

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Stephen C. Warnath to Carol H. Rasco at 11:56:27.89. Subject: immigration - california supp. (1 page)	09/22/1994	P5
002. email	Stephen C. Warnath to Carol H. Rasco at 16:04:51.52. Subject: ****important**** immigration report. (1 page)	09/27/1994	P5
003. email	Stephen C. Warnath to Carol H. Rasco at 15:44:29.61. Subject: immigration. (1 page)	02/13/1995	P5

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Automated Records Management System [Email]
OPD ([Operation Gatekeeper])
OA/Box Number: 250000

FOLDER TITLE:

[09/22/1994 - 07/08/1996]

2011-0376-F

xx2

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (ALL-IN-1 MAIL)

CREATOR: Stephen C. Warnath (WARNATH_S) (OPD)

CREATION DATE/TIME: 22-SEP-1994 11:56:27.89

SUBJECT: immigration - california supp.

TO: Carol H. Rasco

(RASCO_C) (OPD)

READ: 22-SEP-1994 13:51:22.95

TEXT:

Wilson did declare an immigration emergency in California yesterday. He appears to have done this in a letter to the President seeking aid. It is reported that he wrote: "You have acknowledged the illegal immigration crisis in other states . . . I implore you to take immediate action to assist the people of California." The broad outline of our response is:

1. The Administration did not declare an emergency in Florida. Gov. Chiles wanted that designation but did not get it. We take the illegal immigration problems of California very seriously, but it does not constitute an emergency.
2. We are taking strong action to stop illegal immigration in California -- refer to the Attorney General's speech this past weekend and the new border initiatives along the California border (Operation Gatekeeper). We have shown that our border strategy will work (refer to Operation Hold-the-Line in El Paso).
3. We do not believe that immigrants should be made the scapegoats for the economic problems in California. Certain public officials should stop political posturing (and demagoguery) and help work toward meaningful solutions.

Carol, do you need anything else on this right now on this?

Thanks. Steve

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Thomas S. Epstein to Stephen C. Warnath at 16:38:19.53. Subject: immigration q & a. (1 page)	10/05/1994	P5
002. email	Margaret R. Shaw to Richard L. Seiwert at 19:14:49.02. Subject: Immigration Q&A's. (3 pages)	10/05/1994	P5
003. email	Richard L. Siewert to Jeremy D. Benami at 13:57:24.49. Subject: Immigration Q & A. (1 page)	11/28/1994	P5
004. email	Richard L. Siewert to Jeremy D. Benami at 13:58:25.38. Subject: More Immgration [sic] (2 pages)	11/28/1994	P5
005. email	Christopher F. Edley, Jr to Jeremy D. Benami and Stephen C. Warnath at 10:09:45.18. Subject: Immigration Rollout. (3 pages)	01/31/1995	P5
006. email	Thomas S. Epstein to Stephen C. Warnath at 13:22:59.95. Subject: immigration hearing. (2 pages)	02/23/1995	P5
007. email	Christa T. Robinson to Jennifer N. Palmieri at 17:13:58.73. Subject: daily update. (2 pages)	06/23/1995	P5
008. email	Lorraine McHugh to Joshua Silverman at 15:07:24.23. Subject: Hopefully, here it is... (1 page)	09/21/1995	P5
009. email	Christa T. Robinson to Stephen C. Warnath at 14:46:53.69. Subject: statement by POTUS. (1 page)	09/26/1995	P5

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Automated Records Management System [Email]
WHO ([Operation Gatekeeper])
OA/Box Number: 500000

FOLDER TITLE:

[09/21/1994 - 09/26/1995]

2011-0376-F

xx5

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.
PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).
RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (ALL-IN-1 MAIL)

CREATOR: Thomas S. Epstein (EPSTEIN_T) (WHO)

CREATION DATE/TIME: 5-OCT-1994 16:38:19.53

SUBJECT: immigration q & a

TO: Margaret R. Shaw

(SHAW_M) (OMB)

READ: 5-OCT-1994 17:28:17.82

CC: Stephen C. Warnath

(WARNATH_S) (OPD)

READ: 5-OCT-1994 17:54:04.08

TEXT:

margaret

In light of the recent conversations we have had regarding immigration, I suggest the following changes to the q & a's you circulated.

While I know we included this in our recent talking points, I would not call the national id card "bureaucratic." We did, after all, ensure people our health security card was not bureaucratic. In the reimbursement answer, we should emphasize the 32% increase in spending for services to immigrants (legal and illegal) in our FY95 budget proposal compared to the FY93 Bush proposal. We should include raw numbers--I believe the total is \$6 billion. The President used this point in his recent speech at the Kathleen Brown event. In general, I think this answer is too long. We should delete some of the extraneous material.

On SOS, the proposed answer is too strongly negative. He should not say that the state could lose billions of dollars in federal assistance. He can, I believe, cite the State Legislative Analyst report. He should begin his answer with an acknowledgement that illegal immigration is a significant problem for several states, that we inherited a mess, and that we're fixing it (Operation Gatekeeper, etc.). He can add in a general sense that we should devote our resources to what works and not waste resources on less efficient means of deterring illegal immigration.

