glad you are now able to attend the NACW briefing and make remarks. The briefing should start at appx. 3:30 p.m. and run until 5:30 p.m. (depending upon whether Larry Irving will be able to speak at 5:00 p.m. or not, otherwise, it will be over by 5:00 p.m. or thereabouts). When would you like to speak on the program? Joan Lombardi will be able to speak anytime during the event as she will be present throughout; and Eldie Acheson has not give me a preference yet. Just let me know when you can stop by for appx. 20-30 minutes and we'll make it work.

Also, could you fax me your bio, please? I want to include it along with the others in our packets.
Call me if you have any questions at 6-7310. Thanks again for agreeing to participate!

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:22-FEB-1997 12:56:01.00

SUBJECT: Re: Procurement Affirmative Action meeting

TO: June G. Turner (CN=June G. Turner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
either I or steve warnath will attend. thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-FEB-1997 14:14:32.00

SUBJECT: no-knock case

TO: Bruce R. Lindsey (CN=Bruce R. Lindsey/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Cheryl tells me that you're talking with DOJ about the no-knock case -- and that we agree (with each other, if not with DOJ) on what DOJ ought to argue. Let me know what happens, and let me know if there's anything Bruce or I can do to help.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-FEB-1997 13:56:09.00

SUBJECT: sprint letter

TO: Leanne I. Johnson (CN=Leanne I. Johnson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
ok with me.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:24-FEB-1997 09:33:17.00

SUBJECT: Re: Procurement Affirmative Action meeting

TO: June G. Turner (CN=June G. Turner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

ok--i'll cancel another meeting.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:24-FEB-1997 12:25:28.00

SUBJECT: Re: speaking engagement

TO: Sondra L. Seba (CN=Sondra L. Seba/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

4:00

Campaign Finance Reform

Question: *Isn't it hypocritical to keep calling for campaign finance reform when you continue to attend Democratic fundraisers?*

Answer: We have challenged the Republican party to join with the Democratic party to voluntarily restrict soft money contributions. Already the Democratic National Committee has agreed to my request to unilaterally stop taking money from non-citizens and to limit large soft money contributions. However, I believe it is unfair for the Democratic party to go any further to unilaterally disarm in the face of the Republican party's absolute refusal to voluntarily restrict their fundraising in any way.

Question: *Given that you have made campaign finance reform a top priority for your second term, why didn't you raise passage of reform legislation during the bipartisan leadership meeting two weeks ago?*

Answer: The fact is that I did raise campaign finance reform as one of my priorities during that meeting. We agreed during the meeting that the bipartisan priorities would include those issues, such as the balanced budget, juvenile justice reform and others, that would garner widespread bipartisan support and could move quickly. It is clear to me that there is still a lot of debate on the Hill about the right way to reform the campaign finance system.

Question: *What actions have you taken to ensure that campaign finance reform is enacted by your July 4th deadline?*

Answer: We are making every effort to ensure that bipartisan reform passes by July 4. I have had meetings at the White House with the lead sponsors of bipartisan reform -- Senators McCain and Feingold and Representatives Shays and Meehan and I have also met with the House sponsors of the legislation. As I have done with other issues that I have designated as a priority, such as the crime bill and welfare reform, I have appointed several senior White House officials to lead our effort to pass McCain Feingold/Shays Meehan. They have met on several occasions with outside reform groups and are working closely with hill staff on ways to ensure that the legislation is enacted by July 4. In addition, we will shortly announce a significant initiative to build grassroots support across the country for passage of real campaign finance reform.

Welfare Reform: Welfare-To-Work

Question: *How are you going to move people from welfare to work when there are no jobs?*

Answer: I believe jobs are available and I expect the welfare rolls to continue to decline. Minimum wage jobs have always been open, but the package of welfare benefits previously available offered more to recipients than a minimum wage job. Now, with increases in the Earned Income Tax Credit, child care subsidies, and other provisions that makes work pay, welfare recipients can afford to take those available minimum wage jobs. Once working, former welfare recipients will gain the employment experience necessary to move up the ladder of work.

But, we will need more jobs -- and jobs that pay more than the minimum wage if we are ensure the success of the new welfare law. I believe that a combination of private sector commitments, such as those made by the CEOs with whom I recently met, economic development, tax credits, additional welfare-to-work funds, and a focus on jobs in the growing technology industry will create the new, higher paying jobs we need.

Question: *During your State of the Union address, you announced that five prominent CEOs had joined the effort to help move welfare recipients to work. However, recent press stories indicate that these companies have done little to date to actually hire welfare recipients. Can you comment?*

Answer: During my State of the Union address, I was very pleased to announce that five of this country's major corporations had pledged to work with me in the effort to move welfare recipients permanently into the workforce. These five companies had pledged to take a leadership role in the welfare-to-work effort during a meeting I held earlier this year with a larger number of CEOs. These are not necessarily companies that have programs up and running; in fact, most of them don't. They are companies that have made a serious commitment -- and that I'm confident will live up to that commitment -- to develop programs and initiatives to get people off the welfare rolls and into real jobs.

Question: *What has the White House done to hire welfare recipients since the new welfare law was signed?*

Answer: Just last week, the Director of the U.S. Office for Personnel Management submitted a report to the White House outlining several options the Federal Government might pursue to hire welfare recipients. He and his staff came up with some very good ideas and I am currently reviewing those ideas. I hope to have something to announce very soon.

OPM's memo looked at the question of hiring welfare recipients in the federal government generally. We will also work hard, based on the steps we take in the federal government as a whole, to open up opportunities for welfare recipients at the White House.

