

Case Number: 2008-1220-M

MR MARKER

This is not a textual record. This is used as an administrative marker by the Clinton Presidential Library Staff.

Original OA/ID Number: 4122				
Document ID: PRD-13				
Row: 43	Section: 6	Shelf: 10	Position: 2	Stack: V

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001a. profile sheet	[NSC numbered document profile sheet for PRD-13] (2 pages)	02/08/1993	P1/b(1) KBH 12/15/2008
001b. memo	Anthony Lake to Vice President et al. re: [PRD-13] (5 pages)	02/15/1993	P1/b(1) KBH 12/15/2008
001c. memo	Richard Clarke and Michael Sheehan to Lake re: Peacekeeping Presidential Review (1 page)	02/09/1993	P1/b(1) KBH 12/15/2008
001d. memo	[duplicate of 001c] (1 page)	02/09/1993	P1/b(1) KBH 12/15/2008
001e. memo	Lake to Vice President et al. re: [PRD-13] [with edits, incomplete copy] (6 pages)	ca. 02/1993	P1/b(1) KBH 12/15/2008
001f. memo	Lake to Vice President et al. re [PRD-13] [incomplete copy] (1 page)	ca. 02/1993	P1/b(1) KBH 12/15/2008

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Records Management
OA/Box Number: 4122

FOLDER TITLE:

PRD/NSC-13

2008-1220-M

rs182

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

~~SECRET~~
NSC/RMO PROFILE

RECORD ID: 9320086
RECEIVED: 08 FEB 93 14

TO: AGENCIES

FROM: LAKE

DOC DATE: 15 FEB 93
SOURCE REF:

KEYWORDS: PEACEKEEPING

PRD

PERSONS:

SUBJECT: PRD-13 MULTILATERAL PEACEKEEPING OPERATIONS

ACTION: LAKE SGD PRD-13

DUE DATE: 11 FEB 93 STATUS: C

STAFF OFFICER: SHEEHAN

LOGREF:

FILES: IFR O

NSCP: PRD0013

CODES:

DOCUMENT DISTRIBUTION

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

BARTH
BELL
CLARKE
FEINBERG
GATI
GROSS
INDYK
ITOH
JETT
LEARY
MENAN
NSC CHRON
PATTERSON
PONEMAN
ROSNER
SHEEHAN
TENET
WALKER
WHSSS

COMMENTS:

DISPATCHED BY

Ban

DATE

2/16/93

BY HAND

W/ATTCH

OPENED BY: NSJSL

CLOSED BY: NSBTM

DOC

2 OF

2

~~SECRET~~

DECLASSIFIED
PER E.O. 12958,
AS AMENDED

2008-1220-m
ms 12/15/08

*Card
file*

~~SECRET~~

RECORD ID: 9320086

ACTION DATA SUMMARY REPORT

DOC ACTION OFFICER

CAO ASSIGNED ACTION REQUIRED

001 LAKE

Z 93020914 FOR SIGNATURE

002

X 93021612 LAKE SGD PRD-13

DISPATCH DATA SUMMARY REPORT

DOC DATE DISPATCH FOR ACTION

DISPATCH FOR INFO

002 930215 GORE, A
002 930215 CHRISTOPHER, W
002 930215 ASPIN, L
002 930215 ALBRIGHT, M
002 930215 PANETTA, L
002 930215 MCLARTY, T
002 930215 WOOLSEY, R
002 930215 POWELL, C
002 930215 MICHEL, J
002 930215 LAKE
002 930215 NSC CHRON

~~SECRET~~

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
DISTRIBUTION RECEIPT

LOG 9320144
DATE 24 FEB 93

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR CY OF PRD-13
DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION:

MS. MARY ELLEN CONNELL
U.S. INFORMATION AGENCY
ROOM 822, USIA BUILDING
301 4TH STREET, SW
WASHINGTON, DC 20547

DATE

TIME

SIGNATURE

3-25

W. J. [Signature]

COPY: #12

DATE, TIME, SIGN THE RECEIPT AND RETURN TO: NSC, ROOM 379 OEOB

PAGE 01 OF 01 PAGES

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL OFFICE DISTRIBUTION LIST

SUBJECT: PRD-13 DATE: 15 FEB 93 LOG NUMBER: 9320086

DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION: ~~SECRET~~

OFFICE	COPY	SENT	SIGNATURE
NATIONAL SECURITY ADVISOR	#10	(Y) N	<i>Boh</i>
NSC CHRON COPY	#11	(Y) N	<i>made Boh</i>
EXECUTIVE SECRETARY	A	(Y) N	<i>Cathy Mellison</i>
RECORDS MANAGEMENT	(B)	Y N	<i>SMT</i>
INFORMATION POLICY	C	(Y) N	<i>Steven D. Tilly</i>
COUNSELLOR	(D)	(Y) N	<i>Wendy Bell</i>
INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS	E	(Y) N	<i>Ransom</i>
RUSSIAN	F	(Y) N	<i>D. Masfield</i>
LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS	G	Y (N)	<i>C. L. Panches</i>
EUROPEAN/EURASIAN AFFAIRS	H	(Y) N	<i>BAV</i>
NEAR EAST/SOUTH ASIAN AFFAIRS	I	(Y) N	<i>Kevin Kuen</i>
ASIAN AFFAIRS	J	(Y) N	<i>C. Washington</i>
GLOBAL AFFAIRS	(K)	(Y) N	<i>Wanda Lutz</i>
LATIN AMERICAN AFFAIRS	L	(Y) N	<i>Ruth Stalup</i>
INTL ECONOMICS AFFAIRS	M	(Y) N	<i>P. Battaglin</i>
DEFENSE POLICY/ARMS CONTROL	N	(Y) N	<i>Lita O'Hara</i>
WH SITUATION SUPPORT STAFF	O	(Y) N	<i>P. L.</i>
AFRICAN AFFAIRS	P	(Y) N	<i>N. Schellaci</i>
NON PROLIFERATION	(Q)	(Y) N	<i>Marion Blahinger</i>
SHEEHAN	COPY	(Y) N	<i>Mary</i>
	----	Y N	