Tom

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (ALL-IN-1 MAIL)

CREATOR: Margaret R. Shaw (SHAW_M) (OMB)

CREATION DATE/TIME: 5-OCT-1994 19:14:49.02

SUBJECT: Immigration Q&As

TO: Richard L. Siewert (SIEWERT_R) (WHO)

READ: 5-OCT-1994 19:49:34.57

CC: LAWRENCE J. HAAS (HAAS_L) (OMB)

READ: 5-OCT-1994 20:21:31.43

CC: David B. Grinberg (GRINBERG_D) (OMB)

READ: 6-OCT-1994 08:43:57.00

CC: Lin C. Liu (LIU_L) (OMB)

READ: 6-OCT-1994 09:06:26.06

CC: Ingrid M. Schroeder (SCHROEDER_I) (OMB)

READ: 6-OCT-1994 09:04:12.23

CC: Stephen C. Warnath (WARNATH_S) (OPD)

READ: 5-OCT-1994 19:17:58.61

CC: Kenneth L. Schwartz (SCHWARTZ_K) (OMB)

READ: 6-OCT-1994 07:34:09.95

TEXT:

Per your request, attached are OMB's Q&As on immigration related issues for the President's Friday press conference.

Call me if you have questions on x53120.

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 5-OCT-1994 19:12:00.00

ATT BODYPART TYPE:p

ATT CREATOR: Margaret R. Shaw

TEXT:

PRINTER FONT 12_POINT_COURIER

IMMIGRATION Q & A

OMB

UPDATED-

☐

-

☐

October 5, 1994

Q: The President's Commission on Immigration Reform, headed by Barbara Jordan, released its report last week. The Commission called for a national registry or database to provide a fair way for employers to verify the citizenship status of potential employees. What is your position on the establishment of such a registry? And would you support a national identity card?

A: It is already unlawful for employers to employ illegal immigrants. I share the Commission's concerns about the

need to ensure that this law is enforced. In fact, I have directed the Immigration and Naturalization Service to redouble its efforts to ensure that employer sanctions laws are aggressively enforced and that employers are provided the necessary tools to maintain compliance. I included additional funds in my 1995 budget to do that as part of a \$368 million investment in improved overall immigration enforcement. I would also add that we will also enhance our anti-discrimination efforts at Justice and Labor to ensure that legal residents are not unfairly denied employment.

We agree with the Commission that a simpler, more dependable and more fraud resistant system is needed for verifying work authorization. My Administration has already moved in that direction by reducing the number of documents acceptable for identification from 29 to 13 and will propose legislation to reduce it to two next year. As recommended in the Commission report, we will continue our ambitious program to upgrade the INS databases that support employer verification. We also endorse the Commission's call for pilot programs to test various additional enhanced employer-verification methods.

As you know the Jordan Commission did not support a single, all-purpose national identity card. There are serious and legitimate concerns associated such a card. We are, however, very interested in reviewing more fully the Commission's recommendations and proposals regarding employment verification.

Q: The Immigration Act of 1990 mandated the submission of an interim report on immigration by the President on September 30, 1994. Your Administration has not submitted a report. Can you tell us why and when your report will be released?

A: My Administration is in the process of finalizing the report. It will describe the activities and accomplishments of my Administration in implementing our comprehensive immigration strategy over the past couple years, such as

Operation Hold the Line in El Paso, Operation Gatekeeper in San Diego, asylum reform, and initiatives regarding removal of criminal aliens, among many others.

Q: What is your position on reimbursements to States of their costs associated with illegal immigration?

A: We recognize that some States are disproportionately affected by illegal immigration and have special burdens as a result. Unfortunately, this Administration inherited a legacy of weak enforcement at the border which permitted thousands of undocumented immigrants to enter the country. Our first priority is to strengthen enforcement at the border, and the INS, at my direction, has been making a very aggressive effort to do that -- with some success, I might add. Indeed, the Crime Act provides significant funding authorizations, which we requested, for improved border enforcement. We are already well on the way to adding over 1,500 new and experienced border patrol agents. These agents will be on the line by the end of FY 1995.

As for the cost imposed on the States, some have suggested that this problem simply be dropped at the Federal

government's doorstep. We believe there is a shared responsibility in this area. The Department of Justice funded a study by the Urban Institute, released on September 14th, that is an important step forward in the debate. The report assesses for the first time the fiscal impact of undocumented aliens in the seven states with the largest numbers of them -- the UI study provides the best information available on States' costs and revenues in connection with undocumented aliens. The Federal government has an obligation to help; at the same time, we cannot afford simply to provide a blank check.

Much is already being done for states in this area. My 1995 Budget requested a total of almost \$25 billion for immigration and related programs, a 32 percent increase over President Bush's last budget. I also requested monies for a program to assist states with the cost of putting in prison illegal immigrants who commit felonies in this country. This program was authorized in 1986, but no previous Administration has requested funding. The Crime Act provides -- with full Administration support -- \$1.8 billion in authorized funding for the program from 1995 to 2000. Congress provided \$130 million in FY 1995. Though this amount is less than our \$350 million request, this represents good first step in our effort to help states cope with these costs.

But, again, the first priority for the Federal government must be enforcement at the border. Better enforcement itself will reduce State costs.

Q: What is your position on the California "SOS" immigration initiative on the ballot this November?