Welfare Reform: Food Stamps

Question: *The new welfare law cuts off food stamps after three months for unemployed non-disabled adults, and allows the Department of Agriculture to exempt areas with high unemployment. Why does the Administration want to give food stamp benefits to men and women who refuse to work?*

Answer: Enacting the welfare law was an historic accomplishment that represents a significant step forward in social policy for this country. However, as I said when I signed this bill, several provisions of the law have nothing to do with the goal of welfare reform -- putting people to work. Instead, they cut back on our vital food safety net and are unfair to immigrants who have entered this country legally.

I strongly support work requirements. But the welfare law's harsh and unreasonable time limit of 3 months in 36 cuts off people who want to work but can't find jobs. In my budget, I proposed an alternative: a real and tough work requirement without arbitrary cut-offs.

Under my proposal, those who refused to work or refused to take advantage of a work opportunity would face tough new penalties. We would limit food stamps to 6 months out of 12. This policy would encourage work while giving those out of work the transitory help they need to get back on their feet. We proposed new funding and a wage supplementation option to expand the number of work slots available to this group by nearly 400,000 over five years. I am looking forward to working with Congress to enact this sensible proposal.

Background:

- As of March 1, states will begin to cut off food stamp benefits for people who have not met the new work requirement in the welfare law.
- Under the law, able-bodied childless adults between the ages of 18-50 are not permitted to get food stamps for more than 3 months in a 3-year period, unless they are working at least 20 hours a week.
- USDA can waive the work requirement in cities or counties with high unemployment. To date, USDA has granted waivers to 23 states that exempt specified counties or cities with high unemployment.
- Despite these exemptions, 600,000 individuals will lose their food stamp eligibility in FY98 due to this provision. Under the Administration's proposal, approximately 35,000 individuals would lose eligibility in FY98. Unlike the welfare law, the Administration's proposal targets tough sanctions at those individuals who are unwilling to work and to play by the rules.

Welfare Reform: Benefits To Legal Immigrants

Question: *Immigrants shouldn't be coming to the U.S. to get on welfare. Why is the Administration making restoration of these benefits a priority?*

Answer: I believe that legal immigrants should have the same opportunity, and bear the same responsibility, as other members of our society. The welfare law denies most legal immigrants access to fundamental safety net programs unless they become citizens -- even though they are in the U.S. legally, are working and paying taxes and are responsible members of our communities. My Administration has always supported making individuals who encourage their relatives to emigrate to the United States responsible for the immigrant's well being. However, as a nation, we should not turn our backs on anyone who has lost their ability to earn a living due to injury, disease, or illness.

Consequently, my budget proposes to make legal immigrants who become disabled after entering the United States eligible for SSI and Medicaid.

My budget would also provide poor immigrant children the same Medicaid health care coverage low-income citizen children receive.

The United States admits refugees and asylees into this country on a humanitarian basis. My budget proposes to lengthen the five-year exemption for refugees from the ban from five to seven years in order to give this group adequate time to naturalize.

Finally, the law denies food stamps to most legal immigrants. My budget would delay the cutoffs from April 1, 1997 to August, 1997 in order to give immigrants more time to naturalize.

Welfare Reform Fix Legislative Proposals

Question: *You've said you're ready to work with Congress to fix the immigrant and food stamp parts of the welfare law, but some in the Republican leadership say the bill is fine as it is. Doesn't this mean your proposals will be dead on arrival?*

Answer: I think it is very significant that the nation's governors are now on record as recognizing that the cuts in benefits to legal immigrants are too harsh and need to be addressed -- even though their formal resolution was softened at the last minute at the request of the Congressional leadership.

As the new welfare law is being implemented, the governors are gaining a new appreciation of some parts of the bill that I have had a problem with from the beginning -- those parts that are not related to putting people to work. This is particularly true of governors in states with large numbers of legal immigrants. They are now recognizing that many legal immigrants who are disabled, many in nursing homes, will lose their SSI and Medicaid over the summer. In addition to seeing that these provisions are unfair, they can see the potential costs to their own state budgets if they make the decision to ameliorate these cuts.

I think that over time more and more people will come to see the harm that these provisions could do to hard-working people who came to this country and through no fault of their own, became disabled and could no longer support their families.

Also, I truly believe we are seeing some real changes in our relationship with the Congress. It appears that we have gotten past some of the unproductive ways of doing business we have had over the past two years, and that we are learning how to work with one another in a far more constructive fashion.

Question: *Last summer when you said you would sign the welfare bill there were press reports that you wanted to restore about \$14 billion in cuts. Now we understand your budget includes \$18 billion in legislative restorations. Are you proposing to restore more in food stamps and benefits to immigrants that you were last year?*

Answer: No, absolutely not. The budget includes \$18 billion in legislative proposals for Food Stamps and immigrants that correspond directly to the commitments I made at the time I signed the bill. Because of a number of technical reestimates, the budget estimate for these legislative proposals is now higher.

The commitment I made to a \$3 billion program to help the private sector, states, and cities move welfare recipients to work was always separate from that total, and was paid for separately elsewhere in my budget.

Education Standards

Question: *You proposed national tests in reading and math in your State of the Union address. Yet the tests are not required, and you proposed no new funding to help students pass these tests. Why do you think these tests will make a difference?*

Answer: These tests reflect widely accepted national standards for 4th grade reading and 8th grade math. The standards are higher than those in many states, which means they will lead to higher expectations for our students. The tests exist already, but are only given to a small sample of students at any one time. My plan is to make those tests available for every state so they can be given to every 4th and 8th grade student. Then students, parents and teachers will know how they measure up against tough national standards.