NOTE: ALL NSC OFFICE COPIES DELIVERIED BY HAND ON 16 FEB 93
RETURN TO NSC RECORDS MANAGEMENT, ROOM 379, OEOB

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 12958, As Amended,
White House Guidelines, August 28, 1997
By *NLS* NARA, Date *6/74/98*
2008-1220-m

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
DISTRIBUTION RECEIPT

LOG 9320086
DATE 15 FEB 93

SUBJECT: PRD-13

DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION: ~~SECRET~~

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION:

MR. ALBERT GORE, JR
VICE PRESIDENT
VIA ROOM 290
OLD EXECUTIVE OFC BLDG
WASHINGTON, DC 20500

DATE	TIME	SIGNATURE
<u>16 Feb</u>	<u>1615</u>	<u>L. Brown</u>
COPY: #1		

MR. WARREN CHRISTOPHER
DEPT OF STATE
7TH FLOOR
2201 C STREET, NW
WASHINGTON, DC

<u>2-16-93</u>	<u>2304</u>	<u>B. Allen</u>
COPY: #2		

MR. LES ASPIN
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
VIA EXECUTIVE SECRETARY
ROOM 3E880
PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, DC

<u>2/17/93</u>	<u>05:26</u>	<u>C. Slud</u>
COPY: #3		

AMB MADELINE K. ALBRIGHT
U.S. MISSION TO UNITED NATIONS
IO/UNA -- ROOM 6311
DEPARTMENT OF STATE
2201 C STREET, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20520-6319

<u>2-16-93</u>	<u>2304</u>	<u>B. Allen</u>
COPY: #4		

MR. LEON PANETTA
OFC OF MANAGEMENT & BUDGET
VIA ROOM 238
OLD EXECUTIVE OFC BLDG
WASHINGTON, DC 20503

<u>2/16/93</u>	<u>1614</u>	<u>L. Groves</u>
COPY: #5		

MR. THOMAS F. MCLARTY
WHITE HOUSE
1ST FLOOR, WEST WING
WASHINGTON, DC 20500

<u>3/10/93</u>	<u>15:19</u>	<u>T. Mclarty</u>
COPY: #6		

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 12958, As Amended,
White House Guidelines, August 28, 1997
By 103 NARA, Date 6/24/08
2008-1220-m

DATE, TIME, SIGN THE RECEIPT AND RETURN TO: NSC, ROOM 379 OEGB

PAGE 01 OF 02 PAGES

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
DISTRIBUTION RECEIPT

LOG 9320086
DATE 15 FEB 93

SUBJECT: PRD-13
DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION: ~~SECRET~~

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION:

MR. R. JAMES WOOLSEY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
VIA EXECUTIVE SECRETARY
ROOM 7E12, HEADQUARTERS
WASHINGTON, DC 20505

DATE

TIME

SIGNATURE

2/17/93

1400

[Signature]

COPY: #7

GENERAL COLIN L. POWELL
JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF
ROOM 2E873
PENTAGON
DEPT OF DEFENSE
WASHINGTON, DC

2/16/93

1825

[Signature]

COPY: #8

MR. JAMES MICHEL
AGENCY FOR INTL DEVELOPMENT
VIA EXECUTIVE SECRETARY
ROOM 5945, NEW STATE
2201 C STREET, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20523

2/16/93

2304

[Signature]

COPY: #9

MR. ANTHONY LAKE
NATL SECURITY COUNCIL
1ST FLOOR, WEST WING
WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON, DC 20506

2/16/93

1630

[Signature]

COPY: #10

NSC CHRON

2/16/93

1600

[Signature]

COPY: #11

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 12958, As Amended,
White House Guidelines, August 28, 1997
By Ms NARA, Date 2/24/98
2068-1220-m

DATE, TIME, SIGN THE RECEIPT AND RETURN TO: NSC, ROOM 379 OEOB

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
DISTRIBUTION RECEIPT

LOG 9320086
DATE 15 FEB 93

SUBJECT: PRD-13
DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION: ~~SECRET~~

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION:

MR. ALBERT GORE, JR
VICE PRESIDENT
VIA ROOM 290
OLD EXECUTIVE OFC BLDG
WASHINGTON, DC 20500

DATE

TIME

SIGNATURE

16 Feb

1615

[Signature]

COPY: #1

MR. WARREN CHRISTOPHER
DEPT OF STATE
7TH FLOOR
2201 C STREET, NW
WASHINGTON, DC

COPY: #2

MR. LES ASPIN
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
VIA EXECUTIVE SECRETARY
ROOM 3E880
PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, DC

COPY: #3

AMB MADELINE K. ALBRIGHT
U.S. MISSION TO UNITED NATIONS
IO/UNA -- ROOM 6311
DEPARTMENT OF STATE
2201 C STREET, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20520-6319

COPY: #4

MR. LEON PANETTA
OFC OF MANAGEMENT & BUDGET
VIA ROOM 238
OLD EXECUTIVE OFC BLDG
WASHINGTON, DC 20503

COPY: #5

MR. THOMAS F. MCLARTY
WHITE HOUSE
1ST FLOOR, WEST WING
WASHINGTON, DC 20500

COPY: #6

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 12958, As Amended,
White House Guidelines, August 28, 1997
By rus NARA, Date 6/24/18
2008-1220-m

DATE, TIME, SIGN THE RECEIPT AND RETURN TO: NSC, ROOM 379-OEOB

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
DISTRIBUTION RECEIPT

LOG 9320086
DATE 15 FEB 93

SUBJECT: PRD-13

DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION: ~~SECRET~~

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION:

DATE

TIME

SIGNATURE

MR. ALBERT GORE, JR
VICE PRESIDENT
VIA ROOM 290
OLD EXECUTIVE OFC BLDG
WASHINGTON, DC 20500

COPY: #1

MR. WARREN CHRISTOPHER
DEPT OF STATE
7TH FLOOR
2201 C STREET, NW
WASHINGTON, DC

2/16

11:26

COPY: #2

MR. LES ASPIN
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
VIA EXECUTIVE SECRETARY
ROOM 3E880
PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, DC