Unfortunately, this Administration inherited a legacy of weak enforcement at the border which permitted thousands of undocumented immigrants to enter the country. Our first priority is to strengthen enforcement at the border, and the INS, at my direction, has been making a very aggressive effort to do that -- with some success, I might add. We have a comprehensive immigration strategy put into action over the past couple years with such initiatives as Operation Hold the Line in El Paso, Operation Gatekeeper in San Diego, asylum reform, and initiatives regarding removal of criminal aliens, among many others. I believe we should focus our limited resources on what works and not waste them on less efficient means of deterring illegal immigrants.

The California Legislative Analysts' Office has estimated that the SOS initiative places at risk about \$15 billion of Federal funds, because the State would be violating various Congressional requirements. And in the specific area of education, the Supreme Court ruled several years ago that no State can deny public education to a child based on immigration status -- even if they are here illegally. The way to lick this problem is enforcement, employment verification, and other things that we are investing in.

===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (ALL-IN-1 MAIL)

CREATOR: Richard L. Siewert (SIEWERT_R) (WHO)

CREATION DATE/TIME: 28-NOV-1994 13:57:24.49

SUBJECT: IMMIGRATION Q & A

TO: Jeremy D. Benami

(BENAMI_J) (OPD)

READ: 28-NOV-1994 13:58:43.39

TEXT:

Jeremy

More to follow. Is there anyway to do this all at once?

Jake

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 28-NOV-1994 13:56:00.00

ATT BODYPART TYPE: p

ATT CREATOR: Richard L. Siewert

TEXT:

PRINTER FONT 12_POINT_COURIER

Q: What is your position on the California "SOS" immigration initiative on the ballot this November?

Unfortunately, this Administration inherited a legacy of weak enforcement at the border which permitted thousands of undocumented immigrants to enter the country. Our first priority is to strengthen enforcement at the border, and the INS, at my direction, has been making a very aggressive effort to do that -- with some success, I might add. We have a comprehensive immigration strategy put into action over the past couple years with such initiatives as Operation Hold the Line in El Paso, Operation Gatekeeper in San Diego, asylum reform, and initiatives regarding removal of criminal aliens, among many others. I believe we should focus our limited resources on what works and not waste them on less efficient means of deterring illegal immigrants.

The California Legislative Analysts' Office has estimated that the SOS initiative places at risk about \$15 billion of Federal funds, because the State would be violating various Congressional requirements. And in the specific area of education, the Supreme Court ruled several years ago that no State can deny public education to a child based on immigration status -- even if they are here illegally. The way to lick this problem is enforcement, employment verification, and other things that we are investing in. So, as I told reporters earlier this week, I am opposed to Proposition 187 on constitutional grounds, and also for reasons of public health and safety.

===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (ALL-IN-1 MAIL)

CREATOR: Richard L. Siewert (SIEWERT_R) (WHO)

CREATION DATE/TIME: 28-NOV-1994 13:58:25.38

SUBJECT: MORE IMMGRATION

TO: Jeremy D. Benami (BENAMI_J) (OPD)

READ: 28-NOV-1994 16:40:12.10

TEXT:

Jeremy

A different immigration piece.

Jake

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 28-NOV-1994 13:57:00.00

ATT BODYPART TYPE: p

ATT CREATOR: Richard L. Siewert

TEXT:

PRINTER FONT 12_POINT_ROMAN

Immigration

October 20, 1994

You should be very proud of your handling of the immigration issue. This is a complex, longstanding problem for which your administration has devised creative and aggressive solutions. In response to any immigration questions you should lead with what you have done. The facts are on your side and you need to talk about your immigration program with greater confidence and force.

? Clinton Initiatives

1. Strengthening Border Controls

□

We have increased enforcement at the borders. We will have added 1,000 new border agents between when I took office to next Fall.

- We launched 3 very successful operations to secure the three most difficult parts of our border.

Operation Hold the Line - El Paso

Operation GateKeeper - San Diego

Operation SafeGuard - Arizona

- My administration by next Fall will have doubled the number of illegal immigrants being deported.

- After twelve years of neglect this problem is finally being addressed.

2. My administration is the first in history to reimburse states for a share of the costs of incarcerating illegal aliens. The Federal government is now paying its fair share.

3. We have strengthened worksite enforcement to reduce the lure of jobs to illegal immigrants.

4. We have made the deportation of criminal aliens more expedient.

5. Asylum reform to prevent fraud and abuse of the asylum system.

□

IMMIGRATION

OCTOBER 20, 1994

PAGE 2

6. Development of an automated criminal alien tracking center.

7. Naturalization and citizenship education.

? Proposition 187

You are opposed to it for 4 reasons.

1. It is unconstitutional.

2. It poses dangers to public health.

3. Runs the risk of increasing crime.

4. It creates big government; by turning teachers and employers into policeman.

? This is a problem you inherited.

After years of neglect, this Administration inherited serious immigration problems, including:
3.5 million illegal immigrants residing in the U.S., uncontrolled movement across the Southwest
border, alien smuggling, asylum abuse, and state concerns about fiscal impacts.