My balanced budget plan provides significant increases for federal elementary and secondary school programs that will help prepare students to meet these tougher standards, including significant increases for Goals 2000, Title 1, teacher training, charter schools, and my Technology Literacy Challenge fund. I've also proposed an America Reads Challenge, to mobilize an army of 1 million volunteer tutors, to help students read by the third grade. All of these programs help improve teaching and learning in the classroom.

The point of these tests is to lift our students up, by setting a high standard for them, and then preparing them to meet the standards. Federal funds are important here. So are funds provided by states and local governments, which make up 94% of spending for schools. But these national tests will require a national response, from every school, every teacher, every parent and every student.

Finally, I am pleased at the response this testing proposal has already received. Two weeks ago I spoke in Maryland, and the President of the State Board of Education announced that Maryland would participate in these tests. Just this morning, the Washington Post carried an endorsement of this plan from two former Republican assistant secretaries of education [Diane Ravitch and Checker Finn]. So we are beginning to move forward on this plan, and these tests will make a real difference.

Elena Kagan is Deputy Director of the Domestic Policy Council and Deputy Assistant to the President for Domestic Policy. She is currently on leave from the University of Chicago, where she is a Professor of Law, specializing in constitutional law and labor law.

Kagan received her A.B. summa cum laude from Princeton University. She spent two years at Worcester College, Oxford University, as a Daniel M. Sachs Scholar and received an M. Phil in Politics in 1983. In 1986, she graduated magna cum laude from Harvard Law School, where she was Supervising Editor of the Harvard Law Review. She clerked for Judge Abner J. Mikva of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit and Justice Thurgood Marshall of the U.S. Supreme Court. From 1989 to 1991, Kagan was in private practice at the Washington, D.C. law firm of Williams & Connolly.

Kagan joined the faculty of the University of Chicago Law School in 1991 and became a full professor in 1995. Kagan served as Special Counsel to the Senate Judiciary Committee in the summer of 1993 for the confirmation hearings of Ruth Bader Ginsburg. In July 1995, Kagan took a leave from the University of Chicago Law School to serve as Associate Counsel to the President. In January 1997, she moved from the Counsel's Office to her current position in the Domestic Policy Council.

Kagan also has served as a member of the Board of Governors of the Chicago Council of Lawyers and a public member of the Administrative Conference of the United States.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:26-FEB-1997 08:53:31.00

SUBJECT: Re: partial birth

TO: Todd Stern (CN=Todd Stern/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:26-FEB-1997 14:48:32.00 .

SUBJECT: Re: disabilities

TO: Angus S. King (CN=Angus S. King/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I have the memo. I believe my pre-meet with Brisco (and whoever she wants to bring) is still on for Friday, but I will check and let you and Vicki know.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:27-FEB-1997 13:52:50.00

SUBJECT: Re: PRESS CONFERENCE BRIEFING BOOK

TO: Julie E. Mason (CN=Julie E. Mason/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

We'll get the campaign finance stuff figured out.
I think Richard Socarides has talking points for the don't ask, don't tell.
And we'll provide a q&a on abortion.
Thanks.

TALKING POINTS ON FITZSIMMONS STORY

- Nothing that Mr. Fitzsimmons now says contradicts or undermines the President's position, which is that so-called partial-birth abortions should be banned except when they are necessary to save the life of a woman or prevent serious harm to her health.
- The President has never claimed that partial-birth abortions are used only, or even primarily, to prevent death or serious harm. What he has said is that when (but only when) the procedure is necessary for these reasons, a doctor must be allowed to perform it.
- The President has recognized that some doctors may use the procedure for elective reasons and has called for an end to this practice. He has stated on several occasions: "The procedure may well be used in situations where a woman's serious health interests are not at issue. But I do not support such uses, I do not defend them, and I would sign appropriate legislation banning them."
- The President's position today remains what it has always been: that he will sign a bill banning partial-birth abortions, but only if it has an exception that will protect those women -- even if few in number -- who need this procedure to save their lives or prevent serious harm to their health.

Background

Ron Fitzsimmons, the executive director of the National Coalition of Abortion Providers, said this week that partial birth abortions are (1) performed more frequently than pro-choice groups have acknowledged and (2) often performed on healthy women with healthy fetuses.

There are no good statistics on these questions, and it would be a great mistake to challenge Fitzsimmons on the facts. The important point is that even if true, Fitzsimmons's claims do not undermine the President's position, as explained above.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:27-FEB-1997 22:34:41.00

SUBJECT: Re: WH Conference on Early Learning and Brain Development

TO: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I am so sorry, but I've just found out i have to be a meeting at 5. can we change to any time between 10 and 3? (I know, I know -- this means sending out another message). thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:27-FEB-1997 17:43:50.00

SUBJECT: PRESS CONFERENCE BRIEFING BOOK

TO: Tracey E. Thornton (CN=Tracey E. Thornton/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I'll do one and get it to you. i've already done some talking points.
----- Forwarded by Elena Kagan/OPD/EOP on 02/27/97 05:45
PM -----

Tracey E. Thornton
02/27/97 04:52:10 PM
Record Type: Record

To: Elena Kagan/OPD/EOP
cc:
Subject: PRESS CONFERENCE BRIEFING BOOK

I've been asked to get with you on Q&A on late-term. What are we saying
girl?
----- Forwarded by Tracey E. Thornton/WHO/EOP on 02/27/97
04:39 PM -----

Elisa Millsap
02/27/97 01:21:24 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Tracey E. Thornton/WHO/EOP
cc: Peter G. Jacoby/WHO/EOP, Ananias Blocker III/WHO/EOP
Subject: PRESS CONFERENCE BRIEFING BOOK

Tracey-

Will you work with DPC on the late-term Qs&As for the press conference?
Also, do the last Qs&AS on Comp/Flex time need to be updated?
----- Forwarded by Elisa Millsap/WHO/EOP on 02/27/97
01:16 PM -----

Julie E. Mason 02/27/97 01:00:17 PM

Record Type: Record

To: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message
cc:
Subject: PRESS CONFERENCE BRIEFING BOOK

POTUS is holding a press conference next Tuesday, March 4. We've been
asked to get the briefing book in to staff secretary by tomorrow night.