COPY: #3

AMB MADELINE K. ALBRIGHT
U.S. MISSION TO UNITED NATIONS
IO/UNA -- ROOM 6311
DEPARTMENT OF STATE
2201 C STREET, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20520-6319

COPY: #4

MR. LEON PANETTA
OFC OF MANAGEMENT & BUDGET
VIA ROOM 238
OLD EXECUTIVE OFC BLDG
WASHINGTON, DC 20503

COPY: #5

MR. THOMAS F. MCLARTY
WHITE HOUSE
1ST FLOOR, WEST WING
WASHINGTON, DC 20500

COPY: #6

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 12958, As Amended,
White House Guidelines, August 28, 1997
By 105 NARA, Date 6/24/08
2008-1220-m

DATE, TIME, SIGN THE RECEIPT AND RETURN TO: NSC, ROOM 379 OEOB

PAGE-01 OF-02-PAGES

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
DISTRIBUTION RECEIPT

LOG 9320086
DATE 15 FEB 93

SUBJECT: PRD-13

DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION: ~~SECRET~~

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION:	DATE	TIME	SIGNATURE
MR. ALBERT GORE, JR VICE PRESIDENT VIA ROOM 290 OLD EXECUTIVE OFC BLDG WASHINGTON, DC 20500	_____	_____	_____ COPY: #1
MR. WARREN CHRISTOPHER DEPT OF STATE 7TH FLOOR 2201 C STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC	_____	_____	_____ COPY: #2
MR. LES ASPIN DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE VIA EXECUTIVE SECRETARY ROOM 3E880 PENTAGON WASHINGTON, DC	_____	_____	_____ COPY: #3
AMB MADELINE K. ALBRIGHT U.S. MISSION TO UNITED NATIONS IO/UNA -- ROOM 6311 DEPARTMENT OF STATE 2201 C STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20520-6319	_____	_____	_____ COPY: #4
MR. LEON PANETTA OFC OF MANAGEMENT & BUDGET VIA ROOM 238 OLD EXECUTIVE OFC BLDG WASHINGTON, DC 20503	_____	_____	_____ COPY: #5
MR. THOMAS F. MCLARTY WHITE HOUSE 1ST FLOOR, WEST WING WASHINGTON, DC 20500	_____	_____	_____ COPY: #6

X60916


DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 12958, As Amended,
White House Guidelines, August 23, 1997
By nas NARA, Date 6/24/08
2008-1220-m

DATE, TIME, SIGN THE RECEIPT AND RETURN TO: NSC, ROOM 379-OEOB

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
DISTRIBUTION RECEIPT

LOG 9320086
DATE 15 FEB 93

SUBJECT: PRD-13
DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION: ~~SECRET~~

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION:	DATE	TIME	SIGNATURE
MR. ALBERT GORE, JR VICE PRESIDENT VIA ROOM 290 OLD EXECUTIVE OFC BLDG WASHINGTON, DC 20500	_____	_____	_____ COPY: #1 _____
MR. WARREN CHRISTOPHER DEPT OF STATE 7TH FLOOR 2201 C STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC	_____	_____	_____ COPY: #2 _____
MR. LES ASPIN DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE VIA EXECUTIVE SECRETARY ROOM 3E880 PENTAGON WASHINGTON, DC	_____	_____	_____ COPY: #3 _____
AMB MADELINE K. ALBRIGHT U.S. MISSION TO UNITED NATIONS IO/UNA -- ROOM 6311 DEPARTMENT OF STATE 2201 C STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20520-6319	_____	_____	_____ COPY: #4 _____
MR. LEON PANETTA OFC OF MANAGEMENT & BUDGET VIA ROOM 238 OLD EXECUTIVE OFC BLDG WASHINGTON, DC 20503	16 Feb. 93	_____	 COPY: #5 _____
MR. THOMAS F. MCLARTY WHITE HOUSE 1ST FLOOR, WEST WING WASHINGTON, DC 20500	_____	_____	_____ COPY: #6 _____

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 12958, As Amended,
White House Guidelines, August 28, 1997
By hls NARA, Date 6/24/98
2086-1220-n

DATE, TIME, SIGN THE RECEIPT AND RETURN TO: NSC, ROOM 379 OEGB

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
DISTRIBUTION RECEIPT

LOG 9320086
DATE 15 FEB 93

SUBJECT: PRD-13
DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION: ~~SECRET~~

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION:	DATE	TIME	SIGNATURE
MR. ALBERT GORE, JR VICE PRESIDENT VIA ROOM 290 OLD EXECUTIVE OFC BLDG WASHINGTON, DC 20500	_____	_____	_____ COPY: #1 _____
MR. WARREN CHRISTOPHER DEPT OF STATE 7TH FLOOR 2201 C STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC	_____	_____	_____ COPY: #2 _____
MR. LES ASPIN DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE VIA EXECUTIVE SECRETARY ROOM 3E880 PENTAGON WASHINGTON, DC	_____	_____	_____ COPY: #3 _____
AMB MADELINE K. ALBRIGHT U.S. MISSION TO UNITED NATIONS IO/UNA -- ROOM 6311 DEPARTMENT OF STATE 2201 C STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20520-6319	_____	_____	_____ COPY: #4 _____
MR. LEON PANETTA OFC OF MANAGEMENT & BUDGET VIA ROOM 238 OLD EXECUTIVE OFC BLDG WASHINGTON, DC 20503	_____	_____	_____ COPY: #5 _____
MR. THOMAS F. MCLARTY WHITE HOUSE 1ST FLOOR, WEST WING WASHINGTON, DC 20500	3/10/93	3:30pm	<i>Matthew J. Jones</i> _____ COPY: #6 _____

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 12958, As Amended,
White House Guidelines, August 28, 1997
By *ms* NARA, Date *6/24/08*
2005-1220-r

DATE, TIME, SIGN THE RECEIPT AND RETURN TO: NSC, ROOM 379-OEOB

PAGE-01-OF-02-PAGES

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
DISTRIBUTION RECEIPT

LOG 9320086
DATE 15 FEB 93

SUBJECT: PRD-13
DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION: ~~SECRET~~

