

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	To Kenneth Baldwin, James Bieda, Lloyd Brian, et al from Sitroom. Subject: WHSR Log 22 May 95. (3 pages)	05/23/1995	P1/b(1)
002. email	To Andrew Sens from Jocelyn Breeland. Subject: IOB Funding for FY 96. (2 pages)	05/24/1995	P1/b(1)
003. email	To Jane Baker, Bronya Clark, Melanie Darby et al from Jocelyn Breeland. Subject: IOB Funding Memo. (3 pages)	05/25/1995	P5
004. email	To Edwing Atlas, James Baker, Marion Blieberger, et al from Melanie Darby. Subject: FW: IOB Funding Memo. (4 pages)	06/05/1995	P5
005. email	To Lisa Hammonds from Rand Beers. Subject: Memo for TL. (2 pages)	09/18/1995	P2, P5, P6/b(6)
006. email	To Ardenia Hawkins from Judith A. Miscik. Subject: Killian. (4 pages)	10/03/1995	P1/b(1)
007. email	To Ardenia Hawkins from Judith A. Miscik. Subject: Killian2. (2 pages)	10/03/1995	P1/b(1)
008. email	To Daniel Benjamin from Cathy Millison. Subject: 7296-Killian TPS. (4 pages)	10/05/1995	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail-Record (Sept 94-Sept 97) ([Les Aspin])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[05/22/1995-10/05/1995]

Van Zbinden
2006-0999-F
vz341

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or
financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President
and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of
personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed
of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C.
2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of
an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial
information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of
personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement
purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of
financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information
concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

COPY

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 25 May 95 16:49
FROM Breeland, Jocelyn G
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT IOB Funding Memo [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Sens, Andrew D.
CARBON_COPY Baker, Jane E.
Clark, Bronya
Darby, Melanie B.
Gray, Wendy
Hawkins, Ardenia R.
Hilliard, Brenda I.
Joshi, M. Kay
Marmol, Madelyn P.
Millison, Cathy L.

TEXT_BODY
Andy - Attached is Jamie Baker-cleared version of IOB funding memo. Hard copy on it's way to you now. [[[IOBFUND.DOC : 4176 in IOBFUND.DOC]][[GIOBFUND.DOC : 4177 in GIOBFUND.DOC]]

ATTACHMENT
FILE DATE 25 May 95 16:43

ATTACHMENT
FILE NAME IOBFUND.DOC

20656

May 19, 1995

INFORMATION

MEMORANDUM FOR SAMUEL R. BERGER

THROUGH: ANDREW SENS

FROM: JOCELYN BREELAND

SUBJECT: Funding for IOB Guatemala Inquiry

PFIAB, the IOB and White House Admin estimate it will cost \$60,000 in FY 96 to support the IOB group conducting the Guatemala inquiry (detail at Tab I). We do not have funds in our FY 96 budget (already over \$400,000 underfunded) and Les Aspin, as Chairman of the PFIAB, has declined to contribute funds from PFIAB's allocation for this purpose. Both NSC and OA Counsel have believe that funding from White House or other outside sources would constitute an illegal augmentation of our appropriation.

The major issues are outlined briefly below.

At the direction of the President the Intelligence Oversight Board was asked to review certain intelligence issues involving Guatemala. In FY 95, the Board will receive administrative support from White House, PFIAB and NSC. White House has provided office furniture and some computer equipment, WHSSS provided additional computer equipment, PFIAB has provided travel funds through September 30, and NSC has transferred \$15,000 to the PFIAB account.

You are already aware of the budget difficulties we face in FY 96. If we accept the \$60,000 bill to support the IOB, we will have to replace another employee or reimbursable detailee with a non-reimbursable detailee or fellow.

Within our overall \$6,648,000 appropriation, the line between NSC funds and PFIAB funds is an artificial one that we create ourselves by agreement with PFIAB staff. We allocate a portion of our budget for PFIAB use just as we do for our own personnel, travel, and other budget line items. I agree that it makes sense for IOB support funds to come out of our joint NSC/PFIAB budget, particularly since the IOB is a standing committee of the PFIAB. I do not agree, as PFIAB is apparently trying to assert, that the sacrifices necessary to make these funds available should come solely from the NSC.

The PFIAB decision not to contribute PFIAB funds for this purpose has apparently come from the Chairman. We will likely have to reach agreement at that level to engage PFIAB in a useful exercise to identify necessary funding.

