

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Clara J. Shin to Bruce McNamer, re Gib Myers [partial] (1 page)	04/14/1999	P6/b(6)
002. email	Sonal R. Shah to Lael Brainard at 16:57:23.00. Subject: Re: POTUS Sarajevo trip. (3 pages)	07/09/1999	P5
003. email	Sonal R. Shah to Lael Brainard at 12:11:16.00. Subject: POTUS Sarajevo trip. (1 page)	07/09/1999	P5
004. email	Lae Brainard to Sonal R. Shah at 12:35:17.00. Subject: Re: POTUS Sarajevo trip. (2 pages)	07/09/1999	P5
005. email	Juan Garcia to John B. Buxton at 11:48:28.00. Subject: RE: Vieques. (4 pages)	10/19/1999	P5

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Automated Records Management System
OPD ([General Wesley Clark])
OA/Box Number: 250000

FOLDER TITLE:

[03/08/1999 - 01/27/2000]

2006-0325-F

wr10676

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Sonal R. Shah (CN=Sonal R. Shah/OU=NSC/O=EOP [NSC])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1999 16:57:23.00

SUBJECT: Re: POTUS Sarajevo trip

TO: Lael Brainard (CN=Lael Brainard/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

good point on guarantees. MIGA and IFC are already looking into ideas of creating regional funds and guarantees which would include Kosovo. OPIC already proposed a plan.

Just talked to Mark, Treasury is very reluctant to do anything with Bank/Fund. They would like to disaggregate Croatia and Romania from the rest of the Pact. Romania has \$277 million BOP gap which will be filled by an IMF program if they negotiate with their creditors on their pvt sector debt. Croatia is reneging on its IMF commitments.

The others however, could use the help. Macedonia, needs \$100 million, Bulgaria about \$45 million, Albania has budgetary gaps and Bosnia has about \$30 million. Altogether about \$200 million (not chump change) and we can announce maybe some technical assistance. Sorry to harp on this idea -- i know we would like to keep the IFIs pure, but they are not and they can do what the main aim of the Marshall program was (provide a cushion). FYI. IFI conditionality is much stricter than Marshall plan conditionality was.

In any case, i have asked Mark and Nancy to come up with some variations noting again that either we can be proactive or reactive.

They are rightly concerned that this will give these countries a reason to lax on their conditionality and not follow through on their commitments. I just the following concerns:

1. We have taken on this commitment for regional stability and POTUS likely to do a speech on it or Berger will.
2. Given above commitment, we need to show that we mean what we say.
3. It would one thing if there was not a conflict -- we could afford to be hard-nosed, not sure that we have so much of that flexibility.
4. We should rightly push the EU to take on the burden of integrating these countries, but how are we going to help them get from here to there.

Anyway, this will be a tough battle and would appreciate your insight.

Lael Brainard

07/09/99 12:35:05 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Sonal R. Shah/NSC/EOP@EOP

cc:

bcc:

Subject: Re: POTUS Sarajevo trip

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

I think your idea is worth exploring. Might want to add in the investment guarantee guys (EBRD, IFC, EIB, etc). You are absolutely right that POTUS will not do anything prescriptive/tough love without some money to make it credible.

Sonal R. Shah
07/09/99 12:11:05 PM
Record Type: Record

To: Lael Brainard/OPD/EOP@EOP
cc: Sharon H. Yuan/OPD/EOP@EOP
Subject: POTUS Sarajevo trip

Wesley Clark in an interview claimed NATO can support the Heads of State meeting in Sarajevo. EU has not agreed yet to pay. Decision to be made on Monday.

On deliverables, we are going to face a real problem. State (Bialos) has come up with this idea about an Investment Climate Initiative which basically would have the President telling the SEE countries what they would need to reform to attract private investment, with nothing to back it. I have several problems with the proposal (as do all others in EB and Commerce):

1. This is not Presidential -- I can't imagine POTUS talking about licensing requirements and judiciary changes -- its a Finance Ministry or Commerce type discussion.
2. The leaders are going to say -- Mr. Pres. you said take refugees, troops, ... and we will help you. We have 500 mill. BOP and budgetary gaps due to the conflict and now you are telling us that if we make these reforms the private sector will invest -- where's the money...
3. there is no way that this conference can take place without talk of money ...

My idea:

I think that treasury needs to push the Fund and Bank to fill these gaps. Frankly, the Fund has been nowhere to be seen. Is there any way in which we can get some basic set of reforms that POTUS can push and have IFI money backing there agreement (THIS WOULD BE A ONE TIME BOP/BUDGETARY SUPPORT) for post conflict. Then we would push for the countries to get IMF programs and meet with conditionality. Whatever residual gaps there are we should push the Euros.

This seems to me the only option of real money and POTUS can claim leadership on this issue. We would really need to push Treasury. To be honest, the fear is that if we don't do something POTUS will and we will be left scrambling like in Central America.

Your views????

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Sonal R. Shah (CN=Sonal R. Shah/OU=NSC/O=EOP [NSC])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1999 12:11:16.00

SUBJECT: POTUS Sarajevo trip

TO: Lael Brainard (CN=Lael Brainard/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Sharon H. Yuan (CN=Sharon H. Yuan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Wesley Clark in an interview claimed NATO can support the Heads of State meeting in Sarajevo. EU has not agreed yet to pay. Decision to be made on Monday.

On deliverables, we are going to face a real problem. State (Bialos) has come up with this idea about an Investment Climate Initiative which basically would have the President telling the SEE countries what they would need to reform to attract private investment, with nothing to back it. I have several problems with the proposal (as do all others in EB and Commerce):

1. This is not Presidential -- I can't imagine POTUS talking about licensing requirements and judiciary changes -- its a Finance Ministry or Commerce type discussion.
2. The leaders are going to say -- Mr. Pres. you said take refugees, troops, ... and we will help you. We have 500 mill. BOP and budgetary gaps due to the conflict and now you are telling us that if we make these reforms the private sector will invest -- where's the money...
3. there is no way that this conference can take place without talk of money ...

My idea:

I think that treasury needs to push the Fund and Bank to fill these gaps. Frankly, the Fund has been nowhere to be seen. Is there any way in which we can get some basic set of reforms that POTUS can push and have IFI money backing there agreement (THIS WOULD BE A ONE TIME BOP/BUDGETARY SUPPORT) for post conflict. Then we would push for the countries to get IMF programs and meet with conditionality. Whatever residual gaps there are we should push the Euros.

This seems to me the only option of real money and POTUS can claim leadership on this issue. We would really need to push Treasury. To be honest, the fear is that if we don't do something POTUS will and we will be left scrambling like in Central America.

Your views????

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Lael Brainard (CN=Lael Brainard/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1999 12:35:17.00

SUBJECT: Re: POTUS Sarajevo trip

TO: Sonal R. Shah (CN=Sonal R. Shah/OU=NSC/O=EOP@EOP [NSC])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I think your idea is worth exploring. Might want to add in the investment guarantee guys (EBRD, IFC, EIB, etc). You are absolutely right that POTUS will not do anything prescriptive/tough love without some money to make it credible.

Sonal R. Shah
07/09/99 12:11:05 PM
Record Type: Record

To: Lael Brainard/OPD/EOP@EOP
cc: Sharon H. Yuan/OPD/EOP@EOP
Subject: POTUS Sarajevo trip

Wesley Clark in an interview claimed NATO can support the Heads of State meeting in Sarajevo. EU has not agreed yet to pay. Decision to be made on Monday.

On deliverables, we are going to face a real problem. State (Bialos) has come up with this idea about an Investment Climate Initiative which basically would have the President telling the SEE countries what they would need to reform to attract private investment, with nothing to back it. I have several problems with the proposal (as do all others in EB and Commerce):

1. This is not Presidential -- I can't imagine POTUS talking about licensing requirements and judiciary changes -- its a Finance Ministry or Commerce type discussion.
2. The leaders are going to say -- Mr. Pres. you said take refugees, troops, ... and we will help you. We have 500 mill. BOP and budgetary gaps due to the conflict and now you are telling us that if we make these reforms the private sector will invest -- where's the money...
3. there is no way that this conference can take place without talk of money ...

My idea:

I think that treasury needs to push the Fund and Bank to fill these gaps. Frankly, the Fund has been nowhere to be seen. Is there any way in which we can get some basic set of reforms that POTUS can push and have IFI money backing there agreement (THIS WOULD BE A ONE TIME BOP/BUDGETARY SUPPORT) for post conflict. Then we would push for the countries to get IMF programs and meet with conditionality. Whatever residual gaps there are we should push the Euros.

This seems to me the only option of real money and POTUS can claim leadership on this issue. We would really need to push Treasury. To be honest, the fear is that if we don't do something POTUS will and we will be left scrambling like in Central America..

Your views????

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: "Garcia, Juan" <Juan_Garcia@ed.gov> ("Garcia, Juan" <Juan_Garcia@ed.gov> [

CREATION DATE/TIME: 19-OCT-1999 11:48:28.00

SUBJECT: RE: Vieques

TO: John B. Buxton (CN=John B. Buxton/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
READ: UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Appreciate this; Wanted to sneak over to this AM's Senate hearing but ran out of time. (Am also trying to track down WHF icon Gen Clark's recent testimony on behalf of keeping the range open in anticipation of our lunch with Barcelo). Finally, in the wake of the intergalactic debacle over the terrorist clemency, can Hillary speak with any credibility on this issue?!?!?

Juan

> -----Original Message-----

> From: John_B._Buxton@opd.eop.gov [SMTP:John_B._Buxton@opd.eop.gov]

> Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 1999 9:57 AM

> To: 'Ariel Zwang'; 'Barry Price'; 'Chris Moore';

> Daniel_F._Feldman@nsc.eop.gov; 'Esther Benjamin'; 'Gary Hall';

> Jacqueline_F._Lain@who.eop.gov; John_B._Buxton@opd.eop.gov; 'Juan Garcia';

> 'Khalid Azim'; 'Lance Wyatt'; 'Melissa Goldstein'; 'Peter Najera';

> Reynaldo_Valencia@who.eop.gov; Sonny_Garg@omb.eop.gov; 'Tim Wu'

> Subject: Vieques

> (Addendum to Pete's email) Panel's recommendations on Vieques:

> October 19, 1999

> Panel Backs Firing Exercises in Puerto Rico

> By ELIZABETH BECKER

> WASHINGTON -- A Presidential panel recommended Monday that the United States military be allowed to resume

live

> firing

> exercises on Navy property in Puerto Rico but that the range be

> shut

> down in five years, despite demands from the territory's

> governor

> that it

> be closed immediately and all bombing halted.

> Since April, when a Marine pilot killed a Puerto Rican guard in

> a

> bombing accident on the range, Puerto Rican protesters have

> occupied it

> and prevented any target practice while the territory's

> politicians have

> argued that the range was an unacceptable threat to the

island's

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

> livelihood
> and environment. The Navy has suspended all bombing on the
> range,
> which is on the island of Vieques, pending a final decision.
>
> Anticipating criticism of Monday's recommendation, Secretary of
> Defense William S. Cohen said in a written statement that Navy
> and
> Puerto Rican officials should continue searching for a
> compromise.
>
> "It's clear from the panel's report there are serious concerns
> among the
> residents of Vieques which need and deserve the careful
> attention
> of the
> Navy and the Department of Defense," Cohen said in the
statement
> issued while he was traveling in the Middle East.
>
> Gov. Pedro J. Rossell? of Puerto Rico and Delegate Carlos
> Romero-Barcel?, a Democrat who is Puerto Rico's nonvoting
> representative to Congress, were traveling to Washington today
> and
> were unavailable for comment. Both officials oppose resuming
> exercises
> on Vieques and are to appear on Tuesday before a Congressional
> committee holding hearings on the range.
>
> Carlos Ventura, president of the Fishermen's Association of
> Southern
> Vieques, which has set up a protest camp on the range, told The
> Associated Press: "For us, it is unacceptable that the Navy
> start
> exercises
> again. We are going to stay there and continue our civil
> disobedience."
>
> The panel's recommendation was immediately criticized by
Hillary
> Rodham Clinton, a presumed candidate for senator from New York.
> She
> endorsed the position of most Puerto Rican politicians in New
> York,
> who have promised to make the closing of Vieques an issue in
> next
> year's
> Presidential and Senate elections.
>
> "There should be an immediate and permanent end to the
bombing,"
> Mrs.
> Clinton said Monday. "The use of live fire on the island has
put
> the
> people of Vieques at risk, degraded the environment and
hampered
> economic development."
>
> Last month Mrs. Clinton angered several Hispanic leaders in New

> York
> when she urged the President to withdraw his offer of clemency
> to
> members of a Puerto Rican terrorist organization. And in
> Monday's
> move, she was more in step with the Democratic Party
> establishment.
>
> In a private conversation over the weekend, Vice President Al
> Gore told
> State Senator Olga Mendez, a Democrat from Manhattan, that he
> also
> favored shutting down the range, said an official with Gore's
> Presidential
> campaign. But a spokesman for Gore would say only that the Vice
> President was working for "a resolution to this important
> issue."
>
>
> Senator Charles E. Schumer, Democrat of New York, said Monday
> that
> he, too, favored "the immediate cessation of all military
> activity on the
> island."
>
> The Defense Department says that despite months of searching,
it
> has
> found no replacement for the range, which the Secretary of the
> Navy has
> called "the only suitable training site."
>
> "If the United States is to maintain military forces, it owes
> its
> sailors,
> marines, soldiers and airmen the best possible training," the
> Navy
> Secretary, Richard Danzig, said Monday.
>
> Secretary Danzig asked Congress to endorse the findings of the
> four-member panel, which was headed by Francis Rush, principal
> deputy
> assistant secretary of defense for force management policy.
> After
> a
> Congressional recommendation on the range, President Clinton
> will
> make
> a final decision.
>
> The United States Government owns nearly two-thirds of the
> 33,000-acre island of Vieques. Because the island is far from
> the
> major
> commercial shipping and air routes, the Navy and Marines have
> mounted
> exercises with live ammunition for amphibious assaults, aerial
> bombing
> and aircraft carrier-based attacks.
>

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

> Pentagon officials said that as the only range for such
> exercises
> on the
> East Coast, Vieques had been critical for training for every
war
> since
> World War II.
>
> In June President Clinton appointed the panel to resolve the
> competing
> demands of the American military and the Puerto Rican
> government.
>
>
> But the President tipped his own hand in a private letter to
his
> national
> security adviser, Samuel R. Berger, written on July 26, a White
> House
> official said. In it, the official said, the President said he
> agreed with
> Ruben Berrios-Martinez, a Puerto Rican friend of the President,
> who
> said that Vieques was being treated like "a colonial
> commonwealth."
>
> "This is wrong," the President wrote. "I think they don't want
> us
> there.
> That's the main point. The Navy can find a way to work around
> it."
>
> In its unanimous recommendation, the panel said it recognized
> the
> need
> to hold the training but said the military should substantially
> reduce the
> number of days it holds live-fire operations and cut back by
> half
> the
> amount of ammunition used.
>
> Lee H. Hamilton, head of the Woodrow Wilson International
Center
> for
> Scholars, was a member of the panel, along with a retired
Marine
> Corps
> general, Richard Neal, and a retired Navy vice admiral, Diego
> Hernandez, a former commander of the Navy's Third Fleet.
>
> In nearly two months of testimony and travel to Puerto Rico,
> said
> Hamilton, a former Democratic Representative from Indiana, the
> panel
> came to the conclusion that "the Puerto Rican community is very
> incensed
> by this and they all want it stopped immediately."
>

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Paul A. Gaist to Paul A. Gaist at 17:40:24.00. Subject: [none] (1 page)	04/10/1996	P6/b(6)
002. email	Kathryn Allen to David F. Lussier at 21:48:01.00. Subject: Fwd: Re: The Speakers Committee. (2 pages)	09/28/2000	P2, P5
003. email	John Fenzel to David F. Lussier ghez.marissa, david.aronberg, Scott.Berns, and kallen at 16:28:42.00. Subject: Re: Re: The Speakers Committee. (2 pages)	09/28/2000	P2, P5

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Automated Records Management System [Email]
OPD ([General Wesley Clark])
OA/Box Number: 250000

FOLDER TITLE:

[06/16/2000 - 10/13/2000]

2008-0830-F

xx11

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Kathryn Allen <kallen@os.dhhs.gov> (Kathryn Allen <kallen@os.dhhs.gov> [U

CREATION DATE/TIME: 28-SEP-2000 21:48:01.00

SUBJECT: Fwd: Re: The Speakers Committee

TO: David F. Lussier (,CN=David F. Lussier/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
READ: UNKNOWN

TO: ghez.marissa@hq.navy.mil (ghez.marissa@hq.navy.mil [UNKNOWN])
READ: UNKNOWN

TO: Scott.Berns@ost.dot.gov (Scott.Berns@ost.dot.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ: UNKNOWN

TO: jfenzel@opm.gov (jfenzel@opm.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ: UNKNOWN

TO: david.aronberg@do.treas.gov (david.aronberg@do.treas.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ: UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Comments:

To All: Mike's input for the Speakers Committee, kath

- - - - - Original Message - - - - -

To: Kathryn Allen@IOS.IO@OS.DC
From: <Michael_R_Fenzel@nsc.eop.gov>
Date: Thu Sep 28 15:11:25 2000
Attached: Headers.822

Kath,

Thanks for the heads up. Here are some of my thoughts:

*Having the Service Chiefs scheduled (one at a time, on different dates-- followed by the Chairman, JCS).

*Henry Kissinger

*President Carter, President Bush

*Donny Osmond

*George Will

*Stephen Ambrose

*3 or 4 Supreme Court Justices, followed by the Chief Justice (Rehnquist)

*Robert McNamera

*The Surgeon General

*Jesse Jackson

*Admiral Blair

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

*Wesley Clark

*Bill Gates

*Steve Case

*Marie Osmond

*Jack Welch (GE CEO)

OK, I dropped in Donny & Marie to see if I still had your attention! Hope all is well.

Mike

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: "Fenzel, John" <JFENZEL@opm.gov> ("Fenzel, John" <JFENZEL@opm.gov> [UNKN

CREATION DATE/TIME: 28-SEP-2000 16:28:42.00

SUBJECT: RE: Re: The Speakers Committee

TO: David F. Lussier (CN=David F. Lussier/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
READ: UNKNOWN

TO: ghez.marissa@hq.navy.mil (ghez.marissa@hq.navy.mil [UNKNOWN])
READ: UNKNOWN

TO: david.aronberg@do.treas.gov (david.aronberg@do.treas.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ: UNKNOWN

TO: Scott.Berns@ost.dot.gov (Scott.Berns@ost.dot.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ: UNKNOWN

TO: "'kallen@os.dhhs.gov'" <kallen@os.dhhs.gov> ("'kallen@os.dhhs.gov'" <kallen@os.
READ: UNKNOWN

CC: Michael R. Fenzel (CN=Michael R. Fenzel/OU=NSC/O=EOP [NSC])
READ: UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Does anyone need an extra brother?

-----Original Message-----

From: Kathryn Allen [mailto:kallen@os.dhhs.gov]
Sent: Thursday, September 28, 2000 3:40 PM
To: david.aronberg@do.treas.gov; Scott.Berns@ost.dot.gov;
jfenzel@opm.gov; ghez.marissa@hq.navy.mil; dlussier@opd.eop.gov
Subject: Fwd: Re: The Speakers Committee

Comments:

To All: Mike's input for the Speakers Committee, kath

- - - - - Original Message - - - - -

To: Kathryn Allen@IOS.IO@OS.DC
From: <>
Date: Thu Sep 28 15:11:25 2000
Attached: Headers.822

Kath,

Thanks for the heads up. Here are some of my thoughts:

*Having the Service Chiefs scheduled (one at a time, on different dates--
followed by the Chairman, JCS).

*Henry Kissinger

*President Carter, President Bush

*Donny Osmond

*George Will

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

*Stephen Ambrose

*3 or 4 Supreme Court Justices, followed by the Chief Justice (Rehnquist)

*Robert McNamera

*The Surgeon General

*Jesse Jackson

*Admiral Blair

*Wesley Clark

*Bill Gates

*Steve Case

*Marie Osmond

*Jack Welch (GE CEO)

OK, I dropped in Donny & Marie to see if I still had your attention! Hope all is well.

Mike

-- Even though this E-Mail has been scanned and found clean of
-- known viruses, OPM can not guarantee this message is virus free.

-- This message was automatically generated.

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Janet M. Philips to Ellen McCathran, re guest list (1 page)	10/28/1993	P6/b(6)
002. email	Mail Link Monitor to Janice A. Enright at 18:17:28.06. Subject: Pager Confirmation - Valdez, Suzanna A. (1 page)	04/17/1996	P5
003. email	uucp@whitehouse.gov to binns_m and horn_s, re scanner results [partial] (1 page)	09/24/1997	P6/b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Automated Records Management System
WHO ([General Wesley Clark])
OA/Box Number: 500000

FOLDER TITLE:

[10/28/1993 - 11/03/1997]

2006-0325-F
wr10677

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (PAGER)

CREATOR: Mail Link Monitor (MAILMGT) (SYS)

CREATION DATE/TIME:17-APR-1996 18:17:28.06

SUBJECT: PAGER CONFIRMATION - VALDEZ, SUZANNA A.

TO: Janice A. Enright (ENRIGHT_J) (WHO)

READ:NOT READ

TEXT:

PAGE FOR VALDEZ, SUZANNA A., WAS TRANSMITTED 17-APR-1996 18:09:33.35

TEXT TRANSMITTED WAS:

CALL ME ABOUT WES CLARK AND SOUTHERN COMMAND NOT GOING TO HISPANIC. J 6 1911

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Ronald L. Wright to CAMOPS et al., re POTUSKED for 12/22 [partial] (1 page)	12/22/1997	P6/b(6)
002. email	Jeffrey L. Farrow to Dobbins_J and Desouza_P at 18:03:19.00. Subject: Army Southern Command in Puerto Rico. (1 page)	01/27/1998	P5
003. email	Laura A. Graham to Tomas D. Janenda, re CINCs Vetting [partial] (1 page)	01/28/1998	P6/b(6)
004. email	Peter Rundlet to Brad Carson at 21:10:27.00. Subject: Re: memo... (4 pages)	06/17/1998	P5, P6/b(6)
005. email	Amy Alving to Sean E. O'Connor [et.al.] at 23:30:42.00. Subject: take 3... (2 pages)	06/17/1998	P5
006. email	Kelly K. Terrence to Sean E. O'Connor [et.al.] at 08:54:15.00. Subject: Re: take 3... (4 pages)	06/18/1998	P5

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Automated Records Management System
WHO ([General Wesley Clark])
OA/Box Number: 500000

FOLDER TITLE:

[11/03/1997 - 06/18/1998]

Whitney Ross
2006-0325-F
wr10678

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jeffrey L. Farrow (CN=Jeffrey L. Farrow/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 27-JAN-1998 18:03:19.00

SUBJECT: Army Southern Command in Puerto Rico

TO: DOBBINS_J (DOBBINS_J @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (NSC)

READ: UNKNOWN

DESOUZA_P (DESOUZA_P @ A1@CD@LNGTWY @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (NSC)

READ: UNKNOWN

TEXT:

DoD DepSec has asked for a re-evaluation by 3/1 of the announced decision to move the Army's Southern Command to Puerto Rico when it leaves Panama.

We went to some effort to work the decision in Puerto Rico (mollifying the Independence Party's strong concerns with statements about this not limiting Puerto Rico's opportunity for independence or a sovereign Puerto Rico's rights) with SouthCom Gen. Clark. We succeeded publicly, especially because of the economic contributions that it would make to P.R., although the independentistas are still nominally against the move.

Reversing the decision would be misunderstood in P.R. and a great disappointment to its officials.

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Peter Rundlet (CN=Peter Rundlet/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 17-JUN-1998 21:10:27.00

SUBJECT: RE: memo...

TO: "Carson, Brad , , OSD ("Carson, Brad , , OSD/P&R" <CarsonB @ PR.OSD.MIL> [UNKN
READ: UNKNOWN

CC: Sean E. O'Connor (CN=Sean E. O'Connor/OU=OMB/O=EOP @ EOP [OMB])
READ: UNKNOWN

CC: lois_scott_at_pobox12 (lois_scott_at_pobox12 @ imail.exim.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ: UNKNOWN

CC: jprieto (jprieto @ os.dhhs.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ: UNKNOWN

CC: jennings_j (jennings_j @ al.eop.gov [UNKNOWN]) (WHO)
READ: UNKNOWN

CC: gupta_s (gupta_s @ al.eop.gov [UNKNOWN]) (WHO)
READ: UNKNOWN

CC: Clifford A. Skelton (CN=Clifford A. Skelton/OU=ONDCP/O=EOP @ EOP [ONDCP])
READ: UNKNOWN

CC: carson.brad (carson.brad @ osd.pentagon.mil [UNKNOWN])
READ: UNKNOWN

CC: matice.wright (matice.wright @ treas.sprint.com [UNKNOWN])
READ: UNKNOWN

CC: kellytk (kellytk @ hqda.army.mil [UNKNOWN])
READ: UNKNOWN

CC: john_c._burchett (john_c._burchett @ hud.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ: UNKNOWN

CC: jamietmetzl (jamietmetzl @ aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ: UNKNOWN

CC: fjames (fjames @ ustr.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ: UNKNOWN

CC: cdorsey (cdorsey @ dol.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ: UNKNOWN

CC: "'aalving@doc.gov'" <aalving ("'aalving@doc.gov'" <aalving @ doc.gov> [UNKNOWN
READ: UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Dear Fellows,

I also agree with Brad. I had the same initial thoughts when I read an earlier draft, but, because I was not present at your discussion, hesitated to say anything about it, since I assumed that there was consensus on the approach. I think the specific "criticism" referred to

is insufficiently constructive, disregards the decisionmaking power the "Program Director" actually has and should have, and is a little nitpicky and harsh.

I think it is fair to say something like, "we agreed that prior consultation about significant decisions in trip planning (e.g., cancellation of Incirlik stop) should be made with the entire class in those cases where prior consultation is possible. In cases where prior consultation is not possible, some explanation of why the decision was made should be shared with the Fellows." I offer the second sentence because it may be that a quick decision was required, and because there are important considerations (for our class or the WHF program in general) that Jackie had to consider that we may not have been aware of (e.g., related to the fact that Gen. Clark is a Commissioner).

I think that the tone of most of the letter is very constructive -- with lots of dead-on suggestions -- without personalizing the criticism and pointing the finger at any individual failures. My belief is that, although real failures may have occurred, we are best served with the constructive approach that characterizes most of the otherwise well-crafted letter.

Peter

"Carson, Brad , , OSD/P&R" <CarsonB@PR.OSD.MIL>
06/17/98 05:14:43 PM

Record Type: Record

To: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message

CC:

Subject: RE: memo...

(b)(6)

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Amy Alving [SMTP:AAlving@doc.gov]
> Sent: Wednesday, June 17, 1998 4:36 PM
> To: carson.brad@osd.pentagon.mil; cdorsey@dol.gov;
> Clifford A. Skelton@oa.eop.gov; fjames@ustr.gov; gupta_s@a1.eop.gov;
> JamieMetz1@aol.com; jennings_j@a1.eop.gov; John_C. Burchett@hud.gov;
> jprieto@os.dhhs.gov; KellyTK@hqda.army.mil;
> lois_scott_at_pobox12@imail.exim.gov; matice.wright@treas.sprint.com;
> Peter_Rundlet@oa.eop.gov; sean_e_o'connor@oa.eop.gov
> Subject: memo...
>
> Dear fellow Fellows,
> A number of people have submitted comments to Sanjay on the memo
> to
> Jackie. As the new business chair, I guess I should coordinate this
> now.
> The memo has been revised to try to simultaneously account for
> the
> many opinions it represents, and I think it covers the middle ground
> of
> comments we have heard. Also, there is a cover letter to go with it.
> Both
> are attached to this email. Please take a look at them at your
> earliest
> convenience and let me know if you have a violent objection.
> Otherwise we
> will send them in to Jackie in the near future so she has time to use
> the
> suggestions.
> Thanks.
>
> Amy
> << File: discsu-2.doc >> << File: discsu-3.doc >>

Message Sent

To:
"AAlving@doc.gov" <AAlving @ doc.gov>
carson.brad @ osd.pentagon.mil
cdorsey @ dol.gov
Clifford A. Skelton/ONDCP/EOP
fjames @ ustr.gov
gupta_s @ a1.eop.gov
JamieMetz1 @ aol.com
jennings_j @ a1.eop.gov
John_C. Burchett @ hud.gov
jprieto @ os.dhhs.gov
KellyTK @ hqda.army.mil
lois_scott_at_pobox12 @ imail.exim.gov
matice.wright @ treas.sprint.com
Peter Rundlet/WHO/EOP
Sean E. O'Connor/OMB/EOP

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Amy Alving <AAlving@doc.gov> (Amy Alving <AAlving@doc.gov> [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 17-JUN-1998 23:30:42.00

SUBJECT: take 3...

TO: Sean E. O'Connor (CN=Sean E. O'Connor/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
READ: UNKNOWN

TO: Peter Rundlet (CN=Peter Rundlet/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ: UNKNOWN

TO: lois_scott_at_pobox12@imail.exim.gov (lois_scott_at_pobox12@imail.exim.gov [UN
READ: UNKNOWN

TO: jprieto@os.dhhs.gov (jprieto@os.dhhs.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ: UNKNOWN

TO: jennings_j@al.eop.gov (jennings_j@al.eop.gov [UNKNOWN]) (WHO)
READ: UNKNOWN

TO: gupta_s@al.eop.gov (gupta_s@al.eop.gov [UNKNOWN]) (WHO)
READ: UNKNOWN

TO: Clifford A. Skelton (CN=Clifford A. Skelton/OU=ONDCP/O=EOP [ONDCP])
READ: UNKNOWN

TO: cdorsey@dol.gov (cdorsey@dol.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ: UNKNOWN

TO: matice.wright@treas.sprint.com (matice.wright@treas.sprint.com [UNKNOWN])
READ: UNKNOWN

TO: KellyTK@hqda.army.mil (KellyTK@hqda.army.mil [UNKNOWN])
READ: UNKNOWN

TO: John_C._Burchett@hud.gov (John_C._Burchett@hud.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ: UNKNOWN

TO: JamieMetz1@aol.com (JamieMetz1@aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ: UNKNOWN

TO: fjames@ustr.gov (fjames@ustr.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ: UNKNOWN

TO: carson.brad@osd.pentagon.mil (carson.brad@osd.pentagon.mil [UNKNOWN])
READ: UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Dear Fellows,

Several people commented on the last paragraph of the trip-planning sections; most comments were sent to the whole class, so you've seen them. There had been a couple of comments on the previous draft so it had been toned down, for instance by removing the original reference someone had made about "personal biases" and so on. However, it seems the changes were not sufficient. The general flavor of the current comments is that they are too personal (her son, etc), too harsh, and not constructive/pointless.

Several people offered re-write suggestions, and after thinking about

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

the response and the original intent, I offer one below. During the dinner,

this was a topic of much discussion -- comments were coming so fast it was hard to write them down. My notes say things like "DON'T reschedule dates...pattern of personal desires outweighing class'...resentment when things are canceled for personal reasons...Jackie had personal bias against Cedars (affecting our participation) and the retreat (which never happened)...sometimes it is necessary for her to make a decision, but where is the line drawn?" As for Brad's question of what we are trying to do here, my understanding is the same thing as in the rest of the memo -- to let Jackie know what she, in addition to the rest of the staff, can do to improve the program. Judging from the reaction at dinner, it would be a good thing if she can avoid making decisions in a way that generates so much flak. It's important not to be undiplomatic, but writing something too vague and unspecific doesn't seem helpful either. With that said, let's see if this comes closer to navigating between those two extremes. (The part about Incirlik didn't generate comments even from Brad ... :) ... so it's unchanged.)

It's late and I'm going home. See you all tomorrow at Mary's event, I hope.

Amy

Finally, there was concern expressed over some decisions made by the Director. We understand that at times a decision about travel must be made "at the top" rather than by the Fellows. However, in such cases good communication is very important. Fellows expressed frustration that, after we had put much time and effort into carefully planning a trip, the Director sometimes made decisions to change important aspects without discussing the impact on the trip and without explanation. Two examples illustrate this point. On our Seattle trip we had arranged for meetings with the Mayor and the Governor, but the Director changed the trip timing and this resulted in our visit taking place when both of these elected leaders were out of town. Similarly, the sudden decision she made to cancel the trip to Incirlik Air Force Base in Turkey -- in order to accommodate GEN Clark's schedule -- was not based on a full understanding of the options available at that point; she should have consulted with the people who had spent several months carefully planning the trip before making a decision about how to change the schedule. In such cases it is important to get input from the class before making the decision, and to communicate its reason afterwards. Better communication will help ensure the best possible decisions are made about trips. (Then continue with the bullet.)

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: "Kelly, Terrence K., MAJ, OCLL" <KellyTK@hqda.army.mil> ("Kelly, Terrence

CREATION DATE/TIME: 18-JUN-1998 08:54:15.00

SUBJECT: RE: take 3...