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION:

MR. R. JAMES WOOLSEY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
VIA EXECUTIVE SECRETARY
ROOM 7E12, HEADQUARTERS
WASHINGTON, DC 20505

DATE	TIME	SIGNATURE
18 Feb 93	8:30	Michael J. Lee
		COPY: #7

GENERAL COLIN L. POWELL
JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF
ROOM 2E873
PENTAGON
DEPT OF DEFENSE
WASHINGTON, DC

COPY: #8

MR. JAMES MICHEL
AGENCY FOR INTL DEVELOPMENT
VIA EXECUTIVE SECRETARY
ROOM 5945, NEW STATE
2201 C STREET, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20523

COPY: #9

MR. ANTHONY LAKE
NATL SECURITY COUNCIL
1ST FLOOR, WEST WING
WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON, DC 20506

COPY: #10

NSC CHRON

COPY: #11

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 12958, As Amended,
White House Guidelines, August 28, 1997
By ms NARA, Date 6/24/08
7008-1220-m

DATE, TIME, SIGN THE RECEIPT AND RETURN TO: NSC, ROOM 379 OEOB

PAGE 02 OF 02 PAGES

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
DISTRIBUTION RECEIPT

LOG 9320086
DATE 15 FEB 93

SUBJECT: PRD-13
DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION: ~~SECRET~~

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION:

DATE

TIME

SIGNATURE

MR. R. JAMES WOOLSEY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
VIA EXECUTIVE SECRETARY
ROOM 7E12, HEADQUARTERS
WASHINGTON, DC 20505

COPY: #7

GENERAL COLIN L. POWELL
JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF
ROOM 2E873
PENTAGON
DEPT OF DEFENSE
WASHINGTON, DC

16 Feb 93

1845

COPY: #8

MR. JAMES MICHEL
AGENCY FOR INTL DEVELOPMENT
VIA EXECUTIVE SECRETARY
ROOM 5945, NEW STATE
2201 C STREET, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20523

COPY: #9

MR. ANTHONY LAKE
NATL SECURITY COUNCIL
1ST FLOOR, WEST WING
WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON, DC 20506

COPY: #10

NSC CHRON

COPY: #11

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 12958, As Amended,
White House Guidelines, August 28, 1997
By nm NARA, Date 6/27/98
2008-1220-n

DATE, TIME, SIGN THE RECEIPT AND RETURN TO: NSC, ROOM 379 OEOB

PAGE 02 OF 02 PAGES

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
DISTRIBUTION RECEIPT

LOG 9320086
DATE 15 FEB 93

SUBJECT: PRD-13
DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION: ~~SECRET~~

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION:

DATE

TIME

SIGNATURE

MR. R. JAMES WOOLSEY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
VIA EXECUTIVE SECRETARY
ROOM 7E12, HEADQUARTERS
WASHINGTON, DC 20505

COPY: #7

GENERAL COLIN L. POWELL
JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF
ROOM 2E873
PENTAGON
DEPT OF DEFENSE
WASHINGTON, DC

COPY: #8

MR. JAMES MICHEL
AGENCY FOR INTL DEVELOPMENT
VIA EXECUTIVE SECRETARY
ROOM 5945, NEW STATE
2201 C STREET, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20523

2-17-93

8:25 AM

Harnet Harley

COPY: #9

MR. ANTHONY LAKE
NATL SECURITY COUNCIL
1ST FLOOR, WEST WING
WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON, DC 20506

COPY: #10

NSC CHRON

COPY: #11

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 12958, As Amended,
White House Guidelines, August 28, 1997
By MS NARA, Date 6/24/08
2008-1222-~

DATE, TIME, SIGN THE RECEIPT AND RETURN TO: NSC, ROOM 379 OEOB

PAGE 02 OF 02 PAGES

~~SECRET~~No. PRD 13COPY ORIGINAL

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL INFORMATION

Notice

The attached document contains classified National Security Council Information. It is to be read and discussed only by persons authorized by law.

Your signature acknowledges you are such a person and you promise you will show or discuss information contained in the document only with persons who are authorized by law to have access to this document.

Persons handling this document acknowledge he or she knows and understands the security law relating thereto and will cooperate fully with any lawful investigation by the United States Government into any unauthorized disclosure of classified information contained herein.

Access List

DATE	NAME	DATE	NAME
2/16/93	<i>Bornes</i>		

~~SECRET~~

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 12958, As Amended,
White House Guidelines, August 28, 1997
By *h* NARA, Date *6/24/08*
2008-1220-m

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

20086

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

February 15, 1993

PRESIDENTIAL REVIEW DIRECTIVE/NSC-13

MEMORANDUM FOR THE VICE PRESIDENT
THE SECRETARY OF STATE
THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
REPRESENTATIVE OF THE UNITED STATES TO THE UNITED
NATIONS
DIRECTOR OF THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET
THE CHIEF OF STAFF TO THE PRESIDENT
ACTING DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE
CHAIRMAN OF THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF
ACTING ADMINISTRATOR OF THE AGENCY FOR
INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

SUBJECT: Multilateral Peacekeeping Operations (C)

With the end of the Cold War and increased cooperation among Russia and the Western members of the United Nations Security Council, nations have come to rely increasingly on the UN to resolve international and internal disputes through the use of peacekeeping forces or UN authorization of enforcement actions. Regional organizations have also expanded their peacekeeping roles. Despite this growth in peacekeeping and enforcement actions, the UN and some regional organizations have not changed their relevant policies or structures significantly. Although the U.S. promulgated a new policy on conventional peacekeeping and emergency humanitarian relief operations last year and recently shared it with three members of the Security Council, there are many issues that remain to be resolved before the U.S. has a complete policy. (C)

This PRD is intended to be a zero-based review of the issues involved in the creation of a U.S. policy on peacekeeping and to identify options leading to Presidential decisions. The phrase "multilateral peacekeeping" is meant, except where otherwise noted, to include the full range of activities including observers, peacekeeping and humanitarian relief operations, and implementation/enforcement actions. (C)