Concurrences by: James Baker, Bronya Clark

Attachment
Tab I FY 96 Inquiry Funding Estimate

cc: Nancy Soderberg

ATTACHMENT
FILE DATE

22 May 95 9:36

ATTACHMENT
FILE NAME

GIOBFUND.DOC

GUATEMALA INQUIRY FY 96 FUNDING ESTIMATE

Rent \$8,400

Travel 7,500

Telephone 1,000

Printing 1,000

Transportation
of things 300

Other Services

Court Reporting 20,000

PC Maintenance 800

Consultants 20,000

Contingency 1,000

TOTAL \$60,000

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 05 June 95 08:44
FROM Darby, Melanie B.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT FW: IOB Funding Memo [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Danvers, William C.
Sens, Andrew D.
Tenet, George J.

CARBON_COPY Atlas, Edwin L.
Baker, James E.
Blieberger, Marion H.
Breeland, Jocelyn G
Clark, Bronya
Dimel, Marsha L.
Funches, Christina L.
Hammond, Cathy J.
Hammonds, Lisa W.
Herrington, David E.
Hunerwadel, Joan S.
Kreczko, Alan J.
Sterns, Crayton L.
Wright, Allison M.
Yorkdale, Glenn H.

TEXT_BODY
NOTE FROM NANCY: Can we go to Congress? We simply do not have the funds.
Or PFIAB? What's the process?

From: Breeland, Jocelyn G.
To: Sens, Andrew D.
CC: /R, Record at A1; Clark, Bronya H.; @CROSS - Cross Hatches
Subject: IOB Funding Memo [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Thursday, May 25, 1995 04:49 PM

[[IOBFUND.DOC : 2104 in IOBFUND.DOC]][[GIOBFUND.DOC : 2105 in GIOBFUND.DOC]]

Andy - Attached is Jamie Baker-cleared version of IOB funding memo. Hard copy on it's way to you now.

ATTACHMENT
FILE DATE 25 May 95 16:43

ATTACHMENT
FILE NAME IOBFUND.DOC

20656

May 19, 1995

INFORMATION

MEMORANDUM FOR SAMUEL R. BERGER

THROUGH: ANDREW SENS

FROM: JOCELYN BREELAND

SUBJECT: Funding for IOB Guatemala Inquiry

PFIAB, the IOB and White House Admin estimate it will cost \$60,000 in FY 96 to support the IOB group conducting the Guatemala inquiry (detail at Tab I). We do not have funds in our FY 96 budget (already over \$400,000 underfunded) and Les Aspin, as Chairman of the PFIAB, has declined to contribute funds from PFIAB's allocation for this purpose. Both NSC and OA Counsel have believe that funding from White House or other outside sources would constitute an illegal augmentation of our appropriation.

The major issues are outlined briefly below.

At the direction of the President the Intelligence Oversight Board was asked to review certain intelligence issues involving Guatemala. In FY 95, the Board will receive administrative support from White House, PFIAB and NSC. White House has provided office furniture and some computer equipment, WHSSS provided additional computer equipment, PFIAB has provided travel funds through September 30, and NSC has transferred \$15,000 to the PFIAB account.

You are already aware of the budget difficulties we face in FY 96. If we accept the \$60,000 bill to support the IOB, we will have to replace another employee or reimbursable detailee with a non-reimbursable detailee or fellow.

Within our overall \$6,648,000 appropriation, the line between NSC funds and PFIAB funds is an artificial one that we create ourselves by agreement with PFIAB staff. We allocate a portion of our budget

COPY

for PFIAB use just as we do for our own personnel, travel, and other budget line items. I agree that it makes sense for IOB support funds to come out of our joint NSC/PFIAB budget, particularly since the IOB is a standing committee of the PFIAB. I do not agree, as PFIAB is apparently trying to assert, that the sacrifices necessary to make these funds available should come solely from the NSC.

The PFIAB decision not to contribute PFIAB funds for this purpose has apparently come from the Chairman. We will likely have to reach agreement at that level to engage PFIAB in a useful exercise to identify necessary funding.

Concurrences by: James Baker, Bronya Clark

Attachment
Tab I FY 96 Inquiry Funding Estimate

cc: Nancy Soderberg

ATTACHMENT
FILE DATE

22 May 95 9:36

ATTACHMENT
FILE NAME

GIOBFUND.DOC

GUATEMALA INQUIRY FY 96 FUNDING ESTIMATE

Rent \$8,400

Travel 7,500

Telephone 1,000

Printing 1,000

Transportation
of things 300

COPY

Other Services

Court Reporting 20,000

PC Maintenance 800

Consultants 20,000

Contingency 1,000

TOTAL \$60,000

COPY