===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (ALL-IN-1 MAIL)

CREATOR: Christopher F. Edley, Jr (EDLEY_C) (OMB)

CREATION DATE/TIME: 31-JAN-1995 10:09:45.18

SUBJECT: Immigration Rollout

TO: Jeremy D. Benami (BENAMI_J) (OPD)
READ: 31-JAN-1995 10:26:43.14

TO: Stephen C. Warnath (WARNATH_S) (OPD)
READ: 31-JAN-1995 10:10:25.36

CC: Lin C. Liu (LIU_L) (OMB)
READ: 31-JAN-1995 10:10:28.02

CC: Jacob J. Lew (LEW_J) (OMB)
READ: 31-JAN-1995 10:35:05.35

CC: LAWRENCE J. HAAS (HAAS_L) (OMB)
READ: 31-JAN-1995 11:50:39.16

CC: Kenneth L. Schwartz (SCHWARTZ_K) (OMB)
READ: 31-JAN-1995 10:10:24.98

CC: Margaret R. Shaw (SHAW_M) (OMB)
READ: 31-JAN-1995 10:47:51.50

CC: Thomas S. Epstein (EPSTEIN_T) (WHO)
READ: 31-JAN-1995 11:47:39.76

CC: John B. Emerson (EMERSON_J) (WHO)
READ: 31-JAN-1995 18:12:17.48

TEXT:

Rollout plans sound great. My personal recommendation is that there be a good, thorough, gushing semi-exclusive for the LA Times and San Diego for publication Friday or Sunday. As Lin Liu points out, the \$1 billion increase -- largest ever -- is a great story. Good luck.

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 30-JAN-1995 18:34:00.00

ATT BODYPART TYPE: B

ATT CREATOR: Lin C. Liu

ATT SUBJECT: Immigration Rollout

ATT TO: Christopher F. Edley, Jr (EDLEY_C)

ATT TO: Kenneth L. Schwartz (SCHWARTZ_K)

ATT TO: Bradley W. Kyser (KYSER_B)

ATT TO: Margaret R. Shaw (SHAW_M)

ATT TO: Lori L. Victor (VICTOR_L)

COPY

ATT TO: David E. Tornquist (TORNQUIST_D)
ATT TO: LAWRENCE J. HAAS (HAAS_L)
ATT TO: Jacob J. Lew (LEW_J)
ATT TO: Ingrid M. Schroeder (SCHROEDER_I)
ATT TO: Leslie S. Mustain (MUSTAIN_L)
ATT TO: Barbara E. Washington (WASHINGTON_B)
ATT TO: Joseph M. Wire (WIRE_J)
ATT TO: Michael F. Crowley (CROWLEY_M)
ATT TO: Jack A. Smalligan (SMALLIGAN_J)
ATT TO: James J. Jukes (JUKES_J)

TEXT:

DPC called a meeting today to plan the immigration rollout. In attendance were various WH offices and reps. from each of the relevant agencies.

Rahm Emanuel attended the first quarter of the meeting along with the President's scheduling office. The following are the series of events as envisioned by Rahm which fit into the currently available schedule.

2/7, Tuesday. 1145 to 1215 - President in Oval Office - sign Presidential memorandum which directs different agencies to pursue initiatives (Steve Warnath of DPC is drafting. The advance draft I saw last week focused mainly on enforcement.) President meet with AG, INS Commissioner, and Border Patrol chiefs from Operation Safeguard (Arizona), Operation Hold the Line (Texas) and Operation Gatekeeper (California) to receive report from them on border enforcement activities. Other enforcement agencies - Customs, Labor, and SSA to highlight their immigration enforcement activities. Rahm appears to only want to focus on enforcement and not on the smaller Education and HHS Medicaid assistance pieces because they may beg more difficult questions on why we don't do more instead.

1230 - ? - AG, INS Commissioner and Border Patrol chiefs to discuss budget/legislation etc, and answer questions from WH press in briefing room. Accompanied by reps. from Labor, SSA, Customs. DOJ Public Affairs office has lead to coordinate with the public affairs offices in the other agencies. In the same press cycle, hope to get endorsements from Commission on Immigration Reform and Barbara Jordan.

2/8, Wednesday, DOJ/INS on the road to promote Administration's programs and budget. Complemented by other agencies which have immigration pieces and have follow up activities. Labor will provide some ideas Wednesday.

2/7 appears to be the earliest date on the President's schedule for this type of event. Since the budget is released on 2/6, the question is to what extent the Administration gives advance notice to the press on the strong FY 1996 initiatives without taking away from the President's 2/7 event. Since this discussion took place after Rahm left the meeting, DPC did not want to discuss a separate budget rollout further without re-consulting Rahm and

scheduling. Some options, however, are:

- o Friday or Monday event at DOJ with AG and INS commissioner (similar to last year's advance release). Proper Congressional Members briefings are necessary before the event (Thursday or Sunday). Some believe that this may preempt the President's Tuesday message however. Others believe that one event can build on the other.

- o Selective leak to LA Times to get out budget story on Monday(?). DOJ and INS, and the other agencies, would then deal with reporter's questions as they come along. The downside here would be that the Administration could potentially loose a photo-op with big budget numbers. That's all for now.

===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====

COPY

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (ALL-IN-1 MAIL)

CREATOR: Thomas S. Epstein (EPSTEIN_T) (WHO)

CREATION DATE/TIME: 23-FEB-1995 13:22:59.95

SUBJECT: immigration hearing

TO: Stephen C. Warnath (WARNATH_S) (OPD)

READ: 23-FEB-1995 13:52:33.73

CC: Christa T. Robinson (ROBINSON_C) (WHO)

READ: 23-FEB-1995 13:55:08.07

TEXT:

here is a first draft of a memo to go to INS. Please give me your comments ASAP.