The study should include, but not be limited to, the questions and issues in the outline below. When options are developed, they should also be analyzed. Each analysis should compare a set of options against a common set of evaluative criteria. Two

~~SECRET~~

Declassify on: OADR

~~SECRET~~

DECLASSIFIED
PER E.O. 12958,
AS AMENDED

2085-1220-n
1/15/84

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

criteria that should be given special attention are the availability of funding sources for new activities and the ease/difficulty in obtaining UN support for change options. (C)

I. BACKGROUND (INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY)

Describe recent and current multilateral peacekeeping in terms of their origins, scope, goals, participation (U.S. and other), and effectiveness. Identify what has made for success and failure, what has worked and what has not. (C)

II. THE ROLE OF PEACEKEEPING (STATE)

What options are there for the role that multilateral peacekeeping should play in our foreign and security policies? Specifically:

A. When are U.S. interests best served by reliance upon multilateral peacekeeping and when are they best served by action that is unilateral or not sanctioned by the UN? (C)

B. Does the trend toward multilateral peacekeeping undermine in an undesirable manner our ability to act unilaterally? (C)

C. To what extent are nations becoming dependent upon the UN peacekeeping to solve internal problems that they could or should be solving themselves? (C)

D. To what degree does the downsizing of U.S. and allied militaries mean that we may need to rely increasingly upon international organizations and coalitions for intervention capability? (C)

E. Should decisions about increasing reliance on UN peacekeeping be tempered by the possibility that Russia and China may change policies and begin vetoing peacekeeping operations which we support? How likely is such a change? What could we do to continue international peacekeeping if this change did occur? (C)

F. What are the public/legislative attitudes towards peacekeeping (multilateral and unilateral) within key foreign states and the United States? (C) (WITH USIA)

III. THE ROLE OF REGIONAL ORGANIZATIONS (STATE)

A. Briefly describe and evaluate the status of peacekeeping policy, structure, and operations in key regional organizations including the OAS, OAU, CIS, ECOWAS, NATO, CSCE, WEU, Arab League, GCC, and ASEAN, as well as current U.S. policy thereto. (IC) (C)

B. Develop options for U.S. policy toward peacekeeping by key regional organizations, including steps the U.S. might take to strengthen the capabilities of these groups. U.S. policy toward the NATO/CSCE question should be specifically developed with options and a proposed gameplan. What relationship should

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

these groupings have to the UN system politically and militarily? What criteria should be developed for deciding whether to employ the UN or a regional organization? In all cases, these analyses should be coordinated with relevant regional interagency studies. (STATE) (C)

IV. THE UNITED NATIONS (STATE)

The study should identify the shortcomings of the current United Nations conventional peacekeeping and emergency humanitarian relief system and propose options for improvements. The options should include information about feasibility, resource availability, and plans for achieving UN Secretariat and member support for implementation. Among the issues that should be reviewed are:

A. Structure (roles, missions, organization, cost sharing arrangements, and staffing): including the structure of Under Secretaries, the Emergency Coordinator for Humanitarian relief, the Military Staff Committee, the Military Advisor to the Secretary General, and the Field Operations Division, to include field operations. How can UN multilateral peacekeeping operations be effectively monitored in order to minimize waste and abuse? (STATE/JCS) (C)

B. Command, Control, Communications: What are the UN's C3 requirements for conventional peacekeeping and emergency humanitarian relief and what options are there for meeting them? What options are there for what the U.S. could provide, including facilities and hardware, both gratis and as in kind services to create the necessary system? (DOD/JCS) (C)

C. Information Support and Intelligence: What options are there for how the information function can be integrated into the C3 system? How can U.S. intelligence be provided on a regular (not ad hoc) basis to various components of the UN system and its field operations? How do we identify UN collection requirements? Do current collection priorities give sufficient attention to UN requirements and, if not, what can be done to improve collection? (IC) (C)

D. Logistics and Transportation: What are the deficiencies of UN logistics and lift systems and what are options for improvement, taking into account needs such as speed and interoperability? (DOD/AID) (C)

E. Plans and Training: What options are there for creating a UN system for conventional peacekeeping/emergency humanitarian relief planning, including a permanent staff? What options are there for developing a training system, including use of US facilities for simulations and exercises? (DOD/JCS) (C)

F. Doctrine and Rules of Engagement: Should the past practice of somewhat passive conventional peacekeeping be altered and, if so, what are the options? Include an examination of the Chapter VI and VII dichotomy. (STATE) (C)

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

4

~~SECRET~~

G. Emergency Humanitarian Relief: What options are there for enhancing the UN's capabilities? What are the options for a new international standing/on-call capability? Include non-UN options. (AID) (C)

V. MULTILATERAL PEACEKEEPING OPERATIONS AND U.S. PARTICIPATION (DOD)

A. The UN Charter: How do the authorities under Chapter VI, VII, and VIII of the UN Charter apply to the new challenges and environments for multilateral peacekeeping operations? Does the UN Participation Act need to be modified? (State/UN) (C)

B. Article 43: What are the options for U.S. policy regarding a standing UN force as outlined in Article 43 of the UN charter, including on-call forces, commitments of specific units in specific time-frames, or commitments of specific capabilities in specific time-frames. Assignment of specialized national roles and the concept of a volunteer, quick reaction force (non-national in character) should be examined. An explicit differentiation should be made between peacekeeping forces and emergency humanitarian relief forces in this examination. (State/DOD) (C)

C. The Command Relationship and U.S. Participation: What options are there for policy regarding the participation of U.S. combat and support forces in multilateral peacekeeping organizations, including consideration of control of U.S. forces by non-American commanders? (State/DOD) (C)

VI. THE UNITED STATES (DOD)

A. Organization: OSD, JCS, STATE, AID, and the IC should describe how they are structured to support multilateral peacekeeping and examine options for improving their existing structures. They should also propose options for an interagency structure for policy development and implementation in these areas. (ALL) (C)

B. Training: What are options for improving U.S. military and civilian training for multilateral operations? (JCS/AID) (C)

