

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. letter	Letter from Elaine Jones to Cheryl Mills re: Smith (10 pages)	12/07/99	P6/b(6)
002. memo	Memorandum and Final Order re: US v Smith (5 pages)	06/06/97	P6/b(6)
003. order	Order re: US v Smith (60 pages)	08/04/99	P6/b(6)
004. order	Order re: US v Smith (17 pages)	10/01/99	P6/b(6)
005. memo	Mary Beth Cahill to the President and the Vice President re: Weekly Report (1 page)	08/04/2000	P5 2100

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Counsel's Office
Bruce Lindsey
OA/Box Number: 20650

FOLDER TITLE:

Kemba Smith - Pardons / Clemency Requests: Kemba Smith [1]

2010-0452-F

jm9

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

AUGUST 4, 2000

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT AND THE VICE PRESIDENT

FROM: MARY BETH CAHILL
DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF PUBLIC LIAISON

SUBJECT: WEEKLY REPORT (July 29 to August 4)

file: Pardon: Kemba
Smith
8.8.00
cc: Meredith
JAC

copied
Entire Report
Cahill
Podesta
Pg. 1:
Lindsey
Pg. 2:
Burkhardt (for
reply)

I. ACTIONS SUPPORTING PRESIDENTIAL INITIATIVES:

Briefing on Administration's Proposals for International Trade Enforcement. OPL hosted a meeting for various trade and corporate organizations to discuss the Administration's proposals for a series of initiatives currently before Congress to strengthen and enforce trade agreements, as well as address labor and environmental concerns. Rick Samans of the NEC reviewed several proposals including funding for International Environmental Capacity Building in the VA/HUD and Foreign Ops bills, funding for the Commerce Department to monitor WTO compliance and import surges and funding for the Community Economic Adjustment Initiative. Rick and agency staff shared the Administration's strategy for congressional support.

II. CONSTITUENT OUTREACH AND OTHER ISSUES:

AFRICAN AMERICAN OUTREACH

NAACP Legal Defense Fund (NAACPLDF) is calling on you to provide clemency for Kemba Smith before the year ends. They called to alert us they will be submitting an official letter of request. NAACPLDF is leading the campaign in the African American community for her clemency. Elaine Jones will be speaking with the National NAACP over the weekend to discuss their support on the issue. CBCF has not contacted our offices nor officially submitted a letter of support on this issue. In the past, they have been very active in pushing for a possible clemency for Smith. Smith was sentenced to 24 years in prison with no possibility of parole for her participation in a crack cocaine case, although she never used or sold crack cocaine. This sentence is significantly higher than other admitted participants of a case who in fact sold crack cocaine.

BL - shouldn't
we do this?