Please email me your TPs by tomorrow at 3pm so I can get them to staff

secretary by their 4pm deadline.

CONTACT SUBJECT
Horwitz Economic
Panareli Int'l Economics
Goldberg Counsel's
Millsap Legislative
Lavery Political
Kagan DPC

We can use the TPs from yesterday's press conference briefing book - -
let me know what we can just reuse and I can just slip it in. But I'm
sure we'll need some updates. We also should add "don't ask, don't tell"
and abortion (is that DPC for both, Elena?)

And Leg affairs should work with DPC to come up with campaign finance
(last time we had 2 sets). Thanks - - I am at 62712.

Message Sent

To:

Russell W. Horwitz/OPD/EOP
Kristen E. Panerali/OPD/EOP
Elisa Millsap/WHO/EOP
Christopher J. Lavery/WHO/EOP
Adam W. Goldberg/WHO/EOP
Elena Kagan/OPD/EOP

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:27-FEB-1997 16:48:32.00

SUBJECT: Re: PRESS CONFERENCE BRIEFING BOOK

TO: Julie E. Mason (CN=Julie E. Mason/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

yes, that's great; and we can certainly vet socarides' talking points too.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:27-FEB-1997 13:14:22.00

SUBJECT: Re: Short Term Scheduling Mtg

TO: Christa Robinson (CN=Christa Robinson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

thanks. Yes, 3/5 is education.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:27-FEB-1997 13:13:58.00

SUBJECT: Re: disabilities

TO: Angus S. King (CN=Angus S. King/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Not much. They asked for different kinds of assistance for minority farmers, including funding and public-private efforts. USDA staff pledged to review the proposals and see if they could help. USDA will keep us informed. There's nothing we need to do right now.

Angus S. King

02/26/97 02:59:35 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Elena Kagan/OPD/EOP

CC:

Subject: Re: disabilities

Thanks.

What happened with the Black Farmers this morning?

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:27-FEB-1997 14:30:59.00

SUBJECT: Re: disabilities

TO: Angus S. King (CN=Angus S. King/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Oh lord. No, I couldn't, so I sent Steve Warnath, who's the person on our staff who does civil rights issues. I'll be glad to give a call to someone. It sounds to me, from Steve's account of the meeting, that a meeting would be pointless.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:27-FEB-1997 16:59:14.00

SUBJECT: Re: black farmers

TO: Angus S. King (CN=Angus S. King/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

oh. thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:27-FEB-1997 22:31:00.00

SUBJECT: Re: Late-term Abortion Meeting

TO: Tracey E. Thornton (CN=Tracey E. Thornton/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

still no, i think

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:27-FEB-1997 16:47:34.00

SUBJECT: black farmers

TO: Angus S. King (CN=Angus S. King/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

could you check the number for walter powell? the one you gave me goes to a fax machine. thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:27-FEB-1997 16:48:33.00

SUBJECT: Re: leg language on adoption

TO: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
by the by, what does it say on reasonable efforts??

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 27-FEB-1997 22:29:44.00

SUBJECT: Re: Late-term Abortion Meeting

TO: Tracey E. Thornton (CN=Tracey E. Thornton/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ: UNKNOWN

TEXT:

can't do. sorry

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
002. email	Elena Kagan to Melissa M Murray. Subject: party. (1 page)	02/28/1997	Personal Misfile

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Automated Records Management System [Email]
WHO ([From Elena Kagan])
OA/Box Number: 500000

FOLDER TITLE:

[02/15/1997 - 03/07/1997]

2009-1006-F
ab821

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:28-FEB-1997 19:41:55.00

SUBJECT: Re: Q&A Review

TO: Julie E. Mason (CN=Julie E. Mason/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

all of last week's. thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:28-FEB-1997 09:38:28.00

SUBJECT: Re: chief state school officers talk

TO: Anne W. Hawley (CN=Anne W. Hawley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
march 17 or 18

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:28-FEB-1997 19:32:14.00

SUBJECT: Re: Q&A Review

TO: Julie E. Mason (CN=Julie E. Mason/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I'll review. 65557. Hope it's not too late.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME:28-FEB-1997 08:35:40.00

SUBJECT: chief state school officers talk

TO: Marcia L. Hale (CN=Marcia L. Hale/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stephanie S. Streett (CN=Stephanie S. Streett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anne W. Hawley (CN=Anne W. Hawley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John Podesta (CN=John Podesta/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

There will be about 45 chief state school officers in Washington. They're meeting at the Westin Washington City Center at 1400 M St.

Question: Ron Fitzsimmons, the executive director of the National Coalition of Abortion Providers, said this week that he lied about partial birth abortions -- that they're performed more frequently than pro-choice groups have acknowledged and that they're often performed on healthy women with healthy fetuses. In light of his statements, are you going to change your position?