C. Funding: What options are there for reflecting the U.S. contribution to UN peacekeeping in the U.S. budget, including placement solely in the 050 account, the 150 account, or shared between the accounts on the basis of some formula? Other funding related issues that should be addressed include accounting for in-kind services, transfer authority between accounts, the desirability of additional contingency reserve funds similar to ERMA, options for payment of the U.S. assessment and arrearage, and options for the priority that multilateral peacekeeping should have in the 050 and 150 budgets relative to other programs. Are there ways to pursue cost sharing. (OMB) (C)

D. Legislation: What options are there for amending the United Nations Participation Act of 1945 and other legislation

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

related to multilateral peacekeeping? Would any of the options suggested in this study require such amendment? (STATE) ~~(C)~~

VII. STUDY PROCESS

Initial drafting responsibilities for the sections of the study are indicated in parenthesis in the PRD and listed below. All agencies (in the address) can participate in each sub-group and their options and views should be included in the drafts presented. After review by the sub-groups, the drafts should be presented to an Interagency Working Group (IWG), which for the purposes of managing this study, will be chaired by the NSC Staff. The IWG shall be responsible for integrating the products into a single study with agency views and decisionable options for examination by the Deputies Committee. The IWG shall also be responsible for enforcing drafting deadlines, as indicated below. ~~(C)~~

<u>WORKING GROUP</u>	<u>CHAIR</u>	<u>DRAFT DUE TO IWG</u>
1. Background, Role, and Regional Organizations (Sec. I, II, III)	STATE	24 February
2. The UN (Sec. IV)	STATE	27 February
3. Multilateral Peacekeeping and U.S. Participation (Sec. V)	DEFENSE	8 March
4. United States (Sec. VI)	DEFENSE	12 March

The IWG should report to the Deputies Committee no later than 19 March. Subsequent to the PDD, a strategy and organization for implementation will be tasked. ~~(C)~~



Anthony Lake
Assistant to the President
for National Security Affairs

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~


~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

20086
REDO

ATL



February 9, 1993

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR ANTHONY LAKE

THROUGH: SAMUEL R. BERGER

FROM: RICHARD A. CLARKE/MICHAEL A. SHEPHERD

SUBJECT: Multilateral Peacekeeping Presidential Review
Document (PRD)

✓
SIGNED

Attached is the Multilateral Peacekeeping PRD. We have worked informally with State, OSD, JCS, and USUN (Rick Inderfurth) in the preparation of the document.

State and Defense both insist that they should have the lead in preparing the study. The PRD recommends that State chair two major sections of the paper (broad policy and UN issues), and that Defense chair two sections (operations and U.S. Government issues). The NSC would be the honest broker to compile the paper and ensure all views are fairly represented in policy options.

You may want to consider calling Peter Tarnoff and Frank Wisner or David Jeremiah to consult with them on the compromise solution of an NSC chair.

Concurrence by: Nancy Meyer

MSH-NM

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the PRD at Tab I.

Attachments

Tab I PRD on Multilateral Peacekeeping

~~SECRET~~

Declassify on: OADR

~~SECRET~~

DECLASSIFIED
PER E.O. 12958,
AS AMENDED

2008-1220-m
ms 12/15/08

National Security Council
The White House

PROOFED BY: _____ LOG # 20086
 URGENT NOT PROOFED: _____ SYSTEM PRS NSC INT
 BYPASSED WW DESK: _____ DOCLOG _____ A/O _____

	SEQUENCE TO	HAS SEEN	DISPOSITION
DepExecSec	_____	_____	_____
ExecSec	<u>7</u>	_____	_____
Staff Director	_____	_____	_____
D/APNSA	<u>8</u>	_____	_____
APNSA	<u>9</u>	_____	_____
Situation Room	_____	_____	_____
West Wing Desk	<u>10</u>	<u>PRC</u>	<u>D</u>
NSC Secretariat	<u>11</u>	<u>216/63</u>	<u>R</u>
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____

A = Action	I = Information	D = Dispatch	<u>R = Retain</u>	N = No Further Action
------------	-----------------	--------------	-------------------	-----------------------

cc: VP McLarty Other _____

Should be seen by: _____
(Date/Time)

COMMENTS:

Call Wick Clark

DISPATCH INSTRUCTIONS: *When signed*

Exec Sec's Office has deleted

Rec'd 2/10 8:59am
National Security Council
The White House

PROOFED BY: WJF LOG # 20086
URGENT NOT PROOFED: _____ SYSTEM PRS NSC INT
BYPASSED WW DESK: _____ DOCLOG 182 A/O _____

	SEQUENCE TO	HAS SEEN	DISPOSITION
W DepExecSec	<u>1</u>	<u>WJF</u>	<u>A</u>
ExecSec	<u>2</u>	<u>WJF</u>	
Staff Director	<u>3</u>	<u>WJF</u>	
D/APNSA	<u>4</u>	<u>WJF</u>	
APNSA	<u>5</u>		
Situation Room			
West Wing Desk			
NSC Secretariat			
<u>Clark</u>	<u>6</u>		<u>FFA</u>

A = Action I = Information D = Dispatch R = Retain N = No Further Action

cc: VP McLarty Other _____

Should be seen by: _____
(Date/Time)

COMMENTS:
9 FEB 93 11:55

PRD - Multilateral
Peacekeeping

DISPATCH INSTRUCTIONS:

9 FEB 93 2:15


Ex Sec's Office has desked

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~
NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

20086

ATL



February 9, 1993

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR ANTHONY LAKE

THROUGH: SAMUEL R. BERGER
FROM: RICHARD A. CLARKE/MICHAEL A. SHEERAN
SUBJECT: Multilateral Peacekeeping Presidential Review Document (PRD)

Attached is the Multilateral Peacekeeping PRD. We have worked informally with State, OSD, JCS, and USUN (Rick Inderfurth) in the preparation of the document.

State and Defense both insist that they should have the lead in preparing the study. The PRD recommends that State chair two major sections of the paper (broad policy and UN issues), and that Defense chair two sections (operations and U.S. Government issues). The NSC would be the honest broker to compile the paper and ensure all views are fairly represented in policy options.