----- ATTACHMENT 1 -----

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 23-FEB-1995 13:22:00.00

ATT BODYPART TYPE: p

ATT CREATOR: Thomas S. Epstein

TEXT:

PRINTER FONT 12 POINT ROMAN
IMMIGRATION HEARING STRATEGY

Principal Objective

--Make a convincing presentation on the effectiveness of Operation Gatekeeper and the entire Southwest border strategy Elements of that Presentation

1. Describe in graphic, provocative terms the 12 years of neglect and the miserable condition of the federal border enforcement effort upon the arrival of the Clinton administration. Since this is old news, you must employ strong rhetoric to get it in the story.

2. Confidently describe the evolution of our border enforcement strategy--from the summer, 1993 announcements through Hold the Line, the FY95 budget, Gatekeeper, Arizona, and the FY96 budget initiatives. Emphasize that this has not been a haphazard series of unrelated stop-gap measures, but a thoughtful, consistent, and increasingly effective long-term plan. It's a strategy that gets the maximum bang for the buck, but doesn't just throw money at the problem.

3. Detail the measurable success of Gatekeeper. Use strong language and military metaphors where appropriate (i.e., shut down the free-for-all at Imperial Beach, forced the aliens onto our terrain, using a pincer movement to squeeze them into easily apprehendable patterns, etc.). Articulate a clear vision of how we expect this to end--just a trickle of illegals, on the run, by the end of '95 or early '96.

4. Discuss other positive elements of the program (deportations, employer sanctions, etc.), with special emphasis on the recently concluded deal with Mexico. Describe this as a breakthrough that will put the heat on smugglers and send deportees hundreds or even thousands of miles from the border.

Secondary Tactics

1. Highlight the success stories you have identified in your

recent visits. Quote from news report interviews with satisfied San Diegans (not your own internal interviews).

2. Mention the strategic use of the National Guard where appropriate. Seize the initiative on this, don't be forced into a responsive posture.

3. Invite skeptical members to visit the border with you. It demonstrates your intimacy with the situation and highlights their remoteness from the on-the-ground problems.

4. Are we ready to announce the permanent closure of the inland checkpoints? This would be a good news hook.

Sympathetic Questions

1. Is it really true that when you arrived the Border Patrol had inadequate radios, vehicles that didn't work, holes in the fences, and that they spent hours behind desks doing work that non-agents could have done? Please give me a few examples.

2. Please describe day to day conditions for a landowner in Imperial Beach in 1992. How often did they encounter illegal immigrants? Was there any violence? Was property destroyed or misused? What is the situation now?

3. Please explain how the Seasonal Agricultural Workers program evolved and what was its impact on illegal immigration in California today.

4. Tell me about the physical improvements you have made at the border. Is the fencing and lighting complete? Do you have enough radios, vehicles, fingerprinting equipment, etc.? How do these items improve the efficiency and morale of Border Patrol agents.

5. How did you get the Mexicans to agree to these changes? Does this mean we won't see as many aliens crossing the border in traffic lanes, and that many won't try to return after being deported deeper into the country?

Other Questions

1. Can you tell me how many illegal immigrants are crossing the border now compared to two years ago? If not, why not? If there isn't a significant reduction, hasn't your strategy been a failure?

2. What's wrong with using the National Guard at the border or adding thousands of additional officers? This is an emergency. Do you mean to say your bureaucracy isn't creative enough to absorb this kind of help?

3. It seems as if you have spent most of your energy on California, and have still not solved that problem. Meanwhile, things are getting worse in Arizona and New Mexico. How can you possibly claim your strategy is working?

===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (ALL-IN-1 MAIL)

CREATOR: Lorraine McHugh (MCHUGH_L) (WHO)

CREATION DATE/TIME: 21-SEP-1995 15:07:24.23

SUBJECT: Hopefully, here it is...

TO: Joshua Silverman

(SILVERMAN_J) (WHO)

READ: 21-SEP-1995 15:12:44.37

TEXT:

PRINTER FONT 12_POINT_COURIER

Q. Governor Wilson recently fired his longtime political strategist George Gorton. Do you think that this is a sign that his campaign is falling apart?

A. Pete Wilson's decisions to shake up his campaign staff are his decisions.

Q. Pete Wilson used to say that he was your "worst nightmare".

However, recent California polls show that two

□

-thirds of the state's Republicans think he should get out of the race and a recent Los Angeles Times poll shows that you have a 57% to 38% lead over Wilson. Are you his "worst nightmare"?

A. I've done a great deal to move the California economy forward and help improve the lives of working families in this great state. I believe that when the California voters go to the polls they will go recognizing that I am fighting hard for them. And I believe that, regardless of who the Republican nominee is, I will carry the state as I did in 1992.

Q. Governor Wilson has been highly critical of your efforts to stop illegal immigration in California. He has criticized statements by federal officials who say that it will take five years to fully secure the border through Operation Gatekeeper. Wilson wants to know if you have forgotten that these people are breaking the law.