Answer: No. My position remains what it has always been -- that so-called partial-birth abortions should be banned except when they are necessary to save the life of a woman or prevent serious harm to her health.

Nothing Mr. Fitzsimmons has said conflicts with that position. I've never claimed that partial-birth abortions are used only to prevent death or serious harm. What I've said is that when the procedure is necessary for these reasons -- in those few cases when there are tragic circumstances involving serious harm to the woman -- a doctor must be allowed to perform it. But when the procedure isn't necessary for these reasons, a doctor should not be allowed to perform it.

So I will say again what I've said before: that I will sign a bill banning partial-birth abortions, but only if it has an exception that will protect those women -- even if few in number -- who need this procedure to save their lives or prevent serious harm to their health.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 2-MAR-1997 11:52:22.00

SUBJECT: hate crimes report

TO: Angus S. King (CN=Angus S. King/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Could you get me a copy of the Leadership Conference's hate crimes report? The copy you gave Bruce is missing every other page. I'd love to have it by this afternoon, so I can go to Sylvia's 5:00 meeting semi-prepared. Thanks very much.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 2-MAR-1997 11:52:22.00

SUBJECT: hate crimes report

TO: Angus S. King (CN=Angus S. King/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Could you get me a copy of the Leadership Conference's hate crimes report? The copy you gave Bruce is missing every other page. I'd love to have it by this afternoon, so I can go to Sylvia's 5:00 meeting semi-prepared. Thanks very much.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 2-MAR-1997 15:04:05.00

SUBJECT: Re: hate crimes report

TO: Angus S. King (CN=Angus S. King/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
thanks, that's great.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 2-MAR-1997 12:22:56.00

SUBJECT: adoption

TO: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

What's the news on the bonus front?

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 3-MAR-1997 13:43:00.00

SUBJECT: Re: 2pm mtg today

TO: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
can you come?

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 3-MAR-1997 11:02:08.00

SUBJECT: Re: welfare meeting

TO: Laura A. Graham (CN=Laura A. Graham/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
no problem

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 3-MAR-1997 20:01:28.00

SUBJECT: Re: : EARLY LEARNING CONFERENCE

TO: Christa Robinson (CN=Christa Robinson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

hell, we ran the meeting. i tried to e-mail you to come, but I somehow sent the message to the wrong person.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 3-MAR-1997 11:02:42.00

SUBJECT: Re: outstanding HHS questions

TO: Jill M. Pizzuto (CN=Jill M. Pizzuto/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
1:00 is OK with me.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 4-MAR-1997 10:46:10.00

SUBJECT: Re: Just checking in with the two of you

TO: Jennifer D. Dudley (CN=Jennifer D. Dudley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

what's the question? I never got anything from you.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 4-MAR-1997 12:45:26.00

SUBJECT: Re: Just checking in with the two of you

TO: Jennifer D. Dudley (CN=Jennifer D. Dudley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
OK with me. thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 4-MAR-1997 10:47:51.00

SUBJECT: Re: Early Childhood Development Conference

TO: Kevin S. Moran (CN=Kevin S. Moran/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
sorry, what day?

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 4-MAR-1997 10:28:19.00

SUBJECT: Re: meeting tomorrow

TO: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
3:00?

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 5-MAR-1997 15:14:00.00

SUBJECT: Re: LAST CHANCE..

TO: Julie E. Mason (CN=Julie E. Mason/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I want to look over the abortion stuff again. I think I want to add a question. When's REALLY my last chance?? Thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 5-MAR-1997 15:12:09.00

SUBJECT: Re: follow-up

TO: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
you're great. thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 5-MAR-1997 19:26:07.00

SUBJECT: Re: SUMMARY

TO: Julie E. Mason (CN=Julie E. Mason/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
all ok except abortion which is coming.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 5-MAR-1997 19:51:33.00

SUBJECT: updated health q&as for briefing

TO: Phillip Caplan (CN=Phillip Caplan/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

----- Forwarded by Elena Kagan/OPD/EOP on 03/05/97 07:52 PM -----

Paul J. Weinstein Jr.
03/05/97 05:23:08 PM
Record Type: Record

To: Elena Kagan/OPD/EOP
cc:
Subject: updated health q&as for briefing.

----- Forwarded by Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OPD/EOP on 03/05/97 05:22 PM -----

Sarah A. Bianchi
03/05/97 05:18:15 PM
Record Type: Record

To: Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OPD/EOP
cc:
Subject: updated health q&as for briefing

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0.00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS_EXT:[ATTACH.D72]MAIL40251736X.016 to ASCII,
The following is a HEX DUMP:

FF57504385070000010A02010000000205000000A75000000002000061C0BF20D893FE85567852
0BFA9174F72F8FF3ADB1843527EA4C2C4578D93DBC8C829E7205B0D5CADFF813A7C981D0BD84B9
449C0C72DD979B762433CC9D5B29315551247479C8BFC1EDC4F6BE366253FD3F5353B8ABBEDFA2
D620AC866F72136731AAB0D5B3FD414A3D8569E541F3272284AA0BF8141B7ED789CA69C75A962E
2573677D5F64E81346868553B2459FFE697A7672C0A33F9AB039D58DAC26F470D32CA39A318416
6CEE3A7F7763720F0257469DA6E747E42CC67366A7316CA6CEC5449FEF3639AB056A3C13AD3D02
35D17D12444887E9912FD8D7F7E1F1C2BE60A1E9B30A2F234ED5DCAF4221688032891DF8B8E497
78299A3C059D06D56FA73B063380A983BA629C85DA3486E27A6A36676FAD60797106B8E71B26EC

FY 1998 BUDGET Q&A
February 1997

Q: YOUR MEDICARE PLAN SCORES \$82 BILLION INSTEAD OF \$100 BILLION OVER THE LAST FIVE YEARS. WILL YOU SUBMIT ADDITIONAL PROPOSALS TO ACHIEVE \$100 BILLION SCORING OFF OF THE CBO BASELINE?