You may want to consider calling Peter Tarnoff and Frank Wisner or David Jeremiah to consult with them on the compromise solution of an NSC chair.

Concurrence by: Nancy M. *MS/NM*

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the PRD at Tab I.

Attachments
Tab I PRD on Multilateral Peacekeeping

~~SECRET~~

Declassify on: OADR

~~SECRET~~

DECLASSIFIED
PER E.O. 12958,
AS AMENDED

2008-1220-1~
114 12/15/08

~~SECRET~~

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

~~SECRET~~

20086

PRESIDENTIAL REVIEW DOCUMENT/NSC

MEMORANDUM FOR THE VICE PRESIDENT
THE SECRETARY OF STATE
THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
REPRESENTATIVE OF THE UNITED STATES TO THE UNITED
NATIONS
DIRECTOR OF THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET
THE CHIEF OF STAFF TO THE PRESIDENT
ACTING DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE
CHAIRMAN OF THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF
ACTING ADMINISTRATOR OF THE AGENCY FOR
INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

SUBJECT: Multilateral Peacekeeping Operations (C)

With the end of the Cold War and increased cooperation among Russia and the Western members of the United Nations Security Council, nations have come to rely increasingly on the UN to resolve international and internal disputes through the use of peacekeeping forces or UN authorization of enforcement actions. Regional organizations have also expanded their peacekeeping roles. Despite this growth in peacekeeping and enforcement actions, the UN and some regional organizations have not changed their relevant policies or structures significantly. Although the U.S. promulgated a new policy on conventional peacekeeping and emergency humanitarian relief operations last year and recently shared it with three members of the Security Council, there are many issues that remain to be resolved before the U.S. has a complete policy. (C)

This PRD is intended to be a zero-based review of the issues involved in the creation of a U.S. policy on peacekeeping and to identify options leading to Presidential decisions. The phrase "multilateral peacekeeping" is meant, except where otherwise noted, to include the full range of activities including observers, peacekeeping and humanitarian relief operations, and ~~enforcement~~ actions. (C)

The study should include, but not be limited to, the questions and issues in the outline below. When options are developed,

~~SECRET~~

~~and implementation~~
~~SECRET~~

DECLASSIFIED
PER E.O. 12958,
AS AMENDED

2008-1220-
PWS 12/15/08

✓
Call Ditch
Clerk w/
D'S

implementation/enforcement

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

common
2

they should also be analyzed. Each analysis should compare a set of options against a set of evaluative criteria. ~~so that options in the set can be contrasted on the same measures.~~ Two criteria that should be given special attention are the availability of funding sources for new activities and the ease/difficulty in obtaining UN support for change options. (C)

I. BACKGROUND (INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY)

(U.S. and other)

Describe recent and current multilateral peacekeeping in terms of their origins, scope, goals, participation, and effectiveness. Identify what has made for success and failure, what has worked and what has not. (C)

II. THE ROLE OF PEACEKEEPING (STATE)

What options are there for the role that multilateral peacekeeping should play in our foreign and security policies? Specifically:

A. When are U.S. interests best served by reliance upon multilateral peacekeeping and when are they best served by action that is unilateral or not sanctioned by the UN? (C)

B. Does the trend toward multilateral peacekeeping undermine in an undesirable manner our ability to act unilaterally? (C)

C. To what extent are nations becoming dependent upon the UN peacekeeping to solve internal problems that they could or should be solving themselves? (C)

D. To what degree does the downsizing of U.S. and allied militaries mean that we may need to rely increasingly upon international organizations and coalitions for intervention capability? (C)

E. Should decisions about increasing reliance on UN peacekeeping be tempered by the possibility that Russia and China may change policies and begin vetoing peacekeeping operations which we support? How likely is such a change? What could we do to continue international peacekeeping if this change did occur? (C)

[There are all sorts of questions about peacekeeping that we haven't asked from the point of view of success and failure. What are the questions?]

III. THE ROLE OF REGIONAL ORGANIZATIONS (STATE)

A. ^{Briefly} Describe and evaluate the status of peacekeeping policy, structure, and operations in key regional organizations including the OAS, OAU, CIS, ECOWAS, NATO, CSCE, WEU, Arab League, GCC, and ASEAN. (IC) (C)

~~U.S. policy towards (e.g. WSL?)~~

~~SECRET~~

F. What are the public/legislative attitudes towards peacekeeping (multilateral and unilateral) within key foreign states and the United States? (C) (With UN/P)

as well as current U.S. policy thereto.

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

In all cases, these analyses should be coordinated with relevant regional interagency studies.

~~SECRET~~

3

B. Develop options for U.S. policy toward peacekeeping by key regional organizations, including steps the U.S. might take to strengthen the capabilities of these groups. U.S. policy toward the NATO/CSCE question should be specifically developed with options and a proposed gameplan. What relationship should these groupings have to the UN system politically and militarily? What criteria should be developed for deciding whether to employ the UN or a regional organization? (STATE) (C)

Does this go over into what review of

IV. THE UNITED NATIONS (STATE)

The study should identify the shortcomings of the current United Nations conventional peacekeeping and emergency humanitarian relief system and propose options for improvements. The options should include information about feasibility, resource availability, and plans for achieving UN Secretariat and member support for implementation. Among the issues that should be reviewed are:

European security arrangements who does?