A. My Administration has been at the forefront of combatting illegal immigration at the border. We have instituted a large build

□

-up of Border Patrol Agents at the border and increased the use of technology such as sensors and night scopes. And our new computerized fingerprinting identification system and increased resources has led to a 240% increase in prosecutions on felony charges for reentry after deportation since 1992.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (ALL-IN-1 MAIL)

CREATOR: Christa T. Robinson (ROBINSON_C) (WHO)

CREATION DATE/TIME: 26-SEP-1995 14:46:53.69

SUBJECT: statement by POTUS

TO: Stephen C. Warnath (WARNATH_S) (OPD)

READ: 26-SEP-1995 14:48:37.51

TEXT:

Rahm wanted you to draft a statement we can release by the President on the one year anniversary of Operation Gatekeeper and whatever else Commissioner Meissner and the AG will be announcing on Oct. 1st in CA. I think Rahm is concerned that we put our message down on paper for release just in case Doris Meissner doesn't quite express it all. Anyway, he wants it to release on Saturday embargoed for Sunday.

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001a. email	Kathy McKiernan to Mary Ellen Glynn, Virginia M. Terzano, April K. Mellody, and Allison K. Wilkie at 14:40:40.03. Subject: New Guidance on Immigration. (1 page)	09/27/1995	P5
001b. email attachment	Lamar Smith Immigration Legislation/Legal Immigration (2 pages)	09/27/1995	P5
002. email	Rahm Emanuel to Christa T. Robinson at 11:39:48.72. Subject: hi (2 pages)	10/13/1995	P5
003. email	Christa T. Robinson to Dennis Burke at 20:07:47.34. Subject: please turn into todd stern tonight if you can. (3 pages)	12/19/1995	P5
004. email	Michelle Crisci to Angus S. King at 18:51:30.18. Subject: thank you (2 pages)	06/07/1996	P5
005. email	Michelle Crisci to Angus S. King at 18:51:30.18. Subject: thank you. (2 pages)	06/07/1996	P5

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Automated Records Management System [Email]
WHO ([Operation Gatekeeper])
OA/Box Number: 500000

FOLDER TITLE:

[09/27/1995 - 07/08/1996]

2011-0376-F

xx7

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

COPY

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (ALL-IN-1 MAIL)

CREATOR: Kathy McKiernan (MCKIERNAN_K) (WHO)

CREATION DATE/TIME:27-SEP-1995 14:40:40.03

SUBJECT: New Guidance on Immigration

TO: Mary Ellen Glynn (GLYNN_M) (WHO)
READ:27-SEP-1995 16:44:19.86TO: Virginia M. Terzano (TERZANO_V) (WHO)
READ:27-SEP-1995 17:28:53.50TO: APRIL K. MELLODY (MELLODY_A) (WHO)
READ:27-SEP-1995 15:03:22.21TO: Allison Wilkie (WILKIE_A) (WHO)
READ:27-SEP-1995 15:15:34.44

TEXT:

New guidance on legal and illigal immigration, per Steve Warnath in DPC, is attached.

Also FYI -- this weekend is the one year anniversary of Operation Gatekeeper. Rahm has asked Steve to draft a statement from the President that we can put out on that day.

Kathy

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE:27-SEP-1995 14:37:00.00

ATT BODYPART TYPE:p

ATT CREATOR: Kathy McKiernan

TEXT:

Unable to convert OA\$SHARC595:ZVYQKYTLF.FGN to ASCII,

The following is a HEX DUMP:

```
FF575043CA100000010A000100000000FBFF050032000E01000006001000000042000000FFFF41
0000000540000000C005A0000000AA00000003000A0000000401000008237C007800000002000001
0F0000002900436F7572696572204E657720202854542900436F7572696572204E65772028426F
6C64292020285454290054696D6573204E657720526F6D616E20202854542900FEFFFFFFFFFFFFFF
FFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF4850204C617365724A657420494944000000000000000000000000
0000000000000000000000000000000048504C41534949442E57525300DB017800141E0C178C0A00000004
1140C90087CF010001002C012C012C01F45C1B8D75580240D00606000100060006D0FBFF05
003200E5010000FFFF1000000040010000FFFF2B00000050010000FFFF0A000000AA010000FFFF
31000000B401000000237C0078000000010000010F000000436F7572696572204E657720202854
542900436F7572696572204E65772028426F6C6429202028545429002E575253005300DB017800
141E0C178C0A000000041140C90087CF01000100F0007001F0006801F4B21C418D580240D00606
000100060006D0436F75726965722031327074202831306370692900436F757269657220313270
7420283130637069292028426F6C642900FBFF05003200AF060000FFFF5801000017020000FFFF
2F0000007B010000FFFF15000000950000000202400300006F030000F800FFFF89003F00780078
0078002C010100000001D63358027800FE1536105807000000041140C900448F51110310580240
FEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFE
FF89003F007800780078002C010100120001EFA258027800D01612112008000000041160C9004E
A951110310580280FEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFE
FFFFFFFFFFFF001FFFF910037005000430032002C0101002B00011F4E58025000F41A5C121A0900
00001020508E001C3651110310580250FEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFE
FFFFFFFFFFFF2E02FFFF910037005500430032002C0101004100011881580255
00F41A34121A090000001020708E00120551110310580290FEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFEFE
```

Lamar Smith Immigration Legislation/Legal Immigration
September 27, 1995

Q: What are the Administration's views regarding the immigration legislation being considered now in the House Judiciary Committee (HR 2202)?

