

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Hoyt Yee to National Security Advisor, re: For SRB meeting with Amb. Rohatyn Monday 2 pm (3 pages)	04/08/2000	P1/b(1)
002. memo	Samuel Berger to John Podesta, re: Meeting with Ambassador Rohatyn (3 pages)	04/07/2000	P5

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
European Affairs (Blinken, Anthony)
OA/Box Number: 3506

FOLDER TITLE:

France - Berger Meeting with Felix Rohatyn, April 10, 2000

Kara Ellis
2008-1184-F
kel479

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

April 7, 2000

INFORMATION

MEMORANDUM FOR JOHN PODESTA

FROM: SAMUEL R. BERGER

SUBJECT: Meeting with Ambassador Rohatyn

You will meet with U.S. Ambassador to France Felix Rohatyn on Tuesday, April 11. Amb. Rohatyn wants to discuss the increasing globalization of the defense trade industry and how the U.S. export licensing policies place U.S. firms at a disadvantage in this changing landscape. The Ambassador apparently feels strongly that the USG must update its export policies and processes to ensure the long-term health of the defense industry, and (as noted by former Deputy Secretary Hamre) to avoid the development of a "fortress Europe" in defense trade.

To make his point, Ambassador Rohatyn may cite EU plans to develop distinctly European military capabilities under the European Security and Defense Policy (ESDP); recent European defense mergers (EADS, BAe/GEC, and Thomson/CSF) that strengthen European defense industry; and European efforts to "design around" U.S. defense articles and components to avoid delays posed by U.S. export controls. You have heard much the same from U.S. aerospace industry representatives and the Departments of Defense and Commerce. (Tab A is a brief overview of U.S. and Allied concerns about aerospace exports, and a illustrative list of broader defense trade licensing problems compiled by the Department of Defense).

Amb. Rohatyn may concentrate on the aerospace industry, but he is concerned about the other components of our defense industry as well. (In the event the Ambassador suggests increased U.S.-French cooperation in defense trade, you should be aware that we would have to address our concerns with the French ability and inclination to sufficiently safeguard our technology.) You may want to seek the Ambassador's reaction to several actions the Administration is taking, or considering, to address this problem, as outlined below.

Improvements to the Commercial Satellite Licensing System. State plans to implement an expedited licensing process for commercial satellite exports to NATO and major non-NATO allies by May 1. The new process will make it easier for U.S. companies to bid on satellite projects, respond to insurance company requests (European companies underwrite over 80% of satellite launches), and retransfer parts and components. State's industry advisory group said companies would benefit the most from expedited licensing treatment in these three areas.

"NAC Initiatives". We plan to announce a number of initiatives (Tab B) that will improve U.S. firms' ability to export munitions list items. The actions include streamlined licensing for government-to-government projects with NATO, Japan and Australia, expedited licensing for urgent foreign government requests, and improved computer connectivity between State and DoD. The changes will enhance our defense cooperation efforts, but they are not a major streamlining of the licensing process. State intends to complete the necessary regulations by May 24. Secretary Albright hopes to announce the initiative at the May 24-25 North Atlantic Council Ministerial.

Declaration of Principles and "Canadian-style" Exemptions. To strengthen U.S. military capabilities, enhance trans-Atlantic and trans-Pacific defense cooperation, increase interoperability post-Kosovo and to close technology gaps with our allies, DoD would like to conclude more bilateral defense cooperation agreements like the "Declaration of Principles" (DOP) signed by Secretary Cohen and U.K. Defense Minister Hoon in February. The DOP is a series of goals and objectives intended to enhance U.S.-U.K. defense equipment and industrial cooperation by, e.g., harmonizing military requirement and acquisition processes and R&D programs, and encouraging cross-border investment.

As a related initiative, DoD would like to conclude end-use and retransfer agreements with the U.K. and then provide it with a Canadian-style ITAR exemption (which would permit license-free exports of many unclassified munitions items and technical data). Over time DoD would like both to conclude more DOPs and extend an exemption to other selected allies (e.g., Australia).

As you know, State objects to concluding additional DOPs and extending Canada-style exemptions for many reasons, including losing the ability to review munitions items for foreign policy reasons and concerns about the ability of other countries to enforce U.S. retransfer requirements. Jim Steinberg is working

with State and DoD on these issues. We hope to reach consensus or to escalate unresolved issues by the end of April.