TO: Sean E. O'Connor (CN=Sean E. O'Connor/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
READ: UNKNOWN

TO: Peter Rundlet (CN=Peter Rundlet/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ: UNKNOWN

TO: matice.wright@treas.sprint.com (matice.wright@treas.sprint.com [UNKNOWN])
READ: UNKNOWN

TO: jprieto@os.dhhs.gov (jprieto@os.dhhs.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ: UNKNOWN

TO: jennings_j@al.eop.gov (jennings_j@al.eop.gov [UNKNOWN]) (WHO)
READ: UNKNOWN

TO: gupta_s@al.eop.gov (gupta_s@al.eop.gov [UNKNOWN]) (WHO)
READ: UNKNOWN

TO: Clifford A. Skelton (CN=Clifford A. Skelton/OU=ONDCP/O=EOP [ONDCP])
READ: UNKNOWN

TO: cdorsey@dol.gov (cdorsey@dol.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ: UNKNOWN

TO: AAlving@doc.gov (AAlving@doc.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ: UNKNOWN

TO: lois_scott_at_pobox12@imail.exim.gov (lois_scott_at_pobox12@imail.exim.gov [UN
READ: UNKNOWN

TO: John_C._Burchett@hud.gov (John_C._Burchett@hud.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ: UNKNOWN

TO: JamieMetz@aol.com (JamieMetz@aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ: UNKNOWN

TO: fjames@ustr.gov (fjames@ustr.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ: UNKNOWN

TO: "Carson, Brad, CIV, OSD" <Carson.Brad@osd.pentagon.mil> ("Carson, Brad, CIV, OS
READ: UNKNOWN

TEXT:
Amy,

I, too, want to thank you for all the work, and apologize for joining the discussion late -- my email has been acting up lately, and I just received the email traffic on the letter this morning (which I thought had been finalized and delivered while I was gone the other week).

I must adamantly agree with Brad, Francis and Peter. This 3rd draft still has a personal flavor which, I am sure, will offend Jackie (not to mention

piss her off). It is much better to offer suggestions for improvement rather than to pick on unfortunate instances and failures. As several good suggestions have been given on language, I will not offer any myself.

Also,

as I recall the conversation at the dinner, no one had a problem with the fact that Jackie rescheduled the Seattle trip and canceled the Incirlik stop, only that she did so without consulting us, or in the case of Incirlik

in an overly brusque manner. I specifically recall saying that I would certainly have agreed to moving the Seattle trip if she had consulted us, but was upset at her for not doing so -- I thought there was general agreement on that point, but maybe I was wrong. Finally, she is, after all,

the director and can set this up any darn way she pleases, and consult with us or not as she chooses.

I hope that the letter will not go forward with a personal flavor. If it does, I will have no other choice but to nonconcur with it and let Jackie know that I do. Also, the cover letter says, in effect, that this is the middle ground in a spectrum of opinion. I do not think that is the answer --

it should be a consensus. If someone, or some group of us has a strong opinion that is not agreed upon and therefore not in the letter, they should

let Jackie know in some other forum.

I hope this helps. Once again, sorry to be so late in joining this conversation.

TK

Terrence K. Kelly, Ph.D.

White House Fellow

Office of the Under Secretary for Global Affairs

U.S. State Department

(202) 647-6349

> -----

> From: Amy Alving[SMTP:AAAlving@doc.gov]

> Sent: Wednesday, June 17, 1998 8:10 PM

> To: Carson, Brad, CIV, OSD; cdorsey@dol.gov;

> Clifford_A_Skelton@oa.eop.gov; fjames@ustr.gov; gupta_s@a1.eop.gov;

> JamieMetz1@aol.com; jennings_j@a1.eop.gov; John_C_Burchett@hud.gov;

> jprieto@os.dhhs.gov; Kelly, Terrence K., MAJ, OCLL;

> lois_scott_at_pobox12@imail.exim.gov; matice.wright@treas.sprint.com;

> Peter_Rundlet@oa.eop.gov; sean_e_o'connor@oa.eop.gov

> Subject: take 3...

>

> Dear Fellows,

> Several people commented on the last paragraph of the trip-planning sections; most comments were sent to the whole class, so you've seen them.

>

> There had been a couple of comments on the previous draft so it had been toned down, for instance by removing the original reference someone had made about "personal biases" and so on. However, it seems the changes were

> not sufficient. The general flavor of the current comments is that they are too personal (her son, etc), too harsh, and not constructive/pointless.

> Several people offered re-write suggestions, and after thinking
about
>
> the response and the original intent, I offer one below. During the
> dinner,
> this was a topic of much discussion -- comments were coming so fast it
> was
> hard to write them down. My notes say things like "DON'T reschedule
> dates...pattern of personal desires outweighing class"...resentment when
> things are canceled for personal reasons...Jackie had personal bias
> against
> Cedars (affecting our participation) and the retreat (which never
> happened)...sometimes it is necessary for her to make a decision, but
> where
> is the line drawn?" As for Brad's question of what we are trying to do
> here, my understanding is the same thing as in the rest of the memo -- to
> let Jackie know what she, in addition to the rest of the staff, can do to
> improve the program. Judging from the reaction at dinner, it would be a
> good thing if she can avoid making decisions in a way that generates so
> much flak. It's important not to be undiplomatic, but writing something
> too vague and unspecific doesn't seem helpful either. With that said,
> let's see if this comes closer to navigating between those two extremes.
> (The part about Incirlik didn't generate comments even from Brad ... :)
> ...
> so it's unchanged.)
> It's late and I'm going home. See you all tomorrow at Mary's event,
> I
> hope.
>
> Amy
>
> *****
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Finally, there was concern expressed over some decisions made by the
> Director. We understand that at times a decision about travel must be
> made
> "at the top" rather than by the Fellows. However, in such cases good
> communication is very important. Fellows expressed frustration that,
> after
> we had put much time and effort into carefully planning a trip, the
> Director sometimes made decisions to change important aspects without
> discussing the impact on the trip and without explanation. Two examples
> illustrate this point. On our Seattle trip we had arranged for meetings
> with the Mayor and the Governor, but the Director changed the trip timing
> and this resulted in our visit taking place when both of these elected
> leaders were out of town. Similarly, the sudden decision she made to
> cancel the trip to Incirlik Air Force Base in Turkey -- in order to
> accommodate GEN Clark's schedule -- was not based on a full understanding
> of the options available at that point; she should have consulted with
the
>
> people who had spent several months carefully planning the trip before
> making a decision about how to change the schedule. In such cases it is
> important to get input from the class before making the decision, and to
> communicate its reason afterwards. Better communication will help ensure

> the best possible decisions are made about trips.
> (Then continue with the bullet.)
>

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Anne Edwards to Megan C. Moloney at 18:22:11.00. Subject: To Meg and Jen. [partial] (9 pages)	06/06/1999	P5, P6/b(6)
002. email	Peter Baird to Sean P. Maloney, re trip [partial] (1 page)	06/07/1999	P6/b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Automated Records Management System
WHO ([General Wesley Clark])
OA/Box Number: 500000

FOLDER TITLE:

[05/28/1999 - 06/10/1999]

Whitney Ross
2006-0325-F
wr10680

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Anne Edwards <anneedwards@excite.com> (Anne Edwards <anneedwards@excite.co

CREATION DATE/TIME: 6-JUN-1999 18:22:11.00

SUBJECT: To Meg and Jen.

TO: Megan C. Moloney (CN=Megan C. Moloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jennifer M. Palmieri (CN=Jennifer M. Palmieri/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

TO JEN AND MEG: This note started as a response to Meg's mail to me. I began addressing issues Jen needs to know, too, so it just morphed into a double e-mail. The part for Meg is at top.

Please forgive lack of proper memo writing but everything is a crisis in this country, everything is strenuous. I have no place to work: I borrow computers on the weekend, and desk space as people go to lunch. And that is the kind of thing this embassy can not fix quickly: This is Albania. You don't even want to know a shard about the nasty Internet politics here. (Info is power...)

FOR MEG:

Hey, Meggie. What a nice surprise. Finding an e-mail like that can make ya feel kinda human again.

Very hot afternoon, as they all are, here in very dusty Tirana. The people are good but complicated. Everything here is complicated, everything has layers and layers of 'meanings' and histories and vendettas. And, even if it is simple, and DOESN'T have a meaning, people think there is some hidden meaning. Nothing here, said one to me, is black and white; there are always three shades of grey.

Gangs and gangwars quite the sport here. Albania has hundreds and hundreds of years of history based on clans - the only local "law" - and they all have elephant-capacity memory. Some gangs are industrial-strength, and drive smoked-glass Mercedes and wear all the right designer clothes; others are local to a few blocks, and quite territorial. Everyone has guns. Guns are absolutely everywhere. When Albania went through the anarchy in 1997 of the collapse of the pyramid

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

schemes, there were also raids on munitions dumps, and a half-million Kalishnikovs disappeared into this country...somewhere. So there's lots of shooting at night. You can hear now and then. Sure, there are families on the dusty streets during the days.. walking and talking with kids with ice cream, but, everyone vanishes, vanishes to a total ghost town, by 10:00 PM. There is a sort of an unofficial "truce" afoot with the gangs, since NATO came, and NATO knows it, too, though no one ever said it aloud: Since NATO came, a 'deal' seems simply to have evolved, for practical reasons, that the mobs will lay off until 10:00 PM (and this is 'new' for life in Tirana) but, anyone on the streets after 10:00 PM, is simply...fair game.

We ('official' Americans) are living under the very constraining discipline of a 'critical threat' post, which has been the case here since the embassies in Africa were bombed in August. Our movements are strictly limited and guarded. Sandbags and blastwalls are piled thick and topped off with mounds of lacy razor wire. There are guards everywhere - theirs, ours and God-knows-whose, openly strolling with hard vests and nasty large response weapons. We cannot travel anywhere unless in a "hard-car", also accompanied by guards. We cannot go for a walk; we cannot run to the store. Plans are made carefully and in groups. They're HOPING to revise this slightly -- and let, at least, let us walk across the street during broad daylight, on, of course, only certain streets. Hope so. We are prisoners of our offices, hotel rooms and hard cars. I can go to appointments, and restaurants, but everything has to be 'planned' and co-ordinated and guarded.

I've done a lot of "hard-car" hard-time before. That's the way we existed in Manila, and Tuzla, and Sarajevo, and Gaza, and Caracas, and everywhere. I have been doing this since the days (20 years ago, you youngsters) of the Red Brigade and Bader Meinhof. So it's quite familiar. I'm uncharacteristically co-operative - - because I GET IT about the bad-guy stuff. But, it's still hard.

TO BOTH OF YOU: The above? Tom (my husband) is NOT to have this pointed out to him. He's worried enough. Thanks.

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

WORK ORIENTED: [Here's where the e-mail started to morph into a note for Jen, too:]

Been working very very very hard. Came in with intention, and mission, of getting press to do more stories on human side of crisis, since that is the reason we're doing this. Realised immediately we needed to tighten contact and co-operation among NATO, and the two U.S. mil units in country, and with the U.S. embassy, to be productive.

But - that halted immediately when we saw with shock how appallingly under-resourced and under-staffed all of those public info offices were. All for different reasons. But all: appallingly. At total ground zero. No phones. No wheels. No press lists. No professional support staff. Little or ZERO press and media experience. NUTHIN. No contact with each other. No hard-wired contact with the Albanians, which means, also, the Kosovars. A lot of critical missionary work needed on all public affairs fronts, from homefront in U.S., to upset residents of tents here.

Have spent, to exhaustion, first 10 days, struggling with them, and for them, to get into country what EACH needs: For NATO, for U.S. Embassy, for each of US Mil units; by hook and crook, staffing up.

I parcel everyday between working with
1) AFOR ("Albania Force") Public Info Ofcr, a tremendous British Lieut Col, and we think hard together and spin off and see people, and then collude again;

2) Embassy - which is severely crippled and engaging me hard (leaning on me hard) as we solve problems, even admin, handle crisis projects and their press and Public Affairs aspects, so it works right for us;

3) US Mil units: Their public affairs officers are quite quite good, but couldn't get going since so crippled by lack of support from Pentagon. Been figuring ways through problems for them; figured out some phone access; things to do like how to do hometown interviews which we all knew needed to be done.

4) Albania: We need Albania working with us and we are working with them so they are comfortable working with us. This gets critical PR reach, on behalf both of US and NATO, to the...

5) ...Kosovars, with whom we are also doing a lot.

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

6) US Press in country.

US PRESS now pouring back into country.
Hoping for a real war; deflated to think
it all might happen in Skopje.

Reality: This story will surge twice,
and we are getting NATO ready for that
and U.S. ready for that: It will surge:

- A) When troops cross the border at
Macedonia, and secure Kosovo and
- B) The shift back to here, when the
hundreds of thousands of refugees
go back to Kosovo from HERE.

WHAT DOING: Slowly - too slowly -
staffing up NATO Press Office and
USIS. Not only having to assign tasks,
but teach them how each is done.
Everything from clips to media
monitoring. Just NOT DONE here.

NATO: Sent pretty blunt memo to London
and Brussels today - - at their request
and with their blessing - - spelling out
what needs to happen to accelerate the
PIO buildup. (JEN: The memo was all
about NATO, but I shall hard-copy you
on Monday.)

We all here, in these places, know that
firm order must be given to military,
US and NATO, to start attaching troops
to units. Working hard with NATO on
what must happen. Same order needs
to come from the Pentagon. More on
this later, verbally.

[I am QUITE hamstrung trying to
talk openly to you, when I only have
open e-mail with matters like this,
and open cell phones and open
land lines, and it is cramping.]

MILITARY:

I need one contact in the US chain
of command, at EUCOM in Europe, who
can respond quickly to things we need
here with and for US military. Will
contact Jen about this separately.
This is already being worked through
General Clark's office for me at Mons,
but I shall need Washington help.

[UPDATE: Jen I started writing this before
I called you Sunday. This is a post
phone call insertion.] USIS officer
from Washington, Jim Bigart, who is
quite good and knows how to do things,
is telling me this afternoon the logjam
is broken for Tirana. Things will start

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

happening. All we need to do is ask and everything for Tirana is now 'urgent'. I am showing PAO Bill Cook here, who is good guy but NOT an 'urgent' kind of guy, and NOT a press-oriented guy, and not a proactive message-manager guy, I am telling him step by step what he needs to ask for, what people we need, what tasks we need to do.



WE'VE FOUND AMERICAN PRESS: Word is out that I'm in town and they are finding me. I've been calling also to DC and NYC offices of the 'majors' to find out who they have in Albania, and how to reach them, or to leave messages. Starting to work, and pick up steam.

Did briefing for US press with our ambassador here last night. Total background. They played by rules, and loved it. We needed to get their heads straight before they bolted out of town into wilds of Albania. This town full of rumours and deliberate disinformation. Ambassador has been on line, by my arrangement, with Leavy or Hammer directly any time he (Amb.) might get near press. Very sensitive here and that is necessary. Also, this embassy TOTALLY disconnected and gets no info: One TV set; only gets CNN sometimes; internet constantly crashes (that's totally Albanian nasty power-play politics) but we often don't have a clue. Everyone here, press and feds and military, all help each other, trading every shard of info they hear. It is really tough here.

Even with all that, we're starting:

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

INTERVIEWS BACK TO LOCAL U.S.:

About ready, on a damned shoestring, probably within 48-72 hours, to start generating stories about good good people, Americans, volunteering in this country with the right attitude, whether military or doing the work, in the camps. I've been identifying the "good ones"; so has the military.

Among Jeff's recommendations were getting local press over here; he's right, but still hard to do because Albania is just HARD to do anything.

BUT we can start doing this by phone, reporters in U.S., in comfort of their air-conditioned offices, can talk by phone with US workers and military here.

Even though the phones don't work much if at all, we have connived ways to work with that.

I am identifying the people (good ones). I have made a 'system' for contacting the papers, from here, starting small, in a few days, then growing.

Schedule: We can make the contacts each afternoon here, when the U.S. "wakes up", to set 'phone appointments' with the good Americans and their hometown paper or radio, for the following day or so. Phone 'appts' are necessary. Nobody has phones in field.

STAFFING: Finally, after 11 days, will get first USIS PIO in here, even for a short stay. His time will be split between our critical hook to NATO Press Office here and embassy for U.S. purposes.

ALSO: Got Embassy to do local hire, short term contract, for crummy money, on energetic young woman who used to be the Natl Dem Inst rep here in Albania. Has right personality to assist, as supervised, in press offices, to make those local calls. She will need heavy supervision, and will have it.

At least it's something. She has a PULSE and she's here. ERIN SABERI. If she calls you all for help (like needing a phone number of a local paper), that's who this is. USIA blessing and assisting with this short term hire.

JEN: Still need that second USIS officer. I'm told it's in pipeline.

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

YIPPEE!!! Getting USIS/Vienna to buy the satellite phones and get 'em to Tirana. Just two, for starters.

I'm getting then in here within about five days, which will FINALLY give us some freedom, still limited, but freedom. Will allow us to do RADIO interviews with folks here, to back home.

Not only getting them for US Embassy, but also organizing rapid purchase for NATO/Albania so they aren't crippled anymore either, and our US Mil units.

So - yeah - been doing a lot.

Oh: ADVANCE SCHOOL

I used the Elie Wiesel trip to show whole embassy really/really how to do it: How to do it at presidential efficiency level, both in terms of time and product, not just 'float' through a day wasting time. How to schedule, what to schedule, how to prepare a site, select participants, (and all without ruining natural look) how not to waste time of principal, how to pre-interview, do a press schedule, liveshots, how to get rid of strap-hangers (including our own), how to do a clean shot, how to get media to come...WHEW. The embassy folks were GREAT. Coupla natural advance people here. I'm takin' names.

Ambassador and I having fun doing big one/two punch. He's good. I'm an 'expendable political hack', which is how I introduce myself, and how I think of myself.

JEN PLEASE DO THESE THINGS:

1. Please follow with USIS on urgency of material and people, though logjam seems maybe broken. Best contact is JIM BIGART at 202-647-6988; he is in charge of all admin (people and money) for all of USIS/Europe and he gets it, and he is now involved and our champion. (More to it than this but I shall tell you at another time; it doesn't matter now.)

2. PLEASE ADVISE: As we start doing interviews with Americans back to local papers and radio, please advise whether there are any districts or markets you would have us target? We can try to find someone from those places here or we can accelerate someone whose name we have now.

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

3. JEN: Might need you to contact Pentagon for help here. PJ knows about this and Ken Bacon is even waiting for logjam to break. Might be able to break it today by phone at Mons (Belgium); if not, I'll come back for help.

4. JEN: SecDef might need to get involved so it is clear - crystal clear - that US press get properly attached to US mil units. The press here are all seasoned and know how to do this, better than some of the younger troops do. But US military needs to get the command to DO IT. This is matter of hours, not days. Also trying to unstick this through General Clark at Mons. This is a big deal; it is the ballgame, and it is NOT a done deal, no matter what anyone back there thinks. I shall let you know fast if I need you.

5. Tell me I still have a job back there, and tell me you didn't send me to this difficult and impossible place like a practical joke. I'm jealous of them over in Skopje: They have all the military and support, and they have phones that work and they probably have bathtubs.

Internet takes forever even to type.
Everything slow here.

These people deserve better. Some crooks sucked up all Albania's money and put it Swiss banks and these people ride horsecarts through downtownstreets which crumble as you drive. Even the professionals in town, with jobs, don't have phones at home, and maybe not plumbing.

Even the press are transformed this time.
You can't be here and not be changed,
by seeing the best of people and the
worst of people in this intense town.
at this intense time.

Must go. Internet connection will collapse
on me shortly.

With love,
with thanks for all you have done,
Anne

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

PLEASE TREAT THIS AS A PRIVATE NOTE.

Very busy.

Get your free, private email at <http://mail.excite.com/>

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email attachment	Message from POTUS on the presentation of the Legacy of Leadership Award to GEN Wesley K. Clark to be read at the October 1 dinner of the White House Fellows Alumni Association. (2 pages)	n.d.	P5
002a. email	Eileen P. McCaughey to Maureen A. Hudson at 16:42:45.00. Subject: RE: Corocoran Museum Oct.1 Event. (3 pages)	09/23/1999	P5
002b. email attachment	Message from POTUS on the presentation of the Legacy of Leadership Award to GEN Wesley K. Clark to be read at the October 1 dinner of the White House Fellows Alumni Association. (2 pages)	n.d.	P5
003. email attachment	Message from POTUS on the presentation of the Legacy of Leadership Award to GEN Wesley K. Clark to be read at the October 1 dinner of the White House Fellows Alumni Association. (2 pages)	n.d.	P5
004. email	Janet B. Abrams to dabrams@sablaw.com, re r u there? [partial] (1 page)	09/24/1999	P6/b(6)
005. email attachment	Message from POTUS on the presentation of the Legacy of Leadership Award to GEN Wesley K. Clark to be read at the October 1 dinner of the White House Fellows Alumni Association. (2 pages)	n.d.	P5
006. email	Reynaldo Valencia to David A. Aronberg, re [no subject] (3 pages)	10/06/1999	P6/b(6)
007. email	Reynaldo Valencia to David A. Aronberg, re [no subject] (4 pages)	10/20/1999	P6/b(6)
008. email	David A. Aronberg to Reynaldo Valencia, re [no subject] (4 pages)	10/20/1999	P6/b(6)
009. email	sheridan@mail.policy.osd.mil to Paul D. Glastris, re wassup? [partial] (1 page)	11/01/1999	P6/b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Automated Records Management System
WHO ([General Wesley Clark])
OA/Box Number: 500000

FOLDER TITLE:

[09/21/1999 - 11/22/1999]

2006-0325-F

wr10685

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Message from POTUS on the presentation of the Legacy of Leadership Award to GEN Wesley K. Clark to be read at the October 1 dinner of the White House Fellows Alumni Association.

Contact person: Jackie Blumenthal 5-7474 or jblumenthal@whf.gov

Media: C-SPAN may cover the dinner. Other press have been invited but no commitments have been made to date. A camera crew will be filming the event as part of a documentary being made about John Gardner.

Context

The White House Fellows Alumni Association is honoring the visionary founder of the White House Fellowships, John Gardner, at this gala dinner event which caps two days of meetings and seminars. The program also honors the newly appointed 1999-2000 Class of White House Fellows. Nearly 300 alumni and their guests will attend the dinner. Several Cabinet members and other members of the administration will be in attendance. During the program, the Association will present the first-ever Legacy of Leadership award to General Clark.

This award was established to honor one or more former Fellows for remarkable achievement in the spirit of the fellowship. By presenting this award periodically the Association hopes to draw attention to the breadth and depth of contributions to the nation made by former White House Fellows and to highlight the return on the investment made in each person chosen to be a Fellow. Through their outstanding contributions to their professions and communities, alumni of the fellowship program have truly created a legacy of leadership. This award is intended to honor all former Fellows even as it highlights the particular, unique accomplishments of only a few.

The Legacy of Leadership Award is being presented this year to General Wesley K. Clark in recognition of his leadership and service in negotiating the Bosnian Peace Accords at Dayton and as Supreme Allied Commander during NATO operations to keep the peace in Bosnia and to end the conflict in Kosovo.

Specific Information about General Clark

- ◆ White House Fellow, 1975-76
- ◆ Member, President's Commission on White House Fellowships, 1993--present
- ◆ Supreme Allied Commander Europe and Commander-in-Chief, U.S. European Command, 1997-present
- ◆ Commander-in-Chief, U.S. Southern Command, 1996-1997

COPY

Automated Records Management System
Hex-Dump Conversion

General Clark is a 1966 graduate of the United States Military Academy at West Point, where he graduated first in his class. He holds a master's degree in Philosophy, Politics and Economics from Oxford University where he studied as a Rhodes Scholar. General Clark is an Armor Officer who has commanded at every level from Company to Division, including command of a mechanized infantry company in combat in Vietnam and three emergency deployments to Kuwait. He also served as the Director for Strategic Plans and Policy on the Joint Staff in the Pentagon. When he was a White House Fellow, General Clark worked as Special Assistant to the Director of the Office of Management and Budget. General Clark grew up in Little Rock, Arkansas. He is married to the former Gertrude Kingston and they have one son, Wesley, who lives in California. Throughout his career, General Clark has exerted strong leadership founded in a clear vision for the future and a clear record of responsibility for bringing that vision to reality.

Two Important Message Points

1. Even as it focuses on General Clark, the President's message should speak broadly about the vision of John Gardner and the contributions of White House Fellows, both during their service as Fellows and afterwards as they assume leadership roles in their professions and communities.
2. Please note that although the President has a relatively close relationship with General Clark, recent political decisions by the Pentagon regarding Clark may heighten the impact of the President's words about him.

COPY

Automated Records Management System
Hex-Dump Conversion

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Eileen P. McCaughey (CN=Eileen P. McCaughey/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 23-SEP-1999 16:42:45.00

SUBJECT: RE: Corocoran Museum Oct.1 Event

TO: Maureen A. Hudson (CN=Maureen A. Hudson/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ: UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Per our conversation. I received this request from Stephanie Streett's assistant. It's for the White House Fellows Alumni Association Gala dinner on October 1. They're honoring the visionary founder of the WH Fellows and presenting the first-ever Legacy Award to Gen. Clark - see below.

Please note that although the President has a relatively close relationship with General Clark, recent political decisions by the Pentagon regarding Clark may heighten the impact of the President's words about him.

----- Forwarded by Eileen P. McCaughey/WHO/EOP on
09/23/99 04:36 PM -----

Anne Whitworth

09/23/99 02:51:02 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Eileen P. McCaughey/WHO/EOP@EOP

CC:

Subject: RE: Corocoran Museum Oct.1 Event

Eileen-

DO you know how I would go about handling this request? Thanks for your help. Annie

----- Forwarded by Anne Whitworth/WHO/EOP on 09/23/99
02:50 PM -----

Jackie Blumenthal <jblumenthal@whf.gov>

09/22/99 08:38:10 AM

Record Type: Record

To: Anne Whitworth/WHO/EOP

CC:

Subject: RE: Corocoran Museum Oct.1 Event

Anne: Here's a document laying out the context and details pertaining to the message we need from the President. Whoever works on this should feel free to contact me for any help or further info needed. Please let me know if the letter will be produced or not. Thanks, Jackie

COPY

>-----
>From: Anne_Whitworth@who.eop.gov[SMTP:Anne_Whitworth@who.eop.gov]
>Sent: Tuesday, September 21, 1999 4:07 PM
>To: Jackie Blumenthal
>Subject: RE: Corocoran Museum Oct.1 Event
>
><<File: PIC13005.PCX>>
>Jackie- If you want to give me a some more details I can forward it to the
>right person. Thanks.
>
>
>
>
> (Embedded
> image moved Jackie Blumenthal <jblumenthal@whf.gov>
> to file: 09/21/99 02:28:57 PM
> PIC13005.PCX)
>
>
>
>
>Record Type: Record
>
>
>To: Anne Whitworth/WHO/EOP
>
>CC:
>Subject: RE: Corocoran Museum Oct.1 Event
>
>
>
>
>Thanks for getting back to me Anne. Could we get a letter? I think Gen
>Clark would appreciate it and I could read it aloud to the guests (we're
>up to 300 guests so far from all over the country). Can you get that
>moving or what should I do to get a letter done? Who should I give
>particulars (name of award etc.) to? Thanks.
>-----
>>From: Anne_Whitworth@who.eop.gov[SMTP:Anne_Whitworth@who.eop.gov]
>>Sent: Monday, September 13, 1999 5:56 PM
>>To: Jackie Blumenthal
>>Subject: Corocoran Museum Oct.1 Event
>>
>>Jackie-
>>
>>I am Steph's assistant, she has been out of town so I was trying to
>>catch
>>her up on some of her emails. On October 1 the President will be in
>>Nevada
>>and California. Would you like a letter for Gen. Clark or is there
>>something else that we can do? Please let me know.
>>
>>Thanks. Annie Whitworth
>>
>>
>>
>

COPY

>
>
>
>
>
>

- POTUS-message-re-Clark-award-9-99.doc

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS_EXT:[ATTACH.D64]ARMS22668076D.236 to ASCII,
The following is a HEX DUMP:

COPY

Message from POTUS on the presentation of the Legacy of Leadership Award to GEN Wesley K. Clark to be read at the October 1 dinner of the White House Fellows Alumni Association.

Contact person: Jackie Blumenthal 5-7474 or jblumenthal@whf.gov

Media: C-SPAN may cover the dinner. Other press have been invited but no commitments have been made to date. A camera crew will be filming the event as part of a documentary being made about John Gardner.

Context

The White House Fellows Alumni Association is honoring the visionary founder of the White House Fellowships, John Gardner, at this gala dinner event which caps two days of meetings and seminars. The program also honors the newly appointed 1999-2000 Class of White House Fellows. Nearly 300 alumni and their guests will attend the dinner. Several Cabinet members and other members of the administration will be in attendance. During the program, the Association will present the first-ever Legacy of Leadership award to General Clark.

This award was established to honor one or more former Fellows for remarkable achievement in the spirit of the fellowship. By presenting this award periodically the Association hopes to draw attention to the breadth and depth of contributions to the nation made by former White House Fellows and to highlight the return on the investment made in each person chosen to be a Fellow. Through their outstanding contributions to their professions and communities, alumni of the fellowship program have truly created a legacy of leadership. This award is intended to honor all former Fellows even as it highlights the particular, unique accomplishments of only a few.

The Legacy of Leadership Award is being presented this year to General Wesley K. Clark in recognition of his leadership and service in negotiating the Bosnian Peace Accords at Dayton and as Supreme Allied Commander during NATO operations to keep the peace in Bosnia and to end the conflict in Kosovo.

Specific Information about General Clark

- ◆ White House Fellow, 1975-76
- ◆ Member, President's Commission on White House Fellowships, 1993--present
- ◆ Supreme Allied Commander Europe and Commander-in-Chief, U.S. European Command, 1997-present
- ◆ Commander-in-Chief, U.S. Southern Command, 1996-1997

COPY

Automated Records Management System
Hex-Dump Conversion

General Clark is a 1966 graduate of the United States Military Academy at West Point, where he graduated first in his class. He holds a master's degree in Philosophy, Politics and Economics from Oxford University where he studied as a Rhodes Scholar. General Clark is an Armor Officer who has commanded at every level from Company to Division, including command of a mechanized infantry company in combat in Vietnam and three emergency deployments to Kuwait. He also served as the Director for Strategic Plans and Policy on the Joint Staff in the Pentagon. When he was a White House Fellow, General Clark worked as Special Assistant to the Director of the Office of Management and Budget. General Clark grew up in Little Rock, Arkansas. He is married to the former Gertrude Kingston and they have one son, Wesley, who lives in California. Throughout his career, General Clark has exerted strong leadership founded in a clear vision for the future and a clear record of responsibility for bringing that vision to reality.

Two Important Message Points

1. Even as it focuses on General Clark, the President's message should speak broadly about the vision of John Gardner and the contributions of White House Fellows, both during their service as Fellows and afterwards as they assume leadership roles in their professions and communities.
2. Please note that although the President has a relatively close relationship with General Clark, recent political decisions by the Pentagon regarding Clark may heighten the impact of the President's words about him.

Message from POTUS on the presentation of the Legacy of Leadership Award to GEN Wesley K. Clark to be read at the October 1 dinner of the White House Fellows Alumni Association.

Contact person: Jackie Blumenthal 5-7474 or jblumenthal@whf.gov

Media: C-SPAN may cover the dinner. Other press have been invited but no commitments have been made to date. A camera crew will be filming the event as part of a documentary being made about John Gardner.

Context

The White House Fellows Alumni Association is honoring the visionary founder of the White House Fellowships, John Gardner, at this gala dinner event which caps two days of meetings and seminars. The program also honors the newly appointed 1999-2000 Class of White House Fellows. Nearly 300 alumni and their guests will attend the dinner. Several Cabinet members and other members of the administration will be in attendance. During the program, the Association will present the first-ever Legacy of Leadership award to General Clark.

This award was established to honor one or more former Fellows for remarkable achievement in the spirit of the fellowship. By presenting this award periodically the Association hopes to draw attention to the breadth and depth of contributions to the nation made by former White House Fellows and to highlight the return on the investment made in each person chosen to be a Fellow. Through their outstanding contributions to their professions and communities, alumni of the fellowship program have truly created a legacy of leadership. This award is intended to honor all former Fellows even as it highlights the particular, unique accomplishments of only a few.

The Legacy of Leadership Award is being presented this year to General Wesley K. Clark in recognition of his leadership and service in negotiating the Bosnian Peace Accords at Dayton and as Supreme Allied Commander during NATO operations to keep the peace in Bosnia and to end the conflict in Kosovo.