A: • We believe that the track record of our actuaries on estimates over the last five years validates our position that our Medicare plan will achieve \$100 billion in savings. Our estimates for the balanced budget are based on conservative, time-proven projections that have been more accurate than CBO for 4 straight years.

Q: HAVING SAID THAT, WILL YOU SUBMIT ADDITIONAL PROPOSALS TO ACHIEVE \$100 BILLION SO YOU CAN BACKUP YOUR CLAIM THAT YOU WILL MEET THE REPUBLICANS HALFWAY?

A: • Again, we believe our numbers do that now. Our technical analysts will continue to work with CBO to see if further clarifications of our current policy will close the gap on scorable savings. It is premature to speculate about what, if any, revisions will be necessary until that process is complete.

Q: YOU HAVE INCLUDED A HOME HEALTH CARE TRANSFER GIMMICK IN THE BUDGET. HOW CAN YOU POSSIBLY DEFEND IT?

A: • Let's be clear: our savings of \$138 billion in Medicare over 6 years (\$100 billion over 5 years) does *not* include the home health care transfer from Part A to Part B of the program. The \$138 billion is the net reduction of Medicare spending relative to the budget baseline -- and thus is the amount by which our Medicare changes contribute to deficit reduction. The transfer does not contribute to the \$252 net deficit reduction in our package.

• The policy you mentioned was in our budget last year, and it was in the House Republican budget in 1995 that every Republican in the House voted for.

• Shifting long-term home health visits (other than the first 100 visits following a hospitalization) away from Part A of the Medicare program makes sense because home health care has increasingly become a chronic care benefit not linked to hospitalization. It was also the established policy prior to the 1980s. And it protects the Medicare Trust Fund until 2007, while not imposing harmful cuts on hospitals or other priorities, or excessive burdens on beneficiaries.

[Background: Originally designed as a post-acute care benefit for beneficiaries who had been hospitalized, home health care has increasingly become a chronic care benefit, not linked to hospitalization. Our proposal restores the original split of home health care benefits so that the first 100 home health visits following a 3-day hospitalization would be reimbursed by Part A and all other visits -- including those not following hospitalization - would be reimbursed by Part B.]

Q: FOLLOW: IF THE HOME HEALTH TRANSFER IS NOT A GIMMICK, THEN WHY DONT YOU INCLUDE IT AS PART OF THE PART B PREMIUM?

A:

- We have always been concerned about out-of-pocket costs for Medicare beneficiaries. Older Americans spend, on average, 20 percent of their income on health care and three-fourths have incomes lower than \$25,000. We have to be careful that as we reform the Medicare program, we do not place undue burden on lower-income seniors.

Q. WHY ARE YOU MANAGED CARE CUTS SO DEEP? AREN'T THESE CUTS GOING TO DISCOURAGE BENEFICIARIES FROM GOING INTO MANAGED CARE AND FORCE PLANS TO CUT BACK ON BENEFITS?

A.

- There is no reason to believe that these modest cuts will cause managed care plans to have to cut back in benefits, nor will they hurt plans with large numbers of managed care enrollees. The Medicare Trust Fund is actually losing money on the increasing numbers of beneficiaries enrolling in managed care.
- Every credible study has confirmed that we are significantly overpaying Medicare managed care (Congressional Budget Office analysis, the General Accounting Office, the Prospective Payment Review Commission study, and the Mathematica Research Study done for the Health Care Financing Administration). In fact, these experts claim that we overcompensate managed care far beyond what our proposal suggests.
- Finally, our proposal does not even implement this reform until 2000, giving managed care plans more than enough time to prepare for this change.

Q. YOUR MEDICARE PROPOSAL CONTAINS MOSTLY CUTS ON PROVIDERS AND MANAGED CARE. DONT YOU THINK WE NEED REAL STRUCTURAL MEDICARE REFORM?

A.

- Absolutely. My budget takes important steps to modernize Medicare and bring it

**Automated Records Management System
Hex-Dump Conversion**

into the 21st century through a number of structural reforms including

- *Establishing new private plans* -- including Preferred Provider Organizations and Provider Sponsored Organizations -- available to seniors and people with disabilities.
- *Establishing market-oriented purchasing for Medicare* including the new prospective payment systems for home health care, nursing home care, and outpatient hospital services, as well as competitive bidding authority and the use of centers of excellence to improve quality and cut back on costs.
- *Adding new Medigap protections* to make it possible for beneficiaries to switch back from a managed care plan to traditional Medicare without being underwritten by insurers for private supplemental insurance coverage. This should encourage more beneficiaries to opt for managed care because it addresses the fear that such a choice would lock them in forever.

Q. DO YOU SUPPORT THE MEDICARE COMMISSION PROPOSED BY SENATORS ROTH AND MOYNIHAN?

- A.**
- First, I want to praise Chairman Roth and Ranking Member Moynihan for working together -- on a bipartisan basis -- to propose the creation of a
**Automated Records Management System
Hex-Dump Conversion**

commission to address the long-term financing issues that face Medicare. Their efforts reflect a bipartisan spirit which we believe is critical to ensure the success of any process designed to address this important issue.