Long Term Policy Solutions. We expect that the new initiatives will address at least some of the problems identified by industry and our allies. In addition, we can continue to press the agencies for additional process reforms to be implemented in the next six to nine months.

One avenue we can consider is a fundamental rethinking of the national security and foreign policy philosophies that underlie current munitions licensing policies and regulations. We doubt, however, we can conclude a thoughtful review of this nature by the end of the year. We could begin the debate, either through a policy review process (PRD), or by working with an outside group, such as a private think tank, or the National Academy of Sciences (the NAS prepared two well-received reports on dual-use technology transfer policy and process in 1987 and 1991). The results of such a review could be presented to the next Administration in a timely manner if we set a completion date of Fall, 2001.

Attachments

- Tab A Industry/Allied Problems with Aerospace Export Licensing Process and Examples of Licensing Problems
- Tab B State/DoD Licensing Reform Initiatives

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. report	Re: [France] (1 page)	08/16/1994	P1/b(1)
002a. letter	President Clinton to Danielle Mitterrand (2 pages)	03/20/1994	P1/b(1)
002b. letter	Danielle Mitterrand to President Clinton (3 pages)	03/12/1994	P1/b(1)
002c. letter	English translation of letter from Danielle Mitterrand to Hillary Rodham Clinton (1 page)	03/12/1994	P1/b(1)
003. report	Re: [U.S.-France relations] (4 pages)	05/10/1994	P1/b(1)
004. report	Re: [France] (8 pages)	05/16/1994	P1/b(1)
005. email	From White House Situation Room, re: [cable] (4 pages)	05/30/1994	P1/b(1)
006. email	White House Situation Room to Julien LeBourgeois, re: [cable] (9 pages)	05/28/1994	P1/b(1)
007a. memo	Ellen Laipson to Anthony Lake, re: [Letter to Mitterand] (1 page)	04/25/1994	P1/b(1)
007b. cable	Re: [Presidential Letter] (2 pages)	04/21/1994	P1/b(1)
008. report	Re: [pact] (3 pages)	04/07/1994	P1/b(1)
009. cable	Re: [Letter from President Clinton] (2 pages)	08/14/1994	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
European Affairs (LeBourgeois, Julien)
OA/Box Number: 359

FOLDER TITLE:

France I [3]

Kara Ellis
2008-1184-F
ke1513

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
010. report	Re: [France] (2 pages)	06/27/1994	P1/b(1)
011. report	Re: [French economy] (3 pages)	ca. 1994	P1/b(1)
012. cable	Re: [Demarche] (4 pages)	08/06/1994	P1/b(1)
013. cable	Re: [Algeria] (3 pages)	08/10/1994	P1/b(1)
014. cable	Re: [Algeria] (3 pages)	08/05/1994	P1/b(1)
015. report	[Daily Briefing] (1 page)	08/05/1994	P1/b(1)
016a. memo	David Satterfield and Sean Darragh to Anthony Lake, re: Response to Senator Kennedy on Pan Am 103 and Libya (2 pages)	08/03/1994	P1/b(1)
016b. letter	Senator Edward Kennedy to Anthony Lake (2 pages)	04/22/1994	P1/b(1)
016c. list	Syrian/Iranian Involvement in PA103 (5 pages)	ca. 1994	P1/b(1)
017a. memo	Craig Kelly to Anthony Lake, re: Your Meeting with French Defense Minister Leotard (2 pages)	07/27/1994	P1/b(1)
017b. email	From Craig Kelly, re: Leotard Meeting (1 page)	07/29/1994	P1/b(1)
017c. note	Notes from meeting with Leotard (3 pages)	ca. 07/1994	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
European Affairs (LeBourgeois, Julien)
OA/Box Number: 359

FOLDER TITLE:

France 1 [3]

Kara Ellis
2008-1184-F
ke1513

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
018a. memo	Julien LeBourgeois to Anthony Lake, re: French "AIDS Summit" Initiative (1 page)	07/07/1994	P5
018b. memo	Kristine Gebbie to Carol Rasco and Anthony Lake, re: French "AIDS Summit" Initiative (3 pages)	06/30/1994	P5
019. email	From White House Situation Room, re: [cable] (4 pages)	06/15/1994	P1/b(1)
020. report	[Daily Briefing] (1 page)	07/06/1994	P1/b(1)
021a. form	NSC Profile Sheet (1 page)	07/05/1994	P1/b(1)
021b. letter	Francois Mitterrand to President Clinton [in French] (2 pages)	05/22/1994	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
European Affairs (LeBourgeois, Julien)
OA/Box Number: 359