Specific Information about General Clark

- ◆ White House Fellow, 1975-76
- ◆ Member, President's Commission on White House Fellowships, 1993--present
- ◆ Supreme Allied Commander Europe and Commander-in-Chief, U.S. European Command, 1997-present
- ◆ Commander-in-Chief, U.S. Southern Command, 1996-1997

Automated Records Management System

Hex-Dump Conversion

COPY

General Clark is a 1966 graduate of the United States Military Academy at West Point, where he graduated first in his class. He holds a master's degree in Philosophy, Politics and Economics from Oxford University where he studied as a Rhodes Scholar. General Clark is an Armor Officer who has commanded at every level from Company to Division, including command of a mechanized infantry company in combat in Vietnam and three emergency deployments to Kuwait. He also served as the Director for Strategic Plans and Policy on the Joint Staff in the Pentagon. When he was a White House Fellow, General Clark worked as Special Assistant to the Director of the Office of Management and Budget. General Clark grew up in Little Rock, Arkansas. He is married to the former Gertrude Kingston and they have one son, Wesley, who lives in California. Throughout his career, General Clark has exerted strong leadership founded in a clear vision for the future and a clear record of responsibility for bringing that vision to reality.

Two Important Message Points

1. Even as it focuses on General Clark, the President's message should speak broadly about the vision of John Gardner and the contributions of White House Fellows, both during their service as Fellows and afterwards as they assume leadership roles in their professions and communities.
2. Please note that although the President has a relatively close relationship with General Clark, recent political decisions by the Pentagon regarding Clark may heighten the impact of the President's words about him.

Message from POTUS on the presentation of the Legacy of Leadership Award to GEN Wesley K. Clark to be read at the October 1 dinner of the White House Fellows Alumni Association.

Contact person: Jackie Blumenthal 5-7474 or jblumenthal@whf.gov

Media: C-SPAN may cover the dinner. Other press have been invited but no commitments have been made to date. A camera crew will be filming the event as part of a documentary being made about John Gardner.

Context

The White House Fellows Alumni Association is honoring the visionary founder of the White House Fellowships, John Gardner, at this gala dinner event which caps two days of meetings and seminars. The program also honors the newly appointed 1999-2000 Class of White House Fellows. Nearly 300 alumni and their guests will attend the dinner. Several Cabinet members and other members of the administration will be in attendance. During the program, the Association will present the first-ever Legacy of Leadership award to General Clark.

This award was established to honor one or more former Fellows for remarkable achievement in the spirit of the fellowship. By presenting this award periodically the Association hopes to draw attention to the breadth and depth of contributions to the nation made by former White House Fellows and to highlight the return on the investment made in each person chosen to be a Fellow. Through their outstanding contributions to their professions and communities, alumni of the fellowship program have truly created a legacy of leadership. This award is intended to honor all former Fellows even as it highlights the particular, unique accomplishments of only a few.

The Legacy of Leadership Award is being presented this year to General Wesley K. Clark in recognition of his leadership and service in negotiating the Bosnian Peace Accords at Dayton and as Supreme Allied Commander during NATO operations to keep the peace in Bosnia and to end the conflict in Kosovo.

Specific Information about General Clark

- ◆ White House Fellow, 1975-76
- ◆ Member, President's Commission on White House Fellowships, 1993--present
- ◆ Supreme Allied Commander Europe and Commander-in-Chief, U.S. European Command, 1997-present
- ◆ Commander-in-Chief, U.S. Southern Command, 1996-1997

COPY

Automated Records Management System
Hex-Dump Conversion

General Clark is a 1966 graduate of the United States Military Academy at West Point, where he graduated first in his class. He holds a master's degree in Philosophy, Politics and Economics from Oxford University where he studied as a Rhodes Scholar. General Clark is an Armor Officer who has commanded at every level from Company to Division, including command of a mechanized infantry company in combat in Vietnam and three emergency deployments to Kuwait. He also served as the Director for Strategic Plans and Policy on the Joint Staff in the Pentagon. When he was a White House Fellow, General Clark worked as Special Assistant to the Director of the Office of Management and Budget. General Clark grew up in Little Rock, Arkansas. He is married to the former Gertrude Kingston and they have one son, Wesley, who lives in California. Throughout his career, General Clark has exerted strong leadership founded in a clear vision for the future and a clear record of responsibility for bringing that vision to reality.

Two Important Message Points

1. Even as it focuses on General Clark, the President's message should speak broadly about the vision of John Gardner and the contributions of White House Fellows, both during their service as Fellows and afterwards as they assume leadership roles in their professions and communities.
2. Please note that although the President has a relatively close relationship with General Clark, recent political decisions by the Pentagon regarding Clark may heighten the impact of the President's words about him.

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Irma L. Martinez to Sean P. O'Shea at 16:11:01.00. Subject: Re: FLOTUS Box: Additional Ideas. (2 pages)	01/05/2000	P5
002. email	Sean P. O'Shea to Kris M. Balderston at 15:30:28.00. Subject: FLOTUS Box: Additional Ideas. (1 page)	01/05/2000	P5
003. email	Wendy Arends to Anne W. Bovaird at 17:34:52.00. Subject: Re: FLOTUS Box: Additional Ideas. (2 pages)	01/05/2000	P5
004. email	Sean P. O'Shea to Setti D. Warren and Anne W. Bovaird at 16:20:30.00. Subject: FLOTUS Box: Additional Ideas. (2 pages)	01/05/2000	P5
005. email	Anne W. Bovaird to Wendy Arends at 17:31:48.00. Subject: FLOTUS Box: Additional Ideas. (2 pages)	01/05/2000	P5

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Automated Records Management System [Email]
WHO ([General Wesley Clark])
OA/Box Number: [500000]

FOLDER TITLE:

[11/23/1999 - 01/07/2000]

2006-0325-F

xx12

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Irma L. Martinez (CN=Irma L. Martinez/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 5-JAN-2000 16:11:01.00

SUBJECT: Re: FLOTUS Box: Additional Ideas

TO: Sean P. O'Shea (CN=Sean P. O'Shea/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: william t. glunz (CN=william t. glunz/OU=who/O=eop@eop [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: thurgood marshall jr (CN=thurgood marshall jr/OU=who/O=eop@eop [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: wendy arends (CN=wendy arends/OU=who/O=eop@eop [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: kris m balderston (CN=kris m balderston/OU=who/O=eop@eop [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Mr. O'Shea -- always on the ball.

Seán P. O'Shea

01/05/2000 03:30:23 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Kris M Balderston/WHO/EOP@EOP

cc: Thurgood Marshall Jr/WHO/EOP@EOP, Irma L. Martinez/WHO/EOP@EOP,

Wendy Arends/WHO/EOP@EOP, William T. Glunz/WHO/EOP@EOP

Subject: FLOTUS Box: Additional Ideas

In addition to my previous submissions (below) here are some other ideas based on 1999 happenings that you may wish to pass along to the First Lady for consideration:

1. Re: Disasters, someone from Central America, perhaps the father who survived the loss of his wife and children.
2. John Glenn - I forget if he was in the box last time, he may have been on the Senate floor, I don't remember. But he is back.
3. Frank McCourt - With peace in Ireland pending, he might be a good message; author of "Angela's Ashes"
4. NATO - someone rep. the NATO battle, i.e. Gen Clark; may be taboo.
5. Census - with the impending Census, do we have someone that could represent the undercounted if those in Congress who are opposing sampling.
6. Matthew Sheppard's parents; gay youth who was beaten. It gets a hate crime hit.
7. Genome Scientist: I don't know the scientists name, but given the import and the President's interest, we may want the scientist who led the

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

way on the breakthroughs.

Previous submissions:

- 1) Possibly someone from Columbine (the Principal Frank DeAngelis) - might be tough but if we are doing gun control he might be a good one or one of the students who is head of the action campaign or one of the recovering.
- 2) Possibly one of the Women's World Cup Soccer Reps
- 3) Was Eileen Collins, First Female Shuttle Commander, in the box last year? If not, I would say her.
- 4) George Mitchell - Ireland
- 5) Nelson Mandela (since he is retiring)
- 6) Maybe a fireman or widow of one of the Worcester fireman
- 7) Any immigrants/people from Kosovo or refugees who are doing well here in America; FLOTUS went to Fort Dix earlier this year so I am sure there is one or 2 success stories.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Sean P. O'Shea (CN=Sean P. O'Shea/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 5-JAN-2000 15:30:28.00

SUBJECT: FLOTUS Box: Additional Ideas

TO: Kris M Balderston (CN=Kris M Balderston/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: William T. Glunz (CN=William T. Glunz/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Irma L. Martinez (CN=Irma L. Martinez/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Wendy Arends (CN=Wendy Arends/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Thurgood Marshall Jr (CN=Thurgood Marshall Jr/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

In addition to my previous submissions (below) here are some other ideas based on 1999 happenings that you may wish to pass along to the First Lady for consideration:

1. Re: Disasters, someone from Central America, perhaps the father who survived the loss of his wife and children.
2. John Glenn - I forget if he was in the box last time, he may have been on the Senate floor, I don't remember. But he is back.
3. Frank McCourt - With peace in Ireland pending, he might be a good message; author of "Angela's Ashes"
4. NATO - someone rep. the NATO battle, i.e. Gen Clark; may be taboo.
5. Census - with the impending Census, do we have someone that could represent the undercounted if those in Congress who are opposing sampling.
6. Matthew Sheppard's parents; gay youth who was beaten. It gets a hate crime hit.
7. Genome Scientist: I don't know the scientists name; but given the import and the President's interest, we may want the scientist who led the way on the breakthroughs.

Previous submissions:

- 1) Possibly someone from Columbine (the Principal Frank DeAngelis) - might be tough but if we are doing gun control he might be a good one or one of the students who is head of the action campaign or one of the recovering.
- 2) Possibly one of the Women's World Cup Soccer Reps
- 3) Was Eileen Collins, First Female Shuttle Commander, in the box last year? If not, I would say her.
- 4) George Mitchell - Ireland
- 5) Nelson Mandela (since he is retiring)
- 6) Maybe a fireman or widow of one of the Worcester fireman
- 7) Any immigrants/people from Kosovo or refugees who are doing well here in America; FLOTUS went to Fort Dix earlier this year so I am sure there is one or 2 success stories.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Wendy Arends (CN=Wendy Arends/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 5-JAN-2000 17:34:52.00

SUBJECT: Re: FLOTUS Box: Additional Ideas

TO: Anne W. Bovaird (CN=Anne W. Bovaird/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

he probably already did

Anne W. Bovaird

01/05/2000 05:31:43 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Wendy Arends/WHO/EOP@EOP

cc:

Subject: FLOTUS Box: Additional Ideas

I don't know why he doesn't email the First Lady directly instead... :)

----- Forwarded by Anne W. Bovaird/WHO/EOP on 01/05/2000

05:31 PM -----

Sean P. O'Shea

01/05/2000 04:20:25 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Anne W. Bovaird/WHO/EOP@EOP, Setti D. Warren/WHO/EOP@EOP

cc:

Subject: FLOTUS Box: Additional Ideas

You guys fell off my OCA list...Y2K!!!!!!!!!!

----- Forwarded by Sean P. O'Shea/WHO/EOP on 01/05/2000

04:20 PM -----

Sean P. O'Shea

01/05/2000 03:30:23 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Kris M Balderston/WHO/EOP@EOP

cc: Thurgood Marshall Jr/WHO/EOP@EOP, Irma L. Martinez/WHO/EOP@EOP,

Wendy Arends/WHO/EOP@EOP, William T. Glunz/WHO/EOP@EOP

Subject: FLOTUS Box: Additional Ideas

In addition to my previous submissions (below) here are some other ideas based on 1999 happenings that you may wish to pass along to the First Lady

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

for consideration:

1. Re: Disasters, someone from Central America, perhaps the father who survived the loss of his wife and children.
2. John Glenn - I forget if he was in the box last time, he may have been on the Senate floor, I don't remember. But he is back.
3. Frank McCourt - With peace in Ireland pending, he might be a good message; author of "Angela's Ashes"
4. NATO - someone rep. the NATO battle, i.e. Gen Clark; may be taboo.
5. Census - with the impending Census, do we have someone that could represent the undercounted if those in Congress who are opposing sampling.
6. Matthew Sheppard's parents; gay youth who was beaten. It gets a hate crime hit.
7. Genome Scientist: I don't know the scientists name, but given the import and the President's interest, we may want the scientist who led the way on the breakthroughs.

Previous submissions:

- 1) Possibly someone from Columbine (the Principal Frank DeAngelis) - might be tough but if we are doing gun control he might be a good one or one of the students who is head of the action campaign or one of the recovering.
- 2) Possibly one of the Women's World Cup Soccer Reps
- 3) Was Eileen Collins, First Female Shuttle Commander, in the box last year? If not, I would say her.
- 4) George Mitchell - Ireland
- 5) Nelson Mandela (since he is retiring)
- 6) Maybe a fireman or widow of one of the Worcester fireman
- 7) Any immigrants/people from Kosovo or refugees who are doing well here in America; FLOTUS went to Fort Dix earlier this year so I am sure there is one or 2 success stories.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Sean P. O'Shea (CN=Sean P. O'Shea/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 5-JAN-2000 16:20:30.00

SUBJECT: FLOTUS Box: Additional Ideas

TO: Setti D. Warren (CN=Setti D. Warren/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anne W. Bovaird (CN=Anne W. Bovaird/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

You guys fell off my OCA list...Y2K!!!!!!!!!!

----- Forwarded by Sean P. O'Shea/WHO/EOP on 01/05/2000

04:20 PM -----

Sean P. O'Shea

01/05/2000 03:30:23 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Kris M Balderston/WHO/EOP@EOP

cc: Thurgood Marshall Jr/WHO/EOP@EOP, Irma L. Martinez/WHO/EOP@EOP,

Wendy Arends/WHO/EOP@EOP, William T. Glunz/WHO/EOP@EOP

Subject: FLOTUS Box: Additional Ideas

In addition to my previous submissions (below) here are some other ideas based on 1999 happenings that you may wish to pass along to the First Lady for consideration:

1. Re: Disasters, someone from Central America, perhaps the father who survived the loss of his wife and children.
2. John Glenn - I forget if he was in the box last time, he may have been on the Senate floor, I don't remember. But he is back.
3. Frank McCourt - With peace in Ireland pending, he might be a good message; author of "Angela's Ashes"
4. NATO - someone rep. the NATO battle, i.e. Gen Clark; may be taboo.
5. Census - with the impending Census, do we have someone that could represent the undercounted if those in Congress who are opposing sampling.
6. Matthew Sheppard's parents; gay youth who was beaten. It gets a hate crime hit.
7. Genome Scientist: I don't know the scientists name, but given the import and the President's interest, we may want the scientist who led the way on the breakthroughs.

Previous submissions:

- 1) Possibly someone from Columbine (the Principal Frank DeAngelis) - might be tough but if we are doing gun control he might be a good one or one of the students who is head of the action campaign or one of the recovering.
- 2) Possibly one of the Women's World Cup Soccer Reps

- 3) Was Eileen Collins, First Female Shuttle Commander, in the box last year? If not, I would say her.
- 4) George Mitchell - Ireland
- 5) Nelson Mandela (since he is retiring)
- 6) Maybe a fireman or widow of one of the Worcester fireman
- 7) Any immigrants/people from Kosovo or refugees who are doing well here in America; FLOTUS went to Fort Dix earlier this year so I am sure there is one or 2 success stories.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Anne W. Bovaird (CN=Anne W. Bovaird/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 5-JAN-2000 17:31:48.00

SUBJECT: FLOTUS Box: Additional Ideas

TO: Wendy Arends (CN=Wendy Arends/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I don't know why he doesn't email the First Lady directly instead... :)
----- Forwarded by Anne W. Bovaird/WHO/EOP on 01/05/2000
05:31 PM -----

Sean P. O'Shea

01/05/2000 04:20:25 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Anne W. Bovaird/WHO/EOP@EOP, Setti D. Warren/WHO/EOP@EOP

cc:

Subject: FLOTUS Box: Additional Ideas

You guys fell off my OCA list...Y2K!!!!!!!!!!

----- Forwarded by Sean P. O'Shea/WHO/EOP on 01/05/2000
04:20 PM -----

Sean P. O'Shea

01/05/2000 03:30:23 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Kris M Balderston/WHO/EOP@EOP

cc: Thurgood Marshall Jr/WHO/EOP@EOP, Irma L. Martinez/WHO/EOP@EOP,

Wendy Arends/WHO/EOP@EOP, William T. Glunz/WHO/EOP@EOP

Subject: FLOTUS Box: Additional Ideas

In addition to my previous submissions (below) here are some other ideas based on 1999 happenings that you may wish to pass along to the First Lady for consideration:

1. Re: Disasters, someone from Central America, perhaps the father who survived the loss of his wife and children.
2. John Glenn - I forget if he was in the box last time, he may have been on the Senate floor, I don't remember. But he is back.
3. Frank McCourt - With peace in Ireland pending, he might be a good message; author of "Angela's Ashes"
4. NATO - someone rep. the NATO battle, i.e. Gen Clark; may be taboo.
5. Census - with the impending Census, do we have someone that could represent the undercounted if those in Congress who are opposing sampling.
6. Matthew Sheppard's parents; gay youth who was beaten. It gets a hate crime hit.

7. Genome Scientist: I don't know the scientists name, but given the import and the President's interest, we may want the scientist who led the way on the breakthroughs.

Previous submissions:

- 1) Possibly someone from Columbine (the Principal Frank DeAngelis) - might be tough but if we are doing gun control he might be a good one or one of the students who is head of the action campaign or one of the recovering.
- 2) Possibly one of the Women's World Cup Soccer Reps
- 3) Was Eileen Collins, First Female Shuttle Commander, in the box last year? If not, I would say her.
- 4) George Mitchell - Ireland
- 5) Nelson Mandela (since he is retiring)
- 6) Maybe a fireman or widow of one of the Worcester fireman
- 7) Any immigrants/people from Kosovo or refugees who are doing well here in America; FLOTUS went to Fort Dix earlier this year so I am sure there is one or 2 success stories.

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Miles M. Lackey to Charles E. Kieffer, Charles M. Brain, Jacob J. Lew, Mara E. Rudman, Mark J. Tavlarides, Martha Foley, Robert D. Kyle, and Sylvia M. Mathews at 17:52:04.00. Subject: Follow-up on Byrd/Warner. (3 pages)	05/13/2000	P5

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Automated Records Management System [Email]
WHO ([General Wesley Clark])
OA/Box Number: 500000

FOLDER TITLE:

[05/02/2000 - 06/14/2000]

2006-0325-F

xx14

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Miles M. Lackey (CN=Miles M. Lackey/OU=NSC/O=EOP [NSC])

CREATION DATE/TIME:13-MAY-2000 17:52:04.00

SUBJECT: Follow-up on Byrd/Warner

TO: Charles E. Kieffer (CN=Charles E. Kieffer/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles M. Brain (CN=Charles M. Brain/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jacob J. Lew (CN=Jacob J. Lew/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mara E. Rudman (CN=Mara E. Rudman/OU=NSC/O=EOP@EOP [NSC])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mark J. Tavlarides (CN=Mark J. Tavlarides/OU=NSC/O=EOP@EOP [NSC])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Martha Foley (CN=Martha Foley/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert D. Kyle (CN=Robert D. Kyle/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sylvia M. Mathews (CN=Sylvia M. Mathews/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Update on Byrd/Warner as of Friday afternoon.

The Letter: the effort to get 34 signatures bogged down on Friday because friendly Republicans were reluctant to use "V" word this early in the process. They settled on a multi-step process -- beginning with a dear colleague signed by ten Senators (6 Democrats and 4 Republicans -- McCain, Hagel, Lugar and G. Smith) expressing opposition to the amendment -- with Wes Clark's letter attached. (The Dear Colleague went out on Friday afternoon). The 34-letter is still a possibility for later in the process.

The Veto Threat: Cohen sent his veto letter to Stevens on Friday morning -- and DoD Press provided to Eric Schmit on Friday evening.

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

The Alternative: Democrats continue to work on alternative language.

Several staff meetings occurred on Friday and another is planned on Monday afternoon. Levin's staff plans to propose the following to the group on Monday:

- 1) in lieu of the Byrd section (automatic withdrawal unless Congress authorizes), the Levin proposal would require a report by July 1, 2001 on the utility of the participation of U.S. forces in the operation; and
- 2) in lieu of the Warner section (burdensharing benchmarks), Levin would retain the same basic structure -- with the exception that a failure to certify would trigger expedited procedures for a withdrawal resolution (i.e., the presumption is reversed -- U.S. forces remain in Kosovo unless Congress forces them to withdraw).

It is not clear to me that these staffers have thought through exactly how such an alternative would be used (e.g., as an organizing tool for the 34-letter . . . or as an actual amendment for the floor);

I have not seen any text yet. They have asked for input from us, especially on ways to modify the Warner benchmarks to ensure that we can make the certification;

Briefings/Party Caucuses: Gen. Clark is willing to do briefings/meetings next week as needed. Daschle's staff has asked that Clark/Cohen/Shelton provide a bi-partisan briefing for all Senators and that the same trio attend the Democratic policy lunch on Tuesday. There are several problems with this request. First of all, it is unlikely that Clark/Cohen/Shelton will appear together in any forum; and second, we need to maintain a bi-partisan tone.

I suggest the following:

1) that the Pentagon ask the Republicans to host a bi-partisan briefing for all Senators. If they decline (which they probably will), we drop back to a McCain/Lugar/Levin/Biden-sponsored briefing in S-407;

2) that we make the same request to both party lunches -- unclear what the Republican reaction will be.

I suspect the result will be a bi-partisan briefing of some sort -- and an appearance by Wes Clark at the Democratic lunch.

If this approach looks OK, we need to get the logistics for the briefings/party caucuses rolling Monday morning.

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Sarah R. Rozensky to Shannon E. Buttler and Jennifer C. Self at 19:10:56.00. Subject: PRIVATE MTG - Jan 16. (1 page)	01/09/2001	P5
002. email	Shannon E. Butler to Nancy V. Hernreich and Mary Morrison at 19:13:55.00. Subject: PRIVATE MTG - JAN 16. (1 page)	01/09/2001	P5
003. email	Shannon E. Butler to Nancy V. Hernreich and Mary Morrison at 18:13:19.00. Subject: MTG w/ Wesley Clark - CANCELED. (1 page)	01/10/2001	P5
004. email attachment	Aircraft Manifest: Washington, D.C. to Zurich, Switzerland to Davos, Switzerland to Zurich, Switzerland to Washington, D.C. (5 pages)	01/11/2001	P6/b(6), b(7)(C), b(7)(E), b(7)(F)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Automated Records Management System
WHO ([General Wesley Clark])
OA/Box Number: 500000

FOLDER TITLE:

[12/15/2000 - 01/18/2001]

2006-0325-F

wr10690

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Sarah R. Rozensky (CN=Sarah R. Rozensky/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JAN-2001 19:10:56.00

SUBJECT: PRIVATE MTG - JAN 16

TO: Shannon E. Butler (CN=Shannon E. Butler/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer C. Self (CN=Jennifer C. Self/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Michele Ballantyne (CN=Michele Ballantyne/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Rachael F. Goldfarb (CN=Rachael F. Goldfarb/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Drew T. Gardiner (CN=Drew T. Gardiner/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

10:00

10:15 am-
am

MEETING WITH GENERAL WESLEY CLARK
OVAL OFFICE
Staff Contact: Stephanie Streett

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Shannon E. Butler (CN=Shannon E. Butler/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JAN-2001 19:13:55.00

SUBJECT: PRIVATE MTG - JAN 16

TO: Nancy V. Hernreich (CN=Nancy V. Hernreich/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary Morrison (CN=Mary Morrison/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
FYI

----- Forwarded by Shannon E. Butler/WHO/EOP on
01/09/2001 07:13 PM -----

Sarah R. Rozensky
01/09/2001 07:10:47 PM
Record Type: Record

To: Shannon E. Butler/WHO/EOP@EOP, Jennifer C. Self/WHO/EOP@EOP
cc: Michele Ballantyne/WHO/EOP@EOP, Rachael F.
Goldfarb/WHO/EOP@EOP, Drew T. Gardiner/WHO/EOP@EOP
Subject: PRIVATE MTG - JAN 16

10:00

10:15 am-
am

MEETING WITH GENERAL WESLEY CLARK
OVAL OFFICE
Staff Contact: Stephanie Streett

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Shannon E. Butler (CN=Shannon E. Butler/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-JAN-2001 18:13:19.00

SUBJECT: MTG w/ Wesley Clark - CANCELED

TO: Nancy V. Hernreich (CN=Nancy V. Hernreich/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary Morrison (CN=Mary Morrison/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

POTUS requested to do this meeting post presidency.

----- Forwarded by Shannon E. Butler/WHO/EOP on
01/10/2001 06:12 PM -----

Sarah R. Rozensky

01/10/2001 04:35:31 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Shannon E. Butler/WHO/EOP@EOP, Jennifer C. Self/WHO/EOP@EOP

cc: Michele Ballantyne/WHO/EOP@EOP, Rachael F.

Goldfarb/WHO/EOP@EOP, Drew T. Gardiner/WHO/EOP@EOP

Subject: MTG w/ Wesley Clark - CANCELED

10:00

10:15 am-

am

MEETING WITH GENERAL WESLEY CLARK

OVAL OFFICE

Staff Contact: Stephanie Streett

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	jeller@pstrategies.com to Stefanie Sanford, re happy holidays etc. [partial] (1 page)	01/07/1997	P6/b(6)
002. email	MJO@honigman.com to Madge H. Henning, re Yale (2 pages)	04/01/1997	P6/b(6)
003. email	gbruno@btl.net to Marsha Scott, re Building a bridge (2 pages)	05/17/1997	P6/b(6)
004. email	G. timothy Saunders to R. Thomas III at 10:24:00.00 Subject: Nomination of General Clark: Supreme Allied Command, NATO. (3 pages)	05/23/1997	P5
005. email	G. Timothy Saunders to Todd Stern at 10:08:00.00. Subject: Nomination of General Clark: Supreme Allied Command, NATO. (2 pages)	05/23/1997	P5
006. email	Poppy.Meier@mail.house.gov to Carolyn T. Wu, re Happy Hour (2 pages)	08/08/1997	P6/b(6)
007. email	louis_scott_at_pobox12@imail.exim.gov to Jon P. Jennings, re Goody Suggestion [partial] (5 pages)	10/21/1997	P6/b(6)
008. email	mlewinsk@pagate.pa.osd.mil to Ashley L. Raines, re Medical Report (4 pages)	10/22/1997	P6/b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Tape Restoration Project
Default ([General Wesley Clark])
OA/Box Number: 1100000

FOLDER TITLE:

[01/07/1997 - 11/16/1997]

2006-0325-F

wr10691

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

RECORD TYPE: FEDERAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: G. Timothy Saunders (G. Timothy Saunders@EOP@LNGTWY@LNGTWY [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 23-MAY-1997 10:24:00.00

SUBJECT: Nomination of General Clark: Supreme Allied Command, NATO

TO: Edwin R. Thomas III (Edwin R. Thomas III@EOP [UNKNOWN])

READ: UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Message Creation Date was at 23-MAY-1997 10:24:00

fyi

----- Forwarded by G. Timothy Saunders/WHO/EOP on

05/23/97

10:29 AM -----

G. TIMOTHY

SAUNDERS

05/23/97 10:08:38 AM

Record Type: Record

To: STERN_T @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY, CAPLAN_P @ A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY

CC:

Subject: Nomination of General Clark: Supreme Allied Command, NATO

When we brought this package over, we attached a copy of the announcement
of

Clark's selection by White House Press Release of 3/31/97 (it is
exceedingly

odd that the announcement preceded the actual nomination/approval package
for

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

the President).

This NATO command package has several component parts.

Once the President has approved Clark's selection for the NATO position, the letter to Secretary General Solana will be sent, requesting the release of the incumbent (General Joulwan) and requesting that the Defense Planning Committee of the North Atlantic Council (NATO) approve the "nomination" of General Clark (to replace General Joulwan). (this is why the White House announcement of 3/31/97, announcing the President's nomination of Clark appeared so odd -- I've worked many of these rather complex packages and have never seen one announced by the White House before the President requests release of the incumbent and "nomination" of successor formally from NATO).

At any event, once NATO has communicated their concurrence (assuming they do and this may take some time), a simultaneous Pentagon/Brussels press release will be issued (this should not be issued by the White House). The President may then nominate Gen. Clark to the Senate to be reassigning in the grade of

general (4 stars).

Once the Senate confirms Clark, the President then MAY send the azure letter to

General Clark we have edited and prepared in final. While I don't object to

it, in my opinion, this letter from the President to Clark is not required

--

and indeed was NOT done by the President for Joulwan in 1993.

RECORD TYPE: FEDERAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: G. Timothy Saunders (G. Timothy Saunders@EOP@LNGTWY@LNGTWY [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 23-MAY-1997 10:08:00.00

SUBJECT: Nomination of General Clark: Supreme Allied Command, NATO

TO: Todd Stern (Todd Stern@eop [UNKNOWN])

READ: UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Message Creation Date was at 23-MAY-1997 10:08:00

When we brought this package over, we attached a copy of the announcement of

Clark's selection by White House Press Release of 3/31/97 (it is exceedingly

odd that the announcement preceded the actual nomination/approval package for the President).

This NATO command package has several component parts.

Once the President has approved Clark's selection for the NATO position, the

letter to Secretary General Solana will be sent, requesting the release of the

incumbent (General Joulwan) and requesting that the Defense Planning Committee

of the North Atlantic Council (NATO) approve the "nomination" of General Clark

(to replace General Joulwan). (this is why the White House announcement of

3/31/97, announcing the President's nomination of Clark appeared so odd --

I've

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

ARMS Email System Page 2 of 2
worked many of these rather complex packages and have never seen one
announced

by the White House before the President requests release of the incumbent
and
"nomination" of successor formally from NATO).

At any event, once NATO has communicated their concurrence (assuming they
do

and this may take some time), a simultaneous Pentagon/Brussels press
release

will be issued (this should not be issued by the White House). The
President

may then nominate Gen. Clark to the Senate to be reassigning in the grade
of
general (4 stars).

Once the Senate confirms Clark, the President then MAY send the azure
letter to

General Clark we have edited and prepared in final. While I don't object
to

it, in my opinion, this letter from the President to Clark is not required

--

and indeed was NOT done by the President for Joulwan in 1993.

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Brad Carson to aalving, brad carson, cdorsey et al. at 20:57:45.00. Subject: Re:take 3... (6 pages)	06/18/1998	P5
002. email	Amy Alving to Peter Rundlet at 01:17:12.00. Subject: ...no subject... (2 pages)	06/18/1998	P5
003. email	Terrence K. Kelly to Brad Carson, aalving, cdorsey, et al. at 12:50:28.00. Subject: RE: take 3... (6 pages)	06/18/1998	P5
004. email	John Tien to Bruce McNamer and r@ustr.gov, re My next assignment [partial] (2 pages)	03/03/1999	P6/b(6)
005. email	John Tien to Bruce McNamer et al., re My next assignment [partial] (1 page)	03/03/1999	P6/b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Tape Restoration Project
WHO ([General Wesley Clark])
OA/Box Number: 500000

FOLDER TITLE:

[06/18/1998 - 03/03/1999]

2006-0325-F

wr10692

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Carson, Brad , , OSD ("Carson, Brad , , OSD/P&R" <CarsonB@PR.OSD.MIL> [UN

CREATION DATE/TIME:18-JUN-1998 20:57:54.00

SUBJECT: RE: take 3...

TO: aalving ("'AAlving@doc.gov'" <AAlving@doc.gov> [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: carson.brad (carson.brad@osd.pentagon.mil [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: cdorsey (cdorsey@dol.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Clifford A. Skelton (CN=Clifford A. Skelton/OU=ONDCP/O=EOP [ONDCP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter Rundlet (CN=Peter Rundlet/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sean E. OConnor (CN=Sean E. O'Connor/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: fjames (fjames@ustr.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: gupta_s (gupta_s@al.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: JamieMetzl (JamieMetzl@aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: jennings_j (jennings_j@al.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: john_c._burchett (John_C._Burchett@hud.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: jprieto (jprieto@os.dhhs.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: kellytk (KellyTK@hqda.army.mil [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: lois_scott_at_pobox12 (lois_scott_at_pobox12@imail.exim.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: matice.wright (matice.wright@treas.sprint.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Amy asked that I submit language that would satisfy me about the
contested paragraph on page 7 of the memo to Jackie. This is therefore
thrown out there in the interest of further spurring debate:

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

"Planning trips, whether international or domestic, is a laborious and time-consuming exercise for both staff and Fellows. For the Fellows, trip planning is most easily done when the desires and interests of the staff are expressed early and unequivocally. The trips this year have been successes, which speaks well of the existing coordination between the Fellows and the staff. But the lines of open and clear communication between staff and Fellows must be constantly safeguarded; the occasional breakdown in communication was an altogether avoidable source of anxiety for the Fellows. Prompt notification by the staff of all scheduling conflicts is appreciated, as are any changes made by the staff to a trip's itinerary -- changes which are best done early in the planning process and preferably in consultation with the Fellows."

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Amy Alving [SMTP:AAlving@doc.gov]

> Sent: Wednesday, June 17, 1998 11:10 PM

> To: carson.brad@osd.pentagon.mil; cdorsey@dol.gov;

> Clifford_A._Skelton@oa.eop.gov; fjames@ustr.gov; gupta_s@al.eop.gov;

> JamieMetztl@aol.com; jennings_j@al.eop.gov; John_C._Burchett@hud.gov;

> jprieto@os.dhhs.gov; KellyTK@hqda.army.mil;

> lois_scott_at_pobox12@imail.exim.gov; matice.wright@treas.sprint.com;

> Peter_Rundlet@oa.eop.gov; sean_e._o'connor@oa.eop.gov

> Subject: take 3...