- No one is more committed than I am to seeking a bipartisan process to find long term solutions to Medicare. But my more immediate focus is reaching a bipartisan agreement on a balanced budget that extends the life of the Medicare Trust Fund in the near term. We have an historic opportunity to balance the budget. We should not let it pass.
- As I have repeatedly said, we will need a bipartisan process to address the long-term financing issues facing Medicare, and I look forward to working with both parties to develop the best possible process.

Q. DOESN'T YOUR BUDGET CREATE \$60 BILLION IN NEW ENTITLEMENTS?

- A.
- No. My budget actually *saves* \$121 billion in entitlement spending over the next 5 years.
 - We are proposing some new additions to our health care programs, but they are aimed at reducing the number of uninsured Americans and are *not* open-ended entitlements. For example:

-- Our program to provide health insurance for workers between jobs is capped. The program is structured as a grants program to States. While there are provisions to help States that have unanticipated increases in unemployment, there is an overall Federal cap on spending that cannot be breached. Moreover, the program is sunsetted after 4 years.

-- There are no new entitlements in children's health as well. The children's health initiative provides States with grants that, by law, will not exceed \$750 million in each year.

- We are also proposing some changes to the welfare reform legislation that was enacted last year, but our purpose is to fix unnecessary and damaging provisions in that legislation -- involving legal immigrants and Food Stamp recipients. We do not view these changes as new spending or new entitlements.
- It is ironic that we are sometimes criticized for phasing out new proposals -- such as school construction -- and simultaneously for creating permanent new mandatory spending programs. Our phase-outs are designed to allow an evaluation of how well the new programs are working -- and we have been careful to avoid creating permanent new entitlements without knowing the effects.

Q. WHY ARE YOU CUTTING THE MEDICAID AND MEDICARE PROGRAMS IN ORDER TO MAKE INVESTMENTS IN CHILDREN'S HEALTH?

- A. • We believe that we can constrain Medicare and Medicaid growth while still preserving the guarantee of high quality care for the millions of Americans who depend on these programs. Cost-effective approaches to expanding coverage, in the context of a balanced budget, should be a high-priority investment for this nation.

Q. WHY IS THE ADMINISTRATION ACTING SO HAPHAZARDLY ON QUALITY ISSUES. FIRST IT WAS DRIVE-THROUGH-DELIVERIES, THEN MASTECTOMIES, NOW GAG RULES. DO YOU HAVE A STRATEGY IN THIS AREA?

- A. • Yes, we do have a strategy. We believe that we have taken some important steps in areas where there have been troubling reports about the lack of high-quality medicine. When there are egregious examples of bureaucratic interference with the practice of high-quality medicine, we will not hesitate to act. However, I have announced plans to create an Advisory Commission on Consumer Protection and Quality in the Health Care Industry. That panel, which will be named shortly, will help us to develop a broader framework on how we should address concerns about quality in a more comprehensive manner.

Q. WHAT IS THE STATUS OF YOUR QUALITY COMMISSION?

- A. • I expect to make an announcement about the members and charge of this commission sometime in March.

Q. DO YOU SUPPORT THE KENNEDY-DINGELL BILL ON CONSUMER RIGHTS?

- A. • We just received the bill and have not yet had time to review the details. However, we believe that Congressman Dingell and Senator Kennedy are making an important contribution to the discussion about quality. We look forward to working with them as well as other Democrats and Republicans on this important issue.

New Firearms Initiatives

Q. What does your Child Safety Lock Directive do?

Firearms claim the lives of too many of our children. My directive requires all Federal Agencies to provide a child safety lock and proper instructions with every handgun issued to a Federal law enforcement agent. This Directive will help prevent gun accidents and children's access to guns.

My Anti-Gang legislation that I sent to Congress would further expand use of child safety locks by requiring all Federal Firearms dealers to provide a child safety lock with every handgun they sell. Until Congress makes child safety locks the law of the land, we must do everything we can to prevent unauthorized firearms use by our young people.

The Directive would take the first step in that direction by making this a universally-adopted safety policy for all Federal law enforcement agents.

Q. How would you restrict gun sales to noncitizens?

Federal law requires that legal aliens live in a state for at least 90 days before they are allowed to purchase a handgun. But the application to buy a gun fails to ask how long an applicant has lived at his or her current address.

As a first step to reduce illegal handgun purchases by noncitizens, the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms will immediately require applicants to certify that they have been residents for at least 90 days in the state where they are trying to buy a gun.

But this isn't enough. I also support the Kennedy-Durbin/Schumer legislation which prohibits foreign visitors to the United States from purchasing and possessing firearms.

Q. What would you do about "cop killer" bullets?

Criminals should not have access to handgun ammunition that can pierce bullet-proof vests worn by law enforcement officers. While current law establishes limits on ammunition based on specific materials from which it is made, it does not address the problem of excessively powerful ammunition based on its performance.

Once again, I will send legislation to Congress banning "Cop Killer" bullets. My legislation directs the Secretary of the Treasury to promulgate regulations to prohibit the manufacture, importation, and distribution of handgun ammunition that can pierce standard bullet-proof vests. We need a simple test and a straightforward ban. These bullets have one purpose only-- to kill police officers. They have no place on our streets.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 5-MAR-1997 19:27:03.00

SUBJECT: updated health q&as for briefing

TO: Julie E. Mason (CN=Julie E. Mason/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I misspoke. Here are updated health q&a's. Sorry!!