A: Many of the provisions of the House bill -- now being marked-up in full committee -- advance the Administration's strategy to control illegal immigration. This strategy calls for regaining control of our borders; removing the job magnet through worksite enforcement; and aggressively pursuing the removal of criminal aliens and other illegal aliens. Much of the House bill at this point is similar to the Administration's enforcement bill. We are therefore pleased that the bill follows our policies to a significant extent. This includes:

- a significant increase in border patrol agents.
- work authorization pilots, including SSA and INS database checks.
- making immigration documents more fraud-resistant.
- strong penalties for violation of the immigration laws including for illegal entry.
- "expedited exclusion" to increase the Attorney General's authority to effectively address mass migration situations.
- reductions in the total level of legal immigration consistent with pro-family, pro-work and pro-naturalization principles.

Q: Details on legal immigration?

A: We are working with Congress and interested communities to come up with legislation that will reduce overall numbers (in part to protect American workers). In the context of lowering overall numbers, we support family reunification principles and are committed to reunification of closest family members (background: at this point, we cannot get more specific about which family members this means).

Q: Where are the areas of disagreement with the Smith legislation?

A: We do not agree with every detail of the proposed legislation. We have been working with Democrats and Republicans to attempt to arrive at bipartisan immigration reform legislation that is in the national interest. The President has requested that Congress get immigration legislation to him before the end of the year to ensure that the Administration is provided with the needed tools and resources to effectively address the immigration problems that the country faces.

Employment is the biggest magnet for illegal immigration to this country. If you are serious about curbing illegal immigration you have to be serious about effective worksite enforcement. Border enforcement alone is not enough. Thus, we are disappointed by some Republican efforts to water down enforcement at the workplace. For example, the bill currently does not provide for all of the worksite investigators and enforcement officers that we need and have asked for. Some provisions seek to limit enforcement discretion. We also want to ensure that while worksite enforcement is strengthened, adequate safeguards against discrimination are included in the legislation.

Drafted and Cleared: Stephen Warnath (DPC), 6-5576

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (ALL-IN-1 MAIL)

CREATOR: Christa T. Robinson (ROBINSON_C) (WHO)

CREATION DATE/TIME:19-DEC-1995 20:07:47.34

SUBJECT: please turn into todd stern tonight if you can

TO: Dennis Burke (BURKE_D) (OPD)

READ:19-DEC-1995 20:11:51.55

TEXT:

i love you for doing this. if you want to leave, do it tomorrow morning - no problem. Seriously, they just need it in the morning./

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE:19-DEC-1995 20:02:00.00

ATT BODYPART TYPE:p

ATT CREATOR: Christa T. Robinson

TEXT:

WPCD

2BVT□Z

#|x)?xxx,wXx6X@DQX@HP LaserJet IIIHPLASIII.WRSx□

@,,byX@2@(P@xX#|xCourier New (TT)Times New Roman (TT)"5@^2BRdd\$BBdq2B28ddddd

ddddd88qqqYzoBNzoozzB8B^dBYdYdYBdd88d8dddddBN8ddddy`(`l2BB!BBPRBddYYYYYYzYzYzYzY

B8B8B8B8dddddYdddddoddyYYYYYzYzYzYdddddPdBDdBBBdNdz8zRdddBROnNNF2ZdBYddddd

7>d<d<BBYdBBddBYB<tBnnnnqyy2Psq6cddnCzshbnonvyXzXshn~|y2BRdd\$BBdq2B28ddddd

d88qqqYzoBNzoozzB8B^dBYdYdYBdd88d8dddddBN8ddddy`(`lBdYddBoBBBYFdB2Bddddd(dB7dq

BdPn<<BsZ2B<>dYzzzzBBBBqodYYYYYYYYYYY8888dddddndddddd?xxx,wXx6X@DQX@7PC2,zXP

\ P6QXPCaps

Close Disk

Close FileComposeHold...□General Help<implicit RETURN command>e: %s Printer: Di

sabled2B

X-#XP\ P6QzXP#

X-Q.XxThe Republicans have been attacking your drug policy. Do you think you should be doing more to address the drug problem? (#

X-Q.XxCrime is still a number one problem to Americans. What do you think can be done about this? (#

XxYou need to respond to any questions on crime by saying that we are making progress

and we are seeing real reductions in violent crime and murder. You cannot show any

complacency in this area. (In the most recent FBI crime report, the

crime rate was down in all regions of the country except the west coast). This is a good track but

we have to work harder. (#

Xx"Since day one, the main focus of my anticrime policy was to put more police

on the
beat, and get kids, guns, and drugs off the street. That's achieved th
rough the
100,000 COPS Program, the Assault Weapons Ban and Brady Bill, develop
ing adult
supervised after school programs for kids, and "3 Strikes You're Out."
" (#

x
pFyxXxThe next stage of my efforts to fight crime are the areas of juvenile vio
lence and
drugs. That is why I supported drug testing for high school athletes
and now are
instituting a policy to drug test all Federal arrestees and we are
challenging states to
follow us. In juvenile violence, we are developing a fo
cused program that deals with
the worst of juvenile offenders but also gives ki
ds something to say "yes" to. (#

"0*0*0*"□
XxWe have made a lot of progress in fighting crime over the last two years. Th
e crime
rate has dropped to the lowest its been over the last 35 years. Althou
gh local
juridictions have a lot to do with this, I have driven a national effo
rt that has
contributed to this. We have a balanced approach to fighting crime
it based on
punishment, police, and prevention. (FYI. In the FBI Report the
crime rate was up
2% in the western coastal states but in down every other regi
on of the country.) (#