>

> Dear Fellows,

> Several people commented on the last paragraph of the

> trip-planning

> sections; most comments were sent to the whole class, so you've seen

> them.

> There had been a couple of comments on the previous draft so it had

> been

> toned down, for instance by removing the original reference someone

> had

> made about "personal biases" and so on. However, it seems the changes

> were

> not sufficient. The general flavor of the current comments is that

> they

> are too personal (her son, etc), too harsh, and not

> constructive/pointless.

> Several people offered re-write suggestions, and after thinking

> about

> the response and the original intent, I offer one below. During the

> dinner,

> this was a topic of much discussion -- comments were coming so fast

> it was

> hard to write them down. My notes say things like "DON'T reschedule

> dates...pattern of personal desires outweighing class'...resentment

> when

> things are canceled for personal reasons...Jackie had personal bias

> against

> Cedars (affecting our participation) and the retreat (which never

> happened)...sometimes it is necessary for her to make a decision, but

> where

> is the line drawn?" As for Brad's question of what we are trying to

> do

> here, my understanding is the same thing as in the rest of the memo --

> to
> let Jackie know what she, in addition to the rest of the staff, can do
> to
> improve the program. Judging from the reaction at dinner, it would be
> a
> good thing if she can avoid making decisions in a way that generates
> so
> much flak. It's important not to be undiplomatic, but writing
> something
> too vague and unspecific doesn't seem helpful either. With that said,
>
> let's see if this comes closer to navigating between those two
> extremes.
> (The part about Incirlik didn't generate comments even from Brad ...
> :) ...
> so it's unchanged.)
> It's late and I'm going home. See you all tomorrow at Mary's
> event, I
> hope.
>
> Amy
>
> *****
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Finally, there was concern expressed over some decisions made by the

> Director: We understand that at times a decision about travel must be
> made
> "at the top" rather than by the Fellows. However, in such cases good
> communication is very important. Fellows expressed frustration that,
> after
> we had put much time and effort into carefully planning a trip, the
> Director sometimes made decisions to change important aspects without
> discussing the impact on the trip and without explanation. Two
> examples
> illustrate this point. On our Seattle trip we had arranged for
> meetings
> with the Mayor and the Governor, but the Director changed the trip
> timing
> and this resulted in our visit taking place when both of these elected
>
> leaders were out of town. Similarly, the sudden decision she made to
> cancel the trip to Incirlik Air Force Base in Turkey -- in order to
> accommodate GEN Clark's schedule -- was not based on a full
> understanding
> of the options available at that point; she should have consulted with
> the
> people who had spent several months carefully planning the trip before
>
> making a decision about how to change the schedule. In such cases it
> is
> important to get input from the class before making the decision, and
> to
> communicate its reason afterwards. Better communication will help
> ensure
> the best possible decisions are made about trips.

> (Then continue with the bullet.)

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Amy Alving (Amy Alving <AAlving@doc.gov> [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME:18-JUN-1998 01:17:12.00

SUBJECT: ...no subject...

TO: Peter Rundlet (CN=Peter Rundlet/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Dear Fellows,

Several people commented on the last paragraph of the trip-planning sections; most comments were sent to the whole class, so you've seen them. There had been a couple of comments on the previous draft so it had been toned down, for instance by removing the original reference someone had made about "personal biases" and so on. However, it seems the changes were not sufficient. The general flavor of the current comments is that they are too personal (her son, etc), too harsh, and not constructive/pointless.

Several people offered re-write suggestions, and after thinking about the response and the original intent, I offer one below. During the dinner,

this was a topic of much discussion -- comments were coming so fast it was hard to write them down. My notes say things like "DON'T reschedule dates...pattern of personal desires outweighing class'...resentment when things are canceled for personal reasons...Jackie had personal bias against Cedars (affecting our participation) and the retreat (which never happened)...sometimes it is necessary for her to make a decision, but where is the line drawn?" As for Brad's question of what we are trying to do here, my understanding is the same thing as in the rest of the memo -- to let Jackie know what she, in addition to the rest of the staff, can do to improve the program. Judging from the reaction at dinner, it would be a good thing if she can avoid making decisions in a way that generates so much flak. It's important not to be undiplomatic, but writing something too vague and unspecific doesn't seem helpful either. With that said,

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

let's see if this comes closer to navigating between those two extremes:

(The part about Incirlik didn't generate comments even from Brad :) ...
so it's unchanged.)

Finally, there was concern expressed over some decisions made by the Director. We understand that sometimes a decision must be made "at the top" rather than by the Fellows. However, some such decisions were made without sufficient discussion or input from the class. For instance, on our Seattle trip we had arranged for meetings with the Mayor and the Governor, but the Director changed the trip timing and this resulted in our visit taking place when both of these elected leaders were out of town. In addition, the sudden decision she made to cancel the trip to Incirlik Air Force Base in Turkey -- in order to accommodate GEN Clark's schedule -- was not based on a full understanding of the options available at that point; she should have consulted with the people who had spent several months carefully planning the trip before making a decision about how to change the schedule. In cases such as these, we would like to see better communication to ensure the best possible outcome.

(Continue with bullet -- In those cases, etc.)

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Kelly, Terrence K., MAJ, OCLL ("Kelly, Terrence K., MAJ, OCLL" <KellyTK@hq

CREATION DATE/TIME:18-JUN-1998 12:50:28.00

SUBJECT: RE: take 3...

TO: Carson, Brad, CIV, OSD ("Carson, Brad, CIV, OSD" <Carson.Brad@osd.pentagon.mil>
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: aalving (Aalving@doc.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: cdorsey (cdorsey@dol.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Clifford A. Skelton (CN=Clifford A. Skelton/OU=ONDCP/O=EOP [ONDCP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter Rundlet (CN=Peter Rundlet/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sean E. OConnor (CN=Sean E. O'Connor/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: fjames (fjames@ustr.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: gupta_s (gupta_s@al.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: JamieMetz1 (JamieMetz1@aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: jennings_j (jennings_j@al.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: john_c._burchett (John_C._Burchett@hud.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: jprieto (jprieto@os.dhhs.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: lois_scott_at_pobox12 (lois_scott_at_pobox12@imail.exim.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: matice.wright (matice.wright@treas.sprint.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
Amy,

I, too, want to thank you for all the work, and apologize for joining the
discussion late -- my email has been acting up lately, and I just received
the email traffic on the letter this morning (which I thought had been

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

finalized and delivered while I was gone the other week).

I must adamantly agree with Brad, Francis and Peter. This 3rd draft still has a personal flavor which, I am sure, will offend Jackie (not to mention piss her off). It is much better to offer suggestions for improvement rather than to pick on unfortunate instances and failures. As several good suggestions have been given on language, I will not offer any myself.

Also,

as I recall the conversation at the dinner, no one had a problem with the fact that Jackie rescheduled the Seattle trip and canceled the Incirlik stop, only that she did so without consulting us, or in the case of Incirlik

in an overly brusque manner. I specifically recall saying that I would certainly have agreed to moving the Seattle trip if she had consulted us, but was upset at her for not doing so -- I thought there was general agreement on that point, but maybe I was wrong. Finally, she is, after all,

the director and can set this up any darn way she pleases, and consult with us or not as she chooses.

I hope that the letter will not go forward with a personal flavor. If it does, I will have no other choice but to nonconcur with it and let Jackie know that I do. Also, the cover letter says, in effect, that this is the middle ground in a spectrum of opinion. I do not think that is the answer

--

it should be a consensus. If someone, or some group of us has a strong opinion that is not agreed upon and therefore not in the letter, they should

let Jackie know in some other forum.

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

I hope this helps. Once again, sorry to be so late in joining this conversation.

TK

Terrence K. Kelly, Ph.D.

White House Fellow

Office of the Under Secretary for Global Affairs

U.S. State Department

(202) 647-6349

> -----

> From: Amy Alving[SMTP:AAlving@doc.gov]

> Sent: Wednesday, June 17, 1998 8:10 PM

> To: Carson, Brad, CIV, OSD; cdorsey@dol.gov;

> Clifford_A._Skelton@oa.eop.gov; fjames@ustr.gov; gupta_s@al.eop.gov;

> JamieMetz1@aol.com; jennings_j@al.eop.gov; John_C._Burchett@hud.gov;

> jprieto@os.dhhs.gov; Kelly, Terrence K., MAJ, OCLL;

> lois_scott_at_pobox12@imail.exim.gov; matice.wright@treas.sprint.com;

> Peter_Rundlet@oa.eop.gov; sean_e._o'connor@oa.eop.gov

> Subject: take 3...

>

> Dear Fellows,

> Several people commented on the last paragraph of the trip-planning
> sections; most comments were sent to the whole class, so you've seen
them.

>

> There had been a couple of comments on the previous draft so it had been
> toned down, for instance by removing the original reference someone had
> made about "personal biases" and so on. However, it seems the changes

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

> were

> not sufficient. The general flavor of the current comments is that they

> are too personal (her son, etc), too harsh, and not

> constructive/pointless.

> Several people offered re-write suggestions, and after thinking

about

>

> the response and the original intent, I offer one below. During the

> dinner,

> this was a topic of much discussion -- comments were coming so fast it

> was

> hard to write them down. My notes say things like "DON'T reschedule

> dates...pattern of personal desires outweighing class'...resentment when

> things are canceled for personal reasons...Jackie had personal bias

> against

> Cedars (affecting our participation) and the retreat (which never

> happened)...sometimes it is necessary for her to make a decision, but

> where

> is the line drawn?" As for Brad's question of what we are trying to do

> here, my understanding is the same thing as in the rest of the memo -- to

> let Jackie know what she, in addition to the rest of the staff, can do to

> improve the program. Judging from the reaction at dinner, it would be a

> good thing if she can avoid making decisions in a way that generates so

> much flak. It's important not to be undiplomatic, but writing something

> too vague and unspecific doesn't seem helpful either. With that said,

> let's see if this comes closer to navigating between those two extremes.

> (The part about Incirlik didn't generate comments even from Brad ... :)

> ...

> so it's unchanged.)

> It's late and I'm going home. See you all tomorrow at Mary's event,

ARKIVS Email System Page 6 of 6

> I

> hope.

>

> Amy

>

> *****

>

>

>

>

>

>

> Finally, there was concern expressed over some decisions made by the
> Director. We understand that at times a decision about travel must be
> made

> "at the top" rather than by the Fellows. However, in such cases good
> communication is very important. Fellows expressed frustration that,
> after

> we had put much time and effort into carefully planning a trip, the
> Director sometimes made decisions to change important aspects without
> discussing the impact on the trip and without explanation. Two examples
> illustrate this point. On our Seattle trip we had arranged for meetings
> with the Mayor and the Governor, but the Director changed the trip timing
> and this resulted in our visit taking place when both of these elected
> leaders were out of town. Similarly, the sudden decision she made to
> cancel the trip to Incirlik Air Force Base in Turkey -- in order to
> accommodate GEN Clark's schedule -- was not based on a full understanding
> of the options available at that point; she should have consulted with
the

>

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

- > people who had spent several months carefully planning the trip before
- > making a decision about how to change the schedule. In such cases it is
- > important to get input from the class before making the decision, and to
- > communicate its reason afterwards. Better communication will help ensure
- > the best possible decisions are made about trips.
- > (Then continue with the bullet.)
- >

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. fax	Draft of BC Letter [to General Wesley Clark]. (1 page)	03/07/2000	P5
002. fax	From: General Wesley Clark To: President Clinton; RE: Letter to the President and Mrs. Hillary Clinton (partial) (1 page)	02/14/2000	b(2)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
WHORM Subject File-General
ND
OA/Box Number: 14065

FOLDER TITLE:

414423

2006-0325-F

rc71

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

DRAFT OF BC LETTER

INITIALS: BC /wmw	DOCUMENT NAME: \\s_who00010\dos\public\letter\slr\p\wmw \clark wes wmw.doc
DRAFT / LETTER DATE: March 3, 2000	CORRESPONDENCE #: 7136100
	CC: J. Wertman, 97
CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESSED TO: General Wesley K. Clark, USA Supreme Allied Commander Europe Supreme Headquarters Allied Powers Europe B-7010 Shape	

Dear Wes:

Thank you for your kind note. It was a pleasure to welcome you to the White House, and I'm glad you enjoyed your visit.

Americans owe a profound debt of gratitude to our ~~military~~ families,

and my Administration remains committed to supporting them just as

As well as to all the men and women in uniform under their command, for
they have supported our military personnel in defending America's

freedom, promoting our values, and carrying out vital humanitarian

and peacekeeping missions.

We are particularly grateful to you for your contributions as Supreme Allied Commander Europe and Commander in Chief of US Forces in Europe during the most challenging period in NATO's history.

Your leadership in the effort to forge a stable and democratic

Europe has been invaluable, ~~and~~ I deeply appreciate all your

efforts. Hillary and I are always glad to hear from you, and we

send you and Gert our very best.

*consolidate the peace in Bosnia-Herzegovina, halt ethnic
cleansing in Kosovo and*

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

Paper Copy Generated by
NLWJC Staff

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Phone No. (Partial) Personal (Partial) (1 page)	05/28/1999	P6/b(6)
002. email	swanjohnsonfamily to Jeffrey D. McCausland at 11:12:58.00. Subject: Re: Paper by Lynn Hansen. (1 page)	06/05/1999	P5
003. email	Jeffrey D. McCausland to swanjohnsonfamily at 12:50:17.00. Subject: Re: Paper by Lynn Hansen. (2 pages)	06/08/1999	P5

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Automated Records Management System [Email]
NSC ([Wesley Clark...])
OA/Box Number: 1200000

FOLDER TITLE:

[05/28/1999-06/11/1999]

2006-0325-F

kh737

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

RECORD TYPE: FEDERAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: swanjohnsonfamily@ibm.net (swanjohnsonfamily@ibm.net [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 5-JUN-1999 11:12:58.00

SUBJECT: Re: Paper by Lynn Hansen

TO: Jeffrey D. McCausland (CN=Jeffrey D. McCausland/OU=NSC/O=EOP [NSC])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Thanks. Our DTRA guys gave me a copy of it yesterday.

I've known Lynn since he was the Greg Govan for our Stockholm negotiations and our me was Bob Barry.

I think Lynn's set us back quite a bit with the tone of his remarks and with the audience he chose. The ad hominem attacks on Wes Clark, especially those that suggested he was dishonest, were way over the top. It will make it much harder than it was before for our JCS colleagues to push themselves away from the table and rethink this.

However, if we can strip out the ad hominem remarks and the claptrap about being born in a log cabin, we may be able to reshape those arguments so that they are more clearly focused on what is and isn't in our own selfish national interests. And that brings me to something where maybe you can be helpful -- in both your current role and in your professorial one.

When we were negotiating the Stockholm document (I was the guy writing the instructions in EUR), our bedrock assumption was: We are an open society, due to our obligations to our own public, we're going to be telling our journalists a heck of a lot more than we're committing ourselves to tell any foreigner. The Soviets are a closed society, so anything we can get from them will put us ahead of where we are now, and potentially increase warning time, thus allowing us to have a lower level of peacetime readiness, leading to less possibility of an unintended face-off, therefore greater stability, etc.

There's no USSR now and there's no military force in Europe that approaches U.S. force projection capabilities. Is the JCS beginning to totally rethink the value of conventional arms control and confidence building? Has the world changed so radically that what we're trying to do in CFE and in the OSCE on limitations and transparency is fundamentally no longer in our interests from the point of view of our own military? We've already gotten signals from the SFRC that they may be inclined to think that way. Are we moving that way elsewhere as well?

I'm not sure I'm going to like the answers to those questions, but I probably should have some insights into which way the wind is blowing.

Thanks, David.

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

RECORD TYPE: FEDERAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jeffrey D. McCausland (CN=Jeffrey D. McCausland/OU=NSC/O=EOP [NSC])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 8-JUN-1999 12:50:17.00

SUBJECT: Re: Paper by Lynn Hansen

TO: swanjohnsonfamily@ibm.net (swanjohnsonfamily@ibm.net [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I agree with both your impressions. First, the attacks on General Clark were not helpful and could come back to haunt us in trying to get other things done. By the way, someone asked me if I had assisted Lynn in writing the paper -- the answer is a categorical NO! I have not seen him since December. Having said that I tend to agree with the general thrust of what he says here.

We have been able to get the JCS to move along way from where they were in April. At that point they were all for saying "no" to anything involving the Vienna Document. The legal people have been no help as they provided their "legal" justification that Vienna Document did not apply in time of armed conflict though they could never answer my question -- are you saying there is an armed conflict ongoing in FYROM and Albania? the fundamental problem for JCS has been that the CINC has been adamantly opposed to this so their hands are tied. I am told he discussed his approach in the FYROM inspection with Shelton and the Chairman concurred. Consequently, even if I can convince JCS I have to move the issue to the highest levels. That is why I went to the Pentagon last week and got the deputy J5 to agree that we can now map out the deployment of KFOR and determine when we will exceed certain force levels in "certain countries". This will allow us even now to prepare how we will report under Chapter IV and how we will handle Chapter V observations or Chapter VIII inspections, if requested. I plan to use this device to hopefully find out how much the CINC wishes to continue his opposition. If so, I will elevate the issue for resolution before we get to the 11th hour.

The other issue for me right now is the need for the Chapter V observation in FYROM to go well. It seems to me that this would serve to repair some damage we have done (unnecessarily I might add.....) to relations with both the allies and the Russians. It would also serve to remove the obvious isolation of the US on this issue that others may attempt to exploit. Finally, since we are earnest in our desires to have other countries outside the alliance (i.e., Russia) participate in KFOR this would serve as an excellent opportunity to assist in that coordination. I will push soon for guidance to NATO on the conduct of this observation. Might use the HLTF on 16 June as the vehicle. Have discussed this in the interagency and with contacts at NATO who all agree on the desirability for this observation to go well. Have you considered calling Ambassador Vershbow to potentially exchange views on this and perhaps solicit his intervention? I am also considering a direct appeal to the POLAD at SHAPE to get involved.

On your last point I fear you are correct. I have already perceived a change in views by the JCS. Whereas we wished CFE initially to assist in the removal of excessive Soviet forces from Central Europe that reason has disappeared. The TD and ETD issue highlights a JCS concern about force flexibility that will only be underscored by Kosovo. Furthermore, this appears to me to be running against an allied perspective that they wish

to insure legal constraints also apply to the unilateral use of force by us! I have confirmed that point in discussion with various contacts I have throughout the alliance most notably Germany and France. I think you may see additional trouble here as we work out the details of the inspection regime for TD and ETD in that regard. Up until now CFE had been a treaty to count "things" within prescribed geographic limits while VD had been to inspect "activities". Now we will codify activity inspection in the CFE. The recent experience with VD has made the JCS and more importantly EUCOM much more skeptical. The key here is the CINC, however, since General Shali when he was the CINC actually pushed for the VD observations and reporting in Bosnia. I am just unsure why the CINC is so opposed and am, as I suggested above, trying to find a host of ways to get at him on the issue.

Finally, I do perceive a general lack of interest in CFE and conventional arms control in general on the Hill. As I suggested in a previous email they perceive it as a tool to achieve other goals (i.e., Russians out of Moldova) as opposed to a conflict prevention or crisis management effort. It is only a secondary interest in terms of making the case of how important it is to our key European allies and efforts to regularize our relations with Russia. This is a theme that I believe we now need to emphasize. CFE can serve to assuage to a degree Russian concerns, allow them to reduce their conventional forces (which they must), and modify their increasing dependence on nukes. It is part of an effort to make our relations with Russia in my mind similar to our relations with France -- we may disagree frequently but do not go to DEFCON 3 when it happens.....If we are successful I believe it will now serve to accelerate the Dayton Article V process under the SEEI to bring the former states of Yugoslavia into this regime.

Sorry to be so long winded.....I may be over later in the summer. Will keep you posted.

Jeff

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Sonal R. Shah to Lael Brainard at 16:57:23.00. Subject: Re: POTUS Sarajevo trip. (3 pages)	07/09/1999	P5

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Automated Records Management System [Email]
NSC ([Wesley Clark...])
OA/Box Number: 1200000

FOLDER TITLE:

[06/24/1999 - 09/02/1999]

2006-0325-F

xx15

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

RECORD TYPE: FEDERAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Sonal R. Shah (CN=Sonal R. Shah/OU=NSC/O=EOP [NSC])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUL-1999 16:57:23.00

SUBJECT: Re: POTUS Sarajevo trip

TO: Lael Brainard (CN=Lael Brainard/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

good point on guarantees. MIGA and IFC are already looking into ideas of creating regional funds and guarantees which would include Kosovo. OPIC already proposed a plan.

Just talked to Mark, Treasury is very reluctant to do anything with Bank/Fund. They would like to disaggregate Croatia and Romania from the rest of the Pact. Romania has \$277 million BOP gap which will be filled by an IMF program if they negotiate with their creditors on their pvt sector debt. Croatia is reneging on its IMF commitments.

The others however, could use the help. Macedonia, needs \$100 million, Bulgaria about \$45 million, Albania has budgetary gaps and Bosnia has about \$30 million. Altogether about \$200 million (not chump change) and we can announce maybe some technical assistance. Sorry to harp on this idea -- i know we would like to keep the IFIs pure, but they are not and they can do what the main aim of the Marshall program was (provide a cushion). FYI. IFI conditionality is much stricter than Marshall plan conditionality was.

In any case, i have asked Mark and Nancy to come up with some variations noting again that either we can be proactive or reactive.

They are rightly concerned that this will give these countries a reason to lax on their conditionality and not follow through on their commitments.

I just the following concerns:

1. We have taken on this commitment for regional stability and POTUS likely to do a speech on it or Berger will.
2. Given above commitment, we need to show that we mean what we say.
3. It would one thing if there was not a conflict -- we could afford to be hard-nosed, not sure that we have so much of that flexibility.
4. We should rightly push the EU to take on the burden of integrating these countries, but how are we going to help them get from here to there.

Anyway, this will be a tough battle and would appreciate your insight.

Lael Brainard

07/09/99 12:35:05 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Sonal R. Shah/NSC/EOP@EOP

cc:

bcc:

Subject: Re: POTUS Sarajevo trip

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

I think your idea is worth exploring. Might want to add in the investment guarantee guys (EBRD, IFC, EIB, etc). You are absolutely right that POTUS will not do anything prescriptive/tough love without some money to make it credible.

Sonal R. Shah
07/09/99 12:11:05 PM
Record Type: Record

To: Lael Brainard/OPD/EOP@EOP
cc: Sharon H. Yuan/OPD/EOP@EOP
Subject: POTUS Sarajevo trip

Wesley Clark in an interview claimed NATO can support the Heads of State meeting in Sarajevo. EU has not agreed yet to pay. Decision to be made on Monday.

On deliverables, we are going to face a real problem. State (Bialos) has come up with this idea about an Investment Climate Initiative which basically would have the President telling the SEE countries what they would need to reform to attract private investment, with nothing to back it. I have several problems with the proposal (as do all others in EB and Commerce):

1. This is not Presidential -- I can't imagine POTUS talking about licensing requirements and judiciary changes -- its a Finance Ministry or Commerce type discussion.
2. The leaders are going to say -- Mr. Pres. you said take refugees, troops, ... and we will help you. We have 500 mill. BOP and budgetary gaps due to the conflict and now you are telling us that if we make these reforms the private sector will invest -- where's the money...
3. there is no way that this conference can take place without talk of money ...

My idea:

I think that treasury needs to push the Fund and Bank to fill these gaps. Frankly, the Fund has been nowhere to be seen. Is there any way in which we can get some basic set of reforms that POTUS can push and have IFI money backing there agreement (THIS WOULD BE A ONE TIME BOP/BUDGETARY SUPPORT) for post conflict. Then we would push for the countries to get IMF programs and meet with conditionality. Whatever residual gaps there are we should push the Euros.

This seems to me the only option of real money and POTUS can claim leadership on this issue. We would really need to push Treasury. To be honest, the fear is that if we don't do something POTUS will and we will be left scrambling like in Central America.

Your views????

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Christopher R. Hill to Wonyneab M. Wondwossen at 08:41:10.00. Subject: Re: letter to Swanee Hunt. (1 page)	03/29/2000	P5
002. email	Miles M. Lackey to Miles M. Lackey at 17:52:21.00. Subject: Follow-up on Byrd/Warner. (4 pages)	05/13/2000	P5

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Automated Records Management System [Email]
NSC ([Wesley Clark...])
OA/Box Number: 1200000

FOLDER TITLE:

[02/16/2000 - 06/12/2000]

2006-0325-F

xx16

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

RECORD TYPE: FEDERAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Christopher R. Hill (CN=Christopher R. Hill/OU=NSC/O=EOP [NSC])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 29-MAR-2000 08:41:10.00

SUBJECT: Re: letter to Swanee Hunt

TO: Woyneab M. Wondwossen. (CN=Woyneab M. Wondwossen/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ: UNKNOWN

TEXT:

it's fine as far as it goes. i assume you were trying to ignore the points that she raised about Wes Clark, etc , which is probably the right thing to do. CRH

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

RECORD TYPE: FEDERAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Miles M. Lackey (CN=Miles M. Lackey/OU=NSC/O=EOP [NSC])

CREATION DATE/TIME:13-MAY-2000 17:52:21.00

SUBJECT: Follow-up on Byrd/Warner

TO: Miles M. Lackey (CN=Miles M. Lackey/OU=NSC/O=EOP@EOP [NSC])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

----- Forwarded by Miles M. Lackey/NSC/EOP on 05/13/2000

05:53 PM -----

Miles M. Lackey

05/13/2000 05:52:04 PM

Record Type: Record

To: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message

cc:

Subject: Follow-up on Byrd/Warner

Update on Byrd/Warner as of Friday afternoon.

The Letter: the effort to get 34 signatures bogged down on Friday because friendly Republicans were reluctant to use "V" word this early in the process. They settled on a multi-step process -- beginning with a dear colleague signed by ten Senators (6 Democrats and 4 Republicans -- McCain, Hagel, Lugar and G. Smith) expressing opposition to the amendment -- with Wes Clark's letter attached. (The Dear Colleague went out on Friday afternoon). The 34-letter is still a possibility for later in the process.

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

The Veto Threat: Cohen sent his veto letter to Stevens on Friday morning -- and DoD Press provided to Eric Schmit on Friday evening.

The Alternative: Democrats continue to work on alternative language.

Several staff meetings occurred on Friday and another is planned on Monday afternoon. Levin's staff plans to propose the following to the group on Monday:

- 1) in lieu of the Byrd section (automatic withdrawal unless Congress authorizes), the Levin proposal would require a report by July 1, 2001 on the utility of the participation of U.S. forces in the operation; and
- 2) in lieu of the Warner section (burdensharing benchmarks), Levin would retain the same basic structure -- with the exception that a failure to certify would trigger expedited procedures for a withdrawal resolution (i.e., the presumption is reversed -- U.S. forces remain in Kosovo unless Congress forces them to withdraw).

It is not clear to me that these staffers have thought through exactly how such an alternative would be used (e.g., as an organizing tool for the 34-letter . . . or as an actual amendment for the floor);

I have not seen any text yet. They have asked for input from us, especially on ways to modify the Warner benchmarks to ensure that we can make the certification;

Briefings/Party Caucuses: Gen. Clark is willing to do briefings/meetings next week as needed. Daschle' staff has asked that Clark/Cohen/Shelton provide a bi-partisan briefing for all Senators and that the same trio

attend the Democratic policy lunch on Tuesday. There are several problems with this request. First of all, it is unlikely that Clark/Cohen/Shelton will appear together in any forum; and second, we need to maintain a bi-partisan tone.

I suggest the following:

1) that the Pentagon ask the Republicans to host a bi-partisan briefing for all Senators. If they decline (which they probably will), we drop back to a McCain/Lugar/Levin/Biden-sponsored briefing in S-407;

2) that we make the same request to both party lunches -- unclear what the Republican reaction will be.

I suspect the result will be a bi-partisan briefing of some sort -- and an appearance by Wes Clark at the Democratic lunch.

If this approach looks OK, we need to get the logistics for the briefings/party caucuses rolling Monday morning.

Message Sent

To: _____

Mara E. Rudman/NSC/EOP@EOP

Jacob J. Lew/OMB/EOP@EOP

Sylvia M. Mathews/OMB/EOP@EOP

Martha Foley/WHO/EOP@EOP

Charles E. Kieffer/OMB/EOP@EOP

Robert D. Kyle/OMB/EOP@EOP

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

Charles M. Brain/WHO/EOP@EOP

Mark J. Tavlarides/NSC/EOP@EOP

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. cable	re: Informal Defense Ministers' meeting, October 1 1997 (11 pages)	10/03/1997	P1/b(1)
002. cable	re: CINC South meeting with President Preval (3 pages)	10/10/1997	P1/b(1)
003. cable	re: Consultations with Turkey (3 pages)	11/19/1997	P1/b(1)
004. cable	re: Ambassador stresses U.S. priorities to Federation President Ganic (7 pages)	01/02/1998	P1/b(1)
005. cable	re: Report of the 26 January 1998 National Armaments Directors representatives (5 pages)	02/02/1998	P1/b(1)
006. cable	re: Gelbard meets Spanish Civil Guard Chief (4 pages)	02/06/1998	P1/b(1)
007. cable	re: Getting Spanish Civil Guards (2 pages)	02/09/1998	P1/b(1)
008. cable	re: McNamara/ President Perez Balladares meeting (5 pages)	02/18/1998	P1/b(1)
009. cable	re: FYROM Request for Funding [sic] in Support of Kosovo Contingency Operations. (2 pages)	03/19/1998	P5
010. cable	re: Gen. Clark's meeting with Defense Minister (5 pages)	03/26/1998	P1/b(1)
011. cable	re: Principal Deputy Undersecretary of Defense for Policy Lodal meets with Bulgarian Deputy Minister of Defense Kunchev (3 pages)	03/27/1998	P1/b(1)
012. cable	re: Scenesetter for DAS Ron Asmus visit to Poland (2 pages)	03/30/1998	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Cables
Jan 1997-Dec 1998 ([Gen Clark])
OA/Box Number: 520000

FOLDER TITLE:

[10/03/1997-03/30/1998]

2006-0325-F

bm320

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Cable

PREC: ROUTINE
CLASS: UNCLASSIFIED
LINE1: RAAUZYUW RUEHSQA0661 0781301-UUUU--RHEHAAX.
LINE2: ZNR UUUUU ZZH
LINE3: R 191301Z MAR 98
LINE4: FM AMEMBASSY SKOPJE
OSRI: RUEHSQ
DTG: 191301Z MAR 98
ORIG: AMEMBASSY SKOPJE
TO: RUEHC/SECSTATE WASHDC//PM// 5561
INFO: RUEKJCS/SECDEF WASHDC//USDP:DSAA//
RUFNGOA/USCINCEUR VAIHINGEN GE//ECJ4-ID//
RUEOUAB/HQ DRMS BATTLE CREEK MI//FMS-SOR//
RUEASAC/CDRUSASAC ALEXANDRIA VA//AMSAC-ME//
RHFTAAA/CDR DRMR EUROPE RHEIN MAIN AB GE//EO//
RUFDCOM/CDR 200TH TAMMC KAISERSLAUTERN GE//AERLA-MMC-DMR-ICO//
SUBJ: FYROM REQUEST FOR FUNDING IN SUPPORT
OF KOSOVO CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.

TEXT:

UNCLAS SKOPJE 000661

E.O. 12958: N/A

TAGS: MASS, MK

SUBJECT: FYROM REQUEST FOR FUNDING IN SUPPORT
OF KOSOVO CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.

1. ADDITIONAL EQUIPMENT IS URGENTLY NEEDED BY THE GOVERNMENT OF THE FORMER YUGOSLAV REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA (FYROM) FOR SUPPORT OF CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS RELATED TO THE RECENT KOSOVO SITUATION.

2. DURING THE MEETING ON 7 MAR 98 BETWEEN DR. KITANOSKI, FYROM MINISTER OF DEFENSE, AND GEN CLARK, SUPREME ALLIED COMMANDER EUROPE, THE MINISTER OF DEFENSE REQUESTED ADDITIONAL EQUIPMENT THAT IS VITALLY NEEDED TO CONDUCT CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS IN RESPONSE TO THE KOSOVO CRISIS. THIS REQUEST WAS FOR 2000 BULLET PROOF VESTS/FLAK VESTS/BODY ARMOR, COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT FOR UNITS FROM PLATOON TO BRIGADE, NIGHT VISION GOGGLES, ARMORED TRANSPORT VEHICLES, GROUND SURVEILLANCE RADARS, AND OBSERVATION HELICOPTER SUPPORT. THIS EQUIPMENT IS NEEDED TO DEAL WITH THE CURRENT SITUATION AND CRISIS IN KOSOVO.