FOLDER TITLE:

France I [3]

Kara Ellis
2008-1184-F
ke1513

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

July 7, 1994

INFORMATION

MEMORANDUM FOR ANTHONY LAKE

THROUGH: ALEXANDER VERSHBOW *AV*
FROM: JULIEN LEBOURGEOIS *JL*
SUBJECT: French "AIDS Summit" Initiative

Kristine Gebbie's attached memo for your information details efforts made to date by France to organize a meeting of heads of government December 1 to increase world-wide political support for the fight against HIV/AIDS. An invitation from Prime Minister Balladur to the President is expected shortly. U.S. and other participants in preparatory meetings have only agreed to representation at the "highest appropriate level." Problems Gebbie describes such as lack of focus and unrealistic expectations of major new funding -- in addition to the President's other scheduling demands -- argue against his attendance at this event. We can expect pressures to build at home and from France for him to go.

Concurrence by: Richard Clarke *Wor*

Attachment
Tab I Memorandum from Gebbie

5429

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

June 30, 1994

→ STAFF

MEMORANDUM TO: Carol Rasco
Anthony Lake

FROM: Kristine M. Gebbie, R.N., M.N.
National AIDS Policy Coordinator

SUBJECT: French "AIDS Summit" Initiative

While there is an official State Department cable being prepared to cover the meeting of June 17-18, I am providing this additional comment on the meeting and the process surrounding it.

Background

This meeting is the second called by the French government, with the stated intention of gathering heads of government together in 1994, to increase world-wide political support for the fight against HIV/AIDS. The early work was flawed, from the US perspective, by the lack of inclusion of developing countries, by a lack of clarity on the relationship to the emerging UN joint and collaborative program on AIDS, and by a lack of clarity on the relationship to the upcoming scientific AIDS meeting in Yokohama.

The April 17 meeting included only developed countries, with discussion focusing on how to move toward a productive, higher level meeting. Agreement was reached on the involvement of developing countries, a commitment to the "highest appropriate level" of participation in a December 1 meeting, and a plan for work in the interim, with the World Health Organization assisting in the mechanics.

In preparation for the June 17-18 meeting, the French arranged for briefing the previously uninvolved countries during the World Health Assembly and made arrangements for the attendance of non-governmental organizations including people living with HIV. Very little was done on developing the expected documents, or on keeping what was done consistent with the agreed-upon framework.

June 17-18 Meeting

Forty-one countries were participants, many of them represented by the Minister of Health. With representation from State (Sharon Hemond-Hrynkow), USAID (Helene Gayle), HHS (Patsy Fleming) and the White House (Gebbie), the US had a delegation

7

broadly representative of this government's interest in HIV/AIDS globally. The World Health Organization was an active partner in the meeting. Mme. Simone Veil served as the chair of the meeting, and continued to express her strong personal interest in a more effective response to the HIV epidemic, and her strong feeling that it is the world's political leaders who must become more involved and committed to the effort.

There was general agreement that the UN joint and co-sponsored program, and the Global Program on AIDS (GPA), provided the framework for action, and a structure for the technical work which needs to be done, additional political energy behind these efforts would be useful. While little was said directly, the question of whether "additional political energy" translates to "additional dollars" was clearly in the air.

The meeting ended with 5 areas of concern identified: safety of the blood supply, research including vaccine, care of those already infected, prevention, and protection of vulnerable population groups. A copy of the final communique from the meeting is attached. In each area some beginning work on the most significant issues was completed. A sixth issue, how to better coordinate activities within the framework of the GPA and the plans of host countries, was presented as a cross-cutting concern which should be addressed in any final action.

The GPA staff are to coordinate staff work between now and December, in order that the December meeting be a success. In discussions with Mme. Veil and Mike Merson of the GPA, the US emphasized that success at any high level meeting was dependent on good staff work done in time for appropriate review, comment and revision by participating governments.