A. Structure (roles, missions, organization, and staffing) including the structure of Under Secretaries, the Emergency Coordinator for Humanitarian relief, the Military Staff Committee, the Military Advisor to the Secretary General, and the Field Operations Division, to include field operations. How can UN multilateral peacekeeping operations be effectively monitored in order to minimize waste and abuse? (STATE/JCS) (C)

add. sharing costs with UN

B. Command, Control, Communications: What are the UN's C3 requirements for conventional peacekeeping and emergency humanitarian relief and what options are there for meeting them? What options are there for what the U.S. could provide, including facilities and hardware, both gratis and as in kind services to create the necessary system? (DOD/JCS) (C)

C. Information Support and Intelligence: What options are there for how the information function can be integrated into the C3 system? How can U.S. intelligence be provided on a regular (not ad hoc) basis to various components of the UN system and its field operations? How do we identify UN collection requirements? Do current collection priorities give sufficient attention to UN requirements and, if not, what can be done to improve collection? (IC) (S)

D. Logistics and Transportation: What are the deficiencies of UN logistics and lift systems and what are options for improvement, taking into account needs such as speed and interoperability? (DOD/AID) (C)

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

4

E. Plans and Training: What options are there for creating a UN system for conventional peacekeeping/emergency humanitarian relief planning, including a permanent staff? What options are there for developing a training system, including use of US facilities for simulations and exercises? (DOD/JCS) (C)

F. Doctrine and Rules of Engagement: Should the past practice of somewhat passive conventional peacekeeping be altered and, if so, what are the options? Include an examination of the Chapter VI and VII dichotomy. (STATE) (C)

G. Emergency Humanitarian Relief: What options are there for enhancing the UN's capabilities? What are the options for a new international standing/on-call capability? Include non-UN options. (AID) (C)

V. MULTILATERAL PEACEKEEPING OPERATIONS AND U.S. PARTICIPATION (DOD)

A. The UN Charter: How do the authorities under Chapter VI, VII, and VIII of the UN Charter apply to the new challenges and environments for multilateral peacekeeping operations? (State/UN) (C)

B. Article 43: What are the options for U.S. policy regarding a standing UN force as outlined in Article 43 of the UN charter, including on-call forces, commitments of specific units in specific time-frames, or commitments of specific capabilities in specific time-frames. Assignment of specialized national roles and the concept of a volunteer, quick reaction force (non-national in character) should be examined. An explicit differentiation should be made between peacekeeping forces and emergency humanitarian relief forces in this examination. (State/DOD) (C)

C. The Command Relationship and U.S. Participation: What options are there for policy regarding the participation of U.S. combat and support forces in multilateral peacekeeping organizations, including consideration of control of U.S. forces by non-American commanders? (State/DOD) (C)

VI. THE UNITED STATES (DOD)

A. Organization: OSD, JCS, STATE, AID, and the IC should describe how they are structured to support multilateral peacekeeping and examine options for improving their existing structures. They should also propose options for an interagency structure for policy development and implementation in these areas. (ALL) (C)

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

Relationship to UN Participation Act. Does it need to be modified?

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

5

B. Training: What are options for improving U.S. military and civilian training for multilateral operations? (JCS/AID)

(C)

C. Funding: What options are there for reflecting the U.S. contribution to UN peacekeeping in the U.S. budget, including placement solely in the 050 account, the 150 account, or shared between the accounts on the basis of some formula? Other funding related issues that should be addressed include accounting for in-kind services, transfer authority between accounts, the desirability of additional contingency reserve funds similar to ERMA, options for payment of the U.S. assessment and arrearage, and options for the priority that multilateral peacekeeping should have in the 050 and 150 budgets relative to other programs. (OMB) (C)

D. Legislation: What options are there for amending the United Nations Participation Act of 1945 and other legislation related to multilateral peacekeeping? (STATE) (C)

Would any of the options suggested in this study require such amendment?

VII. STUDY PROCESS

Initial drafting responsibilities for the sections of the study are indicated in parenthesis in the PRD and listed below. All agencies (in the address) can participate in each sub-group and their options and views should be included in the drafts presented. After review by the sub-groups, the drafts should be presented to an Interagency Working Group (IWG), which for the purposes of managing this study, will be chaired by the NSC Staff. The IWG shall be responsible for integrating the products into a single study with agency views and decisionable options for examination by the Deputies Committee. The IWG shall also be responsible for enforcing drafting deadlines, as indicated below.

(C)

3.

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

6

WORKING GROUP

CHAIR

DRAFT DUE TO IWG

1. Background, Role, and
Regional Organizations
(Sec. I, II, III)

STATE

24 February

2. The UN
(Sec. IV)

STATE

27 February

3. Multilateral Peacekeeping
and U.S. Participation
(Sec. V)

DEFENSE

8 March

4. United States
(Sec. VI)

DEFENSE

12 March

The IWG should report to the Deputies Committee no later than 19 March. Subsequent to the PDD, a ~~game plan~~ and organization for implementation will be tasked. (C)

strategy

Anthony Lake
Assistant to the President
for National Security Affairs

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

20086

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

Directive

PRESIDENTIAL REVIEW DOCUMENT/NSC

MEMORANDUM FOR THE VICE PRESIDENT
THE SECRETARY OF STATE
THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
REPRESENTATIVE OF THE UNITED STATES TO THE UNITED
NATIONS
DIRECTOR OF THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET
THE CHIEF OF STAFF TO THE PRESIDENT
ACTING DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE
CHAIRMAN OF THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF
ACTING ADMINISTRATOR OF THE AGENCY FOR
INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

SUBJECT: Multilateral Peacekeeping Operations (C)

With the end of the Cold War and increased cooperation among Russia and the Western members of the United Nations Security Council, nations have come to rely increasingly on the UN to resolve international and internal disputes through the use of peacekeeping forces or UN authorization of enforcement actions. Regional organizations have also expanded their peacekeeping roles. Despite this growth in peacekeeping and enforcement actions, the UN and some regional organizations have not changed their relevant policies or structures significantly. Although the U.S. promulgated a new policy on conventional peacekeeping and emergency humanitarian relief operations last year and recently shared it with three members of the Security Council, there are many issues that remain to be resolved before the U.S. has a complete policy. (C)

This PRD is intended to be a zero-based review of the issues involved in the creation of a U.S. policy on peacekeeping and to identify options leading to Presidential decisions. The phrase "multilateral peacekeeping" is meant, except where otherwise noted, to include the full range of activities including observers, peacekeeping and humanitarian relief operations, and implementation/enforcement actions. (C)

The study should include, but not be limited to, the questions and issues in the outline below. When options are developed, they should also be analyzed. Each analysis should compare a set of options against a common set of evaluative criteria. Two

~~SECRET~~

Declassify on: OADR

~~SECRET~~

DECLASSIFIED
PER E.O. 12958,
AS AMENDED

2008-1220-
12/15/08