3. THIS REQUEST IS IN ADDITION TO FYROM'S \$7.9 MILLION FOR FY98 FOREIGN MILITARY FINANCING WHICH IS ALREADY EARMARKED FOR EQUIPMENT AND

TRAINING AS IS THE \$6.75 MILLION OF FYROM'S
MILITARY PROCUREMENT BUDGET FOR 1998.

4. RECOMMEND THAT FYROM BE SUPPORTED
IMMEDIATELY WITH EQUIPMENT OR FUNDS TO EQUIP A
MINIMUM ESSENTIAL (2000 SOLDIERS) FORCE (BRIGADE
(-)) WITH FLAK JACKETS, OFF THE SHELF
COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT (SUCH AS THE MOTOROLA
GP-300 THAT THEY CURRENTLY USE IN THE SF UNIT),
AND NIGHT VISION CAPABILITY.

5. RECOMMEND FUNDING TO FACILITATE IMMEDIATE
PURCHASE OF THIS EQUIPMENT OR EMERGENCY
SHIPMENT ON A GRANT BASIS.
HILL

SECT: SECTION: 01 OF 01

SSN: 0661

TOR: 980319080451 M3204774

DIST:

SIT: BOUCHARD BRADEN CLARKER COVEY DAVIDSON DOWLING FLANAGAN MCELLOWNEY
RAGAN
SIT: NSC

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Fulton T. Armstrong to James F. Dobbins and Brenda J. Kinser-Kidane. (1 page)	09/20/1996	P5
002. email	Geoffrey Pyatt to James Baker re: Evacuation (1 page)	09/20/1996	P1/b(1)
003. email	Geoffrey Pyatt to James Baker re: Cable (2 pages)	10/25/1996	P1/b(1)
004. email	Elizabeth Verville to Peter Boynton and Theodore Piccone re: Panama (2 pages)	10/29/1996	P1/b(1)
005. email	Jeanne Wetzel to James Dobbins re: FW (2 pages)	10/30/1996	P1/b(1)
006. email	Joseph Sestak to Theodore Piccone re: Panama (3 pages)	11/01/1996	P1/b(1)
007. email	Theodore Piccone to Peter Bass et al. re: Talking points (3 pages)	11/12/1996	P1/b(1)
008. email	John Sparks to James Baker and Alan Kreczko re: Peru (2 pages)	12/18/1996	P1/b(1)
009. email	Michael Orfini to Leon Fuerth and William Wise re: Peru (4 pages)	12/18/1996	P1/b(1)
010. email	James Dobbins to Fulton Armstrong re: Cuba (1 page)	12/20/1996	P1/b(1)
011. email	Theodore Piccone to Katherine Veit re: Panama (2 pages)	01/31/1997	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail - Record (Sept 94 - Sept 97) ([Gen Clark])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[09/20/1996-01/31/1997]

2006-0325-F

bm376

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 20 September 96 06:53
FROM Armstrong, Fulton T.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT Panama [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Dobbins, James F.
Kinser-Kidane, Brenda J.
CARBON_COPY Piccone, Theodore J.
TEXT_BODY

Jim:

FYI for your meeting with Amb. Negroponte this morning ... You probably have already heard this from Ted and his contacts, but folks working Panama at State and Joint Staff are expressing aggravation over SOUTHCOM's reluctance to commit to post-99 force structure and to formulate concrete position on counternarcotics center proposal. Just this week, they said, Gen. Clark remanded yet another draft proposal. In conversations with them yesterday, I got the feeling that Washington community wants to move ahead -- to get Negroponte primed and to get exploratory talks underway -- but SOUTHCOM is not coming through.

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Theodore Piccone to Eileen Biernacki et al. reL MOMEp option paper (4 pages)	09/12/1996	P1/b(1)
002. email	Theodore Piccone to Geoffrey Pyatt re: POTUS/CINCs (2 pages)	01/27/1997	P1/b(1)
003. email	Theodore Piccone to Joseph Sestak re: MOMEp (5 pages)	02/14/1997	P1/b(1), P5
004. email	Peter Boynton to Richard Clark and Elizabeth Verville re: General Wes Clark (2 pages)	03/07/1997	P1/b(1)
005. email	Joseph F. Bouchard to Robert G. Bell. Subject: General Clark Nomination. (1 page)	04/01/1997	P5

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail-Record (Sept 94-Sept 97) ([General Clark])
OA/Box Number: 570000

FOLDER TITLE:

[09/12/1996-04/17/1997]

2006-0325-F

bm378

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 01 April 97 08:17
FROM Bouchard, Joseph F.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT General Clark Nomination [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Bell, Robert G.
CARBON_COPY NO CC's on THIS MESSAGE
TEXT_BODY

Bob, One of the reasons I warned David Johnson yesterday that we should go slow on a Presidential statement until after coordinating with our NATO allies is that General Clark has very little NATO experience compared with his predecessors. Galvin, Rodgers, Shalikashvili and Joulwan all had significant NATO experience and were well known among our European allies. Clark had three years in Germany as a major in the 1970s. His only recent experience related to Europe was as the Director for Strategic Plans and Policy (J5) on the Joint Staff, which included serving as the senior military member of the U.S. negotiating team that crafted the 1995 Dayton peace accords. His relative lack of experience may not come up, but a premature announcement that creates the appearance of slighting the North Atlantic Council could cause a negative reaction in Europe in which his "lack of experience" becomes an issue.

Joe

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Larry Rossin to Katherine Veit re: Aristide (1 page)	09/22/1994	P1/b(1), P5
002. email	Larry Rossin to Kristen Cicio et al. re: Haiti Meeting (1 page)	09/27/1994	P1/b(1)
003. email	Larry Rossin to Kristen Cicio re: Today's 2:15 SVTS (1 page)	10/04/1994	P1/b(1)
004. email	Daniel Poneman to Steven Aoki et al. re: Korea (1 page)	10/04/1994	P1/b(1)
005. email	Larry Rossin to Rand Beers and Richard Clarke re: Lake/Aristide talkers (5 pages)	10/05/1994	P1/b(1)
006. email	Larry Rossin to Wilma Hall et al. re: Lake/Aristide Meeting (1 page)	10/05/1994	P1/b(1)
007. email	Daniel Poneman to Steven Aoki et al re: Korea Update (2 pages)	10/12/1994	P1/b(1)
008. email	Katherine Veit to Alexander Vershbow re: Memo (3 pages)	10/27/1994	P1/b(1)
009. email	Richard Wilhelm to Leon Fuerth and William Wise re: ExCom 31 Oct (2 pages)	10/31/1994	P1/b(1)
010. email	Steven Andreasen to Sandra Kelly and Angelyn Moody re: Meeting request (1 page)	11/08/1994	P1/b(1)
011. email	Jonathan Spalter to Alexander Vershbow re: Meeting with Nunn (2 pages)	11/10/1994	P1/b(1)
012. email	Alexander Vershbow to Donald Kerrick re: JCS Request for Reconsideration' (2 pages)	12/04/1994	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail-Record (Sept 94-Sept 97) ([Wes Clark])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[09/22/1994-01/31/1995]

2006-0325-F

bm379

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
013. email	Donald Kerrick to William Danvers re: JCS Request for Reconsideration (2 pages)	12/05/1994	P1/b(1)
014. email	Steven Andreasen to Sandra Kelly re: Scheduling request (1 page)	12/12/1994	P1/b(1)
015. email	Joan Edwards to Jessica Stern re: 9837 (3 pages)	12/15/1994	P1/b(1), P5
016. email	Jonathan H. Spalter to George M. Andricos, William C. Danvers, Donald L. Kerrick, Thomas B. Ross, and Alexander R. Vershbow. Subject: press plan for Bosnia. (4 pages)	12/20/1994	P5
017. email	Jonathan H. Spalter to George M. Andricos, Anthony J. Blinken, William C. Danvers, Christina L. Funches, Anthony Garner, Donald L. Kerrick, Betty A Marshall, Nancy H Maxfield, et al. Subject: Final Bosnia PA plan (3 pages)	12/21/1994	P5
018. email	Marcia Norman to Carolyn Cleveland and Martin Indyk re: Request for Concurrence (7 pages)	01/06/1995	P1/b(1)
019. email	Richard Saunders to Nancy Maxfield re: Draft Bosnia DC (5 pages)	01/09/1995	P1/b(1)
020. email	Alexander Vershbow to Richard Clarke and Susan Rice re: Final DC memo (5 pages)	01/11/1995	P1/b(1)
021. email	Nicholas Burns to Elisa Harris re: Yeltsin letter (1 page)	01/13/1995	P1/b(1)
022. email	Donald Kerrick to William Danvers et al. re: Bosnia DC (5 pages)	01/24/1995	P1/b(1)
023. email	Donald Kerrick to Anthony Gardner et al. re: Franks Mtg. (1 page)	01/30/1995	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail-Record (Sept 94-Sept 97) ([Wes Clark])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[09/22/1994-01/31/1995]

2006-0325-F

bm379

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
024. email	Melanie Darby to Susan Rice re: Call with Wes Clark (1 page)	01/31/1995	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail-Record (Sept 94-Sept 97) ([Wes Clark])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[09/22/1994-01/31/1995]

2006-0325-F

bm379

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

ZEP90AC9.FIN
Page 1 of 4

MS Mail

DATE-TIME 20 December 94 15:09
FROM Spalter, Jonathan H.
CLASSIFICATION ***** CLASSIFICATION NOT FOUND *****
SUBJECT press plan for Bosnia
TO Andricos, George M.
Danvers, William C.
Kerrick, Donald L.
Ross, Thomas B.
Vershbow, Alexander R.
CARBON_COPY NO CC's on THIS MESSAGE
TEXT_BODY [[BOSSTRAT.DOC : 3878 in BOSSTRAT.DOC]]Please provide comments to
this
draft schedule by COB
**ATTACHMENT
FILE DATE** 20 December 94 15:2
**ATTACHMENT
FILE NAME** BOSSTRAT.DOC

TIME-LINE FOR BOSNIA PUBLIC AFFAIRS STRATEGY

Objectives:

- 1) To convey with clarity the Administration's Bosnia policy as as Congress reconve
nes.
- 2) To influence Congressional opinion on unilateral lift through careful targetting
of media markets.

(Note: Though not proposed below, on-the-record and/or on-camera
briefings and interviews, can be organized as circumstances warrant)

December 26 - January 3
(Carter initiative, Bosnia-Bosnian Serb Negotiations)

Major Markets

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

-- Secretary Perry and General Shalikashvili joint byline op-ed (targetted for Washington Post and various regional and international papers through the Los Angeles Times Syndicate)

-- Solicit Bernard Rogers and John Galvin joint oped on unilateral lift targetted for New York Times or Wall Street Journal.

-- Tony Lake, Madeleine Albright and Secretary Perry separately background leading pundits/columnists/news analysts.

Regional Markets

-- Albright, Deutch and Slocombe begin background meetings with DC-based regional reporters (i.e. Chicago Tribune, Boston Globe, Cox, Knight Ridder, etc.)

-- Vershbow, Hunter, Slocombe, Nye, Wes Clark, Kruzel et. al. begin editorial board conference calls with various regional papers, targetted to markets in Congressional target group districts ((Dole, Gingrich, Cohen, Levin, Hyde, Berman)

International Markets

-- Vershbow, Slocombe, Nye, Clark et. al. begin background meetings with foreign press.

January 4 - 10

(Senate Hearings?, Consultative Group Meeting? Galbraith in Washington)

-- Put on standby possible Dr. Perry Bosnia Speech?
(For rebroadcast and distribution through posts and via WorldNet)

Major Markets

-- Place Rogers/Galvin oped.

-- Continue formal backgrounding by principals as necessary.

Regional Markets

-- Continue ed board, columnist call-arounds with Administration's Senate Hearing briefers.

-- Solicit/Place Congressional opeds.

-- Repackage Perry Speech for opeds.

International Markets

-- Peter Galbraith backgrounds selected foreign writers.

January 11- 17

(Bosnia Sanctions roll-over, Hurd in Washington, Senate Hearings?, Consultative Group Meeting?)

Major Markets

-- Hunter, Vershbow/Holbrooke/Nye call-around to targeted editorial writers/columnists on sanctions roll-over decision (January 13).

-- Solicit Hurd unilateral lift op-ed for placement in major daily (January 16 or 17)??

Regional Markets

-- Hunter, Nye, Vershbow, Clark, Kruzel ed board call-arounds

January 18-24

(Retired Flags Meet with POTUS, Ambassadors Crowe and Harriman in Washington, FM Juppe in Washington)

Major Markets

-- Solicit and place op-ed for signature by one or two retired flags who will meet with the President on January 23. (Truly, Woerner, Perry Smith, etc.)

-- Ed board meetings and/or interviews as necessary for Crowe.

Regional Markets

-- Ed board, columnist call-arounds continue

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 21 December 94 15:24
FROM Spalter, Jonathan H.
CLASSIFICATION ***** CLASSIFICATION NOT FOUND *****
SUBJECT Final Bosnia PA plan
TO Andricos, George M.
Blinken, Antony J.
Danvers, William C.
Funches, Christina L.
Gardner, Anthony
Kerrick, Donald L.
Marshall, Betty A.
Maxfield, Nancy H.
Mitchell, Calvin A.
Ross, Thomas B.
Spalter, Jonathan H.
Stephens, Kathleen D.
Vershbow, Alexander R.
Wozniak, Natalie S.

CARBON_COPY NO CC's on THIS MESSAGE
TEXT_BODY

The attached incorporates revisions suggested by State and Defense.

Thanks,

JS[[BOSSTRAT.DOC : 3844 in BOSSTRAT.DOC]]

ATTACHMENT
FILE DATE

21 December 94 15:23

ATTACHMENT
FILE NAME

BOSSTRAT.DOC

BOSNIA PUBLIC AFFAIRS STRATEGY

OPPOSING UNILATERAL LIFT LEGISLATION

Objective:

-- To influence Congressional votes on unilateral lift.

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

-- To limit the intrusion of Bosnia on the Administration's other objectives.

ACTIVITIES AND TIMING

In conjunction with public legislative affairs offices at State and Defense, we will be prepared to implement the following initiatives as circumstances on the Hill, within NATO, and in the Balkans require. Because the strategy is calibrated to influence Congressional votes, we must avoid spotlighting the issue prematurely.

Major Markets

-- OPED ONE. Secretary Perry and General Shalikashvili joint byline op-ed focusing on the US military and troop commitments required by a unilateral lift, and their policy implications. Can be placed Washington Post and various regional and international papers through the Los Angeles Times Syndicate. Fully cleared draft nlt January 5, 1995.

-- PUNDIT PUSH. As legislative circumstances warrant, Ambassador Albright, National Security Adviser Lake, Secretaries Christopher Perry separately background leading pundits/columnists/news analysts.

-- INTERVIEW BLITZ. In the week preceding Secretary Christopher's departure for his European meeting with Kozyrev, seek four to six satellite local television interviews in which unilateral lift will be a primary subject. Timing: week of January 9, 1995.

Regional Markets

-- OPED ONE. Solicit and place op-ed for signature by one or two retired flags who will meet with the President on January 23. (Truly, Woerner, Perry Smith, etc.)

-- OPED TWO. Solicit and place supportive Congressional opeds in appropriate regional papers.

-- OPED THREE. Solicit Bernard Rogers and John Galvin joint oped on unilateral lift to reach selected papers in individual Congressional Districts if a legislative vehicle emerges on which a vote might occur. Draft provided nlt January 10, 1995.

-- TALK RADIO. Identify markets for talk radio that will maximize pressure on swing votes. When unilateral lift emerges, deploy sub-Cabinet officials for targetted regional markets; Ambassador Crowe and Redman should be deployed, from abroad as needed. Timing,

Week of January 16; markets and vehicles identified by January 6.

-- REGIONAL BACKGROUNDERS. Sub-Cabinet officials begin background meetings with DC-based regional reporters (i.e. Chicago Tribune, Boston Globe, Cox, Knight Ridder, etc.) when legislative calendar warrants.

-- REGIONAL EDITORIAL BOARDS. Sub-Cabinet officials, (including Vershow, Hunter, Slocombe, Hobrooke, Nye, Wes Clark, Kruzel, Frasure, Kerrick et. al.) begin editorial board conference calls with various regional papers, targetted to markets in Congressional target group districts.

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Donald Kerrick to Alexander Vershbow re: JCS concerns (1 page)	02/07/1995	P1/b(1)
002. email	Wilma Hall to Alexander Vershbow re: UNPROFOR Croatia (2 pages)	02/08/1995	P1/b(1)
003. email	Anne Witkowsky to Robert Bell re: CFE DC Summary of Conclusions (1 page)	02/18/1995	P1/b(1)
004. email	Donald Kerrick to Anthony Gardner et al. re: Step Two Issue paper (3 pages)	02/18/1995	P1/b(1), P5
005. email	[CIA Act][partial] (1 page)	02/19/1995	P3/b(3)
006. email	Steven Andreasen to Robert Bell re: Further reductions (2 pages)	02/21/1995	P1/b(1)
007. email	Donald Kerrick to Alexander Vershbow re: One week (1 page)	03/06/1995	P1/b(1)
008. email	Alexander Vershbow to Donald Kerrick re: JCS comments on your paper (1 page)	03/17/1995	P1/b(1)
009. email	Daniel Poneman to Kristen Cicio et al. re: PC update (2 pages)	04/04/1995	P1/b(1)
010. email	Katherine Veit to Alexander Vershbow et al. re: Bosnia developments (2 pages)	04/19/1995	P1/b(1)
011. email	Donald L. Kerrick to Alexander R. Vershbow at 7:53. Subject: Subject: RE: Pls Call Wes Clark. (2 pages)	04/21/1995	P5
012. email	Steven Andreasen to Robert Bell re: ABM/TMD (2 pages)	04/24/1995	P1/b(1), P5

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail-Record (Sept 94-Sept 97) ([Wes Clark])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[02/07/1995-05/31/1995]

2006-0325-F

bm380

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
013. email	Donald Kerrick to Alexander Vershbow re: 4/24 Morning Summary (2 pages)	04/24/1995	P1/b(1)
014. email	Donald Kerrick to John Schmidt re: Bosnia- funding (1 page)	04/24/1995	P1/b(1)
015. email	Roger Cressey to Donald Kerrick re: Bosnia- funding (2 pages)	04/27/1995	P1/b(1)
016. email	Donald Kerrick to Roger Cressey re: Bosnia funding (3 pages)	04/27/1995	P1/b(1)
017. email	Donald Kerrick to George Andricos et al. re: JCS talking points (2 pages)	05/06/1995	P1/b(1), P5
018. email	Donald Kerrick to George Andricos et al. re: Bosnia DC briefing memo (6 pages)	05/08/1995	P1/b(1)
019. email	Donald Kerrick to Jane Baker et al. re: JCS view on relief package (1 page)	05/11/1995	P1/b(1)
020. email	Donald Kerrick to Jane Baker et al. re: ACTREQ Guidance (2 pages)	05/17/1995	P1/b(1)
021. email	William Danvers to Jane Baker et al. re: Hill calls (2 pages)	05/24/1995	P1/b(1)
022. email	Samuel Drew to Peter Bass et al. re: Revised Presidential Memo (1 page)	05/28/1995	P1/b(1)
023. email	Samuel Drew to Jane Baker et al. re: COS daily wrap up (2 pages)	05/31/1995	P1/b(1)
024. email	Steven Andreasen to Sandra Kelly and Angelyn Moody re: IWG on CTB (1 page)	05/31/1995	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail-Record (Sept 94-Sept 97) ([Wes Clark])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[02/07/1995-05/31/1995]

2006-0325-F

bm380

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

2F97C08D.FIN

Page 1 of 2

MS Mail

DATE-TIME 21 April 95 07:53
FROM Kerrick, Donald L.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT Subject: RE: Pls Call Wes Clark [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Vershbow, Alexander R.
CARBON_COPY NO CC's on THIS MESSAGE
TEXT_BODY

Spoke with Jim Pardew this am. Says Slocombe may be getting cold feet. Although Clark has large impact on Slocombe. Slocombe is in hospital today - routine, I'm told. But, you might call Kruzel or Slocombe to keep heat on.

Pardew also says OSD really turning against blue hats. Says even CJCS really doesn't care. We likely won't be making calls on step two until next week.

From: Vershbow, Alexander R.
To: Kerrick, Donald L.
CC: /R, Record at A1
Subject: RE: Pls Call Wes Clark [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Thursday, April 20, 1995 07:25 PM

We spoke. He has to go to CJCS but agrees that we've met their concerns and that PC should be avoided. Is OSD still on board?

From: Kerrick, Donald L.
To: Vershbow, Alexander R.
CC: /R, Record at A1; Kerrick, Donald L.
Subject: Pls Call Wes Clark [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Thursday, April 20, 1995 02:06 PM

Sandy:

Disturbing news from the Joint Staff. They are sending thru Wes Clark a recommendation to the CJCS to block the narrow 505 sending it to a PC. They hang their hat on CINC, EUCOM objections that it places US in jeopardy, etc. Pls call Clark and make following points:

- o Heard you are working 505 issue and are recommending to refer it to a PC because of CINC concerns.
- o Reason we went with narrow 505 in first place was at your insistence.

o If it goes to a PC, CJCS will find himself isolated in opposition.

o Support to Federation is high priority. President has agreed to support both in economic and military terms. Narrow 505 is military component that needs to be approved.

o Suggest you agree to narrow 505 now, rather than face strong push from Lake and Christopher at PC.

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	William C. Danvers to James Baker et al. at 21:10. Subject: Subject: Legislative Update. (4 pages)	06/01/1995	P5
002. email	Andrew Sens to Cathy Millison re: FW (2 pages)	07/07/1995	P1/b(1)
003. email	Andrew Sens to Stanley Roth re: Tasker (2 pages)	07/07/1995	P1/b(1)
004. email	Alexander Vershbow to Michael Sheehan re: 506a update (2 pages)	07/17/1995	P1/b(1)
005. email	Alexander Vershbow to Peter Bass et al. re: UK option (1 page)	07/17/1995	P1/b(1)
006. email	Samuel Drew to Alexander Vershbow re: JCS concerns (2 pages)	07/24/1995	P1/b(1)
007. email	Macarther X. Deshazer to Peter E. Bass et al. Subject: Subject: General Shali's Participation in DeMatos/Ben Ben. (2 pages)	08/03/1995	P5
008. email	Peter Bass to Sue Bremner et al. re: German memcon (6 pages)	08/16/1995	P1/b(1)
009. email	Sue Bremner to Mary Peters re: TL meeting with new British Ambassador (2 pages)	09/06/1995	P1/b(1)
010. email	Mary Peters to Richard Saunders re: Memo on Ireland (3 pages)	09/07/1995	P1/b(1)
011. email	Wilma Hall to Jane Baker et al. re: PC and Delegation (2 pages)	09/07/1995	P1/b(1)
012. email	John Feeley to Peter Bass re: East Slavonia (2 pages)	10/18/1995	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail-Record (Sept 94-Sept 97) ([Wes Clark])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[06/01/1995-11/28/1995]

2006-0325-F

bm381

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
013. email	Cathy Millison to Kristen Cicio re: DC on Bosnia (2 pages)	10/23/1995	P1/b(1)
014. email	Alexander Vershbow to Peter Bass et al. re: Bosnia Breakfast items (2 pages)	10/26/1995	P1/b(1)
015. email	Alexander Vershbow to Peter Bass et al. re: Lifting the Arms Embargo (3 pages)	10/30/1995	P1/b(1)
016. email	re: Secure Video [Partial] (1 page)	10/31/1995	b(2)
017. email	Ivo Daadler to Michael Sheehan re: CPL lunch (5 pages)	11/13/1995	P1/b(1)
018. email	Alexander Vershbow to Peter Bass et al. re: Berger lunch item (2 pages)	11/16/1995	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
 NSC Emails
 MSMail-Record (Sept 94-Sept 97) ([Wes Clark])
 OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[06/01/1995-11/28/1995]

2006-0325-F

bm381

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 01 June 95 21:10
FROM Danvers, William C.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT Subject: Legislative Update [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO

Baker, Jane E.
Bass, Peter E.
Cicio, Kristen K.
Darby, Melanie B.
Dohse, Fred J.
Emery, Mary C.
Gray, Wendy
Hall, Wilma G.
Harmon, Joyce A.
Hawkins, Ardenia R.
Joshi, M. Kay
Millison, Cathy L.
Sens, Andrew D.
Veit, Katherine M.

CARBON_COPY Andricos, George M.
Danvers, William C.
Fuerth, Leon S.
Funches, Christina L.
Wise, William M.

TEXT_BODY

Note for Tony, Sandy and Nancy:

There was an interagency meeting on Guatemala chaired by Richard Feinberg. We are in last stages of coordinating response to Specter and Kerrey inquiry for documents.

Coordinated with WH LA, USTR and State on China MFN. Once Bob's points are cleared, we will be ready to roll first thing in the morning.

On a Christopher Jerusalem letter, Martha Foley would not let it go until Tony has talked to Leon Panetta about the veto reference in the letter. Has been cleared interagency, this is last hurdle.

On Haiti, State has begun making some calls on a notification they are sending to the Hill next week for \$12 million for police training. Obey, Leahy and Hamilton all believe that despite potential problems (holds) notification is absolutely necessary.

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

On Bosnia, the SFRC has requested a hearing with State on Tues.-- Tarnoff will go since Christopher will be in Haiti on Monday and off to the Middle East on Wed.

SASC has requested a hearing and Perry is tentatively scheduled to testify before them on Wed. HCONS has requested a hearing for Thurs and Slocombe and Wes Clark are scheduled to testify.

There have or will be a number of Staff briefings. HACD (with foreign ops), HCONS, SASC and HIRC. In addition, Sandy Vershbow will brief Dole's and Gingrich's foreign policy staffers tomorrow morning. We will ask them if they want a leadership briefing at that time. The problem is that Christopher, Perry and Shali are not around much next week.

Dick Armev wants a briefing on Tuesday morning. He wants a comprehensive briefing on how the situation in Bosnia since '91 or '92 has evolved, as well as an update on the current crisis. Would Sandy Vershbow be best for such a briefing. Please advise.

Finally, in addition to all the calls we have been making on this issue over the past couple of days, Christopher will make some calls to the Hill on his flight back from Europe.

We had a meeting with key House Dem staff on HR 1561 today. Their strong recommendation is to hang tough and work against the bill. They do not believe that the Rs are serious about negotiations. They will try to eliminate many egregious foreign policy provisions without putting reorg. or resources on the table. (It is also unclear just how far they would be willing to give on foreign policy provisions.)

On the issue of whether Tony Hall will be able to cut his own deal, there are mixed accounts. According to staff at this briefing and to a conversation that State had with Howard Berman, Hall is on his own and has no specific plan as of yet. His original suggestion was to plus up money for Africa and Lat America and to establish a commission on reorganization. There are others who believe that Hall may be close to cutting a deal and has support of some key Dems. This seems unlikely considering all that we have heard, but this is being checked out tonight and tomorrow.

It is unclear how much AIPAC has pressed on the bill. We will know more next week when Dems get back and they do an other whip count. State, Commerce, DoD, Ag and Treasury have all been making calls on the bill.

Possible amends include:

Hyde-- War powers (he may let this slip because of Bosnia)
Burton-- cutting AID operating expenses
Roth-- 1/3 cut in resources
Manzullo-- cut funds for exchanges

Ackerman-- a reorg report instead of Gilman report
Gejdenson-- Sense of Congress on Iran sanctions
Andrews-- either one to eliminate OPIC or a Turkey-related amend
Reed-- cutting IMET to Indonesia
Traficant-- across the board cut
Roemer-- Chechnya
Bilbray-- border commission
Burton-- Panama
Mica-- buy out authority for rified employees as a result of consolidation
Smith-- additional funds for war tribunal to include Rwanda
Hamilton-- exempting S. Africa from an effort to deauthorize the AID Housing Guarantee program
Roth-- S of Congress and report on Syria
Possible Sense of Congress on N. Korea

While not all amends will be offered, this gives us an idea of what we could be facing. There will also be a manager's amendments that will take care of a number of amends en bloc. this amend will likely be used to try to get members to vote for the bill.

Unclear what the Dem vote count is at this point. According to Dem whip's office, we have at least 5 or 6 Dems who will vote yes. We will know better next week, when members return.

We had a read out on R vote count (not completely sure of accuracy), which indicates that they may be 30 votes short of passage. There are some Rs who may not support the bill, which means they need Dem support to get it passed. They are focusing on pro-life and yellow dog Dems. Tony Hall, who is pro-life, is a good example. Rs are whipping this hard.

We could possibly win this fight or at least put in a good showing. We will need to continue work it hard with calls, etc.

The Hill believes, because of the President's veto threat, the SAP and the public statements by Christopher, Tony and others, that we remain adamantly opposed to this bill. Any change in that position would have to be carefully and closely coordinated with our Dems. They have been backing us on the bill and would feel undermined and betrayed if we did not consult them and work with them on any possible changes.

In addition, it would not work to our advantage to negotiate with the Rs from anything but a position of strength, particularly because of all the rhetoric we have generated on this bill-- i.e. worst foreign policy bill in last 50 years, etc. That is to say if we were to negotiate, and i recommend that we don't, we would have to have a complete overhaul of the bill in order to protect our political credibility.

I believe if we win the vote on this week, or at least have a good showing, we will be in a much stronger position to craft a compromise with the Rs on reorg and resources. I am not suggesting we up the ante or the rhetoric,

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

only that we stay the course unless there is a clear sign that the Rs want a deal. (It is my understanding that Hamilton and Berman have indicated that they would be open to such a discussion but the Rs have not responded.)

Finally, we have not had a foreign assistance act for 10 years, we don't necessarily need one now. We will probably be able to get the necessary waiver if we indicate to them that we have no problem working with them, its just this bill we don't like. The appropriators are much more reasonable and want a bipartisan bill.

On War Powers, I spoke to Pat, who feels strongly that next week is not a good week to get the President engaged in this debate. Nonetheless, he agreed that we should check with Hamilton and Berman to see how they feel about the letter. I did, indicating to them we favor a repeal but that the only issue is the letter. Their staff indicated they believed their bosses would be against the letter going forward until after bill action is completed. They were confirming this with their bosses.

On terrorism, WH LA is holding an interagency leg meeting tomorrow on the terrorism bill.

There is an EAA meeting tomorrow as well with the Hill.

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 03 August 95 12:41

FROM Deshazer, Macarthur X.

CLASSIFICATION **** NO CLASSIFICATION ****

SUBJECT Subject: General Shali's Participation in DeMatos/Ben Ben

TO Bass, Peter E.
Cicio, Kristen K.
Emery, Mary C.
Hall, Wilma G.
Veit, Katherine M.

CARBON_COPY Baker, Jane E.
Battenfield, Pat
Darby, Melanie B.
Deshazer, Macarthur X.
Dohse, Fred J.
Gray, Wendy
Harmon, Joyce A.
Hawkins, Ardenia R.
Joshi, M. Kay
McCormick, Shawn H.
Millison, Cathy L.
Rice, Susan E.
Sens, Andrew D.

TEXT_BODY

PLEASE PASS TO TONY/NANCY

Tony:

Want to give you a heads up that General Shali is sending you note expressing reservations about his involvement in the proposed Dematos/Ben Ben visit. Gen Dave McIlvoy confirmed this moments ago. McIlvoy suggested that Shali's concern is about his office being used incorrectly. On Tuesday, Nancy spoke to Gen Wes Clark who, at the time, was okay with the visit but was concerned that it could be seen as a failure if either General, on return to Angola, reengages in military operations.

McIlvoy also implied that Gen Shali, anticipating closer scrutiny during his reconfirmation hearing, might be reacting to recent media criticism of his handling of the Bosnian situation and charges of inappropriate use of his office (involvement in policy).

Recommend you call Gen Shali (preempt note) and make the following points:

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

- -His involvement in this visit can help advance U.S. objectives in Angola.
- - Our purpose is to engage the senior military leaders of both the Angolan Government and UNITA more fully in the peace process and possibly undermine their will to pursue destructive agendas.
- -Expose leaders in a practical way to the role of the military in a democratic society.
- -Demonstrate professionalism, efficiency and effectiveness of our military by traveling to one or more military bases to witness troop training, weapons testing, etc.

If your decision is for Gen Shali not to be involved, recommend Secretary Perry be asked. I have discussed this with Nancy.