Ongoing Work

In the time between now and December 1, a great deal of staff work must be done to prepare a statement or statements which could be agreed to by participating governments, which would make a difference in the global effort to stop the epidemic. The Yokohama International AIDS meeting in August, which is not a meeting of governments but a scientific gathering, will provide an opportunity for continued discussions or work on drafts, as many of the participants in the Paris meetings are planning in attending, including Fleming, Gayle and Gebbie.

For the US, some of the areas which will probably be included (such as harm reduction programs for injecting drug users, including syringe exchange) will push us to clarify domestic policy issues still under discussion. More importantly, if we are not in a position to announce any major new funding initiatives to back global HIV efforts, we will have to carefully

consider what it is we will do to demonstrate real backing for whatever is identified as the eventual policy direction. The team of agencies which has participated so far will continue to work together to develop possible approaches.

A final area of decision-making for us will be the level of participation in the Paris meeting on December 1. Mme. Veil indicated that formal invitations from the Prime Minister of France would be in the mail to all involved governments within days. It is clearly the French expectation that Heads of Government will participate. The US has been clear that no advance decision has been made, and that our decision is dependent on the level and quality of the work done in preparation for the meeting. Secretary of HHS Shalala has indicated her interest in attending. Given the range of issues raised in the global HIV activity, it will be essential that USAID and State remain very active in this work. In collaboration with the State Department and HHS, I will convene an appropriate working group to respond to draft materials, and to develop a recommendation on composition of the US delegation to the December meeting.

Please let me know if you have any questions, or would like further information on the meeting, the work to date, or the plans for activity between now and December.

Attachment

cc: Timothy Wirth
Ed Malloy
Sharon Hemond-Hrynkow
Patsy Fleming

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. memo	Glyn Davies to Krisite Kenney and James Steiner, re: Tasker for Working Visit of French Prime Minister Lionel Jospin, June 18, 1998 (2 pages)	ca. 05/1998	P1/b(1)
002. list	Participants in Logistics Meeting for the Working Visit of Prime Minister Lionel Jospin and Mrs. Jospin [partial] (2 pages)	05/27/1998	P6/b(6), b(7)(C), b(7)(E), b(7)(F)
003. schedule	Schedule for the Working Visit of Prime Minister Jospin and Mrs. Jospin [partial] (1 page)	05/27/1998	P6/b(6)
004. email	Glyn Davies to European Affairs, re: [Jospin Visit] (1 page)	06/01/1998	P5
005. list	Participants in Logistics Meeting for the Working Visit of Prime Minister Jospin and Mrs. Jospin [partial] (1 page)	05/27/1998	P6/b(6), b(7)(C), b(7)(E), b(7)(F)
006. email	White House Situation Room to Donald Bandler, et al., re: [cable] (2 pages)	05/22/1998	P1/b(1)
007a. cable	Re: [Electronic commerce] (7 pages)	04/07/1998	P1/b(1)
007b. email	Thomas Marten to Ira Magaziner, re: [follow up] (3 pages)	04/06/1998	P1/b(1)
008. email	White House Situation Room to Donald Bandler, et al., re: [cable] (3 pages)	06/09/1998	P1/b(1)
009. email	White House Situation Room to Donald Bandler and Nancy McEldowney, re: [cable] (3 pages)	06/08/1998	P1/b(1)
010. email	White House Situation Room to Nancy McEldowney, et al., re: [cable] (2 pages)	05/13/1995	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
European Affairs (McEldowney, Nancy)
OA/Box Number: 1720

FOLDER TITLE:

Jospin Visit [France] [2]

Kara Ellis
2008-1184-F
ke1542

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Butler, Lawrence E.

From: Davies, Glyn T.
Sent: Monday, June 01, 1998 2:21 PM
To: @EUROPE - European Affairs
Cc: @EXECSEC - Executive Secretary; @NSA - Natl Security Advisor
Subject: "Something Special" for Jospin? [UNCLASSIFIED]

Don/Nancy: Need to reach decision ASAP on whether we should do something extra -- such as afternoon tea or evening cocktails -- for Mr & Mrs Jospin on the 18th. There is interest at high level in doing something, but POTUS is aware of our possible concern that Chirac might be less than pleased. As Scheduling would like to do note to POTUS later this afternoon giving options and characterizing our response, please get me your considered opinion pronto. Thanks

Rob/Nina: Your call as to whether you want to run this past Jim. I'd like to know his view. Tx.

Glyn