X_
XxThis week I introduced a policy to drug test all arrestees at the Federal lev
el. We
know that many, if not most, of the people who come into contact with t
he criminal
justice system have a drug abuse problem. This is one way we can u
se all of our
resources to to target drug users and break the link between crim
e and drugs. (#

X
-
XxWhat is disturbing and unacceptable, is the trend of youth violence. I belie
ve we need
to take an honest look at this problem and do all that we can to sol
ve it. For example,
we know for a fact that most crimes committed by juveniles
occur between the hours
of 3pm. This is because there are many latchkey kids
out there who go
Xy-unsupervised after the school days. I am proposing that we work with commun
ities in
stregthening after school programs (???) to keep children under the su
pervision of
adults (#

XxOn the punishment side, this week I will be introducing the "Enhanced Prosecution of Juvenile Offenders Act" which gives the prosecution some authority in recommending a juvenile be tried as an adult offender. In my Crime Bill there were many tougher sentences and boot camps designed to target juvenile crime. In addition, I directed the Justice Department to create 10 pilot programs that target juvenile crime in high crime areas throughout the country. (#

"|0*0*0*"□

X-Immigration Talking Point

X-December 19, 1995

Everything you answer regarding immigration should be prefaced with a statement about the fact that you inherited this problem.

"For the 12 years before I became President, the problem of illegal immigration was neglected. There was no enforcement at the border, no strategy, and fewer resources devoted to combatting illegal immigration. I am proud of the focused effort we have made to control the borders. We are building a web of enforcement from the border (ie. Operation GateKeeper) to the workplace (ie. Operation Job). We have put the values of the American people at the center of our immigration policy."

===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (ALL-IN-1 MAIL)

CREATOR: Michelle Crisci (CRISCI_M) (WHO)

CREATION DATE/TIME: 7-JUN-1996 18:51:30.18

SUBJECT: thank you

TO: Angus S. King

(KING_A) (WHO)

READ: 7-JUN-1996 18:52:49.26

TEXT:

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 7-JUN-1996 18:47:00.00

ATT BODYPART TYPE:p

ATT CREATOR: Michelle Crisci

TEXT:

PRINTER FONT 12_POINT_COURIER

June 7, 1996

MEMORANDUM TO THE CHIEF OF STAFF

FROM

RAHM EMANUEL

SUBJECT

WEEKLY REPORT

CRIME/DRUGS: The San Diego event is designed to highlight the Administration's success in fighting crime along the border. This will be the one time, prior to the GOP convention, in which we can point to our initiatives. In addition to the President and the Attorney General, the sheriff and district attorney of San Diego, both big Republicans, will make remarks about the decrease in crime.

The Justice department report will track crime along the border and the introduction of federal resources. We want to make the point that once resources were available to law enforcement at the border, San Diego and other cities witnessed a significant drop in crime.

We will not be able to avoid it, but the press will compare this trip to Senator Dole's border event. We should shy away from this comparison in our remarks and focus on the good story we have to tell. We must remember this is a law enforcement event, not just an anti

□

-immigration event.

This week I held a meeting with the Department of Education staff on the truancy report. The report should be ready for the Conference of Mayors on the 22nd of this month in Cleveland. The report identifies five principals that any successful anti

□

-truancy initiative should include. The report is modeled after the school uniform guide. I would like to use the Mayors conference and the radio address to highlight the report. The Treasury Department is ready with the Juvenile Handgun Tracking Center. This announcement will organize 17 cities, police departments, district attorneys' office,, and U.S.

Attorney's office to track juvenile handguns and their sellers. The Department of Justice is ready with \$50 million in grants to police departments for Domestic Violence prevention. The sooner

the better in my view.

IMMIGRATION: Former President Bush came out this week against the Education Amendment to the Immigration Bill. Mayor Gulliani also attacked the Immigration bill. Senator Kennedy is circulating a letter among Republicans and Democrats. He is up to 46 signatures on the letter. Five Republicans have signed the letter and we have 41 Democratic signatures.

Given the recent press, I think it is essential we get this letter out this weekend before the conferees meet.

We received a great editorial in the San Diego paper this week supporting Operation Gatekeeper. I have attached a copy for you to read prior to the trip.

Next week INS will sign a Memo of Understanding with the Hotel industry in Florida. This will show real follow

□

-up to our

announcement two weeks ago in Florida. The Governors office was pleased with the program and the coverage they received.

WELFARE: Bruce and I met this week to review our upcoming proposals. The paternity identification and 39th and 40th state welfare waivers are ready. We also met with Don and Dick to discuss when these waivers should be announced. We are looking at the speech in front of the Nurses to announce the paternity identification and state waivers.

The purpose behind one big announcement is that the waivers will not get any coverage on their own. Plus combining it with a big announcement will insulate us when we miss the deadline on Wisconsin.

I am still very nervous about the lack of progress at HHS on the outstanding waivers. They have 27 standing requests that are at different stages of completion and they are arguing over details we should in some cases give up already. Beyond the 27 waivers they have yet to send any letters to the targeted states on their upcoming waiver requests.

RACE: I think the radio address this week is really important for a number of reasons. First, the fires are despicable. Second, given the mood of the national press we need to discuss the one issue that goes to the President's core, race relations. Third, I think we can develop a storyline that President Clinton is the first Democrat since Bobby Kennedy to bridge the races in America.

Doing and saying things that go directly to the President's core and are not seen as political would help push back the national

press.

===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====