Mac

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Alexander Vershbow to Peter Bass et al. re: Policy Items for SVTS (1 page)	12/01/1995	P1/b(1)
002. email	M. Kay Joshi to Paul Albers et al. re: Bosnia TPs (4 pages)	12/05/1995	P1/b(1), P5
003. email	Alexander R. Vershbow to Peter E. Bass, Kristen K. Cicio, Wilma G. Hall, Katherine M. Veit, and Mary C. Wiley. Subject: Equip and Train/IMET (2 pages)	12/12/1995	P5
004. email	Eric Schwartz to Richard Clark re: FW (2 pages)	01/10/1996	P1/b(1)
005. email	Susan Rice to Peter Bass re: Meeting [partial] (1 page)	01/10/1996	P6/b(6)
006. email	Alexander Vershbow to Karen Dragone et al. re: Washfax to Send (6 pages)	01/22/1996	P1/b(1)
007. email	Anne Witkowsky to Peter Bass et al. re: Landmines (2 pages)	02/01/1996	P1/b(1)
008. email	John Feeley to Kristen Cicio re: Shali says no (1 page)	02/07/1996	P1/b(1)
009. email	Ivo Daadler to Alexander Vershbow re: FW: DC memo (6 pages)	02/13/1996	P1/b(1)
010. email	Ivo Daddler to Alexander Vershbow re: FW: DC memo (6 pages)	02/13/1996	P1/b(1)
011. email	Ivo Daddler to Alexander Vershbow re: Tomorrow's ExComm (1 page)	02/13/1996	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail-Record (Sept 94-Sept 97) ([Wes Clark])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[12/01/1995-02/20/1996]

2006-0325-F

bm382

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
012. email	Ivo Daadler to Richard Clarke et al. re: FW: Final DC memo for SRB (6 pages)	02/13/1996	P1/b(1)
013. email	Steven Simon to Rand Beers et al. re: Background paper (5 pages)	02/15/1996	P1/b(1)
014. email	Alexander Vershbow to Mark Parris re: CPL lunch (7 pages)	02/16/1996	P1/b(1)
015. email	Alexander Vershbow to Jane Baker et al. re: PC on Bosnia (2 pages)	02/16/1996	P1/b(1)
016. email	Kristen Cicio to M. Kay Joshi and Andrew Sens re: FW: PC attendance (2 pages)	02/20/1996	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
 NSC Emails
 MSMail-Record (Sept 94-Sept 97) ([Wes Clark])
 OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[12/01/1995-02/20/1996]

2006-0325-F

bm382

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 12 December 95 10:40
FROM Vershbow, Alexander R.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT Equip and Train//IMET [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Bass, Peter E.
Cicio, Kristen K.
Hall, Wilma G.
Veit, Katherine M.
Wiley, Mary C.
CARBON_COPY Daadler, Ivo H.
Danvers, William C.
Feeley, John F.

TEXT_BODY

For Tony and Sandy (prior to Tony's Meetings on Hill)

Wes Clark confirms that the Chairman has no problem saying that we envisage offering an IMET program (in areas like language training) to the Bosnian Federation and that some of the instructors could be uniformed military personnel. But JCS wants to stick with the mantra regarding "no U.S. military personnel" and would be very unhappy if we added a qualifier such as "in Bosnia" or "in the region." Wes agrees that, in practice, there might be the occasional involvement of uniformed personnel -- e.g. officers working in OSD or in DSAA -- but that any formula implying that "some" military personnel would participate would be too open-ended and opposed by the Chairman.

Therefore, I suggest you stress to the hardliners on the Hill that it is important that we keep our formulation unchanged in order to maintain the maximum PUBLIC distance between the U.S. military and the equip/train program. In practice, we expect that this will not be a blanket exclusion, and IMET is one example. Thus, we don't mind more permissive language in the resolution that would allow U.S. military personnel outside Bosnia to be involved.

On the second Dole/Barratta complaint, everyone in town has no problem amending our mantra to say we will play a "leadership role" or "leading role" in coordinating the international equip/train effort, along the lines of the current Dole-McCain text.

Other items: I don't know where things stand on the "whereas" paragraphs. Holbrooke said Silajdzic promised to knock out the description of the Dayton agreement as "ratification of ethnic cleansing" (the ONLY

characterization of the agreement that appears in the Dole-McCain text). If it seems opportune, you should reiterate our objections to this phrase, arguing that it is an insult to the Bosnian Government as well as to the USG, and that it could spell the difference between our supporting vs. acquiescing in the resolution.

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Eric P. Schwartz to Timothy J. Atkin et al. Subject: Subject: FW: Query re USG guest list. (3 pages)	10/13/1994	P5
002. email	Donald Kerrick to Richard Saunders re: FW: Circulating SWG paper (10 pages)	11/01/1994	P1/b(1)
003. email	Donald Kerrick to Nancy Maxfield re: Please print on good paper (2 pages)	11/01/1994	P1/b(1)
004. email	Alexander Vershbow to Kristen Cicio et al. re: Bosnia (7 pages)	11/01/1994	P1/b(1), P5
005. email	Donald Kerrick to William Danvers et al. re: Draft Bosnia SC [CIA Act] (5 pages)	11/08/1994	P1/b(1), P3/b(3)
006. email	Donald Kerrick to George Andricos et al. re: Draft two of Bosnia PC SC [CIA Act] (5 pages)	11/08/1994	P1/b(1), P3/b(3)
007. email	Allison Wright to Beverly Roundtree re: PC participants [CIA Act] (4 pages)	11/08/1994	P1/b(1), P3/b(3), P6/b(6)
008. email	Donald Kerrick to James Baker et al. re: Bosnia PC SC [CIA Act] (7 pages)	11/08/1994	P1/b(1), P3/b(3)
009. email	Personal (Partial) [CIA Act] (1 page)	11/08/1994	P3/b(3)
010. email	Timothy Atkin to Donald Steinberg re: comment [CIA Act] (4 pages)	11/09/1994	P1/b(1), P3/b(3)
011. email	Donald Kerrick to Anthony Gardner et al. re: Bosnia PC SC [CIA Act] (3 pages)	11/23/1994	P1/b(1), P3/b(3)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail-Non-Record (Sept 94-Sept 97) ([Wesley Clark])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[10/13/1994-11/29/1994]

2006-0325-F

bm387

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
012. email	Jane Baker to Steven Andreasen re: for you [CIA Act] (4 pages)	11/25/1994	P1/b(1), P3/b(3), P6/b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail-Non-Record (Sept 94-Sept 97) ([Wesley Clark])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[10/13/1994-11/29/1994]

2006-0325-F

bm387

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

MS Mail

DATE-TIME 13 October 94 07:53
FROM Schwartz, Eric P.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT Subject: FW: Query re USG guest list [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Atkin, Timothy J.
Beers, Rand R.
Brown, Dallas
Clarke, Richard A.
Darragh, Sean J.
Lindsey, Wanda
Norman, Marcia G.
Rice, Susan E.
Richardson, Craig
Rossin, Larry
Roundtree, Beverly J.
Schwartz, Eric P.
Simon, Steven N.

CARBON_COPY NO CC's on THIS MESSAGE

TEXT_BODY

Larry:

Your State list of "no-goes" looks basically right to me with the exception of McKinley. He has spent most of his working hours since May on these issues, as opposed to most of the others on your no-go list. (The fact that he is not a standing member of the Ex Com doesn't change that.) I think it would be wrong to exclude him.

Eric

From: Rossin, Larry
To: Clarke, Richard A.
CC: Lindsey, Wanda D.; Norman, Marcia G.; Schwartz, Eric P.; Simon, Steven N.
Subject: Query re USG guest list
Date: Wednesday, October 12, 1994 09:29 PM

Dick, I asked State to give me names for people to invite to the Friday event with POTUS and Aristide, now set for 10:15-10:45 outside the West Wing Lobby portico. Then it occurred to me I shd cast the net a bit wider; I view this as a perk for those who have worked this issue hard as well as a

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

standard invite list. I looked at the EXCOMM list plus a few of my contacts. I think I can get in up to 20 (I've shown us at the bottom but don;t count since we don;t need invitations to get in). What do you think of below? Any I'm missing/shd add? I need to get this to OPL Thursday morning.

(FYI: State people I did not put on my list: Doug Bennet, Cook/PM, Wendy Sherman, Anne Patterson, Brunson McKinley, Shattuck, Oakley, Skol, Bill Bartlett/IO/PHO, Joe Snyder/IO/UNP, Debbie Bolton/PM/ISP, Ross Rodgers/INM/ICJ)

FRIDAY EVENT WITH POTUS AND HAITIAN PRESIDENT ARISTIDE

USG INVITEES

State

Strobe Talbott
Peter Tarnoff
Alexander Watson
Jon Plebani
James Dobbins
John Leonard
Michael Kozak
Robert Gelbard
Thomas McNamara
Nancy Jackson

OSD

Walter Slocombe
John Christiansen

JCS

LTG Wesley Clark
MG Jared Bates
Col Michael Hostage

AID

J. Brian Atwood
Mark Schneider
Marcia Bernbaum

(NSC

Richard Clarke

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

Eric Schwartz
Steven Simon
Larry Rossin)

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Susan Rice to Michael Sheehan and Helen Walsh re: Liberia summary [CIA Act] (5 pages)	06/05/1996	P1/b(1), P3/b(3)
002. email	M. Kay Joshi to Ivo Daadler et al. re: DC List of Participants [CIA Act][partial] (1 page)	06/10/1996	P3/b(3)
003. email	Ivo Daadler to John Feeley and Alexander Vershbow re: DC SOC [CIA Act] (3 pages)	06/10/1996	P1/b(1), P3/b(3)
004. email	[CIA Act] [Partial] (1 page)	06/11/1996	P3/b(3)
005. email	Anne Witkowsky to Robert Bell re: Landmines Decision Paper [CIA Act] (11 pages)	06/20/1996	P1/b(1), P3/b(3)
006. email	M. Kay Joshi to Ivo Daadler et al. re: DC Bosnia Participants List [CIA Act][partial] (1 page)	07/15/1996	P3/b(3)
007. email	M. Kay Joshi to Fulton Armstrong et al. re: Participants List for DC [CIA Act][partial] (1 page)	07/24/1996	P3/b(3)
008. email	Theodore J. Piccone to Kristen K. Cicio, Joyce A. Harmon, and Jodi Kessinger at 12:18. Subject: RE: Ecuador Delegation Leader. (2 pages)	08/02/1996	P5
009. email	Katherine Veit to Peter Bass re: FW: Memo (5 pages)	08/05/1996	P1/b(1)
010. email	[CIA Act] [Partial] (1 page)	08/05/1996	P3/b(3)
011. email	Ralph Sigler to Todd Black re: Support for trip (5 pages)	08/07/1996	P1/b(1)
012. email	Ivo Daadler to Valon Wadsworth re: Summary [CIA Act] (5 pages)	08/21/1996	P1/b(1), P3/b(3)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
 NSC Emails
 MSMail - Record (Sept 94 - Sept 97) ([Wesley Clark])
 OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[06/04/1996-01/27/1997]

2006-0325-F

bm395

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
 P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
 P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
 P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
 P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
 P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
 b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
 b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
 b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
 b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
 b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
 b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
 b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
013. email	William Leary to James Baker re: Bosnia Document Notes (6 pages)	09/23/1996	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail - Record (Sept 94 - Sept 97) ([Wesley Clark])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[06/04/1996-01/27/1997]

2006-0325-F

bm395

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

MS Mail

DATE-TIME 02 August 96 12:18
FROM Piccone, Theodore J.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: Ecuador Delegation Leader [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Cicio, Kristen K.
Harmon, Joyce A.
Kessinger, Jodi

CARBON_COPY Armstrong, Fulton T.
Bass, Peter E.
Dobbins, James F.
Hall, Wilma G.
Kinser-Kidane, Brenda J.
Melton, Stephen D.
Natoli, Kim M.
Piccone, Theodore J.
Pyatt, Geoffrey R.
Veit, Katherine M.
Wiley, Mary C.

TEXT_BODY

Apparently, State Protocol is waiting for the White House OK on including Ambassador Luigi Einaudi and General Wesley Clark on the official Presidential delegation list for the Ecuadoran inauguration. Are things on track? Thanks.

From: Cicio, Kristen K.
To: Harmon, Joyce A.
CC: /R, Record at A1; Soderberg, Nancy E.; @NSA - Natl Security Advisor;
@INTERAM - Inter-American
Subject: FW: Ecuador Delegation Leader [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Thursday, August 01, 1996 04:43 PM

Joyce,

SRB suggests "Mack McLarty, Donna Shalala (good sport), Henry Cisneros"

From: Harmon, Joyce A.
To: Berger, Samuel; Soderberg, Nancy E.
CC: /R, Record at A1; Dobbins, James F.; Piccone, Theodore J.
Subject: Ecuador Delegation Leader [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Thursday, August 01, 1996 02:56 PM

Please pass to NES and SRB as soon as possible:

ANTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

As predicted, all of the proposed candidates to head the delegation to the Ecuadoran president's inauguration (Perry, Kantor, Babbitt) have declined the invitation to do so.

Do either of you wish to suggest alternative nominees? I had been given to understand that it would be important to make this a Cabinet-level appointment in order to maintain parity with the treatment we gave Peru. I defer to you whether that is necessary; it will probably be difficult to find a Cabinet level official to do this on such short notice and in August.

State Protocol is also seeking additional names from State/ARA.

I await your guidance.

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Mary McCarthy to Richard Clarke re: Summary (1 page)	12/03/1996	P1/b(1)
002. email	Elizabeth G. Verville to Beverly J. Roundtree at 09:15. Subject: Christmas Party. (1 page)	12/05/1996	P5
003. email	Peter Boynton to Peter Bass et al. re: DOD Cuts Drugs 20% (3 pages)	01/07/1997	P1/b(1)
004. email	William Davis to Gordon Bendick et al. re: C-P-B lunch comments (3 pages)	01/09/1997	P1/b(1)
005. email	William Davis to Fulton Armstrong re: FW:Haiti (5 pages)	02/13/1997	P1/b(1)
006. email	Richard Clarke to Elizabeth Verville re: FW: Columbia (1 page)	02/13/1997	P1/b(1)
007. email	William Davis to Gordon Bendick et al. re: FW: Haiti Discussion Paper (13 pages)	02/20/1997	P1/b(1)
008. email	James Seaton to Angelyn Moody re: 0657 (21 pages)	02/25/1997	P1/b(1)
009. email	Phone No. (Partial) (1 page)	03/24/1997	b(2)
010. email	Eric Rubin to Joseph Bouchard re: FW: Wes Clark for SACEUR (1 page)	03/31/1997	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail-Non-Record (Sept 94-Sept 97) ([Wes Clark])
OA/Box Number: 605000

FOLDER TITLE:

[12/02/1996-04/17/1996]

2006-0325-F

bm399

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

MS Mail

DATE-TIME 05 December 96 09:15
FROM Verville, Elizabeth G.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT Christmas Party [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Roundtree, Beverly J.
CARBON_COPY Baldwin, Kenneth
Boynton, Peter J.

TEXT_BODY

Some ideas for invitees (bet I can guess which ones RAC will cut!) :

McCaffrey, Janet, Tim Atkin, Wanda, Bob Brown

Jane, Bob Gelbard, Nancy (Ely-Raphel), Jonathan Winer, Bob Sims; David
Passage(would be fun)

Mark Richard, Mary Lee Warren (surprise!)

What's the new FBI guy's name, Steve something? (Moody's replacement - he's
nice and helpful)John O'Neil of course

Jim Johnson, Kelly (? I still don't know him), Rick Newcomb

Dick Canas

Alan Holmes, Brian Sheridan

Adm. Kramek

Dave Carey

Gen Wes Clark; George Close in case they're in town

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Kevin Cosgriff to June Bartlett et al. re: Play of the Day (3 pages)	03/24/1999	P1/b(1)
002. email	Eric P. Schwartz to Glyn T. Davies et al. Subject: Humanitarian issues. (3 pages)	03/31/1999	P5
003. email	Gregory Schulte to Glyn Davies et al. re: Soldiers (1 page)	04/01/1999	P1/b(1)
004. email	Kevin Cosgriff to Keim Brown re: FW: Appeal on Call (2 pages)	04/01/1999	P1/b(1)
005. email	Lawrence Butler to Nancy Maxfield re: FW: Draft Memcon, POTUS-British PM Blair, 1 April 1999 (6 pages)	04/02/1999	P1/b(1)
006. email	Glyn Davies to Stephen Flanagan re: Appeal on call (2 pages)	04/02/1999	P1/b(1)
007. email	David Wippman to Eric Schwartz re: Possible Kosovo site (1 page)	04/02/1999	P1/b(1)
008. email	Gregory Schulte to June Bartlett et al. re: TP on Kosovo for Blair call (2 pages)	04/03/1999	P1/b(1)
009. email	Lawrence Butler to Susan Braden and Keim Brown re: FW: Draft Memcon, POTUS-British PM Blair, 3 April 1999 (7 pages)	04/05/1999	P1/b(1)
010. email	Gregory Schulte to Donald Kerrick re: FW: PDB Item (2 pages)	04/06/1999	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
Exchange-Record (Sept 97- Jan 01) ([Wes Clark])
OA/Box Number: 620000

FOLDER TITLE:

[03/24/1999-04/08/1999]

2006-0325-F

bm445

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

57211B82.FIN Page 1 of 5

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 3/31/99 11:19:48 AM
FROM Schwartz, Eric P.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT Humanitarian issues [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Davies, Glyn T.
Dejban, Donna D.
Hachigian, Nina L.
Kerrick, Donald L.
Millison, Cathy L.
Moretz, Sheila K.
O'Brien, Penelope R.
Rice, Edward A.
Scott-Perez, Marilyn L.
Storey, Sharon V.
Sutphen, Mona K.

CARBON_COPY Allen, Charles A.
Baker, James E.
Bandler, Donald K.
Bell, Robert G.
Blinken, Antony J.
Bolan, Christopher J.
Braden, Susan R.
Brown, Keirn C.
Busby, Scott W.
Butler, Lawrence E.
Crowley, Philip J.
Davidson, Leslie K.
DeRosa, Mary B.
Flanagan, Stephen J.
Gobush, Matthew N.
Gordon, Philip H.
Gray, Wendy E.
Guarnieri, Valerie N.
Halperin, David E.
Hurley, C. Michael
Kaufman, Stuart J.
Krass, Caroline D.
Lackey, Miles M.
Leavy, David C.
Malinowski, Tomasz P.
McCausland, Jeffrey D.
McEldowney, Nancy E.

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

Naplan, Steven J.
Pascual, Carlos E.
Quinn, Mary E.
Sapiro, Miriam E.
Schulte, Gregory L.
Schwartz, Eric P.
Tavlarides, Mark J.
Vaccaro, Jonathan M. (Matt)
vonLipsey, Roderick K.
Weiss, Andrew S.
Widmer, Edward L. (Ted)
Wippman, David

TEXT_BODY

Please pass to Sandy and Jim

Please pass to Sandy/Jim as soon
as possible

Sandy/Jim:

First, a read-out of 10 am humanitarian
IWG. The 552 drawdown has been signed by the Secretary, and will
be with POTUS today. We need him to sign. DoD is now thinking "big
and soon," including airlift, tents and other supplies, and serious
EUCOM involvement.

Here is where I come out on the humanitarian
coordination issues that Jim raised:

Internal Coordination:

NSC must take the lead, NSC should chair an IWG:

After the
crisis of last fall, NSC handed over management of humanitarian issues
to a State-chaired IWG. They simply cannot do the job that is now
needed, for a variety of reasons. Dobbins called me again to urge
that we formally take this over. He is correct.

Military representative:

Wes
Clark, in his capacity as CINC EUCOM, should appoint a General officer
at EUCOM, with planning background and excellent PR skills, to lead
the U.S. military response. He need not be based in a frontline
state, but should lots of time in the region -- he should get into
Albania asap -- perhaps with the EUCOM assessment team scheduled
to go in shortly.

Civilian public affairs

Our lead could be either Atwood or Taft. In this instance, given the refugee dimension, I'd recommend Julia. Rather than formally designate her (and anger Atwood), however, this will just happen.

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Samuel A. Moyn to Andrew S Weiss, Jack D. Segal, C. Michael Hurley, and Miriam E. Sapiro. Subject: RE: Clark pg. (3 pages)	08/03/1999	P5
002. email	David E. Halperin to Lindsey E. Huff. Subject: Re: CJCS event and Gen. Clark. (3 pages)	08/06/1999	P5
003. email	Joseph F. Bouchard to David E. Halperin at 4:03:27. Subject: RE: CJCS event and Gen. Clark. (2 pages)	08/06/1999	P5
004. email	Wendy E. Gray to M. Kay Joshi at 9:35:09. Subject: FW: POTUS CJCS remarks for Monday (11 pages)	08/09/1999	P5
005. email	M. Kay Joshi to Wendy E. Gray at 10:03:19. Subject: RE: POTUS CJCS remarks for Monday. (2 pages)	08/09/1999	P5
006. email	Matthew Mclean to Miriam Sapiro re: Deputies Lunch (4 pages)	08/10/1999	P1/b(1)
007. email	Address (Partial) (1 page)	08/10/1999	P6/b(6)
008. email	Address (Partial) (2 pages)	08/10/1999	P6/b(6)
009. email	Address (Partial) (1 page)	08/10/1999	P6/b(6)
010. email	Address (Partial) (1 page)	08/10/1999	P6/b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
Exchange-Record (Sept 97- Jan 01) ([Wes Clark])
OA/Box Number: 620000

FOLDER TITLE:

[08/02/1999-08/10/1999]

2006-0325-F

kh694

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 8/3/99 11:43:26 AM
FROM Moyn, Samuel A. (EUR/INTERN)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: Clark pg [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Weiss, Andrew S. (RUE)
Segal, Jack D. (RUE)
Hurley, C. Michael (EUR)
Sapiro, Miriam E. (EUR)

CARBON_COPY Kaufman, Stuart J. (RUE)

TEXT_BODY

OK; per Miriam, these contingency points are with Press.

Did Clark
order Jackson to take Pristina airport? Did Jackson refuse? Did the
President side with Jackson?

* NATO has welcomed Russian participation
in KFOR. Russian troops have worked well in Bosnia and are integrating
well into KFOR.

* I'm not going to comment further on this press
report.

-----Original Message-----

From: Weiss, Andrew S.
(RUE)
Sent: Tuesday, August 03, 1999 11:42 AM
To: Segal, Jack
D. (RUE); Moyn, Samuel A. (EUR/INTERN); Hurley, C. Michael (EUR);
Sapiro, Miriam E. (EUR)
Cc: Kaufman, Stuart J. (RUE)
Subject: RE:
Clark pg [UNCLASSIFIED]

See below from Hammer.
<< Message: press
[UNCLASSIFIED] >>

-----Original Message-----

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

From: Segal,
Jack D. (RUE)
Sent: Tuesday, August 03, 1999 11:42 AM
To: Moyn,
Samuel A. (EUR/INTERN); Weiss, Andrew S. (RUE)
Cc: Hurley, C.
Michael (EUR); Sapiro, Miriam E. (EUR)
Subject: RE: Clark pg [UNCLASSIFIED]

I'd
say definitely not change the answer already given --- should pick
up whatever they said at this point. Carlos is out this morning
at meetings.
AW: anything to add?

-----Original Message-----

From: Moyn,
Samuel A. (EUR/INTERN)
Sent: Tuesday, August 03, 1999 10:39 AM
To: Segal,
Jack D. (RUE)
Cc: Hurley, C. Michael (EUR); Sapiro, Miriam E.
(EUR)
Subject: RE: Clark pg [UNCLASSIFIED]

Obviously I have
no idea what really happened... I do know that Jamie Rubin and Ken
Bacon's deputy dodged this question yesterday, calling it ancient
history and a matter for historians. I followed them in adopting
an evasive tack. Should we do otherwise?

-----Original Message-----

From: Segal,
Jack D. (RUE)
Sent: Tuesday, August 03, 1999 10:34 AM
To: Moyn,
Samuel A. (EUR/INTERN)
Subject: RE: Clark pg [UNCLASSIFIED]

Sam,
do we know anything about the substance? Is this a fabrication or
a true story? Only the first point of your proposed reply is responsive
to the question. JDS

-----Original Message-----

From: Moyn,
Samuel A. (EUR/INTERN)
Sent: Tuesday, August 03, 1999 10:25 AM
To: Sapiro,

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

Miriam E. (EUR); Hurley, C. Michael (EUR); Segal, Jack D. (RUE)
Subject: Clark
pg [UNCLASSIFIED]

Context: The Washington Times report that Gen. Mike Jackson refused to move on SACEUR Gen. Wes Clark's order to take control of Pristina airport before Russian arrival there in mid-June. Jackson reportedly told Clark: "I'm not going to start World War III for you." The article reports that U.S. civilian authorities overruled Clark.

Did Clark order Jackson to take Pristina airport?
Did Jackson refuse? Did the President side with Jackson?

* We
can't comment on the accuracy of this report.

* In Sarajevo,
the President explained his unwavering confidence in General Clark and said that it is a "false inference" to connect the recent decision on SACEUR command rotation to Clark's performance.

* NATO has
welcomed Russian participation in KFOR. Russian troops have worked well in Bosnia and is integrating well into KFOR.

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 8/6/99 3:18:47 PM
FROM Halperin, David E. (SPCHW)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: CJCS event and Gen. Clark [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Huff, Lindsey E. (PRESS)

CARBON_COPY**TEXT_BODY**

call me 69377

-----Original Message-----

From: Huff, Lindsey
E. (PRESS)
Sent: Friday, August 06, 1999 3:18 PM
To: Halperin,
David E. (SPCHW)
Subject: RE: CJCS event and Gen. Clark [UNCLASSIFIED]

Do
you have the schedule for the ceremony? And, I am just about to
call CFR to have the remarks changed...

-----Original Message-----

From: Halperin,
David E. (SPCHW)
Sent: Friday, August 06, 1999 3:00 PM
To: Blinken,
Antony J. (EUR); vonLipsey, Roderick K. (EUR); Leavy, David C.
(PRESS); @DEFENSE - Defense Policy; @KERRICK; @SPEECH - NSC
Speechwriters;
@PRESS - Public Affairs; @KOSOVO
Subject: RE: CJCS event and Gen.
Clark [UNCLASSIFIED]

Another factor: Cohen and Shelton will speak
before POTUS. Cohen's speechwriter was similarly perplexed, but
almost certainly will recognize Clark, and what POTUS says may depend
on that.

-----Original Message-----

From: Blinken, Antony J.
(EUR)
Sent: Friday, August 06, 1999 2:51 PM

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

To: vonLipsey, Roderick
K. (EUR); Leavy, David C. (PRESS); Halperin, David E. (SPCHW);
@DEFENSE - Defense Policy; @KERRICK; @SPEECH - NSC Speechwriters;
@PRESS - Public Affairs; @KOSOVO
Subject: RE: CJCS event and Gen.
Clark [UNCLASSIFIED]

this is a must-to-do.

-----Original Message-----

From: vonLipsey,
Roderick K. (EUR)
Sent: Friday, August 06, 1999 2:37 PM
To: Leavy,
David C. (PRESS); Halperin, David E. (SPCHW); @DEFENSE - Defense
Policy; @KERRICK; @SPEECH - NSC Speechwriters; @PRESS - Public
Affairs;
@KOSOVO
Subject: RE: CJCS event and Gen. Clark [UNCLASSIFIED]

just
a note that Clark is a 4-star. Haven't seen who is attending (assume
would recognize certain others), but in light of last week's furor
over the Ralston/Clark issue, POTUS will surely want to recognize
Clark.

-----Original Message-----

From: Leavy, David C. (PRESS)

Sent: Friday, August 06, 1999 2:30 PM
To: Halperin, David E.
(SPCHW); @DEFENSE - Defense Policy; @KERRICK; @SPEECH - NSC
Speechwriters;
@PRESS - Public Affairs; @KOSOVO
Subject: RE: CJCS event and Gen.
Clark [UNCLASSIFIED]

i think we need to recognize him and that
is probably what the president will want to do but clearly an srb
question

-----Original Message-----

From: Halperin, David E.
(SPCHW)
Sent: Friday, August 06, 1999 2:27 PM
To: @DEFENSE -
Defense Policy; @KERRICK; @SPEECH - NSC Speechwriters; @PRESS -
Public
Affairs; @KOSOVO
Subject: CJCS event and Gen. Clark [UNCLASSIFIED]

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

Question

for all our experts in military affairs and/or communications:

OSD

tells me that Gen. Wes Clark will attend Monday's Chairman JCS event.

Do you think POTUS should:

-- Mention Gen. Clark in his opening
acknowledgments? (thereby slighting the other 3-stars present?)

--

Thank and acknowledge the presence of Gen. Clark in the part of the
remarks where he discusses the Kosovo conflict? (producing inevitable
applause that could overshadow JCS chairmen)

-- Both

-- Neither

Vote

on-line now. Thanks. David

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 8/6/99 4:03:27 PM
FROM Bouchard, Joseph F. (DEFENSE)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: CJCS event and Gen. Clark [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Halperin, David E. (SPCHW)

CARBON_COPY Andreasen, Steven P. (DEFENSE)
Bell, Robert G. (DEFENSE)
Binnendijk, Johannes A. (Hans) (DEFENSE)
Bouchard, Joseph F. (DEFENSE)
Brackman, Stella S. (DEFENSE)
Kelly, Sandra L. (DEFENSE)
Mitchell, Rebecca (Julie) J. (NSA)
Mulligan, George D. (DEFENSE)
Peterman, David (Brian) (DEFENSE)
Pimentel, Betsy J. (DEFENSE)
Wasserman, Elaine P. (EXSEC)
Witkowski, Anne A.

TEXT_BODY

David,

I've been out all afternoon; just saw this. I strongly recommend including his name in the opening acknowledgements, but not singling him out later in the Kosovo remarks. Not mentioning his name at all might be misinterpreted as a slight. Singling him out is not appropriate because the focus of the event is on the Chairmen -- and General Clark is not the Chairman. The positive statements about US forces in Kosovo will reflect positively on General Clark without his name being mentioned.

Joe

-----Original Message-----

From: Halperin,
David E. (SPCHW)
Sent: Friday, August 06, 1999 2:27 PM
To: @DEFENSE
- Defense Policy; @KERRICK; @SPEECH - NSC Speechwriters; @PRESS -
Public Affairs; @KOSOVO
Subject: CJCS event and Gen. Clark [UNCLASSIFIED]

Question

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

for all our experts in military affairs and/or communications:

OSD

tells me that Gen. Wes Clark will attend Monday's Chairman JCS event.

Do you think POTUS should:

-- Mention Gen. Clark in his opening
acknowledgments? (thereby slighting the other 3-stars present?)

--

Thank and acknowledge the presence of Gen. Clark in the part of the
remarks where he discusses the Kosovo conflict? (producing inevitable
applause that could overshadow JCS chairmen)

-- Both

-- Neither

Vote

on-line now. Thanks. David

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 8/9/99 9:35:09 AM
FROM Gray, Wendy E. (SPCHW/CNSLR)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT FW: POTUS CJCS remarks for Monday [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Joshi, M. Kay (EXSEC)

CARBON_COPY

TEXT_BODY

This one, which I sent a bit ago, is the most current / final version.

-----Original

Message-----

From: Gray, Wendy E. (SPCHW/CNSLR)

Sent: Monday,

August 09, 1999 9:18 AM

To: @CROSS - Cross Hatches

Subject: FW:

POTUS CJCS remarks for Monday [UNCLASSIFIED]

kay -- this is the

most current. However, Paul says there may be some edits in the next 15 minutes or so. If there are, I'll send a new version

-----Original

Message-----

From: Halperin, David E. (SPCHW)

Sent: Friday, August

06, 1999 5:42 PM

To: Halperin, David E. (SPCHW); @NSA - Natl Security

Advisor; @SPEECH - NSC Speechwriters; @EXECSEC - Executive Secretary;

@PRESS - Public Affairs; @DEFENSE - Defense Policy;

@NONPRO - Export

Controls; @LEGAL - Legal Advisor; @LEGISLAT - Legislative Affairs;

@KOSOVO

Subject: RE: POTUS CJCS remarks for Monday [UNCLASSIFIED]

For

SRB/JBS,

POTUS remarks for Monday JCS event, delivered in final to staff secretary for POTUS. The only revision from SRB-approved version is additional acknowledgments in the first paragraph.

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

One

new acknowledgment is of Wes Clark. Secretary Cohen, speaking before

POTUS, will likely also acknowledge General Clark. Of course, other 4-star officers there won't be acknowledged, and we don't want to overshadow the JCS Chairmen, but it seems to make sense to single out Clark under the circumstances. Bouchard, Leavy concur.

Also,

there is some chance Senator Helms will attend, which could heighten interest in our CTBT message.

-----Original Message-----

From: Halperin,

David E. (SPCHW)

Sent: Friday, August 06, 1999 11:30 AM

To: @NSA

- Natl Security Advisor; @SPEECH - NSC Speechwriters;

@EXECSEC -

Executive Secretary; @PRESS - Public Affairs; @DEFENSE - Defense

Policy; @NONPRO - Export Controls; @LEGAL - Legal Advisor;

@LEGISLAT

- Legislative Affairs; @KOSOVO

Subject: POTUS CJCS remarks for Monday

[UNCLASSIFIED]

POTUS remarks for Monday's event commemorating the 50th anniversary of the office of Chairman, JCS. Mostly drafted by Ted. SRB-approved and delivered to staff secretary.

<< File:

cjcs 1.doc >>

Large type version to follow later today.

TRANSLATED_ATTACHMENT

cjcs 1.doc

8/6/99 5:00 pm

Widmer/Halperin

PRESIDENT WILLIAM JEFFERSON CLINTON

REMARKS AT 50TH ANNIVERSARY OF CHAIRMAN OF JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF

FORT MEYER, VIRGINIA

AUGUST 9, 1999

Thank you, Sec. Cohen, for your fine remarks and for your remarkable leadership.