----- Forwarded by Elena Kagan/OPD/EOP on 03/05/97 07:28 PM -----

Paul J. Weinstein Jr.
03/05/97 05:23:08 PM
Record Type: Record

To: Elena Kagan/OPD/EOP
cc:
Subject: updated health q&as for briefing

----- Forwarded by Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OPD/EOP on 03/05/97 05:22 PM -----

Sarah A. Bianchi
03/05/97 05:18:15 PM
Record Type: Record

To: Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OPD/EOP
cc:
Subject: updated health q&as for briefing

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:
===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====

Question: Ron Fitzsimmons, the executive director of the National Coalition of Abortion Providers, said this week that he lied about partial birth abortions -- that they're performed more frequently than pro-choice groups have acknowledged and that they're often performed on healthy women with healthy fetuses. In light of his statements, are you going to change your position?

Answer: No. My position remains what it has always been -- that so-called partial-birth abortions should be banned except when they are necessary to save the life of a woman or prevent serious harm to her health.

Nothing Mr. Fitzsimmons has said conflicts with that position. I've never claimed that partial-birth abortions are used only to prevent death or serious harm. What I've said is that when the procedure is necessary for these reasons -- in those few cases when there are tragic circumstances involving serious harm the woman -- a doctor must be allowed to perform it. But when the procedure isn't necessary for these reasons, a doctor should not be allowed to perform it.

So I will say again what I've said before: that I will sign a bill banning partial-birth abortions, but only if it has an exception that will protect those women -- even if few in number -- who need this procedure to save their lives or prevent serious harm to their health.

Question: Didn't you base your veto of the partial-birth abortion bill on false information -- i.e., that this procedure is performed only on a few hundred women in desperate circumstances?

Answer: No. I based my veto on the fact that there are a small group of women in desperate circumstances who need this procedure in order to save their lives or prevent serious injury to their health. I've never said that these are the only circumstances in which the procedure is used. Indeed, I've acknowledged that there are cases where the procedure is not necessary for life or serious health reasons -- and I've made very clear that I would sign legislation banning the procedure in those cases.

Question: If Congress were to add a health exception to the partial birth bill you vetoed last year, that bill would prohibit all partial birth abortions -- including pre-viability partial birth abortions - - that aren't done for life or serious health reasons. Are you really saying that you would sign a bill of that kind -- a bill that prohibited a particular procedure (except if done for life or serious health reasons) in the pre-viability period?

Answer: I have made very clear my condition for signing the partial birth bill. I told Congress that I would sign the bill if it protected women who needed the procedure to save their lives or avert serious harm to their health. I implored Congress to send me such a bill. I continue to take that position. If Congress adds a provision to the bill that protects women who need the procedure for life or serious health reasons, I will sign that bill.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 6-MAR-1997 18:35:08.00

SUBJECT: Re: brain conf

TO: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
tomorrow at 1?

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 6-MAR-1997 10:36:57.00

SUBJECT: Re: partial birth questions

TO: Tracey E. Thornton (CN=Tracey E. Thornton/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: John L. Hilley (CN=John L. Hilley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I'll do one on the Daschle bill that makes clear that it is consistent with the President's position and that the President could support it. I'll send it to you.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 6-MAR-1997 14:31:32.00

SUBJECT: Re: Advisory Commission on Quality and Consumer Protection in the Health Care In

TO: Douglas B. Sosnik (CN=Douglas B. Sosnik/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

FYI. I told Chris that you and others had expressed some concern that the health care quality task force would get played as a campaign finance story. His reply:

----- Forwarded by Elena Kagan/OPD/EOP on 03/06/97 02:31 PM -----

Christopher C. Jennings

03/04/97 08:28:37 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Elena Kagan/OPD/EOP

CC:

Subject: Re: Advisory Commission on Quality and Consumer Protection in the Health Care Industry

I disagree. It is not loaded with fat cat contributors. It does have representatives from health care interests (like doctors, nurses, hospitals, health insurers and managed care plans, consumers, unions, quality experts, etc.), but the executive order appropriately requires their participation and they are quality people. Out of the 32 members, I would say there are only 2-5 that could be categorized as big time contributors. The others have long and distinguished careers in the quality/health care front and there is no question that their membership will be validated by respected outsiders. (Even then, the three have a background and interest in health care that justifies their existence.)

If you would like to go over the list, I would be happy to do so. I believe if you asked Doug, he could come up with only a couple of names he thinks are fat cats. Let's talk, but I do not think this will be a problem, and of course will do everything in my power to assure that the announcement gets a positive lift-off from the outside validators.

cj

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 6-MAR-1997 13:28:33.00

SUBJECT: Early Learning

TO: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

shit. do you anything about this?

----- Forwarded by Elena Kagan/OPD/EOP on 03/06/97 01:29
PM -----

Stacey L. Rubin

03/06/97 11:28:05 AM

Record Type: Record

To: Nicole R. Rabner/WHO/EOP
cc: Elena Kagan/OPD/EOP
Subject: Early Learning

FYI: I just received a call from Rep. Conyers office informing me that the Midwestern Assoc. for Education of Young People are having an early learning conf. in Grand Rapids, MI from April 16-19. It is supposed to be a pretty big event according to Rep. Conyers office.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 6-MAR-1997 14:21:45.00

SUBJECT: Re: Early Learning

TO: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
sounds great.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 7-MAR-1997 15:46:42.00

SUBJECT: Re: Plans

TO: Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I'm glad too. And thanks for the offer -- which you may regret. Have a good weekend if I don't see you.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 7-MAR-1997 12:14:35.00

SUBJECT: Re: Biomaterials

TO: Jennifer D. Dudley (CN=Jennifer D. Dudley/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
yes, I'd love to.