Gen. Shelton, thank you for your eloquent words and for all you are

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

doing to keep
America strong and secure. Sec. West; Sen. Thurmond, [others tk],
and all the
members of Congress here; our service secretaries; Gen. Wes Clark --
I am
extremely grateful for your tremendous leadership in our victory in
Kosovo; other
leaders and members of our armed forces; Alma Powell and all the
families; Gen.
Joe Ralston and all the current and former members of the Joint
Chiefs of Staff;
and especially the former Chairmen who are here -- Adm. Thomas
Moorer, Gen. David
Jones; Gen. John Vessey; Adm. William Crowe; Gen. John
Shalikashvili: I am very
honored to be with you to celebrate a half-century of extraordinary
leadership
and service.

As we have heard, it was fifty years ago that Omar Bradley was
summoned to assume
the new office of Chairman of the JCS. The great general who had
commanded the
First Army on D-Day once again answered his nation's call, as
America faced a
post-war stand-off at times as dangerous as the war itself.

Soon after, war broke out in Korea, and American forces again were
asked to
defend freedom. Coordination among the services and with the State
Department
and the White House was more important than ever. With Gen.
Bradley playing a
crucial role, America met its most urgent imperatives -- helping to
preserve
freedom in South Korea, even as we defended Europe and allowed
democracy to
flourish there. We proved, yet again, that our military is second to
none.

Since Gen. Bradley, 13 remarkable leaders have assumed the high
mantle of this
office, providing wise and honest counsel at crucial moments to every
President
and Secretary of Defense ... helping to shape a world of greater
promise than our
parents' generation could have imagined. Challenges always will
remain. But at
the end of the century, freedom is ascendant. America is secure. Our
people can

look forward with confidence. These 14 men deserve a great deal of the credit.

To Gen. Shelton and the former chairman here today, let me say, thank you for all you have done for America.

I have worked very closely with three Chairmen, Colin Powell, John Shalikashvili and Hugh Shelton -- as well as with a previous Chairman, Bill Crowe. My admiration for all of them is beyond measure. My esteem for the office has only grown more as I have met other former Chairmen. Few positions require its occupant to think harder about the threats that a nation faces and will face; few force a man, and someday a woman, to weigh more soberly the cost of action against the cost of inaction; few require a person to spend more time considering not only how to win war, but just as important, how to avoid war.

That includes the grave danger of nuclear war. Omar Bradley once said: "The way to win an atomic war is to make certain it never starts." The nuclear threat has receded in some respects, and increased in others. There is something we can do right now to reduce the risk further: We can ask the Senate to vote for ratification of the Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty -- to end nuclear testing forever.

The Treaty was proposed by President Eisenhower and championed by President Kennedy. The American people consistently have supported it. The United States and 151 other countries now have now signed it; 41 countries -- including many of our allies -- have already ratified. We must not let this extraordinary opportunity slip away.

Four of our former JCS Chairmen -- Gen. Jones, Adm. Crowe, Gen. Powell, and Gen. Shalikashvili -- have issued a statement endorsing the Treaty and its accompanying safeguards. They agree with Gen. Shelton that the Treaty is in America's national interest.

The reason why is clear: The United States has already stopped

nuclear testing.

Leading experts say we can maintain a safe and reliable nuclear deterrent without further tests. So the question is: will we join -- or will we lose -- a verifiable treaty that could prevent other countries from testing nuclear weapons?

If we do not ratify, the Treaty, by its terms, cannot enter into force.

And

countries around the world will feel increased pressure to develop and test

nuclear weapons, in ever-more destructive varieties, threatening the security of everyone on Earth.

So today once again I call on the Senate Foreign Relations Committee to hold

hearings on the Treaty this fall. The full Senate should vote for ratification

as soon as possible. It should do so for one simple reason: because the

Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty will strengthen the national security of the United

States and help build a safer world.

As we approach a new century, Americans have never been prouder of our men and

women in uniform. Thanks to their courage and skill during NATO's campaign in

Kosovo; a brutal campaign of ethnic cleansing has been reversed, our alliance is

united and strong, and there is new hope for a world where people are not

murdered and uprooted because of their ethnic heritage or the way they worship

God.

Operation Allied Force was one of the most remarkable military campaigns of the

century: over 30,000 sorties flown, and no combat casualties. But let us be

clear. It is dangerous to believe in the illusion of a risk-free war. There is

no such thing. In Kosovo, our pilots risked their lives every day; they took

enemy fire; they faced enemy aircraft; time and again, they put themselves in

greater danger to avoid hitting civilians on the ground. And not every conflict

will be like Kosovo; not every battle will be won from the air.

Our job is to reduce the risks as much as we can. That is why we should not send our servicemen and women into harm's way unless we are certain that the purpose is clear, the mission is achievable, and peaceful options have been exhausted. And when we do send them, we must make sure they have the tools they need to get the job done.

Our forces prevailed in Kosovo because of their incomparable skill and courage and also because the American people gave them the best equipment and training in the world. That must never change. We must always maintain a high level of readiness. We must prepare now for tomorrow's threats. We must never forget: Freedom is not free.

In his memoir of World War II, Omar Bradley wrote that no matter how high an officer's rank, it was important to "scoff at the myth of the indispensable man, for we have always maintained that Arlington Cemetery is filled with indispensable men." That statement is a tribute to the decency and humility of a remarkable leader. But surely it does not do full justice to the role played by the exceptional men who have filled this office. So to all of the Chairmen of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, thank you, once again, for your lifetimes of service to our great nation.

#

3

TRANSLATED_ATTACHMENT

cjcs.big.doc
8/6/99 5:00 pm
Widmer/Halperin

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

PRESIDENT WILLIAM JEFFERSON CLINTON
REMARKS AT 50TH ANNIVERSARY OF CHAIRMAN OF JOINT
CHIEFS OF STAFF
FORT MEYER, VIRGINIA
AUGUST 9, 1999

Thank you, Sec. Cohen, for your fine remarks and for your remarkable leadership.

Gen. Shelton, thank you for your eloquent words and for all you are doing to keep

America strong and secure. Sec. West; Sen. Thurmond, [others tk], and all the

members of Congress here; our service secretaries; Gen. Wes Clark -- I am

extremely grateful for your tremendous leadership in our victory in Kosovo; other

leaders and members of our armed forces; Alma Powell and all the families; Gen.

Joe Ralston and all the current and former members of the Joint Chiefs of Staff;

and especially the former Chairmen who are here -- Adm. Thomas Moorer, Gen. David

Jones; Gen. John Vessey; Adm. William Crowe; Gen. John Shalikashvili: I am very

honored to be with you to celebrate a half-century of extraordinary leadership and service.

As we have heard, it was fifty years ago that Omar Bradley was summoned to assume

the new office of Chairman of the JCS. The great general who had commanded the

First Army on D-Day once again answered his nation's call, as America faced a

post-war stand-off at times as dangerous as the war itself.

Soon after, war broke out in Korea, and American forces again were asked to

defend freedom. Coordination among the services and with the State Department

and the White House was more important than ever. With Gen. Bradley playing a

crucial role, America met its most urgent imperatives -- helping to preserve

freedom in South Korea, even as we defended Europe and allowed democracy to

flourish there. We proved, yet again, that our military is second to none.

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

Since Gen. Bradley, 13 remarkable leaders have assumed the high mantle of this office, providing wise and honest counsel at crucial moments to every President and Secretary of Defense ... helping to shape a world of greater promise than our parents' generation could have imagined. Challenges always will remain. But at the end of the century, freedom is ascendant. America is secure. Our people can look forward with confidence. These 14 men deserve a great deal of the credit. To Gen. Shelton and the former chairman here today, let me say, thank you for all you have done for America.

I have worked very closely with three Chairmen, Colin Powell, John Shalikashvili and Hugh Shelton -- as well as with a previous Chairman, Bill Crowe. My admiration for all of them is beyond measure. My esteem for the office has only grown more as I have met other former Chairmen. Few positions require its occupant to think harder about the threats that a nation faces and will face; few force a man, and someday a woman, to weigh more soberly the cost of action against the cost of inaction; few require a person to spend more time considering not only how to win war, but just as important, how to avoid war.

That includes the grave danger of nuclear war. Omar Bradley once said: "The way to win an atomic war is to make certain it never starts." The nuclear threat has receded in some respects, and increased in others. There is something we can do right now to reduce the risk further: We can ask the Senate to vote for ratification of the Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty -- to end nuclear testing forever.

The Treaty was proposed by President Eisenhower and championed by President Kennedy. The American people consistently have supported it.

The United States and 151 other countries now have now signed it; 41

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

countries --
including many of our allies -- have already ratified. We must not let
this
extraordinary opportunity slip away.

Four of our former JCS Chairmen -- Gen. Jones, Adm. Crowe, Gen.
Powell, and Gen.
Shalikashvili -- have issued a statement endorsing the Treaty and its
accompanying safeguards. They agree with Gen. Shelton that the
Treaty is in
America's national interest.

The reason why is clear: The United States has already stopped
nuclear testing.
Leading experts say we can maintain a safe and reliable nuclear
deterrent without
further tests. So the question is: will we join -- or will we lose -- a
verifiable treaty that could prevent other countries from testing
nuclear
weapons?

If we do not ratify, the Treaty, by its terms, cannot enter into force.
And
countries around the world will feel increased pressure to develop and
test
nuclear weapons, in ever-more destructive varieties, threatening the
security of
everyone on Earth.

So today once again I call on the Senate Foreign Relations Committee
to hold
hearings on the Treaty this fall. The full Senate should vote for
ratification
as soon as possible. It should do so for one simple reason: because the

Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty will strengthen the national security
of the United
States and help build a safer world.

As we approach a new century, Americans have never been prouder
of our men and
women in uniform.

Thanks to their courage and skill during NATO's campaign in
Kosovo, a brutal
campaign of ethnic cleansing has been reversed, our alliance is united
and
strong, and there is new hope for a world where people are not
murdered and
uprooted because of their ethnic heritage or the way they worship

God.

Operation Allied Force was one of the most remarkable military campaigns of the century: over 30,000 sorties flown, and no combat casualties. But let us be clear. It is dangerous to believe in the illusion of a risk-free war. There is no such thing.

In Kosovo, our pilots risked their lives every day; they took enemy fire; they faced enemy aircraft; time and again, they put themselves in greater danger to avoid hitting civilians on the ground. And not every conflict will be like Kosovo; not every battle will be won from the air.

Our job is to reduce the risks as much as we can. That is why we should not send our servicemen and women into harm's way unless we are certain that the purpose is clear, the mission is achievable, and peaceful options have been exhausted.

And when we do send them, we must make sure they have the tools they need to get the job done.

Our forces prevailed in Kosovo because of their incomparable skill and courage and also because the American people gave them the best equipment and training in the world. That must never change. We must always maintain a high level of readiness. We must prepare now for tomorrow's threats. We must never forget: Freedom is not free.

In his memoir of World War II, Omar Bradley wrote that no matter how high an officer's rank, it was important to "scoff at the myth of the indispensable man, for we have always maintained that Arlington Cemetery is filled with indispensable men." That statement is a tribute to the decency and humility of a remarkable leader. But surely it does not do full justice to the role played by the exceptional men who have filled this office. So to all of the

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

Chairmen of
the Joint Chiefs of Staff, thank you, once again, for your lifetimes of
service
to our great nation.

#

1

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 8/9/99 10:03:19 AM
FROM Joshi, M. Kay (EXSEC)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: POTUS CJCS remarks for Monday [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Gray, Wendy E. (SPCHW/CNSLR)

CARBON_COPY

TEXT_BODY

Thanks. Laura Marcus left here happy!

-----Original Message-----

From: Gray,
Wendy E. (SPCHW/CNSLR)
Sent: Monday, August 09, 1999 9:35 AM
To: Joshi,
M. Kay (EXSEC)
Subject: FW: POTUS CJCS remarks for Monday [UNCLASSIFIED]

This
one, which I sent a bit ago, is the most current / final version.

-----Original
Message-----

From: Gray, Wendy E. (SPCHW/CNSLR)
Sent: Monday,
August 09, 1999 9:18 AM
To: @CROSS - Cross Hatches
Subject: FW:
POTUS CJCS remarks for Monday [UNCLASSIFIED]

kay -- this is the
most current. However, Paul says there may be some edits in the next
15 minutes or so. If there are, I'll send a new version

-----Original
Message-----

From: Halperin, David E. (SPCHW)
Sent: Friday, August
06, 1999 5:42 PM
To: Halperin, David E. (SPCHW); @NSA - Natl Security
Advisor; @SPEECH - NSC Speechwriters; @EXECSEC - Executive Secretary;
@PRESS - Public Affairs; @DEFENSE - Defense Policy; @NONPRO - Export
Controls; @LEGAL - Legal Advisor; @LEGISLAT - Legislative Affairs;

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

@KOSOVO

Subject: RE: POTUS CJCS remarks for Monday [UNCLASSIFIED]

For
SRB/JBS,

POTUS remarks for Monday JCS event, delivered in final to staff secretary for POTUS. The only revision from SRB-approved version is additional acknowledgments in the first paragraph.

One new acknowledgment is of Wes Clark. Secretary Cohen, speaking before POTUS, will likely also acknowledge General Clark. Of course, other 4-star officers there won't be acknowledged, and we don't want to overshadow the JCS Chairmen, but it seems to make sense to single out Clark under the circumstances. Bouchard, Leavy concur.

Also,
there is some chance Senator Helms will attend, which could heighten interest in our CTBT message.
<< File: cjcs 1.doc >> << File:
cjcs.big.doc >>

-----Original Message-----

From: Halperin, David

E. (SPCHW)

Sent: Friday, August 06, 1999 11:30 AM

To: @NSA -

Natl Security Advisor; @SPEECH - NSC Speechwriters; @EXECSEC - Executive

Secretary; @PRESS - Public Affairs; @DEFENSE - Defense Policy;

@NONPRO

- Export Controls; @LEGAL - Legal Advisor; @LEGISLAT - Legislative Affairs; @KOSOVO

Subject: POTUS CJCS remarks for Monday [UNCLASSIFIED]

POTUS

remarks for Monday's event commemorating the 50th anniversary of the office of Chairman, JCS. Mostly drafted by Ted. SRB-approved and delivered to staff secretary.

<< File: cjcs 1.doc >>

Large

type version to follow later today.

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Hoyt Yee to Cameron Munter re: Turks (3 pages)	01/05/2000	P1/b(1), P5
002. email	Roger Cressey to Gina Abercrombie-Winstanley re: cable (10 pages)	01/06/2000	P1/b(1)
003. email	Róbert A. Bradtke to Johannes A. Binnendijk at 3:25:01. Subject: RE: state of the union. (2 pages)	01/06/2000	P5

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
Exchange-Record (Sept 97- Jan 01) ([Wes Clark])
OA/Box Number: 620000

FOLDER TITLE:

[12/21/1999-01/06/2000]

2006-0325-F

kh699

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 01/06/2000 3:25:01 PM
FROM Bradtke, Robert A. (EXSEC)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: state of the union [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Binnendijk, Johannes A. (Hans) (DEFENSE)

CARBON_COPY**TEXT_BODY**

no, you got one out of two. ali is already on the list being considered.

-----Original Message-----

From: Binnendijk, Johannes A. (Hans)
(DEFENSE)
Sent: Thursday, January 06, 2000 10:38 AM
To: Bradtke,
Robert A. (EXSEC)
Subject: RE: state of the union [UNCLASSIFIED]

could
we get Mohammad Ali.... sportsman of the century? I know you were
looking for junior officers, but how about Wes Clark? I may be thinking
too big. Hans

-----Original Message-----

From: Bradtke, Robert
A. (EXSEC)
Sent: Wednesday, January 05, 2000 10:05 AM
To: @PRESS
- Public Affairs; @SENIORS - Senior Directors; @SPEECH - NSC
Speechwriters
Cc: @NSA
- Natl Security Advisor; @EXECSEC - Executive Secretary; Pimentel,
Betsy J. (DEFENSE)
Subject: state of the union [UNCLASSIFIED]

all
senior directors:

as in the past, we have been asked to suggest
persons who should be included in the first lady's gallery for the
state of the union address. essentially there are two categories
of persons: a member of the armed services who has been involved

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

in some action in support of the administration's policies and "celebrities."

for

the first category, betsy pimentel has the lead in working with execsec to ask dod to come up with a list of five recommended persons for the chief of staff's office to review and select one. most likely, it would be someone who was involved in kosovo in some way, although other suggestions from podesta's office included persons who have been involved in drug interdiction, humanitarian relief, nato expansion. we do not need to come up with specific individuals. dod will do that. but if you have suggestions where we might ask dod to look, they would be welcome.

in the second category, the only suggestions from podesta's office were george mitchell and nelson mandela. i would welcome senior director comments and suggestions. ideally, the person would be an american, but this is not necessary, providing his or her actions are worthy of recognition.

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Cameron Munter to Antony Blinken et al. re: Davos (2 pages)	01/11/2000	P1/b(1)
002. email	Cameron Munter to Antony Blinken re: Davos Update (2 pages)	01/18/2000	P1/b(1)
003. email	Cynthia Gire to Robert Bradtke re: Davos Update (3 pages)	01/18/2000	P1/b(1)
004. email	Hoyt Yee to Antony Blinken et al. re: Davos Update (2 pages)	01/18/2000	P1/b(1)
005. email	Cameron Munter to Hoyt Yee re: Davos Update (3 pages)	01/18/2000	P1/b(1)
006. email	Antony Blinken to Cameron Munter re: Davos Update (2 pages)	01/18/2000	P1/b(1)
007. email	Elaine Wasserman to Mona Sutphen et al. re: Due List (8 pages)	01/19/2000	P1/b(1)
008. email	Tina Kaidanow to June Bartlett et al. re: DC Paper (11 pages)	01/25/2000	P1/b(1), P5
009. email	Roderick von Lipsey to Christopher Hill re: Cable (1 page)	01/27/2000	P1/b(1), P5
010. email	Betsy Pimentel to Philip Cullom re: Talking Points (4 pages)	01/27/2000	P1/b(1), P5
011. email	Hoyt Yee to Roderick von Lipsey re: Cable (2 pages)	01/27/2000	P1/b(1), P5
012. email	Betsy Pimentel to Kenneth Lieberthal re: Upcoming CINCs Conference (5 pages)	01/28/2000	P1/b(1), P5

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
Exchange-Record (Sept 97- Jan 01) ([Wes Clark])
OA/Box Number: 620000

FOLDER TITLE:

[01/11/2000-01/31/2000]

2006-0325-F

kh700

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
013. email	David (Brian) Peterman to Betsey J. Pimentel and Philip H. Cullom at 11:10:55. Subject: FW: Toast to CINCs--revised (4 pages)	01/28/2000	P5
014. email	Betsy J. Pimentel to David E. Halperin et al. at 11:46:04. Subject: FW: Toast to CINCs-- revised. (5 pages)	01/28/2000	P5

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
Exchange-Record (Sept 97- Jan 01) ([Wes Clark])
OA/Box Number: 620000

FOLDER TITLE:

[01/11/2000-01/31/2000]

2006-0325-F

kh700

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 01/28/2000 11:10:55 AM
FROM Peterman, David (Brian) (DEFENSE)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT FW: Toast to CINCs -- revised [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Pimentel, Betsy J. (DEFENSE)
Cullom, Philip H. (DEFENSE)

CARBON_COPY

TEXT_BODY

Betsy, Phil- Do you think the third para will have them squirming in their seats thinking, 'did I just get my butt chewed?' Considering the ongoing controversy surrounding jointness, this toast may be perceived as a roast. Don't think David wants to go there, even though this comment ties to a remark at the end of speech. Needs redo in my opinion. Am I off the mark?

-----Original Message-----

From: Halperin,
David E. (SPCHW)
Sent: Friday, January 28, 2000 10:52 AM
To: @SPEECH
- NSC Speechwriters; @DEFENSE - Defense Policy; @MULTILAT
- Multilateral
and Humanitarian Affairs
Subject: Toast to CINCs -- revised [UNCLASSIFIED]

Revised
remarks for toast at CINCs dinner. Please see if the jokes work.
Comments to me by 1 pm, please.

Betsy: Just doublechecking:
Adm.
Gehman is not retiring?
Gen. Schwartz should be called "Thomas,"
not "Tom"?

TRANSLATED_ATTACHMENT

cincs toast.doc
1/28/00 10:30 am
David Halperin
PRESIDENT WILLIAM JEFFERSON CLINTON
REMARKS AT CINCS DINNER
THE WHITE HOUSE

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

FEBRUARY 1, 2000

Sec. Cohen; Gen. Shelton; leaders of our armed forces; Mr. Berger; honored guests:

Hillary and I are delighted to welcome you to White House. We're grateful for the chance once again to meet with you and your spouses -- and to say: Thank you for your extraordinary service.

The schedule I received from my staff directs me to make "brief remarks." I interpret that to mean I shouldn't go on any longer than I did in the State of the Union. You know, one proposal we considered for the State of the Union was an initiative, focused on our military, to heal bitter divisions that have separated Americans for too long, and move toward One America. But, in the end, we decided it was hopeless. We'll never end interservice rivalries.

I want to acknowledge the leaders attending this dinner for the first time in their new roles: Gen. [Eric] Shinseki [shin-SEH-kee], Army Chief of Staff; Gen. [Jim] Jones, Marine Corps Commandant; Gen. [Thomas] Schwartz, CINC of U.S. Forces Korea; Adm. [Denny] Blair, CINCPAC. I also want to acknowledge Gen. [Joe] Ralston, our JCS Vice Chairman, who will be our new SACEUR; and Gen. [Dick] Myers, who will move into the Vice Chairman's slot. And I especially want to recognize Gen. [Wes] Clark, who is retiring. Wes, thank you for the remarkable job you have done leading our forces in Europe, particularly in the Kosovo conflict. Thank you for all you have done for our military and our country.

Our victory in Kosovo gives us new hope as we begin a new century. But still America faces a fundamental question: Will we continue to lead in the world, for peace and freedom and prosperity? We cannot lead if we do not remain strong. And the armed forces of the United States -- you and the men and women who serve

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

under you -- are the foundation of our strength.

In the past year, we have dealt with some difficult security challenges. I'm

proud that we've faced them together, as a team. I'm grateful for the tremendous skills you have brought to bear and for the candid, insightful advice you have provided.

The troops under your commands also have done a remarkable job, at great

sacrifice and risk. They maintained our vigilance against Iraq and North Korea.

They worked with our Asian partners to restore peace and give democracy a chance

in East Timor. They helped our neighbors in the Americas and our allies in

Turkey recover from devastating natural disasters. And they braved the skies over

Serbia in NATO's struggle against brutal ethnic cleansing and for a safer Europe.

Now, they are helping the people of Kosovo, along with those in Bosnia, seek the path to stability.

I am glad that I had so many opportunities to meet with our troops last year:

observing rebuilding efforts in Honduras; addressing Air Force Academy graduates

and presenting the CINC's trophy in Colorado Springs; honoring 50 years of

leadership by chairmen of the Joint Chiefs; meeting many times at bases in the

U.S. and Europe with the troops who made a difference -- and are still making a

difference -- in the Balkans.

We all know that our strong economy has increased the challenge of recruitment

and retention, and we will intensify our efforts to meet that challenge.

But I

can say, from all my visits with troops last year, that despite the obstacles,

our forces are full of outstanding men and women. Their skills are matched by

their patriotism; their courage equaled by their commitment to defend America and

make a better world. And they have inspired by the power of their example --

people of different backgrounds working together for the common good. Our men and women in uniform truly are leaders in building the One America we seek.

This year's budget will do more to give them the tools they need and the quality of life they deserve. It will help keep our forces ready and give us the modern weapons to maintain our edge. As in other areas of our budget, it will not solve every problem. But we have worked together to make tough spending choices, and I believe they are the right choices for our future.

Tonight, many Americans are looking to New Hampshire, as we renew our democratic process. I am honored to be spending this evening with the leaders of the forces that make possible our freedom and democracy at home -- and give hope to so many around the world.

Please join me in a toast to the men and women of America's armed forces.

#

1

1

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 01/28/2000 11:46:04 AM
FROM Pimentel, Betsy J. (DEFENSE)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT FW: Toast to CINCs -- revised [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Halperin, David E. (SPCHW)
Malinowski, Tomasz P. (SPCHW)
Matthews, Sonya (SPCHW)
Orzulak, Paul K. (SPCHW)
Widmer, Edward L. (Ted) (SPCHW)
Andreasen, Steven P. (DEFENSE)
Binnendijk, Johannes A. (Hans) (DEFENSE)
Brackman, Stella S. (DEFENSE)
Cullom, Philip H. (DEFENSE)
Hill, Roseanne M. (DEFENSE)
Mitchell, Rebecca (Julie) J. (DEFENSE)
Peterman, David (Brian) (DEFENSE)
Pimentel, Betsy J. (DEFENSE)
Witkowsky, Anne A. (DEFENSE)
Feldman, Daniel F. (MULTI)
Guarnieri, Valerie N. (MULTI)
Kale, Dora A. (MULTI)
McClean, Matthew K. (MULTI)
Naplan, Steven J. (MULTI)
Patten, Wendy L. (MULTI)
Schwartz, Eric P. (MULTI)
Stromseth, Jane E. (MULTI)
Vaccaro, Jonathan M. (Matt) (MULTI)

CARBON_COPY

TEXT_BODY

We still need to work on the opening. The reference to the length of the State of the Union is good, but the One America section could be taken in a variety of ways to include as a lecture to the CINCs about a divisive military. It would be better to build briefly on the length comment (my attempt is weak, but no ideas are coming to me) rather than leave questions in people's mind about a comment about rivalries.

From: Halperin, David E. (SPCHW)
Sent: Friday,
January 28, 2000 11:21 AM
To: Halperin, David E. (SPCHW); @SPEECH
- NSC Speechwriters; @DEFENSE - Defense Policy; @MULTILAT

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

- Multilateral
and Humanitarian Affairs
Subject: RE: Toast to CINCs -- revised
[UNCLASSIFIED]

some more edits and a query from Tom and me

-----Original
Message-----
From: Halperin, David E. (SPCHW)
Sent: Friday, January
28, 2000 10:52 AM
To: @SPEECH - NSC Speechwriters; @DEFENSE - Defense
Policy; @MULTILAT - Multilateral and Humanitarian Affairs
Subject: Toast
to CINCs -- revised [UNCLASSIFIED]

Revised remarks for toast at
CINCs dinner. Please see if the jokes work. Comments to me by 1
pm, please.

Betsy: Just doublechecking:
Adm. Gehman is not retiring?

Gen. Schwartz should be called "Thomas," not "Tom"?
<< File:
cincs toast.doc >>

TRANSLATED_ATTACHMENT

cincs toast.doc
1/28/00 10:30 am
David Halperin
PRESIDENT WILLIAM JEFFERSON CLINTON
REMARKS AT CINCS DINNER
THE WHITE HOUSE
FEBRUARY 1, 2000

Sec. Cohen; Gen. Shelton; leaders of our armed forces; Mr. Berger;
honored
guests:

Hillary and I are delighted to welcome you to White House. We're
grateful for
the chance once again to meet with you and your spouses -- and to
say: Thank you
for your extraordinary service.

The schedule I received from my staff directs me to make "brief
remarks." I

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

interpret that to mean I shouldn't go on any longer than I did in the State of the Union. In the interest of getting to our dinner, though, I will be brief.

I want to acknowledge the leaders attending this dinner for the first time in

their new roles: Gen. [Eric] Shinseki [shin-SEH-kee], Army Chief of Staff; Gen.

[Jim] Jones, Marine Corps Commandant; Gen. [Thomas] Schwartz, CINC of U.S. Forces

Korea; Adm. [Denny] Blair, CINCPAC. I also want to acknowledge Gen. [Joe]

Ralston, our JCS Vice Chairman, who will be our new SACEUR; and Gen. [Dick]

Myers, who will move into the Vice Chairman's slot. And I especially want to

recognize Gen. [Wes] Clark, who is retiring. Wes, thank you for the remarkable

job you have done commanding our forces in Europe, and leading NATO to victory

in Kosovo. Thank you for all you have done for our military and our country.

We emerged from the Kosovo conflict with brighter hopes for the new century. But

still America faces a fundamental question: Will we continue to lead in the

world, for peace and freedom and prosperity? We cannot lead if we do not remain

strong. And the armed forces of the United States -- you and the men and women

who serve under you -- are the foundation of our strength.

In the past year, we have dealt with some difficult security challenges. I'm

proud that we've faced them together, as a team. I'm grateful for your tremendous skills and for the candid, insightful advice you have provided.

The troops you command also have done a remarkable job, at great sacrifice and

risk. They've maintained our vigilance against Iraq and North Korea.

They worked

with our Asian partners to restore peace and give democracy a chance in East

Timor. They helped our neighbors in the Americas and our ally

Turkey recover

from devastating natural disasters. And they bravely waged NATO's struggle

against brutal ethnic cleansing and for a safer Europe. Now, they are

helping
the people of Kosovo, along with those in Bosnia, seek the path to
stability.

I am glad that I had so many opportunities to meet with our troops last
year:
observing rebuilding efforts in Honduras; addressing Air Force
Academy graduates
and presenting the CINC's trophy in Colorado Springs; honoring 50
years of
leadership by chairmen of the Joint Chiefs; and, from Norfolk to
Selfridge,
Ramstein to Camp Bondsteel meeting with the troops who made a
difference -- and
are still making a difference -- in the Balkans.

We all know that our strong economy has increased the challenge of
recruitment
and retention, and we will intensify our efforts to meet that challenge.
But I
can say, from all my visits with troops last year, that despite the
obstacles,
our forces are full of outstanding men and women. Their skills are
matched by
their patriotism; their courage equaled by their commitment to defend
America and
make a better world. They have prevailed by the example of their
power, and
inspired by the power of their example -- people of different
backgrounds working
together for the common good. Our men and women in uniform truly
are leaders in
building the One America we seek.

This year's budget will do more to give them the tools they need and
the quality
of life they deserve. It will help keep our forces ready and give us the
modern
weapons to maintain our edge. As in other areas of our budget, it will
not solve
every problem. But we have worked together to make tough spending
choices, and I
believe they are the right choices for our future.

Tonight, many Americans are looking to New Hampshire, as we
renew our democratic
process. I am honored to be spending this evening with the leaders of
the forces
that make possible our freedom and democracy at home -- and give
hope to so many

around the world.

Please join me in a toast to the men and women of America's armed forces.

###

1

1

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Gregory Schulte to Philip Crowley re: Question (3 pages)	07/06/2000	P1/b(1)
002. email	Thomas Greenwood to Gregory Schulte re: Backbrief (3 pages)	07/27/2000	P1/b(1), P5
003. email	Mara E. Rudman to Robert Malley at 7:55:12. Subject: FW: MEPP -- COMMISSION. (2 pages)	10/19/2000	P5
004. email	Robert Malley to Mara E. Rudman at 11:03:05. Subject: RE: Request from Annan's office for call with SRB, Friday, 0900. (3 pages)	10/20/2000	P5
005. email attachment	Progress Report (1 page)	n.d.	P1/b(1)
006. email attachment	Event Invites List [partial] (1 page)	n.d.	P3/b(3)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
Exchange-Record (Sept 97- Jan 01) ([Wes Clark])
OA/Box Number: 620000

FOLDER TITLE:

[06/06/2000-n.d.]

2006-0325-F

kh708

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

SA01FSAZ.FIN Page 1 of 2

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 10/19/2000 7:55:12 PM
FROM Rudman, Mara E. (NSA)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT FW: MEPP -- COMMISSION [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Malley, Robert (NESA)

CARBON_COPY

TEXT_BODY

i would rule out wes clark entirely. will discuss reasons offline.

-----Original Message-----

From: Moran, Kevin S. (NSA)
Sent: Thursday,
October 19, 2000 6:26 PM
To: Malley, Robert (NESA); @KERRICK; @RUDMAN
Cc: Riedel,
Bruce O. (NESA); Siberell, Justin H. (NSA)
Subject: RE: MEPP --
COMMISSION [UNCLASSIFIED]

DLK response:

"Rob... I wouldn't
rule him out completely. Others: George Joulwan; Shali; Steve Abbot;
General Jamerson (USAF); Butch Saint; Chuck Boyd (USAF). Joulwan
is tough, former SACEUR. Abbott, Jamerson, Saint, Boyd, are all
retired four stars, and all but Saint were DCINCs and had most of
the day to day responsibility for Israel. Jamerson has good rep,
as does Boyd." k

-----Original Message-----

From: Malley,
Robert (NESA)
Sent: Thursday, October 19, 2000 5:29 PM
To: @KERRICK;
@RUDMAN
Cc: Riedel, Bruce O. (NESA); @NSA - Natl Security Advisor
Subject: MEPP
-- COMMISSION [UNCLASSIFIED]

DON --

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

Everyone loved your idea of Wes Clark -- until Bruce happened to come across an article he wrote for Time in which he basically praises Israel's self-restraint and charges the Palestinians with using casualties as a strategic tool.

Well, so much for him.

That said, the idea of a military to be on the commission remains a good one. Any other suggestions??

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 10/20/2000 11:03:05 AM
FROM Malley, Robert (NESA)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: Request from Annan's office for call with SRB, Friday, 0900.
[UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Rudman, Mara E. (NSA)

CARBON_COPY**TEXT_BODY**

Father Hesberg was on our list. Aaron is very positive about him, so I trust that. He also is on the anti-incitement committee. I just thought we wanted someone with more name recognition, but he could be a good second.

I think checking with Tyrer would be a good idea.

-----Original Message-----

From: Rudman, Mara E.
(NSA)
Sent: Friday, October 20, 2000 10:37 AM
To: Malley, Robert
(NESA)
Subject: RE: Request from Annan's office for call with SRB,
Friday, 0900. [UNCLASSIFIED]

any of the first four : chris to
mitchell. i don't know the mil guys well -- but i also don't place
a lot of faith in don's read here... i can doublecheck impressions
with tyrer, whose read on this stuff i do trust. also mary beth
cahill yesterday mentioned father hesburgh??? don't know if christine
raised that name with you or not. i'm not familiar with him (though
i think sandy is), but wanted to pass it along.

-----Original
Message-----

From: Malley, Robert (NESA)
Sent: Friday, October
20, 2000 7:45 AM
To: Rudman, Mara E. (NSA)
Subject: RE: Request
from Annan's office for call with SRB, Friday, 0900. [UNCLASSIFIED]

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

Really?

I worked with him quite a bit and had a very different impression.

Who

would be your favorite(s) on the list?

-----Original Message-----

From: Rudman,

Mara E. (NSA)

Sent: Thursday, October 19, 2000 9:45 PM

To: Malley,

Robert (NESA)

Subject: RE: Request from Annan's office for call
with SRB, Friday, 0900. [UNCLASSIFIED]

i also would not go with

atwood. he's is quite unpredictable and doesn't have the strongest
political/people reading instincts.

-----Original Message-----

From:

Malley, Robert (NESA)

Sent: Thursday, October 19, 2000 7:25
PM

To: @NSA - Natl Security Advisor; @EXECSEC - Executive Secretary;
@RUDMAN

Cc: @NESASIA - NE/South Asia

Subject: FW: Request from
Annan's office for call with SRB, Friday, 0900. [UNCLASSIFIED]

Sandy,

This

may be a good opportunity to engage Kofi on the commission -- which
suggests the call may be a bit premature. If it could take place
a little later in the day, we could work this internally a bit more
and outline our ideas:

-- 2 to 3 members, with expert staff.

One or two US, one Norwegian.

-- If possible, we could suggest

some names -- which we probably could do if we had until the afternoon.

Atwood was suggested today, and most of us thought it was a good

idea. Wes Clark was initially viewed as a perfect choice, until

we noticed that he had published an article in this week's Time Magazine
praising Israel's restraint and suggesting that the Pals were using
casualties as a diplomatic tool.

Other names on a possible list:

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

Christopher, Eagleburger, Hamilton, Mitchell. We might also want to consider other military suggested by Don K: George Joulwan; Shali; Steve Abbot; General Jamerson (USAF); Butch Saint; Chuck Boyd (USAF).

-----Original Message-----

From: Hargis, Robert C. (WHSR)

Sent: Thursday, October 19, 2000 7:17 PM

To: @NESASIA - NE/South

Asia; @MULTILAT - Multilateral and Humanitarian Affairs; @NSA - Natl Security Advisor; @EXECSEC - Executive Secretary

Cc: @WHSR

- WH Situation Room

Subject: Request from Annan's office for call with SRB, Friday, 0900. [UNCLASSIFIED]

UNSYG's office called to request an Annan-Berger call for Friday, 20 October at 0900.

Office

number to coordinate: (212) 963-3730.

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001a. memo	Anthony Lake to John Podesta re: Military Nomination (1 page)	02/23/1994	b(6)
001b. report	Re: Rear Admiral John H. Fetterman, Jr. (2 pages)	ca. 02/23/1994	P6/b(6)
001c. memo	Keith Hahn to Anthony Lake. Subject: Military Nomination RE Rear Admiral Fetterman. (1 page)	02/14/1996	P5
001d. memo	SSN (Partial) (1 page)	ca. 01/1994	P6/b(6)
001e. memo	Memorandum for the President from Alphonso Maldon. Subject: Flag Officer Nomination. (1 page)	02/02/1994	P5
001f. memo	Memorandum for the President from William J. Perry. Subject: Flag Officer Nomination. (1 page)	01/30/1994	P5
001g. memo	Edwin Dorn to the Director, White House Military Office re: Rear Admiral John H. Fetterman, Jr. (2 pages)	01/26/1994	P6/b(6)
001h. memo	Edwin Dorn to Sam Nunn re: Rear Admiral John H. Fetterman, Jr. (2 pages)	n.d.	P6/b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Records Management
([Gen Clark])
OA/Box Number: 185

FOLDER TITLE:

9401134

2006-0325-F

kh745

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

February 14, 1994

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR ANTHONY LAKE

THROUGH: ROBERT BELL *RBB*

FROM: KEITH HAHN *KH*

SUBJECT: Military Nomination RE Rear Admiral Fetterman

Tab II nominates two military officers for promotion -- one active duty and one retired. The active duty nomination is for Major General Wesley Clark to be appointed to the grade of lieutenant general and to be assigned as Director for Strategic Plans and Policy (J-5) on the Joint Staff. There are no problems with this nomination.

The retired officer being nominated for promotion is Rear Admiral John Fetterman who served as Chief of Naval Education and Training at the rank of vice admiral until July 1992, when he reverted to one-star rank after he received a letter of censure from then Secretary of the Navy O'Keefe for creating the appearance of fraternization with an enlisted member of his staff. Upon the request of the Senate Armed Services Committee, DoD General Counsel reviewed the Navy IG report that led to this reprimand. On November 4, 1993, Secretary Dalton withdrew the letter of censure and, in its place, issued a non-punitive letter of caution. Since Admiral Fetterman had attended Tailhook in 1991, Secretary Aspin withheld a decision on whether to restore him in retirement to three-star rank. On January 30, Secretary Dalton determined that the Admiral had not engaged in any personal wrongdoing with regard to Tailhook and recommended his promotion in retirement.

Tab A provides a summary of the adverse information on RADM Fetterman and rationale for the DoD recommendation that he be retired at the three-star level. We concur in the DoD recommendation.

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the memorandum to John Podesta at Tab I.

Attachments

Tab I Memorandum to John Podesta
Tab A Adverse Information Summary Sheet
Tab II Incoming Correspondence

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001a. note	Nancy S. to Sandy S. [concerning Pentagon draft defending Clark's actions] (1 page)	09/19/1994	P5
001b. note	To Nancy [concerning John Deutch and General Shalikashvili's feelings concerning Clark] (1 page)	n.d.	P5
001c. memo	From Margie Sullivan to Nancy Soderberg. Subject: Lieutenant general Wesley Clark. (1 page)	09/15/1994	P5
002. letter	To the President [concerning General Clark's credibility] (3 pages)	n.d.	P5

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Records Management
[Wesley Clark]
OA/Box Number: 212

FOLDER TITLE:

9407198

2006-0325-F

xx17

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

September 19, 1994

To: Sandy V.

The Pentagon sent over a draft response defending Clark's actions. Their line is that Clark and his staff never received the US Charge D'affairs' disapproval of Clark's meeting with Mladic. They say he made all the right points in all meeting ("he clearly and forcefully expressed US concerns about the Bosnian Serbs' lack of cooperation in the peace process and pressed Mladic to make a significant overture of peace such as to demilitarize Sarajevo or one of the other safe zones.") [I've killed draft because it is too detailed and defensive.]

Please check with State on their view and draft response. TL suggest the following:

- 
- appreciate concern, apparently there was a miscommunication with State
 - Clark stated our policy clearly in meeting.
 - The Serbs have no doubt about policy.
 - Don't make judgement on whether Clark's action was appropriate or not.

Thanks, Nancy S.

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	James Covey to Glyn Davies et al. re: Post-SFOR (23 pages)	02/07/1998	P1/b(1)
002. email	Mara Rudman to James Covey re: February 8, 1998 (5 pages)	02/09/1998	P1/b(1), P5
003. email	Donald Bandler to Glyn Davies et al. re: Second Round (2 pages)	02/10/1998	P1/b(1), P5
004. email	Donald Bandler to Keirn Brown re: Small Group Preparation (13 pages)	02/20/1998	P1/b(1), P5
005. email	James Covey to M. Diana Helweg re: Information Memorandum (6 pages)	03/05/1998	P1/b(1), P5
006. email	Philip J. Crowley to Antony J. Blinken at 5:41:20. Subject: RE: Status of Defense Writers Group. (3 pages)	03/05/1998	P5

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
Exchange-Non-Record (Mar 97-Jan 01) ([Wes Clark])
OA/Box Number: 630000

FOLDER TITLE:

[02/06/1998-03/05/1998]

2006-0325-F

kh726

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 3/5/98 5:41:20 PM
FROM Crowley, Philip J.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: Status of Defense Writers Group [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Blinken, Antony J.

CARBON_COPY**TEXT_BODY**

Doug will plant that seed with SHAPE tomorrow.

PJ

-----Original

Message-----

From: Blinken, Antony J.

Sent: Thursday, March 05,
1998 5:31 PM

To: Crowley, Philip J.

Subject: RE: Status of Defense
Writers Group [UNCLASSIFIED]

makes sense.

-----Original Message-----

From: Crowley,
Philip J.

Sent: Thursday, March 05, 1998 5:08 PM

To: Blinken,
Antony J.

Cc: @PLANNING - Strat Plan & Comm; @PRESS - Public Affairs

Subject: RE:

Status of Defense Writers Group [UNCLASSIFIED]

Well, Clark's the

guy who will be responsible for integrating these new forces into NATO, working military plans, infrastructure and so on. When we say this is doable without altering NATO's strengths, Clark is it. I understand how easily the discussion can get hijacked by Bosnia, but I would bet that Shelton's first response to such a suggestion would be "I'm not the right guy."

PJ

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

-----Original Message-----

From: Blinken,
Antony J.
Sent: Thursday, March 05, 1998 4:58 PM
To: Crowley,
Philip J.
Cc: @PLANNING - Strat Plan & Comm; @PRESS - Public Affairs
Subject: RE:
Status of Defense Writers Group [UNCLASSIFIED]

yeah -- that would
be great. But is he too associated with Bosnia? Point we want to
make is that NATO+ is not about new fangled out of area expeditionary
forces, but first and foremost about defending security of member
states (and larger NATO can do that even better...)

-----Original
Message-----

From: Crowley, Philip J.
Sent: Thursday, March 05,
1998 4:57 PM
To: Blinken, Antony J.
Cc: @PLANNING - Strat Plan
& Comm; @PRESS - Public Affairs
Subject: RE: Status of Defense Writers
Group [UNCLASSIFIED]

Shelton just did DWG on February 13, so I
don't know if Harry would want him that quickly. I would think we
would want to do this with Wes Clark, the guy who actually has to
make it work. Clark was in town this week and will be back o/a March
25, according to the JCS. We can get Ken Bacon/Doug Wilson to plant
that seed with his folks if you want.

PJ

-----Original Message-----

From: Blinken,
Antony J.
Sent: Thursday, March 05, 1998 4:51 PM
To: Crowley,
Philip J.
Cc: @PLANNING - Strat Plan & Comm; @PRESS - Public Affairs
Subject: RE:
Status of Defense Writers Group [UNCLASSIFIED]

Berger nodded and
said yes in principle but don't bother me wiht this now. Still,
two things:

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

1. We may be able to convince him to do it on NATO
+, given problem we're having with "mission creep" argument.

2.

We propose having Shelton do the DWG on NATO + ASAP.

-----Original

Message-----

From: Crowley, Philip J.

Sent: Thursday, March 05,
1998 4:44 PM

To: Blinken, Antony J.

Cc: @PLANNING - Strat Plan
& Comm; @PRESS - Public Affairs

Subject: Status of Defense Writers

Group [UNCLASSIFIED]

Did we reach any decision on DWG at Communications
Meeting. Harry has become my phone pal.

PJ

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	James Covey to Glyn Davies et al. re: NATO (1 page)	04/02/1998	P1/b(1)
002. email	James Covey to Glyn Davies et al. re: Next Steps (2 pages)	05/02/1998	P1/b(1), P5
003. email	James Covey to Glyn Davies et al. re: Albania/Kosovo (2 pages)	05/11/1998	P1/b(1), P5
004. email	Stephen Flanagan to James Covey re: Notes for Small Group (2 pages)	06/01/1998	P1/b(1)
005. email	James Covey to Glyn Davies et al. re: Meeting with SACEUR Wes Clark (3 pages)	06/05/1998	P1/b(1)
006. email	James Covey to Lawrence Butler re: Presidential Call (8 pages)	06/08/1998	P1/b(1)
007. email	James Covey to Gary Bresnahan et al. re: Kosovo (7 pages)	06/09/1998	P1/b(1), P5
008. email	Stephen Flanagan to James Covey et al. re: Kosovo (3 pages)	06/09/1998	P1/b(1), P5
009. email	Anthony Campanella to James Covey et al. re: Kosovo (3 pages)	06/09/1998	P1/b(1), P5
010. email	George Chastain to M. Kay Joshi re: Blair MemCon (8 pages)	06/11/1998	P1/b(1)
011. email	Miriam E. Sapiro to Robert Malley at 8:55:51. Subject: FW: for Jim re Letters from Greek Community. (2 pages)	06/12/1998	P5
012. email	Stephen Flanagan to Sharon Storey et al. re: Kosovo (3 pages)	06/12/1998	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
Exchange-Non-Record (Mar 97-Jan 01) ([Wes Clark])
OA/Box Number: 630000

FOLDER TITLE:

[04/02/1998-06/12/1998]

2006-0325-F

kh727

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
--------------------------	---------------	------	-------------

013. email	Stephen Flanagan to Leonard Hawley re: Kosovo (3 pages)	06/12/1998	P1/b(1)
------------	---	------------	---------

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
Exchange-Non-Record (Mar 97-Jan 01) ([Wes Clark])
OA/Box Number: 630000

FOLDER TITLE:

[04/02/1998-06/12/1998]

2006-0325-F

kh727

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 6/12/98 8:55:51 AM
FROM Sapiro, Miriam E.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT FW: for Jim re Letters from Greek Community [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Malley, Robert

CARBON_COPY**TEXT_BODY**

understnd you have note below..

-----Original Message-----

From: Bandler,
Donald K.

Sent: Wednesday, June 10, 1998 5:43 PM

To: @NSA - Natl

Security Advisor

Cc: @EUROPE - European Affairs

Subject: FW: for

Jim re Letters from Greek Community [UNCLASSIFIED]

Please pass
to JBS from Don/Miriam

Jim -- two letters and background you should
be aware of:

(1) Andy Manatos, Andy Athens and Phil Christopher wrote to the President complaining about Administration officials' statements about Cyprus' efforts to acquire S-300 missiles, specifically excluding acceptable statements made by Dick and Tom. We had no idea what the offending official statements were; Tom asked Andy Manatos, who did not know (!) but said he would find out. Andy then faxed info about statments by Mike McCurry and Wes Clark. These are correct statements of our policy and concerns, and we do not believe that a reply would be productive.

(2) Andy Manatos and others have sent the President a long letter sharply critical of our "misguided policy" for the past five years, and stating that we will "bear considerable blame" if a war breaks out between Greece and Turkey. This letter deserves a response; we will try to set the record straight. What is interesting is that Andy told Tom that

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

he and some of the other signers had not wanted to sign but were pressured as a "loyalty test."

We wanted you to be aware of both letters. Dick and Tom remain in close touch with the Greek community, including and especially Senator Sarbanes. Overall reaction to our diplomatic efforts has been supportive and we hope these two letters remain an exception.

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Anthony J. Blinken to Daniel Benjamin at 5:37:02. Subject: RE: Korea Massacre. (2 pages)	10/12/1999	P5
002. email	Mark Tavlarides to Miles Lackey re: Legislative Update (1 page)	10/21/1999	P1/b(1)
003. email	Andrew Weiss to Carlos Pascual re: RUE (2 pages)	11/12/1999	P1/b(1)
004. email	Roderick von Lipsey to Tina Kaidanow re: Questions (5 pages)	12/08/1999	P1/b(1)
005. email	Tina Kaidanow to Christopher Hill re: One Additional Sentence (6 pages)	12/21/1999	P1/b(1), P5
006. email	Tracey A. Jacobson to Cynthia L. Gire at 09:39:37. Subject: FW: Davos: Wes Clark (1 page)	01/18/2000	P5
007. email	Antony J. Blinken to Cameron P. Munter at 11:19:47. Subject: FW: note to Bradtke on Clark (2 pages)	01/18/2000	P5
008. email	Cameron P. Munter to Bathsheba N. Crocker et al. at 11:27:52. Subject: General Clark and Davos Delegation. (2 pages)	01/18/2000	P5
009. email	Robert A. Bradtke to Mona K. Sutphen et al. at 10:21:05. Subject: RE: General Clark and Davos Delegation. (2 pages)	01/19/2000	P5
010. email	Robert A. Bradtke to Wendy E. Gray and Mara E. Rudman at 1:44:31. Subject: FW: General Clark and Davos Delegation. (3 pages)	01/19/2000	P5
011. email	Cameron P. Munter to Hoyt B. Yee at 4:17:49. Subject: Re: General Clark and Davos Delegation. (3 pages)	01/19/2000	P5

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
Exchange-Non-Record (Mar 97-Jan 01) ([Wes Clark])
OA/Box Number: 630000

FOLDER TITLE:

[10/12/1999-02/08/2000]

2006-0325-F

kh733

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
012. email	Personal (Partial) (1 page)	02/03/2000	P6/b(6)
013. email	Roderick von Lipsey to Tina Kaidanow re: DC Paper (13 pages)	02/07/2000	P1/b(1), P5

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
Exchange-Non-Record (Mar 97-Jan 01) ([Wes Clark])
OA/Box Number: 630000

FOLDER TITLE:

[10/12/1999-02/08/2000]

2006-0325-F

kh733

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- PI National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 10/12/99 5:37:02 PM
FROM Blinken, Antony J. (EUR)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: Korea Massacre [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Benjamin, Daniel (TNT)

CARBON_COPY

TEXT_BODY

sort of like Wes Clark....

-----Original Message-----

From: Benjamin,
Daniel (TNT)
Sent: Tuesday, October 12, 1999 5:22 PM
To: Blinken,
Antony J. (EUR)
Subject: RE: Korea Massacre [UNCLASSIFIED]

yup.
mixture of relief and revulsion at how he was treated. he heard
from Howie Kurtz that he was being replaced.

-----Original Message-----

From: Blinken,
Antony J. (EUR)
Sent: Tuesday, October 12, 1999 5:21 PM
To: Benjamin,
Daniel (TNT)
Subject: RE: Korea Massacre [UNCLASSIFIED]

interesting.
I have yet to connect with him. Have you?

-----Original Message-----

From: Benjamin,
Daniel (TNT)
Sent: Tuesday, October 12, 1999 1:46 PM
To: Blinken,
Antony J. (EUR)
Subject: FW: Korea Massacre [UNCLASSIFIED]

fyi.

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

-----Original

Message-----

From: Benjamin, Daniel (TNT)

Sent: Tuesday, October

12, 1999 1:46 PM

To: Pritchard, Charles (Jack) L. (ASIA)

Subject: Korea

Massacre [UNCLASSIFIED]

An odd question: Joe Bouchard tells me you are handling the Korean massacre issue, which I haven't followed at all. A friend of mine, Chuck Lane, called me inquiring if there was any need for someone to either run the investigation or write the report. You may have heard of Chuck -- until two weeks ago he was the editor of The New Republic. He is obviously a talented journalist, seems incredibly interested in the issue and in offering his services. I told him that I had no idea what was going on, but that a) some even greater eminence, someone who had been in government, would probably run the show but that b) there probably be someone in charge of the drafting. He still seemed interested in the latter, so I said I would check. What's the word on this?

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 01/18/2000 9:39:37 AM
FROM Jacobson, Tracey A. (ADMIN)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT FW: Davos: Wes Clark [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Gire, Cynthia L. (EXSEC)

CARBON_COPY

TEXT_BODY I understand he's been trying to get an invite without success.

-----Original

Message-----

From: Munter, Cameron P. (EUR)

Sent: Friday, January
14, 2000 9:36 AM

To: @EXECSEC - Executive Secretary

Subject: Davos:

Wes Clark [UNCLASSIFIED]

Cindy et al:

We have a request from

General Clark to be included in the President's delegation. He has
not been invited this year (they must see him as yesterday's guy).

But he would like to go and can get there himself. Would it be
possible to get him on the official delegation?

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 01/18/2000 11:19:47 AM
FROM Blinken, Antony J. (EUR)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT FW: note to Bradtke on Clark [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Munter, Cameron P. (EUR)

CARBON_COPY**TEXT_BODY**

per a conversation with bob, let's make this a note to SRB with CC.
to bob and Cindy Gire.

-----Original Message-----

From: Munter,
Cameron P. (EUR)
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2000 11:09 AM
To: Blinken,
Antony J. (EUR)
Subject: note to Bradtke on Clark [UNCLASSIFIED]

Tony,
for your comments.

UNCLASSIFIED

January 18, 2000

TO: SRB
THROUGH: TONY
BLINKEN
FROM: CAMERON MUNTER
SUBJECT: DAVOS: WES CLARK ON POTUS
DELEGATION

Wes Clark's POLAD called us last week with a request
that the President list Wes Clark on the Davos delegation. Clark,
who was invited to Davos in the past, was dropped from the list this
year (probably because he's "on his way out") and the POLAD was told
by our mission in Bern that the only way he could get in would be
as a delegation member. Clark would make his own arrangements for
travel, overnight, etc. [i.e. he would not/not fly with us on AF1
or need to be included in our hotel manifest.]

We strongly support

Clark's inclusion on the President's delegation list. Clark deserves to have been invited, and it can only strengthen the delegation to have our top soldier in Europe as one of its members.

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 01/18/2000 11:27:52 AM
FROM Munter, Cameron P. (EUR)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT General Clark and Davos Delegation [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Crocker, Bathsheba N. (NSA)
Moretz, Sheila K. (NSA)
Patterson, Pamela L. (NSA)
Scott-Perez, Marilyn L. (NSA)
Storey, Sharon V. (NSA)
Sutphen, Mona K. (NSA)

CARBON_COPY Blinken, Antony J. (EUR)
Crawford, Bernard T. (EUR/INTERN)
Holtzapple, Richard A.
Kass, Nicholas S. (EUR)
Maxfield, Nancy H. (EUR)
Munter, Cameron P. (EUR)
Norland, Richard B. (EUR)
Quinn, Mary E. (EUR)
Sapiro, Miriam E. (SEE)
Yee, Hoyt B. (EUR)
Gire, Cynthia L. (EXSEC)
Bradtke, Robert A. (EXSEC)

TEXT_BODY

UNCLASSIFIED

January 18, 2000

TO: SRB
THROUGH: TONY BLINKEN
FROM: CAMERON
MUNTER
SUBJECT: DAVOS: WES CLARK ON POTUS DELEGATION

Wes Clark's
POLAD called us last week with a request that the President add General Clark to his Davos delegation. Clark, who attended Davos in the past, was not invited this year (probably because he's "on his way out"). The POLAD was told by our mission in Bern that the only way Clark could attend would be as a member of the President's delegation.

Clark

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

would make his own arrangements for travel, overnight -- i.e. he would not/not fly on Air Force 1 nor would he need to be included on our hotel manifest.

We strongly support Clark's inclusion on the President's delegation list. Clark deserves to have been invited, and it can only strengthen the delegation to have our top soldier in Europe as one of its members.

cc: Bradtke, Gire

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 01/19/2000 10:21:05 AM
FROM Bradtke, Robert A. (EXSEC)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: General Clark and Davos Delegation [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Sutphen, Mona K. (NSA)
Munter, Cameron P. (EUR)
Crocker, Bathsheba N. (NSA)
Moretz, Sheila K. (NSA)
Patterson, Pamela L. (NSA)
Scott-Perez, Marilyn L. (NSA)
Storey, Sharon V. (NSA)
Sutphen, Mona K. (NSA)

CARBON_COPY Blinken, Antony J. (EUR)
Crawford, Bernard T. (EUR/INTERN)
Holtzapple, Richard A.
Kass, Nicholas S. (EUR)
Maxfield, Nancy H. (EUR)
Munter, Cameron P. (EUR)
Norland, Richard B. (EUR)
Quinn, Mary E. (EUR)
Sapiro, Miriam E. (SEE)
Yee, Hoyt B. (EUR)
Gire, Cynthia L. (EXSEC)

TEXT_BODY

my view is that this is a relatively small gesture towards someone who has been of considerable service to the president. i've checked with vershbow, who sees no problem, provided that a) clark goes in his us (not his nato) hat; and b) we give osd a heads up. mara will call tyrer.

-----Original Message-----

From: Sutphen, Mona
K. (NSA)
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2000 8:56 PM
To: Munter,
Cameron P. (EUR); @APNSA
Cc: @EUROPE - European Affairs; Gire,
Cynthia L. (EXSEC); Bradtke, Robert A. (EXSEC)
Subject: RE: General
Clark and Davos Delegation [UNCLASSIFIED]

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

bob - sandy wants your
thoughts on this. m

-----Original Message-----

From: Munter,
Cameron P. (EUR)
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2000 11:28 AM
To: @APNSA
Cc: @EUROPE
- European Affairs; Gire, Cynthia L. (EXSEC); Bradtke, Robert A.
(EXSEC)
Subject: General Clark and Davos Delegation [UNCLASSIFIED]

UNCLASSIFIED

January
18, 2000

TO: SRB
THROUGH: TONY BLINKEN
FROM: CAMERON MUNTER
SUBJECT: DAVOS:
WES CLARK ON POTUS DELEGATION

Wes Clark's POLAD called us last week with a request that the President add General Clark to his Davos delegation. Clark, who attended Davos in the past, was not invited this year (probably because he's "on his way out"). The POLAD was told by our mission in Bern that the only way Clark could attend would be as a member of the President's delegation.

Clark would make his own arrangements for travel, overnight -- i.e. he would not/not fly on Air Force 1 nor would he need to be included on our hotel manifest.

We strongly support Clark's inclusion on the President's delegation list. Clark deserves to have been invited, and it can only strengthen the delegation to have our top soldier in Europe as one of its members.

cc: Bradtke, Gire

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 01/19/2000 1:44:31 PM
FROM Bradtke, Robert A. (EXSEC)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT FW: General Clark and Davos Delegation [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Gray, Wendy E. (NSA)
Rudman, Mara E. (NSA)

CARBON_COPY**TEXT_BODY**

fyi. will you mention this to tyrer.

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sutphen, Mona K. (NSA)
Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2000 1:32
PM
To: Bradtke, Robert A. (EXSEC); Munter, Cameron P. (EUR); @APNSA
Cc: @EUROPE
- European Affairs; Gire, Cynthia L. (EXSEC)
Subject: RE: General
Clark and Davos Delegation [UNCLASSIFIED]

sandy said ok. m

-----Original
Message-----

From: Bradtke, Robert A. (EXSEC)
Sent: Wednesday,
January 19, 2000 10:21 AM
To: Sutphen, Mona K. (NSA); Munter, Cameron
P. (EUR); @APNSA
Cc: @EUROPE - European Affairs; Gire, Cynthia
L. (EXSEC)
Subject: RE: General Clark and Davos Delegation [UNCLASSIFIED]

my
view is that this is a relatively small gesture towards someone who
has been of considerable service to the president. i've checked
with vershbow, who sees no problem, provided that a) clark goes in
his us (not his nato) hat; and b) we give osd a heads up. mara will
call tyrer.

-----Original Message-----

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

From: Sutphen, Mona
K. (NSA)
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2000 8:56 PM
To: Munter,
Cameron P. (EUR); @APNSA
Cc: @EUROPE - European Affairs; Gire,
Cynthia L. (EXSEC); Bradtke, Robert A. (EXSEC)
Subject: RE: General
Clark and Davos Delegation [UNCLASSIFIED]

bob - sandy wants your
thoughts on this. m

-----Original Message-----

From: Munter,
Cameron P. (EUR)
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2000 11:28 AM
To: @APNSA
Cc: @EUROPE
- European Affairs; Gire, Cynthia L. (EXSEC); Bradtke, Robert A.
(EXSEC)
Subject: General Clark and Davos Delegation [UNCLASSIFIED]

UNCLASSIFIED

January
18, 2000

TO: SRB
THROUGH: TONY BLINKEN
FROM: CAMERON MUNTER
SUBJECT: DAVOS:
WES CLARK ON POTUS DELEGATION

Wes Clark's POLAD called us last
week with a request that the President add General Clark to his Davos
delegation. Clark, who attended Davos in the past, was not invited
this year (probably because he's "on his way out"). The POLAD was
told by our mission in Bern that the only way Clark could attend
would be as a member of the President's delegation.

Clark would
make his own arrangements for travel, overnight -- i.e. he would
not/not fly on Air Force 1 nor would he need to be included on our
hotel manifest.

We strongly support Clark's inclusion on the President's
delegation list. Clark deserves to have been invited, and it can
only strengthen the delegation to have our top soldier in Europe
as one of its members.

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

cc: Bradtke, Gire

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 01/19/2000 4:17:49 PM
FROM Munter, Cameron P. (EUR)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: General Clark and Davos Delegation [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Yee, Hoyt B. (EUR)

CARBON_COPY

TEXT_BODY

You're the one who gets to ride on the roof with him.

-----Original

Message-----

From: Yee, Hoyt B. (EUR)

Sent: Wednesday, January

19, 2000 4:17 PM

To: Munter, Cameron P. (EUR)

Cc: Blinken, Antony

J. (EUR)

Subject: RE: General Clark and Davos Delegation [UNCLASSIFIED]

Thanks,

but you deserve the credit, and the ride on the helo (I've had enough near-death experiences on SACEUR helos over the past 6 years). And when Wes asks to be added to the President's AF-1 manifest I want no linkage to me. Hoyt

-----Original Message-----

From: Munter,

Cameron P. (EUR)

Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2000 2:57 PM

To: Blinken,

Antony J. (EUR); Yee, Hoyt B. (EUR)

Subject: FW: General Clark

and Davos Delegation [UNCLASSIFIED]

Hoyt delivers! Wes owes you
a ride in a helicopter.

-----Original Message-----

From: Sutphen,

Mona K. (NSA)

Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2000 1:32 PM

To: Bradtke,

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

Robert A. (EXSEC); Munter, Cameron P. (EUR); @APNSA
Cc: @EUROPE
- European Affairs; Gire, Cynthia L. (EXSEC)
Subject: RE: General
Clark and Davos Delegation [UNCLASSIFIED]

sandy said ok. m

-----Original
Message-----

From: Bradtke, Robert A. (EXSEC)
Sent: Wednesday,
January 19, 2000 10:21 AM
To: Sutphen, Mona K. (NSA); Munter, Cameron
P. (EUR); @APNSA
Cc: @EUROPE - European Affairs; Gire, Cynthia
L. (EXSEC)
Subject: RE: General Clark and Davos Delegation [UNCLASSIFIED]

my
view is that this is a relatively small gesture towards someone who
has been of considerable service to the president. i've checked
with vershbow, who sees no problem, provided that a) clark goes in
his us (not his nato) hat; and b) we give osd a heads up. mara will
call tyrer.

-----Original Message-----

From: Sutphen, Mona
K. (NSA)
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2000 8:56 PM
To: Munter,
Cameron P. (EUR); @APNSA
Cc: @EUROPE - European Affairs; Gire,
Cynthia L. (EXSEC); Bradtke, Robert A. (EXSEC)
Subject: RE: General
Clark and Davos Delegation [UNCLASSIFIED]

bob - sandy wants your
thoughts on this. m

-----Original Message-----

From: Munter,
Cameron P. (EUR)
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2000 11:28 AM
To: @APNSA
Cc: @EUROPE
- European Affairs; Gire, Cynthia L. (EXSEC); Bradtke, Robert A.
(EXSEC)
Subject: General Clark and Davos Delegation [UNCLASSIFIED]

UNCLASSIFIED

January
18, 2000

TO: SRB
THROUGH: TONY BLINKEN
FROM: CAMERON MUNTER
SUBJECT: DAVOS:
WES CLARK ON POTUS DELEGATION

Wes Clark's POLAD called us last week with a request that the President add General Clark to his Davos delegation. Clark, who attended Davos in the past, was not invited this year (probably because he's "on his way out"). The POLAD was told by our mission in Bern that the only way Clark could attend would be as a member of the President's delegation.

Clark would make his own arrangements for travel, overnight -- i.e. he would not/not fly on Air Force 1 nor would he need to be included on our hotel manifest.

We strongly support Clark's inclusion on the President's delegation list. Clark deserves to have been invited, and it can only strengthen the delegation to have our top soldier in Europe as one of its members.

cc: Bradtke, Gire

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY